

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/2021.06.07.447328

Constitutive AP2gamma deficiency reduces postnatal hippocampal neurogenesis and induces behavioral deficits in juvenile mice that persist during adulthood — Source link

Eduardo Loureiro-Campos, N.D. Alves, A. Mateus-Pinheiro, Patrícia Patrício ...+10 more authors

Institutions: University of Minho, Polytechnic Institute of Cávado and Ave

Published on: 08 Jun 2021 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Topics: Neurogenesis and Hippocampal formation

Related papers:

- Modifications of Hippocampal Circuits and Early Disruption of Adult Neurogenesis in the Tg2576 Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease
- Impaired adult hippocampal neurogenesis and its partial reversal by chronic treatment of fluoxetine in a mouse model of Angelman syndrome.
- · Lithium rescues synaptic plasticity and memory in Down syndrome mice
- Hippocampal cytogenesis abrogation impairs inter-regional communication between the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex and promotes the time-dependent manifestation of emotional and cognitive deficits.
- Knock-down of hippocampal DISC1 in immune-challenged mice impairs the prefrontal-hippocampal coupling and the cognitive performance throughout development



1		Title: Constitutive AP2 γ deficiency reduces postnatal hippocampal neurogenesis and
2		induces behavioral deficits in juvenile mice that persist during adulthood
3		
4		Running title: AP2 γ on postnatal plasticity and behavior
5		
6		Eduardo Loureiro-Campos ^{1,2*} , Nuno Dinis Alves ^{1,2,α,*} , António Mateus-Pinheiro ^{1,2} ,
7		Patrícia Patrício ^{1,2} , Carina Soares-Cunha ^{1,2} , Joana Silva ^{1,2} , Vanessa Morais Sardinha ^{1,2} ,
8		Bárbara Mendes-Pinheiro ^{1,2} , Tiago Silveira-Rosa ^{1,2} , Ana João Rodrigues ^{1,2} , João Filipe
9		Oliveira ^{1,2,3} , Nuno Sousa ^{1,2} and Luísa Pinto ^{1,2,#}
10		
11	1.	Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS, School of Medicine, University of Minho,
12		Braga, Portugal
13		
14	2.	ICVS/3B's -PT Government Associate Laboratory, Braga/Guimarães, Portugal
15	~	IDCA FOT 24: Debases in the of Churds and Aug. Applied Artificial Intelligence
10	3.	IPCA-EST-ZAI, Polytechnic Institute of Cavado and Ave, Applied Artificial Intelligence
1/		Laboratory, Campus of IPCA, Barcelos, Portugal
18		
20	α	Current affiliation: Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032,
21		USA; New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, NY 10032, USA
22	*	Eduardo Loureiro-Campos and Nuno Dinis Alves contributed equally to this work and are
23		joint first authors.
24		
25	#	Correspondence to: luisapinto@med.uminho.pt;
26		Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), School of Medicine, University of Minho,
27		Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal; tel: +351 253604929

28 Abstract:

29 The transcription factor activating protein two gamma (AP2 γ) is an important regulator of 30 neurogenesis both during embryonic development as well as in the postnatal brain, but its role for 31 neurophysiology and behavior at distinct postnatal periods is still unclear. In this work, we 32 explored the neurogenic, behavioral, and functional impact of a constitutive AP2 γ heterozygous 33 deletion in mice from early postnatal development until adulthood. Constitutive AP2y 34 heterozygous deletion in mice caused a reduction of hippocampal transient amplifying progenitors (TAPs) in the postnatal brain, inducing significant impairments on hippocampal-dependent 35 36 emotional- and cognitive-behavioral tasks including anxiety-like behavior and cognitive deficits, 37 typically associated with an intact neurogenic activity. Moreover, AP2_Y deficiency impairs dorsal 38 hippocampus-to-prefrontal cortex functional connectivity.

We observed a progressive and cumulative impact of constitutive AP2 γ deficiency on the hippocampal glutamatergic neurogenic process, as well as alterations on limbic-cortical connectivity, together with impairments on emotional and cognitive behaviors from juvenile to adult periods. Collectively, the results herein presented demonstrate the importance of AP2 γ in the generation of glutamatergic neurons in the postnatal brain and its impact on behavioral performance.

45

46 **Keywords:** Hippocampal neurogenesis, AP2γ, anxiety, cognition, spectral coherence.

47 Introduction:

48 New cells are continuously generated, differentiated into neurons, and integrated into the 49 preexisting neural networks in restricted regions of the postnatal mice brain (Boldrini et al., 2018; 50 Dennis et al., 2016; Kempermann et al., 2018; Moreno-Jiménez et al., 2019; Tobin et al., 2019). 51 One of these so-called neurogenic niches is the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the hippocampal 52 dentate gyrus (DG). Here, neural stem cells (NSC) give rise to mature neural cells including 53 glutamatergic granular neurons in a fined and tuned process with many developmental steps 54 sensitive to different regulatory influences (Kempermann et al., 2004; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 55 2017; Tobin et al., 2019; Toda et al., 2019). Postnatal hippocampal glutamatergic neurogenesis exhibits a regulatory transcriptional sequence (Sox2 \rightarrow Pax6 \rightarrow Ngn2 \rightarrow AP2 $\gamma \rightarrow$ Tbr2 \rightarrow NeuroD \rightarrow 56 Tbr1) that recapitulates the hallmarks of the embryonic glutamatergic neurogenic process in the 57 58 cerebral cortex (Hochgerner et al., 2018; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017; Nacher et al., 2005). 59 Transcriptional factors as Pax6, Ngn2, Tbr2, NeuroD, and Tbr1 have several and distinct roles in 60 proliferation, cell kinetics, fate specification, and axonal growth (Englund, 2005; Götz et al., 1998; 61 Hevner, 2019; Hevner et al., 2006; Hochgerner et al., 2018).

62 Despite several efforts to understand the complex transcriptional network orchestration 63 involved in the regulation of neurogenesis, both in early developmental stages and during 64 adulthood, these are still to be fully understood (Bertrand et al., 2002; Brill et al., 2009; Englund, 65 2005; Hack et al., 2005; Hsieh, 2012; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017; Waclaw et al., 2006). 66 Recently, the transcription factor activating protein 2 gamma (AP2 γ , also known as Tcfap2c or 67 Tfa2c) was described to be an important regulator of glutamatergic neurogenesis in the adult 68 hippocampus, being involved in the regulation of transient amplifying progenitors (TAPs) cells 69 (Hochgerner et al., 2018; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2018, 2017). AP2γ belongs to the AP2 family of 70 transcription factors that is highly involved in several systems and biological processes, such as 71 cell proliferation, adhesion, developmental morphogenesis, tumor progression and cell fate 72 determination (Eckert et al., 2005; Hilger-Eversheim et al., 2000; Thewes et al., 2010). In addition, 73 AP2 γ is functionally relevant during embryonic neocortical development, playing detrimental roles in early mammalian extraembryonic development and organogenesis (Pinto et al., 2009). AP 2γ is 74 critical for the specification of glutamatergic neocortical neurons and their progenitors, acting as 75 76 a downstream target of Pax6 and being involved in the regulation of Tbr2 and NeuroD basal 77 progenitors' determinants (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017; Pinto et al., 2009). Strikingly, in humans, 78 defects in the AP2y gene were reported in patients with severe pre- and post-natal growth 79 retardation (Geneviève et al., 2005), and to be involved in the mammary, ovarian and testicular 80 carcinogenesis (Hoei-Hansen et al., 2004; Li et al., 2002; Ødegaard et al., 2006). 81 AP2y deletion during embryonic development results in a specific reduction of upper layer

82 neurons in the occipital cerebral cortex, while its overexpression increases region- and time-

83 specific generation of neurons from cortical layers II/III (Pinto et al., 2009). AP2_Y expression 84 persists in the adult hippocampus, particularly in a sub-population of TAPs acting as a positive regulator of the cell fate modulators, Tbr2 and NeuroD, and therefore as a promoter of proliferation 85 86 and neuronal differentiation (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017). Conditional and specific downregulation of AP2 γ in the adult brain NSCs decreases the generation of new neurons in the 87 88 hippocampal DG and disrupts the electrophysiological synchronization between the hippocampus 89 and the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). Furthermore, mice with AP2y conditional deletion 90 exhibited behavioral impairments, particularly a deficient performance in cognitive-related tasks 91 (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2018, 2017).

92 These studies reveal the crucial modulatory role of AP2y during embryonic cerebral cortex development as well as its influence on glutamatergic neurogenesis and hippocampal-dependent 93 94 behaviors during adulthood. Still, it is important to identify the longitudinal postnatal relevance of 95 AP2 γ to brain neurophysiology and behavior. Thus, in the present study, we explored the neurogenic, behavioral and functional impact of constitutive AP2_γ heterozygous deletion in mice 96 97 since early postnatal development until adulthood. We revealed a progressive impact of AP2 γ 98 deficiency on the hippocampal glutamatergic neurogenic process, alterations on limbic-cortical 99 connectivity, accompanied by behavioral impairments on emotional and cognitive modalities from 100 juvenile period to adulthood.

101

102 **Results:**

103 Constitutive AP2γ deficiency decreases proliferation and neurogenesis in the postnatal 104 DG, without affecting neuronal morphology

105 We sought to dissect the impact of constitutive and heterozygous deficiency of AP2 γ in the modulation of postnatal neuronal plasticity in the hippocampus, including its effect in the 106 hippocampal neurogenic niche and in the morphology of pre-existing DG granule neurons at 107 108 different postnatal periods. In juvenile and adult mice, we assessed the expression of markers for 109 different cell populations along the neurogenic process through western blot and 110 immunofluorescence, and the morphology of granule neurons in the DG using the Golgi-Cox 111 staining method (Figure 1A and B). We observed a significant decrease in the expression levels of AP2 γ protein in the hippocampal DG of juvenile (Figure 1C) and adult (Figure 1D) AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice, 112 113 with concomitant reduction of Pax6 and Tbr2 protein levels, but not Sox2, an upstream regulator 114 of AP2y (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017).

115 Analysis of cell populations in the hippocampal neurogenic niche using BrdU and doublecortin (DCX) labelling (Figure 1E) revealed that the number of BrdU⁺ and BrdU⁺DCX⁺ cells 116 is reduced in both juvenile and adult AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice, suggesting a decrease in the number of fast 117 118 proliferating cells (transient amplifying progenitor cells (TAPs) and neuroblasts, respectively 119 (Figure 1F-I). Of note, we observed a decrease in these cell populations with age in both WT and AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice in agreement with previous reports (Kase et al., 2020; Katsimpardi and Lledo, 2018) 120 (BrdU⁺: Supplementary Figure 1A; BrdU⁺DCX⁺: Supplementary Figure 1B). In contrast, and 121 122 despite an increased length (Supplementary Figure 1C) and neuronal arborization 123 (Supplementary Figure 1D) of DG granular neurons with age, constitutive deficiency of AP2y does 124 not impact neither the dendritic length (Figure 1J-K), nor the neuronal complexity, in both 125 postnatal periods (Supplementary Figure 1D).

126 These results highlight that AP2 γ modulatory actions in the hippocampal neurogenic niche 127 are similar and maintained in juvenile and adult mice. AP2 γ transcription factor regulates NSCs 128 proliferation and neuronal differentiation in the postnatal hippocampus by interacting with the 129 different modulators involved in the transcriptional regulation of postnatal hippocampal 130 neurogenesis.

131

AP2γ^{+/-} mice display normal early postnatal development but anxiety-like behavior and cognitive impairments at juvenile period

134 In light of the negative impact of AP2 γ heterozygous deficiency in the postnatal neurogenic 135 process in the hippocampus, known as an important modulator of emotional and cognitive 136 functions (Christian et al., 2014; Toda et al., 2019), we assessed early postnatal development 137 and the behavioral performance of WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ at juvenile and adult periods.

Evaluation of early postnatal development was performed through the assessment of somatic and neurobiological paraments during the first 21 postnatal days (Supplementary Figure 2). Despite a variation in the eye-opening day, responsiveness in sensory-motor functions, vestibular area-dependent tasks, and strength, as well somatic parameters were similar in WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice. Furthermore, all analyzed parameters were within the previously described range (Guerra-Gomes et al., 2020; Heyser, 2003). These observations suggest that constitutive heterozygous deletion of AP2 γ has no impact on early postnatal development.

