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#### Abstract

We consider collections of surfaces $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ smoothly embedded, except for a finite number of isolated singularities, self-intersections, and mutual intersections, in a 4 -manifold $M$. A small 3 -sphere about each exceptional point will intersect these surfaces in a link. If $\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}(M)$ are linearly dependent modulo a prime power, we find lower bounds for $\Sigma$ genus ( $F_{i}$ ) in terms of the [ $F_{i}$ ], and invariants of the links that describe the exceptional points.


0. Introduction. The following special case of our main theorem is easy to state.

Theorem 0.1. Let $M$ be a closed smooth 4-manifold and $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ a collection of $n$ smoothly embedded surfaces in general position. Let $x_{i}=\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}(M)$. Suppose $\cup F_{i}$ is connected and $\sum a_{i} x_{i}=p^{r} y$ where $p$ is a prime, $0<a_{i}<p^{r}$, and $a_{i} \neq 0$ $\bmod p$. Let \# be the total number of intersection points. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#+2 \sum \operatorname{genus}\left(F_{i}\right) \geqslant & \left|2 y\left(\sum x_{i}-y\right)-\sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}-\operatorname{sign} M\right| \\
& +2(n-1)-\operatorname{dim} H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, according to a theorem of C. T. C. Wall [W] if $M$ is a smooth closed simply-connected 4-manifold with indefinite quadratic form, then in $M \# S^{2}$ $\times S^{2}$ any primitive noncharacteristic class may be represented by an embedded 2-sphere. Let $M$ be $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and let $F_{1}$ be a 2 -sphere representing $(0,1,0,0) \in$ $H_{2}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}\right.$ ) (with respect to the natural basis). Let $F_{2}$ be a 2 -sphere representing $(a, b, 0,0)$ transverse to $F_{1}$ where $a>1, b>0$ and $(a, b)=1$. Let \# be the total number of intersection points of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Then we have

$$
\# \geqslant \begin{cases}a b-2 & \text { if } a \text { is even } \\ a b-\frac{a}{d}\left[\frac{b}{d}\right]-2 & \text { if }\left[\frac{b}{d}\right] \neq b \bmod 2 \\ a b-\frac{a}{d}\left(\left[\frac{b}{d}\right]+1\right)-2 & \text { if }\left[\frac{b}{d}\right]=b \bmod 2\end{cases}
$$

where $d$ is the largest odd prime power dividing $a$. To see this, if $a$ is even, let $p^{r}=2$ and apply Theorem 0.1. Otherwise choose

$$
p^{r}=d, \quad a_{1}=(b-[b / d] d+d) / 2 \quad \text { or } \quad a_{1}=(b-[b / d] d) / 2
$$

[^0](whichever is integral) and $a_{2}=(d-1) / 2$. This is in general a much better bound than one gets by calculating the algebraic intersection: \# $\geqslant a$.

Theorem 0.1 for $n=1$ and $H_{1}(M)=0$ is a theorem of V. A. Rokhlin [R]. W. C. Hsiang and R. Szczarba [H-S] proved a similar result. That the $H_{1}(M)=0$ hypothesis is unnecessary follows from V. I. Itenberg's higher dimension generalization of Rokhlin's results [ $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ ] (see Corollary 2.4 of this paper). Our method of proof is similar to those above. However, we consider unbranched covers of the complement of a neighborhood of the surfaces instead of branched covers. Instead of using the $G$-Signature Theorem directly, we calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$, a signature invariant of finite cyclic covers of 3 -manifolds, for $L$ the boundary of this neighborhood. $\sigma(L, \psi)$, which was first introduced by A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon, is basically a reformulation of the $\alpha$-invariant defined by M. Atiyah and I. M. Singer.

I should mention that bounds similar to those given in Theorem 0.1 follow from Rokhlin's Theorem together with various ad hoc geometric arguments. In fact in the situation where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=a_{2}=\cdots=a_{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} \cdot x_{i+1} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the quantity inside the absolute value sign all have the same sign, one can derive the same bounds. If not, all the $a_{i}$ are equal; the bounds obtained cannot be stated in a simple general form. In many particular examples, the bounds obtained in this manner are significantly worse than those obtained from Theorem 0.1, and in no known example does one get better bounds. In the above example in $S^{2} \times S^{2} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}$, one can derive the same bound for $a$ even by this method. However, consider the family of examples given by $(a, b)=(2 n+1,3 n+2)$ for $2 n+1$ a prime. By Theorem $0.1 \# \geqslant 6 n^{2}+7 n-2$. The best bound I can get using Rokhlin's theorem is $\# \geqslant 6 n+9-4 / n$.

This paper is organized as follows. In §1, we give preliminary definitions and results concerning the homology of finite cyclic covers. In §2, we prove Theorem 2.1 which gives an obstruction to embedding a 4 -manifold $N$ with boundary $L$ in a closed 4-manifold $M$. We then give a more precise definition of a configuration of surfaces, define the neighborhood of a configuration, and then specialize Theorem 2.1 to the case $N$ is a neighborhood of a configuration. The obstruction involves $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$, a second invariant of these covers. Next we apply the same argument to higher dimensional codimension-0 embeddings. See Theorem 2.3. We conclude the section with a conjectured formula (2.5) for $\sigma(L, \psi)$ for certain $(L, \psi)$.

In §3, we show how to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$ for any finite cyclic cover of a 3 -manifold $L$. Our formula (3.6) generalizes a formula due to Casson and Gordon. A result of K. Murasugi and a result of A. G. Tristram fall out for free from (3.6). If $L$ is described as the boundary of a neighborhood of a configuration of surfaces (and the cover satisfies a certain condition) we give formulas for $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$ in terms of the signature and nullity invariants of links associated to
the links about each exceptional point and the homology classes given by the surfaces (3.7). In case $L$ is the boundary of a plumbing, this formula is particularly simple. In a later paper, this simple formula will be used to calculate the CassonGordon invariants of 3 -strand Turk's Head Knots.

In the fourth section, we combine the results of $\$ \$ 2$ and 3 to give our main result (4.1). As a corollary, we derive the Tristram-Murasugi bounds for the slice genus of a link (4.3). This illustrates the well-known relation between Rokhlin's and Tristram's methods in a particularly vivid manner. We also derive as a corollary a theorem of $\mathbf{O}$. Ya. Viro generalizing Rokhlin's result to the case of a single surface with a single singularity given as a cone on a knot. We then work out some explicit examples of applications of the main theorem.

In §5, we perform some calculations that make our results on 3- and 4-manifolds independent of the $G$-Signature Theorem. In the final section, we discuss the ad hoc geometrical constructions, mentioned above, that together with Rokhlin's Theorem give bounds of the type given by Theorem 0.1.

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Emery Thomas for much patient advice, guidance and encouragement. I am indebted to him for many fruitful ideas. Also I benefited greatly from learning Professor Robion Kirby's point of view on three and four dimensional manifolds. I thank him for his help.

We adopt the following conventions and definitions. All manifolds will be assumed smooth, oriented, and compact (unless they are described as an interior of a closed manifold). All other spaces (except $B \mathbf{Z}_{d}$ ) will be assumed to have the structure of a finite simplicial complex. The group $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ will be thought of as the integers modulo $d$, with a specified generator, the residue class of one. Throughout $\omega$ will denote $e^{2 \pi i / d}$, and $p$ will be a prime number. We write $\beta_{i}(X)$ for $\operatorname{dim} H_{i}(X, Q)$ and $\rho_{i}(X)$ for $\operatorname{dim} H_{i}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$. The reduction of homology classes mod $d$ will be indicated by $\rho$. $\Sigma$ and + will denote the disjoint union of spaces, as well as ordinary summation. $r X$ will indicate the disjoint union of $r$ copies of $X$. ( $a, d$ ) denotes the g.c.d. of $a$ and $d$. $l \mid d$ will mean $l$ divides $d$.

1. Preliminaries on finite cyclic covers. Let $Z_{d}$ act on a space $Y$, with the generator acting by $T: Y \rightarrow Y . H_{k}(Y, \mathbf{C})$ splits into a direct sum of eigenspaces $H_{k}(Y, j)=\left\{x \mid T_{*} x=\omega^{j} x\right\}$. Define $\beta_{k}(Y, j)=\operatorname{dim} H_{k}(Y, j)$ and $\bar{\beta}_{k}(Y)=\beta_{k}(Y, 1)$. Define $\chi(Y, j)$ to be $\Sigma(-1)^{k} \beta_{k}(Y, j)$ and $\bar{\chi}(Y)=\chi(Y, 1)$. Make analogous definitions for pairs ( $Y, Y^{\prime}$ ).

If $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ acts as a group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms on a $2 k$-manifold $Y$ (possibly with boundary) define $\sigma_{j}(Y)$ to be the signature of the complexified intersection pairing restricted to $H_{k}(Y, j)$. This pairing is hermitian if $k$ is even and skew hermitian if $k$ is odd. The signature of a skew hermitian pairing $x \cdot y$ is defined to be the signature of the associated hermitian pairing given by $x * y=$ $i x \cdot y$.

Isomorphism classes of cyclic $d$-fold covers of a fixed space $X$ with specified generator $T$ for the group of covering translations correspond bijectively to elements $\psi$ of

$$
\left[X, B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right]=H^{1}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{1}(X), \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)
$$

since $B \mathbf{Z}_{d}$ is the classifying space for principal $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$-bundles. If $\psi$ is thought of as a map $H_{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$, then the element of $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ defined by lifting a loop $\gamma$ is $\psi[\gamma]$. We let $X_{\psi}$ denote the covering space defined by $\psi$. Thus $X_{\psi}$ comes equipped with a covering translation $T$. An element $x$ of a $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$-module is called primitive if $x=l y$, $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, implies $(l, d)=1 . \psi \in H^{1}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ is primitive if and only if $\psi: H_{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$ is onto. $X_{\psi}$ is connected if and only if $X$ is connected and $\psi$ is primitive.

If $L$ is a $2 k-1$ manifold and $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ then $(L, \psi)$ represents an element in $\Omega_{2 k-1}\left(B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$. The bordism spectral sequence shows that $\Omega_{*}$ (point) $\rightarrow \Omega_{*}\left(B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ is a rational isomorphism. Thus $\Omega_{2 k-1}\left(B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ is torsion and $r(L, \psi)=\partial(W, \psi)$ for some $2 k$-manifold $W$ and integer $r$. Define $\sigma(L, \psi)=\left(\sigma_{1}\left(W_{\psi}\right)-\operatorname{sign} W\right) / r$. Let $N$ be a closed $2 k$-manifold and $(N, \psi)$ represent an element in $\Omega_{2 k}\left(B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$. By the above $r(N, \psi)$ is bordant to some $\left(N^{\prime}, 0\right)$ for some integer $r . \sigma_{1}\left({ }_{\psi}\right)$ and sign are both bordism invariants and $\sigma_{1}\left(N_{0}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that $\sigma_{1}\left(N_{\psi}\right)=\operatorname{sign} N$. Novikov additivity then shows $\sigma(L, \psi)$ is well defined. This is a straightforward generalization of the invariant defined by Casson and Gordon for $k=2$ in [ $\left.\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$. Here we adopt the sign convention of the first paper which is opposite that of the second. Also define $\eta(L, \psi)=\bar{\beta}_{k-1}\left(L_{\psi}\right)$.
Let $\psi^{\prime}$ be a map $H_{1}(L) \rightarrow Q / \mathbf{Z}$. Pick $d$ so the subgroup generated by $(1 / d)$ and isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ includes the image of $\psi^{\prime}$. This defines a map $\psi: H_{1}(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$. One can show $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$ are independent of the choice of $d$. If $(s, d)=1$, it is easy to see that $\sigma(L, s \psi)=\sigma_{s}\left(W_{\psi}\right)-\operatorname{sign} W$ and $\eta(L, s \psi)=\beta_{1}\left(L_{\psi}, s\right)$. Using Lemma 7.4 of [T-W] together with the above observation concerning the independence of $d$, one sees these formulae hold even if $(s, d) \neq 1$.

