

Nature. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 April 25.

Published in final edited form as:

Nature. 2012 October 25; 490(7421): 502-507. doi:10.1038/nature11531.

Compensatory dendritic cell development mediated by BATF-**IRF** interactions

Roxane Tussiwand^{1,§}, Wan-Ling Lee^{1,§}, Theresa L. Murphy^{1,§}, Mona Mashayekhi¹, KC Wumesh¹, Jörn C. Albring¹, Ansuman T. Satpathy¹, Jeffrey A. Rotondo¹, Brian T. Edelson¹, Nicole M. Kretzer¹, Xiaodi Wu¹, Leslie A. Weiss², Elke Glasmacher³, Peng Li⁴, Wei Liao⁴, Michael Behnke², Samuel S.K. Lam¹, Cora T. Aurthur¹, Warren J. Leonard⁴, Harinder Singh³, Christina L. Stallings², L. David Sibley², Robert D. Schreiber¹, and Kenneth M. Murphy^{1,5,*}

¹Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

²Department of Molecular Microbiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

³Department of Discovery Immunology, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, S. San Francisco, CA, 94080

⁴Laboratory of Molecular Immunology and Immunology Center, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-1674

⁵Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

Abstract

The AP-1 transcription factor *Batf3* is required for homeostatic development of CD8 α ⁺ classical dendritic cells that prime CD8 T-cell responses against intracellular pathogens. Here, we identify an alternative, Batf3-independent pathway for their development operating during infection with intracellular pathogens mediated by the cytokines IL-12 and IFN-γ. This alternative pathway results from molecular compensation for Batf3 provided by the related AP-1 factors Batf, which also functions in T and B cells, and Batf2 induced by cytokines in response to infection. Reciprocally, physiologic compensation between Batf and Batf3 also occurs in T cells for

Author Contributions R.T., W.L., T.L.M., and M.M. performed experiments with Batf^{-/-}, Batf2^{-/-}, Batf3^{-/-} and DKO mice; T.L.M. made and analyzed all Batf mutants; J.A.R. aided with EMSA; W.KC., J.C.A., and A.T.S. were involved with microarray analysis and generation of mutant mice. J.C.A. was involved in generating of *Batf2*^{-/-} mice. B.T.E. was involved with *L*. monocytogenes analysis; N.M.K was involved with cross-presentation analysis. X.W. was involved with bioinformatic analysis; L.A.W. C.L.S. were involved with Mtb infection; E.G. and H.S. helped identify EMSA probes; P.L., W.L. and W.J.L. performed ChIP-Seq and helped identify EMSA probes; M.B. and D.S. aided with T. gondii infections; S.S.K.L., C.T.A. and R.D.S. aided with tumor models. H.S. and W.J.L. provided helpful discussions. K.M.M. directed the work and wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the manuscript.

The authors have no conflicting financial interests.

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

To whom correspondence should be addressed. Phone 314-362-2009, Fax 314-747-4888, kmurphy@wustl.edu. These authors contributed equally to this study.

expression of IL-10 and CTLA-4. Compensation among BATF factors is based on the shared capacity of their leucine zipper domains to interact with non-AP-1 factors such as Irf4 and Irf8 to mediate cooperative gene activation. Conceivably, manipulating this alternative pathway of dendritic cell development could be of value in augmenting immune responses to vaccines.

Batf¹ and Batf3 are activator protein 1 (AP-1)² transcription factors^{3,4} with immune-specific functions^{5–8}. *Batf* is required for development of T helper cells producing IL-17 (T_H17) and follicular helper T (T_{FH}) cells⁵, and class-switch recombination (CSR) in B cells^{6,9}. *Batf3* is required for development of CD8 α ⁺ classical dendritic cells (cDCs) and related CD103⁺ DCs⁸ that cross-present antigens to CD8 T cells⁷ and produce IL-12 in response to pathogens¹⁰.

We recently recognized a heterozygous phenotype for Batf3 of 50% fewer CX3CR1 $^-$ CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Batf3^{+/-}$ mice¹¹, implying levels of Batf3 are limiting for CD8 α^+ DC development under homeostatic conditions. While analyzing $Toxoplasma\ gondii$ infection in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice, we observed evidence suggesting Batf3-independent CD8 α^+ cDC development based on apparent priming of pathogen specific CD8 T cells in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice treated with IL-12. Here, we report a Batf3-independent pathway of CD8 α^+ cDC development that functions physiologically during infection by intracellular pathogens and describe its molecular basis, which involves compensatory BATF factors.

Pathogens and IL-12 restore CD8α+ cDCs in Batf3-/- mice

IL-12 administration to Batf3^{-/-} mice before infection with type II Prugniaud (Pru) T. gondii reversed their susceptibility by inducing IFN-y production not only from NK cells but also from CD8 T cells¹⁰, suggesting potentially restored cross-priming. To test this idea, we infected $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice with the attenuated ¹² RH $\Delta ku80\Delta rop5$ strain of T. gondii and examined CD8a⁺ cDCs (Supplementary Fig. 1a), Surprisingly, CD8a⁺ cDCs reappeared in spleens of Batf3^{-/-} mice by day 10 after infection. Infection by L. monocytogenes also restored CD8a⁺ cDCs in Batf3^{-/-} mice (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Aerosolized infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) caused a progressive restoration of CD8a⁺ cDCs in Batf3^{-/-} mice and expanded CD8α⁺ cDCs in WT mice (Fig. 1a). Mtb infection of Batf3^{-/-} mice restored the missing lung-resident CD103+ cDCs (DEC205+ CD24+ CD4- Sirp-α-CD11b⁻)^{8,13} (Supplementary Fig. 1c). *Batf3*^{-/-} mice showed no difference in survival to Mtb infection compared to WT mice (Supplemental Fig. 1d), suggesting the initial lack of CD8α⁺ cDCs and peripheral CD103⁺ cDCs was not sufficient for lethality with Mtb. Since IL-12 is important in control of Mtb¹⁴, we measured serum IL-12 in Mtb-infected WT and Batf3^{-/-} mice (Fig. 1b). IL-12 was reduced in Batf3^{-/-} mice in the first three weeks, but increased after 6 weeks to approximately 50% of Mtb-infected WT mice.

We found that IL-12 administration restored CD8 α^+ cDCs in all backgrounds of $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 2a). Restored CD8 α^+ cDCs were functional for cross-presentation^{7,15,16} (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2b). Purified splenic CD8 α^+ and CD4 $^+$ cDCs from WT or $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice, treated with vehicle or IL-12, were tested for cross-presentation. As a control, OT-I T cells proliferated in response to WT CD8 α^+ DCs, but not CD4 $^+$ DCs, co-cultured with ovalbumin-loaded cells with or without IL-12 treatment (Fig.

2a). Importantly, OT-I T cells proliferated similarly in response to IL-12-induced $Batf3^{-/-}$ CD8 α^+ DCs, but not $Batf3^{-/-}$ CD4 $^+$ DCs, as to WT CD8 α^+ cDCs. The CD8 α^+ cDCs restored by IL-12 in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice were more similar in gene expression to WT CD8 α^+ DCs than to CD4 $^+$ cDCs (Supplementary Fig. 2c). 1855 genes differed more than 4-fold in expression between IL-12-induced CD8 α^+ cDCs and CD4 $^+$ cDCs within $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice. However, in comparing IL-12-induced CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice with the rare CD8 α^+ cDCs from $Batf3^{-/-}$ C57BL/6 mice, or with CD8 α^+ cDCs in WT mice, there were only 34 and 206 genes respectively differing more than 4-fold in expression.

