



Fink H, Friedl J, Stimming U. <u>Composition of the Electrode Determines Which</u> <u>Half-Cell's Rate Constant is Higher in a Vanadium Flow Battery</u>. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2016, (ePub ahead of Print).

# Copyright:

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in *Journal of Physical Chemistry C,* copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b12098">http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b12098</a>

# Date deposited:

07/04/2016

Embargo release date:

18 March 2017



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License

Newcastle University ePrints - eprint.ncl.ac.uk

# Composition of the Electrode Determines which Half-Cell's Rate Constant is Higher in a Vanadium Flow Battery

Holger Fink<sup>1</sup>, Jochen Friedl<sup>2</sup>, Ulrich Stimming<sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bavarian Center for Applied Energy Research (ZAE Bayern), Energy Storage, Walther-Meißner-Str. 6, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>2</sup> School of Chemistry, Bedson Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> TUM CREATE, 1 CREATE Way, #10-02 CREATE Tower, 138602 Singapore

**ABSTRACT**: Vanadium Flow Batteries are a promising system for stationary energy storage. One of their shortcomings is a low power-density caused by slow kinetics of the redox reactions. To alleviate this drawback, many studies tried to catalyze the redox reactions. However, up to now, there is no consensus in the literature which of the two half-cell reactions, the V<sup>2+</sup>/V<sup>3+</sup>- or the VO<sup>2+</sup>/VO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>-reaction, features the slower electron transfer.

The present study is the first showing that reaction rates for the half-cells are of the same order of magnitude with their respective rate constants depending on the composition of the electrode

material.

The surface functional groups hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl on carbon increase the wetted surface area, catalyze the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  redox reaction but impede the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  redox reaction. This complex situation was unraveled by using a newly developed procedure based on electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Reaction mechanisms based on these results are discussed.

# **KEYWORDS: Redox Flow Batteries, Vanadium, Electron Transfer, Catalysis, Kinetics**

# **1. Introduction**

Vanadium Flow Batteries (VFB) are a promising system for stationary energy storage and could be useful for grid integration and energy management of renewables or peak shaving<sup>1,2</sup>. For a successful implementation capital cost still have to be reduced, which can be achieved by optimizing all components of the full system<sup>3,4</sup>. One possible point of leverage are the electrodes, for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  as well as for the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  half-cell. Optimization of these can be either done by increasing their wetted surface area, or by enhancing their electrochemical activity.

The current state of knowledge regarding the kinetics is compiled in Table 1. It lists studies that compare the electron transfer rate constants  $k_0$  of various carbon electrodes towards the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$  redox reactions. In Table 1 the values for the  $k_0$  spread over three orders of magnitude. Three factors can be identified that influence the activity of an electrode for the two vanadium redox reactions, assuming the current *I* in an electrochemical experiment is a measure for activity. First, the wetted surface area  $A^{wet}$ . Second, the electron transfer rate constant  $k_0$ . Third, the surface properties of the electrode which may influence both  $k_0$  and  $A^{wet}$ .

$$I \propto k_0(\gamma) A^{wet}(\gamma) \tag{1}$$

In this study the amount of functional groups, either hydroxyl or carbonyl and carboxyl combined, and the amount of graphitic carbon will be investigated as potential material properties  $\gamma$  that influence  $k_0$  and  $A^{wet}$ .

To give a conclusive answer to which vanadium reaction has the higher  $k_0$ , one has to explore the three dimensional parameter space spanned up by  $k_0$ ,  $A^{wet}$  and  $\gamma$ . A closer look at Table 1 reveals that this has not been done before.

Electrode	Method	Results	Ref
GC	CV, SSP	$V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =1.0 10 <sup>-6</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup>	8
		$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}: k_0=2.2 \ 10^{-6} \ cm \ s^{-1}$	
GC	CV, RDE	$V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =1.2 10 <sup>-4</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup> , "poisoning" of electrode	9,10
		decreases activity	
		$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =7.5 10 <sup>-4</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup> , strong dependence on GC	
		preparation stated	
PFC	CV	$V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =5.3 10 <sup>-4</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup>	11
		$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}: k_0 = 8.5 \ 10^{-4} \ cm \ s^{-1}$	
GF, raw,	CV	$V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =1.54 10 <sup>-5</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup>	12
heat- and		$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}: k_0=1.83 \ 10^{-5} \ cm \ s^{-1}$	
acid-treated		both values increase slightly with heat/acid treatment.	
СР	In-situ	$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$ 44 times faster than $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$	13
	polarization		
PFC	SSP	$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$ approx. 10 times slower than $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$	14
CP, GC,	CV	$V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =3.5 10 <sup>-5</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup> (HOPG) ; 1.1 10 <sup>-3</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup> (CP)	15

HOPG		$VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$ : k <sub>0</sub> =5.17 10 <sup>-5</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup> (HOPG) ; 1.0 10 <sup>-3</sup> cm s <sup>-1</sup>	
		(CP)	
GC	CV, EIS	Pretreatment of GC at positive potentials actives electrode	16
		for $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ , deactivates for $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$	

**Table 1:** List of studies comparing the rate constants of electrodes towards the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$  redox reaction<sup>1</sup>.