145 In juvenile mice (between PND 25-31), we performed the open-field (OF) test to assess 146 locomotor and anxiety-like behavior, tail suspension test (TST) and sucrose splash test (SST) to 147 assess behavioral despair and anhedonic-like behavior, and the object recognition test (ORT) to assess memory (Figure 2A). In the OF, juvenile AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice exhibited a lower distance traveled 148 in the anxiogenic center of the arena in comparison to WT animals (Figure 2B) suggesting an 149 anxiety-like phenotype. Of note, WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice display similar average velocities when 150 151 performing the test, indicating no changes in locomotor activity (Supplementary Figure 3A). 152 Assessment of behavioral despair and anhedonic-like behavior revealed no impact of constitutive AP2_γ heterozygous deletion in these emotional domains, as no alterations were observed in the 153 immobility time in the TST (Figure 2C) and grooming time in the SST (Figure 2D). Notably, despite 154 no differences in the novel object location (Figure 2E and F), AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice displayed significant 155 156 deficits in the novel object recognition, as denoted by a decreased preference to explore the novel 157 object (Figure 2G).

158 These observations suggest that despite no evident impact on early postnatal 159 development, constitutive AP2 γ heterozygous deficiency leads to memory impairments and an 160 anxious-like phenotype at juvenile period.

161

AP2^{*/-} adult mice exhibit significant impairments in emotional and cognitive behavioral modalities

At adulthood, behavioral assessment included OF test and elevated plus-maze (EPM) to 164 evaluate anxiety-like behavior, forced swimming test (FST) and TST to examine behavioral 165 despair, and ORT, contextual fear conditioning (CFC) and Morris water maze (MWM) to evaluate 166 cognitive performance (Figure 3A). In the OF test, AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice showed a trend towards a 167 decrease in the distance traveled in the anxiogenic center of the arena (p = 0.05, Figure 3B), with 168 169 no changes in locomotor activity as denoted by similar average velocity assessment (Supplementary Figure 4A). Moreover, in the EPM test, $AP2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice spent significantly less time 170 in the open-arms than WT mice (Figure 3C). AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice do not show any changes in behavioral 171 172 despair since immobility times in FST (Figure 3D) and TST (Figure 3E) are identical to WT mice.

173 These observations suggest that anxiety-like behavior promoted by constitutive AP2 γ deficiency 174 tend to persist in adult mice, with no alteration in behavioral despair.

175 Cognitive performance assessed by ORT revealed a trend towards a decrease in the preference to explore the displaced object of AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ when compared to WT mice (p = 0.08, Figure 176 3F). However, no alterations were observed in preference towards the novel object (Figure 3G). 177 178 In the CFC, a behavior test described to be sensitive to changes in adult hippocampal 179 neurogenesis (Gu et al., 2012), mice were subjected to two distinct context tests, aimed to test 180 hippocampal-dependent memory, and a cue probe to assess the integrity of extrahippocampal 181 memory circuits (Figure 3H) (Gu et al., 2012; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017). In the context A, AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice exhibited reduced freezing behavior when exposed to a familiar context (Figure 3I). 182 No alterations in the freezing behavior were observed neither in the context B (Figure 3J) nor in 183 the cue probe (Figure 3K). These observations suggest that AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice exhibit deficits in 184 185 contextual hippocampal-related memory, and an intact associative non-hippocampal-dependent 186 memory when compared to WT littermates. Furthermore, experimental groups were also 187 subjected to the MWM test for evaluation of spatial memory (Figure 4). In the reference memory task, that relies on hippocampal function integrity (Cergueira et al., 2007), AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ and WT mice 188 exhibit similar performance to reach the hidden platform along the training days (Figure 4A and 189 190 B). When the platform was changed to the opposite quadrant to assess behavior flexibility, which 191 relies not only in the hippocampal formation but also in prefrontal cortical areas (Hamilton and Brigman, 2015), adult AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice spent less time in the new guadrant than WT animals (Figure 192 193 4C) suggesting that constitutive AP2y deficiency leads to impaired behavioral flexibility. Detailed 194 analysis of the strategies adopted to reach the escape platform (Antunes et al., 2020; Garthe et al., 2009; Garthe and Kempermann, 2013; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017; Ruediger et al., 2012) 195 revealed that AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice delayed the switch from non-hippocampal dependent ("Block 1") to 196 197 hippocampal-dependent ("Block 2") strategies (Figure 4D-H), suggesting an impairment of 198 hippocampal function. No differences were found in the working memory task (Supplementary 199 Figure 4B and C).

200 Overall, results suggest that constitutive heterozygous deletion of AP2 γ resulted in specific 201 emotional and cognitive impairments at adulthood. More specifically, we observed that adult 202 AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice display anxiety-like behavior, and cognitive impairments, in contextual memory, 203 spatial memory and behavioral flexibility. Importantly, due to the relevance of hippocampal and 204 mPFC to these behavioral tasks, results suggest that, in adult mice, the functional integrity of 205 these brain areas is highly affected by constitutive and heterozygous deficiency of AP2 γ .

- 206
- 207

Adult hippocampal-to-PFC functional connectivity is disrupted by constitutive AP2γ heterozygous deficiency

210 Given the impact of AP2 γ deficiency on emotional and cognitive behavior, we sought for a functional correlate by investigating related neurocircuits. In adult WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice, we 211 212 explored the integrity of the dorsal hippocampus (dHip)-to-medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) 213 circuitry, assessing electrophysiological features of local field potentials (LFPs) simultaneously in these connected brain areas (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure 5A). In AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice, the 214 temporal structure of LFPs recorded simultaneously in the dHip and mPFC was affected. 215 216 Specifically the spectral coherence between these regions (Adhikari et al., 2010; Oliveira et al., 217 2013; Sardinha et al., 2017) in AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice is significantly decreased in all frequency bands when compared to WT littermates (Figure 5B), indicating an impaired functional connectivity between 218 these two brain regions in AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice. While in the dHip, constitutive AP2 γ deficiency had a 219 220 subtle impact in PSD values specifically in the Theta and Beta frequency bands (Figure 5C), in 221 the mPFC, PSD values in all frequencies evaluated were significantly lower than WT mice (Figure 222 5D).

Deficiency of AP2γ did not exert an effect neither in the spectral coherence between the
vHip and the mPFC (Supplementary Figure 5B) nor in the PSD values in the vHip (Supplementary
Figure 5C).

The electrophysiological studies revealed that constitutive and heterozygous AP2 γ deficiency led to two outcomes: first, a significant decrease of coherence between the dHip and the mPFC indicating impairments in the ability of these regions to functionally interact; second, this decrease in interregional coherence was accompanied by a diminished neuronal activity in a wide range of frequencies in the mPFC, including in theta and beta frequencies, previously shown to be critically related with behavioral outputs dependent on cortico-limbic networks (Colgin, 2011; Fell and Axmacher, 2011; Oliveira et al., 2013).

233

234 **Discussion**:

Herein, we show that despite a normal early postnatal acquisition of neurodevelopmental milestones, constitutive and heterozygous deficiency of AP2 γ induces an anxiety-like state and causes cognitive deficits in mice that persist from adolescence until adulthood. Our results suggest that AP2 γ plays a crucial role for the proper development and maturation of neural circuits implicated in emotional and cognitive functions.

240 Newly generated neurons are highly relevant to hippocampal functioning and 241 hippocampal-associated behaviors (Anacker and Hen, 2017; Christian et al., 2014; Fang et al., 242 2018; Gonçalves et al., 2016). Impairments in adult hippocampal neurogenesis precipitate the 243 emergence of depressive- and anxiety-like behaviors (Bessa et al., 2009; Hill et al., 2015; Mateus-244 Pinheiro et al., 2013b, 2013a; Revest et al., 2009; Sahay and Hen, 2007). Here, we assessed the 245 longitudinal impact of AP2y, a transcription factor that plays an important role on embryonic 246 neuronal development (Pinto et al., 2009) and recently described as a novel regulator of adult 247 hippocampal neurogenesis (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2018, 2017), on neural plasticity, function and 248 behavior at different postnatal periods. Characterization of the neurogenic process in the hippocampal DG in juvenile and adult AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice, revealed that in agreement with a previous 249 250 report in a conditional knock-out mice (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017), AP2y regulates upstream 251 neurogenic regulators as Pax6 and Tbr2. Other modulators of the TAP's population, such as Ngn2 252 and Tbr2, have been shown to exert a similar control of hippocampal neurogenesis. Ngn2 has 253 been implicated in the proper development of the DG, and its deletion at early stages of 254 development leads to a reduction in neurogenesis (Galichet et al., 2008; Roybon et al., 2009). 255 Moreover, Tbr2 is critically required for hippocampal neurogenesis in the developing and adult 256 mice, with its postnatal inactivation resulting in a marked reduction in neuroblasts (Hodge et al., 257 2012), and its conditional deletion in the adult hippocampal neurogenic niche leading to a specific 258 blockade of the neurogenic process (Tsai et al., 2015). Also, we observed that, at both postnatal 259 periods, AP2 γ plays an essential role in the regulation of pivotal neurogenic steps as NSCs 260 proliferation and neuronal maturation (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017), while the morphology of 261 granular neurons in the hippocampal DG, another form of hippocampal structural plasticity, is 262 intact (Bessa et al., 2009; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2013a).

Taking into consideration the embryonic and early postnatal developmental modulatory roles of AP2 γ , and the severe and/or lethal malformations during development promoted by deficiencies in other members of the AP2 family (AP2 α and AP2 β) (Lim et al., 2005; Moser et al., 1997; Schorle et al., 1996), we sought to understand whether constitutive and heterozygous deficiency of AP2 γ could lead postnatally to functional and behavioral impairments. The developmental milestones protocol showed no impact of the constitutive heterozygous deficiency of AP2 γ in early postnatal neurodevelopment. Nevertheless, this deficiency in AP2 γ promotes

emotional and cognitive behavior in later periods of life. At juvenile age, AP2y deficiency led to 270 271 the manifestation of anxiety-like behavior and significant impairments in recognition memory tasks, that depend on the integrity of the hippocampal circuitry (Jessberger et al., 2009). 272 273 Interestingly, anxiety-like behavior and cognitive impairments were maintained at adulthood, where adult AP2 $\gamma^{+/}$ mice displayed poor performances in hippocampal-dependent tasks (Garthe 274 et al., 2009; Garthe and Kempermann, 2013; Gu et al., 2012; Ruediger et al., 2012). Notably, 275 276 conditional deletion of AP2y in adulthood lead to a less evident effect on emotional behavior. 277 namely in anxiety-like behavior tested in the OF and EPM behavioral tests, when comparing with 278 the constitutive mice model herein presented (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017). This result indicates 279 that constitutive deficiency of AP2 γ may exert a longitudinal cumulative impact leading to more 280 severe alterations in behavioral performance of mice, whereas in the conditional model during 281 adulthood, the AP2 γ deletion only occurs in a subset of newly formed neuroblasts.

