# Comparisons Between P-Fertilized and Mycorrhizal Plants[1]

R. S. Pacovsky, G. J. Bethlenfalvay, and E. A. Paul[2]

## ABSTRACT

In experimentation with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi, the availability of non-VAM control plants of equal size to VAM plants is a fundamental requirement. The purpose of this work was to determine nutrient regimes needed to achieve growth equivalence between VAM and non-VAM plants. Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] cv. Amsoy 71 and sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] cv. Bok 8 plants were grown under controlled conditions in a soil (Josephine silty clay loam, mesic Typic Haploxerult) low in plant-available P. Soybeans were inoculated with one of four species and sorghum with one of two species of VAM fungi. Non-inoculated control plants received nutrient solutions that contained 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, or 1.0 m$M$ P. While the growth of P-supplemented controls may be equivalent to VAM plants, an important question remains: Are these plants also equivalent in terms of such functional parameters as leaf development, dry matter partitioning, and nutrient assimilation? The objective of this experiment was to answer these questions. The response to VAM colonization was similar in both hosts, although less extensive colonization was observed in sorghum. Dry weight, leaf area, and P content increased exponentially with nutrient solution P level. Plants colonized with VAM fungi grew 3 to 6 times larger than the P-free controls but attained only 35 to 65% of maximum growth possible with high fertilizer P input. Host response to VAM colonization was equivalent to that of plants receiving between 0.12 and 0.22 m$M$ P for phytomass, leaf area, and N content. Mycorrhizal plants contained less P, Mn, and root Fe but more Zn and Cu than comparable plants fertilized with P. It was concluded that P-treated, non-VAM plants differed physiologically and anatomically from VAM plants of equivalent size grown under P stress. It may therefore be necessary to establish the comparability of VAM plants and of "VAM-equivalent controls" separately for each plant parameter of interest. Even then, differential growth responses in VAM-host associations may prevent complete comparability between VAM and P-fertilized plants.

*Additional index words:* Glomus, Micronutrient, Phosphorus nutrition, Sorghum, Soybean.

PLANTS exhibit a wide range of host responses to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) colonization under different environmental conditions (32). External conditions affecting P (3, 28) and carbohydrate (7) availability to the symbiotic partners influence the magnitude of host-plant growth. The nutritional status the non-VAM plants used as controls affects the interpretation of mycorrhizal effects (31). In all comparisons of VAM and non-VAM plants, a fundamental problem is to obtain plants of similar size and development. Non-VAM plants supplied with additional P to compensate for enhanced P uptake by VAM fungi have been used experimentally in an attempt to obtain comparable controls (25, 26, 31). While apparent growth of such controls may be equivalent to VAM plants, an important question remains: Are these plants also equivalent in terms of such functional parameters as leaf development, dry matter partitioning, and nutrient assimilation? The objectives of this study were: i) to measure the growth response of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] and sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] to P fertilization or VAM colonization, ii) to determine the P input needed by non-VAM plants to produce growth equivalent to that of VAM plants, and iii) to compare some morphological characteristics and nutrient content of VAM plants with those of non-VAM plants.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Biological Materials

Soybean (cv. Amsoy 71) plants were inoculated with one of four species of VAM fungi or remained non-inoculated and received one of four P-amended nutrient solutions. Three species of VAM fungi, *Glomus epigaeum* Daniels and Trappe (12), *Glomus mosseae* (Nicol. and Gerd.) Gerd. and Trappe (14), and an undescribed *Glomus* species, used in the study were collected from the Anzo-Borrego Desert State Park, California (6). The fourth species, the Gerdemann isolate of *Glomus fasciculatum* (Thaxter *sensu* Gerd.) Gerd. and Trappe was obtained from Abbott Laboratories (Long Grove, IL 60047)[3]. All fungi were cultured for 6 months on sorghum (cv. G766) grown in a sand/perlite (2/1,v/v) medium. Spores of each species were collected by wet sieving. Approximately 100 ± 7 spores of each VAM isolate were used as inoculum for plants grown in this experiment.

