

# Concentration on curves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations

Manuel del Pino  
*Universidad de Chile*

Michał Kowalczyk  
*Kent State University and Universidad de Chile*

AND

Jun-Cheng Wei  
*Chinese University of Hong Kong*

## Abstract

We consider the problem

$$\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - V(x)u + u^p = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small parameter and  $V$  is a uniformly positive, smooth potential. Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed curve, non-degenerate geodesic relative to the weighted arclength  $\int_{\Gamma} V^{\sigma}$ , where  $\sigma = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2}$ . We prove the existence of a solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  concentrating along the whole of  $\Gamma$ , exponentially small in  $\varepsilon$  at any positive distance from it, provided that  $\varepsilon$  is small and away from certain critical numbers. In particular this establishes the validity of a conjecture raised in [3] in the two-dimensional case. © 2000 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

## 1 Introduction and statement of main result

We consider *standing waves* for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad -i\varepsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta \psi - Q(y)\psi + |\psi|^{p-1}\psi$$

where  $p > 1$ , namely solutions of the form  $\psi(t, y) = \exp(i\lambda\varepsilon^{-1}t)u(y)$ . Assuming that the amplitude  $u(y)$  is positive and vanishes at infinity, we see that this  $\psi$  satisfies (1.1) if and only if  $u$  solves the nonlinear elliptic problem

$$(1.2) \quad \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - V(y)u + u^p = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where  $V(y) = Q(y) + \lambda$ . In the rest of this paper we will assume that  $V$  is a smooth function with

$$\inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^2} V(y) > 0.$$

Considerable attention has been paid in recent years to the problem of construction of standing waves in the so-called *semi-classical limit* of (1.1)  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . In the pioneering work [14], Floer and Weinstein constructed positive solutions to this problem when  $p = 3$  and  $N = 1$  with concentration taking place near a given point  $y_0$  with  $V'(y_0) = 0$ ,  $V''(y_0) \neq 0$ , being exponentially small in  $\varepsilon$  outside any neighborhood of  $y_0$ . More precisely, they established the existence of a solution  $u_\varepsilon$  such that

$$u_\varepsilon(y) \sim V(y_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} w(V(y_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{-1}(y - y_0))$$

where  $w$  is the unique solution of

$$(1.3) \quad w'' - w + w^p = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad w(\pm\infty) = 0.$$

This result has been subsequently extended to higher dimensions to the construction of solutions exhibiting high concentration around one or more points of space under various assumptions on the potential and the nonlinearity by many authors. We refer the reader for instance to [2], [4], [7]-[13], [15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 38]. An important question is whether solutions exhibiting concentration on higher dimensional sets exist. In [3], Ambrosetti, Malchiodi and Ni have considered the case of  $V = V(|y|)$ , also treated in [5, 6], and constructed radial solutions  $u_\varepsilon(|y|)$  exhibiting concentration on a sphere  $|y| = r_0$  in the form

$$u_\varepsilon(r) \sim V(r_0)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} w(V(r_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varepsilon^{-1}(r - r_0)),$$

under the assumption that  $r_0 > 0$  is a non-degenerate critical point of

$$(1.4) \quad M(r) = r^{N-1} V^\sigma(r)$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma = \frac{p+1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2},$$

and  $w$  is again the unique solution of (1.3). The conjecture is raised in [3] that this type of phenomenon takes place, at least along a sequence  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ , whenever the sphere  $|y| = r_0$  is replaced by a closed hypersurface  $\Gamma$ , which is *stationary and non-degenerate* for the weighted area functional  $\int_\Gamma V^\sigma$ . In this paper we prove the validity of this conjecture in dimension  $N = 2$ .

For  $N = 2$ , the functional above, defined on closed Jordan curves  $\Gamma$ , has a simple geometrical meaning: it corresponds to the arclength of  $\Gamma$  measured with respect to the metric  $V^\sigma (dy_1^2 + dy_2^2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus we will establish the concentration phenomenon on  $\Gamma$ , provided that this curve is a non-degenerate closed geodesic for this metric in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

We do not prove the result for all small values  $\varepsilon > 0$  but only for those which lie away from certain critical numbers. More precisely, there is an explicit number  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that given  $c > 0$ , if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small and satisfies the gap condition

$$(1.6) \quad |k^2 \varepsilon^2 - \lambda_*| \geq c\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

then a solution  $u_\varepsilon$  with the required concentration property indeed exists. In other words, this will be the case whenever  $\varepsilon$  is small and away from the critical numbers  $\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_*}}{k}$ , in the sense that for fixed and arbitrarily small  $c < \sqrt{\lambda_*}$ ,

$$\varepsilon \notin \left[ \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_*}}{k} - \frac{c}{k^2}, \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_*}}{k} + \frac{c}{k^2} \right], \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To state our main result, we need to make precise the concept of a curve  $\Gamma$  being stationary and non-degenerate for the weighted length functional  $\int_\Gamma V^\sigma$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed smooth curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\ell = |\Gamma|$  its total length. We consider natural parameterization  $\gamma(\theta)$  of  $\Gamma$  with positive orientation, where  $\theta$  denotes ar-length parameter measured from a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\nu(\theta)$  denote outer unit normal to  $\Gamma$ . Points  $y$  which are  $\delta_0$ -close  $\Gamma$ , for sufficiently small  $\delta_0$  can be represented in the form

$$(1.7) \quad y = \gamma(\theta) + t\nu(\theta), \quad |t| < \delta_0, \quad \theta \in [0, \ell),$$

where map  $y \mapsto (t, \theta)$  is a local diffeomorphism. Any curve sufficiently close to  $\Gamma$  can be parameterized as

$$\gamma_g(\theta) = \gamma(\theta) + g(\theta)\nu(\theta)$$

where  $g$  is a smooth,  $\ell$ -periodic function with small  $L^\infty$ -norm. Call  $\Gamma_g$  the curve defined this way. By slight abuse of notation we denote  $V(t, \theta)$  to actually mean  $V(y)$  for  $y$  in (1.7). Then weighted length of this curve is given by the functional of  $g$

$$\begin{aligned} J(g) &\equiv \int_{\Gamma_g} V^\sigma = \int_0^\ell V^\sigma(\gamma_g(\theta)) |\gamma_g'(\theta)| d\theta \\ &= \int_0^\ell V^\sigma(g(\theta), \theta) |\gamma' + g\nu' + g'\nu| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\gamma'| = 1$  and  $\nu' = k(\theta)\gamma'$  where  $k(\theta)$  denotes curvature of  $\Gamma$ , we get that the above quantity becomes

$$(1.8) \quad J(g) = \int_0^\ell V^\sigma(g(\theta), \theta) [(1 + kg)^2 + (g')^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta.$$

$\Gamma$  is said to be *stationary* for the weighted length  $\int_\Gamma V^\sigma$  if the first variation of the functional (1.8) at  $g = 0$  is equal to zero. That is, for any smooth,  $\ell$ -periodic function  $h(\theta)$

$$0 = J'(0)[h] = \int_0^\ell [(V^\sigma)_t h + V^\sigma k h] d\theta,$$

which is equivalent to the relation

$$(1.9) \quad \sigma V_t(0, \theta) = -k(\theta)V(0, \theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in (0, \ell).$$

We assume the validity of this relation at  $\Gamma$ . Let us consider now the second variation quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} J''(0)[h, h] &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell [V^\sigma |h'|^2 + [(V^\sigma)_{tt} + 2(V^\sigma)_t k] h^2] d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\ell [V^\sigma |h'|^2 + [(V^\sigma)_{tt} - 2V^\sigma k^2] h^2] d\theta \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $\Gamma$  is *non-degenerate* if so is this quadratic form in the space of all functions  $h \in H^1(0, \ell)$  with  $h(0) = h(\ell)$ . This is equivalent to the statement that the differential equation

$$(V^\sigma h')' - [(V^\sigma)_{tt} - 2V^\sigma k^2] h = 0$$

has only the  $\ell$ -periodic solution  $h \equiv 0$ , or using (1.9), that the boundary value problem

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} h'' + \sigma V^{-1} V_\theta h' - [\sigma V^{-1} V_{tt} - (\sigma^{-1} + 1) k^2] h &= 0, \\ h(0) = h(\ell), \quad h'(0) = h'(\ell), \end{aligned}$$

has only the trivial solution. As an example, let us consider the radial case  $V = V(r)$ . Then we see that  $\Gamma = \{r = r_0\}$  is stationary precisely if  $M'(r_0) = 0$  where  $M$  is defined by (1.4). If in addition  $M''(r_0) > 0$ , namely if  $r_0$  is a non-degenerate local minimizer, we have that  $(V^\sigma)_{tt} + 2(V^\sigma)_t k > 0$ . This makes automatically the quadratic form  $J''(0)[h, h]$  positive definite, hence non-singular. A non-degenerate stationary curve close to  $\Gamma$  will still be present if the radial potential is modified by small non-radial perturbations. The geometric interpretation allows the construction of other examples. If  $V^\sigma(y) \sim \frac{1}{(1+|y|^2)^2}$  up to a large value of  $|y|$  then the metric  $V^\sigma dy^2$  represents approximately that of a sphere embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . If eventually  $V$  increases so that  $V(y) \sim 1$  for very large  $|y|$ , the whole metric will resemble that of a “globe attached to a plane”, the presence of at least two geodesics thus being clear: one on the globe, the other on the connecting neck. Non-degeneracy of these geodesics is not true in general, but may be generically expected in a suitably strong  $C^m$ -topology.