282 Our results are consistent with previous publications were the suppression of the TAP's 283 regulator Tbr2 exerted both an anxiety-like phenotype during the juvenile period, and induced cognitive deficits during early adulthood (Veerasammy et al., 2020). Moreover, Ngn2 is also 284 285 important for the modulation of cognitive behavior, namely in the rescue of cognitive function in 286 the T-Maze task. Interestingly, the regulation of TAPs' by AP2 γ seems to be also important for the 287 preservation of cognitive performance as shown by its impact on hippocampal-dependent tasks. 288 Behavioral flexibility, a cognitive task that relies on the interaction of the hippocampal and prefrontal cortical brain areas, was impaired in AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice. Adult AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice present significant 289 290 deficits of electrophysiological coherence between the dHip and the mPFC. In particular, 291 constitutive AP2_γ deficiency led to a decrease of the spectral coherence between the recorded 292 brain areas in a wide range of frequencies, previously associated to behavior outputs dependent 293 on cortico-limbic networks (Colgin, 2011; Fell and Axmacher, 2011; Oliveira et al., 2013; Sardinha 294 et al., 2017). The integrity of the hippocampus-to-PFC circuitry was described to be relevant for 295 example to the action of antidepressants, such as ketamine (Carreno et al., 2016), which promote 296 neurogenesis, suggesting that AP2 γ may be involved in conserving this neuronal circuit. 297 Additionally, AP2 γ plays an important role on cortical basal progenitors' specification during embryonic development (Pinto et al., 2009) that might be affecting the electrophysiological 298 299 function of the mPFC. In fact, AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice presented impaired neuronal activity in the mPFC in 300 all frequency ranges, as detected by the general decrease of PSD signals recorded, and 301 corroborated by previous findings (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017). Thus, misspecification of upper cortical layers promoted by AP2y deficiency since embryonic development may be contributing to 302 303 the functional electrophysiological readouts, and also eliciting the cognitive defects herein 304 observed.

305 Collectively, the results presented in this study demonstrated the importance of the 306 transcription factor AP2 γ in the generation of glutamatergic neurons in the postnatal brain and its 307 impact on functional behavioral dimensions at different postnatal periods. Following these 308 findings, future experiments should be implemented to elucidate whether AP2 γ can participate in 309 the pathogenesis and treatment of neurodevelopmental and/or psychiatric disorders and how it 310 may exert its modulatory action.

311

312 Materials and methods:

313 Key Resource Table

Reagent or Resource	Source	Identifier
Experir	nental model: Organisms/strain	S
<i>Mus musculus</i> , mice maintained in a 129/SV background	Dr. Hubert Schorle	NA
	Injectables	
BrdU, 50 mg/kg	Sigma-Aldrich	# 9285
	Antibodies	
	Western blot	
alpha-tubulin, mouse	Sigma	#5168
AP2γ, goat	Abcam	#31288
Pax6, rabbit	Millipore	#2237
,		RRID:AB_1587367
Sox2, mouse	Abcam	#7935
Anti-mouse	BioRad	#1706516
		RRID:AB_11125547
Anti-rabbit	BioRad	#1706515
Anti-goat	Santa-Cruz Biotechnologies	RRID:AB_11125142 #A2216
Anti-guat		#24006
SuperSignal west Femilo reagent	i nermor isner,	#34096
	Immunofluorescence	
BrdU, rat	Abcam	#6326
		RRID:AB_305426
Doublecortin, rabbit	Abcam	#10723
Alexa Fluor 488 Goat Anti-rat	Invitrogen	#32731
		#11011
Alexa Fluor 568 Goat Anti-rabbit	Invitrogen	RRID:AB 143157
4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)	Sigma Aldrich	#8417
	Software	
Activity Monitor software	MedAssociates	NA
Kinoscope software	(Kokras et al., 2017)	NA
Ethovision XT 11.5	Noldus	RRID:SCR_000441
Signal Software	CED	NA
Prism v.8	GraphPad Software Inc	RRID:SCR_002798
MATLAB	MathWorks Inc	RRID:SCR_005547

314

315 Experimental model details:

Wild-type (WT) and AP2 γ heterozygous KO (AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$) mice were maintained in a 129/SV background and identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of genomic DNA. Along the study, distinctive at cohorts (at least 2 *per* timepoint) of littermate WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ male mice were submitted to molecular (n = 4-6 *per* group), behavioral (n = 8-16 *per* group) and electrophysiological (n= 5-6 *per* group) assessment at the different postnatal ages (early postnatal period: between postnatal day (PND) 1 and 21; juvenile period: from PND 25 to 31; adulthood: PND 70 to 92).

All mice were housed and kept under standard laboratory conditions at $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 55% humidity, and *ad libitum* access to food and water on a 12h light/dark cycle (lights on 8 A.M. to 8 P.M.). Efforts were made to minimize the number of animals and their suffering. All experimental procedures performed in this work were conducted in accordance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU and approved by the Portuguese National Authority for animal experimentation, *Direção-Geral de Alimentação e Veterinária* (DGAV) with the project reference 0420/000/000/2011 (DGAV 4542).

330

331 Behavioural analysis:

332 Developmental milestones protocol

333 Early postnatal neurodevelopment in mice was assessed according to previously 334 validated protocols (Castelhano-Carlos et al., 2010; Guerra-Gomes et al., 2020; Hill et al., 2008; Santos et al., 2007). This consisted in a daily evaluation for the first 21 days of life. From postnatal 335 336 day (PND) 1 onward, newborn animals were evaluated in several parameters, including skin 337 appearance, activity, and presence of milk spot in the stomach, indicator of correct maternal care 338 and well-being. Pups were examined for the acquisition of developmental milestones until 339 weaning (PND21), every day at the same time, in the same experimental room, by the same 340 experimenter. This daily scoring included tests to assess the acquisition of mature response 341 regarding somatic parameters and neurobiological reflexes.

342 <u>Somatic parameters:</u>

As a measure of morphological development, animals were daily weighed (weight \pm 0.01 344 g). The eye-opening day was also evaluated and considered when both eyes were opened. When 345 both eyes opened on different days, score was set as 1 if only one of the eyes was open, and 2 346 when both eyes were open. The mature response was registered when both eyes were open.

347

348 <u>Neurobiological reflexes:</u>

The assessment of the neurobiological reflexes including the daily performance of different tests. Of note, the scale of evaluation was distinct among tests. Tests including rooting, ear twitch, auditory startle, open field transversal, air righting, wire suspension, postural reflex were scored according to the absence (0) or presence (1) of a mature response. When possible, to detect a gradual progression in performance as for walking, surface righting, grasping, negative geotaxis, cliff aversion, daily score was attributed between 0 and 3, with 0 representing absence, and 3 corresponding to the achievement of the mature response. The postnatal day in which

animals achieved a mature response was registered. All tests were conducted in a smooth foampad, and immediately after testing, the pups were returned to their home cage.

358 Labyrinthine reflex, body righting mechanism, coordination and strength:

Surface righting reflex – PND1 to PND13 – This test consists of gently laying the animal on its back, and the mature response was considered when the pup was able to get right. If the animal did not respond within 30 s, the test was ended. Mature response was achieved when the pups were able to get right in less than 1 s for three consecutive days.

Negative geotaxis – PND1 to PND 14 – Pups were placed head down in a horizontal grid,
 tilted 45° to the plane. The acquisition of a mature response was set when pups were able to head
 up in less than 30 s for three consecutive days.

Air righting – PND8 to PND 21 – In this test, the pup was held upside down and released
 from a height of approximately 13 cm from the soft padded surface and released. A mature
 response was obtained when the animals landed on four paws for three consecutive days.

369 *Cliff aversion* – PND 1 to PND 14 – It evaluates the mouse pup's ability to turn and crawl
 370 away when on the edge of a cliff. A mature response was achieved once the animal moved away
 371 in less than 30 s for three consecutive days.

372 *Postural reflex* – PND 5 to PND 21 – Pups were placed in a small plastic box and gently
 373 shaken up down and right. When the animals were able to maintain their original position in the
 374 box by extending four paws, mature response was acquired.

Wire suspension – PND 5 to PND 21 – This test evaluates forelimb grasp and strength.
Pups were placed vertically to hold with their forepaws a 3 mm diameter metal wire suspended 5
cm above a soft foam pad. A mature response was achieved once the animal was able to grasp
the bar, holding it with four paws.

379 *Grasping* – PND 5 to PND 21 – The mouse pup forelimb was stimulated with a thin wire 380 to evaluate when the involuntary freeing reflex stopped. This reflex disappears with the 381 development of the nervous system, as so, the mature response achieved when the animal 382 grasped immediately and firmly the wire.

383

384 Tactile reflex:

Ear twitch – PND 7 to PND 15 – In this test, the mouse pup ear was gently stimulated with the tip of a cotton swab, three times. If the animal reacted, flattening the ear against the side of the head for three consecutive days, the mature response was reached.

Rooting – PND 7 to PND 12 – A fine filament of a cotton swab was used to gently and slowly rub the animal's head, from the front to the back. It was considered a successful test if the pup moved its head towards the filament. The test was repeated on the other side of the head to evaluate the appearance of this neurobiological reflexes on both sides. If the animal did not react

to the filament, the test was repeated. Mature response was obtained when animal reacted onboth sides for 3 consecutive days.

394

395 Auditory reflex:

Auditory startle – PND7 to PND 18 – We evaluated the reaction of pups to a handclap, at
 a distance of 10 cm. If pups quick and involuntary jumped for three consecutive days, a matured
 response was attributed.

399

400 *Motor:*

401 *Open field transversal* – PND7 to PND 18 – To execute this test, animals were placed in 402 a small and circle (13 cm diameter), and time to move was recorded. If the pup was not able to 403 move, the test was ended. In case the mouse leaves the circle in less than 30 s, in three 404 consecutive days, a mature response was reached.

405 *Walking* – PND 5 to PND 21 – In this paradigm, animals were able to freely move around 406 for 60 s. The mature response was achieved when they showed a walking movement fully 407 supported on 4 limbs.

408

409 Open field (OF) test

410 The OF test is a behavioral test commonly used to assess anxiety-like behavior, 411 exploratory behavior, and general activity in rodents. This apparatus consists of a highly 412 illuminated square arena of 43.2x43.2cm, closed by a 30.5 cm high wall. Mice were individually 413 placed in the center of the OF arena, and their movement was tracked for 5 mins, using a 16-414 beam infrared system (MedAssociates, US). Data was analysed using the Activity Monitor 415 software (MedAssociates, US). Average velocity of the animals was considered as a measure of 416 locomotor capacity, and the activity ratio between the center and the arena periphery was 417 considered as measurement of anxiety-like behavior.

418

419 Elevated-plus maze (EPM) test

420 To study the impact on anxiety-like behavior the EPM test was also performed (Walf and 421 Frye, 2007). This consists of a black propylene apparatus (ENV – 560; MedAssociates Inc, US) 422 with two opposite open arms (50.8 cm × 10.2 cm) and two closed arms (50.8 cm × 10.2 cm × 40.6 423 cm) elevated 72.4 cm above the floor and dimly illuminated. The central area connecting both 424 arms measured 10x10 cm. Animals were individually positioned in the center of the maze, facing 425 an edge of a closed-arm, and were allowed to freely explore the maze for 5 min. All trials were 426 recorded using an infrared photobeam system, and the percentage of time spent in the open arms 427 was accessed through the EthoVision XT 11.5 tracking system (Ethovision, Noldus Information 428 Technologies, Netherlands).

429 Forced swimming test (FST)

430 For the assessment of behavioral-despair, we performed the forced swimming test 431 (Porsolt et al., 1977). Briefly, each animal was individually placed in glass cylinders filled with 432 water (23°C; depth 30 cm) for 5 min. All sessions were video-recorded, and the immobility time, 433 defined through a video tracking software Ethovision XT 11.5 (Noldus, Netherlands), was 434 considered as a measure of learned-helplessness. Mice were considered immobile when all 435 active behaviors (struggling, swimming, and jumping) were ceased. For immobility, the animals 436 had to remain passively floating or making minimal movements need to maintain the nostrils 437 above water. For learned-helplessness assessment, the first 3 min of the trial were considered 438 as a habituation period and the last 2 min as the test period.

439

440 Tail-suspension test (TST)

The TST is a commonly used behavioral test to assess behavioral despair in rodents. The principle of this behavioral paradigm is similar to the FST assessing also learned helplessness of the animals. For this, animals were suspended by the tail to the edge of a laboratory bench 80 cm above the floor (using adhesive tape) for 6 min. Trials were video-recorded, and the immobility and climbing times were automatically analyzed by the video tracking software Ethovision XT 11.5 (Noldus, Netherlands). For the learned-helplessness assessment, the first 3 min of the trial was considered as a habituation period, and the last 3 min as the test period.

448

449 Splash-sucrose test (SCT)

The splash-sucrose test consists of spraying a 10 % sucrose solution on the dorsal coat of mice in their home cage (Yalcin et al., 2008). Sucrose solution in the mice's coat induces grooming behavior. After spraying the animals, animal was video-recorded for 5 min and the time spent grooming was taken as an index of self-care and motivational behavior. Then, the videos were manually analyzed using the behavioral scoring program Kinoscope (Kokras et al., 2017).