Proposition 1.1. $\bar{\chi}\left(X_{\psi}\right)=\chi\left(X_{\psi}, j\right)=\chi(X)$.
Proof. A simplex counting argument shows that if $X_{l}$ is any $l$-fold cover of $X$, $\chi\left(X_{l}\right)-\chi(X)=(l-1) \chi(X)$. For any $l \mid d$, one has a quotient $l$-fold cover of $X$. E. Thomas and J. Wood analyze the relations between the different eigenspaces of this collection in [T-W, §7]. They consider the middle dimension of branched covers of manifolds but the arguments go through unchanged. Lemmas 7.2, 7.4 and 7.5 of [T-W] then give the desired result.

For the rest of this section $d$ will be a power of $p$. We will need an exact sequence of Smith homology groups due to E. E. Floyd [F]. We only need this sequence for unbranched covers or, equivalently, free actions. In this situation, the concepts and arguments are considerably simpler. On the other hand, we need this sequence in slightly greater generality ((1.2) is proved for $d=p$ and $1<m<d$ in $[\mathbf{F}]$ ). For these reasons we outline the results we need.

Give $X_{\psi}$ the simplicial structure obtained by lifting the simplices in $X$. Let $C\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ denote the simplicial chain group of $X_{\psi}$ and $C\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$ be the simplicial chain group with $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ coefficients. Let $\delta=1-T_{*}: C\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow C\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$, so $\delta^{d}=1-$ $T_{*}^{d}=0$ and $\delta^{d-1}=1+T_{*}+\cdots+T_{*}^{d-1}$. By considering the subspace generated by the simplices covering a single simplex one sees kernel $\delta=$ image $\delta^{d-1}$. Then a simple induction argument shows kernel $\delta^{m}=$ image $\delta^{d-m}$ for $0<m \leqslant d$. Define $C^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)=$ kernel $\delta^{m}$ for $0<m \leqslant d$. Since $\delta$ is a chain map, $C^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ is a
subcomplex. Define $H^{\delta "}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ to be the homology of this complex. Since $C\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$ $=C^{\delta^{d}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ and $C\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)=C^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$, we have $H\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)=H^{\delta^{d}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ and $H\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$ $=H^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$.
There is a short exact sequence of chain complexes:

$$
0 \rightarrow C^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow C^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{\delta^{m-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where the first map is inclusion and the second is given by $z \mapsto \delta(z)$. $\delta$ is onto because $\delta\left(\right.$ image $\left.\delta^{d-m}\right)=$ image $\delta^{d-m+1}$. There is a corresponding long exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rightarrow H_{k}^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H_{k}^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H_{k}^{\delta^{m-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H_{k-1}^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1.3 below is a special case of a theorem attributed to Smith Theory, concerning branched covers, stated (without proof) by V. S. Itenberg [ $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ ]. He refers to O . Ya. Viro $\left[\mathrm{V}_{\mathbf{3}}\right]$ for a special case which is still more general than (1.3).

Proposition 1.3. $\beta_{k}\left(X_{\psi}\right)-\beta_{k}(X) \leqslant(d-1) \rho_{k}(X)$.
Proof. Let $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow C\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ denote the map which sends a simplex in $X$ to the sum of simplices covering it. Let $\Gamma$ be $C\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ modulo the image of $\mu$. $\Gamma$ is also a free chain complex. We have the following short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow C(X) \rightarrow C\left(X_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0
$$

If we tensor this sequence with $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$, we will recover the sequence of Smith complexes for $m=d$. Thus $H\left(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)=H^{\delta^{d-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$. Since $\mu_{*}: H(X, Q) \rightarrow H\left(X_{\psi}, Q\right)$ is injective (the covering projection yields a left inverse), we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{k}(\Gamma, Q)=\beta_{k}\left(X_{\psi}\right)-\beta_{k}(X)
$$

So by the universal coefficient theorem, $\operatorname{dim} H^{\delta^{d-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \geqslant \beta_{k}\left(X_{\psi}\right)-\beta_{k}(X)$.
Finally since $\rho_{k}(X)=\operatorname{dim} H_{k}^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$, induction using (1.2) shows $\operatorname{dim} H_{n}^{\delta^{d-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \leqslant$ $(d-1) \rho_{k}(X)$.

Proposition 1.4. $\bar{\beta}_{k}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \leqslant \rho_{k}(X)$.
Proof. Let $X_{s}$ denote the quotient $p^{s}$-fold cover of $X, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant r$. Lemmas (7.2) and (7.3) of [T-W] show

$$
p^{r-1}(p-1) \bar{\beta}_{k}\left(X_{r}\right)=\beta_{k}\left(X_{r}\right)-\beta_{k}\left(X_{r-1}\right) .
$$

By (1.3) $\beta_{k}\left(X_{r}\right)-\beta_{k}\left(X_{r-1}\right) \leqslant(p-1) \rho_{k}\left(X_{r-1}\right)$. Induction using (1.2) as above but applied to the cover $X_{r-1} \rightarrow X$ yields $\rho_{k}\left(X_{r-1}\right) \leqslant p^{r-1} \rho_{k}(X)$. The result follows.
L. Kaufman and L. Taylor essentially proved (1.5) below for $d=2$ (Theorem (3.8) of [K-T]). Our proof is obtained by substituting an inductive argument using the Smith sequence above for the single application of the Gysin sequence of [K-T].

Proposition 1.5. If $X_{\psi}$ is connected, then $\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \leqslant \rho_{1}(X)-1$.
Proof. Let $Y$ be a wedge of $\rho_{1}(X)$ circles and pick a simplicial map $i: Y \rightarrow X$ inducing an epimorphism $H_{1}\left(Y, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ and an isomorphism $H_{1}\left(Y, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$ $\rightarrow H_{1}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$. Pulling back the cover $X_{\psi}$ to $Y$, we get a connected cover $\tilde{Y}$ of $Y$. If $Y_{s}$ is a $p^{s}$ fold connected cover of $Y$, then an Euler characteristic calculation shows
$\beta_{1}\left(Y_{s}\right)-\beta_{1}\left(Y_{0}\right)=\left(p^{s}-1\right)\left(\rho_{1}(X)-1\right)$. The Thomas-Wood argument then shows $\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{Y})=\rho_{1}(X)-1$.

The exact sequence of Smith groups is natural, so we have

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_{1}^{\delta}(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & H_{1}^{\delta^{m}}(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & H_{1}^{\delta^{m-1}}(\tilde{Y}) & \rightarrow & H_{0}^{\delta}(\tilde{Y}) \\
\downarrow \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Downarrow \\
H_{1}^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right) & \rightarrow & H_{1}^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) & \rightarrow & H_{1}^{\delta^{m-1}}\left(X_{\psi}\right) & \rightarrow & H_{0}^{\delta}\left(X_{\psi}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The five lemma permits one to prove inductively that $\left.H_{1}^{\delta^{m}(\tilde{Y}}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{\delta^{m}}\left(X_{\psi}\right)$ is an epimorphism. Taking $m=d$, we have $H_{1}\left(\tilde{Y}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$ is onto. So the induced map $H_{1}(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(X_{\psi}\right) /$ torsion when reduced $\bmod p$ is onto. It follows that $H_{1}(\tilde{Y}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(X_{\psi}, \mathbf{C}\right)$ is onto. Thus $\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(X_{\psi}\right) \leqslant \bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{Y})=\rho_{1}(X)-1$.

Remark. To see why $d$ is a power of $p$ is a necessary hypothesis for (1.3) and (1.5), let $X$ be the punctured torus bundle over $S^{1}$ with monodromy given by $h=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & -1\end{array}\right]$. Since $\operatorname{det}(h-1)=1$, the Wang sequence shows $X$ is a homology circle. In fact $X$ is the complement of the trefoil knot. Since $h^{6}=I$, the 6 -fold cover $\tilde{X}$ is a trivial punctured torus bundle over $S^{1}$. In particular $\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X})=1>\rho_{1}(X)-1=0$ and $\beta_{2}(\tilde{X})-\beta_{2}(X)=2>\rho_{2}(X)=0$.
2. Codimension- 0 embeddings. Throughout this section $d$ is a power of $p$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $M$ be a closed connected 4-manifold and $N$ a codimension- 0 submanifold with connected boundary L. For each primitive element $z$ of the kernel of $H_{2}\left(N, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ there is some $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ with $\delta(\psi)$ Lefschetz dual to $z$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{1}(N) \geqslant & |\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M|-\rho_{2}(M)+\rho_{2}(N)+\rho_{3}(N)-1 \\
& -\eta(L, \psi)+\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(L, \psi)-\eta(N)-\rho_{1}(M)+1 \\
0
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(L, \psi)-\eta(N)-\rho_{3}(N)+1 \\
0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(N)$ is the nullity of $H_{2}\left(N, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$.
Proof. Let $X=M-$ Int $N$ so $\partial X=-L$ and consider the following commutative diagram with $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ coefficients.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H_{3}(M) & \rightarrow & H_{3}(M, N) & \rightarrow & H_{2}(N) & \rightarrow \\
& & H_{2}(M) \\
H^{1}(X) & \underset{\mathrm{LD}}{\rightrightarrows} & H_{3}(X, L) & & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
H^{1}(L) & \underset{\mathrm{LD}}{\Rightarrow} & H_{2}(L) & & & \\
\end{array}
$$

Pick $\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}\left(X, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ mapping to $z$. Since $z$ is primitive, $\psi^{\prime}$ is primitive. Let $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ be the restriction to $L$. The following commutative diagram with $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ coefficients shows $\delta \psi$ is Lefschetz dual to $z$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{1}(L) & \rightarrow & H^{2}(N, \partial) \\
\| \mathrm{LD} & & \| \mathrm{LD} \\
H_{2}(L) & \rightarrow & H_{2}(N)
\end{array}
$$

