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Network effects between consumers and advertisers.

- Consumers: Choose how much to use the yellow page directory $j$, given the advertisements contained.
- Advertisers:Choose how much ads to place in directory $j$ given the usage.
- Publishers try to internalize the network externality by choosing the optimal price.


## Nested Logit

utility function of consumer $i$ for product $j$ in category $g$.

$$
u_{i j}=\delta_{j}+\zeta_{i g}+(1-\sigma) \epsilon_{i j}
$$

- $\delta_{j}$ : deterministic component of utility.
- $\zeta_{i g}$ :group $g$ specific preference shock. Common shock of all products within group $g$.
- $\epsilon_{i j}$ : individual idiosyncratic taste shock for product $j$, i.i.d. extreme value distributed.
- $\zeta_{i g}+(1-\sigma) \epsilon_{i j}$ : i.i.d. extreme value distributed as well.


## Nested Logit formula:

Within group conditional share of product $j$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{j \mid g}=\frac{e^{\left(\delta_{j} /(1-\sigma)\right)}}{D_{g}} \\
D_{g} \equiv \sum_{j \in G} e^{\left(\delta_{j} /(1-\sigma)\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Group share among all products:

$$
s_{g}=\frac{D_{g}^{1-\sigma}}{\sum_{h \in G} D_{h}^{1-\sigma}}
$$

Together:

$$
s_{j}=s_{j \mid g} s_{g}=\frac{e^{\left(\delta_{j} /(1-\sigma)\right)}}{D_{g}^{\sigma}\left[\sum_{h \in G} D_{h}^{1-\sigma}\right]}
$$

and outside option of not buying anything is:

$$
s_{0}=\frac{1}{\sum_{h \in G} D_{h}^{1-\sigma}}
$$

Hence,

$$
\log \left(s_{j}\right)-\log \left(s_{0}\right)=\delta_{j} /(1-\sigma)-\sigma \log \left(D_{g}\right)
$$

Then, use

$$
\log \left(s_{j \mid g}\right)=\delta_{j} /(1-\sigma)-\log D_{g}
$$

to get

$$
\log \left(s_{j}\right)-\log \left(s_{0}\right)=\delta_{j}+\sigma \log \left(s_{j \mid g}\right)
$$

## The Model

Consumer Choice Problem: Utility Function of consumer $i$ for yellow page directory $j$.

$$
U_{i j}=\alpha_{2} \ln \left(A_{j}\right)+X_{j}^{U} \beta^{U}+\xi_{j}+\zeta_{i, Y P}(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \epsilon_{i j}
$$

- $A_{j}$ : advertisement
- $x_{j}$ : demographic characteristics.
- $\xi_{j}$ : unobserved directory characteristics.
- $\zeta_{i, Y P}$ :individual preference shock for yellow pages.
- $\epsilon_{i j}$ : individual idiosyncratic taste shock for yellow page directory $j$.
- $\epsilon_{i j}$ : i.i.d. extreme value distributed.
- $\zeta_{i, Y P}(\sigma)+(1-\sigma) \epsilon_{i j}$ : i.i.d. extreme value distributed. $\zeta_{i, Y P}$ is the common shock among all the yellow page directories.

Then, the shares of yellow page $j$ is

$$
\ln \left(s_{j}\right)-\ln \left(s_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2} \ln \left(A_{j}\right)+X_{j}^{U} \beta^{U}+\sigma \ln \left(s_{j \mid Y P}\right)+\zeta_{j}
$$

Share of directory $j$ among yellow pages $s_{j \mid Y P}$ is know, but not the unconditional share of yellow page $s_{j}$, or outside option $s_{0}$

Directory usage:

$$
U_{j}=M s_{j}
$$

where $M$ is constant.

## Demand for Advertising

Advertiser places $a_{j}$ ads in $j=1, \ldots, J$ yellow page directories given the total ads being $A_{j}, j=1, \ldots, J$. Its profit:

$$
\Pi=\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left[\widehat{\pi}_{j} a_{j}^{\gamma_{1}} A_{j}^{\gamma_{2}} U_{j}^{\alpha_{1}}-P_{j} a_{j}\right]
$$

Optimal advertising:

$$
a_{j}=\left(\frac{P_{j}}{\gamma_{1} \hat{\pi}_{j} A_{j}^{\gamma_{2}} U_{j}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}-1}}
$$