The IL-12-induced restoration of CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice was dependent on IFN- γ in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 2d). With administration of control antibody, IL-12 induced a 3-fold increase in CD8 α^+ cDCs in WT mice and restored CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice. Both effects were blocked by anti-IFN- γ antibody. IL-12 induced IFN- γ production from NK cells but not T cells (Supplementary Fig. 2e), and IL-12 treatment was able to restore CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Rag2^{-/-}Batf3^{-/-}$ mice (Supplementary Fig. 2f), indicating that T cells and B cells are not required for this effect.

Batf3^{-/-} mice fail to reject highly immunogenic H31m1 and D42m1 fibrosarcomas⁷. However, Batf3^{-/-} mice pre-treated with IL-12 either fully rejected or showed reduced growth of these fibrosacromas (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 2g). Rejection was not simply due to NK cell activation, since IL-12 treatment failed to alter tumor growth in $Rag2^{-/-}$ mice. Strikingly, IL-12-treated $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice re-established priming of CD8 T cells capable of infiltrating tumors similar to WT mice (Fig. 2c). Mice with the inactivating IRF8 R249C mutation^{17–19} failed to restore CD8α₊ cDCs upon IL-12 administration (Supplementary Fig. 3a), indicating that restoration requires functional IRF8 protein. Further, these mice were highly susceptible to infection by aerosolized Mtb²⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 3b), suggesting that $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice may resist Mtb infection by restoration of CD8α⁺ cDCs. Thus, physiological Batf3-independent development of CD8α⁺ cDCs is induced by pathogens, mediated by IL-12 and IFN-γ.

Batf, Batf2 and Batf3 cross-compensate in DCs and T cells

We asked if *Batf* could replace *Batf3* for *in vitro* cDC development^{7,21} (Fig. 3a). CD103⁺ Sirp-α⁻ cDCs do not develop in Flt3L-treated *Batf3*^{-/-} bone marrow (BM) cultures. Retroviral expression of *Batf3* into *Batf3*^{-/-} BM progenitors restored CD103⁺ cDC development. Notably, *Batf* fully and cell-intrinsically restored CD103⁺ Sirp-α⁻ cDC development, while *c-Fos* was inactive (Supplementary Fig. 3c). CD103⁺ cDCs restored by *Batf* and *Batf3 in vitro* were functional, showing features of mature CD103⁺ cDCs, including loss of Sirp-α and CD11b, upregulation of CD24, and selective production of IL-12 in response to *T. gondii* antigen (Supplementary Fig. 3c-d). Reciprocally, *Batf3* but not *c-Fos* restored cell-intrinsic IL-17a production by *Batf*^{-/-} T cells and CSR in *Batf*^{-/-} B cells (Supplementary Fig. 3e-f). Thus, *Batf* and *Batf3* can molecularly cross-compensate for several distinct lineage-specific functions, activities not shared by *c-Fos*.

We also identified *in vivo* compensation between *Batf* and *Batf3* in DCs. On the 129SvEv and BALB/c backgrounds, *Batf3*^{-/-} mice completely lack CD8α⁺ cDCs (Fig. 3b,

Supplementary Fig. 2a). In contrast, C57BL/6 $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice retain a 3–7% population of CD8 α^+ cDCs^{19,22} in spleen and unexpectedly retain a completely normal population of CD8 α^+ cDCs in skin-draining inguinal lymph nodes (ILNs) (Fig. 3b). These CD8 α^+ cDCs are functional, since C57BL/6 $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice showed robust priming of CD8 T cells against HSV infection in the footpad, while 129SvEv $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice, lacking CD8 α^+ cDCs in ILNs, did not (Supplementary Fig. 3g). However, C57BL/6 $Batf^{-/-}Batf3^{-/-}$ (BATF1/3DKO) mice lack CD8 α^+ DCs in ILNs and fail to prime T cells against HSV. Thus, retention of functional CD8 α^+ cDCs in ILNs of C57BL/6 $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice is Batf-dependent.

Batf and *Batf3* also compensate in expression of genes by T cells. IL-4 and IL-10 production were not substantially affected in either $Batf^{-/-}$ or $Batf3^{-/-}$ T_H2 cells (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Fig. 3i–k). However, IL-10 is reduced at least 8-fold in BATF1/3DKO T_H2 cells. Also, the inhibitory receptor CTLA-4 is partially reduced in $Batf^{-/-}$ T_H2 cells but reduced 3-fold further in BATF1/3DKO T_H2 cells. In contrast, IFN-γ production by T_H1 cells is unaffected by loss of Batf, Batf3 or both.

We asked if IL-12-induced restoration of CD8α⁺ cDCs in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice was due to compensation by *Batf* (Supplementary Fig. 3h). Restoration of splenic CD8α⁺ cDCs in IL-12-treated BATF1/3DKO mice was reduced to 5% from 11% in IL-12-treated *Batf3*^{-/-} mice. While *Batf* appears responsible for roughly half of the IL-12-induced restoration of CD8α⁺ cDCs in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice, some residual compensation remained in BATF1/3DKO mice. We asked if a third factor might compensate for *Batf* and *Batf3*. *Batf2* (SARI)²³ is closely related to *Batf* and *Batf3* and is induced by LPS and IFN-γ in macrophages and CD103⁺ DC populations (Supplementary Fig. 4a–c). We found that *Batf2* was induced by IFN-γ in WT and *Batf3*^{-/-} DCs derived from Flt3L-cultured BM (Supplementary Fig. 4d–e) and *in vivo* by IL-12 in DCs in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice (Supplementary Fig. 4f). Induction of *Batf2* by IFN-γ in cDCs made it a potential candidate to mediate IFN-γ-dependent compensation for *Batf3*.

We made $Batf2^{-/-}$ mice by targeting exons 1 and 2, eliminating Batf2 protein expression (Supplementary Fig. 5). $Batf2^{-/-}$ mice had normal development of NK, T and B cells, pDCs, neutrophils, resting cDCs, and peritoneal, liver and lung macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 6a–c). However, $Batf2^{-/-}$ mice displayed significantly decreased survival after infection by T. gondii (Pru) (Fig. 4a), although parasite burden and serum cytokines were similar to WT mice (Supplementary Fig. 7a–b). Notably, $Batf2^{-/-}$ mice showed significantly decreased numbers of lung-resident CD103+CD11b⁻ DCs and CD103⁻ CD11b⁻ macrophages after infection (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 7c). These changes were specific, since there were no differences in other myeloid subsets (Supplementary Fig. 7d-e) Thus, Batf2 plays a role in maintaining numbers of Batf3-dependent CD103⁺ DCs in the lung following infection with T. gondii.

