Complete chemical analyses of metamorphic hornblendes: implications for normalizations, calculated H_2O activities, and thermobarometry*

Michael A. Cosca^{1,**}, Eric J. Essene¹, and John R. Bowman²

¹ Department of Geological Sciences, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1063, USA
² Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

Received January 18, 1990 / Accepted March 28, 1991

Abstract. Twenty-two hornblendes separated from amphibolites and granulites of the Grenville Orogen of Ontario have been quantitatively analyzed for major and minor elements by electron microprobe, for FeO/Fe_2O_3 by wet chemistry, and for H₂O by manometric measurement as H₂. Hornblende formulae were calculated on the basis of 24 O + OH + Cl + F. Most samples are magnesio-hornblendes, ferroan pargasitic hornblendes and ferroan hastingsitic hornblendes, with weight fractions of $Fe^{3+}/(Fe^{2+}+Fe^{3+})$ ranging from 0.15 to 0.50. An oxy-amphibole component of 0-25 mol%, with an average value of 17 mol%, is obtained for these complete analyses. When compared with structural formulae determined solely from microprobe data, normalization based on 13 = Si + Ti + Al + Fe + Mn + Mg cations provides the best approximation to hornblende formulae calculated from the complete analyses. Less satisfactory agreement is obtained from a normalization scheme based on 15=Si+Ti+Al+Fe+Mn+Mg+Ca, while worst agreement is obtained from normalization to 23 oxygens assuming all Fe is Fe²⁺. No normalization scheme based on microprobe data alone consistently replicates the measured FeO, Fe₂O₃, and H₂O; accurate determination of these values requires complete chemical analyses. Ionic solution models previously have been proposed to evaluate the activity of Ca2Mg5Si8 $O_{22}(OH)_2$ (a_{Trem}) in hornblende for use in equilibria that constrain the activity of $H_2O(a_{H,O})$ in igneous and metamorphic rocks. Application of ionic models to typical hornblendes produces low a_{Trem} (usually <0.01), consequently yielding extremely low a_{H_2O} . If an oxy-amphibole component is present, the calculated a_{Trem} and H₂O is further reduced. An oxy-amphibole component of 25% reduces the calculated H₂O activity and that of any hydroxyl-amphibole component by 50% below that

calculated with simplified assumptions regarding X_{OH} in the hydroxyl site (i.e., $X_{OH} = 1$, or $X_{OH} = 1 - X_{CI} - X_F$). Thus, methods of amphibole normalizations appear to have a substantial effect on calculated amphibole and H₂O activites. Before *quantitative* hornblende thermobarometry can be calibrated and applied, the amounts of FeO, Fe₂O₃ and H₂O must be measured in order to fully characterize hornblende solid solutions.

Introduction

Hornblende is commonly present in many high-grade metamorphic rocks, including mafic to felsic granulites which are generally thought to have equilibrated under conditions of $P_{\rm H_2O} < P_{\rm total}$ (e.g., Bohlen et al. 1980; Valley et al. 1983, 1990; Edwards and Essene 1988; Lamb and Valley 1988). The composition of hornblende may ultimately be used to constrain the metamorphic $P_{\rm H,O}$ if accurate formulae and activity-composition (a - X) relations are available for hornblende solid solutions. While realistic a - X models for complex minerals such as hornblende have yet to be developed, it is possible to determine accurate hornblende formulae if complete chemical analyses are available. However, most petrologic studies that include hornblende in calculations of phase equilibria are based on hornblende formulae derived from incomplete electron-microprobe analyses.

Because hornblendes usually contain some ferric iron, as well as ferrous iron and hydroxyl ion, accurate hornblende formulae require accurate measurements or reliable estimates of these ions (Leake 1968). Before electron-microprobe analyses became commonplace, hornblende compositions were determined by a variety of wet chemical techniques on mineral separates that usually included measurement of SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, FeO, MnO, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O and H₂O, in addition to measurements of Cl₂ and F₂ (e.g., Leake 1968). Such analyses of hornblende usually accounted for the significant cations and anions, and a formula was calcu-

Offprint requests to: M.A. Cosca

^{*} Contribution No. 478 from the Mineralogical Laboratory, University of Michigan

^{**} Current address: Université de Lausannc, Institut de Minéralogie et Petrographie BFSH2, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

lated on the basis of 24=O+OH+Cl+F (e.g., Deer et al. 1963). With the advent of modern electron microprobes, wet chemical analyses of mineral separates have become exceedingly rare, as data can be obtained rapidly with much less effort; nearly all recent studies report hornblende formulae estimated from electron-microprobe data alone. A serious shortcoming of the electron microprobe when used to analyze complex OH- and iron-bearing minerals, such as hornblende, is its inability to measure H₂O and to discern Fe²⁺ from Fe³⁺. Nevertheless, most electron-microprobe analyses of hornblende continue to be published with structural formulae reported on the basis of 23 oxygens assuming that all Fe is Fe²⁺ (for careful discussions of the limitations of this method, cf. Stout 1972; Robinson et al. 1982).

In a recent experimental study, Clowe et al. (1988) measured the Fe₂O₃/FeO ratio of natural amphiboles annealed at 750° C in air and 650° C at 1 kbar, showing that this ratio varies with the f_{O_2} of the experiment and is experimentally reversible. The well known reaction is:

$$2 \text{ Fe}^{2+}(\text{OH})_2 \text{ (in amphibole)} = 2 \text{ Fe}^{3+}O(\text{OH}) \text{ (in amphibole)} + \text{H}_2$$

which is a measure of f_{O_2} only at fixed f_{H_2O} . Although Clowe et al. (1988) did not determine directly the H₂O contents of their amphiboles, variation in Fe₂O₃/FeO is related to concomitant variation in O/OH by the above reaction. However, the OH estimated in their amphiboles is likely to have systematic errors as the starting materials were assumed to carry stoichiometric amounts of OH, and the amounts of OH in the run products were calculated relative to the assumed OH in the starting materials. As yet the Fe₂O₃/FeO ratio in natural amphiboles cannot be related to the f_{H_2}/T at the time of last equilibration without a direct determination of O/OH and a comprehensive mixing model.

We report complete chemical analyses of 22 metamorphic hornblendes that were separated from amphibolites and tonalitic gneisses. The hornblende formulae determined on the basis of 24=O+OH+Cl+F are compared to formulae calculated using electron-microprobe data alone. The common method of normalizing microprobe data to 23 oxygens while assuming that all Fe is Fe²⁺ is shown to have large systematic errors, and more reliable cation normalization schemes are suggested. Moreover, based on the inferred OH, F, Cl, and O occupancies in the OH sites of the hornblendes, we conclude that H₂O activities calculated from hornblende equilibria may be grossly in error even if the accuracies of the solution models for hornblendes can otherwise be improved.

Analytical techniques

The hornblendes examined in this study initially were purified for a geochronological investigation using organic heavy-liquid, magnctic-separation, and hand-picking techniques (Cosca et al. 1991). Based on optical evaluation, the purity of the mineral separates was judged to be >99%. In a few samples, mineral inclusions of quartz, plagioclase or ilmenite were identified with the aid of backscattered electron imaging, but they constitute <1% of the total volume of sample.

Electron-microprobe analyses

Quantitative electron-microprobe analyses were performed on polished grain mounts of the separated hornblendes. Wavelength-dipersive analyses were obtained using the Cameca CAMEBAX electron microprobe at the University of Michigan. Standard operating conditions consisted of a focused electron beam, an accelerating potential of 15 kV, and a sample current of 10 nA. the following well-characterized standards were used for the analyses: fluor-topaz (F), Irving Kakanui hornblende (Na and Mg), Ingamells almandine (Al and Si), synthetic alforsite (Cl), Gotthard adularia (K), ANU wollastonite (Ca), synthetic geikielite (Ti), ANU rhodonite (Mn) and synthetic ferrosilite (Fe). Counting times were set to 30 s for major elements, and up to 50 s for minor elements. Raw data were corrected for atomic number, absorption, and fluorescence using Cameca (PAP) software.