455

456 **Object recognition test (ORT)**

457 Through this behavioral paradigm we assessed short- and long-term memory (Leger et 458 al., 2013). This test relies on rodents' nature to explore and prefer novelty. For that, mice were 459 acclimatized to a testing arena (30 cm x 30 cm x 30 cm) under dim light for 3 days during 20 min. 460 After habituation, animals were presented with two equal objects for 10 min (training), positioned 461 in the center of the arena. Then, 1 h later, one of the objects was moved towards one arena wall, 462 and mice were allowed to freely explore the objects for 10 mins. On the following day, animals returned to the arena for 10 mins, with one of the objects replaced by a novel object. The familiar 463 and novel objects had different size, color, shape and texture. Between trials, the arena and 464 465 objects were properly cleaned with 10% ethanol. Sessions were recorded and manually scored

through the behavioral scoring program Kinoscope (Kokras et al., 2017). The percentage of time
exploring the moved- and novel-object was used as a measure of short- and long-term memory,
respectively.

469

470 Contextual-fear conditioning (CFC)

471 The CFC test was performed in a white acrylic box with internal dimensions of 20 cm wide, 472 16 cm deep, and 20.5 cm high (MedAssociates). This apparatus had a fixed light bulb mounted 473 directly above the chamber to provide a source of illumination. Each box contained a stainless-474 steel shock grid floor inside a clear acrylic cylinder, where the animals were placed. All animals were exposed to two probes: a context probe and a cue (light) probe, as previously described (Gu 475 et al., 2012; Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017). All probes were recorded, and the freezing behavior 476 477 was manually scored through Kinoscope (Kokras et al., 2017). This behavioral paradigm took 3 478 days.

Day 1: Animals were individually placed in the conditioning-white box (Context A) and received three pairings between a light (20 s) and a co-terminating shock (1 s, » 0.5 mA). The interval between pairings was 180 s, and the first light presentation started 180 s after the beginning of the trial. After the three pairings, mice remained in the acrylic box for 30 s, being after returned to their home cage. Between animals, the apparatus was properly cleaned with 10% ethanol.

485 Day 2: For the context probe, animals were placed into the same white acrylic chamber 486 (context A), 24h hours after the light-shock pairings. The freezing behavior was monitored for 3 487 min. Two hours later, we introduced the animals into a modified version of the chamber (Context 488 B). This new box was sheeted with a black plasticized cover, sprayed with a vanilla scent. In this 489 way, both contexts had distinct spatial and odor cues. Also in Context B, the ventilation was not 490 operated, and the experimenter wore a different color of gloves and a lab coat. Freezing behavior 491 was measured for 3 min. The freezing behavior state was defined as the total absence of motion, 492 for a minimum of 1 s.

493 <u>Day 3:</u> For the cue probe, the animals were set in Context B, and individually placed in this
494 chamber 24h after the context probe. After 3 min, the light was turned on for 20 s, and the freezing
495 behavior monitored for 1 min after light is turned off.

496

497 Morris water maze (MWM)

In the MWM test, several cognitive domains were assessed: working- and spatialreference memory and behavioral flexibility. Additionally, the strategies used to reach the platform were also analyzed. MWM was performed in a circular white pool (170 cm diameter) filled with water at 22°C to a depth of 31 cm in a room with and dim light and extrinsic clues (triangle, square, cross, and horizontal stripes). The pool was divided into four quadrants by imaginary lines, and a

503 clear-acrylic cylinder platform (12 cm diameter; 30 cm high), placed in one of the quadrants. All504 trials were video recorded by a tracking system (Viewpoint, France).

505

506 Working memory task:

507 The working memory task (Alves et al., 2017; Cerqueira et al., 2007) evaluates the 508 cognitive domain that relies on the interplay between the hippocampal and prefrontal cortex (PFC) 509 functions. In this task, animals had to learn the position of the hidden platform and to retain this 510 information for four consecutive daily trials. The task was performed during 4 days and in a 511 clockwise manner the platform was repositioned in a new quadrant each day. During the daily 512 trials, animals had different starting positions (north, east, west, and south). Trials ended when 513 the platform was reached within the time limit of 120 s. If the animals did not reach the platform 514 during the trial time, they were guided to the platform and allowed to stay for 30 s. The time and path to reach the platform were recorded. 515

516

517 Reference memory task:

After working memory evaluation (days 1-4), spatial-reference memory, a hippocampal dependent-function, was assessed by keeping the platform in the same quadrant during three consecutive days (days 4-6) (Morris, 1984). The time and path to reach the platform were recorded for each trial.

522

523 Reversal learning task:

524 On the last day of MWM testing, reversal-learning performance, a PFC dependent 525 function, was assessed. This was conducted by positioning the platform in a new (opposite) 526 quadrant. Animals were tested in 4 trials. The percentage of time spent in the new and old 527 quadrant containing the platform was used as readout of behavioral flexibility.

528

529 Search strategies analysis:

530 Throughout the Morris water maze, animals were evaluated through the adopted 531 strategies to reach the hidden platform, as previously described (Garthe and Kempermann, 2013; 532 Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017; Ruediger et al., 2012). Quantitative analyses and strategy classification were completed by assessing different parameters collected through the Viewpoint 533 534 software: (1) thigmotaxis (Tt): most of the swim distance (>70%) happened within the outer ring 535 area (8 cm from the pool border; (2) random swim (RS): most of the swim distance (>80%) 536 occurred within the inner circular area, and all quadrants were explored with a percentage of swim 537 distance not below 50% for none of the quadrants; non-circular trajectories; (3) scanning (Sc): 538 most of the swim pattern and distance (>80%) happened within the inner circular area, with 539 balanced exploration in all quadrants of the pool; non-circular trajectories, with a percentage

540 (<60%) of swim distance in the platform corridor area (area centered along the axis that connects 541 the start position and the hidden platform); (4) chaining (Ch): the majority of the swim distance 542 occurred in the inner circular area (>80%), with a balanced exploration of all pool guadrants; swim 543 distance in the platform corridor area <60%, with circular trajectories taking place; (5) directed 544 search (DS): the majority of the swim distance occurred in the inner circular area (>80%); swim 545 distance in the platform corridor area >60%, with shifts in the trajectories directions; (6) focal 546 search (FS): directed trajectories to the platform zone, with swim exploration within the perimeter 547 of the escape platform (30cm); (7) directed swim (DSw): directed trajectories to the hidden 548 platform, without much exploration of the pool. For simplification, we defined two blocks of 549 strategies: Block 1, that comprises the "non-hippocampal dependent strategies" (Tt, RS, and Sc), 550 and Block 2, comprising the defined "hippocampal dependent strategies" (DS, FS, and DSw). 551 These blocks were defined when a sequence of at least three trials within the same block were 552 reached.

553

554 Electrophysiological studies

555 Electrophysiological recordings were obtained from anesthetized mice (sevoflurane 556 2,5%; 800 mL/min). A surgical procedure was performed to insert platinum/iridium concentric 557 electrodes (Science Products) in the target positions following the mouse brain atlas (from 558 Paxinos): prelimbic region of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC): 1.94 mm anterior to bregma, 559 0.4 mm lateral to the midline, 2.5 mm below bregma; dorsal hippocampus (dHIP): 1.94 mm 560 posterior to bregma, 1.2 mm lateral to the midline, 1.35 mm below bregma); ventral hippocampus 561 (vHIP): 3.8 mm posterior to bregma, 3.3 mm lateral to the midline, 3.4 mm below bregma). LFP 562 signals obtained from mPFC, dHIP, and vHIP were amplified, filtered (0.1–300 Hz, LP511 Grass Amplifier, Astro-Med), acquired (Micro 1401 mkII, CED) and recorded through the Signal Software 563 564 (CED). Local field activity was recorded at the sampling rate of 1000 Hz during 100s. After 565 electrophysiological recordings, a biphasic 0.7 mA stimulus was delivered to mark the recording 566 sites. Then, mice were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital, brains removed, immersed 567 in paraformaldehyde (PFA) 4% for 48h and sectioned (50 µm) in the vibratome. Coronal slices 568 containing the mPFC, dHip vHip were stained for Cresyl Violet to check for recording sites. 569 Animals with recording positions outside at least in one of the two regions under study (mPFC 570 and dHip or vHip) were excluded from the analysis. Coherence analysis was based on multi-taper 571 Fourier analysis.

572 Coherence was calculated by custom-written MATLAB scripts, using the MATLAB 573 toolbox Chronux (<u>http://www.chronux.org</u>) (Mitra and Pesaran, 1999). Coherence was calculated 574 for each 1 s long segments and their mean was evaluated for all frequencies from 1 to 90 Hz. The 575 power spectral density (PSD) of each channel was calculated through the 10 × log of the 576 multiplication between the complex Fourier Transform of each 1s long data segment and its

577 complex conjugate. The mean PSD of each channel was evaluated for all frequencies from 1 to 578 90 Hz (Oliveira et al., 2013). Both coherence and PSD measurements were assessed in the 579 following frequencies: delta (1–4 Hz), theta (4–12 Hz), beta (12–20 Hz); low (20–40 Hz) and high 580 gamma (40-90 Hz).

581

582 BrdU labelling

To assess the effect of AP2 γ heterozygous deletion on the proliferation of fast-dividing progenitor cells, and its impact on the generation of adult-born neurons, animals from all groups were injected intraperitoneally with the thymidine analogous 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine or bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU, 50 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich, US) that is incorporated in the DNA during the S-phase. BrdU injections were performed once, at the end of the behavioral assessment, 24 h prior to occision.

589

590 Western Blot analysis

591 Hippocampal DG of juvenile and adult AP $2\gamma^{+/-}$ mice and WT littermates were carefully 592 macrodissected out after occision. The tissue was weighted and homogenized in RIPA buffer 593 [containing 50mM Tris HCI, 2 mM EDTA, 250 mM NaCI, 10 % glycerol, 1 mM PMSF protease 594 inhibitors (Roche, Switzerland)] and then sonicated (Sonics & Materials, US) for 2 min. Samples 595 were centrifuged for 25 min at 10.000 rpm and 4°C. The protein concentration of the supernatant was determined using Bradford assay. Samples with equal amounts of protein, 30 µg, were 596 597 analyzed using the following primary antibodies: alpha-tubulin (#5168; Sigma, mouse, 1:5000), 598 AP2γ (#31288; goat, 1:500; Abcam, UK), Pax6 (#2237; rabbit, 1:1000; Millipore, US), Sox2 599 (#7935: mouse, 1:500: Abcam, UK) and Tbr2 (#2283: rabbit, 1:500: Millipore, US), Secondary 600 antibodies were used from BioRad (Anti-mouse, 1:10.000; #1706516; Anti-rabbit, 1:10.000; 601 #1706515, US) and Santa-Cruz Biotechnologies (Anti-goat, 1:7500; #A2216, US). Membranes 602 were developed using SuperSignal west Femto reagent (#34096; ThermoFisher, US) and 603 developed in Sapphire Biomolecular Imager from Azure Biosystems (US). After developing, 604 images were quantified using AzureSpot analysis software (Azure Biosystems, US).

605

606 Immunostaining procedures

All mice were deeply anesthetized and then transcardially perfused with cold 0.9% NaCl, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Brains were carefully removed from the skull, postfixed in 4% PFA, and then cryoprotected in 30% sucrose solution. The brains were coronally processed at the vibratome (Leica VT 1000S, Germany) with a thickness of 50 mm, extending over the entire length of the hippocampal formation. Coronal sections containing the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) were further stained to assess cell proliferation and the population of neuroblasts. For that 613 purpose, brain sections were double stained for BrdU (#6326; rat, 1:100; Abcam, UK) and 614 doublecortin (DCX; #18723; rabbit, 1:100; Abcam, UK). Appropriate secondary fluorescent 615 antibodies were used (Alexa Fluor 488 Goat Anti-rat, #32731; 1:1000; Invitrogen, US; and Alexa 616 Fluor 568 Goat Anti-rabbit, #11011; 1:1000; Invitrogen, US). For Cell nuclei labeling, 4',6-617 diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 1:200; Sigma Aldrich) was used. The density of each cell 618 population in the DG was determined by normalizing positive cells with the corresponding area. 619 Analysis and cell counting were performed using a confocal microscope (Olympus FluoViewTM 620 FV1000, Hamburg, Germany) and an optical microscope (Olympus BX51). The observer was 621 blind to the experimental condition of each subject. Data are reported as the number of cells per 622 100 μm².