We asked if $Batf^2$ could compensate for DC defects in $Batf^{3-/-}$ mice and for T and B cell defects in $Batf^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Fig. 8a-b). Like Batf, retroviral expression of $Batf^2$ restored development of CD103+Sirp- α - DCs in Flt3L-treated $Batf^{3-/-}$ BM. Unlike Batf and $Batf^3$, expression of $Batf^2$ did not restore T_H^{17} development $Batf^{-/-}$ T cells and only weakly restored CSR in $Batf^{-/-}$ B cells. Thus, $Batf^2$ selectively compensates for $Batf^3$

and *Batf3* in cDCs but not in T or B cells. We next examined *in vivo* IL-12-induced restoration of CD8α⁺ cDCs in *Batf2*^{-/-}, *Batf3*^{-/-} and *Batf2*^{-/-}Batf3^{-/-} (BATF2/3DKO) mice (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 8c). IL-12 treatment restored CD8α⁺ cDCs from <1% to >5% of total cDCs in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice, but this was significantly reduced to ~2% in BATF2/3DKO mice. This suggests that *Batf2* is responsible for roughly half of IL-12-induced CD8α⁺ cDC restoration in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice. We found similar results with *in vitro* CD103⁺ cDC development. While GM-CSF restored only CD103 and not DEC205 expression in Flt3L-treated *Batf3*^{-/-} BM cultures¹⁹, adding IFN-γ with GM-CSF restored DEC205⁺CD103⁺CD11b⁻ DCs (Supplementary Fig. 8d-e). We used this system to analyze compensation between *Batf*, *Batf2*, and *Batf3* (Supplementary Fig. 8d–e). Relative to WT BM, CD103⁺DEC205⁺CD11b⁻ cDCs were partially reduced in *Batf*^{-/-}, *Batf2*^{-/-} and *Batf3*^{-/-} singly-deficient BM, but were reduced to an even greater extent in both BATF1/3DKO and BATF2/3DKO BM relative to all single-deficient BM cultures. Collectively, these results suggest that *Batf* and *Batf2* both act in the cytokine-dependent rescue of CD8α⁺ cDC development in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice.

The BATF LZ domain interacts with IRF4 and IRF8

To understand BATF cross-compensation, we analyzed chimeric proteins containing fused domains of Batf, Batf2, and c-Fos (Supplementary Fig. 9). c-Fos inactivity could result from inhibitory actions of N- and C-terminal domains missing in Batf and Batf3 (Fig. 5a). However, removing these domains from c-Fos (Δ5′c-FosΔ3′) or fusing the Batf DBD with the c-Fos LZ (BBRFFΔ3′) failed to restore CD103+ cDC development (Fig. 5a), T_H17 development or CSR activity (Supplementary Fig. 10a–b). However, fusing the Batf LZ with the c-Fos DBD (Δ5′FFQBB) fully restored CD103+ cDC development in Ftl3L-treated *Batf3*^{-/-} BM cultures, fully restored CSR in *Batf*^{-/-} B cells and exhibited 20% of WT activity for restoring IL-17 production. Further, Batf with a C-terminal GFP (Batf-GFP) or c-Fos (BBBF) domain had >40% of WT Batf activity in all three assays (Supplementary Fig. 11). Thus, the LZ domain, not the DBD, determines BATF specificity.

Removing the Batf2 C-terminal domain (Batf2 DM) allowed for full restoration of CD103⁺ cDC development, but led to only partial restoration of CSR activity (~15%), and no restoration of T_H17 development (Supplementary Fig. 10c–h). Fusing the Batf DBD with the Batf2 LZ (B1HB2 DM) allowed for approximately 50% of WT Batf activity for CSR and T_H17 development in $Batf^{-/-}$ B and T cells. Fusing the Batf2 DBD with Batf LZ (B2QB1) allowed for 50% of WT Batf activity in CD103⁺ cDC development, but provided less than 5% WT Batf activity in T_H17 development. Thus, the Batf2 DBD restricts activity in T and B cells, but its LZ functions for all BATF lineage-specific activities.

A recent study²⁴ proposed that Irf4 and Batf may interact. Correspondence between the phenotypes of *Batf*^{-/-} with *Irf4*^{-/-} mice, lacking T_H17 development and CSR, and *Batf3*^{-/-} with *Irf8*^{-/-} mice, lacking CD8α⁺ cDC development (Supplementary Fig. 12)^{5–7,25–28}, suggested that Batf and Batf3 may cooperate with both Irf4 and Irf8. Further, ChIP-Seq analysis of Batf and Irf4 revealed coincident binding to composite AP-1 and IRF motifs (Li et al., In Press; Glasmacher et al, In Press). By analogy with the Ets-IRF composite elements (EICE)²⁹, the ΔP-1-IRF composite elements are designated as AICE (Glasmacher et al., In

Press; Li et al., In Press). Recalling the c-Fos LZ interaction with NF-AT that mediates cooperative binding to *Il2* regulatory regions³⁰, we therefore asked if the Batf LZ interacted with non-AP-1 factors, including Irf4.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) demonstrated interactions between BATF and both Irf4 and Irf8 (Fig. 5, Supplementary Figs. 13, 14). The Batf/Jun complex that formed on an AP-1 consensus probe^{1,2} was unchanged by addition of Irf4 or Irf8. Its abundance was increased by additional JunB (Supplementary Fig. 13a). However, using an AICE from the CTLA-4 locus, a slower mobility complex formed with addition of either *Irf4* or *Irf8*, which required the IRF consensus element (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 13b). This Batf/Jun/Irf4 complex required both Batf and IRF protein, and Irf4 was unable to bind the AICE1 probe without Batf (Fig. 5b). In contrast, Irf4 was able to bind to and Ets/IRF consensus elements³¹ (EICE) in the presence of PU.1 independently of Batf (Fig. 5b). The Batf/Jun/Irf4 complex had similar mobility to the Fos/Jun complex in activated cells, but could be distinguished by antibody supershifts (Supplementary Fig. 13c). Spatial constraints on the Batf/Irf4 interaction are suggested by lack of Batf/Irf4 complex formation on the AICE2 probe with reversed orientation of the AP-1 and IRF elements (Supplementary 13a, c).

The ability of chimeric Batf proteins to interact with Irf4 correlated with their functional activity (Fig. 5c). The active $\Delta 5'$ FFQBB interacted with Irf4, but the inactive $\Delta 5'$ c-Fos $\Delta 3'$ and BBRFF $\Delta 3'$ did not (Fig. 5c), although all three bound an AP-1 consensus (Supplementary Fig. 13d). A Batf/IRF complex also formed in B and T cells, requiring both the IRF and AP-1 consensus elements (Fig. 5d, Supplementary Fig. 14c), and involved contribution by endogenous Irf4 and Irf8 (Supplementary Fig. 14a, b, d). The Batf/IRF complex was completely absent in $Batf^{-/-}$ B cells, but was partially retained in single-deficient $Irf4^{-/-}$ or $Irf8^{-/-}$ B cell extracts (Supplementary Fig. 14a-b). Supershifts using anti-Irf4 and anti-Irf8 antibodies in $Irf4^{-/-}$ or $Irf8^{-/-}$ B cell nuclear extracts showed that the endogenous Batf/IRF complex is composed of a mixture of Irf4 and Irf8 (Supplementary Fig. 14b). Similarly, supershifts of primary T_H17 cells demonstrate an interaction between Batf and both Irf4 and Irf8 (Supplementary Fig. 14d).