Analyses of ferric iron contents

The Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratios were determined for the hornblende separates following the procedures of Wilson (1955). Ammonium metavanadate was added to the hornblende to oxidize all FeO to Fc₂O₃, and the amount of FeO in the sample was determined indirectly by measuring the amount of oxidant reduced. Approximately 0.1 g of NH₄VO₃ was added to 0.2 g of hornblende and dissolved overnight in approximately 10 ml of concentrated HF. To this solution 30 ml of 10 N H₂SO₄, 10 ml of Ba-diphenylaminesulphonate indicator, and approximately 250 ml of saturated H_3BO_3 solution were added. The amount of V⁵⁺ remaining in solution was determined by titrating this solution with a calibrated solution of ferrous ammonium sulphate, and the amount of FeO in the hornblende was calculated from mass balance. The amount of Fe₂O₃ was calculated from the difference between the total iron as determined on the electron microprobe and the FeO as determined by wet chemical methods. Replicate analyses of FeO were perforemd on all samples with a precision of $\pm 2-5\%$ of the amount present.

Alternatively, the amounts of Fe^{2+} and Fc^{3+} could have been obtained on bulk samples of hornblende with Mossbauer spectroscopy (e.g., Hawthorne 1983). Spear (1982) gave comparisons of FeO and Fe_2O_3 obtained by Mossbauer methods and stoichiometric estimates that are in fair agreement. Unfortunately, given the multiplicity of possible sites containing Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , and the scrious overlaps among the Mossbauer absorption peaks, hornblende is not an ideal mineral for Mossbauer measurements. Additional tests of independently analyzed hornblendes are needed before Mossbauer spectroscopy should be accepted as yielding accurate measurements of total FeO and Fe_2O_3 in hornblende.

Water and D/H determinations

The H₂O content and δD value of each hornblende was determined using a hydrogen-isotope-extraction line at the University of Utah following the general technique of Friedman (1953). A small amount of hornblende (ca. 0.1 g) was first dried under vacuum at 100° C to remove adsorbed (non-structural) water, and then it was fused under vacuum to liberate all structural water. The structural water was collected and reduced to H₂ over uranium metal at approximately 800° C (Bigeleisen et al. 1952). The amount of H₂ was measured with a standardized Hg manometer and the D/H ratios measured by standard mass-sepctrometry techniques. The precision of H₂O determinations for this study, based on replicate analyses, is $\pm 2\%$. This method is greatly preferred over the traditional method of analysis which involves fusion and trapping of released H₂O (Penfield 1894), because part of the OH released from hornblende upon heating is driven off as H₂. The H₂ would not be trapped unless first thoroughly oxidized by a flux such as PbO or PbCrO₄, but such oxidants are not always used even

474

Table 1. Hornblende analyses including measured FeO, Fe₂O₃, H₂O and D/H ratios

	FA86-1	FA8610	FA86-3	FA86-6	FKL-6	HL8610	HL8611	HL862C	HL86-3	HL86-6	MIN863
SiO ₂	40.94	44.60	41.70	41.45	41.79	40.88	42.37	42.80	45.18	44.85	43.67
TiO ₂	2.69	0.62	1.43	2.56	2.00	1.05	0.97	0.59	0.74	0.33	1.00
$Al_2 \tilde{O}_3$	13.28	11.58	10.07	11.56	12.87	11.34	11.76	11.80	9.63	11.38	11.02
Fe_2O_3	3.14	3.83	5.65	3.19	3.89	6.61	5.66	6.74	4.84	5.96	3.79
FeO	13.14	14.62	15.13	14.74	12.99	18.11	11.44	11.24	12.51	9.68	14.24
MnO	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.18	0.15	0.59	0.32	0.72	0.38	0.34	0.35
MgO	10.01	9.03	8.38	8.92	10.17	5.23	10.30	10.07	10.90	11.45	9.27
CaO	10.57	11.77	11.74	11.50	11.28	10.95	11.30	11.69	11.41	11.61	11.79
Na ₂ O	3.12	1.34	1.61	1.53	2.06	1.68	2.09	1.49	1.52	1.40	1.37
K ₂ O	1.04	0.11	1.66	1.88	1.31	1.35	1.22	1.12	0.42	0.25	1.52
H_2O	1.43	1.87	1.46	1.45	1.43	1.69	1.74	1.88	2.02	1.98	1.71
F_2	0.05	0.08	0.35	0.40	0.18	0.12	0.35	0.18	0.05	0.22	0.31
Cl ₂	0.46	0.06	0.52	0.27	0.02	0.01	0.31	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.13
$O = F_2$	0.02	0.03	0.15	0.17	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.07	0.02	0.09	0.13
$O = Cl_2$	0.10	0.01	0.12	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
Sum	100.01	99.75	99.75	99.41	100.06	99.55	99.60	100.26	99.58	99.35	100.01
$\delta^2 H_{SMOW}$	-96	-80	-102	-86	-65	-95	-95	-86	84	-81	-80

Table 1 (continued)

	MIN864	MR86-1	MR865A	MR86-8	PSM4-1	SSA-10	SAS-13	SSA-4	SSA-5	SSA-7	SSA-8
SiO ₂	44.53	41.07	38.71	42.90	44.17	42.84	42.65	42.38	41.95	42,46	42.08
TiO ₂	0.91	2.17	0.99	0.64	1.11	1.80	1.41	0.75	0.72	1.04	1.93
Al_2O_3	10.15	12.96	10.43	11.52	11.45	11.25	12.69	14.41	11.59	13.12	12.10
Fe_2O_3	6.10	3.99	7.49	8.14	3.88	4.15	5.26	4.10	6.41	4.96	4.25
FeO	11.31	14.88	23.83	9.14	12.92	12.63	8.83	12.29	14.16	11.36	14.55
MnO	0.33	0.09	0.62	0.40	0.26	0.26	0.31	0.26	0.47	0.25	0.17
MgO	10.40	8.16	1.09	10.60	10.15	10.49	12.26	9.60	8.49	9.92	8.76
CaO	11.59	11.62	10.28	11.52	11.11	11.65	11.63	11.99	11.46	11.53	11.42
Na ₂ O	1.48	1.63	1.83	1.70	1.80	1.52	1.57	1.30	1.65	1.27	1.51
K ₂ O	1.21	1.87	1.96	1.46	0.43	1.48	1.73	1.21	1.59	1.06	1.69
H ₂ O	1.78	1.49	1.78	2.11	1.64	1.44	1.41	1.88	1.68	1.86	1.49
F22	0.15	0.08	0.33	0.16	0.05	0.29	1.04	0.14	0.42	0.16	0.25
Cl ₂	0.08	0.02	0.15	0.08	0.47	0.15	0.02	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.03
$O = F_2$	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.07	0.02	0.12	0.44	0.06	0.18	0.07	0.11
$O = Cl_2$	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01
Sum	99.92	99.99	99.35	100.29	99.31	99.80	100.36	100.33	100.44	98.95	100.11
$\delta^2 H_{SMOW}$	- 59	-88	-83	-82	- 84	-88	-68	- 58	-63	-62	-94

in modifications of the Penfield method (Fairbairn 1951; Shapiro and Brannock 1956). Furthermore, the Penfield method requires 2 gm of sample, which increases the likelihood of analyzing an impure separate. Other comparisons with the techniques used here suggest that the Penfield method is far less precise (JR O'Neil personal communication 1990).