We need a further element to describe the gap condition (1.6). Let  $w$  denote the unique positive solution of problem (1.3). We consider the associated linearized eigenvalue problem,

$$(1.11) \quad h'' - h + p w^{p-1} h = \lambda h, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad h(\pm\infty) = 0.$$

It is well known that this equation possesses a unique positive eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , with associated eigenfunction even and positive  $Z$  which we normalize so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z^2 = 1$  (this follows for instance from the analysis in [30]). In fact, a simple computation shows that

$$(1.12) \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{1}{4}(p-1)(p+3), \quad Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{p+1}}} w^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

We define the number  $\lambda_*$  as

$$(1.13) \quad \lambda_* = \lambda_0 \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left( \int_0^\ell V(0, \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \right)^2.$$

Now we can state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-degenerate, stationary curve for the weighted length functional  $\int_\Gamma V^\sigma$ , as described above. Then given  $c > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  satisfying the gap condition*

$$(1.14) \quad |\varepsilon^2 k^2 - \lambda_*| \geq c\varepsilon, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\lambda_* > 0$  is the number in (1.13), problem (1.2) has a positive solution  $u_\varepsilon$  which near  $\Gamma$ , for  $y$  given by (1.7), takes the form

$$(1.15) \quad u_\varepsilon(y) = V(0, \theta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} w \left( V(0, \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) (1 + o(1)).$$

For some number  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies globally,

$$u_\varepsilon(y) \leq \exp(-c_0 \varepsilon^{-1} \text{dist}(y, \Gamma)).$$

To explain in few words the difficulties encountered in the construction of these solutions, let us assume for the moment that  $V \equiv 1$  on  $\Gamma$  and that  $\ell = 2\pi$ . Then in terms of the stretched coordinates  $(s, z) = \varepsilon^{-1}(t, \theta)$  the equation would look near the curve *approximately* like

$$v_{zz} + v_{ss} + v^p - v = 0 \quad (s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

where  $v$  is  $2\pi\varepsilon^{-1}$ -periodic in the  $z$  variable. The effect of curvature and of variations of  $V$  are here neglected. The linearization of this problem around the profile  $w(s)$  thus becomes

$$\phi_{zz} + \phi_{ss} + pw^{p-1}\phi - \phi = 0 \quad (s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with  $\phi$   $2\pi\varepsilon^{-1}$ -periodic in  $z$ . Functions of the form

$$\phi^1 = w_s(s)[a \sin k\varepsilon z + b \cos k\varepsilon z], \quad \phi^2 = Z(s)[a \sin k\varepsilon z + b \cos k\varepsilon z],$$

are eigenfunctions associated to eigenvalues respectively  $-k^2\varepsilon^2$  and  $\lambda_0 - k^2\varepsilon^2$ . Many of these numbers are small and “near non-invertibility” of the linear operator thus occurs. Therefore the use of a fixed point argument after inverting the linear operator in the actual nonlinear problem is a very delicate matter. Worse than this, these two effects combined, in principle orthogonal because of the  $L^2$ -orthogonality of  $Z$  and  $w_s$ , are actually coupled through the smaller order terms neglected. In [1, 19, 20, 33] related singular perturbation problems involving the Allen-Cahn equation in phase transitions exhibiting only the translation effect  $\phi^1$  have been successfully treated through successive improvements of the approximation and fine spectral analysis of the actual linearized operator. The principle is simple: the better the approximation, higher the chances of a correct inversion of the linearized operator to obtain a contraction mapping formulation of the problem.

In [24, 25, 28, 35] resonance phenomena similar to the “ $\phi^2$ -effect” has been faced in related problems. In [24, 25] a Neumann problem involving whole boundary concentration, widely treated for point concentration after the works [23, 29, 30]. Recently in [27, 26] this boundary concentration on a geodesic of the boundary in the 3d-case has been treated via arbitrarily high order approximations. Our method, closer in spirit to that of Floer and Weinstein, provides substantial simplification and flexibility to deal with larger noise and coupling of the two effects inherent to this problem. The solution to the full problem in the above idealized situation is roughly decomposed in the form

$$v(s, z) = w(s - f(\varepsilon z)) + \varepsilon e(\varepsilon z)Z(s - f(\varepsilon z)) + \tilde{\phi}(s, z)$$

where  $f$  and  $e$  are  $2\pi$ -periodic functions left as parameters, while  $\tilde{\phi}(s, z)$  is  $L^2(ds)$ -orthogonal for each  $z$  both to  $w_s(s - f(\varepsilon z))$  and to  $Z(s - f(\varepsilon z))$ . Solving first in  $\tilde{\phi}$  a natural projected problem where the linear operator is uniformly invertible, the resolution of the full problem becomes reduced to a nonlinear, nonlocal second order system of differential equations in  $(f, e)$  which turns to be directly solvable thanks to the assumptions made. This approach is familiar when the parameters  $(f, e)$  lie in a finite-dimensional space, corresponding this time to adjusting infinitely many parameters. To stress out the difference with the radial case: the parameter  $e$  is not present, and  $f$  is just a single number. The analysis we make takes special advantage through Fourier analysis of the fact that the objects to be adjusted are one-variable functions, while we still believe that the current approach may be modified to the higher dimensional case. We also believe the gap condition may be improved to size  $s\varepsilon^q$ , any  $q > 1$ .

In the rest of the paper we carry out the program outlined above which leads to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2 The set up near the curve

Let  $\Gamma$  be the curve in the statement of the theorem. We shall use the notation introduced in the previous section.

Stretching variables, absorbing  $\varepsilon$  from Laplace’s operator replacing  $u(y)$  by  $u(\varepsilon y)$ , equation (1.2) becomes

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta u - V(\varepsilon y)u + u^p = 0, \quad u > 0, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Let  $(s, z) = \varepsilon^{-1}(t, \theta)$  be natural stretched coordinates associated to the curve  $\Gamma_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}\Gamma$ , now defined for

$$(2.2) \quad z \in [0, \varepsilon^{-1}\ell), \quad s \in (-\varepsilon^{-1}\delta_0, \varepsilon^{-1}\delta_0).$$

Equation (2.1) for  $u$  expressed in these coordinates becomes

$$(2.3) \quad u_{zz} + u_{ss} + B_1(u) - V(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z)u + u^p = 0$$

in the region (2.2), where

$$B_1(u) = u_{zz} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon k(\varepsilon z) s)^2} \right] + \frac{\varepsilon k(\varepsilon z) u_s}{1 + \varepsilon k(\varepsilon z) s} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 s k'(\varepsilon z) u_z}{(1 + \varepsilon k(\varepsilon z) s)^3}.$$

For further reference, it is convenient to expand this operator in the form

$$(2.4) \quad B_1(u) = (\varepsilon k(\varepsilon z) - \varepsilon^2 s k^2(\varepsilon z)) u_s + B_0(u),$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad B_0(u) = \varepsilon^2 s a_1(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) u_z + \varepsilon s a_2(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) u_{zz} + \varepsilon^3 s^2 a_3(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) u_s$$

for certain smooth functions  $a_j(t, \theta)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Observe that all terms in the operator  $B_1$  have  $\varepsilon$  as a common factor.

We consider now a further change of variables in equation (2.3) with the property that replaces at main order the potential  $V$  by 1. Let

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha(\theta) = V(0, \theta)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad \beta(\theta) = V(0, \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and fix a twice differentiable,  $\ell$ -periodic function  $f(\theta)$ . We define  $v(x, z)$  by the relation

$$(2.7) \quad u(s, z) = \alpha(\varepsilon z) v(x, z), \quad x = \beta(\varepsilon z)(s - f(\varepsilon z)).$$

We want to express equation (2.3) in terms of these new coordinates. We compute:

$$(2.8) \quad u_s = \alpha \beta v_x, \quad u_{ss} = \alpha \beta^2 v_{xx}$$

$$(2.9) \quad u_z = \varepsilon \alpha' v + \alpha v_x (\beta(s - f))_z + \alpha v_z$$

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{zz} = & \varepsilon^2 \alpha'' v + 2\varepsilon \alpha' [v_x (\beta(s - f))_z + v_z] \\ & + \alpha [v_{xx} |(\beta(s - f))_z|^2 + 2v_{xz} (\beta(s - f))_z \\ & + v_x (\beta(s - f))_{zz} + v_{zz}]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$(\beta(s - f))_z = \varepsilon [\beta'(s - f) - \beta f'], \quad (\beta(s - f))_{zz} = \varepsilon^2 [\beta''(s - f) - 2\beta' f' - \beta f''].$$

In order to write down the equation it is convenient to also expand

$$(2.11) \quad V(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) = V(0, \varepsilon z) + V_t(0, \varepsilon z) \varepsilon s + \frac{1}{2} V_{tt}(0, \varepsilon z) \varepsilon^2 s^2 + a_4(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) \varepsilon^3 s^3$$

for a smooth function  $a_4(t, \theta)$ . It turns out that  $u$  solves (2.3) if and only if  $v$  defined by (2.7) solves:

$$(2.12) \quad S(v) \equiv B_3(v) + \beta^{-2} v_{zz} + v_{xx} + v^p - v = 0,$$

where  $B_3(v)$  is a linear differential operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3(v) = & \beta^{-1} \left[ \varepsilon k - \varepsilon^2 k^2 \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right) \right] v_x \\
& + \beta^{-2} \left[ \varepsilon^2 \left| \frac{\beta'}{\beta} x - \beta f' \right|^2 v_{xx} + 2\varepsilon \left( \frac{\beta'}{\beta} x - \beta f' \right) v_{xz} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{\beta''}{\beta} x - 2\beta' f' - \beta f'' \right) v_x \right] \\
& + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\alpha \beta^2} \alpha'' v + \frac{2\varepsilon \alpha'}{\alpha \beta^2} \left[ \varepsilon \left( \frac{\beta'}{\beta} x - \beta f' \right) v_x + v_z \right] \\
& - \left[ \varepsilon \beta^{-2} V_t \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \beta^{-2} V_{tt} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right)^2 \right] v + B_2(v)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad B_2(v) = (\alpha \beta^2)^{-1} B_0(u) + (\alpha \beta^2)^{-1} a_4(\varepsilon s, \varepsilon z) \varepsilon^3 s^3$$

$B_0(u)$  is the operator in (2.5) where derivatives are expressed in terms of formulas (2.8)-(2.10),  $a_4$  is given by (2.11) and  $s$  is replaced by  $\beta^{-1}x + f$ .

Let  $w(x)$  denote the unique positive solution of (1.3). Then, taking  $w(x)$  as a first approximation, the error produced is  $\varepsilon$ -times a function with exponential decay. Let us be more precise. We need to identify both the terms of order  $\varepsilon$  and those of order  $\varepsilon^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
S(w) = B_3(w) = & \beta^{-1} \left[ \varepsilon k - \varepsilon^2 k^2 \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right) \right] w_x \\
& + \beta^{-2} \left[ \varepsilon^2 \left| \frac{\beta'}{\beta} x - \beta f' \right|^2 w_{xx} + \varepsilon^2 \left( \frac{\beta''}{\beta} x - 2\beta' f' - \beta f'' \right) w_x \right] \\
& + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\alpha \beta^2} \alpha'' w + \frac{2\varepsilon \alpha'}{\alpha \beta^2} \left[ \varepsilon \left( \frac{\beta'}{\beta} x - \beta f' \right) w_x \right] \\
& - \left[ \beta^{-2} \varepsilon V_t \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \beta^{-2} V_{tt} \left( \frac{x}{\beta} + f \right)^2 \right] w + B_2(w)
\end{aligned}$$