We identified Batf LZ mutations which abrogated both function and Irf4 interactions (Fig. 5e–f). Positions b, c and f of the c-Fos LZ face away from the parallel coiled-coil of the Jun LZ and mediate interactions with NF-AT³⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 9d). Several Batf mutations in these positions had no effect on activity (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 9c). However, four residues together controlled nearly all BATF-specific activity (Fig. 5e, Supplementary 15). Batf L56A, Batf K63D and Batf E77K showed no reduction in CD103+cDC development, and retained >60% of WT Batf activity in CSR. In contrast, Batf H55Q activity was reduced by nearly 70% (Fig. 5e). Double mutants Batf K63D E77K and Batf H55Q L56A were reduced by 50% and 75%, but quadruple mutant Batf H55Q L56A K63D E77K had less than 10% of WT Batf activity in CD103+cDC development (Fig. 5e). This loss of activity was specific since two other quadruple mutants, Batf E59Q D60Q K63D E77K and Batf K63D R69Q K70D E77K, maintained >50% of WT Batf activity (Supplementary Fig. 15c–d). A similar pattern was seen for CSR in *Batf*^{-/-} B cells (Supplementary Fig. 15b). The activity of these mutants correlated with Irf4 interaction (Fig. 5f). WT Batf and functional mutant K63D E77K, and both functional quadruple mutants

formed a complex with Irf4, whereas the less active Batf H55Q and Batf H55Q L56A, and the completely inactive Batf H55Q L56A K63D E77K did not, although all were stably expressed and could bind an AP-1 site (Supplementary 13e–f).

Discussion

We uncovered a cytokine-driven pathway for expansion of functional CD8 α^+ cDCs occurring physiologically during infection by intracellular pathogens. This pathway relies on functional compensation for *Batf3* provided by *Batf* and *Batf2* through a shared specificity defined by the BATF LZ domain to support CD8 α^+ cDC and T_H17 development, and CSR in B cells. This compensatory pathway of CD8 α^+ cDCs development may provide a basis for augmenting therapeutic immune responses. The basis of this shared specificity is the LZ interaction with non-AP-1 factors, including Irf4 and Irf8, extending the repertoire of AP-1 interactions beyond the recognized association of Fos with NF-AT³⁰. The ability of both Batf and Batf3 to support both Irf4- and Irf8-dependent lineage activities implies that the specificity for gene activation is determined largely by the IRF factors. An important next step will be to determine how regulatory elements discriminate between these distinct complexes.

Online Methods

Generation of Batf2-/- mice

The targeting construct was assembled by Gateway recombination cloning system (Invitrogen). To construct pENTR loxFRT rNEO, a floxed PGK– neo^r (phosphoglycerate kinase promoter - neomycin phosphotransferase) gene cassette (1982 bp) was excised from the pLNTK targeting vector³⁴ using SalI and XhoI. After incubation with Easy-A cloning enzyme (Stratagene) and dNTPs to generate 3′-A overhangs, the PGK– neo^r cassette was ligated into the multiple cloning site of pGEM-T Easy (Promega). The resulting 2022 bp PGK– neo^r gene cassette was released using NotI and ligated into the 2554 bp backbone of NotI digested pENTR lox-Puro³⁵. Flippase Recognition Target (FRT) sites were sequentially inserted at the SacI and HindIII sites using DNA fragments generated by following annealing oligonucleotides: SacII-FRT-A

 $(GAAGTTCCTATTCCGAAGTTCCTATTCTCTAGAAAGTATAGGAACTTCCGC) \ and \\ SacII-FRT-B$

 $(GGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGGAATAGGAACTTCGC), \ or \ HindIII-FRT-A$

 $(AGCTTGAAGTTCCTATTCCGAAGTTCCTATTCTCTAGAAAGTATAGGAACTTC) \\ and \\ HindIII-FRT-B$

(AGCTGAAGTTCCTATACTTTCTAGAGAATAGGAACTTCGGAATAGGAACTTCA). To construct pENTR-Batf2-5HA, the 5′ homology arm was generated by PCR from genomic DNA using the following oligonucleotides, which contain attB4 and attB1r site: GGGGACAACTTTGTATAGAAAAGTTGGCAGGCTGAAGCAGGGACAC, and GGGGACTGCTTTTTTGTACAAACTTGCCCTAGCACACCCAGTTTCAGTTTC. The attB4-attB1r PCR fragment was ligated into pDONR(P4-P1R) plasmid (Invitrogen) by BP recombination reaction. To construct pENTR-Batf2-3HA, the 3′ homology arm was generated by PCR from genomic DNA using the following oligonucleotides which contain

attB2 and attB3site:

GGGGACAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTGGGCAGTCCCAGCATGACCCAGCCCCAGCATGA CCCAGCATCTGC, and

Primers used for genotyping PCR are shown as follows: KO screen forward, 5'-GAAACTGAAACTGGGTGTGCTAGGG-3'; KO screen reverse, 5' CGCCTTCTTGACGAGTTCTTCTGAG-3'; WT screen reverse, 5'-GCCTTCCTTGTCTCTCCATAGCG-3'.

Generation of Batf2-specific rabbit polyclonal antibody

Murine full-length Batf2 cDNA was cloned into pET-28a (+) expression vector (Novagen) to generate recombinant Batf2 protein with His tagged. Recombinant Batf2 was then expressed using *Escherichia coli* BL21 (Invitrogen). Purified recombinant Batf2 was used to immunize New Zealand White rabbits (Harlan), and rabbit anti-mouse Batf2 sera were collected and tested by ELISA and Western Blot analysis.

Pathogen infections

Listeria monocytogenes and T. gondii infections were carried out as previously described^{36,37}. The type II avirulent Prugniaud (Pru) strain of T. gondii expressing a firefly luciferase and GFP transgene (provided by J. Boothroyd, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA) was used for infections of $Batf2^{-/-}$ mice for the experiments shown in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 7. Briefly, 800 tachyzoites were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into mice. For Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) infections, mice were infected with the KOS strain subcutaneously (s.c.) with 1.5×10^5 PFU/mouse in the foot pad. Viral supernatant and HSV peptide were provided by M. Colonna. For Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) infections, Erdman strain was grown to a density of 8×10⁶ CFU/ml, and mice were exposed to aerosol infection for 40 minutes using a Glas-Col aerosol exposure system (AES). After 24 hours, two mice per group were sacrificed, and lungs were harvested to determine infection efficiency, which was about 100 CFU/lung. Lungs and spleens were collected at 1, 3 and 9 weeks post infection. The left lobe of the lung and one third of the spleen were used for histological examination upon formalin fixation. The right lobe of the lung and two thirds of the spleen were divided into CFU analysis and FACS analysis. For CFU analysis, organs were homogenized and plated at various dilutions on 7H-10 culture plates, and after

three weeks, colonies were counted. For FACS analysis, organs were prepared as described³⁸. For lungs, Ficoll gradient purification was performed prior to surface staining. All samples were fixed upon staining for 20 minutes in 4% formalin. Serum was collected by retro-orbital bleeding at week 1, 2, 3 and 6 and decontaminated by double filtering through a 0.2mm filter.

Bioluminescence Imaging

Imaging was done as previously described³⁹. In brief, mice were injected intraperitoneally with D-luciferin (Biosynth AG, Switzerland) at 150 mg/kg and allowed to remain active for 5 minutes before being anesthetized with 2% isoflurane for 5 minutes. Animals were than imaged with a Xenogen IVIS200 machine (Caliper Life Sciences). Data were analyzed with the Living Image software (Caliper Life Sciences).

In vitro T cell restimulation after HSV infection

One week after infection, spleens were harvested and restimulated with the HSV peptide HSV-gB2 (498-505) (Anaspec). Briefly, 2×10^6 splenocytes were restimulated for 5 hours in the presence of Brefeldin A at 1 µg/mL and analyzed by FACS for intracellular IFN- γ and TNF- α production as described later.