Analytical results

Chemical and isotopic analyses of the hornblendes including measured FeO, Fe_2O_3 , H_2O , and D/H ratios are shown in Table 1. The electron-microprobe data represent average compositions based on at least ten individual analyses. The amphibole formulae in Tables 2–5 were generated with the Minfile program of Afifi and Essene (1989). There is no significant compositional variation between cores and rims of these hornblendes based on electron-microprobe analyses of elements with Z > 8. The formulae in Table 2 were normalized on the basis of 24 = O + OH + CI + F using the measured FeO, Fe_2O_3 and H_2O . Following the terminology of Leake (1978) most of the hornblendes are magnesio-hornblendes, ferroan hastingsitic hornblendes, and ferroan pargasitic hornblendes; their weight fractions of $Fe_2O_3/$ $(FeO + Fe_2O_3)$ range from 0.15 to 0.50, revealing that ferric iron is indeed at significant levels in these hornblendes (Fig. 1). A considerable oxy-hornblende component is present in some of the hornblendes, where as much as 25% of the OH site is occupied by O (Table 2). While the possibility of grain to grain variations or zoning in the oxy-amphibole content of an individual hornblende sample cannot be tested with bulk analytical techniques, the optical properties of oxy-amphibole are significantly at variance with the equivalent unoxidized and

Table 2. Structural formulae calculated on the basis of O + OH + CI + F = 24; includes measured FeO, Fe₂O₃ and H₂O

	FA86-1	FA8610	FA86-3	FA86-6	FKL-6	HL8610	HL8611	HL862C	HL86-3	HL86-6	MIN863
T sites											
Si	6.17	6.64	6.41	6.32	6.27	6.36	6.35	6.37	6.70	6.59	6.56
Al	1.83	1.36	1.59	1.68	1.73	1.64	1.65	1.63	1.30	1.41	1.44
M1, 2,	3 sites										
Al	0.52	0.67	0.23	0.40	0.55	0.44	0.43	0.44	0.39	0.56	0.51
Ti	0.30	0.07	0.17	0.29	0.23	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.11
Fc ³⁺	0.36	0.43	0.65	0.37	0.44	0.77	0.64	0.75	0.54	0.66	0.43
Fe ²⁺	1.54	1.79	1.94	1.88	1.49	2.36	1.43	1.40	1.53	1.19	1.79
Mn	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.04
Mg	2.24	2.00	1.92	2.03	2.28	1.21	2.30	2.24	2.41	2.51	2.07
Ca	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.05
M4 site	e										
Fe	0.12	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00
Ca	1.71	1.88	1.93	1.88	1.81	1.82	1.82	1.87	1.81	1.83	1.90
Na	0.17	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.10
A site											
Na	0.74	0.29	0.41	0.33	0.56	0.33	0.42	0.30	0.27	0.22	0.29
K	0.20	0.02	0.33	0.37	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.21	0.08	0.05	0.29
Sum	0.94	0.31	0.74	0.70	0.81	0.60	0.65	0.51	0.35	0.27	0.58
Anion	sites										
0	22.42	22.09	22.20	22.26	22.48	22.19	22.02	22.05	21.98	21.95	22.11
ОН	1.43	1.85	1.50	1.48	1.43	1.75	1.73	1.87	2.00	1.95	1.71
F	0.03	0.04	0.17	0.19	0.08	0.06	0.17	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.15
Cl	0.12	0.02	0.13	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03

Table 2 (continued)

	MIN864	MR86-1	MR865A	MR86-8	PSM4-1	SSA-10	SAS-13	SSA-4	SSA-5	SSA-7	SSA-8
T sites											
Si	6.62	6.24	6.26	6.34	6.60	6.44	6.27	6.27	6.34	6.35	6.36
Al	1.38	1.76	1.74	1.66	1.40	1.56	1.73	1.73	1.66	1.65	1.64
M1, 2, 3	sites										
Al	0.40	0.57	0.24	0.35	0.61	0.43	0.47	0.78	0.41	0.66	0.52
Ti	0.10	0.25	0.12	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.22
Fe ³⁺	0.68	0.46	0.91	0.91	0.44	0.47	0.58	0.46	0.73	0.56	0.48
Fe ²⁺	1.41	1.87	3.22	1.13	1.54	1.51	1.06	1.52	1.79	1.42	1.79
Mn	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02
Mg	2.31	1.85	0.26	2.34	2.26	2.35	2.69	2.12	1.91	2.21	1.97
Ca	0.06	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00
M4 site											
Fe	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05
Ca	1.85	1.89	1.78	1.82	1.78	1.88	1.83	1.90	1.86	1.85	1.85
Na	0.15	0.08	0.22	0.18	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.10
A site											
Na	0.27	0.40	0.35	0.31	0.38	0.39	0.31	0.27	0.34	0.21	0.34
Κ	0.23	0.36	0.41	0.28	0.08	0.28	0.33	0.23	0.31	0.20	0.33
Sum	0.50	0.76	0.76	0.59	0.46	0.67	0.64	0.50	0.65	0.41	0.67
Anion s	ites										
0	22.14	22.45	21.87	21.82	22.22	22.38	22.13	22.05	22.10	22.06	22.37
OH	1.77	1.51	1.92	2.08	1.64	1.44	1.39	1.86	1.70	1.85	1.50
F	0.07	0.04	0.17	0.08	0.02	0.14	0.48	0.06	0.20	0.08	0.12
Cl	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01

Table 3. Structural formulae calculated on the basis of 23 O - 0.5 (F + Cl)

	FA86-1	FA8610	FA86-3	FA86-6	FKL-6	HL8610	HL8611	HL862C	HL86-3	HL86-6	MIN863
Calcula	ted Fe ₂ O ₃ , F	FeO ^a , H ₂ O ^b ,	and adjuste	d total							
Fe ₂ O ₃ FcO H ₂ O Total	0.00 15.97 1.85 100.12	0.00 18.06 1.95 99.44	0.00 20.21 1.64 99.35	0.00 17.61 1.70 99.35	0.00 16.50 1.91 100.16	0.00 24.06 1.84 99.04	0.00 16.53 1.73 99.02	0.00 17.31 1.89 99.60	0.00 16.86 1.97 99.04	0.00 15.03 1.90 98.67	0.00 17.65 1.80 99.72
T sites											
Si Al	6.16 1.84	6.69 1.31	6.47 1.53	6.34 1.66	6.27 1.73	6.44 1.56	6.44 1.56	6.47 1.53	6.79 1.21	6.69 1.31	6.60 1.40
M1, 2, 3	3 sites										
Al Ti Fe ³⁺	0.51 0.30 0.00	0.73 0.07 0.00 2.14	0.31 0.17 0.00 2.54	0.42 0.29 0.00 2.22	0.54 0.23 0.00	0.54 0.12 0.00	0.55 0.11 0.00	0.57 0.07 0.00	0.49 0.08 0.00	0.69 0.04 0.00	0.57 0.11 0.00
re Mn Mg	0.03 2.24	0.04 3.02	0.04 1.94	0.02 1.97	0.02 2.27	0.08 1.23	0.04 2.36	0.09 2.27	0.05 2.44	0.04 2.55	0.04 2.09
M4 site											
Fe Ca Na	0.10 1.70 0.19	0.13 1.88 0.00	0.08 1.92 0.00	0.03 1.88 0.09	0.13 1.81 0.06	0.15 1.85 0.01	0.13 1.84 0.03	0.19 1.81 0.00	0.18 1.82 0.00	0.19 1.81 0.00	0.04 1.91 0.04
A site											
Ca Na K Sum	0.00 0.72 0.20 0.92	0.01 0.39 0.02 0.42	0.04 0.48 0.33 0.85	0.00 0.37 0.37 0.74	0.00 0.54 0.25 0.79	0.00 0.50 0.27 0.77	0.00 0.59 0.24 0.83	0.08 0.44 0.22 0.74	0.02 0.44 0.08 0.54	0.05 0.40 0.05 0.50	0.00 0.36 0.29 0.35
Anion s	ites										
O OH F Cl	22.00 1.85 0.03 0.12	22.00 1.94 0.04 0.02	22.00 1.69 0.17 0.14	22.00 1.74 0.19 0.07	22.00 1.91 0.08 0.01	22.00 1.94 0.06 0.00	22.00 1.75 0.17 0.08	22.00 1.92 0.08 0.00	22.00 1.98 0.02 0.00	22.00 1.89 0.11 0.00	22.00 1.82 0.15 0.03

