



Complete Genomic Analysis of VRE From a Cattle Feedlot: Focus on 2 Antibiotic Resistance

Frank Eric Tatsing Foka¹, Charlotte Mienie², Cornelius Carlos Bezuidenhout² and Collins Njie Ateba^{1*}

¹ Food Security and Safety Niche Area, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, North-West University, Mmabatho, South Africa, ² Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

Practices in intensive animal farming such as the extensive use of antimicrobials have significant impacts on the genetic make-up of bacterial communities, especially on that of human/animal commensals. In this report, whole genome sequencing of two vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) isolates from a cattle feedlot in the North West Province, South Africa, was used to highlight the threats that extensive antimicrobial usage in intensive animal rearing represents for environmental microbiomes and the food chain. The genomic DNA of the studied strains was extracted using a DNA extraction kit. Whole-genome sequencing was performed through next-generation sequencing. The genomes of Enterococcus durans strain NWUTAL1 and Enterococcus gallinarum strain S52016 consisted of 3,279,618 and 2,374,946 bp, respectively with G + Ccontents of 40.76 and 43.13%, respectively. Antibiotic resistance genes (ARG), plasmids and virulence factors (involved in biofilm formation, colonization and copper/silver efflux system), were detected in the genomes of both strains. The presence of these genetic determinants in the studied strains is a cause for concern as they may disseminate and find their way into the food chain via horizontal gene transfer amongst bacteria of the different ecological niches. Issues of this nature cannot be undermined and are relevant as far as food safety is concerned.

Keywords: vancomycin-resistant enterococci, *E. durans* strain NWUTAL1, *E. gallinarum* strain S52016, wholegenome sequencing, food safety

INTRODUCTION

The discovery of antibiotics was a significant hallmark in the evolution of mankind as they became important life-saving compounds both for animals and humans (Gonzalez-Zorn and Escudero, 2012). In fact, antimicrobials have impacted significantly on society and the health of humans and animals mainly because life expectancy could be ameliorated as common infections have become curable, thus promoting rapid growth of the population (Gonzalez-Zorn and Escudero, 2012). Unfortunately, as the therapeutic effects of antibiotics were discovered, their growth-promoting attributes became apparent, resulting in the extensive use of these agents as growth promoters in intensive animal rearing (Acar et al., 2012; Economou and Gousia, 2015). According to Marshall and Levy (2011), subtherapeutic doses of certain antibiotics that are used as growth promoters improve feed conversion, animal growth and diminish mortality and morbidity rates that arise

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lisa Durso, Agricultural Research Service (USDA), United States

Reviewed by:

Monique Zagorec, INRA Centre Angers-Nantes Pays de la Loire, France Sohyun Cho, U.S. National Poultry Research Center (USDA-ARS), United States

*Correspondence:

Collins Njie Ateba collins.ateba@nwu.ac.za

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 12 June 2020 Accepted: 22 September 2020 Published: 15 October 2020

Citation:

Foka FET, Mienie C, Bezuidenhout CC and Ateba CN (2020) Complete Genomic Analysis of VRE From a Cattle Feedlot: Focus on 2 Antibiotic Resistance. Front. Microbiol. 11:571958. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.571958 from clinical and subclinical diseases. However, the mechanism through which this is achieved is unclear (Marshall and Levy, 2011). Consequently, multidrug resistant isolates have emerged not only because of the abusive use of antibiotics/antimicrobials in communities and clinics, but mostly because of widespread use of antimicrobials in industrial animal farming (Boxall et al., 2002; Acar et al., 2012). However, there are studies that highlight that resistant bacteria and resistance mechanisms were present long before antibiotics were produced or used in clinical practise (Boxall et al., 2002; Acar et al., 2012).

A significant consequence of the widespread use of antibiotics in industrial animal farming is the presence of genetic resistance determinants in the environment and its ecological niches (Ding et al., 2014). This also results from the fact that antibiotics are not totally degraded into inactive compounds in the body of treated animals and excreted with feces in manure where they regain their initial molecular structure after some time (Forsberg et al., 2014). The manure becomes a hotspot for resistance determinants, which when mixed with soil, genetic material is transferred to other bacteria of the soil (Forsberg et al., 2014; Thanner et al., 2016). Moreover, as a result of agricultural lands runoffs, water bodies become contaminated with resistant strains that exchange genetic material with other commensals present in the water bodies which may eventually find their way into the food chain (Economou and Gousia, 2015).

Enterococci are commensals of the gastrointestinal tract of warm-blooded animals. Enterococci have the ability to cause illnesses both in animals and immunocompromized individuals. In fact, they can cause endocarditis, septicemia, urinary tract infections, burn wound and deep tissue infections in humans meanwhile they are responsible for intramammary infections and clinical mastitis in cattle (Myllys and Rautala, 1995; Bager et al., 1999; Aarestrup et al., 2000). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) emerged four decades ago due to the misuse of avoparcin (a glycopeptide analog of vancomycin) as a growth promoter in intensive animal rearing and the abuse of vancomycin in clinics for the therapeutic management of community-acquired enterococcal infections (Myllys and Rautala, 1995; Bager et al., 1999). Since then, avoparcin has been banned in intensive animal farming (Bager et al., 1999). However, the constant detection of VRE worldwide (Arthur et al., 1996; Depardieu et al., 2004; Courvalin, 2006; Sundermann et al., 2019; Tatsing and Ateba, 2019) is indicative of the fact that factors other than avoparcin may be the source of the dissemination of VRE in the environment. Resistance to vancomycin can be either intrinsic or acquired. Eight types of vancomycin resistance gene clusters have been characterized so far (vanA, vanB, vanC, vanD, vanE, vanG, vanL, vanM, and vanN) (Depardieu et al., 2004).