Yamamura et al. investigated the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$  redox reaction on the c-plane of pyrolytic carbon and plastic formed carbon (PFC)<sup>11</sup>. The electron transfer constants were determined by analyzing CV data with the formulas given by Bard and Faulkner for slow redox systems<sup>17</sup>. They also used the  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$  redox couple to probe the  $A^{wet}$  of their electrode. Thus, they determined  $k_0$  and  $A^{wet}$  for the two vanadium reactions on their electrodes. But the function  $k_0(\gamma)$  may be different for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$  redox reactions (as we will show later) and therefore their answer, of which reaction is characterized by the higher  $k_0(\gamma)$ , is correct only on electrodes of exactly the same making as the ones employed in the study. Agar et al. varied the composition of electrodes and recorded CVs on pristine, acid- and heattreated graphite felt electrodes<sup>12</sup>. However, they were unable to separate kinetic  $(k_0)$  from surface area (A<sup>wet</sup>) effects on their high-surface area electrode material. In addition, an apparent catalytic effect appears when porous electrode materials are investigated with cyclic voltammetry and was unaccounted for; the porosity reduces the separation between anodic and cathodic peak suggesting enhanced kinetics when indeed only an interplay of enlarged electrochemical surface area and impeded diffusion within the porous electrode is  $present^{6,18}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>GC – glassy carbon, PFC – plastic formed carbon, GF – graphite felt, HOPG – highly oriented pyrolytic graphite, CP – carbon paper, CV – cyclic voltammetry, SSP – steady state polarization, RDE – rotating disk electrode, EIS – electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.

Bourke et al. changed the surface composition of a glassy carbon electrode by electrochemical pre-treatment and recorded cyclic voltammograms and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data in electrolytes containing  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  or  $V^{2+}/V^{3+16}$ . They stated that pretreatment increases the rate constant of  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and reduces the rate constant of  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$ , but it was not clearly resolved whether that altered activity stems from kinetics or surface area effects. Previously, we presented a method to clearly separate contributions from  $k_0$  and  $A^{wet}$  based on the fact that both the charge transfer resistance  $R_{CT}$  and the double layer capacitance  $C_{DL}$  obtained from EIS are proportional to  $A^{wet}$ , which allowed us to determine  $k_0$  unambiguously<sup>6</sup>. This method was employed to investigate the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  reaction on multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) that were decorated with variable amounts of surface functional groups. This was the first study that showed that for the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  redox reaction  $k_0(\gamma)$  decreases with the amount of surface functional groups  $\gamma$ .

The present study employs the same method to determine the three-dimensional parameter space of electrochemical activity  $(k_0)$ , surface area  $(A^{wet})$  and surface composition of electrode  $\gamma$  for the vanadium reactions on two commercially available graphite felt electrodes. It will be shown that for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  redox reaction  $k_0$  increases with amount of surface functional groups  $\gamma$ , while it decreases for  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$ . At the same time,  $A^{wet}$  increases with  $\gamma$  for both reactions. This complex behavior for  $I \propto k_0(\gamma) A^{wet}(\gamma)$  might have led to the contradictory results listed in Table 1. Possible mechanisms that explain the diametric behavior of  $k_0(\gamma)$  for the two vanadium reactions will be discussed.

#### 2. Experimental Methods

#### 2.1 Materials and Reagents

All chemicals were analytical grade and used without further purification. Ultra-pure type I water was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system (Merck Millipore) and was used with sulfuric acid to prepare the supporting electrolyte. The vanadium electrolyte was prepared by electrolysis in a VFB and diluting with  $2 M H_2SO_4$  to obtain electrolytes with  $50 mM V^{2+}$  and  $50 mM V^{3+}$  (anolyte) or  $50 mM VO^{2+}$  and  $50 mM VO_2^{+}$  (catholyte).

GFD and GFA graphite felts from SGL Carbon each from one batch were used as electrode material. Both materials are made from fibers that are carbonized and successively graphitized.

#### 2.2 Electrode Preparation and Characterization

For both types of graphite felt electrodes, GFA and GFD, an *untreated*, pristine sample and a heat-treated sample, called *treated*, were prepared. GFD is made from Polyacrylnitrile (PAN) and exhibits a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of  $0.44 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}^{19}$ . GFA is made from cellulose (Rayon) with a BET surface area of  $0.90 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}^{19}$ . Rayon-based felts (GFA) are more easily oxidized to form surface functional groups than PAN-based felts (GFD) because they exhibit a rougher surface microstructure with more edge planes <sup>20</sup>. It is reasonable to assume that the higher surface area of GFA enhances this effect. To achieve comparable degrees of coverage with surface functional groups the heat-treatment was performed for 20 h in laboratory atmosphere at 400 °C for GFD and same procedure for 1 h for GFA. We did not register a significant mass loss (< 1%) of the electrodes due to heat treatment. Sun reported a mass loss of approximately 1% when heating a 3 mm thick graphite felt at 400 °C for 30 h in lab atmosphere<sup>21</sup>. Whitehead et al. registered a mass loss >1% for a PAN-based graphitic felt only when the material was exposed to temperatures higher than 500 °C for 2 hours<sup>22</sup>.