In vitro cross-presentation

DC cross-presentation of antigen to CD8⁺ OT-I T cells was assessed as previously described⁴⁰. Briefly, Spleens from naïve, vehicle or IL12-treated WT or *Batf3*^{-/-} mice were digested with collagenase B (Roche) and DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). CD11c+ DCs were obtained by negative selection using B220, Thy1.2, and DX5 microbeads followed by positive selection with CD11c microbeads by MACS purification (Miltenyi Biotec). CD8a⁺ and CD4⁺ cDCs were cell sorted on a FACSAria II flow cytometer (post-sort purity >96%). Splenocytes from K^{b-/-}D^{b-/-}β₂m^{-/-} mice were prepared in serum-free medium, loaded with 10 mg/ml ovalbumin (EMD) by osmotic shock, and irradiated (13.5 Gy) as described previously⁴⁰. OT-I T cells were purified from OT-I/Rag2^{-/-} mice by CD11c and DX5 negative selection, followed by positive selection with CD8a microbeads (purity >96%). T cells were fluorescently labeled by incubation with 1 µM CFSE (Sigma-Aldrich) for 9 min at 25°C at a density of 2×10^7 cells/ml. For the cross presentation assay, $5 \times 10^4 - 10^5$ purified DCs were incubated with $5 \times 10^4 - 10^5$ CFSE-labeled OT-I T cells in the presence of increasing numbers of irradiated, ovalbumin-loaded $K^{b-/-}D^{b-/-}\beta_2m^{-/-}$ splenocytes (5 × 10^3 to 1×10^5). After 3 days, OT-I T cell proliferation was analyzed by CSFE dilution gating on CD3⁺ CD8⁺ CD45.1⁺ cells.

Tumor transplantation

MCA-induced fibrosarcomas were derived from 129/SvEv strain $Rag2^{-/-}$ or WT mice as described previously⁴¹. Tumor cells were propagated *in vitro* and 10^6 tumor cells (129SvEv fibrosarcoma tumor line H31m1 or D42m1) were injected s.c. in a volume of 150 µl endotoxin-free PBS into the shaved flanks of naïve or IL12 conditioned (as described above) wild type (WT) $Batf3^{-/-}$ and $Rag2^{-/-}$ recipient mice as described previously⁴⁰. Injected

cells were >90% viable as assessed by trypan blue exclusion. Tumor size was measured on the indicated days and is presented as the mean of two perpendicular diameters.

Tumor harvest

WT and naïve or IL12 treated $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice were inoculated with the H31m1 fibrosarcoma tumor line. 11 days after, tumors were removed, minced and treated with 1µmg/ml type A collagenase (Sigma) in HBSS (Hyclone) for 1h at 37°C. Cell suspension was analyzed by FACS for CD8 T cell recruitment at the tumor site gating on CD45⁺, Thy1.2⁺ and CD8 α ⁺ cells.

Quantitative RT-PCR

For gene expression analysis, RNA was prepared from various cell types with either RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) or RNeasy Micro kit (QIAGEN), and cDNA was synthesized with Superscript III reverse transcription (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR and a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions, with the Quantitation Standard-Curve method and HotStart-IT SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Affymetrix/USB). PCR conditions were 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 two-step cycles consisting of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. Primers used for measurement of *Batf2* expression are as follows: Batf2 qRT forward, 5′-

GGCAGAAGCACCAGTAAGG-3'; Batf2 qRT reverse, 5'-

GAAGGCGTGGTTCTGTTTC-3'. Hprt was used as normalization control, and primers used are as follows: Hprt forward, 5'-TCAGTCAACGGGGACATAAA-3'; and Hprt reverse, 5'-GGGGCTGTACTGCTTAACCAG-3'.

DC preparation

Dendritic cells from lymphoid organs and non-lymphoid organs were harvested and prepared as described 38 . Briefly, spleens, MLNs, SLNs (inguinal), and liver were minced and digested in 5 ml Iscove's modified Dulbecco's media + 10% FCS (cIMDM) with 250 µg/ml collagenase B (Roche) and 30 U/ml DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at 37°C with stirring. Cells were passed through a 70-µm strainer before red blood cells were lysed with ACK lysis buffer. Cells were counted on a Vi-CELL analyzer, and $5-10\times10^6$ cells were used per antibody staining reaction. Lung cell suspensions were prepared after perfusion with 10 ml Dulbeccos PBS (DPBS) via injections into the right ventricle after transection of the lower aorta. Dissected and minced lungs were digested in 5ml cIMDM with 4mg/ml collagenase D (Roche) for 1 h at 37°C with stirring. Cells from the peritoneal cavity were collected by washing the peritoneal cavity with 10 ml HBSS+2%FCS and 2mM EDTA.

Bone marrow derived DC and macrophage

Bone marrow (BM)-derived DCs were generated from BM with 100 ng/mL of recombinant Flt3L as described⁴⁰. BM-derived macrophages were generated from BM with 20 ng/mL of recombinant M-CSF (Peprotech) for 7 days, and rested in cIMDM without M-CSF for 24 hours before stimulation with various conditions as described in the figure legends.

Preparation of T. gondii lysate antigen (STAg)

T gondii antigen was prepared by lysing tachyzoites of Pru strain in foreskin fibroblast cultures through two cycles of freeze-thaw in liquid nitrogen. Lysate was then filtered, aliquoted at a concentration of 1 mg/ml in PBS and stored at –80 degree. For DC stimulation, 0.05 ug/ml were used and intracellular IL12 production was analyzed by FACS.

Cytokine induced CD8a+ DCs

WT and $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice bone marrow was prepared as described above. GM-CSF (10ng/ml) and or IFN- γ (0.1ng/ml) was added to the cultures between day 8 and 10. Cells were analysed two days after cytokine addition.

Antibodies and flow cytometry

Staining was performed at 4° C in the presence of Fc Block (anti CD16/32 clone 2.4G2, BioXCell) in FACS buffer (DPBS + 0.5% BSA + 2 mm EDTA). The antibodies used for DC analysis were as recently described⁴². Cells were analyzed on BD FACSCanto II or FACSAria II and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree star, Inc.).

Intracellular Cytokine Staining

For intracellular cytokine staining, cells were surface stained, then fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 4° C, permeabilized in DPBS + 0.1% BSA + 0.5% saponin, and stained for intracellular cytokines as previously described⁴³. Additional antibodies included anti-TNF- α (MP6-XT22) and anti-IFN- γ (XMG1.2) from BioLegend.

ELISA and CBA

IL-12p40 concentration was measured from serum samples with the Mouse IL-12p40 OptEIA ELISA set (BD Bioscience) according to the manufacturer instructions. The concentration of inflammatory cytokines was measured in the serum with the BD CBA Mouse Inflammation Kit (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with FCAP Array software (Soft Flow, Inc. USA).

Statistical analysis

Differences between groups in survival were analyzed by the log-rank test. Analysis of all other data was done with an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t test with a 95% confidence interval (Prism; GraphPad Software, Inc.). P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. *0.01 < p < 0.05, **0.001 < p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001.

Expression microarray analysis

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the Ambion RNAqueous-Micro Kit. For Mouse Genome 430 2.0 Arrays, RNA was amplified, labeled, fragmented, and hybridized using the 3' IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix). Data were normalized and expression values were modeled using DNA-Chip analyzer (dChip) software (www.dChip.org)⁴⁴. Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Arrays, RNA was amplified with the WT Expression Kit (Ambion) and labeled, fragmented, and hybridized with the WT Terminal Labeling and Hybridization Kit (Affymetrix). Data was processed using RMA quantile normalization and expression values

were modeled using ArrayStar software (DNASTAR). All original microarray data have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO.