Although there are several studies on the detection of antibiotic resistant strains such as VRE worldwide (Myllys and Rautala, 1995; Arthur et al., 1996; Depardieu et al., 2004; Courvalin, 2006; Tatsing and Ateba, 2019), there is a need to investigate the possible effects that practices such as the misuse of antimicrobials/antibiotics in industrial animal farming facilities, have on the genetic constitution of environmental bacteria and, consequently, on the different ecological niches of the environment and the food chain. A decade ago, whole genome sequencing (WGS) technologies were introduced in epidemiological studies, thus generating huge amounts of relevant data. WGS has been used since then to decode the genetic constitution of a considerable number of enterococcal species from various sources, thereby putting in the spotlight, genetic determinants involved in antibiotic resistance as well as those involved in pathogenesis processes which were previously less studied (Rangel et al., 2019; Sundermann et al., 2019). As WGS tools were gradually used in epidemiological investigations, Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis have become the most studied enterococci, disregarding other supposedly harmless species such as Enterococcus durans and Enterococcus gallinarum, which have evolved into highly resistant strains with time (Rogers et al., 1992; Taucer-Kapteijin et al., 2016; Tatsing and Ateba, 2019). Since E. durans and E. gallinarum are mostly associated with environmental samples, less focus has been given to these species, due to which their whole genomic data are insufficient as compared to those of E. faecalis and E. faecium strains (Rogers et al., 1992; Jenney et al., 2000).

The aim of the study was to analyze the whole genomes of two vancomycin-resistant enterococcal strains, specifically *E. durans* NWUTAL1 and *E. gallinarum* S52016 isolated from a feedlot (cattle feces and soil, respectively) and further, demonstrate the impact of antimicrobial usage in animal farming on the genetic constitution of these strains (by evaluating their genomic diversity as well as their resistome) and the risk that such strains represent for food safety.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

Two vancomycin-resistant strains, E. durans NWUTAL1 was recovered from fecal samples obtained from cattle while E. gallinarum S52016 was recovered from samples obtained from feedlot soil in Rooigrond, North-West Province, South Africa (Tatsing and Ateba, 2019), and stored at -80° C in Luria-Bertani broth supplemented with 50% (v/v) glycerol. These isolates were resistant to tetracycline (TET-30 µg), ampicillin (AMP-10 µg), amoxicillin (AMX-10 µg), vancomycin (VAN-30 µg), penicillin (PEN-10 µg), linezolid (LIN-30 µg), and erythromycin (ERY-15 $\mu g)$ thus the multi-drug phenotypes were $TET^R\text{-}AMP^R\text{-}$ AMX^R-VAN^R-PEN^R-LIN^R-ERY^R. They also harbored resistant determinants vanA, vanB, vanC, tetK, tetL, msrA/B, and mefA as well as the virulence genes cylA, hyl, esp, gelE, and asa1. The identities of the E. durans strain NWUTAL1 and E. gallinarum strain S52016 were confirmed in a previous study (Tatsing and Ateba, 2019; Tatsing, 2020) and their 16S rRNA gene sequences were deposited in GeneBank with accession numbers MK086097 and MK086099, respectively.

Genomic DNA Extraction and Detection of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci (VREs)

Pure *E. durans* strain NWUTAL1 and *E. gallinarum* strain S52016 colonies were revived by sub-culturing on nutrient agar.

Pure colonies were inoculated in 20 ml brain heart infusion broth (BHI, Merck, South Africa) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Bacteria cells were harvested through centrifugation. Genomic DNA was extracted with a DNA extraction kit (Zymo Research Genomic DNATM–Tissue MiniPrep Kit, ZR Corp. Irvine, United States) and quantified using a NanoDrop TM 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, United States).

Sequencing and Library Preparation of Whole Genome

The draft genomes were obtained through WGS using an Illumina Miseq platform. 1 ng of the genomic DNA was tagmented with the Nextera XT DNA library prep kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The kit reagents fragment the DNA with simultaneous addition of adapter sequences. The libraries were amplified with a limited-cycle PCR program (12 cycles) to add the index 1 (i7) and index 2 (i5) adapters, containing sequences required for cluster generation of the Illumina flow cell. The library was purified using 0.6× Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). The quality and sizes of the resulting DNA fragments were evaluated on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel. The libraries were quantified with a fluorometric method (Qubit, Life Technologies) and normalized to 4 nM using a standard dilution method. The libraries were pooled, denatured with 0.1 N NaOH and diluted to the final loading concentration of 12 pmol. An identically treated PhiX Control v3 adapter-ligated library at low-concentration spike-in of 1% was added as an in-lane positive control for alignment calculations and quantification efficiency. Paired-end sequencing was done on an Illumina MiSeq platform using a MiSeq Reagent Kit V3 600 cycles.

Sequence Quality Checking, Trimming and Assembly

Sequence data from Illumina platform were extracted and uploaded on Kbase. The quality of the raw sequences reads were assessed with FastQC (v0.11.5) (Wingett and Andrews, 2018). Low quality sequences and adapters were removed with Trimmomatic (v0.36) (Bolger et al., 2014). The sequences reads were *de novo* assembled using SPAdes (v3.13.0) (Bankevich et al., 2012).