The Mayer-Vietoris sequence shows $X$ is connected. Since $\psi^{\prime}$ is primitive $X_{\psi^{\prime}}$ (which we denote by $\tilde{X}$ ) is connected. $\tilde{X}$ may be used to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$. In fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{1}(\tilde{X})\right|=|\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M| . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $M=N \cup X$, Poincaré duality in $M$ and Proposition 1.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{3}(\tilde{X}) \leqslant \rho_{3}(X) \leqslant \rho_{1}(M)-\rho_{3}(N) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By considering the first diagram of this proof, only now with $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ coefficients, using Poincaré duality in $M$ and applying Proposition 1.5 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X}) \leqslant \rho_{1}(X)-1 \leqslant \rho_{1}(M)+\eta(N)-1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whenever we have an exact sequence of complex vector spaces with a $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ action commuting with the maps, the exact sequence splits as a direct sum of eigenspace exact sequences. We can get a different bound on $\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X})$ using $\bar{H}_{1}(\tilde{L}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{1}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow$ $\bar{H}_{1}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L})$. By definition $\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{L})=\eta(L, \psi)$. Lefschetz duality and universal coefficients show $\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L})=\bar{\beta}_{3}(\tilde{X})$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X}) \leqslant \eta(L, \psi)+\rho_{1}(M)-\rho_{3}(N) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sequence $\bar{H}_{2}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{j} \bar{H}_{2}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{1}(\tilde{L}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{1}(\tilde{X})$. The map $H_{2}(\tilde{X}, \mathrm{C}) \rightarrow H_{2}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{L}, \mathrm{C})$ with respect to a suitable basis is given by a matrix that also represents the intersection pairing, see [H-N-K, p. 60]. Thus the map $j$ above can be represented by a matrix that gives the hermitian form on $\bar{H}_{2}(\tilde{X})$. Let $\eta$ be the nullity of this matrix. We have

$$
\eta \geqslant\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(L, \psi)-\eta(N)-\rho_{1}(M)+1  \tag{5}\\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{2}(\tilde{X}) \geqslant\left|\sigma_{1}(\tilde{X})\right|+\eta . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition $1.1 \bar{\chi}(\tilde{X})=\chi(X)$. Since $L$ is odd dimensional $\chi(L)=0$ so $\chi(X)=\chi(M)-\chi(N)$. Since $\tilde{X}$ is connected, $\bar{\beta}_{0}(\tilde{X})=0$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}_{2}(\tilde{X})=\chi(M)-\chi(N)+\bar{\beta}_{1}(\tilde{X})+\bar{\beta}_{3}(\tilde{X}) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together (1)-(7), writing out $\chi(M)$ and $\chi(N)$ in terms of $\bmod p$ Betti numbers, using $\rho_{1}(M)=\rho_{3}(M)$, and simplifying leads directly to the result.

Remark. If $\rho_{1}(M)=0$ then by (2), $\rho_{3}(N)=0$. Then the two max terms above will be equal. Since $x+|x|=\max (2 x, 0)$ the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 can be rewritten in the case $\rho_{1}(M)=0$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{1}(N) \geqslant|\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M|-\rho_{2}(M)+\rho_{2}(N) \\
-\eta(N)+|\eta(L, \psi)-\eta(N)+1| .
\end{gathered}
$$

A configuration of surfaces $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ in a 4 -manifold $M$ is defined to be a map $\Sigma F_{i} \rightarrow M$ that arises in the following way. One starts with a smooth proper (boundary goes to boundary) embedding of $\sum \hat{F}_{i} \rightarrow M^{4}-\cup$ Int $D_{j}^{4}$ where the $D_{j}^{4}$ are disjoint 4-balls in the interior of $M$ and $\hat{F}_{i}$ are the surfaces $F_{i}$ with a certain number of disjoint open 2-balls deleted. Thus each $S^{3}=\partial D_{j}^{4}$ intersects the image of $\Sigma \hat{F}_{i}$ in a link $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ and each component of $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ "belongs" to a given $F_{i}$. By coning off each of these links to a central point in $D_{j}^{4}$, we get our map $\Sigma F_{i} \rightarrow M$. We refer to $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{j}\right\}$ as the links of the configuration. By abuse of notation, we talk about configurations $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ (thinking of each $F_{i}$ as lying in $M$ ) and write $\cup F_{i}$ for the image and $\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}[M]$ for the homology class represented by $F_{i}$. A neighborhood $N$ of a configuration $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ is defined to be the union in $M$ of each $D_{j}^{4}$ and a closed tubular neighborhood of each $\hat{F}_{i}$. Define $\mu(\mathcal{E})$ to be the number of components in a link $\mathcal{E}$. We define the $\bmod p$ nullity $\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ of a configuration to be the number of surfaces less the dimension of the subspace spanned by $\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{p}\right)$. We say a configuration is connected if $\cup F_{i}$ is.

Corollary 2.2. Let $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ be a connected configuration of $n$ surfaces in a closed 4-manifold $M$ with links $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ and neighborhood $N$ with boundary L. If $\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right]=0$ in $H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ and $a_{i} \neq 0 \bmod p$ for some $i$, there is some $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ with $\delta \psi$ Lefschetz dual to $\Sigma a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}\left(N, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right) \geqslant & |\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M|-\rho_{2}(M)+2(n-1) \\
& -\eta(L, \psi)-\sum\left(\mu\left(\mathscr{L}_{j}\right)-1\right) \\
& +\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(L, \psi)-\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}-\rho_{1}(M)+1 \\
0
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta(L, \psi)-\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}+1 \\
0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $N$ is homotopy equivalent to $\cup F_{i}$. By comparing the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for $\sum F_{i}$ (regarded as the union of $\Sigma \hat{F}_{i}$ and some 2-disks) with the sequence for $\cup F_{i}$ (regarded as the union of $\Sigma \hat{F}_{i}$ and some cones on links) one sees that $\rho_{2}\left(\cup F_{i}\right)=n$. Clearly $\rho_{3}\left(\cup F_{i}\right)=0$. A cell counting Euler characteristic argument then shows $\rho_{1}\left(\cup F_{i}\right)=\Sigma \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)+\Sigma\left(\mu\left(\varrho_{j}\right)-1\right)-(n-1)$. These substitutions in (2.1) give the desired result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $M$ be a closed $2 k$-manifold and $N$ a codimension-0 submanifold with boundary $L$. For each $z$ in the kernel of $H_{2}\left(N, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$, there is some $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ with $\delta \psi$ Lefschetz dual to $z$ for which

$$
\rho_{k-1}(N) \geqslant|\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M|-\rho_{k}(M)
$$

Proof. As in the proof of (2.1) let $X=M-\operatorname{Int} N$ and consider the following commutative diagram with $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ coefficients.


Again pick $\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}(X)$ mapping to $z$, and let $\psi$ be the restriction of $\psi^{\prime}$ to $H^{1}(L)$, and $\tilde{X}$ denote $X_{\psi}$. By Lefschetz duality, excision and the long exact sequence for the pair ( $M, N$ ) we have

$$
\rho_{k}(X)=\rho_{k}(X, L)=\rho_{k}(M, N) \leqslant \rho_{k}(M)+\rho_{k-1}(N)
$$

By Proposition $1.4 \bar{\beta}_{k}(\tilde{X}) \leqslant \rho_{k}(X)$. On the other hand

$$
\beta_{k}(\tilde{X}) \geqslant\left|\sigma_{1}(\tilde{X})\right|=|\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N-\operatorname{sign} M| .
$$

The result follows easily.
Remark. Theorem 2.1, and (2.2), (2.3) for $k \neq 1$, (4.1), (4.2) and (0.1) still hold if $M$ has boundary a collection of $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ homology spheres and $N$ or $\cup F_{i}$ are in the interior of $M$. To see this let $\bar{M}$ be $M$ union a cone on each boundary component. Then $\bar{M}$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ homology manifold and Poincaré and Lefschetz duality still hold with $\mathbf{Q}$ or $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ coefficients. Moreover since a $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ cover restricted to the boundary must be trivial, it is easy to see that $\sigma(L, \psi)$ may still be calculated using $X=\bar{M}-\operatorname{Int} N$.

Corollary 2.4 (Itenberg $\left[\mathbf{I}_{2}\right]$ ). Let $F$ be a codimension- 2 submanifold of a closed $2 k$-manifold $M$ and suppose $[F] \in H_{2 k-2}(M)$ is Poincaré dual to $x \in H^{2}(M)$ and $a x=d y$ where $y \in H^{2}(M)$ and $0<a<d$. Then

$$
\rho_{k-1}(F) \geqslant\left|\left\{e^{2 y}(1-\tanh x) \mathcal{L}(M)\right\}[M]\right|-\rho_{k}(M)
$$

Here $\mathcal{E}(M)$ denotes the total $\mathcal{L}$ class of $M$ as defined by Hirzebruch.
Proof. Let $N$ be a tubular neighborhood of $F$ and $z=a[F] \in H_{2 k-2}(N)$. In $\left[\mathbf{I}_{1}\right.$, §6], Itenberg calculates using the $G$-Signature Theorem that

$$
\sigma_{a}(\tilde{M})=\{\exp ((2 a-d) x / d) \operatorname{sech}(x) \mathbb{E}(M)\}[M]
$$

for $\tilde{M}$ a $d$-fold branched cover of $M$ along $[F]$. To complete the proof note that for any $\psi \in H^{1}\left(X, Z_{d}\right)$ with $\delta \psi$ Lefschetz dual to $z, \sigma(L, \psi)=\operatorname{Sign} M-\operatorname{Sign} N-$ $\sigma_{a}(\tilde{M})$. We have also written Itenberg's power series in a slightly different form.

Remark. The above proof of Itenberg's result is not substantially different from his own. It seems slightly easier to do the Smith Theory for unbranched covers. Theorem 2.3 is much more general. However one needs to be able to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$ in cases of interest. I have nearly proved the following conjecture. A strong deformation retract $F: A \times I \rightarrow A$ onto $B \subset A$ will be called very strong if $F^{-1}(B)=B \times I \cup A \times\{1\}$.

Conjecture 2.5. Let $N^{2 k}$ be a manifold with boundary $L$ and $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ a collection of $n$ closed codimension-2 submanifolds Lefschetz dual to $x_{i} \in H^{2}(N, \partial)$. Suppose the $F_{i}$ are in general position and that $N$ very strongly deformation retracts onto $\cup F_{i}$. Given $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ define integers $a_{i}$ by $\delta \psi=\rho\left(\sum a_{i} x_{i}\right)$ where $0<a_{i}<d$. If
$\left(a_{i}, d\right)=1$ then

$$
\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N+\left\{e^{2 y} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\tanh x_{i}\right) \mathcal{L}(N)\right\}[N, \partial]=0
$$

where $y=d^{-1} \sum a_{i} x_{i} \in H^{2}(N, \partial, \mathbf{Q})$.
That this is true for $n=1$ will follow from Itenberg's formula. Proposition 5.2 proves the conjecture for $k=1$. Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.8 will imply the conjecture for $k=2$. However the proof of (2.5) when all the details are ironed out will be very different from the proof of (3.7). Finally we note

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{2 y} \Pi\left(1-\tanh x_{i}\right)= & 1+\left(2 y-\sum x_{i}\right)+2 y\left(y-\sum x_{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}+\text { terms of higher degree. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This conjecture is made without the requirement that $d$ be a power of $p$.
3. Invariants of links and finite cyclic covers of 3-manifolds. By a link $\mathcal{E}$, we will mean a collection of oriented disjoint smoothly embedded circles $K_{i}$ in $S^{3}$. A represented link $(\mathcal{E}, \psi)$ is a link together with a map $\psi: H_{1}\left(S^{3}-\mathcal{E}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$. We orient the meridian $m_{i}$ of each $K_{i}$ so $m_{i}$ and $K_{i}$ link positively. $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-\mathbb{E}\right)$ is a free abelian group generated by $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$. Thus $\psi$ may be described by the sequence of $\bmod d$ integers $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$. Define the nullity $\eta(\mathcal{E}, \psi)$ of a represented link to be $\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(\left(S^{3}-\mathcal{E}\right)_{\psi}\right)$. We will refer to the link pictured in Figure 1 as the positive Hopf link. Reversing the orientation on one component gives the negative Hopf link.