$B_2(w)$  turns out to be of size  $\varepsilon^3$ . Gathering terms of order  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^2$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S(w) &= \varepsilon\beta^{-1} \left[ kw_x - \frac{1}{\beta^2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) xw \right] - \varepsilon\beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) fw \\
&\quad - \varepsilon^2 \left[ \frac{k^2}{\beta} fw_x + \frac{f''}{\beta} w_x + \frac{2\beta'}{\beta^2} f' w_x + \frac{2\alpha'}{\alpha\beta} f' w_x \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2\beta'}{\beta^2} f' xw_{xx} + \frac{V_{tt}}{\beta^3} fxw \right] \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \beta^{-2} \left[ -k^2 xw_x + \frac{|\beta'|^2}{\beta^2} x^2 w_{xx} + \beta^2 |f'|^2 w_{xx} + \frac{\beta''}{\beta} xw_x \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha''}{\alpha} w + \frac{2\alpha'}{\alpha\beta} xw_x - \frac{1}{2\beta^2} V_{tt} x^2 w - \frac{1}{2} V_{tt} f^2 w \right] \\
&\quad + B_2(w) \\
&= \varepsilon S_1 + \varepsilon S_2 + \varepsilon^2 S_3 + \varepsilon^2 S_4 + B_2(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that grouped this way, the quantities  $S_1, S_3$  are odd functions of  $x$  while  $S_2, S_4$  are even. We want now to construct a further approximation to a solution which eliminates the terms of order  $\varepsilon$  in the error. We see that

$$S(w + \phi) = S(w) + L_0(\phi) + B_3(\phi) + N_0(\phi),$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad L_0(\phi) = \beta^{-2} \phi_{zz} + \phi_{xx} + pw^{p-1} \phi - \phi$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad N_0(\phi) = (w + \phi)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1} \phi.$$

We write

$$(2.16) \quad S(w + \phi) = [\varepsilon(S_1 + S_2) + \phi_{xx} + pw^{p-1} \phi - \phi] \\ + \varepsilon^2 S_3 + \varepsilon^2 S_4 + B_2(w) + \beta^{-2} \phi_{zz} + B_3(\phi) + N_0(\phi).$$

We choose  $\phi = \phi_1$  in order to eliminate the term between brackets in the above expression. Namely for fixed  $z$ , we need a solution of

$$-\phi_{xx} + \phi - pw^{p-1} \phi = \varepsilon(S_1 + S_2), \quad \phi(\pm\infty) = 0.$$

As it is well known, this problem is solvable provided that

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S_1 + S_2) w_x dx = 0.$$

Furthermore, the solution is unique under the constraint

$$(2.18) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi w_x dx = 0.$$

We compute

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S_1 + S_2)w_x dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_1 w_x dx = \beta^{-1} \left[ k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_x^2 - V^{-1} V_t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x w w_x \right].$$

The assumption that  $\Gamma$  is stationary (1.9) amounts to  $k = -\sigma V^{-1} V_t$  where  $\sigma$  is the constant that precisely makes the amount between brackets identically 0. In fact, we have the validity of the identity  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^2 dx = 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2$ . The solution has the form

$$(2.19) \quad \phi_1 = \phi_{11} + \phi_{12},$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad \phi_{11} = \varepsilon a_{11}(\varepsilon z) w_1(x), \quad \phi_{12} = \varepsilon f(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) w_2,$$

with

$$(2.21) \quad a_{11} = \beta^{-1} k, \quad a_{12} = -\beta^{-2} V_t(0, \theta) = \sigma^{-1} k.$$

Function  $w_1$  the unique odd function satisfying

$$(2.22) \quad -w_{1,xx} + w_1 - p w^{p-1} w_1 = w_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} x w, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_1 w_x dx = 0,$$

and  $w_2$  is the unique even solution satisfying

$$(2.23) \quad -w_{2,xx} + w_2 - p w^{p-1} w_2 = w.$$

In fact, we can write

$$(2.24) \quad w_2 = -\frac{1}{p-1} w - \frac{1}{2} x w_x.$$

Substituting  $\phi = \phi_1$  in (2.16), we can compute the new error  $S(w + \phi_1)$ ,

$$(2.25) \quad S(w + \phi_1) = \varepsilon^2 S_3 + \varepsilon^2 S_4 + B_2(w) + \beta^{-2} (\phi_1)_{zz} + B_3(\phi_1) + N_0(\phi_1).$$

Observe that since  $\phi_1$  is of size  $O(\varepsilon)$  all terms above carry  $\varepsilon^2$  in front. We compute for instance

$$(2.26) \quad B_3(\phi_1) = \varepsilon \beta^{-1} \left[ k (\phi_1)_x - \beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) x \phi_1 \right] - \varepsilon \beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) f \phi_1 + \varepsilon^3 a_6$$

Observe that all functions involved are expressed in  $(x, z)$  variables and the natural domain for those variables is the infinite strip

$$\mathcal{S} = \{-\infty < x < \infty, \quad 0 < z < \ell/\varepsilon\}.$$

We now want to measure the size of the error in  $L^2(\mathcal{S})$  norm. A rather delicate term in the cubic remainder is the one carrying  $f''$  since in reality we shall only assume a uniform bound on  $\|f''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}$ . Observe that a similar one arises from the computation of  $(\phi_1)_{zz}$ . Both of those terms have similar form. For instance the one arising from  $(\phi_1)_{zz}$  can be written as  $R = \varepsilon^3 f''(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) w_{2,x}(x)$ , with  $a_{12}$  smooth. Observe that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} |R|^2 \leq C \varepsilon^6 \int_0^{\frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}} |f''(\varepsilon z)|^2 dz = \varepsilon^5 \|f''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}^2.$$

Hence

$$\|R\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \|f''\|_{L^2(0,\ell)}.$$

While in total  $L^2$ -norm we have

$$\|B_3(\phi_1)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Let us consider the term  $N_0(\phi_1)$ . Since  $\phi_1$  can be bounded by  $C\varepsilon|x|^2w(x)$  for large  $|x|$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |N_0(\phi_1)| &= |(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1}\phi_1| \\ &= p(w + t\phi_1)^{p-2}|\phi_1|^2 \leq C\varepsilon^2(1 + |x|^p)w(x)^p \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\|N_0(\phi_1)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In summary, we have

$$(2.27) \quad \|S(w + \phi_1)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

To improve the approximation for a solution still keeping terms of order  $\varepsilon^2$  we need to introduce a new parameter, additional to  $f$ . We let  $Z(x)$  be the first eigenfunction of the problem

$$Z'' + pw^{p-1}Z - Z = \lambda_0 Z, \quad Z(\pm\infty) = 0.$$

Then, as it is well known,  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,  $Z(x)$  is one-signed and even in  $x$ . We now consider our basic approximation to a solution to the problem near the curve  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$  to be

$$(2.28) \quad \mathbf{w} = w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon e(\varepsilon z)Z.$$

In all what follows, we will assume the validity of the following constraints on the parameters  $f$  and  $e$ :

$$(2.29) \quad \|f\|_a \equiv \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,\ell)} + \|f'\|_{L^\infty(0,\ell)} + \|f''\|_{L^2(0,\ell)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(2.30) \quad \|e\|_b \equiv \varepsilon^2 \|e''\|_{L^2(0,\ell)} + \varepsilon \|e'\|_{L^2(0,\ell)} + \|e\|_{L^\infty(0,\ell)} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In reality, a posteriori, these parameters will turn out to be smaller than stated here.

We set up the full problem in the form  $S(\mathbf{w} + \phi) = 0$ , which can be expanded in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{w} + \phi) &= \beta^{-2}\phi_{zz} + \phi_{xx} - \phi + p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\phi \\ &\quad + S(\mathbf{w}) + B_3(\phi) + (\mathbf{w} + \phi)^p - \mathbf{w}^p - p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\phi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The new error of approximation is

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= S(\mathbf{w}) = S(w + \phi_1) + \varepsilon L_0(eZ) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon[p((w + \phi_1)^{p-1} - w^{p-1})(eZ) + B_3(eZ)] \\ &\quad + (w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon eZ)^p - (w + \phi_1)^p - p(w + \phi_1)^{p-1}\varepsilon eZ, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S(w + \phi_1)$  is given at (2.25). We decompose

$$E_1 = E_{11} + E_{12}$$

where

$$(2.31) \quad E_{11} \equiv \varepsilon L_0(eZ) = \beta^{-2} \varepsilon^3 e'' Z + \lambda_0 \varepsilon e Z,$$

and

$$(2.32) \quad E_{12} = E_1 - E_{11}$$

In summary the problem takes the form near the curve,

$$(2.33) \quad L_1(\phi) + B_3(\phi) + E_1 + N_1(\phi) = 0$$

where  $E_1$  was just described, and

$$(2.34) \quad L_1(\phi) = \beta^{-2} \phi_{zz} + \phi_{xx} + p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\phi - \phi,$$

$$(2.35) \quad N_1(\phi) = (\mathbf{w} + \phi)^p - \mathbf{w}^p - p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\phi.$$

We recall that the description here made is only local. We will be able however to reduce the problem to one qualitatively similar to that of the above form in the infinite strip.

### 3 The gluing procedure

Let  $\mathbf{w}(y)$  denote the first approximation constructed near the curve in the coordinate  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\delta < \delta_0/100$ , be a fixed number. We consider smooth cut-off function  $\eta_\delta(t)$  where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta_\delta(t) = 1$  if  $t < \delta$  and  $= 0$  if  $t > 2\delta$ . Denote as well  $\eta_\delta^\varepsilon(s) = \eta_\delta(\varepsilon|s|)$ , where  $s$  is the normal coordinate to  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$ . We define our first global approximation to be simply

$$\mathbf{w}(y) = \eta_{3\delta}^\varepsilon(s)\mathbf{w},$$

extended globally as 0 beyond the  $6\delta/\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$ . Denote  $S(u) = \Delta u - V(\varepsilon y)u + u^p$  for  $u = \mathbf{w} + \tilde{\phi}$ , now  $\tilde{\phi}$  globally defined in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $S(\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\phi}) = 0$  if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{E} + \tilde{N}(\tilde{\phi}),$$

where

$$\tilde{E} = S(\mathbf{w}), \quad \tilde{L}(\tilde{\phi}) = \Delta \tilde{\phi} + p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\tilde{\phi} - V\tilde{\phi},$$

and

$$\tilde{N}(\tilde{\phi}) = (\mathbf{w} + \tilde{\phi})^p - \mathbf{w}^p - p\mathbf{w}^{p-1}\tilde{\phi}.$$

We further separate  $\tilde{\phi}$  in the following form:

$$\tilde{\phi} = \eta_{3\delta}^\varepsilon \phi + \psi$$

where, in coordinates  $(x, z)$ , we assume that  $\phi$  is defined in the whole strip  $\mathcal{S}$  so that we want

$$\tilde{L}(\eta_\delta^\varepsilon \phi) + \tilde{L}(\psi) = \tilde{E} + \tilde{N}(\eta_\delta^\varepsilon \phi).$$

We achieve this if the pair  $(\psi, \phi)$  satisfies the following nonlinear coupled system:

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{L}(\phi) = \eta_\delta^\varepsilon \tilde{N}(\phi + \psi) + \eta_\delta^\varepsilon \tilde{E} + \eta_\delta^\varepsilon p \mathbf{w}^{p-1} \psi,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \psi - V \psi + (1 - \eta_\delta^\varepsilon) p \mathbf{w}^{p-1} \psi &= (1 - \eta_\delta^\varepsilon) \tilde{E} + 2\varepsilon \nabla \eta_{3\delta}^\varepsilon \nabla \phi \\ + 2\varepsilon^2 (\Delta \eta_{3\delta}^\varepsilon) \phi + (1 - \eta_\delta^\varepsilon) \tilde{N}(\eta_{3\delta}^\varepsilon \phi + \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the operator  $\tilde{L}$  in the strip  $\mathcal{S}$  may be taken as any compatible extension outside the  $6\delta/\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of the curve.