Aggregating $m a_{j}=A_{j}$

$$
A_{j}=\left(\frac{P_{j}}{\gamma_{1} \pi_{j} A_{j}^{\gamma_{2}} U_{j}^{\alpha_{1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma_{1}-1}}
$$

where $\pi_{j}=\widehat{\pi}_{j} / m^{\gamma_{1}-1}$

Inverse demand curve:

$$
P_{j}=\gamma_{1} A_{j}^{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}-1} U_{j}^{\alpha_{1}} \pi_{j}
$$

with the error term $\nu_{j}$ added for estimation

$$
\ln \left(P_{j}\right)=\gamma \ln \left(A_{j}\right)+\alpha_{1} \ln \left(U_{j}\right)+X_{j}^{P} \beta^{P}+\nu_{j}
$$

## Publisher of the Phone Directory

Profit maximization: $K(j)$ : set of yellow page directories owned by the publisher.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Max}_{A_{j}} \sum_{k \in K(j)} P_{k}\left(A_{k}, U_{k}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{J}\right)\right) A_{k}-M C_{j} A_{j} \\
M C_{j}=X_{j}^{C} \beta^{C}+\omega_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Derive $M C$ by using the F.O.C.

$$
M R_{j}=M C_{j}
$$

Notice that parameters of inverse demand function $P_{k}()$ is recovered from the advertiser's equation, and parameters of usage function $U_{k}$ is recovered from the consumers' problem.

## Estimation:

## Consumer Choice:

$$
\ln \left(s_{j}\right)-\ln \left(s_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2} \ln \left(A_{j}\right)+X_{j}^{U} \beta^{U}+\sigma \ln \left(s_{j \mid Y P}\right)+\zeta_{j}
$$

- Data: Usage rate for each yellow page directory: get $s_{j \mid Y P}$, and usage $U_{j}=M s_{j}$. Get $s_{j}$ by setting $M$. Demographic controls
- Endogeneity of $A_{j}$ : IV: number of people covered by a directory. Does not enter in $X_{j}^{U}$.
Endogeneity of $\ln \left(s_{j \mid Y P}\right)$ : square mileage of the distribution area of a directory. Larger area means less competition from neighboring directory


## Inverse Demand for Advertising

$$
\ln \left(P_{j}\right)=\gamma \ln \left(A_{j}\right)+\alpha_{1} \ln \left(U_{j}\right)+X_{j}^{P} \beta^{P}+\nu_{j}
$$

- Endogeneity of $U_{j}$ : Instrument: number of people who recently moved. \% Switched county, \% switched state, \% in same house.
- Endogeneity of $A_{j}$ : Instrument: local wages, dummy for printing facilities used.


## Publisher First Order Condition:

$$
M R_{j}=M C_{j}=X_{j}^{C} \beta^{C}+\omega_{j}
$$

## Estimation Results:

Usage Equation

| Advertising $\alpha_{2}$ | 0.154 | $(0.131)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma$ | 0.803 | $(0.079)$ |

Advertising Price Equation

| Advertising $\gamma$ | -0.729 | $(0.193)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usage $\alpha_{1}$ | 0.564 | $(0.131)$ |

Marginal Cost Equation

| Population Coverage | 0.437 | $(0.116)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings Per Worker | 0.003 | $(0.014)$ |
| Bell South | -0.631 | $(0.529)$ |
| GTE | 0.612 | $(0.129)$ |

- Network Effects: $\alpha_{1}>0, \alpha_{2}>0$
- $\sigma$ close to 1. Not much product differentiation in yellow pages.


## Model Analysis

Pages

| Equilibrium 418 $(110)$ <br> Classical Social Optimum 1,784 $(506)$ <br> Social Optimum 3,039 $(1,511)$ <br> Surplus (\$000)   <br> Equilibrium 25,525 $(23,054)$ <br> Classical Social Optimum 30,515 $(25,439)$ <br> Social Optimum 36,788 $(32,535)$ <br> Dead Weight Loss $(\$ 000)$   <br> Classical Social Optimum 4,920 $(2,541)$ <br> Social Optimum 6,273 $(7,725)$ |
| :---: |

Classical Social Optimum: Social planner chooses optimal advertisement but takes usage as given.