Figure 1
Proposition 3.1. If $d$ is a prime power, $\eta(\mathfrak{Q}, \psi) \leqslant \mu(\mathfrak{L})-1$. If $\mathfrak{L}$ is a Hopf link and $\psi$ is an epimorphism, then $\eta(\mathcal{E}, \psi)=0$.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from (1.5). The complement of the Hopf link deformation retracts to a torus. A connected cover of a torus is a torus homologically fixed by the covering translation.

A represented link $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ is called well represented if for each $i, \psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ is a generator for $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$.

Any closed 3 -manifold $L$ arises by doing surgery on a framed link $\mathcal{E}$ with framings, say $n_{i}$. Let $n_{i j}$ for $i \neq j$ be the linking number of $K_{i}$ and $K_{j}$ and $n_{i i}=n_{i}$. If $\psi: H_{1}(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$, then $\psi$ is determined by $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$. Moreover specifying $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ determines a map $\psi: H_{1}\left(S^{3}-\mathcal{L}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$ which extends over all of $H_{1}(L)$ if and only if $\Sigma_{j} n_{i j} \psi\left(m_{j}\right)=0 \bmod d$ for all $i$. In this case we say the $n_{i}$ form a compatible framing for ( $\mathcal{L}, \psi)$. If $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ is well represented, there exist compatible framings (which are determined $\bmod d$ ).

Proposition 3.2. Let ( $L, \psi$ ) be given by placing a compatible framing on a well represented link $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$. Let $S_{\psi}^{3}$ denote the branched cover of $S^{3}$ along $\mathcal{E}$ given by $\psi$. Then $\eta(\mathcal{E}, \psi)=\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(S_{\psi}^{3}\right)=\eta(L, \psi)$.

Proof. The eigenspace Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $S_{\psi}^{3}$ as the union of $\left(S^{3}-\mathcal{E}\right)_{\psi}$ and solid tori along their boundaries shows $\eta(\mathcal{L}, \psi)=\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(S_{\psi}^{3}\right)$ as both the solid tori and their boundaries are homologically fixed by $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$. The same argument works for $L_{\psi}$.

Equivalently we can think of $L$ as the boundary of $N$, the 4 -manifold obtained by attaching 2-handles to $D^{4}$ along $\mathcal{E}$ with the attaching map specified by the framings $n_{i}$ (see $\left.[\mathbf{K}]\right) . H_{2}(N)$ is free abelian with naturally given basis $x_{i}$ formed by the cores of the 2 -handles union the cones on $K_{i}$ in $D^{4}$. The intersection form relative to this basis is given by the matrix [ $n_{i j}$ ] and the compatibility condition says that $\Sigma \psi\left(m_{i}\right) x_{i}$ is in the kernel of $H_{2}\left(N, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(N, \partial, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$.

Kirby $[\mathbf{K}]$ has defined certain moves on framed links which allow one to change from one framed link picture of $L$ to any other. Given a framed link picture of $L$, one can display $\psi$ by placing $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ in parentheses near $K_{i}$. As one makes moves in the Kirby calculus, one can keep track of $\psi$ as follows. In move $\theta_{1}$, one places ( 0 ) near the added unknotted component. In move $\theta_{2}$, where one "adds" $K_{i}$ to $K_{j}$ (here we insist that the band used in forming the sum be compatible with the orientations of both components) the value of $\psi\left(m_{j}\right)$ remains the same but the new $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ is $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)-\psi\left(m_{j}\right)$ in terms of the original values. Finally since we are working with oriented links, we need a third move $\mathcal{O}_{3}$ which allows one to change the orientation of a component $K_{i}$ and simultaneously the value $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ to $-\psi\left(m_{i}\right) \bmod d$.

Example 3.3. $d=2$.

$\{$ isotopy

$\stackrel{0_{3}}{\leftarrow}$


We now develop a procedure to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$, given the above type link descriptions of $(L, \psi)$ in terms of corresponding link invariants. Given a number $0<q<1$ and a square complex valued matrix $V$ define

$$
V_{q}=\left(1-e^{2 \pi i q}\right) V+\left(1-e^{-2 \pi i q}\right) V^{*} .
$$

Define the $q$-signature and $q$-nullity of a link $\mathcal{L}$ to be $\sigma_{q}(\mathcal{L})=\sigma\left(V_{q}\right)$ and $\eta_{q}(\mathcal{L})=$ $\eta\left(V_{q}\right)$ where $V$ is a Seifert matrix belonging to a connected Siefert surface for $\mathcal{E}$.

This is a slightly different notation for the signatures defined by J. Levine [Le]. The nullity defined above is smaller by one than the usual one [T], [K-T], $\left[\mathbf{K} a_{2}\right]$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $F$ be a connected Seifert surface for a link $\mathcal{L}$ in $S^{3}$ with Seifert matrix V. Let $\tilde{D}^{4}$ be the $d$-fold branched cyclic cover of $D^{4}$ along $F$ pushed into $D^{4}$. Then the intersection form on $H_{2}\left(\tilde{D}^{4}, s\right)$ can be given by the matrix $V_{(s / d)}$. Moreover $H_{1}\left(\tilde{D}^{4}\right)=0$.

Proof. We first remark that the consequence $\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{L})=\sigma_{s}\left(\tilde{D}^{4}\right)$ is originally due to Viro $\left[V_{1}\right]$. (3.4) for $d=2$ appears in $[K-T]$. That $H_{1}\left(\tilde{D}^{4}\right)=0$ follows from Kauffman's cut and paste description of $\tilde{D}^{4}\left[\mathrm{Ka}_{1}\right]$. The rest of this proposition follows from this description and a little algebra. See [D-K, Theorem 5.1].

Remark. It follows that $\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathbb{E})=\bar{\beta}_{1}\left(\widetilde{D}^{4}\right)$. Using [T-W, Lemma 7.2], $\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathbb{E})$ $=\eta_{\left(s^{\prime} / d\right)}(\mathbb{R})$ if $(s, d)=\left(s^{\prime}, d\right)=1$.
Proposition 3.5. Let $L$ be the boundary of a 4-manifold $W$ and $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$. Suppose $\delta \psi$ is Lefschetz dual to $\rho\left(\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right]\right)$ where $F_{i}$ is a collection of disjoint, smoothly embedded surfaces in $W$, and $\left(a_{i}, d\right)=1$. There exists

$$
\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}\left(W-\bigcup F_{i}\right)
$$

extending $\psi$ taking the value $a_{i}$ on a positive meridian of $F_{i}$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the associated branched cover of $W$. Then we have for $0<s<d$

$$
\sigma(L, s \psi)=\sigma_{s}(\tilde{W})-\operatorname{sign} W+\frac{2}{d^{2}} \sum\left(d-b_{i}\right) b_{i}\left(F_{i} \circ F_{i}\right)
$$

where $b_{i}=s a_{i} \bmod d$ and $0<b_{i}<d$.
Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram with $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ coefficients:


The Thom isomorphism and excision give an isomorphism $\Phi: H^{1}\left(\cup F_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)=$ $H^{2}\left(W, W-\cup F_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$. Let $e_{i}$ be the generator of $H^{0}\left(F_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ (Poincaré dual to the oriented fundamental class). Then $j \Phi\left(\sum a_{i} e_{i}\right)=\delta \psi$ (as both sides are Lefschetz dual to $\rho\left(\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right]\right)$ ). An easy diagram chase then manufactures $\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}\left(W-\cup F_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ with the stated properties.

Let $L_{i}$ be the boundary of $N_{i}$, the tubular neighborhood of $F_{i}$. By (5.4) (using $d /(d, s)$ in place of $d)$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(L_{i}, s \psi^{\prime}\right)=2\left(d-b_{i}\right) b_{i}\left(F_{i} \circ F_{i}\right) / d^{2}-\operatorname{sign} N_{i} .
$$

This may also be deduced from Rokhlin's formula (18) [R]. Let $V=W$ $\cup$ Int $N_{i}$ and $\tilde{V}$ the cover given by $\psi^{\prime}$. A Mayer-Vietoris sequence shows $\sigma_{s}(\tilde{V})=$ $\sigma_{s}(\tilde{W})$. Novikov additivity shows $\operatorname{sign} V=\operatorname{sign} W-\Sigma \operatorname{sign} N_{i}$. Finally

$$
\sigma(L, s \psi)-\sum \sigma\left(L_{i}, s \psi\right)=\sigma_{s}(\tilde{V})-\operatorname{sign} V
$$

This completes the proof.

If $K$ is a component of a link, an algebraic $r$-cable with twist $n$ along $K$ is obtained by pushing off algebraically $r$ copies of $K$ with framing $n$ with respect to the null-homologous framing from $K$. A cable is called nonempty if at least one copy of $K$ is pushed off.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(L, \psi)$ be given by a represented link $(\mathcal{E}, \psi)$ together with a compatible framing $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$. Assume $\psi$ is an epimorphism. Let $n_{i j}$ be defined as above. For any integers $r_{i}$ with $r_{i}=\psi\left(m_{i}\right) \bmod d$, let $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ be obtained from $\mathcal{E}$ by replacing each $K_{i}$ with a nonempty algebraic $r_{i}$-cable with twist $n_{i}$ along $K_{i}$. Then for $0<s<d$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(L, s \psi)=\sigma_{(s / d)}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{sign}\left[n_{i j}\right]+\frac{2(d-s) s}{d^{2}} \sum r_{i} r_{j} n_{i j} \\
& \eta(L, s \psi)=\eta_{(s / d)}\left(\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}\right)-\mu\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)+\mu(\mathfrak{E}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remarks. (a) The formula for $\sigma(L, s \psi)$ above when $r_{i}=1$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=\mathcal{L}$ is due to Casson and Gordon [C-G $\mathbf{F}_{2}$, Lemma 3.1] although I was unaware of this when I derived (3.6). As pointed out in [C-G $\mathbf{G}_{2}$ ], one can calculate $\sigma(L, s \psi)$, given any framed link for $(L, \psi)$ by first doing moves in the calculus of framed links until the $r_{i}$ can all be chosen to be one and then apply their special case.
(b) The nonempty condition is necessary. To see this consider ( $L, \psi$ ) of Example 3.3. If we could drop the nonempty condition, then using the first description one would calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)=0$. On the other hand, using the final description, one sees $\sigma(L, \psi)=1$, in fact. However, we can use the first description to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)=\sigma_{(1 / 2)}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}\right)=1$ where $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ is obtained by replacing the right-hand component with a nonempty algebraic 0 -cable with twist zero. See Figure 2.


Figure 2
(c) We can use (3.6) to see that the well represented hypothesis is necessary in (3.2). Consider the represented link given by the first picture in Example 3.3. It is easy to see $\eta(\mathcal{L}, \psi)=1$. On the other hand, using the last description and (3.6), $\eta(L, \psi)=0$.
(d) Given a link $\mathcal{E}$, we can make it well represented by defining $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$ Let $n_{i}$ be a compatible framing ( $n_{i}$ are determined $\bmod d$ ) and let $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ be formed
from $£$ as in (3.6). By identifying the two expressions one gets for $\sigma(L, s \psi)$ one obtains a relation between $\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\sigma_{(s / d)}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly one gets a relation between $\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\eta_{(s / d)}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$.