What we want to do next is to reduce the problem to one in the strip. To do this, we solve, given a small  $\phi$ , Problem (3.3) for  $\psi$ . This can be done in elementary way: Let us observe first that since  $V$  is uniformly positive and  $\mathbf{w}$  is exponentially small for  $|s| > \delta\varepsilon^{-1}$ , where  $s$  is the normal coordinate to  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$ , then the problem

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta \psi - (V - (1 - \eta_\delta^\varepsilon) p \mathbf{w}^{p-1}) \psi = h,$$

has a unique bounded solution  $\psi$  whenever  $\|h\|_\infty < +\infty$ . Moreover,

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \leq C \|h\|_\infty.$$

Assume now that  $\phi$  satisfies the following decay condition

$$(3.5) \quad |\nabla \phi(y)| + |\phi(y)| \leq e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{for } |s| > \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon},$$

for certain constant  $\gamma > 0$ . Since  $\tilde{N}$  has a power-like behavior with power greater than one, a direct application of contraction mapping principle yields that Problem (3.3) has a unique (small) solution  $\psi = \psi(\phi)$  with

$$\|\psi(\phi)\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon [\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(|s| > \delta\varepsilon^{-1})} + \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^\infty(|s| > \delta\varepsilon^{-1})}],$$

where with some abuse of notation by  $\{|s| > \delta/\varepsilon\}$  we denote the complement of  $\delta/\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\Gamma_\varepsilon$ . The nonlinear operator  $\psi$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition of the form

$$\|\psi(\phi_1) - \psi(\phi_2)\|_\infty \leq C\varepsilon [\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{L^\infty(|s| > \delta\varepsilon^{-1})} + \|\nabla(\phi_1 - \phi_2)\|_{L^\infty(|s| > \delta\varepsilon^{-1})}].$$

The full problem has been reduced to solving the (nonlocal) problem in the infinite strip  $\mathcal{S}$

$$(3.6) \quad L_2(\phi) = \eta_\delta^\varepsilon \tilde{N}(\phi + \psi(\phi)) + \eta_\delta^\varepsilon \tilde{E} + \eta_\delta^\varepsilon p \mathbf{w}^{p-1} \psi(\phi)$$

for a  $\phi \in H^2(\mathcal{S})$  satisfying condition (3.5). Here  $L_2$  denotes a linear operator that coincides with  $\tilde{L}$  on the region  $|s| < 10\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}$ .

We shall define this operator next. The operator  $\tilde{L}$  for  $|s| < 10\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}$  is given in coordinates  $(x, z)$  by formula (2.34). We extend it for functions  $\phi$  defined in the entire strip  $\mathcal{S}$ , in terms of  $(x, z)$ , as follows:

$$(3.7) \quad L_2(\phi) = L_1(\phi) + \chi(\varepsilon|x|)B_3(\phi)$$

where  $\chi(r)$  is a smooth cut-off function which equals 1 for  $r < 10\delta$  and vanishes identically for  $r > 20\delta$  and  $L_1$  is the operator defined in (2.34).

Rather than solving problem (3.1) directly, we shall do it in steps. We consider the following projected problem in  $H^2(\mathcal{S})$ : given  $f$  and  $e$  satisfying bounds (2.29)-(2.30), find functions  $\phi \in H^2(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $c, d \in L^2(0, \ell)$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad L_2(\phi) = \chi E_1 + N_2(\phi) + c(\varepsilon z)\chi w_x + d(\varepsilon z)\chi Z \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \phi(x, 0) = \phi(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad \phi_z(x, 0) = \phi_z(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) w_x(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) Z(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < z < \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}.$$

Here  $N_2(\phi) = \eta_\delta^\varepsilon \tilde{N}(\phi + \psi(\phi)) + \eta_\delta^\varepsilon p w^{p-1} \psi(\phi)$ .

We will prove that this problem has a unique solution whose norm is controlled by the  $L^2$  norm, not of whole  $E_1$  but rather that of  $E_{12}$ .

After this has been done, our task is to adjust the parameters  $f$  and  $e$  in such a way that  $c$  and  $d$  are identically zero. As we will see, this turns out to be equivalent to solving a nonlocal, nonlinear coupled second order system of differential equations for the pair  $(e, d)$  under periodic boundary conditions. As we will see this system is solvable in a region where the bounds (2.29) and (2.30) hold.

We will carry out this program in the next sections. To solve (3.8)-(3.10) we need to investigate invertibility of  $L_2$  in  $L^2$ - $H^2$  setting under periodic boundary conditions and orthogonality conditions.

#### 4 Invertibility of $L_2$

Let  $L_2$  be the operator defined in  $H^2(\mathcal{S})$  by (3.7). In this section we study the linear problem

$$(4.1) \quad L_2(\phi) = h + c(\varepsilon z)\chi w_x + d(\varepsilon z)\chi Z \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$(4.2) \quad \phi(x, 0) = \phi(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad \phi_z(x, 0) = \phi_z(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) w_x(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) Z(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < z < \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon},$$

for given  $h \in L^2(\mathcal{S})$ . Here  $\chi(\varepsilon|x|)$  is the cut-off introduced in the definition of  $L_2$  in (3.7). Our main result in this section is the following.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $\delta$  in the definition of  $L_2$  is chosen sufficiently small then there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that for all small  $\varepsilon$  Problem (4.1)-(4.3) has a unique solution  $\phi = T(h)$ , which satisfies the estimate*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C \|h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}.$$

For the proof of this result we need the validity of the corresponding assertion for a simpler operator which does not depend of  $\delta$ . Let us consider the problem

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{L}(\phi) = -\Delta\phi + \phi - p w^{p-1} \phi = h \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \phi(x, 0) = \phi(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad \phi_z(x, 0) = \phi_z(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) w_x(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) Z(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < z < \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that solutions of (4.4)-(4.6) satisfy the a priori estimate*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C \|h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider Fourier series decompositions for  $h$  and  $\phi$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \phi_{1k}(x) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell} \varepsilon z\right) + \phi_{2k}(x) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell} \varepsilon z\right) \right], \\ h(x, z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ h_{1k}(x) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell} \varepsilon z\right) + h_{2k}(x) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell} \varepsilon z\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the validity of the equations

$$(4.7) \quad k^2 \varepsilon^2 \phi_{lk} + L_0(\phi_{lk}) = h_{lk}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

with orthogonality conditions

$$(4.8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{lk} w_x dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{lk} Z dx = 0.$$

We have denoted here

$$L_0(\phi_{lk}) = -\phi_{lk,xx} + \phi_{lk} - pw^{p-1}\phi_{lk}.$$

Let us consider the bilinear form in  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  associated to the operator  $L_0$ , namely

$$B(\psi, \psi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [|\psi_x|^2 + (1 - pw^{p-1})|\psi|^2] dx.$$

Since (4.8) holds we conclude that

$$(4.9) \quad C[\|\phi_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\phi_{lk})_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2] \leq B(\phi_{lk}, \phi_{lk})$$

for a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $l, k$ . Using this fact and equation (4.7) we conclude the estimate

$$(1 + k^4 \varepsilon^4) \|\phi_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|\phi_{lk,x}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C \|h_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

In particular, we see from (4.7) that  $\phi_{lk}$  satisfies an equation of the form

$$-\phi_{lk,xx} + \phi_{lk} = \tilde{h}_{lk}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where  $\|\tilde{h}_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|h_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ . Hence it follows that additionally we have the estimate

$$(4.10) \quad \|(\phi_{lk})_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C \|h_{lk}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Adding up estimates (4.9), (4.10) in  $k$  and  $l$  we conclude that

$$\|D^2\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2 + \|D\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2 + \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2 \leq C \|h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2,$$

which ends the proof.  $\square$

We consider now the following problem: given  $h \in L^2(\mathcal{S})$ , find functions  $\phi \in H^2(\mathcal{S})$ ,  $c, d \in L^2(0, \ell)$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{L}(\phi) = h + c(\varepsilon z)w_x + d(\varepsilon z)Z \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$(4.12) \quad \phi(x, 0) = \phi(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad \phi_z(x, 0) = \phi_z(x, \ell/\varepsilon), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) w_x(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, z) Z(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < z < \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Problem (4.11)-(4.13) possesses a unique solution. Moreover,*

$$\|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\|h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}.$$

*Proof.* To establish existence, we assume that

$$h(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ h_{1k}(x) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}\varepsilon z\right) + h_{2k}(x) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}\varepsilon z\right) \right],$$

and consider the problem of finding  $\phi_{lk} \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and constants  $c_{lk}, d_{lk}$  such that

$$k^2 \varepsilon^2 \phi_{lk} + L_0(\phi_{lk}) = h_{lk} + c_{lk}w_x + d_{lk}Z \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{lk} w_x dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{lk} Z dx = 0.$$

Fredholm's alternative yields that this problem is solvable with the choices

$$c_{lk} = -\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{lk} w_x dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_x^2 dx}, \quad d_{lk} = -\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{lk} Z dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Z^2 dx}.$$

Observe in particular that

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_{lk}|^2 + |d_{lk}|^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|h\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2$$

Finally define

$$\phi(x, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \phi_{1k}(x) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}\varepsilon z\right) + \phi_{2k}(x) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}\varepsilon z\right) \right],$$

and correspondingly

$$c(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ c_{1k} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}z\right) + c_{2k} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}z\right) \right],$$

$$d(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ d_{1k} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}z\right) + d_{2k} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{\ell}z\right) \right].$$

Estimate (4.14) gives that  $c(\varepsilon z)w_x$  and  $d(\varepsilon z)Z$  have their  $L^2(\mathcal{S})$  norms controlled by that of  $h$ . The a priori estimates of the previous lemma tell us that the series for  $\phi$  is convergent in  $H^2(\mathcal{S})$  and defines a unique solution for the problem with the desired bounds.  $\square$



*Proof of Proposition 4.1.* We will reduce Problem (4.1)-(4.3) to a small perturbation of a problem of the form (4.4)-(4.6) in which Lemma 4.2 is applicable. We will achieve this by introducing a change of variables that eliminates the weight  $\beta^{-2}$  in front of  $\phi_{zz}$ . We let

$$\phi(x, z) = \varphi(x, a(z)), \quad a(z) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon z} \beta(r) dr.$$

