

## REFERENCES

1. L. Taylor, "Residues of Fibonacci-Like Sequences," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, Vol. 5, No. 3 (Oct. 1967), pp. 298-304.
2. C. C. Yalavigi, "On a Theorem of L. Taylor," *Math. Edn.*, 4 (1970), p. 105.

\*\*\*\*\*

# COMPOSITES AND PRIMES AMONG POWERS OF FIBONACCI NUMBERS, INCREASED OR DECREASED BY ONE

V. E. HOGGATT, JR., and MARJORIE BICKNELL-JOHNSON  
San Jose State University, San Jose, California 95192

It is well known that, among the Fibonacci numbers  $F_n$ , given by

$$F_1 = 1 = F_2, \quad F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}.$$

$F_n + 1$  is composite for each  $n \geq 4$ , while  $F_n - 1$  is composite for  $n \geq 7$ . It is easily shown that  $F_n^2 \pm 1$  is also composite for any  $n$ , since

$$F_n^2 \pm 1 = F_{n-2}F_{n+2}, \quad F_n^2 \mp 1 = F_{n+1}F_{n-1}.$$

Here, we raise the question of when  $F_k^m \pm 1$  is composite.

First, if  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $F_k$  is odd,  $F_k^m$  is odd, and  $F_k^m \pm 1$  is even and hence composite. Now, suppose we deal with  $F_{3k}^m \pm 1$ . Since  $A^n - B^n$  always has  $(A - B)$  as a factor, we see that  $F_{3k}^m - 1^m$  is composite except when  $(A - B) = 1$ ; that is, for  $k = 1$ . Thus,

**Theorem 1.**  $F_k^m - 1$  is composite,  $k \neq 3$ .

We return to  $F_{3k}^m + 1$ . For  $m$  odd, then  $A^m + B^m$  is known to have the factor  $(A + B)$ , so that  $F_{3k}^m + 1^m$  has the factor  $(F_{3k} + 1)$ , and hence is composite. If  $m$  is even, every even  $m$  except powers of 2 can be written in the form  $(2j + 1)2^i = m$ , so that

$$F_{3k}^m + 1^m = (F_{3k}^{2^i})^{2j+1} + (1^{2^i})^{2j+1}$$

which, from the known factors of  $A^m + B^m$ ,  $m$  odd, must have  $(F_{3k}^{2^i} + 1)$  as a factor, and hence,  $F_{3k}^m + 1$  is composite.

This leaves only the case  $F_{3k}^m + 1$ , where  $m = 2^i$ . When  $k = 1$ , we have the Fermat primes  $2^{2^i} + 1$ , prime for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  but composite for  $i = 5, 6$ . It is an unsolved problem whether or not  $2^{2^i} + 1$  has other prime values. We note in passing that, when  $k = 2$ ,  $F_6 = 8 = 2^3$ , and  $8^m \pm 1 = (2^3)^m \pm 1 = (2^m)^3 \pm 1$  is always composite, since  $A^3 \pm B^3$  is always factorable. It is thought that  $F_9^4 + 1$  is a prime.

Since  $F_{3k} \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$ ,  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $F_{15k}^{2^i} + 1 = 10^{2^i} \cdot t + 1$ .

Since  $F_{3k}^{2^i} \equiv 6 \pmod{10}$ ,  $i \geq 2$ ,  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ ,  $F_{3k}^{2^i} + 1$  has the form  $10t + 7$ ,  $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . We can summarize these remarks as

**Theorem 2.**  $F_k^m + 1$  is composite,  $k \neq 3$ ,  $F_{3k}^m + 1$  is composite,  $m \neq 2^i$ .

It is worthwhile to note the actual factors in at least one case. Since

$$F_{k+2}F_{k-2} - F_k^2 = (-1)^{k+1}$$

$$F_{k+1}F_{k-1} - F_k^2 = (-1)^k$$

moving  $F_k^2$  to the right-hand side and then multiplying yields

$$F_{k-2}F_{k-1}F_{k+1}F_{k+2} = F_k^4 - 1.$$

We now note that

$$F_k^5 - F_k = F_{k-2}F_{k-1}F_kF_{k+1}F_{k+2}$$

which causes one to ask if this is divisible by 5!. The answer is yes, if  $k \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , but if  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , then only 30 can be guaranteed as a divisor.

\*\*\*\*\*