CD4+ T cell cultures

CD4+ T cells were purified using DynaBeads FlowComp mouse CD4 kit (Invitrogen) and were activated on α CD3/ α CD28 coated plates under the following culture conditions: T_H1 conditions: α IL4 10µg/ml (11B11, BioXcell) 0.1µg/ml IFN γ (Peprotech), 10u/ml IL12, IL2 40u/ml; T_H2 conditions: α IL12 10µg/ml (Tosh, BioXCell), α IFN γ 10µg/ml (XMG1.2, BioXCell), 10ng/ml IL4 (Peprotech), IL2 40u/ml; T_H17 conditions: IL-6 25ng/ml (Peprotech), TGF β 2ng/ml (Peprotech), IL1 β 10ng/ml (Peprotech), α IL4 10 µg/ml (11B11, BioXCell), α IFN γ 10µg/ml (XMG1.2, BioXCell), and α IL12 10µg/ml (Tosh, BioXCell). IL2 (40u/ml) was added for the T_H17 cultures in Supplementary Figure 12a. Cells were diluted three fold in fresh media on day 3. On day 5 cells were activated with PMA/ ionomycin for analysis of cytokines and surface markers by FACS. For some experiments, cells were restimulated on day 7 under the same conditions and analyzed 5 days later.

Retroviral analysis of BATF functional activity

For CD8 α^+ DC development, BM was cultured with Flt3L as described⁴⁰, infected with retrovirus and 2µg/ml polybrene on day 1, and analyzed for development of cDCs (CD11c⁺ B220⁻) on day 10.

CD4⁺ T cells were cultured under T_H17 conditions^{43,45} and infected with retrovirus and $6\mu g/ml$ polybrene on day 1. Cells were analyzed on day 5 for cytokine expression by intracellular staining. For some experiments, cells were restimulated on day 7 under the same conditions and analyzed 5 days later.

For analysis of class switch recombination, B220 cells purified by α B220 Macs microbeads (Miltenyi) were cultured with LPS and IL4 as described⁴⁶, infected with retrovirus and 6µg/ml polybrene on day 1, and analyzed for switching to IgG₁ on day 4 by FACS.

For infection of primary mouse cells, GFP-RV⁴⁷ containing cDNAs for transcription factors, chimeric proteins and mutated Batf were transfected into Phoenix E cells as described previously⁴⁸. Viral supernatants were collected 2 days later and concentrated by centrifugation⁴⁹. Cells were infected with viral supernatants by spin infection at 1800 rpm for 45 min at room temperature.

Electromobility shift assays (EMSA)

For stable expression in 293FT cells, retroviruses (GFP-RV containing Batf, chimeric proteins or Batf mutants, and/or truncated hCD4-RV⁴⁷ containing Irf4 or Irf8 cDNA) were packaged in Phoenix A cells and concentrated by centrifugation⁴⁹. Infected 293FT cells were sorted for retroviral marker expression and when indicated were transiently transfected with JunB-GFP-RV using calcium phosphate. For transient expression, 293FT cells were transfected with retroviral constructs using calcium phosphate. Nuclear extracts were prepared 48hrs after transfection. For 293FT cells, nuclei were obtained after cellular lysis

with Buffer A containing 0.2% NP40 and nuclear extracts in Buffer C were dialyzed against buffer D as described⁵⁰. Nuclear extracts from T and B cells were prepared as described⁴⁶.

EMSA was as described⁵¹ using 3μg of nuclear extract, 1mg poly dIdC (Sigma) and 7%T 3.3%C polyacrylamide gels. For competition assays, extracts were incubated with excess unlabelled competitor DNA for 20 min before addition of labeled probe. For supershifts, extracts were incubated with antibodies (αc-Fos (4) X (Santa Cruz) (for 293FT cells) or αc-Fos 2G9C3 (Abcam) (for mouse T cells), αIrf4 (H- 140) X (Santa Cruz), αIrf8 (ICSBP) C-19) X (Santa Cruz), αJun B (C-11) X (Santa Cruz) and rabbit αBatf ⁴³, rabbit αBatf2 (described above), and rabbit αBatf3 (KC et al, submitted) for 1hr on ice prior to addition of labeled probe and incubation at room temperature for 35minutes. The following pairs of oligonucleotides were annealed to generate probes which were labeled with ³²P-dCTP using Klenow polymerase. The AP1consensus binding site is underlined. The Irf consensus binding site is in italics. Mutated bases are in lower case.

AP1: 5'GATCAGCTTCGCTTGA<u>TGAGTCA</u>GCCGG/ 5'GATCCGGC<u>TGACTCA</u>TCAAGCGAAG

AICE1 (from 3rd intron of mouse CTLA4): 5′CTTGCCTTAGAGG*TTTC*GGGA<u>TGACTAA</u>TACTGTA/ 5′TCACGTACAGT<u>ATTAGTCA</u>TCCC*GAAA*CCTCTAAGG

AICE1 M1 (mutates the Irf consensus binding site): 5'CTTGCCTTAGAGGccaCGGGA<u>TGACTAA</u>TACTGTA/5'TCACGTACAGTATTAGTCATCCCGtggCCTCTAAGG

AICE M2 (mutates the AP-1 consensus binding site):

5'CTTGCCTTAGAGGTTTCGGGAgacCTAATACTGTA/ 5'TCACGTACAGTATTAGgtcTCCCGAAACCTCTAAGG

AICE2 (from 3rd intron of IL23R): 5′GATGTTTCAGG*GAAA*GCAC<u>TGACTCA</u>CTGGCTCTCCA/ 5′GGTGGAGAGCCAGTGAGTCAGTGC*TTTC*CCTGAAA

EICE⁵²: 5'GAAAAAGAGAAATAAAAGGAAGT*GAAA*CCAAG/ 5'GATCCTTGG*TTTC*ACTTCCTTTTATTTCTCTTT

Eq: 5'TCGACATTTTTCTGATTGGTTAAA/5'GACTTTTAACCAATCAGAAAAATG

Plasmids

cDNAs for *Batf*, *Batf*2, *Batf*3, *Irf*8 and *JunB* were generated by PCR from primary cells. MigR1 containing HA tagged Irf4 was from H. Singh (Genentech). HA-Irf4 and Irf8 cDNAs were subcloned into hCD4-RV⁴⁷. Mutations in *Batf* were generated using QuickChange mutagenesis with Batf -GFP-RV as the template. cDNAs for chimeric proteins were generated by overlap extension and PCR and cloned into GFP-RV.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, National Institutes of Health (AI076427-02) and Department of Defense (W81XWH-09-1-0185) (K.M.M.), the American Heart Association (12PRE8610005) (A.S.), German Research Foundation (AL 1038/1-1) (J.C.A), American Society of Hematology Scholar Award and Burroughs Welcome Fund Career Award for Medical Scientists (B.T.E.), and Cancer Research Institute predoctoral fellowship (W.L.). We thank the ImmGen consortium³², Mike White for blastocyst injections and generation of mouse chimeras, the Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center at Washington University School of Medicine for use of the Center for Biomedical Informatics and Multiplex Gene Analysis Genechip Core Facility. The Siteman Cancer Center is supported in part by the NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA91842. IL-12 was a gift from Pfizer.