Genome Annotation and Comparative Analysis

The genomes of our strains of interest were annotated using Prokka (v1.12) (Seemann, 2014), RAST (v0.11) (Overbeek et al., 2014) and the NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline (Tatusova et al., 2015). Algorithms of the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC 3.5.41) (Wattam et al., 2017), ResFinder (v3.1.0) (Zankari et al., 2012) and PlasmidFinder (v2.0) (Carattoli et al., 2014) were used to assess the resistome, plasmids and virulence factors in the draft genomes. The Genome Annotation Service in PATRIC uses k-mer-based Antibiotic resistance genes (ARG) detection method, which utilizes PATRIC's curated collection of representative ARG sequence variants and assigns to each ARG, functional annotation, broad mechanism of antibiotic resistance, drug class and, in some cases, specific antibiotic it confers resistance to. CGView server was used to generate a circular map of the genomes (Grant and Stothard, 2008). The phylogenetic relationships with other strains of the respective species of interest were also assessed with PATRIC (v3.5.41) (Wattam et al., 2017). Finally, the presence of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and bacteriophages in the draft genomes of interest were assessed with CRISPRFinder (Grissa et al., 2007) and PHASTER (Arndt et al., 2016).

Reference genomes from NCBI were used by PATRIC algorithms to generate a phylogenetic tree. The closest reference and representative genomes to our strains of interest were identified by Mash/MinHash (Ondov et al., 2016). PGfams were selected from these genomes to determine the phylogenetic placement of our genomes of interest. The protein sequences from these families were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), and the nucleotides for each of these sequences were mapped to the protein alignment. The joint set of amino acid and nucleotide alignments were concatenated into a data matrix, and RaxML (Stamatakis, 2014) was used to analyze this matrix with fast bootstrapping (Stamatakis et al., 2008) in order to generate the support values in the phylogenetic tree.

Data Analysis

Statistica 13 (StatSoft, TIBCO software Inc., United States) was utilized to organize and interpret the data generated in this study.

RESULTS

Genomic Assembly Features of *E. durans* NWUTAL1 and *E. gallinarum* S52016

VR *E. durans* Strain NWUTAL1 was recovered from fecal samples obtained from cattle while VR *E. gallinarum* strain S52016 was recovered from samples obtained from feedlot soil. Both strains possessed *vanC* resistance gene and their genome sequences were submitted to NCBI GenBank. Data derived from the assembly and the annotation of the genomes studied are summarized in **Table 1**. The genomes have 3,517 versus 2,351 protein coding sequences, respectively, 59 versus 30 transfer RNA sequences, respectively and 4 versus 5 ribosomal RNA sequences, respectively for strains NWUTAL1 and S52016.

Moreover, no miscellaneous RNA sequences were detected in these genomes (Table 1).

Genomic Annotation of Strains NWUTAL1 and S52016

Protein Features of Strains NWUTAL1 and S52016

Annotation generated data that included hypothetical proteins and proteins with functional assignments are shown in **Table 2**. Proteins with functional assignment included proteins with enzyme commission (EC) numbers, those with gene ontology (GO) assignments and those mapping on KEGG pathways. Annotation with PATRIC included two types of **TABLE 1** Assembly reports of *E. durans* NWUTAL1 and *E. gallinarum* S52016 genomes.

Features	E. durans NWUTAL1	E. gallinarum S52016	
Genome size (bp)	3,279,618	2,374,946	
DNA G + C content	40.76%	43.13%	
Number of contigs	747	18	
Contig N50	7,961	288,028	
Contig L50	92	4	
CDS	3,517	2,351	
tRNA	59	30	
rRNA	4	5	
Partial CDS	0	0	
Miscellaneous RNA	0	0	
Chromosomes	Present	Present	

TABLE 2 | Protein features of E. durans NWUTAL1 and E. gallinarum S52016.

Protein features	E. durans NWUTALE. gallinarum S52016		
Hypothetical proteins	934	507	
Proteins with functional assignments	2,583	1,844	
Proteins with EC number assignment	s 833	619	
Proteins with GO assignments	684	487	
Proteins with pathway assignments	554	429	
Proteins with PLfam assignments	3,082	2,168	
Proteins with PGfam assignments	3,246	2,262	

protein families: those of the genus-specific protein families (PLfams) and those belonging to the cross-genus protein family (PGfams). The protein features of the studied strains are presented in **Table 2**.

Subsystem Analysis of Strains NWUTAL1 and S52016 Genomes

A subsystem refers to a set of proteins that, altogether, implement a specific biological process or structural complex (Overbeek et al., 2005). PATRIC generated an overview of the subsystems inherent to each of the studied genomes (**Figure 1**). Genes involved in the different cellular processes were summed up and assigned to their respective subsystems.

VR *E. durans* NWUTAL1 strain displayed 122 genes belonging to 33 subsystems, which play a role in stress response, defense and virulence mechanisms, compared to *E. gallinarum* strain S52016, which displayed 76 genes belonging to 25 subsystems involved in the same mechanisms. Moreover, miscellaneous genes and subsystems were not detected in strain S52016 compared to strain NWUTAL1 (**Figure 1**). A circular graphic display of the distribution of the genomes annotations was generated (**Figure 2**).

Genes Involved in Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance

Analysis of the genomes revealed the presence of ARG as well as virulence genes. Both strains possessed glycopeptide resistance genes, aminoglycoside resistance genes, β -lactam resistance genes, macrolide resistance genes, tetracycline

resistance genes and peptide antibiotics resistance genes, among others. ARG were identified either as genes involved in antibiotic resistance processes (such as *tet* and *bla* genes), or as genes encoding targets that may play a role in resistance mechanisms (such as *gyrA* and *gyrB* which are housekeeping genes) (**Table 3**).