* All Fe assumed as FeO

^b Calculated from normalized formula

hydrated amphibole (Deer et al. 1963). The failure to detect obvious variations in the extinction angle and in pleochroism is therefore consistent with little zoning in the oxy-amphibole content. The oxy-hornblende contents in different hornblendes appear to reflect original variations in the oxidation environment in which these various hornblendes equilibrated during metamorphism. The amount of oxy-hornblende component present in these samples suggests that the significant oxy-hornblende substitutions observed and/or expected in extrusive environments (Popp et al. 1990) also occur in metamorphic environments.

The δD values of the hornblendes, expressed relative to Standard Mean Ocean Water (Craig 1951) vary between -58% to -119%. However, the two samples with the lowest δD values, FA86-3 (-102) and MR86-5A (-119) have significantly higher total-iron contents and Fe/Mg ratios than the average, suggesting that their significantly lower δD values result from fractionation effects associated with Fe for Mg substitutions. The δD values of the remaining samples range from -58% to -96%, typical of metamorphic hornblendes. There is no hydrogen isotopic evidence for post-metamorphic exchange with D-depleted meteoric waters that could have modified the Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} and water contents of these hornblendes.

Hornblende normalization schemes

The task of generating a mineral formula from an electron-microprobe analysis of hornblende is complicated by at least two unknowns, the H₂O content and the FeO/Fe₂O₃ ratio. Most hornblende analyses determined by electron microprobe are reported on an anhydrous basis with all iron as FeO. Corresponding mineral formulae usually are presented on a basis of 23 oxygens, although this calculation ignores the known substitutions of O for OH and of Fe³⁺ for Fe²⁺ in hornblende (Robinson et al. 1982). Some estimate of FeO, Fe₂O₃ and H₂O must be made if accurate hornblende formulae are to be obtained.

In order to test the validity of the normalization of hornblende to 23 oxygens we have recalculated the formulae from the data in Table 1 on the basis of 23 oxygens [-1/2(F+Cl)] assuming all Fe as Fe²⁺ (Table 3).

Table 3 (continued)

	MIN864	MR86-1	MR865A	MR86-8	PSM4-1	SSA-10	SSA-13	SSA-4	SSA-5	SSA-7	SSA-8
Calculat	led Fe ₂ O ₃ , Fe	eOª, H₂O ^b , ar	nd adjusted to	al							
Fe ₂ O ₃ FcO H ₂ O	0.00 16.80 1.90	0.00 18.47 1.93	0.00 30.56 1.62	0.00 16.46 1.88	0.00 16.41 1.86	0.00 16.36 1.81	0.00 13.56 1.52	0.00 15.98 1.92	0.00 19.93 1.75	0.00 15.82 1.90	0.00 18.37 1.85
Total	99.43	100.04	98.40	99.24	99.14	99.76	99.94	99.96	99.87	98.49	100.04
T sites											
Si Al	6.70 1.30	6.25 1.75	6.40 1.60	6.49 1.51	6.63 1.37	6.45 1.55	6.34 1.66	6.32 1.68	6.43 1.57	6.42 1.58	6.38 1.62
M1, 2, 3	3 sites										
Al Ti	0.50 0.10	0.57 0.25	0.43 0.12	0.55 0.07	0.65 0.13	0.45 0.20	0.56 0.16	0.86 0.08	0.52 0.08	0.76 0.12	0.54 0.22
Fe ³⁺ Fe ²⁺ Mn	0.00 2.02 0.04	0.00 2.32 0.01	0.00 4.22 0.09	0.00 2.09 0.05	$0.00 \\ 2.16 \\ 0.03$	0.00 2.06 0.03	0.00 1.69 0.04	0.00 1.99 0.03	0.00 2.56 0.06	$0.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 0.03$	0.00 2.32 0.02
Mg	2.33	1.85	0.13	2.14	2.13	2.26	2.56	2.03	1.78	2.10	1.90
M4 site											
Fe Ca Na	0.09 1.87 0.04	0.03 1.89 0.08	0.14 1.82 0.04	0.15 1.85 0.00	0.14 1.79 0.07	0.10 1.88 0.02	0.16 1.85 0.00	0.10 1.90 0.00	0.16 1.84 0.00	0.14 1.86 0.00	0.08 1.85 0.06
A site											
Ca Na K Sum	0.00 0.39 0.23 0.62	0.00 0.40 0.36 0.76	0.00 0.55 0.41 0.96	0.02 0.50 0.28 0.80	0.00 0.45 0.08 0.53	0.00 0.43 0.28 0.71	0.01 0.45 0.33 0.79	0.02 0.38 0.23 0.63	0.04 0.49 0.31 0.85	0.01 0.37 0.20 0.58	0.00 0.38 0.33 0.71
Anion s	ites										
O OH F	22.00 1.91 0.07	22.00 1.96 0.04	22.00 1.79 0.17	22.00 1.90 0.08	22.00 1.86 0.02	22.00 1.82 0.14	22.00 1.51 0.49	22.00 1.91 0.06	22.00 1.80 0.20	22.00 1.91 0.08	22.00 1.87 0.12
	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01

^a All Fe assumed as FeO

^b Calculated from normalized formula

The H₂O contents of hornblendes presented in Table 3 were calculated assuming OH = 2 - F - CI. When comparing the formulae presented in Tables 2 and 3, the amount of ferrous iron is overestimated on a 23 anion basis by 21-85%, which produces serious errors in the calculated Mg/Fe²⁺ ratios. Many of these hornblendes have excess oxygen in the OH site, requiring normalization to more than 23 oxygens if anion normalization is used. Once electron-microprobe analyses of amphiboles are normalized to 23 oxygens, there is no unique method to estimate the FeO/Fe₂O₃ ratio, as conversion of FeO to Fe₂O₃ increases the moles of oxygen by half of the moles of Fe^{3+} that are inferred to be present. The resultant inaccuracies will preclude accurate calculations of $K_{\rm D}$ relations involving Mg/Fe²⁺ between hornblende and other ferromagnesian silicates using electronmicroprobe analyses alone (cf. Graham and Powell 1984). In order to obtain a more accurate estimate of Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ratios in hornblende, two alternative cationnormalization schemes have been considered.

One approach to calculating hornblende formulae from electron-microprobe data is based on a fixed sum of cations (e.g. Stout 1972; Robinson et al. 1982). With this technique it is assumed that a specified number of cations are stoichiometric for a given mineral; this procedure is widely used today for normalizing mineral formulae from electron-microprobe data for many minerals including garnets, clinopyroxenes, feldspars and magnetites. For hornblendes, the crystallographic site preferences for most common elements have been determined by X-ray structure refinements, which indicate site preferences of $Si > Al > Fe^{3+} > Ti$ in tetrahedral sites, Al > $Ti > Fe^{3+} > Fe^{2+} > Mg > Mn > Ca$ in the M1, M2, and M3 sites, $Ca > Na > Fe^{2+}$ in the M4 site, and K > Na in the A site (cf. Hawthorne 1983). Because X-ray data indicate that the tetrahedral and M1, M2, M3 and usually the M4 sites in hornblendes are filled (i.e., have no vacancies) a mineral formula may be calculated based on fixed-cation occupancies in these sites. Normalization of calcium amphiboles on the basis of a fixed number of octahedral and tetrahedral cations satisfies most crystal-chemical relations.