The map  $a : [0, \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}] \rightarrow [0, \frac{\hat{\ell}}{\varepsilon}]$  is a diffeomorphism, where  $\hat{\ell} = \int_0^{\ell} \beta(r) dr$ . We denote then

$$\phi_z = \beta \varphi_{z'}, \quad \phi_{zz} = \beta^2(\varepsilon z) \varphi_{z'z'} + \varepsilon \beta'(\varepsilon z) \varphi_{z'}$$

while differentiation in  $x$  does not change. The equation in terms of  $\varphi$  now reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \varphi - p w^{p-1} \varphi + \varphi + \chi \hat{B}_3(\varphi) + p(\mathbf{w}^{p-1} - w^{p-1}) \varphi + \varepsilon \beta' \varphi_{z'} &= \hat{h} \\ &+ \hat{c}(\varepsilon z') w_x + \hat{d}(\varepsilon z') Z, \quad \text{in } \hat{\mathcal{S}} \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi(x, \hat{\ell}/\varepsilon), \quad \varphi_{z'}(x, 0) = \varphi_{z'}(x, \hat{\ell}/\varepsilon), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, z') w_x(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x, z') Z(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < z' < \frac{\hat{\ell}}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\hat{h}(x, z') = h(x, a^{-1}(z'))$  and the operator  $\hat{B}_3$  is defined by using the above formulas to replace the  $z$  derivatives by  $z'$  derivatives and the variable  $z$  by  $a^{-1}(z')$  in the operator  $B_3$ . The key point is the following: the operator

$$B_4(\varphi) = \chi \hat{B}_3(\varphi) + \varepsilon \beta' \varphi_{z'} + p(\mathbf{w}^{p-1} - w^{p-1}) \varphi$$

is small in the sense that

$$\|B_4(\varphi)\|_{L^2(\hat{\mathcal{S}})} \leq C \delta \|\varphi\|_{H^2(\hat{\mathcal{S}})}.$$

This last estimate is a rather straightforward consequence of the fact that  $|\varepsilon s| < 20\delta\varepsilon^{-1}$  wherever the operator  $\hat{B}_3$  is supported, and the other terms are even smaller when  $\varepsilon$  is small. Thus by reducing  $\delta$  if necessary, we apply the invertibility result of Lemma 4.2. The result thus follows by transforming the estimate for  $\varphi$  into similar one for  $\phi$  via change of variables. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Solving the nonlinear intermediate problem

In this section we will solve problem (3.8)-(3.10)

$$L_2(\phi) + B_3(\phi) = \chi E_1 + N_2(\phi) + c(\varepsilon z) \chi w_x + d(\varepsilon z) \chi Z,$$

under periodic boundary conditions, and orthogonality conditions in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Here  $N_2(\phi) = \chi N_1(\phi + \psi(\phi))$  whenever this operator is well defined, namely for  $\phi$  satisfying (3.5). A first elementary, but crucial observation is that the term

$$E_{11} = [\varepsilon^3 \beta^{-2} e'' + \varepsilon \lambda_0 e] Z$$

in the decomposition of  $E_1$ , (2.31)-(2.32), has precisely the form  $d(\varepsilon z)Z$  and can therefore be absorbed for now in that term. Thus, the equivalent problem we will look at is

$$L_2(\phi) + B_3(\phi) = \chi E_{12} + N_2(\phi) + c(\varepsilon z)\chi w_x + d(\varepsilon z)\chi Z.$$

The big difference between the terms  $E_{11}$  and  $E_{12}$  is their sizes. Notice that

$$\|E_{12}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

while  $E_{11}$  is a priori only of size  $O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . We call  $E_2 \equiv \chi E_{12}$ .

For further reference, it is useful to point out the Lipschitz dependence of the term of error  $E_2$  on the parameters  $f$  and  $e$  for the norms defined in (2.29)-(2.30). We have the validity of the estimate

$$(5.1) \quad \|E_{12}(f_1, e_1) - E_{12}(f_2, e_2)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}[\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b]$$

Let  $T$  be the operator defined by Proposition 4.1. Then the equation is equivalent to the fixed point problem

$$(5.2) \quad \phi = T(E_2 + N_2(\phi)) \equiv \mathcal{A}(\phi).$$

The operator  $T$  has a useful property: Assume  $h$  has support contained in  $|x| \leq \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $\phi = T(h)$  satisfies the estimate

$$(5.3) \quad |\phi(x, z)| + |\nabla\phi(x, z)| \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty} e^{-\frac{2\delta}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{for } |x| > \frac{40\delta}{\varepsilon}.$$

In fact, since  $B_3$  is supported on  $|x| < \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon}$  and so do the terms involving  $c$  and  $d$ , then  $\phi$  satisfies for  $|x| \geq \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon}$  an equation of the form

$$\beta^{-2}\phi_{zz} + \phi_{xx} - (1 + o(1))\phi = 0$$

with  $o(1) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . For  $|x| \geq \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon}$  we can then use a barrier of the form  $\varphi(x, z) = \|\phi\|_{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon})}$  to conclude that for  $|x| > \frac{40\delta}{\varepsilon}$  we have

$$\phi(x, z) \leq \|\phi\|_{\infty} e^{-\frac{10\delta}{\varepsilon}}.$$

The remaining inequalities for  $\phi$  are found in the same way. The bound for  $\nabla\phi$  follows simply by local elliptic estimates. Now we recall that the operator  $\psi(\phi)$  satisfies, as seen directly from its definition,

$$(5.4) \quad \|\psi(\phi)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C[\|\nabla\phi + |\phi|\|_{L^{\infty}(|x| > \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon})} + e^{-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}}],$$

and also the Lipschitz condition

$$(5.5) \quad \|\psi(\phi_1) - \psi(\phi_2)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C[\|\nabla(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + |\phi_1 - \phi_2|\|_{L^{\infty}(|x| > \frac{20\delta}{\varepsilon})}].$$

These facts will allow us to construct a region where contraction mapping principle applies. As we have said,

$$\|E_2\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C_*\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

for certain constant  $C_* > 0$ . We consider the following closed, bounded subset of  $H^2(\mathcal{S})$ :

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \phi \in H^2(\mathcal{S}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq D\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ |\phi| + |\nabla\phi| \Big|_{L^\infty(|x| > \frac{40\delta}{\varepsilon})} \leq \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} e^{-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

we claim that if the constant  $D$  is fixed sufficiently large then the map  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (5) is a contraction from  $\mathcal{B}$  into itself.

Let us analyze the Lipschitz character of the nonlinear operator involved in  $\mathcal{A}$  for functions in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$N_2(\phi) = \chi N_1(\phi + \psi(\phi))$$

where

$$N_1(\phi) = p[(\mathbf{w} + t\phi)^{p-1} - \mathbf{w}^{p-1}] \phi^2,$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . From here it follows that

$$|N_1(\phi)| \leq C[|\phi|^p + |\phi|^2],$$

so that denoting  $\mathcal{S}_\delta = \mathcal{S} \cap \{|x| < 10\delta/\varepsilon\}$ , we have that for  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\|N_2(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C[\|\phi\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S})}^p + \|\phi\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S})}^2 + [\|\psi(\phi)\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^p + \|\psi(\phi)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^2]].$$

Using Sobolev's embedding we get

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S})}^p + \|\phi\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S})}^2 \leq C[\|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^p + \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^2],$$

while using estimate (5.4), the facts that  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ , (5.3), that the area of  $\mathcal{S}_\delta$  is of order  $O(\delta/\varepsilon)$  and Sobolev's embedding, we get

$$\|\psi(\phi)\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^p + \|\psi(\phi)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^2 \leq C e^{-\frac{\delta}{4\varepsilon}} [1 + \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^p + \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^2].$$

It thus follows that

$$(5.6) \quad \|N_2(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3p}{2}} + \varepsilon^3).$$

Besides, as for Lipschitz condition, we find after a direct estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_1(\phi_1) - N_1(\phi_2)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} &\leq [\|\phi_1\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S})}^{p-1} + \|\phi_1\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S})} \\ &\quad + \|\phi_2\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S})} + \|\phi_2\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S})}^{p-1}] \\ &\quad \times [\|\phi_2 - \phi_1\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S})} + \|\phi_2 - \phi_1\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S})}], \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $C$  independent of the bound a priori assumed on  $\|f\|_{H^2(0,\ell)}$ . We then conclude for  $N_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|N_2(\phi_1) - N_2(\phi_2)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} &\leq \|N_1(\phi_1 + \psi(\phi_1)) - N_1(\phi_2 + \psi(\phi_1))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\delta)} \\ &\quad + \|N_1(\phi_2 + \psi(\phi_1)) - N_1(\phi_2 + \psi(\phi_2))\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\delta)} \\ &\leq A[\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S}_\delta)} + \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}] \\ &\quad + A[\|\psi(\phi_1) - \psi(\phi_2)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S}_\delta)} \\ &\quad + \|\psi(\phi_1) - \psi(\phi_2)\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = A_1 + A_2$$

with

$$A_l = \|\phi_l\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^{p-1} + \|\psi(\phi_l)\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^{p-1} + \|\phi_l\|_{L^{2p}(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}^{p-1} + \|\psi(\phi_l)\|_{L^4(\mathcal{S}_\delta)}, \quad l = 1, 2.$$

Arguing as before, the conclusion of these estimates is

$$(5.7) \quad \|N_2(\phi_1) - N_2(\phi_2)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}(p-1)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}.$$

Now, let  $\phi \in B$  then  $\varphi = \mathcal{A}(\phi)$  satisfies thanks to (5.6),

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq \|T\|\{C_*\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} + CD^p\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}p}\}.$$

Choosing any number  $D > C_*\|T\|$  we get that for small  $\varepsilon$

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq D\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}.$$

But  $\varphi$  satisfies an equation of the form  $L_2(\varphi) = h$  with  $h$  compactly supported. Hence  $\varphi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  thanks to the discussion above.  $\mathcal{A}$  is clearly a contraction mapping thanks to (5.7). We conclude that (5) has a unique fixed point in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

We recall that the error  $E_2$  and the operator  $T$  itself carry the functions  $f$  and  $e$  as parameters. A tedious but straightforward analysis of all terms involved in the differential operator and in the error yield that this dependence is indeed Lipschitz with respect to the  $H^2$ -norm (for each fixed  $\varepsilon$ ). In the operator, consider for instance the following only term involving  $f''$ :

$$B_f(\phi) = \varepsilon^2 f''(\varepsilon z)\phi_x.$$

Then we have

$$\|B_f(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})}^2 \leq \varepsilon^3 \int_0^\ell |f''(\theta)|^2 d\theta \left( \sup_z \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\phi_x(x, z)|^2 dx \right)$$

Let  $\varphi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\phi_x(x, z)|^2 dx$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_z \varphi(z) &\leq \varepsilon \int_S |\phi_x|^2 + 2 \int_S |\phi_x| |\phi_{xz}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_z \varphi(z) + 4\varepsilon^{-1} \int_S |\phi_{xz}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5.8) \quad \varphi(z) \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^2,$$

so that finally

$$\|B_f(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_a.$$

For the other terms the analysis follows in simpler way. Emphasizing the dependence on  $f$  what we find for the Linear operator  $T$  is the Lipschitz dependence

$$\|T_{f_1} - T_{f_2}\| \leq C\varepsilon \|f_1 - f_2\|_a.$$

We recall that we have the Lipschitz dependence (5.1). Moreover, the operator  $N$  also has Lipschitz dependence on  $(f, e)$ . It is easily checked that for  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$  we have, with obvious notation,

$$\|N_{(f_1, e_1)}(\phi) - N_{(f_2, e_2)}(\phi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} [\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b].$$

Hence from the fixed point characterization we then see that

$$(5.9) \quad \|\phi_{(f_1, e_1)} - \phi_{(f_2, e_2)}\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} [\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b].$$

We summarize the result we have obtained in the following:

**Proposition 5.1.** *There is a number  $D > 0$  such that for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  and all  $(f, e)$  satisfying (2.29)-(2.30), problem (3.8)-(3.9) has a unique solution  $\phi = \phi(f, e)$  which satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} &\leq D\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ \|\phi + |\nabla\phi|\|_{L^\infty(|x| > \frac{40\varepsilon}{\varepsilon})} &\leq \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} e^{-\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} \end{aligned}$$

Besides  $\phi$  depends Lipschitz-continuously on  $f$  and  $e$  in the sense of estimate (5.9).