Some genes reported to be associated with virulence in pathogenic bacteria were noticed as follows: *pgaA* and *bopD* (biofilm formation); *cspE* (cold shock protein); *purB* (colonization factor); *ompA* (outer membrane porin); *ecbA* (cell wall surface anchor protein); and *perR* (peroxide stress regulator) for strain NWUTAL1; while *purB* (colonization factor), *ebpC* and *pgaA* (biofilm formation), *cspE* (a cold shock protein) and *ompA* as well as *ompF* (outer membrane porins) were detected in strain S52016. Moreover, a Copper/silver efflux RND transporter, outer membrane protein (*cusC*) was detected in both strains.

Assessment of CRISPR, Phages and Plasmids

No phages were detected in both strains. However, plasmids were detected in both strains (E. durans NWUTAL1 and E. gallinarum S52016) and aligned with reference plasmid sequences of the Enterobacteriaceae plasmids database. The plasmids detected in E. durans NWUTAL1 showed an identity of 99.3, 99.23, 96.54, 95.04, and 93.84% to plasmids Incl1 (accession number: AP005147), IncFII (accession number: AY458016), rep1 (accession number: NC011140), IncFll(pCoo) (accession number: CR942285), and IncFIB(AP001918) (accession number: AP001918), respectively. Comparatively, four plasmid sequences were detected in E. gallinarum S52016 and these demonstrated an identity of 97.32, 100, 99.38, and 95.04% to plasmids IncFII (accession number: AY458016), IncFIA (accession number: AP001918) IncFIB (pB171) (accession number: AB024946), and IncFII(pCoo) (accession number: CR942285), respectively. CRISPRFinder predicted three CRISPR on nodes 5, 307, and 729 in the genome of strain NWUTAL1. Three CRISPR were also detected on nodes 6, 1029, and 1030 in the genome of strain S52016.

Comparatively, three plasmid sequences were detected in *E. gallinarum* S52016 and these included IncFII, Incl1 and rep1. CRISPRFinder predicted three CRISPR on nodes 5, 307, and 729 in the genome of strain NWUTAL1. Three CRISPR were also detected on nodes 6, 1029, and 1030 in the genome of strain S52016.

Phylogenetic Assessment of Nucleotide Sequences of Strains NWUTAL1 and S52016

Based on the alignment of the 16S rDNA sequences, a high similarity was detected between strain NWUTAL1 and other strains of the same species from different sources. Similarly, strain S52016 was compared with other *E. gallinarum* strains and a high similarity was detected between them as well (**Figure 3**).

Moreover, sequences of the studied strains *vanC* genes were compared with that of a reference strain (accession number AF162694) that also possessed *vanC* gene. Identity scores of the *vanC* genes were 99.88 and 100 for strain NWUTAL1 and strain S52016, respectively.



FIGURE 1 | Subsystem analysis of strain NWUTAL1 (left) and strain S52016 (right).



DISCUSSION

Systematic monitoring of antibiotic usage and prevalence of antibiotic resistance among humans and animals as well as their pattern of spread in the environment, is of utmost importance as far as the management of bacterial infectious diseases and food safety are concerned (World Health Organization, 2015). The unavailability of infrastructure and resources in certain low income countries, such as insufficient financial means and underequipped hospitals with poor healthcare systems, has created gaps in the data generated worldwide, causing inefficient surveillance systems (World Health Organization, 2018). This study is in accordance with the "One Health Perspective" which was, therefore, designed to close these gaps in the antibiotic resistance surveillance data while emphasizing on the interconnections between the health and wellbeing of animals, humans, plants and their environment (World Health Organization, 2018).

The present investigation reveals features that are inherent to the genomes of two enterococcal isolates, namely: E. durans strain NWUTAL1 isolated from cattle feces of feedlots and E. gallinarum strain S52016 isolated from the soil of the same cattle feedlot. Data from whole genome sequence was used in the present study to assess their resistome and some virulence factors of importance. An explanation of the multidrug resistant nature of these isolates may be the ability of enterococci to adapt to their environment by incorporating, in their genomes, genetic determinants such as plasmids that harbor multiple genes, which altogether, code for resistance to either a single drug or multiple drugs (Clewell et al., 2014). Additionally, another explanation of these observations is the increased expression by enterococci of genes that code for multiple-drug efflux pumps, thus conferring to them, the ability to flush out of their cells, a wide range of antimicrobials (Miller et al., 2014). Moreover, antibiotic resistance in some cases is an inherent feature located in the chromosome, which is transmitted to progenies.

TABLE 3 | ARGs detected in strains NWUTAL1 and S52016.