623

624 **3D morphological analysis**

625 To evaluate the 3D dendritic morphology of pre-existing granule neurons in the DG we 626 performed impregnation with Golgi-Cox technique in brain sections from juvenile and adult mice. 627 Briefly, brains were immersed in Golgi-Cox solution for 14 days and then transferred to 30% 628 sucrose. Coronal sections (200 µm) were cut on a vibratome (Leica VT100S, Germany), collected 629 and then blotted dry onto gelatine-coated microscope slides. Sections containing the dorsal 630 hippocampus were then alkalinized in 18.8% ammonia, developed in Dektol (Kodak, US), fixed in Kodak Rapid Fix, dehydrated and xylene cleared. Dendritic arborization was analyzed in the 631 DG of WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ animals (10 neurons *per* animal). 632

633

634 Data analysis and statistics

635 Statistical analysis was performed using Prism v.8 (GraphPad Software, US). Animals 636 were randomly assigned to groups, balanced by genotypes. Sample sizes were determined by 637 power analyses based on previously published studies (Mateus-Pinheiro et al., 2017) and normal 638 distributions were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk statistical test, taking into account the 639 respective histograms and measures of skewness and kurtosis. To variables that followed the 640 Gaussian distribution within groups, parametric tests were applied, while non-parametric tests 641 were used for discrete variables. To compare the mean values for two groups, a two-tailed 642 independent-sample t-test was applied. For comparisons between two time-points a two-way 643 ANOVA was used. For longitudinal analyses (across days and different trials) a repeated 644 measures ANOVA was used.

For the comparison of categorical variables (strength to grab, limb grasping and clasping),
crosstabulations were performed and the statistical test used was Fisher's exact test (when
Pearson Qui-Squared assumptions were not met).

Data is expressed either as mean \pm SEM (standard error of the mean), as median, or as percentage, as stated in the figures' legends. Statistical significance was set when *p* < 0.05.

651 Acknowledgments and funding

652 E.L.C., N.D.A., A.M.P., P.P., C.S.C., J.S., T.S.R., B.M.P., J.F.O., and L.P. received 653 fellowships from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) (IF/00328/2015 to J.F.O.; 2020.02855.CEECIND to LP). This work was funded by FCT (IF/01079/2014, 654 PTDC/MED-NEU/31417/2017 Grant to JFO), BIAL Foundation Grants (037/18 to J.F.O. and 655 656 427/14 to L.P.) and Nature Research Award for Driving Global Impact - 2019 Brain Sciences (to 657 L.P.). This was also co-funded by the Life and Health Sciences Research Institute (ICVS), and by 658 FEDER, through the Competitiveness Internationalization Operational Program (POCI), and by 659 National funds, through the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) - project UIDB/50026/2020 and UIDP/50026/2020. Moreover, this work has been funded by ICVS 660 661 Scientific Microscopy Platform, member of the national infrastructure PPBI - Portuguese Platform of Bioimaging (PPBI-POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022122; by National funds, through the Foundation 662 663 for Science and Technology (FCT) - project UIDB/50026/2020 and UIDP/50026/2020.

664

665 Author contributions

666 E.L.C. and N.D.A. maintained the AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ colony, genotyping and conducted all 667 behavioral tests, molecular and immunohistological analyses. E.L.C. and N.D.A. also completed all the analyses and interpreted the results. P.P. assisted in the occision of the animals and 668 669 performed the macrodissection of all analyzed brain areas. C.S.C. and J.S assisted in the western 670 blots. A.M.P. helped with cognitive assessment and analyses. E.L.C., N.D.A., C.S.C., and V.M.S. 671 collected and analyzed the electrophysiology results. T.S.R. assisted colony maintenance and genotyping. B.M.P conducted and helped with cognitive assessment and analysis. J.F.O. 672 673 interpreted the electrophysiological data. E.L.C., N.D.A., and L.P. designed the study, planned the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. E.L.C., N.D.A., A.J.R., J.F.O., N.S. and L.P. edited 674 the manuscript. 675

676

677 Competing interests

678

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- 679
- 680

681 References

- Adhikari A, Topiwala MA, Gordon JA. 2010. Synchronized Activity between the Ventral
 Hippocampus and the Medial Prefrontal Cortex during Anxiety. *Neuron* 65:257–269.
 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.12.002
- Alves ND, Correia JS, Patrício P, Mateus-Pinheiro A, Machado-Santos AR, Loureiro-Campos E,
 Morais M, Bessa JM, Sousa N, Pinto L. 2017. Adult hippocampal neuroplasticity triggers
 susceptibility to recurrent depression. *Transl Psychiatry* 7:e1058–e1058.
 doi:10.1038/tp.2017.29
- Anacker C, Hen R. 2017. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive flexibility linking
 memory and mood. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18:335–346. doi:10.1038/nrn.2017.45
- Antunes C, Da Silva JD, Guerra-Gomes S, Alves ND, Ferreira F, Loureiro-Campos E, Branco
 MR, Sousa N, Reik W, Pinto L, Marques CJ. 2020. Tet3 ablation in adult brain neurons
 increases anxiety-like behavior and regulates cognitive function in mice. *Mol Psychiatry*.
 doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0695-7
- Bertrand N, Castro DS, Guillemot F. 2002. Proneural genes and the specification of neural cell
 types. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3:517–530. doi:10.1038/nrn874
- Bessa JM, Ferreira D, Melo I, Marques F, Cerqueira JJ, Palha JA, Almeida OFX, Sousa N. 2009.
 The mood-improving actions of antidepressants do not depend on neurogenesis but are
 associated with neuronal remodeling. *Mol Psychiatry* **14**:764–773.
 doi:10.1038/mp.2008.119
- Boldrini M, Fulmore CA, Tartt AN, Simeon LR, Pavlova I, Poposka V, Rosoklija GB, Stankov A,
 Arango V, Dwork AJ, Hen R, Mann JJ. 2018. Human Hippocampal Neurogenesis Persists
 throughout Aging. *Cell Stem Cell* 22:589-599.e5. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.03.015
- Brill MS, Ninkovic J, Winpenny E, Hodge RD, Ozen I, Yang R, Lepier A, Gascón S, Erdelyi F,
 Szabo G, Parras C, Guillemot F, Frotscher M, Berninger B, Hevner RF, Raineteau O, Götz
 M. 2009. Adult generation of glutamatergic olfactory bulb interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 12:1524–1533. doi:10.1038/nn.2416
- Carreno FR, Donegan JJ, Boley AM, Shah A, DeGuzman M, Frazer A, Lodge DJ. 2016.
 Activation of a ventral hippocampus-medial prefrontal cortex pathway is both necessary
 and sufficient for an antidepressant response to ketamine. *Mol Psychiatry* 21:1298–1308.
 doi:10.1038/mp.2015.176
- Castelhano-Carlos MJ, Sousa N, Ohl F, Baumans V. 2010. Identification methods in newborn
 C57BL/6 mice: a developmental and behavioural evaluation. *Lab Anim* 44:88–103.
 doi:10.1258/la.2009.009044
- Cerqueira JJ, Mailliet F, Almeida OFX, Jay TM, Sousa N. 2007. The Prefrontal Cortex as a Key
 Target of the Maladaptive Response to Stress. *J Neurosci* 27:2781–2787.
 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4372-06.2007

- Christian KM, Song H, Ming G. 2014. Functions and Dysfunctions of Adult Hippocampal
 Neurogenesis. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 37:243–262. doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013 014134
- Colgin LL. 2011. Oscillations and hippocampal-prefrontal synchrony. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 21:467-474. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2011.04.006
- 723 Dennis C V., Suh LS, Rodriguez ML, Kril JJ, Sutherland GT. 2016. Human adult neurogenesis
- across the ages: An immunohistochemical study. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 42:621–
 638. doi:10.1111/nan.12337
- Eckert D, Buhl S, Weber S, Jäger R, Schorle H. 2005. The AP-2 family of transcription factors.
 Genome Biol. doi:10.1186/gb-2005-6-13-246
- Englund C. 2005. Pax6, Tbr2, and Tbr1 Are Expressed Sequentially by Radial Glia, Intermediate
 Progenitor Cells, and Postmitotic Neurons in Developing Neocortex. *J Neurosci* 25:247–
 251. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2899-04.2005
- Fang J, Demic S, Cheng S. 2018. The reduction of adult neurogenesis in depression impairs the
 retrieval of new as well as remote episodic memory. *PLoS One* **13**:e0198406.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0198406
- Fell J, Axmacher N. 2011. The role of phase synchronization in memory processes. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 12:105–118. doi:10.1038/nrn2979
- Galichet C, Guillemot F, Parras CM. 2008. Neurogenin 2 has an essential role in development
 of the dentate gyrus. *Development* 135:2031–2041. doi:10.1242/dev.015115
- Garthe A, Behr J, Kempermann G. 2009. Adult-Generated Hippocampal Neurons Allow the
 Flexible Use of Spatially Precise Learning Strategies. *PLoS One* 4:e5464.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005464
- Garthe A, Kempermann G. 2013. An old test for new neurons: refining the Morris water maze to
 study the functional relevance of adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Front Neurosci* 7.
 doi:10.3389/fnins.2013.00063
- Geneviève D, Sanlaville D, Faivre L, Kottler M-L, Jambou M, Gosset P, Boustani-Samara D,
 Pinto G, Ozilou C, Abeguilé G, Munnich A, Romana S, Raoul O, Cormier-Daire V,
 Vekemans M. 2005. Paternal deletion of the GNAS imprinted locus (including Gnasxl) in
- two girls presenting with severe pre- and post-natal growth retardation and intractable
 feeding difficulties. *Eur J Hum Genet* **13**:1033–1039. doi:10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201448
- Gonçalves JT, Schafer ST, Gage FH. 2016. Adult Neurogenesis in the Hippocampus: From Stem
 Cells to Behavior. *Cell* 167:897–914. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.021
- Götz M, Stoykova A, Gruss P. 1998. Pax6 Controls Radial Glia Differentiation in the Cerebral
 Cortex. *Neuron* 21:1031–1044. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80621-2
- Gu Y, Arruda-Carvalho M, Wang J, Janoschka SR, Josselyn SA, Frankland PW, Ge S. 2012.
 Optical controlling reveals time-dependent roles for adult-born dentate granule cells. *Nat*

