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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}, \operatorname{dm}(z)$ the Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$, $d m_{\alpha}(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z), \alpha>-1$, and $H(\mathbb{D})$ the space of all analytic functions on the unit disc.

The weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$, where $p>0$ and $\alpha>-1$, consists of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{A_{a}^{p}(\mathbb{D})}^{p}=(\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z)<\infty . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this norm, $A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space when $p \geq 1$, while for $p \in(0,1)$, it is a Fréchet space with the translation invariant metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(f, g)=\|f-g\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}}^{p} \quad f, g \in A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu(z)$ be a positive continuous function on a set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ (weight) and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ be fixed. The $n$th weighted-type space on $X$, denoted by $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)$, consists of all $f \in H(X)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\gamma_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)}(f):=\sup _{z \in X} \mu(z)\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=0$, the space becomes the weighted-type space $H_{\mu}^{\infty}(X)$, for $n=1$ the Bloch-type space $B_{\mu}(X)$, and for $n=2$ the Zygmund-type space $z_{\mu}(X)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the quantity $b_{\mathcal{\chi}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)}(f)$ is a seminorm on the $n$th weighted-type space $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)$ and a norm on $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X) / \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$, where $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ is the set of all polynomials whose degrees are less than or equal to $n-1$. A natural norm on the $n$th weighted-type space can be introduced as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|f^{(j)}(a)\right|+b_{\mathcal{U}_{\mu}^{(n)}(X)}(f) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is an element in $X$. With this norm, the $n$th weighted-type space becomes a Banach space.

For $X=\mathbb{D}$ is obtained the space $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$, on which a norm is introduced as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{O}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}:=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|f^{(j)}(0)\right|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right| \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some information on Zygmund-type spaces on the unit disc and some operators on them can be found, for example, in [1-6], for the case of the upper half-plane, see [7, 8], while some information in the setting of the unit ball can be found, for example, in [9-13]. This considerable interest in Zygmund-type spaces motivated us to introduce the $n$th weightedtype space (see [8]).

Assume $\varphi$ is a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. The composition operator induced by $\varphi$ is defined on $H(\mathbb{D})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)(z)=f(\varphi(z)) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A typical problem is to provide function theoretic characterizations when $\varphi$ induces bounded or compact composition operators between two given spaces of holomorphic functions. Some classical results on composition and weighted composition operators can be found, for example, in [14], while some recent results can be found in $[1,5,7,15-34$ ] (see also related references therein).

Here we characterize the boundedness of the composition operator from the weighted Bergman space to the $n$th weighted space on the unit disc when $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The case $n=0$ was previously treated in $[16,22,24,31,35]$. Hence we will not consider this case here. See also [36] for some good results on weighted composition operators between weightedtype spaces. The case $n=1$ was treated, for example, in [26,32]. For some other results on weighted composition operators which map a space into a weighted or a Bloch-type space, see, for example, $[15,17-21,23,25,33,34]$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological vector spaces whose topologies are given by translationinvariant metrics $d_{X}$ and $d_{Y}$, respectively, and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. It is said that $T$ is metrically bounded if there exists a positive constant $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{Y}(T f, 0) \leq K d_{X}(f, 0) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in X$. When $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces, the metrically boundedness coincides with the usual definition of bounded operators between Banach spaces.

If $Y$ is a Banach space, then the quantity $\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow Y}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow Y}:=\sup _{\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1}\left\|C_{\varphi} f\right\|_{Y} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that this quantity is finite if and only if the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow Y$ is metrically bounded. For the case $p \geq 1$ this is the standard definition of the norm of the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow Y$, between two Banach spaces. If we say that an operator is bounded, it means that it is metrically bounded.

Throughout this paper, constants are denoted by $C$, they are positive and may differ from one occurrence to the other. The notation $a \preccurlyeq b$ means that there is a positive constant $C$ such that $a \leq C b$. Moreover, if both $a \preccurlyeq b$ and $b \preccurlyeq a$ hold, then one says that $a \asymp b$.

## 2. Auxiliary Results

Here, we quote several auxiliary results. The first lemma is a direct consequence of a wellknown estimate in [37, Proposition 1.4.10]. Hence, we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $p>0, \alpha>-1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{w, n}(z)=\frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{n+(\alpha+2) / p}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{n+2((\alpha+2) / p)}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to $A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, $\sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|g_{w, n}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}}<\infty$.
The next lemma is folklore and was essentially proved in [38]. We will sketch a proof of it for the completeness and the benefit of the reader.