References

- 1. Dorsey MJ, et al. B-ATF: a novel human bZIP protein that associates with members of the AP-1 transcription factor family. Oncogene. 1995; 11:2255–2265. [PubMed: 8570175]
- Wagner EF, Eferl R. Fos/AP-1 proteins in bone and the immune system. Immunol Rev. 2005; 208:126–140. [PubMed: 16313345]
- 3. Williams KL, et al. Characterization of murine BATF: a negative regulator of activator protein-1 activity in the thymus. Eur J Immunol. 2001; 31:1620–1627. [PubMed: 11466704]
- Echlin DR, Tae HJ, Mitin N, Taparowsky EJ. B-ATF functions as a negative regulator of AP-1 mediated transcription and blocks cellular transformation by Ras and Fos. Oncogene. 2000; 19:1752–1763. [PubMed: 10777209]
- Schraml BU, et al. The AP-1 transcription factor Batf controls T(H)17 differentiation. Nature. 2009; 460:405–409. [PubMed: 19578362]
- 6. Ise W, et al. The transcription factor BATF controls the global regulators of class-switch recombination in both B cells and T cells. Nat Immunol. 2011
- 7. Hildner K, et al. Batf3 deficiency reveals a critical role for CD8alpha+ dendritic cells in cytotoxic T cell immunity. Science. 2008; 322:1097–1100. [PubMed: 19008445]
- 8. Edelson BT, et al. Peripheral CD103+ dendritic cells form a unified subset developmentally related to CD8alpha+ conventional dendritic cells. J Exp Med. 2010; 207:823–836. [PubMed: 20351058]
- 9. Betz BC, et al. Batf coordinates multiple aspects of B and T cell function required for normal antibody responses. J Exp Med. 2010; 207:933–942. [PubMed: 20421391]
- Mashayekhi M, et al. CD8a+ Dendritic Cells Are the Critical Source of Interleukin-12 that Controls Acute Infection by Toxoplasma gondii Tachyzoites. Immunity. 2011; 35(2):249–259. [PubMed: 21867928]
- Bar-On L, et al. CX3CR1+ CD8alpha+ dendritic cells are a steady-state population related to plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:14745–14750. [PubMed: 20679228]
- Behnke MS, et al. Virulence differences in Toxoplasma mediated by amplification of a family of polymorphic pseudokinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:9631–9636. [PubMed: 21586633]
- 13. Ginhoux F, et al. The origin and development of nonlymphoid tissue CD103+ DCs. J Exp Med. 2009; 206:3115–3130. [PubMed: 20008528]
- 14. Modlin RL, Barnes PF. IL12 and the human immune response to mycobacteria. Res Immunol. 1995; 146:526–531. [PubMed: 8839157]
- 15. den Haan JM, Lehar SM, Bevan MJ. CD8(+) but not CD8(-) dendritic cells cross-prime cytotoxic T cells in vivo. J Exp Med. 2000; 192:1685–1696. [PubMed: 11120766]
- Wilson NS, et al. Systemic activation of dendritic cells by Toll-like receptor ligands or malaria infection impairs cross-presentation and antiviral immunity. Nat Immunol. 2006; 7:165–172. [PubMed: 16415871]

17. Aliberti J, et al. Essential role for ICSBP in the in vivo development of murine CD8alpha + dendritic cells. Blood. 2003; 101:305–310. [PubMed: 12393690]

- Tailor P, Tamura T, Morse HC, Ozato K. The BXH2 mutation in IRF8 differentially impairs dendritic cell subset development in the mouse. Blood. 2008; 111:1942–1945. [PubMed: 18055870]
- Edelson BT, et al. Batf3-dependent CD11b(low/-) peripheral dendritic cells are GM-CSFindependent and are not required for Th cell priming after subcutaneous immunization. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6:e25660. [PubMed: 22065991]
- Marquis JF, Lacourse R, Ryan L, North RJ, Gros P. Disseminated and rapidly fatal tuberculosis in mice bearing a defective allele at IFN regulatory factor 8. J Immunol. 2009; 182:3008–3015.
 [PubMed: 19234196]
- Jackson JT, et al. Id2 expression delineates differential checkpoints in the genetic program of CD8alpha(+) and CD103(+) dendritic cell lineages. EMBO J. 2011; 30:2690–704. [PubMed: 21587207]
- 22. Edelson BT, et al. CD8a+ Dendritic Cells Are an Obligate Cellular Entry Point for Productive Infection by Listeria monocytogenes. Immunity. 2011; 35:236–248. [PubMed: 21867927]
- Su ZZ, et al. Cloning and characterization of SARI (suppressor of AP-1, regulated by IFN). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:20906–20911. [PubMed: 19074269]
- 24. Ravasi T, et al. An atlas of combinatorial transcriptional regulation in mouse and man. Cell. 2010; 140:744–752. [PubMed: 20211142]
- 25. Brustle A, et al. The development of inflammatory T(H)-17 cells requires interferon-regulatory factor 4. Nat Immunol. 2007; 8:958–966. [PubMed: 17676043]
- 26. Klein U, et al. Transcription factor IRF4 controls plasma cell differentiation and class-switch recombination. Nat Immunol. 2006; 7:773–782. [PubMed: 16767092]
- 27. Tamura T, Ozato K. ICSBP/IRF-8: its regulatory roles in the development of myeloid cells. J Interferon Cytokine Res. 2002; 22:145–152. [PubMed: 11846985]
- 28. Sciammas R, et al. Graded expression of interferon regulatory factor-4 coordinates isotype switching with plasma cell differentiation. Immunity. 2006; 25:225–236. [PubMed: 16919487]
- 29. Brass AL, Zhu AQ, Singh H. Assembly requirements of PU. 1-Pip (IRF-4) activator complexes: inhibiting function in vivo using fused dimers. EMBO J. 1999; 18:977–991. [PubMed: 10022840]
- 30. Chen L, Glover JN, Hogan PG, Rao A, Harrison SC. Structure of the DNA-binding domains from NFAT, Fos and Jun bound specifically to DNA. Nature. 1998; 392:42–48. [PubMed: 9510247]
- 31. Eisenbeis CF, Singh H, Storb U. Pip, a novel IRF family member, is a lymphoid-specific, PU. 1-dependent transcriptional activator. Genes Dev. 1995; 9:1377–1387. [PubMed: 7797077]
- 32. Heng TS, Painter MW. The Immunological Genome Project: networks of gene expression in immune cells. Nat Immunol. 2008; 9:1091–1094. [PubMed: 18800157]
- 33. Ranganath S, et al. GATA-3-dependent enhancer activity in IL-4 gene regulation. J Immunol. 1998; 161:3822–3826. [PubMed: 9780146]
- 34. Gorman JR, et al. The Ig(kappa) enhancer influences the ratio of Ig(kappa) versus Ig(lambda) B lymphocytes. Immunity. 1996; 5:241–252. [PubMed: 8808679]
- 35. Iiizumi S, et al. Simple one-week method to construct gene-targeting vectors: application to production of human knockout cell lines. Biotechniques. 2006; 41:311–316. [PubMed: 16989091]
- 36. Edelson BT, et al. CD8a+ Dendritic Cells Are an Obligate Cellular Entry Point for Productive Infection by Listeria monocytogenes. Immunity. 2011; 35:236–248. [PubMed: 21867927]
- 37. Mashayekhi M, et al. CD8a+ Dendritic Cells Are the Critical Source of Interleukin-12 that Controls Acute Infection by Toxoplasma gondii Tachyzoites. Immunity. 2011; 35:249–259. [PubMed: 21867928]
- 38. Edelson BT, et al. Peripheral CD103+ dendritic cells form a unified subset developmentally related to CD8alpha+ conventional dendritic cells. J Exp Med. 2010; 207:823–836. [PubMed: 20351058]
- Saeij JP, Boyle JP, Grigg ME, Arrizabalaga G, Boothroyd JC. Bioluminescence imaging of Toxoplasma gondii infection in living mice reveals dramatic differences between strains. Infect Immun. 2005; 73:695–702. [PubMed: 15664907]