Soybean seeds (0.5 to 0.6 g) were surface sterilized successively in 0.7 L L$^{-1}$ ethanol (1 min), 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ HgCl$_2$ (10 min), and 0.01 $N$ HCl (10 min); rinsed with sterile, distilled water; and germinated for 2 days at 27°C. Three seedlings were planted in 1.5 L pots and were initially treated with a leachate of the original VAM cultures free of VAM propagules to establish similar biota in VAM and control treatments. The two smallest plants per pot were removed after 1 week. Each treatment was replicated six times in a randomized-block design. Plants were harvested after 9 weeks.

Sorghum (cv. Bok 8) was tested for response to *G. fasciculatum* and *G. mosseae* only. For each pot, six seeds (0.15 to 0.25 g) were surface sterilized using the procedure described for soybean, planted, and thinned to three plants per pot after 1 week. Each treatment was replicated five times in a randomized-block design. Plants were grown for 9 weeks.

### Soil

Soil used was a moderately acid (pH 5.7) Josephine silty clay loam (fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Haploxerult), obtained from the University of California Field Station at Hopland, CA, and collected from 0 to 100 mm depth. Soil tests showed 4 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ Olsen-available P, 0.31 mg g$^{-1}$ total P, 0.95 g P retained g$^{-1}$ P added, 12.8 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ NH$_4^+$-N, 4.2 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ NO$_3^-$-N, 1.5 mg g$^{-1}$ Kjeldahl-N, and 27 mg g$^{-1}$ organic matter. Chelate extraction (20) yielded 21 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ Fe, 110 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ Mn, and 0.6 $\mu$g g$^{-1}$ Cu. Soil was sieved (10-

mm mesh), sterilized with ethylene oxide (12 h, 45°C), incubated for 3 weeks after sterilization, and limed (10g $CaCO_3$ $kg^{-1}$ soil) according to Peech (27) to alleviate Mn toxicity (final pH 7.1). Each 1.5 L pot received 1.25 kg of soil, which was covered with a 20-mm layer of perlite.

### Nutrient Solutions

The nutrient solution was equivalent to one-quarter strength Johnson's solution (18), except in P. Micronutrients were supplied as described previously (7). Phosphorus was added as either 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, or 1.0 m$M$ $KH_2PO_4$. The pH of each solution was adjusted to 6.9 with 0.01 $N$ KOH. Pots inoculated with VAM fungi received the same solution as the 0.0 m$M$ P treatment (-P control). All pots were watered to field capacity with the appropriate nutrient solution 3 times a week for 6 weeks and 5 times a week for the last 3 weeks of the experiment. Once each week pots were flushed with 0.5 L deionized water. Phosphorus input into the plant-soil system was calculated from the mean volume of nutrient solution retained per pot at field capacity, the schedule of application, and the solution-P concentration. During the last 4 weeks leachate was collected from P-fertilized pots and analyzed for soluble P after watering with nutrient solution (23). The highest concentration of P in the leachate (0.05 m$M$) was from the pots receiving 1.0 m$M$ P.

### Growth Conditions

Soybean plants were grown in a greenhouse in Albany, CA from April to June 1982, with temperature and relative humidity varying within the maximum day/minimum night ranges of 32/19°C and 50/90%, respectively. Average photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) was 900 µmol $m^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$ at 1200 h on sunny days or 250 µmol $m^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$ on overcast days. Sylvania 1000 W metal halide lamps mounted vertically in parabolic reflectors provided supplementary PPFD of 500 µmol $m^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$ at plant emergence level during the light period of the 16/8 h day/night cycle. Sorghum plants were grown in a growth chamber (Sherer model CEL 36-10, Marshall, MI 49068) with day/night temperatures of 30/25°C and RH of 45/85%. The light/dark period was 16/8 h, and PPFD varied from 550 to 450 µmol $m^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$ from the center to the edge of the growth platform. Plants were rotated daily within blocks to avoid positional effects.

### Evaluations and Assays

All plants were harvested at 9 weeks after planting. For soybeans, in most cases, this corresponded to beginning bloom (R1) or full bloom (R2) stage (13). Leaf area was determined for soybean plants using a Li-Cor L-1500 leaf area meter (Lincoln, NE 68504). Sorghum leaf area was not determined. Leaf, stem, and root dry weights were measured after drying for 2 days at 70°C. Plant N content was measured with an ERBA (Turin, IT.) model 1400 autoanalyzer and plant P content by the method of Allen (1). The method of analysis for ash, Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn was according to Chapman and Pratt (10). Percent colonization of roots by VAM fungi was evaluated visually (8).