NWUTAL1	S52016	Resistance genes	Antibiotic to which resistance is conferred	Antibiotic group	Function
	\checkmark	vanC1	vancomycin	Glycopeptides	D-alanine–D-serine ligase
\checkmark		vanC2/C3	vancomycin		D-alanine–D-serine ligase
\checkmark	\checkmark	vanXY-C	vancomycin		D-Ala-D-Ala dipeptidase/carboxypeptidase
\checkmark	\checkmark	<i>vanC/E/L/N-</i> type	vancomycin		vancomycin (or other glycopeptides) response regulator VanR
\checkmark	\checkmark	macA, macB	macrolides	Macrolides	macrolide-specific efflux protein macA, Macrolide export ATP-binding/permease protein macB
\checkmark	\checkmark	<i>rlmA</i> (II)	tylosin		23S rRNA (guanine(748)-N(1))-methyltransferase
	\checkmark	erm(A)	erythromycin	macrolides, streptogramins	23SrRNA(adenine(2058)-N(6))-dimethyltransferase
\checkmark	\checkmark	aac(6')-la	-	aminoglycosides	aminoglycoside N(6')-acetyltransferase
\checkmark	\checkmark	blaEC	-	β-lactams	class C β-lactamase
\checkmark		tet(A)	tetracycline	Tetracyclines	tetracycline resistance, MFS efflux pump
	\checkmark	tet(L)	tetracycline	tetracyclines	tetracycline resistance, MFS efflux pump
\checkmark	\checkmark	S10p	tetracycline	tetracyclines	SSU ribosomal protein S10p
\checkmark		gyrA	ciprofloxacine	Quinolones	DNA gyrase subunit A
\checkmark	\checkmark	gyrB	ciprofloxacine	Quinolones	DNA gyrase subunit B
	\checkmark	msbA	-	Quinolones	efflux pump conferring antibiotic resistance
\checkmark	\checkmark	S12p	streptomycin	aminoglycosides	SSU ribosomal protein S12p
\checkmark	\checkmark	rpoB, rpoC	myxopiremine	Peptides	DNA-directed RNA polymerase β-subunit
\checkmark		mdfA/cmr	multidrug efflux pump, quatern	nary ammonium compounds resistance	multidrug efflux pump <i>mdfA/cmr</i> (of MFS type), broad spectrum
\checkmark	V	liaF, liaR, liaS	daptomycin	peptide	membrane protein <i>liaF(VraT)</i> , specific inhibitor of <i>liaRS(VraRS)</i> signaling pathway, cell envelope stress response system <i>liaFSR</i> , response regulator <i>liaR(VraR)</i> , cell envelope stress response system <i>liaFSR</i> , sensor histidine kinase <i>liaS</i>
\checkmark		bcrC	bacitracin	Polypetide	undecaprenyl-diphosphatase <i>BcrC</i> (EC 3.6.1.27), conveys bacitracin resistance
\checkmark		mprF	moenomycin	phosphoglycolipid	L-O-lysylphosphatidylglycerol synthase
\checkmark		pgsA	Daptomycin	peptide	CDP-diacylglycerol–glycerol-3-phosphate 3-phosphatidyltransferase
\checkmark	\checkmark	ef-G	fusidic acid	Fusidane	translation elongation factor G
\checkmark	\checkmark	ef-TU	Elfamycins		translation elongation factor Tu
\checkmark	\checkmark	ddl, alr	cycloserines		D-alanine–D-alanine ligase and Alanine racemase
\checkmark	\checkmark	kasA	isoniazid, triclosan		3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] synthase, KASII
\checkmark	\checkmark	isotRNA	mupirocin	carboxylic acid	isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase
\checkmark	\checkmark	inhA, fabl	isoniazid, triclosan		Enoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase [NADH]
\checkmark	\checkmark	murA	fosfomycin	fosfonic antibiotics	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 1-carboxyvinyltransferase
\checkmark	\checkmark	folA, Dfr	trimethoprim		Dihydrofolate reductase

In this study, plasmids (Incl1, IncFII, rep1 and IncFIB) and most importantly, vancomycin (glycopeptide) resistance genes as well as genes of resistance to peptides, macrolides, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, streptogramins, quinolones and β -lactams were detected in the genomes of the studied strains, with many other resistance genes to antibiotics such as bacitracin, fosfomycin, trimethoprim and fusidic acid, among others (**Table 3**). Vancomycin resistance can be either intrinsic or acquired. Intrinsic resistance or low-level resistance refers to the ineffectiveness of a drug due to the possession of certain genetic features, which are inherent to a species. This type of resistance is common in *Enterococcus casseliflavus, E. durans* and *E. gallinarum*, and *vanC* (*vanC1*, *vanC2/C3*) resistance

gene confers such type of resistance (Ahmed and Baptiste, 2017). Comparatively, acquired resistance arises due to the uptake of genetic determinants either from the environment or from another bacterium. This type of resistance is common in *E. faecalis, E. faecium, E. durans* and less often, *Enterococcus avium* and *Enterococcus raffinosus*, and *vanA*, *vanB*, *vanD*, *vanE*, *vanG*, *vanL*, *vanM*, and *vanN* code for this type of resistance (Arthur et al., 1996; Ahmed and Baptiste, 2017). The vancomycin resistance genes detected in this study are involved in the intrinsic type of resistance mechanism and the same findings were reported elsewhere (Reid et al., 2001). Broadly, intrinsic glycopeptide resistance in enterococci arises when the peptidoglycan layer synthesis pathway is altered in



such a way that D-alanine-D-Alanine (D-Ala-D-Ala) is replaced by D-Alanine-D-Serine (D-Ala-D-Ser). This is mediated by chromosomal attributes that render glycopeptides inactive on such strains and their offspring. Although avoparcin, a growth promoter, which was initially incriminated for the emergence of VREs, has been banned three decades ago, VREs are continuously detected worldwide as it is the case in this study. It has been proved that the emergence of VREs is due to the usage of alternative growth promoters and antimicrobials, which continue to co-select vancomycin resistance due to selective pressure (Aarestrup, 2000). As a matter of fact, the use of the macrolide tylosin in Danish pig farms was found to co-select for vancomycin resistance among enterococci (Aarestrup, 2000). Moreover, some studies have revealed that usage of erythromycin and tetracyclines in animal rearing settings accounts for the co-selection of vancomycin resistance (Aarestrup, 2000). An exhaustive list of antibiotics currently used in animal farming settings in South Africa is provided in Supplementary Table S1. This list of antimicrobials conforms to our findings as far as vancomycin resistance and the other types of ARGs detected in this study are concerned (Table 3 and Supplementary Table S1). However, there is a need to further elucidate the mechanisms through which some of these antimicrobials coselect vancomycin resistance and this is a limitation of this study.