The preparation of the electrode material is schematically shown in Fig. 1. Cylindrical electrodes are punched out from sheets of graphite felt by hole punches with different diameters (6, 8, 10 and 12 mm) and cleaned with  $N_2$  gas. The original thickness of 4.6 mm for GFD and 6 mm for GFA was maintained. Prior to electrochemical characterization the electrodes were submersed in electrolyte and filled with it by a vacuum infiltration process. The submersed electrode was exposed to a vacuum in a pressure stable glass container and then pressurized by *Ar*. Repeating this procedure ensured that the felts were entirely wetted with electrolyte. The felts were contacted by centrally piercing them with a cleaned pencil mine (Staedtler, HB, 0.7 mm diameter, Mars micro carbon). The surface area of the pencil mine which was exposed to the electrolyte was 15 mm<sup>2</sup> for GFD electrodes and 18 mm<sup>2</sup> for GFA electrodes. The approximated BET area exposed to the electrolyte of the smallest felt electrode (GFD, diameter 6 mm, thickness 4.6 mm, volume 0.13 cm<sup>3</sup>, density 0.11 g cm<sup>-3 19</sup>) is 6.3 · 10<sup>3</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>. The electrode characterization was performed by XPS employing a Thermo Fisher Scientific

Theta Probe with a monochromatic X-ray  $Al K\alpha$  x-ray source.



**Figure 1**: Schematic of the electrode preparation process and the insertion of the electrode in the three-electrode setup. Counter electrode (CE); Working electrode (WE); Reference electrode (RE).

# 2.3 Electrochemical Setup

A schematic of the electrochemical setup used is shown in Fig. 1. The four different types of sample, GFD untreated, GFD treated, GFA untreated and GFA treated were centrally pierced with a pencil mine and used as working electrodes in a three-electrode setup. This method of contacting the felt was preferred over the alternative contact via a platinum "fish-hook" as it does not introduce a reactive metal into the solution<sup>23</sup>. The pencil mine does not contribute significantly to the signal from the felt electrodes (see Fig. 4) and the recorded ohmic resistances  $R_{Ohm}$  do not deviate much for the various measurements. The average values for  $R_{Ohm}$  and the standard deviation for all *16* measurements of one kind of graphite felt are: For GFD felts

 $R_{Ohm}^{GFD} = (0.97 \pm 0.14) \Omega$  and for GFA felts  $R_{Ohm}^{GFA} = (1.13 \pm 0.16) \Omega$ . We assume that a possible non-uniform current distribution within the felt does not introduce a significant systematic error because the amplitude used in the EIS measurements is only 10 mV and therefore the current density within the electrode is small.

In a custom-built glass-cell a gold sheet (in catholyte) and a piece of graphite felt ( $10 \text{ cm}^2$ , in anolyte) served as counter electrodes. A Mercury/Mercourus Sulfate electrode in  $2 M H_2SO_4$  (MSE, 0.67 V vs. Normal Hydrogen Electrode (NHE)<sup>24</sup>) was used as reference electrode. All potentials reported are recalculated to NHE. Before each measurement the electrolyte was purged for at least 15 min with argon to remove dissolved oxygen from the solution. A Bio-Logic SP-240 potentiostat was used for potential control and data acquisition.

#### 2.4. Evaluation of Electron Transfer Kinetics

A novel method to extract kinetic information for rough and also porous structures was described in reference<sup>6</sup>. It was developed because potential scanning methods are not suitable for porous, high surface area electrode<sup>6,18,25</sup>, and values for the surface area based on BET might be largely different from the wetted surface area  $A^{wet 26}$ . Equations for charge transfer resistance  $R_{CT}$ ,

$$R_{CT} = \frac{RT}{nF j_0 A^{wet}}$$
(2)

and double layer capacitance  $C_{DL}$ ,

$$C_{DL} = \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0 \frac{A^{wet}}{t_{DL}}$$
(3)

contain besides the exchange current density  $j_0$ , the gas constant *R*, the absolute temperature *T*, number of transferred electrons *n*, Faraday constant *F*, relative dielectric permeability  $\varepsilon_r$ , permittivity of free space  $\varepsilon_0$  and the thickness of the double layer  $t_{DL}$  the wetted surface area  $A^{wet}$ . Simple substitution leads to:

$$R_{CT}^{-1} = \frac{n F t_{DL}}{R T \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0} j_0 C_{DL}$$
(4)

This indicates that  $R_{CT}$  decreases inversely with  $C_{DL}$  and that the slope of Eq. 4 yields the kinetic information  $j_0$  (which is directly proportional to  $k_0$ ) unambiguously. This method was applied for elucidating the kinetic parameters of the redox system and the electrodes used in this study.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Characterization of Electrode Material

The felt samples were investigated by XPS to assess the influence of the heat treatment on the surface composition. The *C1s* spectra were fitted with distributions for the binding energies for graphitic carbon (*C-C*, 284.5 eV), hydroxyl groups (*C-OH*, 285.0 eV) and carbonyl and carboxyl (*C=O* and *O-C=O*, 286.0 eV). The spectra with fitted curves for all four samples are shown in Figure S1. The relative surface concentration of various species can be estimated from the peak sizes<sup>27</sup>. The atomic composition of the surface for the four samples is shown in Figure 2. Heat treatment increases the amount of hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl functional groups on the surface of GFD and GFA graphite felts. This increase in functional groups with heat treatment is well documented for graphite felts, both PAN- and Rayon-based<sup>20,21,28,29</sup>. It has also been reported that Rayon-based fibers form oxygen containing surface groups upon oxidative treatment faster than PAN-based fibers<sup>20</sup>, therefore we chose the GFA heat-treatment to be shorter. Nevertheless, on GFA more oxygen containing functional groups are generated than on

GFD. For activated carbon it was shown that thermal treatment at  $425 \,^{\circ}C$  increases the amount of carbonyl and hydroxyl groups, which fits well with our observation<sup>30</sup>.