The chitin (poly-$\beta$-1,4-N-acetylglucosamine) content of 20 mg of roots from VAM-inoculated plants was determined spectrophotometrically (8). Six replicates from the 0.2 m$M$ P treatment were subjected to chitin analyses as were the VAM roots, and the mean absorbance was subtracted from each VAM-root absorbance value to account for contamination by chitin-containing organisms other than

VAM fungi. An estimate of the intraradical VAM-fungal biomass was calculated from the chitin content of the extraradical mycelium (88.5 µg chitin $mg^{-1}$ VAM fungus) of *G. fasciculatum* (4) and that of VAM roots. Percent VAM-fungal biomass was calculated from total fungal and root biomass. Determination of extraradical VAM-fungal biomass contained in the soil by the chitin assay (24) was not feasible due to high levels of interfering substances (490 µg hexosamine $g^{-1}$ soil). Soil analyses after harvest were performed to determine the pH (21), available P (34), and total P (30). Plant and soil data were subjected to an analysis of variance, and a Duncan's Multiple Range Test was performed on data for VAM plants and fungal biomass. Plant response as a function of P fertilization was analyzed by curve fitting ($y = a[1 - b \exp(-cx)]$) using the procedures of the Statistical Analysis Systems (2). Means and variances for VAM plants were projected onto these growth curves to determine the equivalent P input and to establish confidence intervals for comparisons of VAM hosts with P-fertilized plants.

## RESULTS

### Phytomass

Total plant dry weight increased with increasing concentrations of solution P according to the negative exponential function that describes growth relative to nutrient supply (29). For soybean the function was [$y = 31.4 - 28.5 \exp(-2.4x)$] and sorghum [$y = 29.4 - 25.7 \exp(-2.9x)$]. Non-fertilized plants inoculated with one of the four VAM-fungal species weighed 3.5 to 6 times more than the -P control (Table 1). Dry weights of plants inoculated with VAM fungi were comparable and showed no significant differences by regression analysis from those of the 0.2 m$M$ P treatment. The pattern of shoot and root increase was different for the two host plants [soybean shoot: $y = 24.7 - 22.9 \exp(-2.4x)$ and sorghum shoot: $y = 17.3 - 15.4 \exp(-2.9x)$], although the total dry weight increase was similar. The increase in shoot to root ratios with P fertilization (Table 1) was more pronounced in soybean than in sorghum. Shoot to root ratios for VAM plants were equivalent to soybeans that received from 0.18 to 0.32 m$M$ P by regression analysis, while in sorghum the ratios were higher than those of the 1.0 m$M$ P treatment.

**Table 1. Dry weights and shoot/root ratios of sorghum and soybean plants inoculated with VAM fungi or fertilized with P.**

| Treatment | Dry weight | | Shoot/root ratio | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sorghum | Soybean | Sorghum | Soybean |
| P concentration (m$M$)† in nutrient solution | ——— g ——— | | | |
| 0.0 | 3.55 | 2.94 | 1.03 | 1.82 |
| 0.2 | 15.76 | 13.88 | 1.37 | 2.97 |
| 0.4 | 20.94 | 20.29 | 1.41 | 3.56 |
| 1.0 | 28.16 | 28.86 | 1.45 | 3.81 |
| VAM Fungi | | | | |
| *Glomus* sp. nov. | – | 9.94** | – | 2.94a |
| *G. epigaeum* | – | 10.00b | – | 3.38b |
| *G. fasciculatum* | 13.35a | 12.15b | 1.76a | 3.10a |
| *G. mosseae* | 14.25a | 17.52c | 1.76a | 2.88a |

* Values having common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level (Duncan's).

† Represents 0, 50, 100, and 250 mg P pot⁻¹ or 0, 0.22, 0.45 and 1.10 kg $KH_2PO_4$ m⁻³ soil, respectively.