Hornblende formulae normalized to 13=Si+Ti+Al+Fe+Mn+Mg in the T, M1, M2 and M3 sites are shown in Table 4. This procedure necessarily excludes any Fe²⁺, Mn or Mg from the M4 site and any Ca

Table 4. Structural formulae calculated on the basis of $Si+Ti+Al+\ +Fe+Mn+Mg=13$

	FA86-1	FA8610	FA86-3	FA86-6	FKL-6	HL8610	HL8611	HL862C	HL86-3	HL86-6	MIN863
Calcula	ted ^a Fe ₂ O ₃ ,	FeO, H ₂ O, a	ind adjusted	total							
Fe ₂ O ₃ FeO H ₂ O Total	3.25 13.05 1.87 100.46	3.87 14.58 1.96 99.85	2.51 17.96 1.65 99.62	0.87 16.83 1.71 99.44	4.10 12.80 1.93 100.59	4.32 20.17 1.87 99.50	4.05 12.89 1.75 99.45	5.93 11.97 1.92 100.23	5.69 11.74 2.00 99.64	6.07 9.57 1.93 99.31	1.43 16.36 1.81 99.87
T sites											
Si Al	6.11 1.89	6.62 1.38	6.43 1.57	6.33 1.67	6.21 1.79	6.37 1.63	6.38 1.62	6.38 1.62	6.69 1.31	6.59 1.41	6.58 1.42
M1, 2, 3	3 sites										
Al Ti Fe ³⁺ Fe ²⁺ Mn Mg M4 site Ca Na	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45\\ 0.30\\ 0.46\\ 1.53\\ 0.03\\ 2.23\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.65\\ 0.07\\ 0.43\\ 1.81\\ 0.04\\ 2.00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.25 \\ 2.36 \\ 0.04 \\ 1.93 \end{array}$	0.41 0.29 0.10 2.15 0.02 2.03	0.46 0.22 0.46 1.59 0.02 2.25 1.79 0.21	0.45 0.12 0.51 2.63 0.08 1.21	0.46 0.11 0.46 1.62 0.04 2.31	0.45 0.07 0.66 1.49 0.09 2.24 1.87 0.13	0.37 0.08 0.63 1.45 0.05 2.41	0.56 0.04 0.67 1.18 0.04 2.51	0.54 0.11 0.16 2.06 0.04 2.08
A site		0120	0100	0.112	0.21	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.10
Na K Sum	0.59 0.20 0.79	0.26 0.02 0.28	0.42 0.33 0.75	0.33 0.37 0.70	0.39 0.25 0.64	0.33 0.27 0.70	0.43 0.23 0.66	0.30 0.21 0.51	0.25 0.08 0.33	0.23 0.05 0.28	0.30 0.29 0.59
Anion s	ites										
O OH F Cl	21.95 1.85 0.03 0.12	22.00 1.94 0.04 0.02	22.00 1.70 0.17 0.13	22.00 1.74 0.19 0.07	22.00 1.91 0.08 0.01	22.00 1.94 0.06 0.00	22.00 1.75 0.17 0.08	22.00 1.92 0.08 0.00	22.00 1.98 0.02 0.00	22.00 1.90 0.10 0.00	22.00 1.82 0.15 0.03

Table 4 (continued)

	MIN864	MR86-1	MR865A	MR86-8	PSM4-1	SSA-10	SSA-13	SSA-4	SSA-5	SSA-7	SSA-8
Calculate	edª Fe ₂ O ₃ , FeO	O, H_2O, and	adjusted total								
Fe ₂ O ₂	2.96	0.89	3.94	4.60	4.46	3.20	4.89	3.29	4.87	4.37	2.66
FeO	14.13	17.67	27.02	12.33	12.40	13.48	9.16	13.02	15.55	11.89	15.98
H ₂ O	1.92	1.93	1.64	1.91	1.88	1.83	1.55	1.93	1.78	1.92	1.86
Total	99.74	100.13	98.82	99.73	99.61	100.09	100.46	100.30	100.38	98.95	100.32
T sites											
Si	6.65	6.23	6.33	6.42	6.56	6.40	6.26	6.27	6.35	6.35	6.33
Al	1.35	1.77	1.67	1.58	1.44	1.60	1.74	1.73	1.65	1.65	1.67
M1, 2, 3	sites										
Al	0.44	0.55	0.34	0.45	0.56	0.38	0.46	0.79	0.42	0.66	0.48
Ti	0.10	0.25	0.12	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.22
Fe ³⁺	0.33	0.10	0.51	0.52	0.50	0.36	0.54	0.37	0.55	0.49	0.30
Fe ²⁺	1.77	2.24	3.68	1.54	1.54	1.69	1.12	1.61	1.97	1.49	2.01
Mn	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02
Mg	2.32	1.85	0.27	2.37	2.25	2.34	2.68	2.12	1.92	2.21	1.97
M4 site											
Ca	1.86	1.89	1.80	1.85	1.77	1.86	1.83	1.90	1.86	1.85	1.84
Na	0.14	0.11	0.20	0.15	0.23	0.14	0.17	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.16
A site											
Na	0.28	0.37	0.38	0.34	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.27	0.34	0.21	0.28
K	0.23	0.36	0.41	0.28	0.08	0.28	0.32	0.23	0.31	0.20	0.32
Sum	0.51	0.73	0.79	0.62	0.37	0.58	0.60	0.50	0.65	0.41	0.60
Anion sit	tes										
0	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00
OH	1.91	1.96	1.79	1.90	1.86	1.82	1.52	1.91	1.80	1.91	1.87
Fc	0.07	0.04	0.17	0.08	0.02	0.14	0.48	0.06	0.20	0.08	0.12
Cl	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01

^a Calculated from normalized formula

Table 5. Structural formulae calculated on the basis of Si + Ti + Al + Fe + Mn + Mg + Ca = 15

	FA86-1	FA8610	FA86-3	FA86-6	FKL-6	HL8610	HL8611	HL862C	HL86-3	HL86-6	MIN863
Calculat	ted ^a Fe ₂ O ₃ ,	FeO, H ₂ O, a	ind adjusted	total							
Fe ₂ O ₃ FeO H ₂ O Total	0.00 15.97 1.53 99.79	0.40 17.70 1.95 99.48	0.92 19.38 1.64 99.45	0.00 17.61 1.56 99.20	0.00 16.50 1.82 100.07	0.00 24.06 1.83 99.03	0.00 16.53 1.68 98.98	2.29 15.25 1.91 99.84	0.47 16.44 1.97 99.09	1.30 13.87 1.91 98.81	0.00 17.65 1.73 99.64
T sites											
Si Al	6.24 1.76	6.68 1.32	6.46 1.54	6.38 1.62	6.29 1.71	6.44 1.56	6.45 1.55	6.43 1.57	6.78 1.22	6.67 1.33	6.62 1.38
M1, 2, 3	sites										
Al Ti Fe ^{3 +} Fc ^{2 +} Mn Mg M4 site Fe Ca	0.62 0.31 0.00 1.76 0.03 2.27 0.27 1.73	0.73 0.07 0.05 2.10 0.04 2.02 0.11 1.89	0.29 0.17 0.11 2.46 0.04 1.94 0.05 1.95	0.47 0.30 0.00 2.16 0.02 2.05 0.10 1.90	0.58 0.23 0.00 1.90 0.02 2.28 0.18 1.82	0.55 0.12 0.00 3.02 0.08 1.23 0.15 1.85	0.56 0.11 0.00 1.95 0.04 2.34 0.16 1.84	0.52 0.07 0.26 1.80 0.09 2.26 0.11 1.89	0.48 0.08 0.05 2.24 0.05 2.44 0.17 1.83	0.66 0.04 0.15 1.58 0.04 2.54 0.15 1.85	0.59 0.11 0.00 2.15 0.05 2.10 0.09 1.91
A site											
Na K Sum	0.92 0.20 1.12	0.39 0.02 0.41	0.48 0.33 0.81	0.46 0.37 0.83	0.60 0.25 0.85	0.51 0.27 0.78	0.62 0.24 0.86	0.44 0.21 0.65	0.44 0.08 0.52	0.40 0.05 0.45	0.40 0.29 0.69
Anion s	ites										
O OH F Cl	22.44 1.55 0.03 0.12	22.00 1.94 0.04 0.02	22.00 1.69 0.17 0.14	22.21 1.61 0.19 0.07	22.14 1.83 0.08 0.01	22.01 1.93 0.06 0.00	22.07 1.71 0.17 0.08	22.00 1.92 0.08 0.00	22.00 1.98 0.02 0.00	22.00 1.89 0.11 0.00	22.10 1.75 0.15 0.03