In particular let $l=\sum_{j \neq 1} n_{1 j}$ and $\varrho^{\prime}$ be formed by replacing $K_{1}$ by a nonempty algebraic $d q+1$ cable with twist $f$ and $\tau+1$ components in $\mathcal{L}$ where $f+l=0$ $\bmod d$. We then have

$$
\sigma_{(s / d)}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathfrak{L})-\frac{2(n-s) s}{d^{2}}\left[f d^{2} q^{2}+2 d q(f+l)\right]
$$

and

$$
\eta_{(s / d)}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{\prime}\right)=\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathbb{E})+\tau
$$

If we specialize to $d=p, s=[p / 2], q=0$ and $\tau=2$, this yields Tristram's Theorem 3.2 [T].

On the other hand, if $d=2$ and letting $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ be $E$ with the orientation on some of the components changed one sees $\sigma(\mathcal{E})+\Sigma_{i<j} n_{i j}$ is invariant of the orientation of the components of $\mathcal{E}$. This is a theorem of Murasugi [ $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ]. See also [K-T] and [G-L] for other proofs.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $F$ be a pushed in Seifert surface for $E^{\prime}$. Let $N$ be $D^{4}$ with 2-handles $H_{i}$ attached along $\mathcal{E}$ with framing $n_{i}$ so that $L=\partial N$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the closed embedded surface formed by $F$ and algebraically $r_{i}$ push offs of the core of $H_{i}$. Thus $F^{\prime} \cap S^{3}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that $F^{\prime} \circ F^{\prime}=\Sigma r_{i} r_{j} n_{i j}$ and that $\delta(\psi)$ is Lefschetz dual to $\rho\left[F^{\prime}\right]$. By (3.5) we can take the $d$-fold branched cover $\tilde{N}$ of $N$ along $F^{\prime}$ and

$$
\sigma(L, s \psi)=\sigma_{s}(\tilde{N})-\operatorname{sign}\left[n_{i j}\right]+\frac{2(d-s) s}{d^{2}} \sum r_{i} r_{j} n_{i j}
$$

Let $D_{i}$ be a 2-disk in $S^{3}$ transverse to the cable along $K_{i}$. The cable will intersect $D_{i}$ in a finite set of (signed) points which when counted algebraically sum to $r_{i}$, but counted geometrically sum to, say, $\tau_{i}+1 . \tilde{D}_{i}$, the cover restricted to $D_{i}$, is a connected surface and $\beta_{1}\left(\tilde{D}_{i}\right)=(d-1) \tau_{i}$. By considering the collection of quotient covers and using the Thomas-Wood argument $\beta_{1}\left(\tilde{D}_{i}, s\right)=\tau_{i}$.

Let $\tilde{H}_{i}$ and $\tilde{D}$ be the covers restricted to $H_{i}$ and $D_{4}$ and $\tilde{H}_{i}$ be attached to $\tilde{D}$ along $A_{i}$. Let $\hat{H}_{k}()$ denote $H_{k}(, s)$. We have $\tilde{H}_{i}=\tilde{D}_{i} \times D^{2}$ and $A_{i}=\tilde{D}_{i} \times S^{1}$. $\hat{H}_{2}\left(\tilde{H}_{i}\right)=0, \hat{H}_{0}\left(A_{i}\right)=0$ and by (3.4) $\hat{H}_{1}(\tilde{D})=0 . H_{1}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\tilde{H}_{i}\right)$ is surjective with kernel infinite cyclic and fixed by $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$. It follows that $\hat{H}_{1}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{1}\left(\tilde{H}_{i}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

These facts together with the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence show $\hat{H}_{1}(\tilde{N})=$ 0.

$$
\underset{i}{\oplus} \hat{H}_{1}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{1}\left(\tilde{D}_{4}\right) \oplus_{i}^{\oplus} \hat{H}_{1}\left(\tilde{H}_{i}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{1}(\tilde{N}) \rightarrow \underset{i}{\oplus} \hat{H}_{0}\left(A_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $\hat{H}_{1}(\tilde{N})=0$, Lefschetz duality and universal coefficients show $\hat{H}_{3}\left(\tilde{N}, L_{\psi}\right)=0$. The long exact sequence

$$
H_{4}\left(\tilde{N}, L_{\psi}\right) \stackrel{\approx}{\rightarrow} H_{3}\left(L_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H_{3}(\tilde{N}) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(\tilde{N}, L_{\psi}\right)
$$

then shows $\hat{H}_{3}(\tilde{N})=0$.

A different part of the same Mayer-Vietoris sequence then gives

$$
0 \rightarrow \oplus \hat{H}_{2}\left(A_{i}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{2}(\tilde{D}) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{2}(\tilde{N}) \rightarrow 0
$$

It is easy to see $\operatorname{dim} \hat{H}_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=\tau_{i}$. Moreover the image of $H_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)$ in $H_{2}(\tilde{D})$ is annihilated by the form. It follows $\sigma_{s}(\tilde{N})=\sigma_{s}(\tilde{D})$ and the nullity of the intersection form on $\tilde{N}$ plus $\Sigma \tau_{i}$ is the nullity of the intersection form on $\tilde{D}$. The long exact sequence

$$
\hat{H}_{2}(\tilde{N}) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{2}\left(\tilde{N}, L_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{1}\left(L_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow \hat{H}_{1}(\tilde{N})=0
$$

shows $\eta(L, s \psi)$ equals the nullity of the intersection form on $\tilde{N}$. Using $\mu\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)-\mu(\mathfrak{E})$ $=\Sigma \tau_{i}$ and Proposition 3.4 together with the above facts, the result follows.

Using the above methods it is now possible to calculate $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$ given a link description of $(L, \psi)$. Moreover for $L$ arising as the boundary of a configuration it is possible (in most cases) to give formulas for these invariants in terms of the corresponding invariants of the links that describe the singularities. The rest of this section is concerned with this.

Let $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ be a well represented link and $n_{i}=n_{i i}$ some compatible framing. Let $r_{i}=\psi\left(m_{i}\right)$ where $0<r_{i}<d$ and define vectors $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right), \bar{r}=(d-$ $\left.r_{1}, \ldots, d-r_{k}\right)$ and let $\langle r, \bar{r}\rangle$ denote $r\left[n_{i j}\right] \bar{r}^{T}$. Let $(L, \psi)$ be given by $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ and $n_{i}$. Define

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{E}, \psi)=\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign}\left[n_{i j}\right]-\frac{2}{d^{2}}\langle r, \bar{r}\rangle .
$$

$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}, \psi)$ is independent of the choice of $n_{i}$ and is thus a well represented link invariant. To see this, let $n_{i}$ and $n_{i}^{\prime}$ be two choices. Attach handles with framing $n_{i}$ to $S^{3} \times I$ along $\mathcal{E} \subset S^{3} \times\{0\}$ and framing $-n_{i}^{\prime}$ along $-\mathcal{E} \subset-S^{3}=S^{3} \times\{1\}$ to form $N$. The cores of the handles union $\mathcal{E} \times I$ form surfaces $F_{i} \subset N$ and $F_{i} \circ F_{i}=n_{i}-n_{i}^{\prime}$. An application of (3.5) and a Mayer-Vietoris sequence argument complete the proof. For more details see the proof of Theorem 3.7 below which is a more complicated version of this argument.

One may use (3.6) to calculate $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$. In fact let $0<s<d,(s, d)=1, r_{i}=$ $\psi\left(m_{i}\right) \bmod d, q_{i}=s r_{i} \bmod d, 0<q_{i}<d, q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right)$ and $\bar{q}=\left(d-q_{1}, \ldots, d\right.$ $-q_{k}$ ). We have

$$
\sigma(E, s \psi)=\sigma_{(s / d)}\left(\mathscr{L}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{2}{d^{2}}((d-s) s\langle r, r\rangle-\langle q, \bar{q}\rangle)
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{E}$ by replacing each $K_{i}$ with a nonempty algebraic $r_{i}$-cable with twist $n_{i}$. Here $n_{i}=n_{i i}$ is a compatible framing for $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ and $\langle x, y\rangle$ indicates $x\left[n_{i j}\right] y^{T}$. In particular if $\psi\left(m_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, s \psi)=\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ generalizes Levine's signatures. Let $(-\mathcal{E}, \psi)$ denote the well represented link obtained by changing all the crossings of $(\mathcal{E}, \psi)$. It is not hard to see $\sigma(-\mathcal{L}, \psi)=-\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$.

We remind the reader that for a well represented link as above, by (3.2) and (3.6)

$$
\eta(\mathcal{R}, s \psi)=\eta_{(s / d)}\left(E^{\prime}\right)+\mu(\mathbb{E})-\mu\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $F_{i}$ be a collection of closed surfaces and $\hat{F}_{i}$ be these surfaces with the interiors of some disjoint 2-disks removed. Let $N$ be formed by attaching $\hat{F}_{i} \times D^{2}$ to $\sum D_{j}^{4}$ by identifying $\Sigma \partial \hat{F}_{i} \times D^{2}$ with tubular neighborhoods of some links $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ in $\partial D_{j}^{4}$. By coning off the links we get a configuration of surfaces $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ in $N$.

Let $L=\partial N$ and $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ be such that $\delta \psi$ is Lefschetz dual to $\rho\left(\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right]\right)$ where $\left(a_{i}, d\right)=1$ and $0<a_{i}<d$. By assigning to the meridian of a component $K$ of $\ell_{j}$ the value $a_{i}$ if $K$ belongs to $F_{i}$, we obtain some well represented links $\left(\ell_{j}, \psi\right)$. Let $z=\Sigma a_{i}\left[F_{i}\right]$ and $\bar{z}=\Sigma\left(d-a_{i}\right)\left[F_{i}\right]$, then we have

$$
\sigma(L, \psi)=\sum \sigma\left(\mathfrak{L}_{j}, \psi\right)+\frac{2}{d^{2}}(z \bar{z})-\operatorname{sign} N
$$

and

$$
\eta(L, \psi)=\sum \eta\left(\varrho_{j}, \psi\right)
$$

where $z \bar{z}$ stands for the intersection of $z$ and $\bar{z}$ in $H_{2}(N)$.
Proof. Let $D_{j}^{\prime}$ be a smaller concentric 4-disk in each $D_{j}^{4}$. Construct $N^{\prime}$ as follows. Remove Int $D_{j}^{\prime}$ from each $D_{j}^{4}$ and then attach 2-handles along $E_{j}$ with framings compatible to $\left(\mathcal{L}_{j}, \psi\right)$ to what remains. This is pictured schematically in Figure 3. We have $L^{\prime}=\partial N^{\prime}=L-\Sigma L_{j}$ where $L_{j}$ is gotten by doing surgery to $S^{3}$ according to $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ so framed. Each $L_{j}$ inherits $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L_{j}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ from $\left(\mathcal{L}_{j}, \psi\right)$. Using the cores of the new 2-handles we have a natural embedding of $\Sigma F_{i}^{\prime}$ in $N^{\prime}$ where $F_{i}^{\prime}$ is another copy of $F_{i}$. Define $\psi^{\prime} \in H^{1}\left(L^{\prime}, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ using $(L, \psi)$ and $\left(L_{j}, \psi\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(L^{\prime}, \psi\right)=\sigma(L, \psi)-\sum \sigma\left(L_{j}, \psi\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3