## Leaf Area

For soybean, the increase in primary $[y = 0.15 - 0.12 \exp(-3.0x)]$ and secondary $[y = 0.26 - 0.25 \exp(-2.0x)]$ leaf area with P fertilization was similar to that of plant dry weight (Table 2). In contrast, the proportion of the total leaf area contributed by the primary (main stem) trifoliates decreased with increasing P. Secondary (lateral branch) leaves accounted for only 25% of the total leaf area in the -P control, while at the highest P level 60% of the total leaf area was produced by the secondary leaves. The average area of primary leaflets $[y = 4020 - 2950 \exp(-2.8x)]$ increased more with P input than the secondary leaflets $[y = 1660 - 1260 \exp(-4.3x)]$, but total primary leaf area was limited by the fixed number of primary nodes. In VAM plants, developmental stages (13) and number of primary nodes were not significantly different from the 0.2 mM P plants. All VAM-plant leaf parameters were equivalent to those of soybeans given between 0.17 and 0.28 mM P (regression analysis), except for specific leaf area which corresponded to plants given from 0.0 to 0.11 mM P.

## Elemental Composition

Soybean root and leaf P content increased markedly as fertilizer P increased, while in sorghum the increase was slight (Table 3). Soybean and sorghum leaves from VAM plants were similar in P content to the -P controls, but root P content was comparable to plants that received from 0.1 to 0.2 mM P. Total plant P increased linearly with solution P for soybean $[y = 96.0x - 2.2, r^2 = 0.79,$ at 0.01 level] and sorghum $[y = 21.3x + 7.1, r^2 = 0.83,$ at 0.01 level]. The 2 mg P in the seed accounted for nearly all the total plant P in the -P control. Total P in all VAM plants was equivalent to plants given between 0.08 and 0.17 mM P as determined by regression analysis. Mycorrhizal plants contained an average of 11 mg P plant$^{-1}$, 25% in excess of P initially available in the seed and soil. Nitrogen contents for most VAM-colonized soybean plants were similar to the 0.2 mM P plants and in sorghum equivalent to the 0.4 mM P treatment (Table 3). Total plant N increased exponentially with increases in phosphate fertilizer for soybean $[y = 472 - 380 \exp(-5.3x)]$ and sorghum $[y = 300 - 242 \exp(-5.9x)]$.

The uptake of micronutrients was significantly modified by VAM plants in comparison to non-VAM plants (Table 4). The Fe and Mn concentrations were lower in VAM plants, particularly in the roots, while the Zn and Cu concentrations were generally higher in VAM plants than in non-VAM plants. Micronutrient concentrations tended to decrease with increasing P addition.

## Colonization by VAM Fungi

Soybean and sorghum showed about 50% colonization of root length with G. fasciculatum (Table 5). Glomus mosseae colonized sorghum roots least effectively and soybean roots most effectively. In soybean,

Table 2. Leaf area parameters and developmental stage for soybean inoculated with VAM fungi or fertilized with P.

| Treatment | Developmental level | | Primary leaf area | Secondary leaf area | Average area Primary leaflet | Average area Secondary leaflet | Specific leaf area |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (Stage)† | (Nodes) | | | | | |
| | | | — m² — | | — mm² leaf⁻¹ — | | m² kg⁻¹ |
| P concentration (mM) | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | V12 | 12 | 0.03 | 0.005 | 1050 | 400 | 27.9 |
| 0.2 | R1 | 14 | 0.08 | 0.079 | 2320 | 1120 | 31.3 |
| 0.4 | R2 | 15 | 0.109 | 0.154 | 3060 | 1450 | 34.4 |
| 1.0 | R2 | 15 | 0.140 | 0.224 | 3820 | 1640 | 34.1 |
| VAM fungi | | | | | | | |
| Glomus sp. nov. | R1 | 13a* | 0.053a | 0.039a | 1820a | 840a | 26.8a |
| G. epigaeum | R1 | 14a | 0.064a | 0.050a | 1870a | 1110bc | 29.9bc |
| G. fasciculatum | R2 | 14a | 0.066a | 0.053a | 2070ab | 930ab | 27.3ab |
| G. mosseae | R2 | 13a | 0.080b | 0.076b | 2490c | 980b | 25.6a |

* Values having common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level (Duncan's).
† According to Fehr and Caviness (13).