Lemma 2.2. Assume $p>0, \alpha>-1, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then there is a positive constant $C$ independent of $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})}}{(1-|z|)^{n+(\alpha+2) / p}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the subharmonicity of the function $\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{p}, p>0$, applied on the disk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(z, \frac{1-|z|}{2}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | z-w \left\lvert\,<\frac{1-|z|}{2}\right.\right\}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-|w| \asymp 1-|z|, \quad w \in D\left(z, \frac{1-|z|}{2}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{p} \leq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{2+\alpha+p n}} \int_{D(z,(1-|z|) / 2)}\left|f^{(n)}(w)\right|^{p} d m_{\alpha+p n}(w) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5) and in light of the following well-known asymptotic relation [38]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z) \asymp \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|f^{(j)}(0)\right|+\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{(n)}(z)\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+n p} d m(z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the lemma easily follows.
Lemma 2.3. Assume $a>0$ and

$$
D_{n}(a)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{2.7}\\
a & a+1 & \cdots & a+n-1 \\
a(a+1) & (a+1)(a+2) & \cdots & (a+n-1)(a+n) \\
& & \cdots & \\
\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}(a+j) & \prod_{j=0}^{n-2}(a+j+1) & \cdots & \prod_{j=0}^{n-2}(a+j+n-1)
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Then $D_{n}=\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} j$ !.
Proof. By using elementary transformations, we have

$$
D_{n}(a)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{2.8}\\
a & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
a(a+1) & 2(a+1) & \cdots & 2(a+n-1) \\
\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}(a+j) & (n-1) \prod_{j=0}^{n-3}(a+j+1) & \cdots & (n-1) \prod_{j=0}^{n-3}(a+j+n-1)
\end{array}\right|
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(a)=(n-1)!D_{n-1}(a+1), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which along with the fact $D_{2}(a+n-2)=1$ implies the lemma.
We will also need the classical Faà di Bruno's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \circ \varphi)^{(n)}(z)=\sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!} f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\varphi^{(j)}(z)}{j!}\right)^{k_{j}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{n}$ and the sum is over all nonnegative integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ satisfying $k_{1}+2 k_{2}+\cdots+n k_{n}=n$. For a nice exposition related to this formula see, for example, [39].

By using Bell polynomials $B_{n, k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-k+1}\right),(2.10)$ can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \circ \varphi)^{(n)}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. Since $B_{n, 0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right)=0$ the summation in (2.11) is from 1 to $k$. Moreover, since $B_{n, 1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{n}$ and $B_{n, n}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{n}$, (2.11) can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
(f \circ \varphi)^{(n)}(z)= & f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{(n)}(z)+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& +f^{(n)}(\varphi(z))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Main Result

Here, we formulate and prove our main result.
Theorem 3.1. Assume $p>0, \alpha>-1, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mu$ is a weight on $\mathbb{D}$ and $\varphi$ is a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}:=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(z) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}}<\infty, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for each fixed $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the sum is over all nonnegative integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ such that $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{n}$ and $k_{1}+2 k_{2}+\cdots+n k_{n}=n$.

Moreover, if the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}) / \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \vartheta_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}) / \mathbb{P}_{n-1}} \asymp \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Note that by (2.11) we see that the conditions in (3.1) can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)\left|B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \varphi^{\prime \prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right)\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}}<\infty, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First assume that conditions in (3.1) hold. By formula (2.10) and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|C_{\varphi} f\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|(f \circ \varphi)^{(j)}(0)\right|+\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)\left|\left(C_{\varphi} f\right)^{(n)}(z)\right| \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|\sum \frac{j!}{l_{1}!\cdots l_{j}!} f^{(l)}(\varphi(0)) \prod_{s=1}^{j}\left(\frac{\varphi^{(s)}(0)}{s!}\right)^{l_{s}}\right| \\
& +\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z)\left|\sum \frac{n!}{k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!} f^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\varphi^{(j)}(z)}{j!}\right)^{k_{j}}\right|  \tag{3.4}\\
\leq & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{j}\left|f^{(l)}(\varphi(0))\right|\left|\sum \frac{j!}{l_{1}!\cdots l_{j}!} \prod_{s=1}^{j}\left(\frac{\varphi^{(s)}(0)}{s!}\right)^{l_{s}}\right| \\
& +C\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(z) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p} .}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, (2.2) with $z=\varphi(0)$, and by conditions in (3.1), it follows that the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. Moreover, if we consider the space $\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}) / \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}) / \mathbb{P}_{n-1}} \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z)\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(z) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. For a fixed $w \in \mathbb{D}$, and constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{w}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{c_{j}}{n-2+j+2((\alpha+2) / p)} \frac{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{n-2+j+(\alpha+2) / p}}{(1-\bar{w} z)^{n-2+j+2((\alpha+2) / p)}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 we see that $g_{w} \in A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$ for every $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|g_{w}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that for each $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{w}^{(l)}(w)=\frac{\bar{w}^{l}}{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)^{l+(\alpha+2) / p}}, \quad g_{w}^{(m)}(w)=0, \quad m \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash\{l\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by differentiating function $g_{w}$, for each $l \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the system in (3.8) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}=0, \\
\left(n+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{1}+\left(n+1+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{2}+\cdots+\left(2 n-1+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{n}=0, \\
\vdots \\
\prod_{j=0}^{l-2}\left(n+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{1}+\prod_{j=0}^{l-2}\left(n+1+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{2}+\cdots+\prod_{j=0}^{l-2}\left(2 n-1+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{n}=1,  \tag{3.9}\\
\vdots \\
\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(n+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{1}+\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(n+1+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{2}+\cdots+\prod_{j=0}^{n-2}\left(2 n-1+j+2 \frac{\alpha+2}{p}\right) c_{n}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.3 with $a=n+2(2+\alpha) / p>0$, we obtain that the determinant of system (3.9) is different from zero from which the claim follows.