755	Neurosci. doi:10.1038/nn.3260
756	Guerra-Gomes S, Cunha-Garcia D, Marques Nascimento DS, Duarte-Silva S, Loureiro-Campos
757	E, Morais Sardinha V, Viana JF, Sousa N, Maciel P, Pinto L, Oliveira JF. 2020. IP3R2 null
758	mice display a normal acquisition of somatic and neurological development milestones.
759	<i>Eur J Neurosci</i> . doi:10.1111/ejn.14724
760	Hack MA, Saghatelyan A, de Chevigny A, Pfeifer A, Ashery-Padan R, Lledo P-M, Götz M. 2005.
761	Neuronal fate determinants of adult olfactory bulb neurogenesis. Nat Neurosci 8:865-872.
762	doi:10.1038/nn1479
763	Hamilton DA, Brigman JL. 2015. Behavioral flexibility in rats and mice: Contributions of distinct
764	frontocortical regions. Genes, Brain Behav. doi:10.1111/gbb.12191
765	Hevner RF. 2019. Intermediate progenitors and Tbr2 in cortical development. J Anat 235:616-
766	625. doi:10.1111/joa.12939
767	Hevner RF, Hodge RD, Daza RAM, Englund C. 2006. Transcription factors in glutamatergic
768	neurogenesis: Conserved programs in neocortex, cerebellum, and adult hippocampus.
769	Neurosci Res 55:223–233. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2006.03.004
770	Heyser CJ. 2003. Assessment of Developmental Milestones in Rodents. Curr Protoc Neurosci
771	25 . doi:10.1002/0471142301.ns0818s25
772	Hilger-Eversheim K, Moser M, Schorle H, Buettner R. 2000. Regulatory roles of AP-2
773	transcription factors in vertebrate development, apoptosis and cell-cycle control. Gene
774	260 :1–12. doi:10.1016/S0378-1119(00)00454-6
775	Hill AS, Sahay A, Hen R. 2015. Increasing Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis is Sufficient to
776	Reduce Anxiety and Depression-Like Behaviors. Neuropsychopharmacology 40:2368-
777	2378. doi:10.1038/npp.2015.85
778	Hill JM, Lim MA, Stone MM. 2008. Developmental Milestones in the Newborn Mouse. pp. 131-
779	149. doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-099-1_10
780	Hochgerner H, Zeisel A, Lönnerberg P, Linnarsson S. 2018. Conserved properties of dentate
781	gyrus neurogenesis across postnatal development revealed by single-cell RNA
782	sequencing. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 21 :290–299. doi:10.1038/s41593-017-0056-2
783	Hodge RD, Nelson BR, Kahoud RJ, Yang R, Mussar KE, Reiner SL, Hevner RF. 2012. Tbr2 Is
784	Essential for Hippocampal Lineage Progression from Neural Stem Cells to Intermediate
785	Progenitors and Neurons. J Neurosci 32:6275–6287. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0532-
786	12.2012
787	Hoei-Hansen CE, Nielsen JE, Almstrup K, Sonne SB, Graem N, Skakkebaek NE, Leffers H,
788	Rajpert-De Meyts E. 2004. Transcription factor AP-2y is a developmentally regulated
789	marker of testicular carcinoma in situ and germ cell tumors. Clin Cancer Res 10:8521-
790	8530. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1285
791	Hsieh J. 2012. Orchestrating transcriptional control of adult neurogenesis. Genes Dev 26:1010-
	25

792 1021. doi:10.1101/gad.187336.112

- Jessberger S, Clark RE, Broadbent NJ, Clemenson GD, Consiglio A, Lie DC, Squire LR, Gage
 FH. 2009. Dentate gyrus-specific knockdown of adult neurogenesis impairs spatial and
 object recognition memory in adult rats. *Learn Mem* 16:147–154. doi:10.1101/lm.1172609
- Kase Y, Kase Y, Shimazaki T, Okano H. 2020. Current understanding of adult neurogenesis in
 the mammalian brain: How does adult neurogenesis decrease with age? *Inflamm Regen*.

798 doi:10.1186/s41232-020-00122-x

- Katsimpardi L, Lledo PM. 2018. Regulation of neurogenesis in the adult and aging brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* doi:10.1016/j.conb.2018.07.006
- Kempermann G, Gage FH, Aigner L, Song H, Curtis MA, Thuret S, Kuhn HG, Jessberger S,
 Frankland PW, Cameron HA, Gould E, Hen R, Abrous DN, Toni N, Schinder AF, Zhao X,
 Lucassen PJ, Frisén J. 2018. Human Adult Neurogenesis: Evidence and Remaining
 Questions. *Cell Stem Cell* 23:25–30. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.04.004
- Kempermann G, Jessberger S, Steiner B, Kronenberg G. 2004. Milestones of neuronal
 development in the adult hippocampus. *Trends Neurosci* 27:447–452.
 doi:10.1016/j.tins.2004.05.013
- Kokras N, Baltas D, Theocharis F, Dalla C. 2017. Kinoscope: An Open-Source Computer
 Program for Behavioral Pharmacologists. *Front Behav Neurosci* 11:1–7.
 doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2017.00088
- Leger M, Quiedeville A, Bouet V, Haelewyn B, Boulouard M, Schumann-Bard P, Freret T. 2013.
 Object recognition test in mice. *Nat Protoc.* doi:10.1038/nprot.2013.155
- Li M, Wang Y, Yu Y, Nishizawa M, Nakajima T, Ito S, Kannan P. 2002. The human transcription
 factor activation protein-2 gamma (AP-2γ): gene structure, promoter, and expression in
 mammary carcinoma cell lines. *Gene* **301**:43–51. doi:10.1016/S0378-1119(02)01057-0
- Lim JH, Booker AB, Luo T, Williams T, Furuta Y, Lagutin O, Oliver G, Sargent TD, Fallon JR.
 2005. AP-2α selectively regulates fragile X mental retardation-1 gene transcription during
 embryonic development. *Hum Mol Genet* 14:2027–2034. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddi207

Mateus-Pinheiro A, Alves ND, Patrício P, Machado-Santos AR, Loureiro-Campos E, Silva JM,
Sardinha VM, Reis J, Schorle H, Oliveira JF, Ninkovic J, Sousa N, Pinto L. 2017. AP2γ
controls adult hippocampal neurogenesis and modulates cognitive, but not anxiety or
depressive-like behavior. *Mol Psychiatry* 22:1725–1734. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.169

- Mateus-Pinheiro A, Alves ND, Sousa N, Pinto L. 2018. AP2γ: A New Player on Adult
 Hippocampal Neurogenesis Regulation. *J Exp Neurosci* 12:1–4.
 doi:10.1177/1179069518766897
- Mateus-Pinheiro A, Patrício P, Bessa JM, Sousa N, Pinto L. 2013a. Cell genesis and dendritic
 plasticity: a neuroplastic pas de deux in the onset and remission from depression. *Mol Psychiatry* 18:748–750. doi:10.1038/mp.2013.56

Mateus-Pinheiro A, Pinto L, Bessa JM, Morais M, Alves ND, Monteiro S, Patrício P, Almeida
 OFX, Sousa N. 2013b. Sustained remission from depressive-like behavior depends on
 hippocampal neurogenesis. *Transl Psychiatry* 3:e210–e210. doi:10.1038/tp.2012.141

Mitra PP, Pesaran B. 1999. Analysis of Dynamic Brain Imaging Data. *Biophys J* 76:691–708.
 doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(99)77236-X

- Moreno-Jiménez EP, Flor-García M, Terreros-Roncal J, Rábano A, Cafini F, Pallas-Bazarra N,
 Ávila J, Llorens-Martín M. 2019. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is abundant in
 neurologically healthy subjects and drops sharply in patients with Alzheimer's disease.
 Nat Med 25:554–560. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0375-9
- Morris R. 1984. Developments of a water-maze procedure for studying spatial learning in the rat.
 J Neurosci Methods 11:47–60. doi:10.1016/0165-0270(84)90007-4

Moser M, Pscherer A, Roth C, Becker J, Mucher G, Zerres K, Dixkens C, Weis J, Guay-Woodford
L, Buettner R, Fassler R. 1997. Enhanced apoptotic cell death of renal epithelial cells in
mice lacking transcription factor AP-2beta. *Genes Dev* 11:1938–1948.
doi:10.1101/gad.11.15.1938

- Nacher J, Varea E, Blasco-Ibañez JM, Castillo-Gomez E, Crespo C, Martinez-Guijarro FJ,
 McEwen BS. 2005. Expression of the transcription factor Pax6 in the adult rat dentate
 gyrus. *J Neurosci Res* 81:753–761. doi:10.1002/jnr.20596
- Ødegaard E, Staff AC, Kærn J, Flørenes VA, Kopolovic J, Tropé CG, Abeler VM, Reich R,
 Davidson B. 2006. The AP-2γ transcription factor is upregulated in advanced-stage
 ovarian carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol* 100:462–468. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2005.09.022

Oliveira JF, Dias NS, Correia M, Gama-Pereira F, Sardinha VM, Lima A, Oliveira AF, Jacinto LR,
 Ferreira DS, Silva AM, Reis JS, Cerqueira JJ, Sousa N. 2013. Chronic stress disrupts
 neural coherence between cortico-limbic structures. *Front Neural Circuits* 7.
 doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00010

- Pinto L, Drechsel D, Schmid M-T, Ninkovic J, Irmler M, Brill MS, Restani L, Gianfranceschi L,
 Cerri C, Weber SN, Tarabykin V, Baer K, Guillemot F, Beckers J, Zecevic N, Dehay C,
 Caleo M, Schorle H, Götz M. 2009. AP2γ regulates basal progenitor fate in a region- and
 layer-specific manner in the developing cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 12:1229–1237.
 doi:10.1038/nn.2399
- Porsolt RD, Bertin A, Jalfre M. 1977. Behavioral despair in mice: a primary screening test for
 antidepressants. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* 229:327–36.
- Revest J-M, Dupret D, Koehl M, Funk-Reiter C, Grosjean N, Piazza P-V, Abrous DN. 2009. Adult
 hippocampal neurogenesis is involved in anxiety-related behaviors. *Mol Psychiatry* 14:959–967. doi:10.1038/mp.2009.15
- Roybon L, Hjalt T, Stott S, Guillemot F, Li J-Y, Brundin P. 2009. Neurogenin2 Directs Granule
 Neuroblast Production and Amplification while NeuroD1 Specifies Neuronal Fate during

866 Hippocampal Neurogenesis. *PLoS One* **4**:e4779. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004779

- Ruediger S, Spirig D, Donato F, Caroni P. 2012. Goal-oriented searching mediated by ventral
 hippocampus early in trial-and-error learning. *Nat Neurosci* 15:1563–1571.
 doi:10.1038/nn.3224
- Sahay A, Hen R. 2007. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in depression. *Nat Neurosci* 10:1110–
 1115. doi:10.1038/nn1969
- Santos M, Silva-Fernandes A, Oliveira P, Sousa N, Maciel P. 2007. Evidence for abnormal early
 development in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Genes, Brain Behav* 6:277–286.
 doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2006.00258.x
- Sardinha VM, Guerra-Gomes S, Caetano I, Tavares G, Martins M, Reis JS, Correia JS, TeixeiraCastro A, Pinto L, Sousa N, Oliveira JF. 2017. Astrocytic signaling supports hippocampalprefrontal theta synchronization and cognitive function. *Glia* 65:1944–1960.
 doi:10.1002/glia.23205
- Schorle H, Meier P, Buchert M, Jaenisch R, Mitchell PJ. 1996. Transcription factor AP-2 essential
 for cranial closure and craniofacial development. *Nature* 381:235–238.
 doi:10.1038/381235a0
- Thewes V, Orso F, Jäger R, Eckert D, Schäfer S, Kirfel G, Garbe S, Taverna D, Schorle H. 2010.
 Interference with Activator Protein-2 transcription factors leads to induction of apoptosis
 and an increase in chemo- and radiation-sensitivity in breast cancer cells. *BMC Cancer*10:192. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-10-192
- Tobin MK, Musaraca K, Disouky A, Shetti A, Bheri A, Honer WG, Kim N, Dawe RJ, Bennett DA,
 Arfanakis K, Lazarov O. 2019. Human Hippocampal Neurogenesis Persists in Aged Adults
 and Alzheimer's Disease Patients. *Cell Stem Cell* 24:974-982.e3.
 doi:10.1016/j.stem.2019.05.003
- Toda T, Parylak SL, Linker SB, Gage FH. 2019. The role of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in
 brain health and disease. *Mol Psychiatry* 24:67–87. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0036-2
- Tsai Cheng Yu, Tsai Ching Yen, Arnold SJ, Huang GJ. 2015. Ablation of hippocampal
 neurogenesis in mice impairs the response to stress during the dark cycle. *Nat Commun*6:1–7. doi:10.1038/ncomms9373
- Veerasammy S, Van Steenwinckel J, Le Charpentier T, Seo JH, Fleiss B, Gressens P, Levison
 SW. 2020. Perinatal IL-1β-induced inflammation suppresses Tbr2+ intermediate
 progenitor cell proliferation in the developing hippocampus accompanied by long-term
 behavioral deficits. *Brain, Behav Immun Heal* **7**:100106. doi:10.1016/j.bbih.2020.100106
- Waclaw RR, Allen ZJ, Bell SM, Erdélyi F, Szabó G, Potter SS, Campbell K. 2006. The Zinc
 Finger Transcription Factor Sp8 Regulates the Generation and Diversity of Olfactory Bulb
 Interneurons. *Neuron* 49:503–516. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.01.018
- 902 Walf AA, Frye CA. 2007. The use of the elevated plus maze as an assay of anxiety-related