Table 3. Concentration of P and N in soybean or sorghum plants inoculated with fungi or fertilized with P.

| Treatment | Soybean | | | | Sorghum | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | P content | | N content | | P content | | N content | |
| | Leaf | Root | Leaf | Root | Shoot | Root | Shoot | Root |
| | | | | mg g⁻¹ | | | | |
| P concentration (mM) | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 60.9 | 41.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 20.3 | 11.9 |
| 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 50.2 | 23.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 18.0 | 9.4 |
| 0.4 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 44.4 | 18.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 16.7 | 8.6 |
| 1.0 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 35.2 | 16.8 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 12.5 | 8.0 |
| VAM Fungi | | | | | | | | |
| Glomus sp. nov. | 2.0b* | 1.0ab | 52.8a | 29.1a | -- | - | - | - |
| G. epigaeum | 2.2b | 1.1bc | 54.7a | 29.6a | - | - | - | - |
| G. fasciculatum | 2.1b | 1.0ab | 51.6b | 26.1b | 0.9a | 0.6a | 16.5a | 8.6a |
| G. mosseae | 1.6a | 0.8a | 35.8c | 26.6b | 0.9a | 0.6a | 15.9a | 8.2a |

* Values having common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level (Duncan's).

**Table 4. Micronutrient concentration in soybean or sorghum plants inoculated with VAM fungi or fertilized with P.**

| Treatment | Fe | | Mn | | Zn | | Cu | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Leaf | Root | Leaf | Root | Leaf | Root | Leaf | Root |
| | | | | $\mu g\ g^{-1}$ | | | | |
| **Soybean** | | | | | | | | |
| P concentration (mM) | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 135 | 661 | 183 | 116 | 36 | 39 | 10.3 | 18.7 |
| 0.2 | 115 | 788 | 229 | 158 | 23 | 33 | 6.2 | 10.8 |
| 0.4 | 120 | 610 | 233 | 153 | 23 | 38 | 6.1 | 10.0 |
| 1.0 | 126 | 806 | 218 | 185 | 22 | 45 | 5.9 | 10.8 |
| VAM fungi | | | | | | | | |
| Glomus sp. nov. | 111bc* | 453a | 170b | 87a | 79a | 136a | 11.7ab | 30.4a |
| G. epigaeum | 119b | 406a | 189a | 90a | 53a | 125a | 12.2a | 33.1a |
| G. fasciculatum | 137a | 455a | 169b | 86a | 26c | 96b | 10.6b | 25.2b |
| G. mosseae | 103c | 417a | 176b | 88a | 35b | 110b | 8.5c | 19.8c |
| **Sorghum** | | | | | | | | |
| P concentration (mM) | | | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 78 | 543 | 75 | 423 | 47 | 42 | 6.8 | 15.7 |
| 0.2 | 67 | 610 | 58 | 553 | 29 | 26 | 4.3 | 13.4 |
| 0.4 | 64 | 311 | 54 | 313 | 31 | 43 | 4.5 | 9.7 |
| 1.0 | 57 | 441 | 45 | 324 | 26 | 19 | 3.5 | 8.6 |
| VAM fungi | | | | | | | | |
| G. fasciculatum | 54z | 502x | 52z | 378y | 58w | 56z | 9.2z | 16.2z |
| G. mosseae | 59z | 400y | 46z | 296z | 38y | 46z | 9.5z | 15.1z |

\* Values with common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level (Duncan's).

there was a twofold difference in colonization between *G. mosseae* and the new *Glomus* sp. but a sevenfold difference in VAM fungal biomass. Intraradical VAM biomass varied more widely with VAM species than did colonization. The percent fungal biomass was closely correlated with plant dry weight ($r = 0.98$; at the 0.01 level), total leaf area ($r = 0.99$; at the 0.01 level), and total plant P ($r = 0.86$; at 0.01 level). Percent colonization of root length was correlated with dry weight ($r = 0.70$; at 0.05 level), total P ($r = 0.72$; at 0.05 level), and total leaf area ($r = 0.88$; at 0.01 level). In soybean, the percentage of *G. fasciculatum* biomass was twice that found in sorghum. For *G. mosseae*, this difference was fourfold.