Now for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we choose the corresponding family of functions which satisfy (3.8) and denote it by $g_{w, k}$.

For each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the boundedness of the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ along with (2.10) and (3.7) implies that for each $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mu(w)|\varphi(w)|^{k}\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(w) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(w)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}}  \tag{3.10}\\
\leq \sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|C_{\varphi}\left(g_{\varphi(w), k}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where (for each fixed $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ ) the sum is over all nonnegative integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ such that $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{n}$ and $k_{1}+2 k_{2}+\cdots+n k_{n}=n$.

From (3.10), it follows that for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|\varphi(z)|>1 / 2} \frac{\mu(z)\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(z) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}} \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{w}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use consecutively the test functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}(z)=z^{k} \in A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}), \quad k=1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to deal with the case $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 / 2$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|h_{k}\right\|_{A_{a}^{p}(\mathbb{D})} \leq 1, \quad \text { for each } k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying (2.11) to the function $f(z)=h_{1}(z)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h_{1} \circ \varphi\right)^{(n)}(z)=h_{1}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, 1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(z)\right)=B_{n, 1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(z)\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which along with the boundedness of the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ and (3.13) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, 1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(z)\right)\right| \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}(z)\right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ (see Remark 2.4).
Further, by applying formula (2.11) to the function $f(z)=h_{2}(z)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h_{2} \circ \varphi\right)^{(n)}(z)= & h_{2}^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, 1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(z)\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& +h_{2}^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, 2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(z)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the boundedness of $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$ and (3.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|\left(h_{2} \circ \varphi\right)^{(n)}(z)\right| \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\left(z^{2}\right)\right\|_{w_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{w}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.16) and (3.17), and by using the triangle inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, 2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(z)\right)\right|  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad \leq\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow w_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})}+2 \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|\varphi(z) B_{n, 1}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n)}(z)\right)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ and applying inequality (3.15) in (3.18) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, 2}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-1)}(z)\right)\right| \leq \frac{3}{2}\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow w_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that we have proved the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, j}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-j+1)}(z)\right)\right| \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow w_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and a $k \leq n$.
Applying formula (2.11) to the function $f(z)=h_{k}(z), k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h_{k} \circ \varphi\right)^{(n)}(z) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{k}^{(j)}(\varphi(z)) B_{n, j}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-j+1)}(z)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k} k(k-1) \cdots(k-j+1)(\varphi(z))^{k-j} B_{n, j}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-j+1)}(z)\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

From this, by using the boundedness of the operator $C_{\varphi}: A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})$, the boundedness of function $\varphi$, the triangle inequality, noticing that the coefficient at $B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right)$ is independent of $z$ (it is equal $k!$ ), and finally using hypothesis (3.20), we easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right)\right| \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow w_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by induction, we get that (3.22) holds for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
From (3.22) and bearing in mind Remark 2.4, for each fixed $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sup _{|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 / 2} \frac{\mu(z)\left|\sum\left(n!/\left(k_{1}!\cdots k_{n}!\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varphi^{(j)}(z) / j!\right)^{k_{j}}\right|}{\left(1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}\right)^{k+(\alpha+2) / p}}  \tag{3.23}\\
& \quad \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{B}} \mu(z)\left|B_{n, k}\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z), \ldots, \varphi^{(n-k+1)}(z)\right)\right| \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \chi_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where as usual for a fixed $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the sum is over all nonnegative integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ such that $k=k_{1}+k_{2}+\cdots+k_{n}$ and $k_{1}+2 k_{2}+\cdots+n k_{n}=n$.

Hence from (3.11) and (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k} \leq C\left\|C_{\varphi}\right\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{w}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbb{D})^{\prime}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5) and (3.24), we obtain asymptotic relation (3.2).
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