

CONCERNING PRODUCT INTEGRALS AND EXPONENTIALS

W. P. DAVIS AND J. A. CHATFIELD

ABSTRACT. Suppose S is a linearly ordered set, N is the set of real numbers, G is a function from $S \times S$ to N , and all integrals are of the subdivision-refinement type. We show that if $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$ and either integral exists, then the other exists and ${}_a \prod^b (1+G) = \exp \int_a^b G$. We also show that the bounded variation of G is neither necessary nor sufficient for $\int_a^b G^2$ to be zero.

B. W. Helton, J. S. MacNerney, and H. S. Wall have established various relationships between integral equations, sum integrals, and product integrals. This paper establishes a relationship between exponentials, sum integrals, and product integrals which may be used to evaluate certain product integrals or sum integrals. Integrals used are of the subdivision-refinement type and complete definitions of these and other terms and symbols used in this paper may be found in [1] or [2]. Suppose S is a linearly ordered set [2] and N is the set of real numbers. All functions considered will be functions from $S \times S$ to N unless otherwise noted. In [1, Theorem 3.4] it is shown that for functions of bounded variation from $S \times S$ to N the following two statements are equivalent: (1) $\int_a^b G$ exists and (2) ${}_a \prod^b (1+G)$ exists. Under the hypothesis that $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$, we show that the following two statements are equivalent for functions from $S \times S$ to N : (1) $\int_a^b G$ exists and (2) ${}_a \prod^b (1+G)$ exists and is not zero. It is also noted that neither of the following two statements is a consequence of the other. (1) $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$ and (2) G is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

THEOREM 0. *If ${}_a \prod^b (1+G)$ exists and is not zero then if $\epsilon > 0$ there is a subdivision D of $\{a, b\}$ such that if $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a refinement of D , then*

$$\left| \log \frac{{}_a \prod^b (1+G)}{\prod_{D'} (1+G_i)} \right| < \epsilon.$$

The proof of this theorem is omitted.

THEOREM 1. *Neither of the following statements is a consequence of the other:*

Received by the editors December 11, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 2645, 2649; Secondary 4513.

Key Words and Phrases. Exponentials, product integrals, subdivision-refinement type integrals, bounded variation.

- (1) $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$.
 (2) G is of bounded variation.

INDICATION OF PROOF. Let G be the function such that for each $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq y \leq 1$,

$$G(x, y) = x, \quad x = 1/n, \quad n \text{ an integer, and } |x - y| \geq 1/n - 1/(n+1), \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

$\int_0^1 G^2 = 0$ but G is not of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$ and $\int_0^1 G$ does not exist. Hence (2) is not a consequence of (1).

Let H be the function such that for each $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq y \leq 1$,

$$H(x, y) = 1, \quad x = 0, \quad y > x, \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

$\int_0^1 H = 1$ but $\int_0^1 H^2 = 1$. Hence (1) does not follow from (2).

The following theorem may be found in [2, p. 151] and may be established by induction.

THEOREM 2. *If n is an integer greater than 1 and each of $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a sequence of numbers, then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n A_i - \prod_{i=1}^n B_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} B_j \right) (A_i - B_i) \left(\prod_{k=i+1}^n A_k \right).$$

THEOREM 3. *If $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$, then the following two statements are equivalent: (1) $\int_a^b G$ exists.*

(2) $\int_a^b (1+G)$ exists and is not zero. Furthermore, if either (1) or (2) is true, then $\int_a^b G = \log \int_a^b (1+G)$.

PROOF. 1. Suppose (1) is true and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$ and $\int_a^b G$ exist then there is a subdivision D of $\{a, b\}$ such that if D' is a refinement of D , then there is a number k such that:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{D'} G_i^2 < \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and hence } |G_i| < \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{D'} G_i^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} + \int_a^b G\right)},$$

$$(3) \quad |k| < \frac{\epsilon}{8 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} + \int_a^b G\right)},$$

(4) $|k| < \frac{1}{2}$, so if $n > m \geq 0$,

$$\exp(mk/n) < \exp(\frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \exp(-k) < \exp(\frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$(5) \quad \int_a^b G = \sum_{D'} G_i + k.$$