## Plant Response and P Availability

Soil pH at harvest was between 5.8 and 6.9 for all treatments (Table 6). Soil-available P increased with soluble-P input as did total soil P. The total P input over a 9 week period for the 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, and 1.0 mM P solutions was 0, 50, 100, and 250 mg P, respectively. This corresponded to 0, 0.2, 0.45 and 1.1 kg KH$_2$PO$_4$ m$^{-3}$ soil. The negative exponential equation describing the response of plant dry weight to soluble P (29) was used to calculate an estimate of P available in the system initially (7 to 13 mg P) and the solution P concentration, which would result in 99% of maximum growth (1.5 mM P for sorghum or 1.9 mM P for soybean). The NaHCO$_3$-extractable P was 6 mg P pot$^{-1}$ initially and accounted for 50% of the P in the VAM plants; the other 50% could be attributed to uptake from the pool of bound P. Plant growth responses to solution P concentration or soil-available P (measured at the end of the experiment) were different (Table 1). Plant dry weight changed with solution P according to a negative exponential function (29), while the change with soil P was linear ($y = 2.5x - 9.0$, $r^2 = 0.97$; at 0.01 level). Soil available P at harvest was also strongly correlated with leaf and root P ($r = 0.94$; at 0.01 level), total leaf

**Table 5. Colonization of soybean and sorghum roots and fungal biomass of VAM fungi.**

| Treatment | VAM-fungal colonization of root length | Total intraradical VAM-fungal biomass | Percent VAM-fungal biomass |
|---|---|---|---|
| | % | mg plant$^{-1}$ | % |
| Soybean | | | |
| Glomus sp. nov. | 37a* | 54a | 2.1a |
| G. epigaeum | 56c | 112b | 4.8b |
| G. fasciculatum | 49b | 188c | 6.0c |
| G. mosseae | 62d | 371d | 8.2d |
| Sorghum | | | |
| G. fasciculatum | 50z | 142z | 2.9z |
| G. mosseae | 25y | 94y | 1.8y |

\* Values with common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level (Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

**Table 6. Characteristics of Josephine silty clay loam at Week 9 after supporting the growth of soybean or sorghum plants inoculated with VAM fungi or fertilized with P solutions.**

| Treatment | Soybean | | | Sorghum | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Soil pH | Available P | Total P | Soil pH | Available P | Total P |
| | | $\mu g\ g^{-1}$ | | | $\mu g\ g^{-1}$ | |
| P concentration (mM) | | | | | | |
| 0.0 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 305 | 6.4 | 4.8 | 300 |
| 0.2 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 318 | 6.5 | 8.8 | 323 |
| 0.4 | 5.9 | 11.3 | 331 | 6.9 | 11.5 | 363 |
| 1.0 | 6.0 | 15.7 | 360 | 6.8 | 15.0 | 470 |
| VAM fungi | | | | | | |
| Glomus sp. nov. | 6.0ab* | 5.8c | 303a | – | – | – |
| G. epigaeum | 6.1b | 5.5b | 310a | – | – | – |
| G. fasciculatum | 5.9ab | 4.7a | 300a | 6.8a | 4.8a | 305a |
| G. mosseae | 5.8a | 5.0b | 308a | 6.7a | 4.5a | 310a |

\* Values with common letters within a column are not significantly different at the 0.05 level.

area ($r = 0.97$; at 0.01 level), and shoot P content ($r = 0.95$; at 0.01 level).

## DISCUSSION

The comparison of soybean and sorghum plants colonized by VAM fungi with plants grown asymbiotically at different P regimes revealed that many

plant responses to the endophyte corresponded to a specific level of fertilizer-P availability. Dry weight, nodal development, total and average leaflet area, root P-content, and root and shoot N-content of both VAM-hosts were similar to non-VAM plants that received between 0.12 and 0.22 m$M$ P under the conditions of this experiment. Such a correspondence in the development of a VAM plant and a non-VAM plant may provide suitable controls in certain applications. However, such plants may be similar in form but dissimilar in function (9, 26).

The present data show morphological and nutritional dissimilarities between VAM plants and plants that received a VAM-equivalent P treatment, which suggest underlying functional differences. Variations in specific leaf area, shoot P-content, micronutrient concentrations, and shoot/root ratios are linked to uptake, assimilation, and allocation processes.