Figure 2: Composition of graphite felt samples as determined from C1s XPS spectra.

# 3.2 Investigation of the Redox Reaction in the Catholyte

For the kinetic evaluation of the electrodes in the catholyte graphite felts with varying sizes were immersed in electrolyte containing 50 mM VO<sup>2+</sup> and 50 mM VO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> in 2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, henceforth called *catholyte*. EIS was performed at the open circuit potential (OCP) of the cell  $U_{OCP} = 0.97$  V vs. NHE which corresponds well with the standard potential  $U_0 = 1.00$  V vs. NHE<sup>31</sup>. Nyquist plots for sample GFD untreated are shown in Fig. 3a. From these it is obvious that with increasing electrode diameter (volume)  $R_{CT}$  decreases. Fig. 3b gives one exemplary Bode representation for a GFD untreated electrode with a diameter of 6 mm and volume 0.13 cm<sup>3</sup>. To extract quantitative values all spectra were fitted with the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 3a. Except for frequencies larger than  $10^5 Hz$  the fit does not deviate from the experimental data. The constant phase element (CPE) was transformed to  $C_{DL}$  using the formula given by Hirschorn et al. for a surface time-constant distribution <sup>32</sup>. The CPE parameter  $\alpha$  was always  $0.94 < \alpha < 1$ .



**Figure 3**: Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results for GFD untreated in the catholyte. The fits to the data points are shown as solid lines (a) Nyquist plots of four electrodes with diameters from 6 to 12 mm. The equivalent circuit used for the fitting is shown in the inset. (b) Exemplary Bode plot of the 6 mm electrode.

Extracted values for  $C_{DL}$  and  $R_{CT}^{-1}$  for all four electrode materials are shown in Fig. 4. Also  $R_{CT}^{-1}$  and  $C_{DL}$  determined for the pencil mine sample holder are shown as black data point adjacent to the origin.

As Eq. 4 predicts, all data points can be fitted linearly,  $R_{CT}$  decreases with increasing  $C_{DL}$ . This slope is a measure for  $j_0$  and can be used to compare electrode materials and redox couples<sup>6</sup>. It varies for the electrode materials and the untreated samples have a larger slope than the heat-treated samples.



**Figure 4**: (a) Inverse charge transfer resistance  $(R_{CT}^{-1})$  plotted over the double layer capacitance  $(C_{DL})$  in the catholyte for the four electrode materials under investigation. (b) Details for lower capacitances.

3.3 Investigation of the Redox Reaction in the Anolyte



**Figure 5**: Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy results for GFD untreated in the anolyte. The fits to the data points are shown as solid lines. (a) Nyquist plots of four electrodes with diameters from to 12 mm. The equivalent circuit used for the fitting is shown in the inset. (b) Exemplary Bode plot of the 6 mm electrode.

The same process as described in section 3.2 was performed for an electrolyte containing  $50 \ mM \ V^{2+}$  and  $50 \ mM \ V^{3+}$  in  $2 \ M \ H_2 SO_4$ , henceforth called *anolyte*. The EIS was conducted at  $U_{OCP} = -0.22 \ V \ vs$ . NHE which corresponds well with the standard potential  $U_0 = -0.26 \ V \ vs$ . NHE given in the literature<sup>31</sup>. Exemplary EIS data with fitted curves and equivalent circuit are shown in Fig. 4 for untreated GFD felt electrodes. As before, larger electrodes are characterized

by smaller semicircles. Values for  $C_{DL}$  and  $R_{CT}^{-1}$  are calculated and shown in Fig. 6 for all electrode materials. The CPE parameter  $\alpha$  was always  $0.89 < \alpha < 1$ .

This time, the sequence observed is different than in the catholyte. The treated samples exhibit higher slopes than the untreated samples which indicate a higher  $j_0$  for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  reaction on treated samples.





**Figure 6**: (a) Inverse charge transfer resistance  $(R_{CT}^{-1})$  plotted over the double layer capacitance  $(C_{DL})$  in the analyte for the four electrode materials under investigation. (b) Detail for lower capacitances.

#### 3.4 Double Layer Capacitance versus Potential

As the EIS data for anolyte and catholyte were recorded at different potentials, the change of  $C_{DL}$  with potential has to be taken into account, in order to compare the slopes and therefore the rate constants of the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  reaction correctly.

For this purpose EIS measurements were performed for all four graphite felts under investigation in 2 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> from -0.33 V to 1.17 V vs. NHE. The obtained data points were fitted to an equivalent circuit comprising a resistance and a CPE in series. The CPE was converted to  $C_{DL}$ using the formula for a surface distribution of time-constants and infinite charge transfer resistance<sup>32</sup>. The CPE parameter  $\alpha$  was always 0.87 <  $\alpha$  < 1. Exemplary curves for GFD untreated with a diameter of 10 mm are shown in Fig. S2.

Combining these EIS measurements gives curves as shown in Fig. 7. For GFD untreated the measurement was performed three times with electrodes of the same size to confirm the reproducibility of the curves. The curve shown is the average of those three measurements and the error bars are the standard deviation. The double layer capacitance is higher at the standard potential of the  $VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$  reaction  $(C_{DL}^{VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}})$  than at that of the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  reaction  $(C_{DL}^{V^{2+}/V^{3+}})$ . This is true for all the investigated electrodes. To adjust for this we introduce a correction factor  $\beta$ :

$$\beta = \frac{c_{DL}^{VO^2+/VO_2^+}}{c_{DL}^{V^2+/V^3+}}$$
(5)

The correction factors for all electrode materials are given in Table 2. When comparing rate constants for the two redox reactions the potential dependency of  $C_{DL}$  has to be taken into account. Slopes multiplied with unity (for  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$ ) or the correction factor  $\beta$  (for  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$ ) are called normalized rate constants.