Administration of antimicrobials to animals, either as therapeutics or as growth promoters, causes drastic changes in the gut microbiota of animals, enhancing the proliferation of drug-resistant strains such as VREs. As demonstrated by a wide range of studies, enterococci, which were initially resistant to vancomycin or any other drug may acquire more antibiotic resistance genetic determinants and additional virulence factors with plasmids upon interaction with other bacteria of the gut, giving rise to multidrug resistant isolates, which may become pathogenic and subsequently, be shed with fecal matter (Doucet-Populaire et al., 1991; Rizzotti et al., 2009; Toomey et al., 2009). This assertion may be an additional explanation of our findings. Most of the virulence factors and the ARGs detected in this study have been previously screened in other enteric isolates (Ahmed and Baptiste, 2017). The antibiotic susceptibility profiles of strains NWUTAL1 and S52016 were previously assessed against nine antibiotics (vancomycin 30 µg, tetracycline 30 µg, erythromycin 15 µg, ampicillin 10 µg, amoxicillin 10 µg, chloramphenicol 30 µg, linezolid 30 µg, ciprofloxacin 5 µg, and penicillin 10 µg) (Tatsing and Ateba, 2019). The measurement and interpretation of the zones of inhibition revealed they were both intermediate for ciprofloxacin according to the CLSI guideline (CLSI, 2017). The antibiotic resistance profile for both strains was TET^R-AMP^R-AMX^R-VAN^R-CHL^R-PEN^R-LIN^R-ERY^R. From these findings, it is suggested that presence of multidrug resistant VREs in the environment may play a significant role in the transmission and acquisition of multidrug-resistant determinants such as vanA, vanB, vanC, tetK, tetL, msrA/B, and mefA. With the alarming increase in antibiotic resistance globally, these new and highly sensitive techniques such as WGS may be required to mitigate the role that environment plays in the transmission of antimicrobial resistant isolates.

When soil is mixed with manure in agricultural processes, resistance genes can be transferred either vertically or horizontally to soil microbiota. Through this process, commensals and human pathogens pick up genetic determinants such as resistance genes and virulence factors with plasmids in the already polluted soil environment (Boxall et al., 2002; Ding et al., 2014; Forsberg et al., 2014; Thanner et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). This assertion may additionally justify the detection of ARGs in the studied strains.

The effects of usage of antimicrobials in intensive rearing cannot be undermined as it has a significant impact on the environment and, consequently, on the safety of food items. Wastes from such farms may find their way into water bodies

used either in irrigation processes or for recreational purposes (Economou and Gousia, 2015). Consequently, these water bodies may be contaminated with ARG that may be incorporated into the genetic make-up of their microbiota; and whenever water from such sources is used in irrigation processes, ARG and multidrug resistant isolates are propagated unto crops, which will later on be eaten by humans and animals. This will consequently lead to a never ending cycle of transmission of ARGs to commensals and other potentially pathogenic bacteria, through the food chain and various microbiomes of the environment (Acar et al., 2012; Gonzalez-Zorn and Escudero, 2012; Wei et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Moreover, even if waste from such farms were treated before being released into the environment, the problem will not be resolved since antibiotics are not completely deactivated in the process of waste treatment and after a while in the environment, they always revert to their initial active form (Ding et al., 2014). Reports of food products contaminated by multidrug resistant isolates as a consequence of extensive usage of antimicrobials in intensive animal farming are numerous (Petersen et al., 2002; Rizzotti et al., 2009; Toomey et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2012; Drissner and Zürcher, 2014; Forsberg et al., 2014; Thanner et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Thus, such issues that could seriously impact food safety, should be addressed promptly.

CONCLUSION

The well-being of living beings depends undoubtedly on the quality of food ingested and the quality of the environment in which they thrive. Ever since antimicrobials were discovered and introduced in therapeutic regimens and intensive animal farming, the world has spawned into what many scientists call the "post-antibiotic era," with its huge consequences on the environment and food safety. One of such consequences is the emergence of multidrug resistant strains of bacteria and the probable availability of ARG into the environment that will later on contaminate food items through previously described mechanisms. This report highlights, on a microbiological perspective, the impact of intensive animal rearing on food safety. Two multidrug resistant enterococcal strains (namely; E. durans strain NWUTAL1 and E. gallinarum strain S52016), isolated from a cattle feedlot in the North West Province, South Africa, were assessed through genomics. The detection of ARGs that code for vancomycin, tylosin, tetracycline, erythromycin, β-lactam antibiotics, quinolones, fusidic acid, bacitracin and fosfomycin, among others, in their genomes, highlights the role that intensive farming practices, such as the abusive usage of antimicrobials has on the spread and the dissemination of resistant strains such as VREs in the environment, but most importantly the risk that such strains present as far as food safety is concerned. Environment has become a pool where genetic determinants are exchanged horizontally and vertically between organisms of different ecological niches. The consequences of industrial animal rearing on food safety and subsequently on human and animal health cannot be overemphasized, thus there is an urgent need

to consider alternatives to antibiotics and adopt lifestyles that are healthier and more environment-friendly.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/ **Supplementary Material**.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical clearance was issued by the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences (FNAS) Ethics Committee. The ethics certificate number is NWU-01221-19-S9. Moreover, authorization was granted by owners of the feedlots before collection of samples.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CA: conceptualization, resources, and funding acquisition. FF, CM, CB, and CA: methodology, software, validation, and investigation. FF and CM: formal analysis. FF: writing – original draft preparation. CM, CB, and CA: writing – review and editing, supervision, and project administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research received no external funding but was supported by the Department of Microbiology, North West University, South Africa. Authors also acknowledge the Department of Microbiology and the North West University postgraduate merit bursary for financial support.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is generated from data presented in the Ph.D. thesis of FF entitled "Assessment of feedlots cattle in the development and spread of Vancomycin resistant Enterococci" previously submitted to the North West University (NWU), South Africa (Tatsing, 2020) and is available online at repository.nwu.ac.za. The thesis has appeared only in the NWU repository and this is in line with the universities policy.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020. 571958/full#supplementary-material