Table 5 (continued)

	MIN864	MR861A	MR865A	MR868A	PSM4-1	SSA-10	SSA-13	SSA-4	SSA-5	SSA-7	SSA-8
Calculat	ted" Fe ₂ O ₃ , F	eO, H₂O, and	l adjusted tota	ıl							
Fe ₂ O ₂	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.58	1.08	0.20	0.00
FeO	16.80	18.47	30.56	16.07	16.41	16.36	13.42	15.46	18.96	15.65	18.37
H ₂ O	1.85	1.80	1.57	1.89	1.74	1.78	1.52	1.92	1.76	1.90	1.75
Total	99.37	99.91	98.35	99.29	99.02	99.72	99.96	100.02	99.98	98.51	99.94
T sites											
Si	6.72	6.28	6.42	6.49	6.66	6.46	6.33	6.32	6.41	6.42	6.40
Al	1.28	1.72	1.58	1.51	1.34	1.54	1.67	1.68	1.59	1.58	1.60
M1, 2, 3	3 sites										
Al	0.52	0.61	0.46	0.54	0.70	0.46	0.55	0.85	0.50	0.75	0.57
Ti	0.10	0.25	0.12	0.07	0.13	0.20	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.22
Fe ³⁺	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.12	0.02	0.00
Fe ²⁺	1.99	2.27	4.06	1.90	1.87	1.95	1.52	1.84	2.30	1.84	2.20
Mn	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02
Mg	2.34	1.86	0.27	2.39	2.28	2.36	2.72	1.97	1.93	2.23	1.99
M4 site											
Fc	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.13	0.20	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.14
Ca	1.87	1.91	1.82	1.87	1.80	1.89	1.85	1.92	1.88	1.87	1.86
A site											
Na	0.43	0.48	0.59	0.50	0.53	0.44	0.45	0.38	0.49	0.37	0.45
Κ	0.23	0.36	0.42	0.28	0.08	0.28	0.33	0.23	0.31	0.20	0.33
Sum	0.66	0.84	1.01	0.78	0.61	0.72	0.78	0.61	0.80	0.57	0.78
Anion s	ites										
0	22.08	22.18	22.06	22.00	22.00	22.03	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.09
ОН	1.86	1.84	1.73	1.90	1.76	1.79	1.51	1.91	1.80	1.91	1.78
F	0.07	0.04	0.17	0.08	0.02	0.14	0.49	0.06	0.20	0.08	0.12
C1	0.02	0.00	0,04	0.02	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01

^a Calculated from normalized formula



Fig. 1. Plots of amphiboles analyzed for this study on the diagram of Leake (1978). *MH*, magnesio-hornblende; *TH*, tschermakitic hornblende; *EH*, edenitic hornblende; *PH*, pargasitic hornblende; *FPH*, ferroan pargasitic hornblende; *FP*, ferroan pargasite; *MHH*, magnesian hastingsitic hornblende; *HH*, hastingsitic hornblende

from the M1, M2, or M3 sites. The molecular proportions of OH and the equivalent weight percent H₂O were calculated assuming OH=2-Cl-F, and the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratios were estimated from charge balance. Comparison of these formulae with those presented in Table 2 indicates good agreement in measured vs calculated FeO and in the distributions of elements, but poor agreement in measured vs estimated H₂O. The differences between the formulae in Tables 2 and 4 are due mainly to excess O inferred to occupy the OH sites in some samples. The 13 cation normalization procedure excludes the possibility of Fe²⁺ substitution in M4, which may be significant in highly subcalcic hornblendes that coexist with cummingtonite or hypersthene.

An alternative normalization procedure was performed with the electron-microprobe data on the basis



of 15=Si+Ti+Al+Fe+Mn+Mg+Ca in T, M1, M2, M3 and M4 (Table 5). The formulae calculated on a 15 cation basis agree less satisfactorily with those presented in Table 2 than formulae calculated on the basis of 13 cations due to substitution of Na in M4 for some samples, although the differences are relatively small for these hornblendes as they are not highly subcalcic (Table 2). Because Na is excluded from the normalizing base, the sum of the remaining small cations is overestimated by an amount directly proportional to the actual amount of Na in M4. In some cases, 15 cation normalizations of hornblendes lead to an excess positive charge, which necessitates an apparent O substitution for OH in the hydroxyl site in order to maintain charge balance (Table 5).

Although the 15 cation normalization scheme is widely thought to provide an upper limit to the amount of ferric iron (Stout 1972; Robinson et al. 1982; Spear 1982), this cannot be the case when a significant oxyamphibole component substitutes in hornblende. No cation-based scheme can be used to detect the difference between Fe²⁺OH and Fe³⁺O in hornblende, because the amount of iron and the total charge is the same in both cases. The only limit that can be placed on ferric iron is when no hydroxyl ion is left to be converted to oxygen or when all ferrous iron is oxidized to ferric iron. This little-appreciated inference is equally applicable to cation normalizations of other amphiboles, allanites, chlorites, clay minerals, hoegbomites, illites, micas, pumpellyites, staurolites, vesuvianites, i.e., all hydroxyl-bearing minerals that may contain both ferric and ferrous iron.

The differences between normalization schemes can be viewed by comparison with the formulae presented in Table 2. For elements other than Fe, the cation-site assignments from the various normalization schemes yield relatively small differences. However, significant differences in the estimated Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ratios are obtained in the different procedures. Figure 2 is a plot of



Fig. 2. Plot of measured vs calcualted FeO for hornblendes investigated in this study. Normalization schemes described in text



Fig. 3. Plot of measured vs calculated Fe_2O_3 for hornblendes investigated in this study. Normalization schemes described in text

the amount of FeO determined by titration vs the amount of FeO calculated from each of the normalization schemes outlined above. Similarly, a plot of measured vs calculated Fe_2O_3 is presented in Fig. 3. Although not in perfect agreement, the results presented in Figs. 2 and 3 indicate that fairly reasonable estimates of FeO and Fe_2O_3 may be obtained after normalization on the basis of 13 small cations. All normalizations tend to underestimate the amount of Fe_2O_3 and to overestimate the amount of FeO (Figs. 2, 3), but the best normalization scheme for estimating Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} ratios of these amphiboles is based on 13 small cations (Fig. 4). In contrast, there is no systematic relationship between measured and calculated H_2O contents from the different normalization schemes, although the estimates of H_2O are generally higher than measured values. The



Fig. 4. Plot of measured vs calculated H_2O for hornblendes investigated in this study. Normalization schemes described in text

poor agreement suggests that H_2O contents of hornblendes should not be estimated from electron-microprobe data alone even if F_2 and Cl_2 are analyzed and that the H_2O content of hornblende should only be determined by direct measurement.