The shape of the curves in Figure 7 can be explained by a superposition of two effects:

- According to the Guy-Chapman theory the  $C_{DL}$  over potential curve has roughly the shape of a parabola with the minimum at the potential of zero charge of the electrode<sup>33</sup>;
- Surface functional groups undergo redox reactions at two potentials in the potential window shown in Figure 7, at  $U^1 = 0.27 V vs$ . *NHE* and at  $U^2 = 0.67 V vs$ . *NHE*<sup>34</sup>. The first peak at  $U^1$  was assigned to carbonyl and hydroxyl groups, the peak at  $U^2$  to quinone/hydroquinone groups<sup>34</sup>. Thermal treatment increases the charges transferred during these reactions.

A peak in the  $C_{DL}$  around  $U^2$  is visible in Fig. 7 for both samples and for GFD treated another peak at  $U^1$  becomes apparent. In Table 2  $\beta$  is always larger for untreated that for treated samples. This might be due to the contribution of the carbonyl and hydroxyl groups at  $U^1$  which is close to the standard potential of the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  reactions and therefore contributes more to  $C_{DL}^{V^{2+}/V^{3+}}$  than to  $C_{DL}^{VO^{2+}/VO^{2+}}$ , thereby diminishing the discrepancy between the two capacitances.



**Figure 7**: Double layer capacitance  $C_{DL}$  vs. the potential in 2 *M*  $H_2SO_4$  without redox-couple for the GFD samples (10 mm samples). The data points were obtained from a fit of impedance spectra to an equivalent circuit consisting of serial connection of a resistance and a constant phase element (shown in the SI).

Electrode	GFD untreated	GFD treated	GFA untreated	GFA treated
Correction factor $\beta$	2.27	1.48	2.49	1.89

**Table 2**: List of correction factors for the difference in double layer capacitance determined from

 plots as shown in Fig. 7 according to Eq. 5.

# 4. Discussion and Conclusions

The XPS results shown in Fig. 2 allow generalizing our findings. The wetted surface area of the electrode  $A^{wet}(\gamma)$  and the electrochemical activity  $k_0(\gamma)$  can be plotted versus material properties  $\gamma$ .

The specific gravimetric double layer capacitance  $c_{DL}$  (F/g) of the four samples in anolyte and catholyte was obtained by plotting the corrected  $C_{DL}$  over the measured mass of the electrodes and determining the respective slopes, as shown in Figure S3. In this case,  $C_{DL}^{V^{2+}/V^{3+}}$  was multiplied by unity and  $C_{DL}^{VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}}$  was divided by  $\beta$ . Fig. 8a shows the  $c_{DL}$  (obtained from Fig. S3) for all samples versus the amount of carbonyl and carboxyl on the surface of the electrode. For both electrode materials an increase in the functional groups increases the  $c_{DL}$ . As  $C_{DL}$  is directly proportional to  $A^{wet}$ , we assume that an increase in functional groups increases  $A^{wet}$ . This effect is more pronounced for GFA than it is for GFD and independent of which vanadium redox reaction takes place  $^{7}$ . If the amount of hydroxyl groups is selected as abscissa the behavior is qualitatively the same. One interpretation is that an increase in the functional groups increases  $A^{wet}$  by increasing the hydrophilicity of the sample, as described for carbon nanotubes<sup>7</sup>. Another interpretation is that the heat treatment increases the actual surface area of the electrode, as it might create pores or defects and thereby increase the  $A^{wet}$ . Most likely both phenomena contribute to the increase in  $A^{wet}$ . Their exact attribution is beyond the scope of this study.



**Figure 8:** (a) Specific gravimetric capacitance over carbonyl and carboxyl as determined from XPS. Normalized rate constants for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  (red) and the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  (green) redox reactions for the two electrode materials GFD (circle) and GFA (square) plotted over atomic % of carbon groups as determined by XPS: (b) hydroxyl, (c) carbonyl and carboxyl and (d) graphitic carbon.

For the electrochemical rate constants  $k_0(\gamma)$  the situation is more complicated. In general it is established that oxidative treatment increases defect density (edge plane sites,  $sp^3$  hybridization)

which typically leads to an increased amount of surface oxygen, therefore more reaction sites and hence accelerated electrochemical rates<sup>35</sup>. From XPS measurements we obtained material properties that are likely to influence electrochemical properties. They are atomic % of the XPS sampling space of hydroxyl (Fig. 8b), carbonyl and carboxyl (Fig. 8c) and graphitic carbon (Fig. 8d).

The results from Fig. 8b-d can be summarized as follows:

- The normalized rate constants for the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  redox reaction increase with increasing amount of hydroxyl (Fig. 8b) or carbonyl and carboxyl (Fig. 8c) and decrease with increasing amount of graphitic carbon (Fig. 8d);
- The normalized rate constants for the VO<sup>2+</sup>/VO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> redox reaction decrease with increasing amount of hydroxyl (Fig. 8b) or carbonyl and carboxyl (Fig. 8c) and increase with increasing amount of graphitic carbon (Fig. 8d);
- The trends for the two redox reactions are always diametrical, increasing normalized rate constants for one redox reaction is accompanied by a decrease in normalized rate constants for the other;
- The trends described above hold true independently of the type of felt electrode, GFA or GFD. In Fig. 8c the slopes for the changes are similar for GFA and GFD.