Let $N_{j}$ be formed by attaching 2 -handles to $S^{3}$ along - $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ with the negatives of the above framings. $H_{2}\left(N_{j}\right)$ is free abelian generated by classes $x_{\alpha}^{j}$ represented by a pushed in Seifert surface for $K_{\alpha}^{j}$ (the $\alpha$ th component of $-\mathcal{E}_{j}$ ) union the core of appropriate handle. Let $r^{j}=\sum a_{\alpha}^{j} x_{\alpha}^{j}$ and $\bar{r}^{j}=\sum\left(d-a_{\alpha}^{j}\right) x_{\alpha}^{j}$ where $a_{\alpha}^{j}=a_{i}$ if $K_{\alpha}^{j}$ belongs to $F_{i}$. Since $L_{j}=-\partial N_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\varrho_{j}, \psi\right)=\sigma\left(L_{j}, \psi\right)-\operatorname{sign} N_{j}+2\left(\mu^{\sigma^{j}}\right) / d^{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we view $N^{\prime}$ as $N \#_{j} N_{j}$ and $H_{2}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ as $H_{2}(N) \oplus_{j} H_{2}\left(N_{j}\right)$, then $\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left[F_{i}\right]+$ $\Sigma_{\Gamma_{i}} x_{\alpha}^{j}$ where $(j, \alpha) \in \Gamma_{i}$ if $K_{\alpha}^{j}$ belongs to $F_{i}$. One can see that $\delta \psi^{\prime}$ is Lefschetz dual to $\rho\left(\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)$. So we can use (3.5) to calculate $\sigma\left(L^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$. The above decomposition of $H_{2}\left(N^{\prime}\right)$ is orthogonal. Moreover $F_{i}^{\prime} \circ F_{j}^{\prime}=0$ if $i \neq j$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left(d-a_{i}\right) a_{i} F_{i}^{\prime} \circ F_{i}^{\prime} & =\left(\sum a_{i}\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)\left(\sum\left(d-a_{i}\right)\left[F_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(\left[F_{i}\right]+\sum_{\Gamma_{i}} x_{\alpha}^{j}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{i}\left(d-a_{i}\right)\left(\left[F_{i}\right]+\sum_{\Gamma_{i}} x_{\alpha}^{j}\right)\right) \\
& =z \bar{z}+\sum_{j} \mu_{\bar{r}}{ }^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tilde{N}^{\prime}$ be the branched cover of $N^{\prime}$ along $\cup F^{\prime}$ given by (3.5). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(L^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(\tilde{N}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{sign} N^{\prime}+2\left(z \bar{z}+\sum r^{j_{\bar{r}}}\right) / d^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sign} N^{\prime}=\operatorname{sign} N+\sum \operatorname{sign} N_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one thinks of $N^{\prime}$ as the union of disk bundles over $F^{\prime}$ and $\left(S_{j}^{3}-\mathcal{L}_{j}\right) \times I$ for each $j$ and decomposes the cover $\tilde{N}^{\prime}$ accordingly, our usual Mayer-Vietoris argument shows that the intersection form on $\bar{H}_{2}\left(\tilde{N}^{\prime}\right)$ is identically zero. Thus $\sigma_{1}\left(\tilde{N}^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 . Together with (1)-(4) this gives the stated formula for $\sigma(L, \psi)$.

Since $\left(\bigodot_{j}, \psi_{j}\right)$ is well represented $\partial\left(\hat{F}_{i} \times S^{1}\right)_{\psi}$ is homologically fixed by $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$. $\left(\hat{F}_{i} \times S^{1}\right)_{\psi}=\hat{F}_{i} \times S^{1}$ is also homologically fixed. Thus the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $L_{\psi}$ as the union of $\left(\hat{F}_{i} \times S^{1}\right)_{\psi}$ and $\left(S^{3}-\mathcal{E}_{j}\right)_{\psi}$ gives the final formula.

Proposition 3.8. If $(\mathfrak{L}, \psi)$ is any well represented positive Hopf link $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \psi)=-1$.
Proof. Let $r_{i}=\psi\left(m_{i}\right) \bmod d$ where $0<r_{i}<d$. Pick compatible $n_{i}$ with $n_{i}<-1$. Then $\operatorname{sign}\left[n_{i j}\right]=-2$. Let $q_{i}=-n_{i}$. Then $\bmod d, r_{1}=q_{2} r_{2}$ and $r_{2}=q_{1} r_{1} .(L, \psi)$ is the boundary of a plumbing and

$$
(L, \psi)=\left(L\left(q_{1} q_{2}-1, q_{2}\right), q_{2} r_{2} \chi\right)
$$

where $\chi$ is the map specified in [C-G1, pp. 6-7]. So $\sigma(L, \psi)$ is 4 (area $\Delta$ - Int $\Delta$ ) where $\Delta$ is the right triangle in the plane with vertices $(0,0),\left(\left(q_{1} q_{2}-1\right) r_{2} / d, 0\right)$ and $\left(\left(q_{1} q_{2}-1\right) r_{2} / d, r_{2} q_{2} / d\right)$. See Example 3.9 below for more details and the definition of Int $\Delta$. The line $x=q_{1} y$ goes through an integral lattice point for each integral $y$. The hypotenuse lies on the line $x=\left(q_{1}-\left(1 / q_{2}\right)\right) y$. It is easy to see that all integral points in $\Delta$ lie on or to the right of the line $x=q_{1} y$. Also $\left[r_{2} q_{2} / d\right]=$ $\left(r_{2} q_{2}-r_{1}\right) / d$. Under these circumstances Int $\Delta$ and thus $\sigma(\mathcal{C}, \psi)$ is an elementary if tedious calculation.

Remarks. (a) If $\psi\left(m_{1}\right)=\psi\left(m_{2}\right)$, then $\sigma(\mathcal{E}, \psi)=\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathbb{E})=-1$. Similarly I have checked (3.8) in the case $\psi\left(m_{1}\right)=2 \psi\left(m_{2}\right)$ using the formula involving $\sigma_{s / d}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}\right)$, however this is considerably more difficult.
(b) Let $N$ be a plumbing of 2 -disk bundles over $l$ surfaces according to a weighted graph with $k$ edges and matrix $B$. Suppose $\psi \in H^{1}\left(\partial N, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ and let $a_{i}$ ( $0<a_{i}<d$ ) be defined to be the value $\psi$ assigns to the circle fiber over a point in the $i$ th surface. Let $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ and $\bar{a}=\left(d-a_{1}, \ldots, d-a_{l}\right)$. If $\left(a_{i}, d\right)=1$ for all $i$, then $\sigma(\partial N, \psi)=2\left(a B \bar{a}^{T}\right) / d^{2}-\operatorname{sign} B-k$. This follows from (3.7) and (3.8). It also follows from Conjecture 2.5.

Example 3.9. According to Hirzebruch [H-N-K, p. 70], the lens space $L(n, q)$ is the boundary of the plumbing

$$
-c_{1}-c_{2}, \cdots,-c_{l}
$$

where

$$
n / q=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}\right]=c_{1}-\frac{1}{c_{2}}-\frac{1}{c_{3}} .
$$

Let $d \mid n$ and $\chi$ be the element of $H^{1}\left(L(n, q), \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ which assigns to a circle fiber over a point on the first 2 -sphere (with weight $-c_{1}$ ) the value 1 . One can check that this is the same $\chi$ as specified by Casson and Gordon on pp. 6-7 of [C-G $\left.\mathbf{H}_{1}\right]$. They show for $0<r<d$

$$
\sigma(L(n, q), r q \chi)=4(\text { area } \Delta-\operatorname{Int} \Delta)
$$

where $\Delta$ is the triangle with vertices $(0,0),(n r / d, 0),(n r / d, q r / d)$. Int $\Delta$ is the number of integer lattice points in $\Delta$, where boundary points count $1 / 2$, vertices count $1 / 4$, and $(0,0)$ is not counted.

On the other hand if we write $n / q=\left[c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right]$ where $c_{i}>1$ and given $0<a<d$ define $a_{i}$ recursively by $a_{0}=0, a_{1}=a$ and $a_{i+1}=c_{i} a_{i}-a_{i-1} \bmod d$ and $0<a_{i+1}<d$, then by Remark (b) above

$$
\sigma\left(L(n, q), a_{\chi}\right)=1-\frac{2}{d^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(d-a_{i}\right) a_{i} c_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\left(2 a_{i} a_{i+1}-d\left(a_{i}+a_{i+1}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

If $(a, d) \neq 1$, then interpret $a_{\chi}$ as a map $H_{1}(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d^{\prime}}$ where $d^{\prime}=d /(a, d)$. To apply Remark (b) in this situation, we also need $(a, d)=\left(a_{i}, d\right)$ for all $i$. However it is possible to reduce to this case by "blowing up and down" along the graph. It turns out the above formula holds as stated.

Casson was already aware of this formula or one like it. According to Casson, the equivalence of these two formulas (choose $a$ in the second to equal $r q \bmod d$ ) is due to Eisenstein although I have not been able to find the reference.
4. Main results. Throughout this section $d$ will be a power of $p$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ be a connected configuration of $n$ surfaces with links $\mathfrak{E}_{j}$ in a closed 4-manifold $M$. Let $x_{i}=\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}(M)$ and $z=\sum a_{i} x_{i}=d y$ where $0<a_{i}<d$ and $a_{i} \neq 0 \bmod p$. Use the $a_{i}$ to make the $\mathcal{L}_{j}$ well represented links $\left(\mathcal{L}_{j}, \psi\right)$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)+\sum\left(\mu\left(\mathscr{L}_{j}\right)-1\right) \geqslant & \left|2 y\left(\sum x_{i}-y\right)+\sum \sigma\left(\mathscr{L}_{j}, \psi\right)-\operatorname{sign} M\right| \\
& -\rho_{2}(M)+2(n-1)-\sum \eta\left(\mathscr{L}_{j}, \psi\right) \\
& +\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum \eta\left(\mathscr{E}_{j}, \psi\right)-\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}-\rho_{1}(M)+1 \\
0 \\
\end{array}+\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum \eta\left(\mathscr{L}_{j}, \psi\right)-\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}+1 \\
0 .
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply (2.2) and then use (3.7) to evaluate $\sigma(L, \psi)+\operatorname{sign} N$ and $\eta(L, \psi)$.
If an $F_{i}$ in a configuration has an intersection with itself that is given by a Hopf link this intersection is called an ordinary double point (positive or negative accordingly as the Hopf link is). The algebraic number of double points of a configuration is the sum over these points of their indices $\pm 1$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ and $a_{i}$ be as above. Assume in addition that each $\mathcal{E}_{j}$ is either a Hopf link or a knot. Let $\#$ be the total number of Hopf links. Let $\left\{K_{i}^{l}\right\}_{i=1}^{q}$ be the collection of knots belonging to $F_{i}$ and I the algebraic number of double points. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#+\sum \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right) \geqslant & \left|2 y\left(\sum x_{i}-y\right)-\sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}-I+\sum_{i} \sum_{l} \sigma_{\left(a_{i} / d\right)}\left(K_{i}^{l}\right)-\operatorname{sign} M\right| \\
& -\rho_{2}(M)+2(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The nullity of a well represented knot or Hopf link is zero (3.1). The signature of a well represented Hopf link is $\pm 1$ accordingly. Since $z=d y$, $\eta\left\{F_{i}\right\}>0$. The algebraic number of Hopf links that do not give ordinary double points is $\Sigma_{i<j} x_{i j}$. The formula for the signature of a well represented link completes the proof.