Effects on $a_{\rm H_2O}$ calculations

Hornblende should be useful in constraining the a_{H_2O} especially in rocks metamorphosed to the granulite facies, which are generally believed to have formed under relatively "dry" (i.e., $P_{H_2O} < P_{solid}$) and fluid-absent (i.e., $P_{fluid} < P_{solid}$) conditions. However, the occurrence in many granulites of OH-, F-, and/or Cl-bearing minerals, such as hornblende or biotite, indicates the presence of some fluid components locked up in the solids during metamorphism. The activities of fluid components buffered by the coexisting solids are essential in constraining the former presence or absence of a fluid phase and the formation of a melt phase in granulites. Unfortunately, accurate estimates of the activities of major fluid species are difficult to obtain in most igneous or metamorphic rocks.

Investigations aimed at constraining fluid compositions in high-grade rocks have relied on phase equilibria involving biotite (e.g., Bohlen et al. 1980; Phillips 1980; Edwards and Essene 1988) or amphibole (e.g., Phillips 1980; Valley et al. 1982; Ghent 1988; Lamb and Valley 1988). For granulite facies assemblages containing tremolitic amphiboles, several reactions have been applied that constrain H₂O activity during metamorphism (e.g., Valley et al. 1982, 1983, 1990; Ghent 1988; Lamb and Valley 1988). However, for granulites containing hornblende rather than tremolite, a reduced Ca₂Mg₅Si₈ O₂₂(OH)₂ activity ($a_{\rm Trem}$) must be calculated from a hornblende analysis if the same equilibria are to be applied. Unfortunately, no measured a-X relations are



Fig. 5. Plot of hydroxyl site occupancy of amphiboles from this study together with data from other workers. Also shown are lines of reduced H_2O (and hydroxyl-amphibole) activity assuming an ionic solution model on the hydroxyl site

as yet available for calcic amphiboles, and the ionic models that have thus far been proposed are extremely sensitive to composition (Ghent 1988). As a result, attempts to constrain H₂O activities using equilibria applied to most natural assemblages with hornblende yield unreasonably low values and highly imprecise results (e.g., Phillips 1980; Ghent 1988; Lamb and Valley 1988; Valley et al. 1990). Ionic solution models applied to hornblende compositions are, in addition, highly sensitive to F, Cl, and O substitutions in the OH site. For instance, if an ionic solution model of the form $a_{\text{Trem}} =$ $(X^{\rm A}_{\Box}) (X^{\rm M4}_{\rm Ca})^2 (X^{\rm M1,2,3}_{\rm Mg})^5 (X^{\rm T}_{\rm Si})^8 (X_{\rm OH})^2$ (e.g., Powell 1975) or if a locally charge balanced model such as (X_{\Box}^{A}) $(X_{Ca}^{M4})^2 (X_{Mg}^{M1,3})^3 (X_{Mg}^{M2})^2 (X_{OH})^2$ (e.g., Essene 1989) is used for hornblende, substitution of F, Cl, and O in the hydroxyl site may significantly reduce the calculated a_{Trem} and a_{H_2O} . To illustrate these effects and their influence on calculated H₂O activity based on an ideal ionic solution model of OH-F-Cl-O exchange for hornblende (and biotite), the measured hydroxyl-site occupancies of hornblendes from this study are plotted for hornblende together in Fig. 5 with similarly characterized hornblendes from the literature. Substitution of 25 mol% O and/or F for OH is common in hornblende (Fig. 5). For an ideal OH-site mixing model, substitution of 25% F+ Cl+O for OH decreases the calculated H₂O activity by 50%. Substitution of greater O for OH (Fig. 5) may indicate lower H₂O activity, although late oxidation of hornblende will also decrease the H₂O content and increase the Fe_2O_3/FeO ratio concomitantly (Deer et al. 1963). Conversely, inclusion of more hydrous alteration products such as chlorite will increase the apparent H₂O content of an impure separate. Quantitative microanalytical techniques such as ion-microprobe or laser-Raman analysis on a more routine basis could minimize such problems and could also be used to evaluate zoning of OH. Nonetheless, determination of such substitutions in optically pure bulk samples is still important especially if hornblendes are seen to be unzoned by optical and microprobe observations.

Substitutions of F and Cl in hornblendes may be evaluated by electron-microprobe analysis, but the H₂O content is generally estimated by assuming that OH (+F+ Cl) fully occupies the hydroxyl site. The substitution of O for OH is difficult to evaluate without direct measurements of H₂O, FeO, and Fe₂O₃ contents. Therefore, even when reliable solution models eventually become available for hornblendes, direct determination of H₂O will still be necessary to document the presence of oxygen in the hydroxyl site if hornblende is to be used for precise calculations of H₂O and hydroxyl-amphibole activities.

Implications for hornblende thermobarometry

Hammarstrom and Zen (1986) proposed an empirically derived barometer involving the Al content of hornblende solid solutions, which has recently become widely used in studies of granitic rocks (e.g., Hollister et al. 1987; Ague and Brimhall 1988; Anderson 1988; Barton et al. 1988). Hollister et al. (1987) empirically recalibrated this barometer based on additional comparisons with other field-based barometers. Johnson and Rutherford (1989) and Rutter et al. (1989) measured the pressure dependence of the Al content in hornblendes with experimental calibrations, which yield somewhat different pressures than the empirically based equations of Hammarstrom and Zen (1986) and of Hollister et al. (1987). Blundy and Holland (1990) sharply criticize the hornblende barometer suggesting that the emperical correlations between total aluminum in igneous hornblende and pressure may instead reflect variations in granitoid solidus temperatures with pressure. Because none of the above calibrations of the "hornblende barometer" are thermodynamically based, the possible effects of variable ferric iron and/or oxy-substitutions in hornblendes for this barometer are difficult to evaluate. If the serious shortcomings of the hornblende barometer (e.g., Blundy and Holland 1990) can be overcome, the levels of $Fe^{3+}/$ Fe²⁺ and O/OH should be estimated or measured in the natural and synthetic hornblendes on which the barometers are based so that variations in these substitutions can be incorporated in the barometric calibrations. Hornblende barometry is related to the tschermakite exchange, which has been approximated by the total aluminum in hornblende (Hollister et al. 1987). As a firstorder approximation, ferric iron could be added to total aluminum or tetrahedral Al alone could be used, assuming that ferri-tschermakite would have the same effect as tschermakite. In addition, the effects of variable $P_{\rm H_2O}$ and of solid solutions in the coexisting minerals (especially biotite and K-feldspar) should be explicitly considered for this barometer. Hornblende barometry needs to be reevaluated using a specific solid-solid reaction while including complete chemical analyses of participating phases and realistic mixing models for hornblendes (and biotites or other hydrous phases if used

in such calculations). These will not be easy tasks to accomplish.

Graham and Powell (1984), Nabelek and Lindsley (1985), Kohn and Spear (1989, 1990) and Blundy and Holland (1990) evaluated various other hornblendebearing equilibria as potential thermometers and/or barometers. These empirical calibrations yield reasonable apparent temperatures and pressures over restricted P-T ranges of application. However, in none of the hornblende thermobarometers was the effect of the "hidden" substitutions involving Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ and O/OH evaluated in hornblende (and biotite). In addition to the serious difficulties currently engendered by inadequate solution models, any thermobarometry involving complex hydroxyl-bearing silicates, such as hornblende and biotite, cannot be viewed as fully quantitative until such substitutions are measured and their effects evaluated.