The shoot/root ratio is an important parameter in plant source-sink relationships, as it is a function of carbohydrate and mineral nutrient input and utilization (33). Shoot/root ratios tend to increase with decreasing nutrient stress. This was also observed in VAM plants, where the extraradical mycelium, an extension of the hosts's root system, is known to alleviate P stress (11, 15). Shoot/root ratios in VAM-colonized soybean generally corresponded to that of plants receiving from 0.18 to 0.32 m$M$ P, while in sorghum the ratios were higher than that of plants treated with 1.0 m$M$ P (Table 1). This finding indicated that in the latter association, fungal colonization was highly conducive to enhanced shoot growth. The high investment of sorghum in root mass and its lower P requirement relative to soybean suggests VAM colonization can be more beneficial to cereals than to legumes in low fertility soils, such as the one used in this study.

The low specific leaf area of VAM soybean plants (Table 2) may be related to the equally low concentrations of P in VAM leaves of both host species (Table 3). It remains to be seen how photosynthetic efficiency and source capacity (33, 35) in VAM plants are affected by these leaf characteristics.

The effect of VAM colonization on micronutrient concentrations in host-plant tissues was striking (Table 4). Complex interactions between P nutrition and micronutrient uptake have been observed (19, 22). The large differences between the enhancement of root and shoot concentrations of these micronutrients in VAM plants may have been due to retention in the fungal storage organs. Little is known about the mechanism of selective exclusion of elements (16). Adaptive advantages in the avoidance of heavy metal toxicity are likely, and have been demonstrated in disturbed ecosystems (17).

The validity of the extent of intraradical VAM-fungal colonization as a measure of host-plant growth response has been questioned (15). In the present study, the relationship between the extent of colonization and growth response was host-specific. Thus, *G. mosseae* was most effective both in enhancing growth and in colonizing soybeans, while *G. fasciculatum* was intermediate in its effect. In sorghum, however, the relationship was reversed. The relative development of host and endophyte in this soil was

different from the pattern observed previously in a sand/perlite medium (5), where rapid fungal proliferation resulted in parasitic growth in VAM plants.

The results show that a certain degree of VAM and non-VAM plant equivalence may be achieved by varying the P regimes of non-VAM plants. However, this equivalence may be restricted to morphological characteristics. Further study is needed to understand the physiological differences of VAM and non-VAM plants.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