Figure 8b and 8c indicate that the important material property  $\gamma$  that determines  $k_0(\gamma)$  is the amount of functional groups hydroxyl, carbonyl and carboxyl. Figure 8d points towards the importance of crystallinity as  $\gamma$ . As an increase in  $sp^3$  hybridized carbon comes at the cost of  $sp^2$  hybridized carbon it is very difficult to separate the two properties experimentally. Looking at the literature we can propose reaction mechanisms that are consistent with the results shown in Fig.8b-c.



**Figure 9:** Possible reaction mechanisms for the  $VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$  (a) and the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  (b) redox reaction that are consistent with the results shown in Fig. 8. The line width of arrows indicates reaction rate. (a) On functional surface groups vanadium species from the solution chemisorb and form a passivating film of vanadium oxides. (b) Surface functional groups catalyze the  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  redox reaction either by an electrostatic effect or through a bridge-activated electron transfer.

The mechanism schematically shown in Fig. 9a combines our findings with X-Ray Absorption Fine Structure (XAFS) results by Maruyama et al.<sup>36</sup>: XAFS measurements indicate the presence of adsorbed vanadium oxides on a carbon electrode after immersion in an electrolyte containing  $50 \text{ mM VO}^{2+}$  and  $50 \text{ mM VO}_2^{+36}$ . As the interaction of the solvated ions and the carbon surface is expected to be weak, the vanadium ions are assumed to be chemisorbed on oxygen-functional groups on the carbon surface<sup>37</sup>. Our results and previous studies of the kinetics show that oxygen-functional groups impede the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^{+}$  redox reaction<sup>6,16,38</sup>. Therefore we hypothesize that vanadium oxides chemisorb on the felt electrodes at these oxygen surface groups and in the process passivate parts of the electrode for the redox reaction. Such passivation layers were previously described in the literature, for example an oxide layer on iron electrodes that impedes the  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}/[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  redox reaction was investigated<sup>39</sup>. Gattrell et al. also proposed a formation of vanadium oxides at the electrode from the observation of a low apparent symmetry factor during rotating disk electrode studies<sup>40</sup>.

An alternative explanation for the impeding influence of functional groups on the rate of the  $VO^{2+}/VO_2^+$  was given by Melke et al.<sup>41</sup>. Employing Near Edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy (NEXAFS) and cyclic voltammetry they correlated a higher ratio of  $sp^{2-}$  to  $sp^{3-}$  carbon with lower potential position of the oxidation peak in the CV and therefore a higher activity. As a higher content of oxygen functional groups correlates with an increase in  $sp^{3-}$  carbon and a decrease in  $sp^{2-}$  carbon content, the observation from Melke et al. matches the findings presented in Figure 8d. Instead of the reaction mechanism presented in Fig. 9a the study concludes that a low amount of  $sp^{2-}$  carbon (57% for the sample with the lowest activity) leads to a low conductivity within the electrode and "electron transport in the solid limits the charge transfer process"<sup>41</sup>. But a low conductivity of the electrode should manifest itself in a high ohmic drop  $R_{Ohm}$  in EIS measurements. We find the  $R_{CT}$  to be always larger than  $R_{Ohm}$ , and  $R_{Ohm}$  relatively constant regardless of the sample.

Hung and Nagy stated that the rate of electron transfer in the ferrous/ferric system is significantly slowed down when chloride ions are removed from the electrolyte<sup>42</sup>. The same effect was found by Weber et al.<sup>43</sup>. As  $Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}$  and  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  are in the same classification of redox system, that is *surface sensitive* and *oxide sensitive*<sup>5</sup>, we hypothesize that the presence of surface oxides has a similar effect as the chloride ions and catalyzes the redox reactions either via:

- An electrostatic effect as the surface oxide perturbs the interfacial potential distribution of the transition complex, or;
- A bridge-activated charge transfer mechanism during which the functional group is incorporated into the first coordination shell of the reactant. The charge transfer then proceeds via the formed bridge and can be classified as inner-sphere mechanism.

This mechanism is schematically shown in Fig. 9b.

In this study we investigated the redox reactions important for the VFB,  $V^{2+}/V^{3+}$  and  $VO^{2+}/VO_{2}^{+}$ . We studied how the electron transfer constant  $k_0$ , wetted surface area  $A^{wet}$  and amount of surface functional groups  $\gamma$  influence the current on graphite felt electrodes. By clearly distinguishing the effects brought about by  $k_0(\gamma)$  and  $A^{wet}(\gamma)$  we showed that the question which reaction is faster is tied to the question which electrode material is used and how it was treated prior to the measurement. Reaction mechanisms were proposed that are consistent with the experimentally found dependence of reaction rate on material properties of the electrodes and with literature data.

## **Supporting Information**

The supporting information gives details on the C1s scan XPS spectra of the four graphite felt samples, EIS spectra of an electrode in  $2 M H_2SO_4$  without any redox species and a plot of the double layer capacitance over mass of the electrodes.