40. Hildner K, et al. Batf3 deficiency reveals a critical role for CD8alpha+ dendritic cells in cytotoxic T cell immunity. Science. 2008; 322:1097–1100. [PubMed: 19008445]

- 41. Matsushita H, et al. Cancer exome analysis reveals a T-cell-dependent mechanism of cancer immunoediting. Nature. 2012; 482:400–404. [PubMed: 22318521]
- 42. Satpathy AT, et al. Zbtb46 expression distinguishes classical dendritic cells and their committed progenitors from other immune lineages. J Exp Med. 2012; 209:1135–1152. [PubMed: 22615127]
- 43. Schraml BU, et al. The AP-1 transcription factor Batf controls T(H)17 differentiation. Nature. 2009; 460:405–409. [PubMed: 19578362]
- 44. Li C, Wong WH. Model-based analysis of oligonucleotide arrays: expression index computation and outlier detection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001; 98:31–36. [PubMed: 11134512]
- 45. Bending D, et al. Highly purified Th17 cells from BDC2.5NOD mice convert into Th1-like cells in NOD/SCID recipient mice. J Clin Invest. 2009
- 46. Ise W, et al. The transcription factor BATF controls the global regulators of class-switch recombination in both B cells and T cells. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12:536–543. [PubMed: 21572431]
- 47. Ranganath S, et al. GATA-3-dependent enhancer activity in IL-4 gene regulation. J Immunol. 1998; 161:3822–3826. [PubMed: 9780146]
- 48. Sedy JR, et al. B and T lymphocyte attenuator regulates T cell activation through interaction with herpesvirus entry mediator. Nat Immunol. 2005; 6:90–98. [PubMed: 15568026]
- Kanbe E, Zhang DE. A simple and quick method to concentrate MSCV retrovirus. Blood Cells Mol Dis. 2004; 33:64–67. [PubMed: 15223013]
- 50. Dignam JD, Lebovitz RM, Roeder RG. Accurate transcription initiation by RNA polymerase II in a soluble extract from isolated mammalian nuclei. Nucleic Acids Res. 1983; 11:1475–1489. [PubMed: 6828386]
- 51. Szabo SJ, Gold JS, Murphy TL, Murphy KM. Identification of cis-acting regulatory elements controlling interleukin-4 gene expression in T cells: roles for NF-Y and NF-ATc [published erratum appears in Mol Cell Biol 1993 Sep;13(9):5928]. Mol Cell Biol. 1993; 13:4793–4805. [PubMed: 8336717]
- 52. Eisenbeis CF, Singh H, Storb U. Pip, a novel IRF family member, is a lymphoid-specific, PU. 1-dependent transcriptional activator. Genes Dev. 1995; 9:1377–1387. [PubMed: 7797077]




Figure 1. Intracellular pathogens or IL-12 restore lymphoid CD8 α^+ cDCs and tissue-resident CD103+ cDCs in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice

a, Wild type (WT) and *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) 129SvEv mice were uninfected (CTL) or infected with Mtb, and spleens harvested and analyzed by FACS at the indicated time. Histograms for indicated markers are gated as autofluorescent⁻MHCII^{high}CD11c⁺ cells. Numbers are percent of cells in the gate. **b**, Serum IL-12 was measured from individual mice (**a**) at the indicated time. **c**, Wild type (WT) and *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) 129SvEv mice were treated with vehicle (PBS) or IL-12 (IL12) and analyzed by FACS after 3 days as in (**a**).




Figure 2. IL-12-induced CD8 α^+ cDCs in $Batf3^{-/-}$ mice can cross-present and mediate tumor rejection

a, From mice in Fig. 1c, DCs were purified by sorting as CD3⁻DX5⁻MHCII⁺CD11c⁺Sirp- α ⁻CD24⁺DEC205⁺ DCs (CD8DC) and CD3⁻DX5⁻MHCII⁺CD11c⁺Sirp- α ⁺CD24⁻DEC205⁻ DCs (CD4DC) and assayed for cross-presentation⁷. OT-I proliferation in response to cDCs mixed with the indicated number of MHC class I-deficient ovalbumin (Ova)-loaded splenocytes is shown. **b**, Wild type (WT) or *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) mice treated with vehicle (PBS) or with IL-12 (IL12) were inoculated with 1×10⁶ H31m1 fibrosarcomas. Tumor size in individual mice is shown. **c**, Mice in (**b**) were analyzed by FACS 11 days after H31m1 inoculation for CD8 T cell infiltration into tumors⁷.




Figure 3. *Batf* compensates for CD8α⁺ cDC development in *Batf3*^{-/-} mice **a**, Wild type (WT), or *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3KO) BM cells were infected with GFP-RV³³ (Empty) or retrovirus expressing the indicated cDNA and cultured with Flt3L⁷. Histograms for the indicated markers are for B220⁻CD11c⁺ cells on day 10. Numbers are the percent of cells in the gate. **b**, Inguinal lymph nodes from WT, *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) or *Batf*^{-/-}*Batf3*^{-/-} mice (BATF1/3 DKO) on 129SvEv or C57BL/6 backgrounds were analyzed by FACS. Shown are histograms for DEC205 and CD8α. **c**, CD4 T cells of the indicated genotype were differentiated twice under T_H2 conditions⁵ and analyzed by FACS for intracellular IL-10.




Figure 4. Batf2 compensates for Batf3 in CD8a+ and CD103+ cDC development during T. gondii infection

a, Wild-type (WT) and *Batf2*^{-/-} (BATF2KO) mice were infected with *T. gondii* and monitored for survival. n=29 for WT (dashed line) and *Batf2*^{-/-} (solid line) mice. **b**, Shown are percentages of lung CD103⁺ DCs of total CD45.2⁺ cells for uninfected and infected (T. gondii) mice on day 10. n=5 from one of three experiments. **c**, WT or *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3KO) BM cells were infected with the indicated retrovirus, cultured with Flt3L and analyzed by FACS on day 10. **d**, Groups of 5 mice each of the indicated genotypes were treated with

vehicle (PBS) or IL-12 (IL12) and analyzed by FACS after 3 days. Shown are percentages of CD8 α ⁺ cDCs as a total of splenic cDCs.




Figure 5. BATF leucine zipper interactions with non-AP-1 factors mediate lineage-specific actions

a, Structures of chimeric proteins are shown below a diagram of c-Fos. DNA binding domain (DB), hinge (H), leucine zipper (LZ), amino- (5') and carboxy-terminus (3'). Flt3L-treated WT or *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) BM infected with the indicated retrovirus were analyzed after 10 days. **b**, 293FT cells expressing both *Batf* and *Irf4* (upper panel) or *Batf* and *Irf4* as indicated (lower panel) were analyzed by EMSA with the indicated probes and antibodies **c**, 293FT cells expressing Irf4 (+) and the indicated Batf chimera were analyzed by EMSA with the AICE1 probe. **d**, B cells were analyzed by EMSA with the indicated probe and competitor oligonucleotides (comp). **e**, *Batf3*^{-/-} (BATF3 KO) BM infected with the indicated Batf retroviruses s encoding Batf were analyzed as in (**a**). **f**, 293FT cells expressing the indicated Batf mutants were analyzed by EMSA with the AICE1 probe.