## REFERENCES

1. Allen, J.R.L. 1940. An estimation of phosphorus. Biochem. J. 34B:858–860.
2. Barr, A.J., J.H. Goodnight, J.P. Sall, and J.T. Helwig. 1976. A user's guide to SAS 76. SAS Institute, Raleigh, NC.
3. Bethlenfalvay, G.J., H.G. Bayne, and R.S. Pacovsky. 1983. Parasitic and mutualistic associations between a mycorrhizal fungus and soybean: The effect of phosphorus on host plant-endophyte interactions. Physiol. Plant. 57:543–548.
4. ——, M.S. Brown, and R.S. Pacovsky. 1982. Relationships between host and endophyte development in mycorrhizal soybean. New Phytol. 90:537–543.
5. ——, ——, and ——. 1982. Parasitic and mutualistic associations between a mycorrhizal fungus and soybean: Development of the host plant. Phytopathology 72:889–893.
6. ——, S. Dakessian, and R.S. Pacovsky 1984. Mycorrhizae in a southern California desert: Ecological implications. Can. J. Bot. 62:519–521.
7. ——, and R.S. Pacovsky. 1983. Light effects in mycorrhizal soybeans. Plant Physiol. 73:969–972.
8. ——, ——, and M.S. Brown 1981 Measurement of mycorrhizal infection in soybeans. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:871–875.
9. Bowen, G.D. 1978. Dysfunction and shortfalls in symbiotic responses. p. 231–256. *In:* J.S. Horsfall and EB Cowling (ed.) Plant disease, Vol 3. Academic Press, New York.
10. Chapman, H.D., and P.F. Pratt. 1961. Methods of analysis of soils, plants, and waters. University of California, Davis, CA.
11. Daft, M.J., and T.H. Nicolson. 1969. Effect of *Endogone* mycorrhiza on plant growth. II. Influence of soluble phosphate on endophyte and host in maize. New Phytol. 68:945–952.
12. Daniels, B.A., and J. Trappe. 1979. *Glomus epigaeus* sp. nov., A useful fungus for vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal research. Can. J. Bot. 57:539–542.
13. Fehr, W.R., and C.E. Caviness. 1977. Stages of soybean development. p. 1–11. Iowa Coop. Ext. Serv. Spec. Rep. 80.
14. Gerdemann, J.W., and J.M. Trappe. 1974. The Endogonaceae of the Pacific Northwest. Mycologia Memoirs. no. 5. New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY.
15. Graham, J.H., R.G. Linderman, and J.A. Menge. 1982. Development of external hyphae by different isolates of mycorrhizal *Glomus* spp. in relation to root colonization and growth of Troyer citrange. New Phytol. 91:183–189.
16. Hayman, D.S. 1982. Influence of soils and fertility on activity and survival of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Phytopathology 72:1119–1125.
17. ——. 1983. The physiology of vesicular-arbuscular endomycorrhizal symbiosis. Can. J. Bot. 61:944–963.
18. Johnson, C.M., P.R. Stout, T.C. Boyer, and A.B. Carlton. 1957. Comparative chlorine requirements of different plant species. Plant Soil 8:337–353.
19. Lambert, D.H., D.E. Baker, and H. Cole. 1979. The role of mycorrhizae in the interactions of phosphorus with zinc, copper, and other elements. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:976–980.
20. Lindsay, W.L., and W.A. Norvell. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:421–428.
21. McLean, E.O. 1982. Soil pH and lime requirement. *In* A.L. Page et al. (ed.) Methods of soil analysis, Part 2. 2nd ed.

Agronomy 9:199–224.

22. Menge, J.A., E.L.V. Johnson, and R.G. Platt. 1978. Mycorrhizal dependency of several citrus cultivars under three nutrient regimes. New Phytol. 81:553–559.

23. Murphy, J., and J.P. Riley. 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chem. Acta 27:31–36.

24. Pacovsky, R.S., and G.J. Bethenfalvay. 1982. Measurement of the extra-radical mycelium of a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus in soil by a chitin determination. Plant Soil 68:143–147.

25. Pang, P.C., and E.A. Paul. 1980. Effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza on $^{14}C$ and $^{15}N$ distribution in nodulated faba beans. Can. J. Soil Sci. 60:241–250.

26. Paul, E.A., and R.M.N. Kucey. 1981. Carbon flow in plant microbial associations. Science 213:473–474.

27. Peech, M. 1965. Hydrogen-ion activity. In C.A. Black et al. (ed.) Methods of soil analysis, Part 2. Agronomy 9:914–926.

28. Powell, C.L., and J. Daniel. 1978. Mycorrhizal fungi stimulate uptake of soluble. New Phytol. 80:351–358.

29. Richards, F.J. 1969. The quantitative analysis of growth. p.

3–76 In F.C. Stewart (ed.) Plant physiology-A treatise, Vol. 5A. Academic Press, New York.

30. Shelton, W.R., and H.J. Harper. 1941. A rapid method for the determination of total phosphorus in soil and plant material. Iowa State Coll. J. Sci. 15:403–413.

31. Snellgrove, R.C., W.E. Splitstoesser, D.B. Stribley, and P.B. Tinker. 1982. The distribution of carbon and the demand of the fungal symbiont in leek plants with vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas. New Phytol. 92:75–87.

32. Tinker, P.B. 1975. Soil chemistry of phosphorus and mycorrhizal effects on plant growth. p. 481–499. In F.E. Sanders et al. (ed.) Endomycorrhizas. Academic Press, London.

33. Wareing, P.F., and J. Patrick. 1975. Source-sink relations and the partition of assimilates in the plant. p. 481–499. In C.P. Cooper (ed.) Photosynthesis and productivity in different environments. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

34. Watanabe, R.S., and S.R. Olsen. 1965. Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and $NaHCO_3$ extracts from soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 29:677–678.

35. Zelitch, I. 1975. Improving the efficiency of photosynthesis. Science 188:626–633.