This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

# **Author Information**

Corresponding author: Ulrich Stimming: ulrich.stimming@newcastle.ac.uk

Author contributions: The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

# Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) program, Singapore, by the Bavarian Ministry of Economic Affairs and Media, Energy and Technology at the ZAE Bayern (project ZAE storage technologies), Germany and Newcastle University, United Kingdom. We also would like to thank SGL Carbon for the kind provision of graphite felt samples.

# References

- Parasuraman, A.; Lim, T. M.; Menictas, C.; Skyllas-Kazacos, M. Review of Material Research and Development for Vanadium Redox Flow Battery Applications. *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, *101* (2010), 27–40.
- (2) Weber, A. Z.; Mench, M. M.; Meyers, J. P.; Ross, P. N.; Gostick, J. T.; Liu, Q. Redox Flow Batteries: A Review. J. Appl. Electrochem. 2011, 41 (10), 1137–1164.
- (3) Darling, R.; Gallagher, K. G.; Kowalski, J. a; Ha, S.; Brushett, F. R. Pathways to Low-Cost Electrochemical Energy Storage: A Comparison of Aqueous and Nonaqueous Flow Batteries. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 3459–3477.
- (4) Zhang, M.; Moore, M.; Watson, J. S.; Zawodzinski, T. a.; Counce, R. M. Capital Cost Sensitivity Analysis of an All-Vanadium Redox-Flow Battery. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2012, 159 (8), A1183–A1188.
- (5) McCreery, R. L. Advanced Carbon Electrode Materials for Molecular Electrochemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108* (7), 2646–2687.
- (6) Friedl, J.; Bauer, C. M.; Rinaldi, A.; Stimming, U. Electron Transfer Kinetics of the Reaction on Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Carbon N. Y.* **2013**, *63*, 228–239.
- (7) LI, L.; LI, F. The Effect of Carbonyl, Carboxyl and Hydroxyl Groups on the Capacitance of Carbon Nanotubes. *New Carbon Mater.* **2011**, *26* (3), 224–228.

- (8) Oriji, G.; Katayama, Y.; Miura, T. Investigations on V(IV)/V(V) and V(II)/V(III) Redox Reactions by Various Electrochemical Methods. J. Power Sources 2005, 139 (1-2), 321– 324.
- (9) Sum, E.; Skyllas-Kazacos, M. A Study of the V (II)/V (III) Redox Couple for Redox Flow Cell Applications. *J. Power Sources* **1985**, *15*, 179–190.
- (10) Sum, E.; Rychcik, M.; Skyllas-Kazacos, M. Investigation of the V (V)/V (IV) System for Use in the Positive Half-Cell of a Redox Battery. *J. Power Sources* **1985**, *16*, 85–95.
- (11) Yamamura, T.; Watanabe, N.; Yano, T.; Shiokawa, Y. Electron-Transfer Kinetics of Np3+/Np4+, NpO2+/NpO22+, V2+/V3+, and VO2+/VO2+ at Carbon Electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2005, 152 (4), A830.
- (12) Agar, E.; Dennison, C. R.; Knehr, K. W.; Kumbur, E. C. Identification of Performance Limiting Electrode Using Asymmetric Cell Configuration in Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. J. Power Sources 2013, 225, 89–94.
- (13) Aaron, D.; Sun, C.-N.; Bright, M.; Papandrew, a. B.; Mench, M. M.; Zawodzinski, T. a. In Situ Kinetics Studies in All-Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. *ECS Electrochem. Lett.* 2013, 2 (3), A29–A31.
- (14) Fabjan, C.; Garche, J.; Harrer, B.; Jörissen, L. The Vanadium Redox-Battery: An Efficient Storage Unit for Photovoltaic Systems. *Electrochim. Acta* **2001**, *47*, 825–831.
- (15) Wu, X. W.; Yamamura, T.; Ohta, S.; Zhang, Q. X.; Lv, F. C.; Liu, C. M.; Shirasaki, K.; Satoh, I.; Shikama, T.; Lu, D.; et al. Acceleration of the Redox Kinetics of VO2+/VO2 + and V3+/V2+ Couples on Carbon Paper. J. Appl. Electrochem. 2011, 41 (10), 1183–1190.
- (16) Bourke, A.; Quill, N.; Lynch, R. P.; Buckley, D. N. Effect of Pretreatment on the Rate of the VO2+/VO2+ and V2+/V3+ Reactions at a Carbon Electrode. *ECS Trans.* 2014, 61 (37), 15–26.
- (17) Bard, A.; Faulkner, L. Electrochemical Methods: Fundamentals and Applications, Second.; Harris, D., Swain, E., Robey, C., Aiello, E., Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2001.
- (18) Punckt, C.; Pope, M. A.; Aksay, I. A. On the Electrochemical Response of Porous Functionalized Graphene Electrodes. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117 (31), 16076–16086.
- (19) Schweiss, R.; Oelsner, T.; Dörfler, F.; Davydov, A.; Wöhner, S. Recent Insights into Carbon Felt Electrodes for Redox Flow Batteries. In *International Flow Battery Forum* 2011; 2011; pp 44–45.
- (20) Zhong, S.; Padeste, C.; Kazacos, M.; Skyllas-Kazacos, M. Comparison of the Physical, Chemical and Electrochemical Properties of Rayon- and Polyacrylonitrile-Based Graphite Felt Electrodes. J. Power Sources 1993, 45 (1), 29–41.
- (21) Sun, B. Modification of Graphite Electrode Materials. *Electrochim. Acta* **1992**, *37* (1), 1253–1260.
- (22) Rabbow, T. J.; Trampert, M.; Pokorny, P.; Binder, P.; Whitehead, A. H. Variability within a Single Type of Polyacrylonitrile-Based Graphite Felt after Thermal Treatment. Part I:

Physical Properties. *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 173, 17–23.