 $\label{eq:super-$

REFERENCES

- Aarestrup, F. M. (2000). Characterization of glycopeptide-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* (GRE) from broilers and pigs in Denmark: genetic evidence that persistence of GRE in pig herds is associated with co-selection by resistance to macrolides. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 38, 2774–2777. doi: 10.1128/JCM.38.7.2774-2777.2000
- Aarestrup, F. M., Kruse, H., Tast, E., Hammerum, A. M., and Jensen, L. B. (2000). Associations between the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion and the occurrence of resistance among *Enterococcus faecium* from broilers and pigs in Denmark. *Finland, and Norway. Microb. Drug Resist.* 6, 63–70. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2000.6.63
- Acar, J. F., Moulin, G., Page, S. W., and Pastoret, P. P. (2012). Antimicrobial resistance in animal and public health: introduction and classification of antimicrobial agents. *Rev. Sci. Tech.* 31, 15–21. doi: 10.20506/rst.31.1.2093
- Ahmed, M. O., and Baptiste, K. E. (2017). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a review of antimicrobial resistant mechanisms and perspectives of human and animal health. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 24, 590–606. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2017.0147
- Arndt, D., Grant, J. R., Marcu, A., Sajed, T., Pon, A., Liang, Y., et al. (2016). PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W16–W21. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw387
- Arthur, M., Reynolds, P., and Courvalin, P. (1996). Glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. Trends Microbiol. 4, 401–407. doi: 10.1016/0966-842X(96)10063-9
- Bager, F., Madsen, M., Christensen, J., and Aarestrup, F. M. (1999). Avoparcin used as a growth promoter is associated with the occurrence of vancomycinresistant *Enterococcus faecium* on Danish poultry and pig farms. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 31, 95–112. doi: 10.1016/s0167-5877(96)01119-1
- Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012. 0021
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10. 1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Boxall, A. B., Blackwell, P., Cavallo, R., Kay, P., and Tolls, J. (2002). The sorption and transport of a sulphonamide antibiotic in soil systems. *Toxicol. Lett.* 131, 19–28. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4274(02)00063-2
- Carattoli, A., Zankari, E., García-Fernández, A., Voldby, L. M., Lund, O., Villa, L., et al. (2014). In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 58, 3895–3903. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02412-14
- Clewell, D. B., Weaver, K. E., Dunny, G. M., Coque, T. M., Francia, M. V., and Hayes, F. (2014). "Extrachromosomal and mobile elements in enterococci: transmission, maintenance, and epidemiology," in . *Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection*, eds M. S. Gilmore, D. B. Clewell, Y. Ike, and N. Shankar (Boston, MA: Eye and Ear Infirmary).
- CLSI (2017). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, 27th Edn. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Courvalin, P. (2006). Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 42, 25–34. doi: 10.1086/491711
- Depardieu, F., Perichon, B., and Courvalin, P. (2004). Detection of the van alphabet and identification of enterococci and staphylococci at the species level by multiplex PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42, 5857–5860. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.12.5857-5860.2004
- Ding, G. C., Radl, V., Schloter-Hai, B., Jechalke, S., Heuer, H., Smalla, K., et al. (2014). Dynamics of soil bacterial communities in response to repeated application of manure containing sulfadiazine. *PLoS One* 9:e92958. doi: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0092958
- Doucet-Populaire, F., Trieu-Cuot, P., Dosbaa, I., Andremont, A., and Courvalin, P. (1991). Inducible transfer of conjugative transposon Tn1545 from *Enterococcus faecalis* to *Listeria monocytogenes* in the digestive tracts of gnotobiotic mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 35, 185–187. doi: 10.1128/aac.35. 1.185
- Drissner, D., and Zürcher, U. (2014). "Microbial safety of fresh fruits and vegetables," in *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, Vol. 3, ed. Y. Motarjemi (Oxford: Elsevier).