Next we carry out the second part of the program which is to set up equations for  $f$  and  $d$  which are equivalent to making  $c, d$  identically zero. These equations are obtained by simply integrating the equation (only in  $x$ ) against respectively  $w_x$  and  $Z$ . It is therefore of crucial importance to carry out computations of the terms  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 w_x dx$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 Z dx$ . We do that in the next section

## 6 Estimates for projections of the error

In this section we carry out some estimates for the terms  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 w_x dx$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 Z dx$ , where  $E_1$ , we recall, was defined in (2.31)-(2.32), and  $w_x$  is an odd function. Integration against all even terms in  $E_1$  therefore just vanish. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 w_x &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_{12} w_x = \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(w + \phi_1) w_x \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \left[ \varepsilon p[(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} - w^{p-1}](eZ) + \varepsilon B_3(eZ) \right] \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \left[ (w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon eZ)^p - (w + \phi_1)^p - p(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} \varepsilon eZ \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We recall

$$S(w + \phi_1) = \varepsilon^2 S_3 + \varepsilon^2 S_4 + B_2(w) + B_3(\phi_1) \\ + [(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1}\phi_1] + \beta^{-2}(\phi_1)_{zz}$$

where  $S_2$  is an odd function,  $S_4$  is an even function and  $B_2(w)$  is of order  $\varepsilon^3$ . Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(w + \phi_1) w_x &= -\varepsilon^2 \left\{ \beta^{-1} f'' \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 2f' \beta^{-2} \beta' \int_{\mathbb{R}} (w_x^2 + xw_{xx}w_x) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha' f' \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 \\ &\quad \left. + f \left( \beta^{-1} k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 + \beta^{-3} V_{tt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xw w_x \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x [(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1}\phi_1] \\ (6.1) \quad &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x B_3(\phi_1) + \varepsilon^3 b_{1\varepsilon} f'' + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below we denote by  $b_{l\varepsilon}$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , generic, uniformly bounded continuous functions of the form

$$b_{l\varepsilon} = b_{l\varepsilon}(z, f(\varepsilon z), e(\varepsilon z), f'(\varepsilon z), \varepsilon e'(\varepsilon z))$$

where additionally  $b_{1\varepsilon}$  is uniformly Lipschitz in its four last arguments.

The coefficient in front of  $f(\varepsilon z)$  in (6.1) can be computed as

$$f \left( \beta^{-1} k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 + \beta^{-3} V_{tt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xw w_x \right) = f \left( \beta^{-1} k^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 - \sigma \beta^{-3} V_{tt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 \right)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} xw w_x = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^2 = -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2$ .

We see that the term  $[(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1}\phi_1]$  is to main order of the form  $\frac{p(p-1)}{2} w^{p-2} \phi_1^2$  and it is therefore of the order  $O(\varepsilon^2)$ . We have  $\phi_1 = \phi_{11} + \phi_{12}$  where we recall  $\phi_{11}$  is odd,  $\phi_{12}$  is even, and with their sizes respectively proportional to  $\varepsilon$  and to  $\varepsilon f$ . Thus in the expansion of the square term  $\frac{p(p-1)}{2} w^{p-2} \phi_1^2$  asymptotically only the mixed product between  $\phi_{11}$  and  $\phi_{12}$  gives rise to a nonzero term after the integration against  $w_x$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x [(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - pw^{p-1}\phi_1] dx \\ (6.2) \quad &= \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x w^{p-2} \phi_1^2 dx + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon} \\ &= \varepsilon^2 a_{11}(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) f(\varepsilon z) p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x w^{p-2} w_1 w_2 dx + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider

$$\varphi(\varepsilon z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_3(\phi_1) w_x.$$

All terms in this expression carry in  $L^2$ -norm as functions of  $\theta = \varepsilon z$  powers three or higher with the exception of the terms of size  $\varepsilon$  in  $B_3$ . Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ k(\phi_1)_x - \beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) x \phi_1 \right] w_x \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 w_x + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\phi_1 = \varepsilon a_{11}(\varepsilon z) w_1(x) + \varepsilon f(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) w_2(x)$$

with  $w_1(x)$  odd and  $w_2(x)$  even we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) \left\{ \beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ k(w_2)_x - \beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) x w_2 \right] w_x \right\} \\ (6.3) \quad &\quad - \varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon z) \beta^{-2} a_{11}(\varepsilon z) V_t(0, \varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_1 w_x + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 a_{11}(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) f(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ w_{2,x} w_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} x w_x w_2 + w_1 w_x \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by differentiating the equation (2.23) and using equation (2.22), we obtain

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(p-1) w^{p-2} w_x w_1 w_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x w_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( w_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} x w \right) w_{2,x}.$$

Adding (6.2) and (6.3) and using (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x [(w + \phi_1)^p - w^p - p w^{p-1} \phi_1] + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x B_3(\phi_1) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 a_{11}(\varepsilon z) a_{12}(\varepsilon z) f(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ p(p-1) w^{p-2} w_x w_1 w_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w_{2,x} w_x + \frac{1}{\sigma} x w_x w_2 + w_1 w_x \right] + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon} f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}] \\ &= \varepsilon^2 \beta^{-1} \sigma^{-1} k^2 f \int_{\mathbb{R}} [2w_{2,x} w_x + \sigma^{-1} x (w_2 w)_x] + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon} f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}] \\ (6.5) \quad &= -\varepsilon^2 \beta^{-1} \sigma^{-1} k^2 f \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2 + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon} f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}] \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.9) and the following integral identities

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{2,x} w_x = -\left( \frac{2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2, \quad \sigma^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_2 w = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x^2.$$

In summary, we have established that

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(w + \phi_1) w_x dx = \varepsilon^2 [f''(\varepsilon z) + \gamma_1(\varepsilon z) f' + \gamma_2(\varepsilon z) f] + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon} f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}]$$

where  $\gamma_1$  is given by

$$(6.7) \quad \gamma_1(\theta) = \beta(\beta^{-2} \beta' + 2\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \alpha') = \sigma V^{-1} V_\theta,$$

and  $\gamma_2$  is given by

$$(6.8) \quad \gamma_2(\theta) = -\sigma V^{-1} V_{tt} + (\sigma^{-1} + 1) k^2.$$

Let us estimate now the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \left[ \varepsilon p [(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} - w^{p-1}] (eZ) + \varepsilon B_3(eZ) \right] \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \left[ (w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon eZ)^p - (w + \phi_1)^p - p(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} \varepsilon eZ \right].$$

We find now that

$$(6.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \{ \varepsilon p [(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} - w^{p-1}] \} (eZ) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x \{ (w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon eZ)^p - (w + \phi_1)^p - p(w + \phi_1)^{p-1} \varepsilon eZ \} \\ = \varepsilon p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{p-2} \phi_1 eZ w_x + \varepsilon^2 p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{p-2} e^2 Z^2 w_x + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon}.$$

The second integral vanishes, while in the first only the term carrying the odd part of  $\phi_1$  is non-zero. Thus we find that this terms equals

$$\varepsilon^2 a_{11}(\varepsilon z) e \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z w_1 w_x dx + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon}.$$

Let us compute now  $\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x B_3(eZ) dx$ . In this term, we have to consider components of order  $O(\varepsilon)$  in the coefficients of  $B_3$  which are odd functions. We obtain

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x B_3(eZ) dx = \varepsilon^2 e k(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_x [Z_x + c_1 x Z] \\ + 2\varepsilon^4 e'' k(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x w_x Z \\ + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon}^1 e'' + b_{1\varepsilon}^2 f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}].$$

Summarizing, we have proven that

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 w_x dx = \varepsilon^2 [f'' + \gamma_1 f' + \gamma_2(\varepsilon z) f] + \varepsilon^2 [\gamma_3(\varepsilon z) e + \varepsilon^2 \gamma_4 e''] \\ + \varepsilon^3 [b_{1\varepsilon}^1 e'' + b_{1\varepsilon}^2 f'' + b_{2\varepsilon}].$$



The next computations, rather analogous, correspond to the projection onto  $Z$  of the error. We compute now  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 Z$ . The main component in this expression is given by

$$\varepsilon[\varepsilon^2\beta^{-2}e'' + \lambda_0 e] \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z^2$$

We also have a term like

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon\beta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ k(\phi_1)_x - \beta^{-2}V_t(0, \varepsilon z)x\phi_1 \right] Z + \varepsilon\beta^{-2}V_t(0, \varepsilon z)f \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 Z \\ &= \varepsilon^2 k\beta^{-1} a_{11}(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(w_1)_x + c_1 x w_1] Z \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 k\beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) f^2 a_{12}(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_2 Z \\ &= \varepsilon^2 k\beta^{-1} a_{11}(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(w_1)_x + c_1 x w_1] Z + \varepsilon^3 b_{2\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

because of the assumption on  $f$ .