903 behavior in rodents. *Nat Protoc* **2**:322–328. doi:10.1038/nprot.2007.44

- 904 Yalcin I, Belzung C, Surget A. 2008. Mouse strain differences in the unpredictable chronic mild
- 905 stress: a four-antidepressant survey. *Behav Brain Res* **193**:140–143.
- 906 doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2008.04.021
- 907
- 908

909 **Table:**

- 910 Table 1: Results from the milestones protocol tests included in the assessment of early postnatal
- 911 neurodevelopment. Sample size: $n_{WT} = 9$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 9$. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; $AP2\gamma^{+/-}$, $AP2\gamma$
- 912 heterozygous mice; PND: Postnatal day
- 913

Mile	estone test	WT Day (median)	ΑΡ2γ * ^{/-} Day (median)	Statistical test, significance Mann-Whitney test	typical range
Rooting	g (S. Figure 2B)	7	7	<i>U</i> = 31.50, <i>p</i> = 0.43	PND 1 – PND 15
Ear twite	ch (S. Figure 2C)	8	9	U = 20.50, p = 0.23	PND 6 – PND 14
Auditory st	artle (S. Figure 2D)	7.5	7	<i>U</i> = 29, <i>p</i> = 0.90	PND 7 – PND 16
Open fie	ld (S. Figure 2E)	8	8	<i>U</i> = 27.5, <i>p</i> = 0.73	PND 6 – PND15
Walking	g (S. Figure 2F)	10	9	<i>U</i> = 33, <i>p</i> = 0.78	PND 7 – PND 14
Surface rigi	hting (S. Figure 2G)	6	7	<i>U</i> = 37, <i>p</i> = 0.12	PND1 – PND10
Negative geo	otaxis (S. Figure 2H)	8	9	U = 25, p = 0.17	PND 3 – PND 15
Cliff avers	sion (S. Figure 2I)	7.5	6	<i>U</i> = 18; <i>p</i> = 0.26	PND 1 – PND 14
Postural re	eflex (S. Figure 2J)	9.5	8	<i>U</i> = 23, <i>p</i> = 0.24	PND 5 – PND 21
Air righti	ng (S. Figure 2K)	12	11	<i>U</i> = 29, <i>p</i> = 0.32	PND7 – PND16
Wire suspe	nsion (S. Figure 2L)	13	11	U = 21.5, p=0.10	PND 5 – PND 21
Graspin	g (S. Figure 2M)	16.5	16	U = 25.5, p=0.32	PND 13 - PND17
Eye-open	ing (S. Figure 2P)	12	11	<i>U</i> = 7.5, <i>p</i> < 0.01	PND 7 - 17
		WT Mean + SFM	AP2γ ^{+/-} Mean + SEM	Statistical test,	significance
	Trial 1	6 89 s + 0 44	6 89 s + 1 32		
Homing (S.	Trial 2	6 33 s + 1 12	6.89 s + 1.12	- E (1 10) = 0.06	n = 0.80
Figure 2N)		5.00 3 ± 1.12	5 79 c ± 1 57	-	, p = 0.00
	i riai s	J.ZZS±1.3/	0.70 S ± 1.07		
Weight gain pattern (S. Figure 20)		8.99 g ± 0.53	9.22 g ± 0.16	$F_{(1,16)} = 0.32,$, <i>p</i> = 0.58





Figure 1: Constitutive and heterozygous AP2*y* deficiency reduces postnatal hippocampal neurogenesis both at juvenile and adult periods. (A) Experimental timeline. (B) Transcriptional network of hippocampal neurogenesis under modulatory role of AP2*y*. Western-blot analysis of AP2*y*, Sox2, Pax6, and Tbr2 in juvenile (C) and adult (D) dentate gyrus (DG) protein extracts. (E) Hippocampal DG coronal section immunostained for bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (green), doublecortin (DCX) (in red), and DAPI (in blue). BrdU/DCX double-positive cells are indicated by white arrows and solely BrdU-positive cell is identified with a yellow arrow. (F-I) Cell counts of BrdU-positive and BrdU/DCX double-positive cells in the hippocampal DG of juvenile and adult mice. (J and K) Dendritic length of three-dimensional (3D) neuronal reconstructed hippocampal granular neurons in juvenile (J) and adult (K) mice. Data presented as mean SEM. Sample size: Western-blot analysis: nwT juvenile = 4; nAP2*y*^{+/-} juvenile = 4; nAP2*y*^{+/-} adult = 4; nAP2*y*^{+/-} adult = 5; 3D neuronal reconstruction: nwT juvenile = 4; nAP2*y*^{+/-} juvenile = 4; nwT adult = 4; nAP2*y*^{+/-} adult = 5. [Student's t-test; ****p*<0.001, ** *p*< 0.01; * *p*< 0.05; Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Scale bars represent 50 m. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2*y*^{+/-}, AP2*y* heterozygous knockout mice; O.D., optical density.



Figure 2: AP2 γ deficiency increased anxiety-like behavior and promotes cognitive deficits in juvenile mice. (A) Timeline of behavioral assessment. Anxiety-like behavior was assessed through the open-field test (OF) (B), and depressive- and anhedonic-like behavior by the tail-suspension (TST) (C) and the sucrose splash (SST) (D) test. (E) To evaluate cognition, juvenile mice were subjected to the object recognition test, in particular to the novel object location (F) and the novel object recognition (G) tasks. Data presented as mean SEM. Sample size: OF: $n_{WT} = 13$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 11$; TST: $n_{WT} = 16$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 13$; ST: $n_{WT} = 15$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 10$; ORT: $n_{WT} = 11$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 8$. [Student's t-test; * p < 0.05; Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice.



Figure 3: Behavioral assessment of adult mice. (A) Experimental timeline. (B and C) Anxiety-like behavior was assessed through the open-field test (OF) (B) and the elevated plus-maze (EPM) (C), while depressive-like behavior was evaluated through the forced-swimming test (FST) (D) and the tail-suspension (TST) (E) test. Object recognition test (ORT) (F and G) and (H-K) contextual fear conditioning (CFC) were performed to assess cognitive performance. Data presented as mean ± SEM. Sample size: OF, EPM and FST: n_{WT} = 12; n_{AP2y} ^{+/-} = 14; TST: n_{WT} = 6; n_{AP2y} ^{+/-} = 6; ORT: n_{WT} = 12; n_{AP2y} ^{+/-} = 9; CFC: n_{WT} = 7; n_{AP2y} ^{+/-} = 6. [Student's t-test; ** *p*< 0.01; * *p*< 0.05; Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2y^{+/-}, AP2y heterozygous knockout mice.

Water Maze Tasks



Figure 4: Cognitive performance of adult mice in the Morris water maze test. (A and B) Spatial reference memory was assessed as the average escape latency to find a hidden and fixed platform in each test day. (C) In the testing day, animals were subjected to a reversal-learning task to test behavioral flexibility. (D) Schematic representation of typical strategies to find the platform during spatial memory evaluation grouped according to its dependence of the hippocampus (Block 1: Non-hippocampal dependent strategies; Block 2: Hippocampal dependent strategies). Average of each strategy used for WT (E) and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ (F) mice, by trial number. The prevalence of each block along with trials, the distribution of strategies-block boundaries, and overall block length are shown for (G) WT and (F) AP2 $^{+/-}$ mice. Data presented as mean SEM. $n_{WT} = 10$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-}} = 10$. [Repeated measures ANOVA and Student's t-test; ***p< 0.001; Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice.

Coherence between dHip and mPFC



Figure 5: AP2 γ deficiency induces deficits in spectral coherence between the dorsal hippocampus (dHip) and the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), impacting neuronal activity. (A) Identification of the local field potential (LFP) recording sites, with a depiction of the electrode positions (upper panel), and representative Cresyl violet-stained sections, with arrows indicating electrolytic lesions at the recording sites (lower panel). (B) Spectral coherence between the dHip and mPFC (left panel). Group comparison of the coherence values for each frequency (right panel). (C) Power spectral density (PSD) was measured in the dHip (C) and mPFC (D). Heatmaps of PSD activity (upper panel) and group comparison for each frequency (lower panel). Each horizontal line in the Y-axis of the presented spectrograms represents an individual mouse. Frequency bands range: delta (1-4Hz), theta (4-12 Hz), beta (12-20 Hz), low gamma (20-40 Hz), and High gamma (40-90 Hz). Data presented as mean SEM. $n_{WT} = 6$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-}} = 5$. [Student's t-test; **p*< 0.05; Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice.

Supplementary Table 1: Statistical summary of results

Experiment	Figure(s)	Statistical details
Experiment Western blot protein quantifications		AP2γ quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆₌ 2.90, <i>p</i> < 0.01
		Sox2 quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆ = 1.02, <i>p</i> = 0.35
	Figure 1C	Pax6 quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆ = 2.60, <i>p</i> < 0.01
		Tbr2 quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆ = 3.87, <i>p</i> < 0.01
Western blot protein quantifications		$n_{WT \text{ juvenile}} = 4; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+-}$ juvenile = 4
		AP2γ quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₀= 5.19, <i>p</i> < 0.001
		Sox2 quantification:
	Figure 1D	Student's t-test, t_6 = 1.02, p = 0.35
		Pax6 quantification:
	-	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆ = 6.62, <i>p</i> < 0.01
		Tbr2 quantification:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₆ = 3.87, <i>p</i> < 0.01
		$n_{WT adult} = 4; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+-} adult = 4$
		BrdU ⁺ cells:
	Figure 1F	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₁₀ = 2.47, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		$n_{WT \ juvenile} = 6; \ n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} \ juvenile} = 6;$
		BrdU ⁺ DCX ⁺ cells:
-	Figure 1G	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₁₀ = 2.58, p< 0.05
	J	$n_{WT \text{ juvenile}} = 6; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} \text{ juvenile} = 6;$
		BrdU ⁺ cells:
	Figure 1H	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₈ = 2.67, p< 0.05
		$n_{WT adult} = 5; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} adult = 5$
		BrdU⁺DCX⁺cells:
-	Figure 1I	Student's t-test, ⁺ : <i>t</i> ₈ = 3.90, p< 0.01
		$n_{WT adult} = 5; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} adult = 5$
Cell proliferation		BrdU⁺ cells:
		Two-way ANOVA, <i>F</i> _(1,18) = 147.8, p<0.001
- Cell proliferation		Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test:
	Supplementary Figure 1A	Juvenile wT vs Adult wT: p<0.001
		Juvenile $_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} v_s Adult _{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}: p<0.001$
		NWT juvenile = 6; NWT juvenile = 5
		$n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ juvenile = 6; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ adult = 5
-		BrdU⁺DCX⁺cells:
		Two-way ANOVA, <i>F</i> _(1,18) = 186.5, p<0.001
	Supplementary Figure 1B	Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test:
		Juvenile wt vs Adult wt: p<0.001
		Juvenile $_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ vs Adult $_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$: p<0.001
		n _{WT juvenile} = 6; n _{WT juvenile} = 5
		$n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} juvenile} = 6; n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} adult} = 5$