- (23) Smith, R. E. G.; Davies, T. J.; Baynes, N. de B.; Nichols, R. J. The Electrochemical Characterisation of Graphite Felts. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2015**, 747, 29–38.
- (24) Uhlemann, M.; Krause, A.; Chopart, J. P.; Gebert, A. Electrochemical Deposition of Co under the Influence of High Magnetic Fields. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2005**, *152* (12), C817.
- (25) Punckt, C.; Pope, M.; Aksay, I. High Selectivity of Porous Graphene Electrodes Solely due to Transport and Pore Depletion Effects. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014.
- (26) Lehman, J. H.; Terrones, M.; Mansfield, E.; Hurst, K. E.; Meunier, V. Evaluating the Characteristics of Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes. *Carbon N. Y.* **2011**, *49* (8), 2581–2602.
- (27) Boehm, H. P. Surface Oxides on Carbon and Their Analysis: A Critical Assessment. *Carbon N. Y.* **2002**, *40* (2), 145–149.
- (28) Kim, K. J.; Kim, Y. J.; Kim, J. H.; Park, M. S. The Effects of Surface Modification on Carbon Felt Electrodes for Use in Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 131 (1-2), 547–553.
- (29) Chakrabarti, M. H.; Brandon, N. P.; Hajimolana, S. A.; Tariq, F.; Yufit, V.; Hashim, M. A.; Hussain, M. A.; Low, C. T. J.; Aravind, P. V. Application of Carbon Materials in Redox Flow Batteries. *J. Power Sources* 2014, 253, 150–166.
- (30) Figueiredo, J.; Pereira, M.; Freitas, M.; Orfao, J. Modification of the Surface Chemistry of Activated Carbons. *Carbon N. Y.* **1999**, *37*, 1379–1389.
- (31) International Union of pure and applied Chemistry. *Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution.*; Bard, A. J., Parsons, R., Jordan, J., Eds.; CRC Press: New York, 1985; Vol. 17.
- (32) Hirschorn, B.; Orazem, M. E.; Tribollet, B.; Vivier, V.; Frateur, I.; Musiani, M. Determination of Effective Capacitance and Film Thickness from Constant-Phase-Element Parameters. *Electrochim. Acta* **2010**, *55* (21), 6218–6227.
- (33) Delahay, P. Double Layer Studies. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1966, 113, 967.
- (34) Rabbow, T. J.; Trampert, M.; Pokorny, P.; Binder, P.; Whitehead, A. H. Variability within a Single Type of Polyacrylonitrile-Based Graphite Felt after Thermal Treatment. Part II: Chemical Properties. *Electrochim. Acta* **2015**, *173*, 24–30.
- (35) Frysz, C. A.; Shui, X.; Chung, D. D. L. Effect of Chemisorbed Oxygen on the Electrochemical Behavior of Graphite Fibers. *Carbon N. Y.* **1994**, *32* (8), 1499–1505.
- (36) Maruyama, J.; Shinagawa, T.; Hayashida, A.; Matsuo, Y.; Nishihara, H.; Kyotani, T. Vanadium Ion Redox Reactions in Three-Dimensional Network of Reduced Graphite Oxide. *ChemElectroChem* 2015, n/a n/a.
- (37) Kustin, K.; Liu, S.-; Nicolini, C.; Toppen, D. Interaction of Catechol and Catechol Derivatives with Dioxovanadium:(V). I. Kinetics of Complex Formation in Acidic Media. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96* (24), 7410–7415.
- (38) Bourke, A.; Lynch, R. P.; Buckley, D. N. Effect of Electrode Pretreatment on the Cyclic Voltammetry of VO2+/VO2+ at a Glassy Carbon Electrode. *ECS Trans.* **2013**, *53* (30),

59–67.

- (39) Meisterjahn, P.; Schultze, J. W.; Siemensmeyer, B.; Stimming, U.; Dean, M. H. Electron Transfer Reactions on Passive Iron Electrodes. *Chem. Phys.* **1990**, *141* (1), 131–141.
- (40) Gattrell, M.; Qian, J.; Stewart, C.; Graham, P.; MacDougall, B. The Electrochemical Reduction of VO2+ in Acidic Solution at High Overpotentials. *Electrochim. Acta* 2005, 51 (3), 395–407.
- (41) Melke, J.; Jakes, P.; Langner, J.; Riekehr, L.; Kunz, U.; Zhao-Karger, Z.; Nefedov, A.; Sezen, H.; Wöll, C.; Ehrenberg, H.; et al. Carbon Materials for the Positive Electrode in All-Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries. *Carbon N. Y.* **2014**, 78, 220–230.
- (42) Hung, N. G.; Nagy, Z. Kinetics of the Ferrous/Ferric Electrode Reaction in the Absence of Chloride Catalysis. J. Electrochem. Soc. **1987**, 134 (9), 2215–2220.
- (43) Weber, J.; Samec, Z.; Marecek, V. The Effect of Anion Adsorption on the Kinetics of the Fe3+/Fe2+ Reacion on Pt and Au Electrodes in HClO4. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1978, 89, 271–288.