## Deadweight Loss:

$$
\int_{A_{e}}^{A_{o}} P_{j}\left(A_{j}, U\left(A_{e}\right)\right) d A_{j}-\left(A_{o}-A_{e}\right) M C
$$

Network Social Optimum: Includes change in usage rate.

$$
\int_{0}^{A^{*}} P_{j}\left(A_{j}, U\left(A^{*}\right)\right) d A_{j}
$$

Network Deadweight Loss:

$$
\int_{0}^{A^{*}} P_{j}\left(A_{j}, U\left(A^{*}\right)\right) d A_{j}-\int_{0}^{A_{o}} P_{j}\left(A_{j}, U\left(A_{e}\right)\right) d A_{j}-\left(A^{*}-A_{e}\right) M C
$$

## Entry:

- Duopoly higher advertising per firm than monopoly: competitive phone book market ( $\sigma$ high) drives down price of advertising, and increases advertising.
- Negative network effects: usage per phone book decreases. With further entry, advertising per phone book decreases.
- Welfare increase due to competition outweighs the network effect.
- Not much utility increase due to increase in numbers of phone books.
- Large increase in social surplus with more number of firms.

TABLE 7
Equilibrium for different numbers of competitors

| No. of competitors | Advertising (pages) | Refs./HH/mth. |  | Price (\$) (DQC ad) |  | Profits (\$)* |  | Advertiser surplus* (1 directory) |  | Total surplus* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 613 (578) | $4 \cdot 10$ | (0.69) | 2136 | (1207) | 5.16 | (1.60) | 21.45 | (17.07) | 26.61 | (19.67) |
| 2 | 707 (606) | 2.38 | (0.38) | 1416 | (794) | 2.85 | (1.00) | 16.40 | (13.10) | 38.50 | (29.45) |
| 3 | 624 (533) | 1.68 | (0.28) | 1273 | (736) | 1.97 | (0.79) | 13.03 | (10.53) | 45.00 | (35.06) |
| 4 | 549 (470) | 1.30 | (0.22) | 1212 | (712) | 1.53 | (0.68) | 10.91 | (8.94) | 49.74 | (39.39) |
| 5 | 490 (420) | 1.07 | (0.19) | 1178 | (699) | 1.26 | (0.60) | 9.45 | (7.85) | 53.55 | (43.01) |
| 6 | 443 (381) | 0.91 | (0.16) | 1156 | (690) | 1.08 | (0.55) | 8.38 | (7.05) | 56.79 | (46.18) |
| 7 | 405 (349) | 0.79 | (0.15) | 1141 | (684) | 0.95 | (0.50) | 7.57 | (6.43) | 59.62 | (49.02) |

*Profits and surplus are in millions. Profits and surplus are computed assuming there are no fixed costs of production.
Standard errors are in parenthesis.

TABLE 8
Private returns vs. social returns

| $c$ <br> No. of <br> competitors | Surplus increase <br> minus profits (\%) <br> (no fixed costs) | Profits <br> (incl. fixed costs) |  | Surplus <br> increase (\%) <br> (incl. fixed costs) | Adjusted surplus <br> increase (\%) <br> (incl. fixed costs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 | 0.76 | $(0.17)$ | 1.80 | $(1.15)$ | 0.42 | $(0.11)$ | 0.26 |
| 3 | 0.70 | $(0.22)$ | 0.92 | $(0.98)$ | 0.15 | $(0.06)$ | 0.07 |
| 4 | 0.68 | $(0.25)$ | 0.48 | $(0.90)$ | 0.09 | $(0.04)$ | 0.03 |
| 5 | 0.67 | $(0.26)$ | 0.21 | $(0.85)$ | 0.06 | $(0.03)$ | 0.01 |
| 6 | 0.67 | $(0.27)$ | 0.03 | $(0.82)$ | 0.05 | $(0.03)$ | 0.00 |
| 7 | 0.66 | $(0.27)$ | -0.10 | $(0.80)$ | 0.04 | $(0.03)$ | -0.01 |

Surplus increase minus profits (\%) is (incsurp $(k, k-1)-\operatorname{prof}(k)) / \operatorname{incsurp}(k, k-1)$.
Surplus increase $(\%)$ is $\operatorname{incsurp}(k, k-1) / \operatorname{surp}(k-1)$ where $\operatorname{surp}(k)$ equals surplus generated by $k$ competitors. $\operatorname{incsurp}(k, k-1)=\operatorname{surp}(k)-\operatorname{surp}(k-1)$. prof $(k)$ is profit when there are $k$ competitors. Adjusted surplus is computed ignoring the upper tip of the demand curve. Standard errors are in parenthesis.