		Dendritic length:
	Figure 1J	Student's t-test, t_6 = 0.65, p = 0.52
	-	$n_{WT \ juvenile} = 4; \ n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ juvenile = 4
		Dendritic length:
	Figure 1K	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₇ = 0.27, <i>p</i> = 0.79
		$n_{WT adult} = 4; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+-} adult = 5$
		Dendritic length:
		Two-way ANOVA, F _(1,108) = 4.68, <i>p</i> < 0.05
	Supplementary Figure 1C	Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test:
		Juvenile wt vs Adult wt: p<0.05
		Juvenile $_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} v_s$ Adult $_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$: p<0.05
		$n_{WT \ juvenile} = 4; \ n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ juvenile = 4
		$n_{WT adult} = 4; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} adult = 5$
		Neuronal arborization:
3D neuronal		<u>Genotype's comparison (WT vs AP2y*/-):</u>
reconstruction		Juvenile phase:
		Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ =
		1.20, <i>p</i> = 0.28
		Adulthood:
		Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,84)}$ =
	Supplementary Figure 1D	1.12, <i>p</i> = 0.29
		<u>Timepoints comparison (juvenile vs</u>
		<u>adulthood):</u>
		Two-way ANOVA, F _(3,156) = 4.273, <i>p</i> <
		0.001
		Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test:
		Juvenile wt vs Adult wt: p<0.001
		Juvenile $_{AP2\gamma}$ vs Adult $_{AP2\gamma}$ ··· p<0.001
		n_{WT} juvenile = 4; n_{WT} adult juvenile = 5
		$n_{AP2\gamma}^{+,-}$ juvenile = 4; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+,-}$ adult = 5
		Distance in center:
SST (juvenile) OF (juvenile) SST (juvenile)	Figure 2B and	Student's t-test, $t_{20} = 2.15$, $p < 0.05$
	Supplementary Figure 3A	
		Student's t-test, $t_{22} = 0.16$, $p = 0.87$
		NWT juvenile = 13, NAP27 ^{+/-} juvenile = 11
TOT	Figure 00	Immobility time:
(iuvonilo)	Figure 2C	Student's t-test, $t_{27}^{-} = 0.23$, $p = 0.62$
(Juvernie)		TIWT juvenile = 15, ΠΑΡ2γ · juvenile = 10
SST	Firmer OD	Grooming time:
(juvenile)	Figure 2D	Student's t-test, $t_{23} = 0.05$, $p = 0.96$
		Π_{WT} juvenile = 4, $\Pi_{AP2\gamma}$ juvenile = 4
	ORT Figure 2F and G (juvenile)	Object location exploration:
ORT		Student s t-test, t_{15} = 0.24, p =0.81
(juvenile)		
		Summer is t-test, t_{15} = 2.55, p <0.05
		$\Pi WT juvenile = \Pi I; \Pi AP2\gamma'' juvenile = 8$
~-	Figure 3B; Supplementary	Distance in center:
OF	Figure 4A	Student's t-test, t_{18} = 2.10, p = 0.05

(auuit)		Average velocity:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₂₄ =0.49, <i>p</i> = 0.63
		$n_{WT} = 12; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 14$
		Open arms time:
EPM	Figure 3C	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₁₈ = 3.10, <i>p</i> < 0.01
(adult)	-	$n_{WT} = 12; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 14$
		Immobility time:
FST	Figure 3D	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₂₄ = 0.61, <i>p</i> = 0.55
(adult)	-	$n_{WT} = 12; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 14$
		Immobility time:
TST	Figure 3E	Student's t-test. t_{10} = 0.64. p = 0.54
(adult)	5	$n_{WT} = 6; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-}$ juvenile = 6
		Object location exploration:
		Student's t-test, t_{23} = 1.80, p = 0.08
ORT	Figure 3F and G	Object recognition exploration:
(adult)		Student's t-test; t_{13} = 0.27, p= 0.79
		$n_{WT iuvenile} = 12; n_{AP2v}^{+/-} iuvenile} = 9$
		Context probe A:
		Student's t-test. t_{10} = 2.60. p < 0.05
		Context probe B:
CFC	Figure 3I – K	Student's t-test. t_{11} = 0.75. p = 0.75
(adult)		Cue Probe:
(adult) FST (adult) TST (adult) ORT (adult) CFC (adult) MWM (adult) (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC		Student's t-test, t_{11} = 1.26, p= 0.24
		$n_{WT juvenile} = 7; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} juvenile} = 6$
		Spatial Reference memory task:
		Repeated measures ANOVA, F _(1.72) =
		1 7 (7)
		1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.25
		1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.25
MWM	Figure 4B and 4C;	1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.25 Behavior flexibility:
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, <i>p</i> = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₁₈ = 6.79, <i>p</i> <0.001
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task:
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, F _(1.72) =
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta:
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_{9} = 2.61, p < 0.05 Theta:
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_{9} = 2.61, p < 0.05 Theta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05 Theta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05 Beta:
MWM (adult)	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C Figure 5B	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_{9} = 2.61, p < 0.05 Theta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05 Beta: Student's t-test, t_{9} = 2.62, p < 0.05 Low gamma:
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05 Theta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05 Beta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.62, p < 0.05 Low gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.30, p < 0.05
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, $p = 0.25$ Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, $t_{18} = 6.79$, $p < 0.001$ Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)} = 0.85$, $p = 0.36$ Delta: Student's t-test, $t_{9} = 2.61$, $p < 0.05$ Theta: Student's t-test, 2.34 , $p < 0.05$ Beta: Student's t-test, $t_{9} = 2.62$, $p < 0.05$ Low gamma: Student's t-test, $t_{9} = 2.30$, $p < 0.05$ High gamma:
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05 Delta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05 Beta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.62, p < 0.05 Low gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.30, p < 0.05 High gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.50, p < 0.05
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05 Delta: Student's t-test, 2.34, p < 0.05 Beta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.62, p < 0.05 Low gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.30, p < 0.05 High gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.50, p < 0.05 n_{WT} = 6; n_{AP27} ^{+/-} = 5
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C	1.35, p = 0.25 Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, t_{18} = 6.79, p <0.001 Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}$ = 0.85, p = 0.36 Delta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.61, p < 0.05 Deta: Student's t-test, 2.34 , p < 0.05 Beta: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.62, p < 0.05 Low gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.30, p < 0.05 High gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.50, p < 0.05 high gamma: Student's t-test, t_9 = 2.50, p < 0.05 high gamma:
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C Figure 5B	1.35, $p=0.25$ Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, $t_{18}=6.79$, $p < 0.001$ Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}=$ 0.85, $p=0.36$ Delta: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.61$, $p < 0.05$ Delta: Student's t-test, 2.34 , $p < 0.05$ Beta: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.62$, $p < 0.05$ Low gamma: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.30$, $p < 0.05$ High gamma: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.50$, $p < 0.05$ $n_{WT} = 6$; n_{AP27} ^{+/-} = 5 Delta: Student's t-test, $t_9=0.64$, $p=0.54$
MWM (adult) Spectral coherence dHip-mPFC PSD values dHIP	Figure 4B and 4C; Supplementary figure 4C Figure 5B	1.35, $p=0.25$ Behavior flexibility: Student's t-test, $t_{18}=6.79$, $p < 0.001$ Working memory task: Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{(1,72)}=$ 0.85, $p=0.36$ Delta: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.61$, $p < 0.05$ Theta: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.62$, $p < 0.05$ Beta: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.62$, $p < 0.05$ Low gamma: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.30$, $p < 0.05$ High gamma: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.30$, $p < 0.05$ High gamma: Student's t-test, $t_9=2.50$, $p < 0.05$ $n_{WT} = 6$; n_{AP27} ^{+/-} = 5 Delta: Student's t-test, $t_9=0.64$, $p=0.54$ Theta:

		Beta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 2.15, <i>p</i> = 0.06
		Low gamma:
		Student's t-test, : <i>t</i> ₉ = 1.66, <i>p</i> = 0.13
		High gamma:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 0.55, <i>p</i> = 0.60
		$n_{WT} = 6; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 5$
		Delta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 3.17, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		Theta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 2.40, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		Beta:
PSD values mPFC	Figure 5D	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 2.71, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		Low gamma:
		Student's t-test, : <i>t</i> ₉ = 2.55, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		High gamma:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 2.29, <i>p</i> < 0.05
		$n_{WT} = 6; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 5$
		Delta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 1.52, <i>p</i> = 0.17
Spectral coherence vHIP-mPFC	Supplementary figure 5B	Theta:
		Student's t-test, t_9 = 0.86, p = 0.42
		Beta:
		Student's t-test, t_9 = 0.39, p = 0.70
		Low gamma:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 0.64, <i>p</i> = 0.54
		High gamma:
		Student's t-test, t_9 = 0.95, p = 0.37
		$n_{WT} = 5; n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 5$
		Delta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 1.74, <i>p</i> = 0.12
		Theta:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 1.22, <i>p</i> = 0.26
		Beta:
PSD values	Supplementary figure 5C	Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₉ = 0.12, <i>p</i> = 0.92
vHIP		Low gamma:
		Student's t-test, t_9 = 0.19, p = 0.86
		High gamma:
		Student's t-test, <i>t</i> ₀= 0.31, <i>p</i> = 0.23
		$n_{MT} = 5$; n_{AD2} , $+/-$ = 5



Distance from soma (µm)

Supplementary figure 1: Comparative analysis of neural stem cells (NSC) proliferation and maturation in the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) at juvenile and adult periods. Cell counts of BrdU positive (A) and BrdU/DCX double-positive (B) cells. Dendritic length (C) and complexity (D) of hippocampal granular neurons in juvenile and adult mice. Data presented as mean SEM. Sample size: Immunostainings assays: nwT juvenile = 6; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} juvenile} = 6$; $n_{WT adult} = 5$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} adult} = 5$; 3D neuronal reconstruction: $n_{WT juvenile} = 4$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} juvenile} = 4$; $n_{WT adult} = 4$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-} adult} = 5$. [Two-way ANOVA and Repeated measures ANOVA, Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1] ***p<0.001, ** p< 0.01; * p< 0.05. Abbreviations: WT, wild-

type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice.

Neurobiological Reflexes



Supplementary figure 2: AP2 γ constitutive and heterozygous deficiency does not impact on early postnatal development. (A) Timeline of early development assessment. (B-N) Set of established protocols to analyze the acquisition of mature responses, in neurobiological reflexes related to tactile (B and C) and auditory reflexes (D), motor function (E and F), vestibular system formation (G-K), strength (L and M), and olfactory maturation (N). Somatic parameters were also assessed. (O) Bodyweight gain from postnatal day (PND) 1 to PND 21 of WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice. (P) Eye-opening day. For the weight gain pattern, data presented as mean SEM; for the remaining tests, data was plotted as median IQR. Sample Size: $n_{WT} = 9$; $n_{AP2}^{+/-} = 9$. [Mann-Whitney and Repeated measures ANOVA, ** *p*< 0.01, Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice; IQR, Interquartile range.



Supplementary figure 3: AP2 γ deficiency does not impact on motor function in juvenile mice. (A) Average velocity assessed through the open field (OF) test in juvenile mice. Data presented as mean SEM. Sample Size: OF: $n_{WT} = 13$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-}} = 11$. [Student's t-test, Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; $AP2\gamma^{+/-}$, $AP2\gamma$ heterozygous knockout mice.



Supplementary figure 4: Deficiency in AP2 γ transcription factor levels does not impact motor function, nor specific modalities of cognitive behavior. (A) Average velocity assessed through the open field (OF) test in adult mice. (B) Working memory task evaluated in the Morris water maze (MWM) test. Data presented as mean SEM. Sample size: OF: $n_{WT} = 12$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 14$; MWM: $n_{WT} = 10$; $n_{AP2\gamma}^{+/-} = 10$. [Student's t-test and Repeated measures ANOVA, Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice.

Coherence between vHip and mPFC



Supplementary figure 5: AP2 γ deficiency does not impact neither on spectral coherence between the ventral hippocampus (vHip) and the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), nor the neuronal activity in each region. (A) Local field potentials (LFP) recording sites, with a depiction of the electrode positions (upper panel), and representative Cresyl violet-stained section (lower panel). (B) Spectral coherence (left panel) and group comparison for each frequency (right panel), between the vHip and mPFC of adult WT and AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$ mice. (C) Power spectral density (PSD) (upper panel), and group comparison of the PSD values in the vHip for each frequency (lower panel). In spectrograms, each horizontal line in the Y-axis represents an individual mouse. Frequency bands range: delta (1-4Hz), theta (4-12 Hz), beta (12-20 Hz), low gamma (20-40 Hz), and High gamma (40-90 Hz). Data presented as mean SEM. $n_{WT} = 5$; $n_{AP2\gamma^{+/-}} = 5$. [Student's t-test, Statistical summary in Supplementary table 1]. Abbreviations: WT, wild-type; AP2 $\gamma^{+/-}$, AP2 γ heterozygous knockout mice; vHip, ventral hippocampus; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex.