### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 105 351

CG 009 724

AUTHOR

Maola, Joseph; Kane, Gary

TITLE

Comparison of Computer-Based Vs. Counselor-Based Occupational Information Systems with Disadvantaged

Vocational Students.

PUB DATE

[74]

NOTE

15p.; Doctoral dissertation, University of Akron,

Akron, Ohio

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS

\*Computer Oriented Programs: \*Counseling Programs: \*Disadvantaged Youth; \*Information Systems; Learning Activities; \*Occupational Information; Research

Projects; Secondary School Students

#### ABSTRACT

This research was based upon the rationale that occupational information is an educational essential. The subjects were Occupational Work Experience (OWE) students who were randomly assigned to individual guidance from either a computerized occupational information systems, a counselor-based information system, or to a control group. The groups were post-tested on the Assessment of Career Development (ACD) to determine which group learned the most information. The results demonstrate a hierarchical learning effect--computer group learned more than the counseled group, which learned more than the control group. Only the treatment effects were significantly different between the groups since there was no interaction of IQ and treatment. (Author)



COMPARISON OF COMPUTER-BASED VS. COUNSELOR-BASED OCCUPATIONAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS WITH DISADVANTAGED VOCATIONAL STUDENTS 1

by

Joseph Maola, Ph. D. Assistant Professor of Education Duquesne Universit; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

and

Gary Kane, Ed. D.<sup>2</sup>
Assistant Professor of Education
The University of Akron
Akron, Ohio

U S DEPARTMENT OF MEALTM.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCTO EXACTLY AS RECLIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
A"-NG-IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OF FIC-AL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

esearch was conducted by the author for his doctoral dissertation at the University of Akron, Akron, Ohio.

2The second author served as the major dissertation advisor.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



### ABSTRACT

There were .. ... Based upon the rationale that occupational information is an educational essential, this research was conducted. The subjects were Occupational Work Experience (OWE) students who were randomly assigned to individual guidance from either a computerized occupational information system, a counselor-based information system, or to a control group. The groups were post-tested on the Assessment of Career Development (ACD) to determine which group learned the most information. The results demonstrate a hierarchical learning effect--computer group learned more than the counseled group, which learned more than the control group. Only the treatment effects were significantly different between the groups since there was no interaction of IQ and treatment.

Back and Roeber (1964) have referred to occupational information as an educational essential and an essential for making better occupational choices. According to Back and Roeber (1961), the term occupational information should refer to a broad understanding; job knowledge and job planning and preparation, rather than specific information about certain occupations.

Other researchers and theorists have discussed the importance of occupational information. Norris (1963) refers to the importance of occupational information as a motivator in the elementary school, and Super (1951) discusses the importance of vocational information to vocational development and for implementing a self-concept. Because of this importance of occupational information, there seemed a need for determining whether one of the methods of providing occupational information was more effective than another.

Because of the importance of occupational information, counselors in schools have for many years attempted to teach students the information. Beginning in late 1960, counselors initiated the development of mechanized systems for delivering occupational information (Gallagher, 1969). The computer, because of its facilities for immediate feedback and branching to various topics, became the epitome of these mechanized systems



(Cooley, 1969). The purpose for conducting the following research was to determine whether students could learn more occupational information from a computer than directly from a school guidance counselor. Research from computer-assisted instruction (CAI) presented the rationale for the project. Zinn (1968) and Merrill & Stolurow (1966) have conducted research which indicates that students learn more from computers than they would learn from teachers after short periods of instruction. Since only short periods of instructing students in occupational information were employed in the research, it was hypothesized that the students receiving occupational information from the computer would learn significantly more than the students receiving the information from a counselor.

# Subjects

The subjects for the research were disadvantaged vocational students at a large northeast Ohio city high school. These students were all senior high school students (grades 10-12) enrolled in an Occupational Work Experience (OWE) program at the school. Students in OWE have been identified by certified guidance counselors to be lacking motivation for regular classes. These students are provided half-day instruction and are released in the afternoon to work. The students' jobs are part of their credit program at the school.

Seventy-two of these students were identified for the study because they possessed a minimum grade-equivalent read-

ing level of 4.5. These seventy-two students were randomly assigned to one of the three treatments. The seventy-two students were pooled and then randomly assigned to treatments from a table of random numbers. A total of twenty-four students were assigned for each treatment.

# Methode

The computer group treatment consisted of students assigned individually to an hour each week of interacting with an IBM computer terminal. The computer was programmed with the Computerized Vocational Information System (CVIS) which provides its users with occupational information based upon Roe's Occupational Classification System (Roe, 1956). The first week these students explored job titles and job descriptions from the "social service" and "business contact" clusters; the second week, from the "organization" and "technology" clusters; the third week, from the "outdoor" and "science" clusters; and the fourth week, from the "general cultural" and "arts and entertainment" clusters. The treatment was individually administered. Weekly time schedules were rotated so that no student was scheduled for the same time or day from week to week.