Acknowledgments. This paper was improved by internal reviews of A Afifi, RJ Arculus, AH Francis, AN Halliday, K Mezger and DR Peacor, as well as the external reviews of ED Ghent and FS Spear. The authors are grateful to WP Nash for assistance in the laboratory and to CE Henderson for his maintenance of the electron microprobe. This research was sponsored by a Turner research grant from the University of Michigan to MAC, and NSF grants 84-08169 and 88-05083 to EJE.

References

- Afifi AM, Essene EJ (1988) Minfile: a microcomputer program for storage and manipulation of chemical data on minerals. Am Mineral 73:446-448
- Ague JJ, Brimhall GH (1988) Magmatic arc asymmetry and distribution of anomalous plutonic belts in the batholiths of California: effects of assimilation, crustal thickness, and depth of crystallization. Geol Soc Am Bull 100:917–927
- Anderson JL (1988) Core complexes of the Mojave-Sonoran Desert: conditions of plutonism, mylonitization and decompression. In: Ernst WG (ed) Metamorphism and crustal evolution of the Western United States. (Rubey Vol VII) Prentice-Hall, Edgewood Cliffs, NJ:502–525
- Barton MD, Battles DA, Debout GE, Capo RC, Christensen JW, Davis SR, Hanson RB, Michelsen CJ, Trim HE (1988) Mesozoic contact metamorphism in the western United States. In: Ernst EG (ed) Metamorphism and Crustal Evolution of the Western United States, Rubey Vol VII, Prentice-Hall, Edgewood Cliffs, N.J., pp 110–178
- Bigeleisen J, Perlman ML, Prosser HC (1952) Conversion of hydrogenic materials to hydrogen for isotopic analysis. Anal Chem 24:1356–1357
- Blundy JD, Holland TJB (1990) Calcic amphibole equilibria and a new amphibole-plagioclase geothermometer. Contrib Mineral Petrol 104:208–224
- Bohlen SR, Peacor DR, Essene EJ (1980) Crystal chemistry of a metamorphic biotite and its significance in water barometry. Am Mineral 65:55–62
- Clowe CA, Popp RK, Fritz SJ (1988) Experimental investigation of the effect of oxygen fugacity on ferric-ferrous ratios and unit-cell parameters four natural clinoamphiboles. Am Mineral 73:487–499
- Craig H (1961) Standard for reporting concentrations of deuterium and oxygen-18 in natural waters. Science 133:1833-1834
- Cosca MA, Sutter JF, Essene EJ (1991) Cooling and inferred uplift/ erosion history of the Grenville Orogen, Ontario: constraints from ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar thermochronology. Tectonics (in press)

- Deer WA, Howie RA, Zussman J (1963) Rock-forming minerals. (Vol 2) Green and Co. Ltd, London
- Edwards RL, Essene EJ (1988) Pressure, temperature and C-O-H fluid activities across the amphibolite-granulite transition, Northwest Adirondack Mountains, New York. J Petrol 29:39– 72
- Essene EJ (1989) The current status of thermobarometry in metamorphic rocks. In: Daly JS, Cliff R, Yardley BWD (eds) Evolution of metamorphic belts. Geol Soc Spec Pap 43, pp 1–41
- Fairbairn HW (1951) A cooperative investigation of precision and accuracy in chemical, spectrochemical and modal analysis of silicate rocks. US Geol Surv Bull 980
- Friedman I (1953) Deuterium content of natural water and other substances. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 4:89–103
- Ghent ED (1988) Tremolite and H₂O activity attending metamorphism of hornblende-plagioclase-garnet assemblages. Contrib Mineral Petrol 98:163–168
- Graham CM, Powell R (1984) A garnet-hornblende geothermometer: calibration, testing, and application to the Pelona schist, southern California. J Metamorphic Geol 2:13–31
- Hammarstrom JM, Zen E-an (1986) Aluminum in hornblende: an empirical igneous geobarometer. Am Mineral 64:953–965
- Hawthorne FC (1983) The crystal chemistry of the amphiboles. Can Mineral 21:173–480
- Hollister LS, Grissom GC, Peters EK, Stowell HH, Sisson VB (1987) Confirmation of the empirical correlation of Al in hornblende with products of solidification in calc-alkaline plutons. Am Mineral 72:231–239
- Johnson MC, Rutherford MJ (1989) Experimental calibration of the aluminum-in-hornblende geobarometer with application to Long Valley caldera (California) volcanic rocks. Geology 17:837–841
- Kohn MJ, Spear FS (1990) Two new geobarometers for garnet amphibolites, with applications to southeastern Vermont. Am Mineral 75:89–96
- Kohn MJ, Spear FS (1989) Empirical calibration of geobarometers for the assemblage garnet+hornblendc+plagioclase+quartz. Am Mineral 74:77–84
- Lamb WM, Valley JW (1988) Granulite facies amphibole and biotite equilibria, and calculated peak-metamorphic water activities. Contrib Mineral Petrol 100:349–360
- Leake BE (1968) A catalog of analyzed calciferous and subcalciferous amphiboles together with their nomenclature and associated minerals. Geol Soc Am Spec Pap 98
- Leake BE (1978) Nomenclature of amphiboles. Am Mineral 63:1023-1052
- Nabelek CR, Lindsley DH (1985) Tetrahedral Al in amphibole – a potential thermometer for some mafic rocks (abstract). Geol Soc Am Abstr Prog 17:673
- Penfield SL (1894) On some methods for the determination of water. Am J Sci 48:30-37
- Phillips GN (1980) Water activity changes across an amphibolitegranulite facies transition, Broken Hill, Australia. Contrib Mineral Petrol 75:377–386
- Popp RK, Phillips MW, Harrell JA (1990) Accommodation of Fe³⁺ in natural, Fe³⁺-rich, calcic and subcalcic amphiboles: Evidence from published chemical analyses. Am Mineral 75:163–169
- Powell R (1975) Thermodynamics of coexisting cummingtonitehornblende pairs. Contrib Mineral Petrol 51:29–37
- Robinson P, Spear FS, Schumacher JC, Laird J, Klein C, Evans BW, Doolan BL (1982) Phase relations of metamorphic amphiboles: natural occurrence and theory. In: Veblen DR, Ribbe PH (eds) Amphiboles: Petrology and experimental phase relations. (Reviews in Mineralogy 9B) Mineral Soc Am, Washington, D.C., pp 1–227
- Rutter MJ, Van der Laan SR, Wyllie PJ (1989) Experimental data for a proposed empirical igneous geobarometer: aluminum in hornblende at 10 kbar pressure. Geology 17:897–900
- Shapiro L, Brannock WW (1956) Rapid analysis of silicate rocks. US Geol Surv Bull 1036C

- 484
- Spear FS (1982) Phase equilibria of amphibolites from the Post Pond volcanics, Mt. Cube Quadrangle, Vermont. J Petrol 23:383-426
- Stout J (1972) Phase petrology and mineral chemistry of coexisting amphiboles from Telemark, Norway. J Petrol 13:99–145
- Valley JW, Bohlen SR, Essene EJ, Lamb WM (1990) Metamorphism in the Adirondacks: II: The role of fluids. J Petrol 31:555-596

Valley JW, McLelland J, Essene EJ, Lamb WM (1983) Metamor-

phic fluids in the deep crust: evidence from the Adirondacks. Nature 301:226-228

- Valley JW, Peterson EU, Essene EJ, Bowman JR (1982) Fluorphlogopite and fluortremolite in Adirondack marbles and calculated C-O-H-F fluid compositions. Am Mineral 67: 545–557
- Wilson AD (1955) A new method for the determination of ferrous iron in rocks and minerals. Bull Geol Soc Great Britain 9:56–58

Editorial responsibility: I.S.E. Casmichael