Remarks. (a) Let $n=q_{1}=1$ and assume in addition that $F_{1}$ has no ordinary double points. Then one has

$$
\beta_{1}(F) \geqslant\left|2 \frac{a(d-a)}{d^{2}} x^{2}+\sigma_{(a / d)}(K)-\operatorname{sign} M\right|-\rho_{2}(M)
$$

This is a theorem of O . Ya. Viro $\left[\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$. He states the result for $d=2$ and says there are analogous results for $d$ a prime power.
(b) Suppose (4.1) shows that a certain configuration $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$ cannot arise in $M$ with [ $F_{i}$ ] $=x_{i}$. Reorient $M$, if necessary, so that the expression inside that absolute value sign is positive. Consider a configuration $\left\{F_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ identical to that above except $\left\{F_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ has some additional ordinary negative double points. The obstruction to realizing
$x_{i}$ with $\left\{F_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ is identical to that for $\left\{F_{i}\right\}$. For example one cannot represent $3\left[P_{1}\right] \in H_{2}\left(P_{2}\right)$ by a smoothly immersed 2 -sphere with only negative double points. This observation also follows from the arguments of §6 but perhaps would not have been noticed without the aid of (4.1).
(c) The hypothesis in (4.1) that the configuration be connected is not very restrictive. In fact any configuration may be made connected by picking paths in $M$ between two surfaces that otherwise miss $\cup F_{i}$. A neighborhood of such a path is a 4-disk and $\cup F_{i}$ intersects the boundary of this 4-disk in a link $\mathcal{E}$ that consists of two unknotted unlinked components. $\mu(\mathcal{L})=2$ and for any well representation $\eta(\mathcal{E}, \psi)=1$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{L}, \psi)=0$.

Examples. If $S^{2}$ smoothly embeds in $P_{2}$ except for a point where it is a cone on a knot $K$ and represents $d q\left[P_{1}\right] \in H_{2}\left(P_{2}\right)$ then for $0<s<d$

$$
\sigma_{(s / d)}(K)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-2 s(d-s) q^{2} \text { or } \\
2-2 s(d-s) q^{2}=\sigma_{(s / d)}(K(d q, d q-1)) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $K(m, n)$ will denote the ( $m, n$ ) torus link and to fix orientation conventions $K(2,2)$ is the positive Hopf link. One needs to know that $\sigma_{s / d}($ knot $)$ is even. This follows from equations (3.1), (3.6) and the definition. The identification with $\sigma_{(s / d)}(K(d q, d q-1))$ can be shown using (5.1). If $s=0 \bmod p$ then we must use $d^{\prime}=d /(s, d)$ for $d$ in (4.2) to get the above result.

The curve $z_{0} z_{1}^{m-1}=z_{2}^{m}$ in $P_{2}$ is an embedded 2-sphere $F$ with a single singularity at $[1,0,0]$ given by the cone on $K(m, m-1)$ and $[F]=m\left[P_{\mathrm{l}}\right]$. See $[\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N}-K, \mathrm{p}$. 90]. Thus letting $m=d q$ we see $K(d q, d q-1)$ can actually be realized. Which other signatures can be realized? Kervaire and Milnor [K-M] show how $K(2,3)$ can appear as the singularity of a 2 -sphere representing $2\left[P_{1}\right]$.

Now let $m=d q-1$ instead and suppose $F$ intersects another 2-sphere $F_{2}$ representing the generator in a single point away from $[1,0,0]$ and that $F_{2}$ is smooth away from its intersection with $F$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the link that gives the intersection. It has two components with linking number $m$. The normal sphere bundle of one component of $\mathfrak{E}$ is a torus embedded in the complement. This embedding induces an isomorphism on $H_{1}\left(, Z_{d}\right)$. By an argument similar to the proof of (1.5), it follows that $\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{L})=0$. Then $\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{L})$ is determined by (4.1):

$$
\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathfrak{L})=1-2 s q^{2}(d-s)-\sigma_{(s / d)}(K(m, m-1))
$$

for $0<s<d$. Here again use $d^{\prime}=d /(s, d)$.
In fact $F$ intersects the $P_{1}$ given by $z_{0}=0$ in one point $[0,1,0]$. The intersection is given by the cone on $\mathcal{E}$ (see Figure 4) which is actually $K(2,2 m)$. Using (5.1) one can show

$$
\sigma_{(s / d)} K(2,2 m)=1+2[2 s / d]-4 s q
$$

for $2 s \leqslant d$. The link $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ (see Figure 4) has Seifert matrix [ $-m$ ] so $\sigma_{(s / d)}\left(\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}\right)=-1$. It follows that $F$ cannot intersect another 2 -sphere with intersection given by $\mathfrak{L}^{\prime}$ unless $m=1$ (in which case $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ ).


We now derive the Tristram-Murasugi bounds for the slice genus of links. Define the genus $g(F)$ of a surface $F$ to be the genus of the closed surface obtained by adjoining a 2 -disk to each boundary component of $F$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $£$ be a link bounding a surface $F$ smoothly embedded in $D^{4}$ with no closed components. Then

$$
2 g(F) \geqslant\left|\sigma_{(s / d)}(\mathfrak{L})\right|-\mu(\mathcal{L})+\beta_{0}(F)+\left|\eta_{(s / d)}(\mathcal{L})-\beta_{0}(F)+1\right| .
$$

Proof. Consider the connected configuration of $\beta_{0}(F)$ surfaces in $S^{4}$ formed by adjoining the cone on $\mathcal{E}$ in a second copy of $D^{4}$ and apply (4.1).

Remarks. Murasugi [ $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ ] first proved (4.3) for $d=2$ but he left out the expression given in the second absolute value sign. Tristram then proved (4.3) for the case $d=p$ and $s=[p / 2]$ (though his proof probably works in general). The methods of both Murasugi and Tristram are very geometric and involve no mention of covers. Next Kauffman and Taylor [K-T] gave a proof of (4.3) in the case $d=2$ by relating the signature and nullity to branched covers. Recently Kauffman [ $\mathrm{Ka}_{2}$ ] gave a proof of (4.3) in the case $\beta_{0}(F)=1$ using the same approach as [K-T].
5. Signature calculations. The main purpose of this section is to make the results of this paper (except for 2.4) independent of the $G$-Signature Theorem. In fact, it is an easy matter using the results of this section to prove the $G$-Signature Theorem for finite cyclic semifree actions on 4 -manifolds with orientable fixed point set. In the spring and early summer of 1975 , I found such a geometric proof, similar but not identical to that indicated below. C. McA. Gordon has found a similar proof of this case of the theorem. Also R. A. Litherland has a proof where the fixed point set is assumed to consist of orientable surfaces.

We begin by deriving Brieskorn type formulas for the signature and nullity of torus links. The formula for $\sigma_{(s / d)}$ below follows from [Z, Theorem 1, p. 118] and (3.4). It also appears in [Li].
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Proposition 5.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{(s / d)}(K(m, n))=\sum_{\substack{0<i<m \\
0<j<n}} \varepsilon\left(\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{n}+\frac{s}{d}\right), \\
& \eta_{(s / d)}(K(m, n))=\sum_{\substack{0<i<m \\
0<j<n}} \delta\left(\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{n}+\frac{s}{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } 0<x<1 \bmod 2 \\
0 & \text { if } x \in \mathbf{Z} \\
-1 & \text { if } 1<x<2 \bmod 2
\end{array} \text { and } \delta(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in \mathbf{Z} \\
0, & x \notin \mathbf{Z} .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Proof. We will use the Seifert surface $F$ pictured in Figure 5. There are $m$ concentric disks lying over one another and $(m-1) \times n$ connecting bands. Let $T$ be the symmetry of $F$ given by rotating $F$ through an angle $2 \pi / n$. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m-1}$ be the curves indicated by the dotted lines in Figure 5. $\left\{T^{j} \gamma_{i}\right\}$ for $0<i<m$ and $0<j<n$ with the lexigraphical ordering (beginning $T^{1} \gamma_{1}, T^{2} \gamma_{1}, \ldots$ ) forms a basis $\mathscr{B}$ for $H_{1}(F)$. With respect to $\mathscr{B}$, the Seifert form is
given by $-\Lambda_{n} \otimes \Lambda_{m}$, and the map $T$ is given by $T_{n} \otimes I_{m-1}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & -1 & & & \\
& 1 & -1 & & 0 \\
& 0 & 1 & -1 & \ddots
\end{array}\right]_{n-1 \times n-1} \\
& T_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & & & & & \\
1 & & 0 & & & -1 \\
& & 1 & 0 & & \vdots \\
& & & & 0 & -1 \\
& O & & & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]_{n-1 \times n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This suggests the observation that $T_{n}$ preserves the form given by $\Lambda_{n}$. To see this visually let $m=2 . T_{n}$ has eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{n-1}\right\}$ where $\lambda=e^{(2 \pi i / n)}$. So the eigenvectors of $v_{i}$ (determined up to a scalar factor) diagonalize $\Lambda_{n}$ as a sesquilinear form. In fact there is a basis of eigenvectors with respect to which the form is given by

$$
\Delta_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1-\lambda & & & \\
& & 1-\lambda^{2} & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & 1-\lambda^{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

See [D-K] or [ $\mathrm{Ka}_{2}$ ].
So $-\Delta_{n} \otimes \Delta_{m}$ is the matrix for the Seifert form (over C). Then $\left(-\Delta_{n} \otimes \Delta_{m}\right)_{(s / d)}$ is also diagonal with entries on the diagonal $\left\{d_{i j}\right\}$ for $0<i<m$ and $0<j<n$ where

$$
d_{i j}=-2 \text { Real } \operatorname{part}\left(1-\lambda^{i}\right)\left(1-\beta^{j}\right)\left(1-\omega^{s}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=e^{2 \pi i / m}
$$

It is easy to see that $d_{i j}$ is positive, negative or zero accordingly as

$$
\varepsilon(i / m+j / n+s / d)
$$

is $+1,-1$, or zero. This completes the proof.
We now explain the connection between $\sigma(L, \psi)$ and the Atiyah-Singer $\alpha$-invariant. Let $T$ act with finite order on a $2 k$-manifold $M$. Atiyah and Singer [A-S, p. 578] define a complex number $\operatorname{sign}(T, M)$ (the $T$-signature). Specify that $T$ acts on the homology preserving the intersection pairing (as opposed to cohomology) to get correct signs. Now suppose $T$ acts freely with order $d$ on a $2 k-1$ manifold $N$. Then by arguments in $\S 1 r(T, N)$ bounds a free action on some $2 k$-manifold ( $T, M$ ). Then define

$$
\alpha(T, N)=(1 / r) \operatorname{sign}(T, M)
$$

One can show

$$
\operatorname{sign}(T, M)=\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{j} \sigma_{j}(M)
$$

It follows that (see [C-G, p. 6])

$$
\alpha\left(T, L_{\psi}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{d-1} \omega^{s} \sigma(L, s \psi)
$$

and

$$
\sigma(L, \psi)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{s=1}^{d-1}\left(\omega^{-s}-1\right) \alpha\left(T^{s}, L_{\psi}\right)
$$

Let $B$ be the $d$-fold branched cover of $S^{2}$ along $d$ points given by $\psi:\left(S^{2}-d\right.$ points) $\rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{d}$ where $\psi$ maps the "meridian" of each point to one. The following proposition was probably first proved directly by Erich Ossa [O] in his proof of the $G$-Signature Theorem for finite groups. It is given as an unproved axiom in [G].