There are also terms of order  $\varepsilon^2$  coming from the second order expansion of  $S(w)$ . We recall, from the decomposition (2.31)-(2.32) that the error carries either terms accompanied by  $\varepsilon^2$  as a factor or by  $\varepsilon^3$ . The terms with  $\varepsilon^3$  produce functions of  $\theta = \varepsilon z$  with  $L^2(0, \ell)$ -norms of order  $\varepsilon^3$ . The terms of order  $\varepsilon^2$  in the decomposition of  $E_1$  are either even or odd in the variable  $x$ . Those which are odd do not contribute to the integral since the function  $Z$  is even. Taking also into account that  $f$  and  $f'$  are uniformly controlled by  $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$  we just need to consider

$$\begin{aligned} d_4(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon\beta^{-2} V_t(0, \varepsilon z) f(\varepsilon z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_1 Z dx \\ d_5(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon^2 a_{11}^2(\varepsilon z) p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [w^{p-2} w_1^2] Z dx \\ d_6(\varepsilon z) &= \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ -\beta^{-2} k^2 x w_x + \beta^{-4} |\beta'|^2 x^2 w_{xx} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta^{-1} \beta'' x w_x + \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-2} \alpha'' w + 2\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-3} \alpha' x w_x \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \beta^{-4} V_{tt} x^2 w \right] Z dx . \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that also  $d_4 = O(\varepsilon^3)$ , The common pattern of  $d_5$  and  $d_6$  is that even though they have size  $\varepsilon^2$  in  $L^2$  norm, they define smooth functions of  $\theta = \varepsilon z$ , which is a very important fact to obtain the desired result.

For the term parallel to (6.9) we get

$$\varepsilon p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{p-2} \phi_1 e Z Z dx + \varepsilon^2 p(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} w^{p-2} e^2 Z^3 dx + \varepsilon^3 b_{1\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^3 b_{1\varepsilon} .$$

We consider now another component:

$$\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_3(eZ) Z = -\varepsilon^2 e(\varepsilon z) f(\varepsilon z) \beta^{-2} V_t \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z^2 + \varepsilon^3 = O(\varepsilon^3) .$$

Additionally, we also need to consider some higher order terms in  $e$ . The ones involving first derivative are

$$\varepsilon^3 e' \frac{2\alpha'}{\alpha\beta^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} Z^2 + 2\varepsilon^3 e' \frac{\beta'}{\beta^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x Z_x Z.$$

Only one term (in  $B_2(eZ)$ ) involving  $e''$  carries also  $\varepsilon^3$ . But this term is also accompanied by  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z(x)^2 x dx = 0$ . There also is a term of the form

$$\varepsilon^3 [\varepsilon f \beta^{-2} \gamma_5(\varepsilon z) + O(\varepsilon^2)] e''(\varepsilon z)$$

with  $O(\varepsilon^2)$  uniform in  $\varepsilon$ .

In summary, we have established that, as a function of  $\theta = \varepsilon z$ ,

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 Z dx = \varepsilon^3 [1 + \varepsilon f \gamma_5 + O(\varepsilon^2)] \beta^{-2} e'' + \varepsilon^3 \gamma_6 e' + \varepsilon \lambda_0 e - \varepsilon^2 \gamma_7(\varepsilon z) + O(\varepsilon^3).$$

where  $\gamma_i, i = 5, \dots, 7$  are smooth functions of their argument. Explicit expression for the coefficient  $\gamma_6$ , which we will need later, is

$$(6.12) \quad \gamma_6 = \frac{2\alpha'}{\alpha\beta^2} - \frac{\beta'}{\beta^3}.$$

## 7 Projections of terms involving $\phi$

We will estimate next the terms that involve  $\phi$  in (3.8)-(3.10) integrated against  $w_x$  and  $Z$ . Concerning  $w_x$ , we call the sum of them  $\varphi(\phi)$ , which can be decomposed as  $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^3 \varphi_i$  below.

Let  $\varphi_1(\varepsilon z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_3(\phi) w_x$ . We make the following observation: all terms in  $B(\phi)$  carry  $\varepsilon$  and involve powers of  $x$  times derivatives of 0, 1 or two orders of  $\phi$ . The conclusion is that since  $w_x$  has exponential decay then

$$\int_0^\ell |\varphi(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq C\varepsilon^3 \|\phi\|_{H^2(S)}^2.$$

Hence

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{L^2(0,\ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^3.$$

In  $B_3(\phi)$  we single out two less regular terms. The one whose coefficient depends on  $f''$  explicitly has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1*} &= \varepsilon^2 f'' \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_x Z (1 + k\varepsilon\beta(x-f))^{-2} \\ &= -\varepsilon^2 f'' \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi \{Z(1 + k\varepsilon\beta(x-f))^{-2}\}_x. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  has Lipschitz dependence on  $(f, e)$  in the form (5.9), we see that this is transmitted from Sobolev's embedding into

$$\|\phi_{(f_1, e_1)} - \phi_{(f_2, e_2)}\|_{L^\infty(S)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} [\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b],$$

from where it follows

$$\|\varphi_{1*}(f_1, e_1) - \varphi_{1*}(f_2, e_2)\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^{3+\frac{1}{2}}[\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b].$$

The one arising from second derivative in  $z$  for  $\phi$  is

$$\varphi_{1**} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_{zz} Z [1 - (1 + k\varepsilon\beta(x - f))^{-2}] dx.$$

We readily see that

$$\|\varphi_{1**}(f_1, e_1) - \varphi_{1**}(f_2, e_2)\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^3[\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b].$$

The remainder  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_{1*} - \varphi_{1**}$  actually defines for fixed  $\varepsilon$  a compact operator of the pair  $(f, e)$  into  $L^2(0, \ell)$ . This is a consequence of the fact that weak convergence in  $H^2(\mathcal{S})$  implies local strong convergence in  $H^1(\mathcal{S})$ , and the same is the case for  $H^2(0, \ell)$  and  $C^1[0, \ell]$ . If  $f_j$  and  $e_j$  are weakly convergent sequences in  $H^2(0, \ell)$  then clearly the functions  $\phi_{(f_j, e_j)}$  constitute a bounded sequence in  $H^1(\mathcal{S})$ . In the above remainder one can integrate by parts if necessary once in  $x$ . Averaging against  $w_x$  which decays exponentially localizes the situation and the desired fact follows.

We observe also that  $\varphi_2(\varepsilon z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{N}(\phi) w_x$  can be estimated similarly. Using the definition of  $\tilde{N}(\phi)$  and the exponential decay of  $w_x$  we obtain

$$\|\varphi_2\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^3.$$

Let us consider now

$$\varphi_3(\varepsilon z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p[\mathbf{w}^{p-1} - w^{p-1}] \phi w_x$$

Since  $\mathbf{w} = w + \phi_1 + \varepsilon eZ$  and  $\phi_1$  can be estimated as

$$\varepsilon |eZ(x)| + |\phi_1(x, z)| \leq C\varepsilon(|x|^2 + 1)e^{-|x|}$$

we easily see that for some  $\sigma > 0$  we have the uniform bound

$$|\mathbf{w}^{p-1} - w^{p-1}| |w_x| \leq C\varepsilon e^{-\sigma|x|}.$$

From here we readily find that

$$\|\varphi_3\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\mathcal{S})} \leq C\varepsilon^3.$$

This terms define compact operators similarly as before. We observe that exactly the same estimates can be carried out in the terms obtained from integration against  $Z$ .

## 8 The system for $(f, e)$ : proof of the theorem

In this section we set up equations relating  $f$  and  $e$  such that for the solution  $\phi$  of (3.8)-(3.9) predicted by Proposition 5.1 one has that the coefficient  $c(\varepsilon z)$  is identically zero. To achieve this we multiply first the equation against  $w_x$  and integrate only in  $x$ . The equation  $c = 0$  is then equivalent to the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 w_x dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (N_2(\phi) + B_3(\phi) + p[w^{p-1} - w^{p-1}]\phi) w_x dx = 0.$$

Similarly  $d = 0$  if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} E_1 Z dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (N_2(\phi) + B_3(\phi) + p[w^{p-1} - w^{p-1}]\phi) Z dx = 0.$$

Using the estimates in the previous sections we then find that these relations are equivalent to the following nonlinear, nonlocal system of differential equations for the pair  $(f, e)$

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_1(f) \equiv f'' + \gamma_1 f' + \gamma_2 f = \gamma_3 e + \varepsilon^2 \gamma_4 e'' + \varepsilon M_{1\varepsilon},$$

$$(8.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_2(e) \equiv \varepsilon^2 (\beta^{-2} e'' + \gamma_6 e') + \lambda_0 e = \varepsilon^3 f \gamma_5 e'' + \varepsilon \gamma_7 + \varepsilon^2 M_{2\varepsilon}.$$

The operators  $M_{l\varepsilon} = M_{l\varepsilon}(f, e)$  can be decomposed in the following form:

$$M_{l\varepsilon}(f, e) = A_{l\varepsilon}(f, e) + K_{l\varepsilon}(f, e)$$

where  $K_{l\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(0, \ell)$  for  $(f, \varepsilon)$  satisfying constraints (2.29)-(2.30) and is also compact. The operator  $A_{l\varepsilon}$  is Lipschitz in this region,

$$\|A_{l\varepsilon}(f_1, e_1) - A_{l\varepsilon}(f_2, e_2)\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon[\|f_1 - f_2\|_a + \|e_1 - e_2\|_b].$$

The functions  $\gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, 7$  are smooth.

We will solve now system (8.1)-(8.2). The first observation is that the operator  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is invertible under  $\ell$ -periodic boundary conditions. This follows from the assumed non-degeneracy condition (1.10): if  $g \in L^2(0, \ell)$  then there is a unique solution  $f \in H^2(0, \ell)$  of  $\mathcal{L}_1(f) = g$  which is  $\ell$ -periodic and satisfies

$$\|f''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \|f'\|_{L^\infty(0, \ell)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(0, \ell)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}.$$

We use now assumption (1.14) to deal with invertibility of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . We have:

**Lemma 8.1.** *Assume that condition (1.14) holds. If  $d \in L^2(0, \ell)$  then there is a unique solution  $e \in H^2(0, \ell)$  of  $\mathcal{L}_2(e) = d$  which is  $\ell$ -periodic and satisfies*

$$\varepsilon^2 \|e''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \varepsilon \|e'\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \|e\|_{L^\infty(0, \ell)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|d\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}.$$

Moreover, if  $d$  is in  $H^2(0, \ell)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \|e''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \|e'\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \|e\|_{L^\infty(0, \ell)} &\leq C[\|d''\|_{L^2(0, \ell)} + \|d'\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}] \\ &\quad + C\|d\|_{L^2(0, \ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us accept for the moment the validity of this result and let us conclude the proof of the theorem.