Let $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be a refinement of D .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \exp\left(G_i + \frac{k}{n}\right) - G_i - 1 \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| -1 - G_i + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(G_i + k/n)^j}{j!} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{k}{n} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(G_i + k/n)^j}{j!} \right| \\ &\leq |k| + \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i + k/n)^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \right) \\ &< |k| + \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i + k/n)^2 \\ &\leq |k| + \frac{\epsilon}{2 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} + \int_a^b G\right)} + |k| + |k| \\ &< \frac{7\epsilon}{8 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} + \int_a^b G\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \exp(G_i + k/n) - G_i - 1 \right| \\ &< \frac{7\epsilon}{8 \exp\left(\frac{3}{2} + \int_a^b G\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + G_i) - \exp\left(\int_a^b G\right) \right| \\
&= \left| \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + G_i) - \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(G_i + k/n) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 + G_j) \right| \cdot \left| \exp(G_i + k/n) - 1 - G_i \right| \cdot \left| \prod_{j=i+1}^n \exp(G_j + k/n) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \exp G_j \right| \cdot \left| \prod_{j=i+1}^n \exp(G_j + k/n) \right| \cdot \left| \exp(G_i + k/n) - 1 - G_i \right| \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n G_j + k - G_i - k + ((n-i)/n)k\right) \right| \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \exp(G_i + k/n) - 1 - G_i \right| \\
&< \sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\int_a^b G\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left| \exp(G_i + k/n) - 1 - G_i \right| \\
&< \exp\left(\int_a^b G + \frac{3}{2}\right) \frac{7\epsilon}{8 \exp\left(\int_a^b G + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \\
&< \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\left| \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + G_i) - \exp\left(\int_a^b G\right) \right| < \epsilon$ so that ${}_a \prod^b (1 + G)$ exists and is $\exp\left(\int_a^b G\right)$.

2. Suppose (2) is true and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$, ${}_a \prod^b (1 + G)$ exists and is not zero, then there exists a subdivision D of $\{a, b\}$ such that if D' is a refinement of D , then

$$(1) \quad |G_i| < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$(2) \quad \left| \log \frac{{}_a \prod^b (1 + G)}{\prod_{D'} (1 + G_i)} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$(3) \quad \log(1 + G_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1} G_i^j}{j}$$

$$(4) \quad M = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^{j-2}}{j} \geq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|(G_i)^{j-2}|}{j}$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{D'} G_i^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2M}.$$

Let $D' = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be a refinement of D , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \log {}_a \prod^b (1 + G) - \sum_{i=1}^n G_i \right| \\
& \leq \left| \log \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + G_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n G_i \right| + \left| \log \frac{{}_a \prod^b (1 + G)}{D' \prod (1 + G_i)} \right| \\
& < \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [\log(1 + G_i) - G_i] \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{G_i^j}{j} - G_i \right] \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{G_i^j}{j} \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[G_i^2 \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{G_i^{j-2}}{j} \right] \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left[G_i^2 \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{|G_i|^{j-2}}{j} \right] + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& \leq M \sum_{i=1}^n G_i^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2M} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left| \log {}_a \prod^b (1 + G) - \sum_{i=1}^n G_i \right| < \epsilon$$

so that $\int_a^b G$ exists and is $\log {}_a \prod^b (1 + G)$.

REMARK. As noted by the referee, a function G from $S \times S$ to N may have the property that $\int_a^b G^2 = 0$ and $\int_a^b G$ exists yet G fails to be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. As an example of such a function we offer the following: Suppose for $0 < x \leq 1$, $g(x) = x \sin(\pi/x)$ and $g(0) = 0$ and for each $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq y \leq 1$, $G(x, y) = g(y) - g(x)$. $\int_0^1 G^2 = \int_0^1 G = 0$, but $\int_0^1 |G|$ does not exist.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B. W. Helton, *Integral equations and product integrals*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 297-322. MR 32 #6167.
2. J. S. MacNerney, *Integral equations and semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **7** (1963), 148-173. MR 26 #1726.
3. H. S. Wall, *Concerning harmonic matrices*, Arch. Math. **5** (1954), 160-167. MR 15, 801.

SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS, TEXAS 78666