Proposition 5.2. $\sigma_{j}(B)=2 j-d$ and $\operatorname{sign}\left(T^{j}, B\right)=d\left(\omega^{j}+1\right) /\left(\omega^{j}-1\right)$ for $0<j$ $<d$.

Proof. Consider the surface $F$ used in the proof of (5.2) and let $m=n=d . T$ acts on $F$ with $d$ fixed points. A fundamental domain for this action is cut out by a pie-shaped region of space with angle $2 \pi / d$. It is easy to see that $F$ is the $d$-fold cover of a 2 -disk along $d$ points. By Novikov additivity we only need to calculate $\sigma_{j}(F)$.

The intersection pairing on $F$ is given by $\left(-\Lambda_{d} \otimes \Lambda_{d}\right)-\left(-\Lambda_{d} \otimes \Lambda_{d}\right)^{T}$ with respect to $\mathscr{B}$. Here we are using a well-known relation between the intersection pairing and the Seifert pairing. This form restricted to the $\omega^{j}$ eigenspace is given by the matrix $-\left(1-\omega^{j}\right) \Delta_{d}+\left(1-\bar{\omega}^{j}\right) \Delta_{d}^{*}$. The associated hermitian pairing is given by the matrix $-i\left(1-\omega^{j}\right) \Delta_{d}+i\left(1-\bar{\omega}^{j}\right) \Delta_{d}^{*}$. This has entries down the diagonal $\left\{-2\right.$ Real $\left.i\left(1-\omega^{j}\right)\left(1-\omega^{k}\right)\right\}$ for $0<k<d$. Thus

$$
\sigma_{j}(F)=-\sum_{0<k<d} \varepsilon((j+k) / d)=2 j-d
$$

The second formula follows easily.
The derivation in $\left[\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{G}_{1}\right]$ of the formula for $\sigma(L(m, n), \chi)$ given in Example 3.9 and used in the proof of (3.8) requires the following formula for the $\alpha$-invariant of free orthogonal actions on $S^{3}$.

Proposition 5.3. Let $T$ act on $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ by $T\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(\omega^{j_{1}} z_{1}, \ldots, \omega^{j_{n}} z_{n}\right)$ where $\left(j_{k}, d\right)=1$. Let $S^{2 n-1}$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ oriented as the boundary of the unit disk

$$
\alpha\left(T, S^{2 n-1}\right)=-\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\omega^{j_{k}}+1}{\omega^{j_{k}}-1}
$$

Proof. Consider $\Pi_{k}\left(T^{j k}, B\right)$. This is a closed $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ manifold with $d^{n}$ isolated fixed points. The action at each of these points is that given above. The multiplicative property of the $G$-signature gives the result.

Proposition 5.4. Let $N$ be a closed oriented 2-disk bundle over a closed connected surface $F$ with self-intersection dq. Let $L=\partial N$ and $\psi \in H^{1}\left(L, \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ with $\delta \psi$ Lefschetz dual to $a[F]$ with $0<a<d$ and $(a, d)=1$. Then

$$
\sigma(L, \psi)=\frac{2(d-a)}{d} a q-\frac{q}{|q|}
$$

(interpret $0 /|0|=0$ ).
Proof. We first remark that given $F, d q$, and $a$, any such cover $L$ is equivalent to the action on the $S^{1}$ bundle over $F$ with Euler class $q$ given multiplication by $\omega^{a}$.

We only need to consider $q \geqslant 0$. (If $q \leqslant 0$, reverse the orientation of the bundle.) Suppose first $F=S^{2}$ and $q=1$, so

$$
\sigma(L, \psi)=\sigma\left(-L(d, 1), \chi^{a}\right)=4(\operatorname{Int} \Delta-\text { Area } \Delta)=2(d-a) a / d-1 .
$$

Here $\Delta$ has vertices $(0,0),(a, 0),(a, a / d)$. We will refer to this cover as $L_{\psi}^{\prime}$. The above calculation can also be done directly using (5.3).

Let $W$ be a bordism between $F$ and $q$ copies of $S^{2}$ and $x \in H^{2}(W)$ be Lefschetz dual to a collection of $q$ paths in $W$ each joining $F$ to a different $S^{2}$. Let $Q$ and $R$ be the $S^{1}$ bundles over $W$ with Euler classes $x$ and $d x . Q$ is a $d$-fold cover of $R$. If $T: Q \rightarrow Q$ is given by multiplication by $\omega^{a}$, then $\partial(Q, T)=L_{\psi}-q L_{\psi}^{\prime}$. Since multiplication by $\omega^{a}$ is homotopic to the identity, $\sigma_{1}(Q)=0$. Since the signature of the boundary of the disk bundle of $R$ is zero, $\operatorname{sign}(R)=(q-1) q /|q|$. The result now follows easily.

Remark. We can now outline a very geometric proof that $\Omega_{3}\left(B \mathbf{Z}_{d}\right)$ is torsion. In the proof of (3.6), an explicit branched cover of a 4 -manifold is given extending a given free $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ action on a 3-manifold. This provides a bordism of free $\mathbf{Z}_{d}$ actions to one of the type considered in (5.4). The proof of (5.4) gives a rational bordism to actions on 3 -spheres. The proof of (5.3) gives a rational null bordism for these actions.
6. Ad hoc geometric arguments and Rokhlin's Theorem. We consider the situation of Theorem 0.1. Namely we have a collection of $n$ smoothly embedded surfaces $F_{i}$ in general position in a closed 4 -manifold $M$. Moreover we have a relation $\sum a_{i} x_{i}=d y$ where $x_{i}=\left[F_{i}\right] \in H_{2}(M, \mathbf{Z})$. We would like to say something about $\beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i j}$, the geometric number of intersections between $F_{i}$ and $F_{j}$, using only Rokhlin's Theorem (namely Theorem 0.1 for $n=1$ ).

The basic idea is to use the surfaces $F_{i}$ to construct a single connected $F$ representing $d y$ in $M$ and express $\beta_{1}(F)$ in terms of $\beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i j}$. One can then apply Rokhlin's Theorem and get a lower bound on $\beta_{1}(F)$. I first became aware of this possibility in spring 1974 and gave a seminar talk on what this said about the homology class $(2,3)$ in $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. Just recently $S$. Weintraub has informed me that he has made a similar observation [We].

The construction of $F$ goes as follows. Begin by pushing off $a_{i}$ copies of each $F_{i}$, creating a configuration of $\sum a_{i}$ surfaces in $M$ with only Hopf link singularities. One then may resolve such singularities by removing two 2-disks, one from each sheet of an intersection, and replacing these disks with a single cylinder. One can
do this since both Hopf links bound cylinders in $D^{4}$ (actually in $S^{3}$ ). If the two sheets that intersect belong to the same surface, this process raises $\beta_{1}(F)$ by two. Otherwise the two surfaces are joined to form a single surface with Betti number the sum of the original Betti numbers.

One could proceed in this manner and eventually end up with a connected surface $F$ representing $\sum a_{i} x_{i}$. However if at any point in this process a connected surface $G$ possesses both a positive and negative intersection point, there is a way to resolve this in a way that is less "expensive" in terms of the final $\beta_{1}(F)$. Pick a path $\gamma$ on $G$ running between these two intersection points and missing all other intersection points and look at the normal $S^{1}$ bundle of $G$ in $M$ restricted to $\gamma$. This is a cylinder which may be used to resolve two intersection points at once.

Given a relation $\sum a_{i} x_{i}=0 \bmod d$ with $0<a_{i}<d$, there are many related relations obtained by changing each $a_{i}$ by the same scalar factor. One may also reverse the orientation $F_{i}$ and change $a_{i}$ for $\left(d-a_{i}\right)$. To get the best information, one should choose $[F]$ wisely. For example, if all the $a_{i}$ are equal, one should construct $F$ to represent $\sum x_{i}$.

We illustrate this procedure with an example discussed in the introduction where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are 2-spheres representing $x_{1}=(0,1,0,0)$ and $x_{2}=(2 m+1,3 m+$ $2,0,0)$ in $H_{2}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2} \# S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ and $2 m+1$ is a prime.

Suppose $F_{1}$ intersects $F_{2}$ at $a$ positive and $b$ negative points. So $a+b=\#$ and $a-b=2 m+1$. Construct $F$ representing $2 m+1(1,2,0,0)$ by pushing off $m$ copies of $F_{1}$ and tubing to $F_{2}$. First tube each copy of $F_{1}$ to $F_{2}$ at a positive intersection point. One then has an immersed 2 -sphere with $(a-1) m$ positive double points and $b m$ negative double points. One then removes cancelling pairs of double points until one has an immersed surface with $\beta_{1}=2 b m$ with $(a-b-1) m$ positive double points. Finally resolve these to get $F$ with $\beta_{1}(F)=$ $2 b m+2(a-b-1) m=m(\#+2 m-1)$. By Rokhlin's Theorem (choosing $a_{1}=$ $m), \beta_{1}(F) \geqslant 8 m^{2}+8 m-4$. So $\# \geqslant 6 m+9-(4 / m)$.

If not all the $a_{i}$ are equal, then one will never get an expression for $\beta_{1}(F)$ involving $\sum \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)$. Thus one cannot hope to derive Theorem 0.1 in this way. Suppose now all the $a_{i}=a$ and $\left(2(d-a) a / d^{2}\right)\left(\sum x_{i}\right)^{2} \geqslant \sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}+\operatorname{sign} M$. Assume moreover that there is a sequence of $(n-1)$ positive intersection points between the $n$ surfaces $F_{i}$, such that, after resolving each of these surfaces to form an immersed surface $G, G$ is connected. This last condition may be guaranteed by various conditions involving $x_{i} x_{j}$ including the one given in the introduction. A surface $G$ so constructed will have $\beta_{1}(G)=\Sigma \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)$ and $k$ positive double points and $l$ negative double points where $k+l=\#-(n-1)$ and $k-l=\sum x_{i} x_{j}-$ ( $n-1$ ). If $k \geqslant l$, then we can derive the conclusion of Theorem 0.1 by the above "tubing" procedure.

To get this same conclusion in general, we need to introduce another technique for resolving double points. We can "blow up" a positive (respectively negative) double point by replacing a 4-ball neighborhood of this point with a punctured $P_{2}$ (respectively $\bar{P}_{2}$ ). The original surface may then be extended to an embedding in the blown-up part of the manifold. One sheet will cross $P_{1} \subset P_{2}$ positively and one
negatively. If we blow up all the double points of $G$ in the above example, we will get a surface $F$ with $\beta_{1} F=\Sigma \beta_{1}\left(F_{i}\right)$ embedded in $M^{\prime}=M \#^{k} P_{2} \#^{\prime} \bar{P}_{2}$ representing $\left(\sum x_{i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in H_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. We have $\operatorname{sign} M^{\prime}=\operatorname{sign} M+\sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}-(n-1)$ and $\rho_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\rho_{2}(M)+\#-(n-1)$. Applying Rokhlin's Theorem now yields

$$
\beta_{1}(F) \geqslant\left|\left(2(d-a) a / d^{2}\right)\left(\sum x_{i}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{sign} M^{\prime}\right|-\rho_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right) .
$$

This is also the conclusion of Theorem 0.1. The blowing-up construction also gives a geometric explanation of the phenomena discussed in Remark (b) following (4.2).
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