The counseled group treatment was similar to the computer group except that the students were assigned for individual guidance with a guidance counselor from their school. Each student met the same counselor each week. The counselor was given the same list of Roe's job titles and job descriptions that are implemented in CVIS. Both treatment materials were identical



U

and only the treatment modes were different.

The central group was only post-tested in order for comparisons to be made. An analysis of covariance was used as the statistical procedure. The students' 1Q's were utilized as the covariate.

The design employed was the "post-test only control group design" recommended by Campbell and Stanley (1963). Because of the design employed, the students were only post-tested at the completion of the experimental treatments.

Three questions regarding the validity of the study were considered: (1) Was it the treatment that accounted for the differences (internal validity of the disign)?; (2) Were the statistics appropriate?; (3) To whom can the results be generalized (external validity of the design)?

Because of the nature of the design (randomly divided post-test only control group design), all aspects of internal validity were achieved (Campbell and Stanley, 1963). Stanley and Campbell (1963) also suggest that appropriateness of analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) as the statistical tool for this design if the five assumptions of ANCOVA are met: (1) random assignment; (2) normal distribution; (3) homogeneity of variance; (4) independence of replication; and (5) no interaction of covariate and treatment. All five assumptions were tested and achieved for this research.

Regarding external validity, the investigator cautions the reader that the results are limited to disadvantaged senior high school students learning occupational information and that

these students were aware of other groups receiving the occupational information from other modes. The reader should be
aware of the nature of this group before generalizing to similar groups. Lindquist (1953) refers to the responsibility of
the reader to generalize to similar groups. The reader should
be slerted that the counselors at this particular school usually teach occupational information to students in groups rather
than individually. This unfamiliarity of the counselors with
this individual treatment may be a limitation to the study.

# Instrumentation

To evaluate which group of students learned more occupational information, an achievement instrument measuring knowledge of occupational information was required. The Assessment of Career Development (ACD) was selected as the criterion for the study. Three subscales of the ACD were then selected as the dependent variables for the research; these three subscales were: (1) Knowledge of Occupational Characteristics (Characteristics); (2) Knowledge of Occupational Preparation Requirements (Preparation), and (3) Career Planning Knowledge (Planning). Test-retest coefficients of reliability (Characteristics r = .65; Preparation r = .54; and Planning r = .64) were sufficient for group analyses for all three subscales (Thorndike & Hagan, 1969). Content validity was established through expert judge validity, operational definitions, and proportional analyses of job titles between the three ACD subscales and the Roc Classification Systems (Edwards, 1972). Reading scores for each



student were obtained from the student's scores on the Iowa Test of Basic Skills. Each student had to read at the fourth grade level.

Additional instrumentation was necessary to control for The Otis Quick Scoring Test of Mental Abilities (OTIS) 10. was administered to each of the students in their last year of junior high school. Each student's 1Q score on the OTIS was used as the covariate for this study.

# Results

The results of this study indicated highly significant differences. The mean scores for each group on each dependent variable are given in Table 1. There were significant F ratios among the three groups on all three dependent variables. post-hoc analyses were performed using the alpha/N shrinkage technique which permitted the researcher to make these multiple comparisons and still covary the effects of IQ.

### INSERT TABLE 1

On all three subscales, "Characteristics," "Preparation," and "Planning," the students in the computer group scored signific ntly higher than the students in the counseled group and control group (p>.001). The students in the counseled group, however, scored significantly higher than the students in the control group on all three variables (p > .001). These were significant main effects results (treatment effects), since



there were no significant interaction effects of 1Q and treatment.

### INSERT TABLE 2

# Conclusions, Discussions, and Recommendations

The results of this study demonstrate that a computerassisted occupational information system can teach occupational
information bette, than a counselor-based occupational information system. The results also indicate that both systems can
effectively teach occupational information since both groups
learned significantly more occupational information than the
control group.

There are a number of possible explanations for these results. One explanation may be that computers have demonstrated that they can teach facts better than teachers (Freedman, 1967; Bushnell, 1966). A second explanation may be that the interaction of the students with the computer program, question and answer, provided a practice effect for taking the ACD. The third explanation may be the counselors' unfamiliarity for providing occupational information individually since the counselors in this school usually provide this type of information in groups.

The investigator has made the following recommendations for future research: (1) determine whether the results would be similar for motivated and non-vocational students; (2) investigate the results of this study using an affective rather than cognitive criterion; (3) investigate these results longitudinally; and (4) replicate the study.