We solve first  $\mathcal{L}_2(e_0) = \varepsilon\gamma_7(\theta)$  and replace  $e = e_0 + \tilde{e}$ . Observe that by Lemma 8.1 we have

$$\varepsilon^2 \|e_0''\|_{L^2(0,\ell)} + \|e_0\|_{L^\infty(0,\ell)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

The system resulting on  $(f, \tilde{e})$  has the same form as (8.1)-(8.2) except that now the term  $\varepsilon\gamma_7$  disappears. Let us observe now that the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}(f, e) = (\mathcal{L}_1(f) - \gamma_3 e - \varepsilon^2 \gamma_4 e'', \mathcal{L}_2(e)),$$

is invertible with bounds for  $\mathcal{L}(f, e) = (g, d)$  given by

$$\|f\|_a + \|e\|_b \leq C\|g\|_2 + \varepsilon^{-1}\|d\|_2.$$

It then follows from contraction mapping principle that the problem

$$[\mathcal{L} + (\varepsilon A_{1\varepsilon}, \varepsilon^2 A_{2\varepsilon})](f, e) = (g, d)$$

is uniquely solvable for  $(f, e)$  satisfying (2.29)-(2.30) if  $\|g\|_2 < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+\rho}$ ,  $\|d\|_2 < \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}+\rho}$ , for some  $\rho > 0$ . The desired result for the full problem (8.1)-(8.2) then follows directly from Schauder's fixed point theorem. In fact, refining the fixed point region, we can actually get  $\|e\|_b + \|f\|_a = O(\varepsilon)$  for the solution.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 8.1.* We consider then the boundary value problem

$$(8.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_2(e) = d, \quad e(0) = e(\ell), \quad e'(0) = e'(\ell),$$

We make the following *Liouville transformation* c.f. [22]:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 &= \int_0^\ell \beta(\theta) d\theta, \quad t = \frac{\int_0^\theta \beta(\theta) d\theta}{\ell_0} \pi, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_0 = \frac{\ell_0^2}{\pi^2} \lambda_0 \\ \Psi(\theta) &= \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\theta \beta^2 \gamma_6(\theta) d\theta\right), \\ y(t) &= \Psi^{-1}(\theta) e(\theta), \quad q(t) = \frac{\ell_0^2}{\pi^2} \frac{\Psi''}{\beta^2 \Psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $\Psi$  is  $\ell$ -periodic thanks to the explicit formula (6.12) for the coefficient  $\gamma_6$ . Then (8.3) gets transformed into

$$(8.4) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2(y) = \varepsilon^2 (y'' + q(t)y) + \tilde{\lambda}_0 y = \tilde{d}, \quad y(0) = y(\pi), \quad y'(0) = y'(\pi),$$

and it then suffices to establish the estimates in Lemma 8.1 for the solution of this problem in terms of corresponding norms of  $\tilde{d}$ . It is standard that the eigenvalue problem

$$(8.5) \quad y'' + q(t)y + \lambda y = 0, \quad y(0) = y(\pi), \quad y'(0) = y'(\pi),$$

has an infinite sequence of eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , with associated orthonormal basis in  $L^2(0, \pi)$ ,  $\{y_k\}$ , constituted by eigenfunctions. A result in [22] provides asymptotic expressions as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  for these eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, which turn out to correspond to those for  $q \equiv 0$ . We have:

$$(8.6) \quad \sqrt{\lambda_k} = 2k + O\left(\frac{1}{k^3}\right).$$

Problem (8.4) is then solvable if and only if  $\lambda_k \varepsilon^2 \neq \tilde{\lambda}_0$  for all  $k$ . In such a case, the solution to (8.3) then can be written as

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{d}_k}{\tilde{\lambda}_0 - \lambda_k \varepsilon^2} y_k(t)$$

with this series convergent in  $L^2$ . Hence

$$\|y\|_{L^2(0, \pi)}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\tilde{d}_k|^2}{(\tilde{\lambda}_0 - \lambda_k \varepsilon^2)^2}.$$

We then choose  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$(8.7) \quad |4k^2 \varepsilon^2 - \tilde{\lambda}_0| \geq c\varepsilon$$

for all  $k$ , where  $c$  is small. This corresponds precisely to the condition (1.14) in the statement of the theorem. From (8.6) we then find that  $|\tilde{\lambda}_0 - \lambda_k \varepsilon^2| \geq \frac{c}{2}\varepsilon$  if  $\varepsilon$  is also sufficiently small. It follows that  $\|y\|_{L^2(0, \pi)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{d}\|_{L^2(0, \pi)}$ . Observe also that

$$\|y'\|_{L^2(0, \pi)}^2 \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{d}_k|^2 \frac{1 + |\lambda_k|}{(\tilde{\lambda}_0 - \lambda_k \varepsilon^2)^2} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + k^4) |\tilde{d}_k|^2.$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon \|y'\|_{L^2(0, \pi)} + \|y\|_{L^\infty(0, \pi)} \leq C\varepsilon^{-1} \|\tilde{d}\|_{L^2(0, \pi)}.$$

Besides, if  $d$  is in  $H^2(0, \pi)$  with  $d(0) = d(\pi)$ ,  $d'(0) = d'(\pi)$ , then the sum  $\sum_k k^4 d_k^2$  is finite and bounded by the  $H^2$ -norm of  $d$ . This automatically implies

$$\varepsilon^2 \|y''\|_{L^2(0, \pi)} + \|y'\|_{L^2(0, \pi)} + \|y\|_{L^\infty(0, \pi)} \leq C \|\tilde{d}\|_{H^2(0, \pi)},$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The first author has been partly supported by grants Fondecyt 1030840 and FONDAF, Chile. He is grateful to the Department of Mathematics of The Chinese University of Hong Kong for its hospitality. The second author has been supported by grant NSF OISE-0405626, and Fondecyt grants 1050311 and 7040142. The research of the third author is partially supported by an Earmarked Grant (CUHK402503) from RGC of Hong Kong.

## Bibliography

- [1] Alikakos, N.; Chen, X.; Fusco, G. Motion of a droplet by surface tension along the boundary. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **11** (2000), no. 3, 233–306.
- [2] Ambrosetti, A.; Badiale, M.; Cingolani, S. Semiclassical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **140** (1997), 285–300.
- [3] Ambrosetti, A.; Malchiodi, A.; Ni, W.-M. Singularly perturbed elliptic equations with symmetry: existence of solutions concentrating on spheres, Part I. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **235** (2003), 427–466.
- [4] Ambrosetti, A.; Malchiodi, A.; Secchi, S. Multiplicity results for some nonlinear singularly perturbed elliptic problems on  $R^n$ . *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **159** (2001), 253–271.
- [5] Badiale, M.; D’Aprile, T., Concentration around a sphere for a singularly perturbed Schrödinger equation, *Nonlin. Anal. T.M.A.* **49** (2002), 947–985.
- [6] Benci, V.; D’Aprile, T. The semiclassical limit of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in a radial potential. *J. Differential Equations* **184** (2002), 109–138.
- [7] Byeon, J.; Wang, Z.-Q. Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **65** (2002), 295–316.
- [8] Cingolani, S.; Lazzo M. Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions. *J. Differential Equations* **160** (2000) 118–138.
- [9] del Pino, M.; Felmer, P. Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **4** (1996), 121–137.
- [10] del Pino, M.; Felmer, P. Semi-classical states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* **149** (1997), 245–265.
- [11] del Pino, M.; Felmer, P. Multi-peak bound states for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **15** (1998), no. 2, 127–149.
- [12] del Pino, M.; Felmer, P. Semi-classical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations: a variational reduction method. *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), no. 1, 1–32.
- [13] Felmer, P.; Torres J.J. Semi classical limits for the one dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **4** (2002) 481–512.
- [14] Floer, A.; Weinstein, A. Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential. *J. Funct. Anal.* **69** (1986), 397–408.
- [15] Grossi, M. On the number of single-peak solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire* **19** (2002) 261–280.
- [16] Gui, C. Existence of multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations via variational method. *Comm. in P.D.E.* **21** (1996), 787–820.
- [17] Jeanjean, L.; Tanaka K., Singularly perturbed elliptic problems with superlinear or asymptotically linear nonlinearities. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **21** (2004), no. 3, 287–318.
- [18] Kang, X.; Wei, J. On interacting bumps of semi-classical states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Adv. Diff. Eqn.* **5**(7-9) (2000), 899–928.
- [19] Kowalczyk, M. On the existence and Morse index of solutions to the Allen-Cahn equation in two dimensions. *Annali di Matematica Pura et Applicata*, to appear.
- [20] Kowalczyk, M. Approximate invariant manifold of the Allen-Cahn flow in two dimensions. Partial differential equations and inverse problems, 233–239, *Contemp. Math.* **362**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [21] Li, Y. Y. On a singularly perturbed elliptic equation. *Adv. Diff. Eqn.* **2**(6) (1997), 955–980.
- [22] Levitan, B. M.; Sargsjan, I. S. *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators*. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 59. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [23] Lin, C.-S.; Ni, W.-M.; Takagi, I. Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system. *J. Differential Equations* **72** (1988), no. 1, 1–27.

- [24] Malchiodi, A.; Montenegro, M. Boundary concentration phenomena for a singularly perturbed elliptic problem. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **55** (2002), 1507-1568.
- [25] Malchiodi, A.; Montenegro, M. Multidimensional boundary layers for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem. *Duke Math. J.* **124** (2004), no. 1, 105-143.
- [26] Malchiodi, A. Solutions concentrating at curves for some singularly perturbed elliptic problems. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **338** (2004), no. 10, 775-780.
- [27] Malchiodi, A. Concentration at curves for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem in three-dimensional domains. *Preprint*.
- [28] Mazzeo, R.; Pacard, F., Foliations by mean curvature sets. *Preprint*.
- [29] Ni, W.-M.; Takagi, I. On the shape of least-energy solution to a semilinear Neumann problem. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1991), 819-851.
- [30] Ni, W.-M.; Takagi, I. Locating the peaks of least-energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem. *Duke Math. J.* **70** (2003), 247-281.
- [31] Oh, Y.-G. Stability of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schroedinger equations with potentials. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 11-33.
- [32] Oh, Y.-G. On positive multibump states of nonlinear Schroedinger equation under multiple well potentials. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **131** (1990), 223-253.
- [33] Pacard, F.; Ritore, M., From constant mean curvature hypersurfaces to the gradient theory of phase transitions. *J. Differential Geom.* **64** (2003), no. 3, 359-423.
- [34] Rabinowitz, P.H. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **43** (1992) 270-291.
- [35] Shatah, J.; Zeng, C. Periodic solutions for Hamiltonian systems under strong constraining forces. *J. Differential Equations* **186** (2002), no. 2, 572-585.
- [36] Sirakov, B. Standing wave solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **4**(181) (2002), no. 1, 73-83.
- [37] Wang, X. On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 229-243.
- [38] Wang, Z.-Q. Existence and symmetry of multi-bump solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Differential Equations* **159** (1999), no. 1, 102-137.

MANUEI DEL PINO

Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática and CMM,  
 Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile.  
 Email: delpino@dim.uchile.cl

MICHAL KOWALCZYK

Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
 Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242 and  
 Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática,  
 Universidad de Chile, Casilla 170 Correo 3, Santiago, Chile.  
 Email:kowalczyk@math.kent.edu

JUN-CHENG WEI

Department of Mathematics  
 The Chinese University of Hong Kong,



Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.

Email: wei@math.cuhk.edu.hk

Received Month 200X.