- Economou, V., and Gousia, P. (2015). Agriculture and food animals as a source of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 8, 49–61. doi: 10.2147/IDR. S55778
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Forsberg, K. J., Patel, S., Gibson, M. K., Lauber, C. L., Knight, R., Fierer, N., et al. (2014). Bacterial phylogeny structures soil resistomes across habitats. *Nature* 509, 612–616. doi: 10.1038/nature13377
- Gonzalez-Zorn, B., and Escudero, J. A. (2012). Ecology of antimicrobial resistance: humans, animals, food and environment. *Int. Microbiol.* 15, 101–109. doi: 10. 2436/20.1501.01.163
- Grant, J. R., and Stothard, P. (2008). The CGView server: a comparative genomics tool for circular genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W181–W184. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkn179
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G., and Pourcel, C. (2007). CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, W52–W57. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm360
- Jenney, A., Franklin, C., Liolos, L., and Spelman, D. (2000). *Enterococcus durans* vanB. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 46, 513–519. doi: 10.1093/jac/46.3.515
- Marshall, B. M., and Levy, S. B. (2011). Food animals and antimicrobials: impacts on human health. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 24, 718–733. doi: 10.1128/CMR. 00002-11
- Miller, W. R., Munita, J. M., and Arias, C. A. (2014). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in enterococci. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infect. Ther.* 12, 1221–1236. doi: 10.1586/14787210.2014.956092
- Myllys, V., and Rautala, H. (1995). Characterization of clinical mastitis in primiparous heifers. *J. Dairy Sci.* 78, 538–545. doi: 10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(95) 76664-4
- Ondov, B. D. T., Reangen, T. J., Melsted, P., Mallonee, A. B., Bergman, N. H., Koren, S., et al. (2016). Mash: fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. *Genome Biol.* 17:132. doi: 10.1186/s13059-016-0997-x
- Overbeek, R., Begley, T., Butler, R. M., Choudhuri, J. V., Chuang, H. Y., Cohoon, M., et al. (2005). The subsystems approach to genome annotation and its use in the project to annotate 1000 genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 5691–5702. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki866
- Overbeek, R., Olson, R., Pusch, G. D., Olsen, G. J., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., et al. (2014). The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D206–D214. doi: 10. 1093/nar/gkt1226
- Petersen, A., Andersen, J. S., Kaewmack, T., Somsiri, T., and Dalsgaard, A. (2002). Impact of integrated fish farming on antimicrobial resistance in a pond environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 6036–6042. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68. 12.6036-6042.2002
- Rangel, F. A. A., Rodrigues, S. S. S., Faria, A. R., Planet, P. J., Carreira, M. V. L., and Teixeira, M. L. (2019). Draft genome sequence of multidrugresistant *Enterococcus faecium* Strain E1298, with a Sequence Type 1274 profile, recovered from the cloacal microbiome of a tropical screech Owl (*Megascops choliba*) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 8:e00168-19. doi: 10.1128/MRA.00168-19
- Reid, K. C., Cockerill, F. R. III, and Patel, R. (2001). Clinical and epidemiological features of Enterococcus casseliflavus/flavescens and Enterococcus gallinarum bacteremia: a report of 20 cases. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 32, 1540–1546. doi: 10.1186/ s12879-019-4136-7
- Rizzotti, L., La Gioia, F., Dellaglio, F., and Torriani, S. (2009). Molecular diversity and transferability of the tetracycline resistance gene tet(M), carried on Tn916-1545 family transposons, in enterococci from a total food chain. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 96, 43–52. doi: 10.1007/s10482-009-9334-7
- Rogers, D. G., Zeman, D. H., and Erikson, E. D. (1992). Diarrhea associated with Enterococcus durans in calves. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 4, 471–472. doi: 10.1177/ 104063879200400423
- Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- Shah, S. Q. A., Colquhoun, D. J., Nikuli, H. L., and Sørum, H. (2012). Prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes in the bacterial flora of integrated fish farming environments of Pakistan and Tanzania. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 8672–8679. doi: 10.1021/es3018607

- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1312–1313. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu033
- Stamatakis, A., Hoover, P., and Rougemont, J. (2008). A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML web servers. Syst. Biol. 57, 758–771. doi: 10.1080/ 10635150802429642
- Sundermann, A. J., Babiker, A., Marsh, J. W., Shutt, K. A., Mustapha, M. M., Pasculle, A. W., et al. (2019). Outbreak of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* in interventional radiology: detection through whole genome sequencing-based surveillance. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 70, 2336–2343. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz666
- Tatsing, F. F. E. (2020). Assessment of Feedlots Cattle in the Development and Spread of Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci. Ph.D. thesis, North West University, Vanderbijlpark.
- Tatsing, F. F. E., and Ateba, C. N. (2019). Detection of virulence genes in multidrug resistant enterococci isolated from feedlot dairy and beef cattle: implications for human health and food safety. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2019:5921840. doi: 10.1155/ 2019/5921840
- Tatusova, T., DiCuccio, M., Badretdin, A., Chetvernin, V., Nawrocki, E. P., Zaslavsky, L., et al. (2015). NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D599–D605. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw569
- Taucer-Kapteijin, M., Hoogenboezem, W., Heiliegers, L., Danny de Bolster, H., and Medema, G. (2016). Screening municipal wastewater effluent and surface water used for drinking water production for the presence of ampicillin and vancomycin resistant enterococci. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 16, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.04.007
- Thanner, S., Drissner, D., and Walsh, F. (2016). Antimicrobial resistance in agriculture. *mBio* 7:e02227-15. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02227-15
- Toomey, N., Monaghan, A., Fanning, S., and Bolton, D. J. (2009). Assessment of antimicrobial resistance transfer between lactic acid bacteria and potential foodborne pathogens using in vitro methods and mating in a food matrix. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 6, 925–933. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2009.0278

- Wattam, A. R., Davis, J. J., Assaf, R., Boisvert, S., Brettin, T., Bun, C., et al. (2017). Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource center. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D535–D542. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkw1017
- Wei, R., He, T., Zhang, S., Zhu, L., Shang, B., Li, Z., et al. (2019). Occurrence of seventeen veterinary antibiotics and resistant bacteria in manure-fertilized vegetable farm soil in four provinces of China. *Chemosphere* 215, 234–240. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.09.152
- Wingett, S. W., and Andrews, S. (2018). FastQ screen: a tool for multi-genome mapping and quality control. *F1000 Res.* 7:1338. doi: 10.12688/f1000research. 15931.2
- World Health Organization (2015). Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance. Geneva: WHO.
- World Health Organization (2018). WHO Report on Surveillance of Antibiotic Consumption: 2016–2018 Early Implementation. Geneva: WHO.
- Zankari, E., Hasman, H., Cosentino, S., Vestergaard, M., Rasmussen, S., Lund, O., et al. (2012). Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67, 2640–2644. doi: 10.1093/jac/dks261
- Zhang, Y.-J., Hu, H.-W., Chen, Q.-L., Singh, B. K., Yan, H., Chen, D., et al. (2019). Transfer of antibiotic resistance from manure-amended soils to vegetable microbiomes. *Environ. Int.* 130:104912. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.104912

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Foka, Mienie, Bezuidenhout and Ateba. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.