This study has shown the effectiveness of a computer-based occupational information system for teaching vocational information to disadvantaged vocational students. Further study is needed to determine whether there are other significant reasons for adopting costly computer-based information system in the schools.

- Baer, M. F. and Roeber, E. C. Occupational Internation. Chicago: Science Research Associated, Inc., 1964.
- Bushael, D. "For Each Student A Teacher," Saturday Review, 49, 1966, p. 31.
- Campbell, D. T. and Stanley, J. C. Experimental and Quasiexperimental Posters for Research. Chicago: Rand McNally and Company, 1963.
- Computerized Vocational Information System Counselor's Manual, Villa Park, Illinois: Willowbrook High School, CV18 Demonstration Center, 1971.
- Cooley, W. W. "Computer Systems for Guidance" in James J. Gallagher (ed.) Computer-Based Vocational Guidance Systems. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, 1969.
- Edwards, A. L. Experimental Design in Psychological Research. New York: Holt, Rhinchart and Winston, Inc., 1972, 42-47.
- Freedman, M. I. "The Effectiveness of Machine Instruction in the Teaching of Third Grade Spelling," <u>Journal of Educa-</u> <u>tional Research</u>, 40, 1967, 366-367.
- Gallagher, J. J. (ed.) <u>Computer-Based Vocational Guidance</u>
  <u>Systems</u>. Washington, D. C.: U. S. Department of Health,
  <u>Education and Welfare</u>, OE-25053, 1969.
- Handbook of the ACT Assessment of Career Development. Iowa City, Iowa: The American College Testing Program, 1973.
- Lindquist, E. F. <u>Educational Measurement</u>. Washington, D. C.: American Council of Education, 1951.
- Merrill, M. D. and Stolurow, L. M. "Hierarchial Proview vs. Problem Oriented Review in Learning an Imaginary Science,"

  <u>American Educational Research Journal</u>, 3, 1966, 251-261.
- Norris, W. Occupational Information in the Elementary School. Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1963.
- Occupational Work Experience (mimeograph). The Ohio State Department of Vocational Education.
- Roe, A. A. The <u>Psychology of Occupations</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1956.
- Super, D. E. "Vocational Adjustment: Implementing a Self-Concept," Occupations, 30, 1951, 88-92.

- Thorndike, R. L. & Hagan, E. Measurement and Evaluation in Psychology and Education. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1969.
- Zinn, K. L. "Instructional Uses of Interactive Computer Systems," Datamation, 14, 1968, 22-27.

TABLE 1 MEAN SCORES FOR GROUPS ON ACD SUBSCALES

| Group           | N  | Characteristics <sup>a</sup> | Preparationb | Planningc |  |  |
|-----------------|----|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| Computer group  | 25 | 41.29                        | 13.04        | 30.96     |  |  |
| Counseled group | 27 | 33.48                        | 10.81        | 24.85     |  |  |
| Control group   | 20 | 21.65                        | 5.08         | 18.48     |  |  |
|                 |    |                              | <u> </u>     |           |  |  |

\*Highest possible score = 54
blighest possible score = 18
cHighest possible score = 40

F-RATIOS AND MULTIPLE COMPARISONS OF THE COMPUTER GROUP, COUNSELLD GROUP AND CONTROL GROUP ON THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES

TABLE 2

| Dependent Variable 1: Characteristics | . De a summerior desaure de las ar | en. Alle Mariemanne et Brit Bengarien van delle in 144 de jaar 1 | an ta a Amerika dan dina guri tana Adamin'a ya madaninia |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Groups Corpared                       | F                                  | Alpha  | p+   |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled vs. Control    | 48.59                              | .05  | p ≤ 000001   |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled                | 45.45                              | .025   | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Computer vs. Control                  | 38.68                              | .025   | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Counseled vs. Control                 | 41.58                              | .025   | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Dependent Variable 2: Preparation     |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Groups Compared                       | F                                  | Alpha  | <u>})*</u>   |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled vs. Control    | 38.22                              | .05  | p ≤ 00001  |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled                | 35.75                              | .025   | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Computer vs. Control                  | 30.42                              | .025   | p≤00001  |  |  |
| Counseled vs. Control                 | 32.70                              | .025   | p≤00001  |  |  |
| Dependent Variable 3: Planning        |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Groups Compared                       | F                                  | Alpha  | p*   |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled vs. Control    | 80.46                              | .05  | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Computer vs. Counseled                | 75.26                              | . 05   | p < 00001.   |  |  |
| Computer vs. Control                  | 64.84                              | .05  | p < 00001  |  |  |
| Counseled vs. Control                 | 68.85                              | .05  | p < 00001  |  |  |

<sup>\*</sup>indicates a one-tailed test of probability

`, ,)

