CrossMark

ERRATUM

Erratum to: Constructing a validity argument for the Objective Structured Assessment of Technical Skills (OSATS): a systematic review of validity evidence

Rose Hatala¹ · David A. Cook^{2,3} · Ryan Brydges⁴ · Richard Hawkins⁵

Published online: 15 September 2015

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Erratum to: Adv in Health Sci Educ DOI 10.1007/s10459-015-9593-1

Table 1 is incorrect and should be the original OSATS global rating scale included here.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s10459-015-9593-1.

Medical Education Programs, American Medical Association, Chicago, IL, USA



 [⊠] Rose Hatala rhatala@mac.com

Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Suite 5907, Burrard Bldg, St. Paul's Hospital, 1081 Burrard St, Vancouver, BC V6Z 1Y6, Canada

Mayo Clinic Online Learning and Mayo Clinic Multidisciplinary Simulation Center, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN, USA

Division of General Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Wilson Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

1178 R. Hatala et al.

Original OSATS Global Rating Scale

Respect for tissue	Global Kating Sca	2	3	4	5
·	Frequently used unnecessary force on tissue or caused damage by inappropriate use of instruments		Careful handling of tissue but occasionally caused inadvertent tissue damage	7	Consistently handled tissues appropriately with minimal damage
Time and motion	1 Many unnecessary moves	2	3 Efficient time/motion but some unnecessary moves	4	5 Economy of movement and maximum efficiency
Instrument handling	1 Repeatedly makes tentative or awkward moves with instruments	2	3 Competent use of instruments although occasionally appeared stiff or awkward	4	5 Fluid moves with instruments and no awkwardness
Knowledge of instruments	1 Frequently asked for the wrong instrument or used an inappropriate instrument	2	3 Knew the names of most instruments and used appropriate instrument for the task	4	5 Obviously familiar with the instruments required and their names
Use of assistants	1 Consistently placed assistants poorly or failed to use assistants	2	3 Good use of assistants most of the time	4	5 Strategically used assistant to the best advantage at all times
Flow of operation and forward planning	1 Frequently stopped operating or needed to discuss next move	2	3 Demonstrated ability for forward planning with steady progression of operative procedure	4	5 Obviously planned course of operation with effortless flow from one move to the next
Knowledge of specific procedures	1 Deficient knowledge. Needed specific instruction at most operative steps	2	3 Knew all important aspects of the operation	4	5 Demonstrated familiarity with all aspects of the operation

Reproduced with permission from: Martin, J. A., Regehr, G., Reznick, R., MacRae, H., Murnaghan, J., Hutchison, C., & Brown, M. (1997). Objective structured assessment of technical skill (OSATS) for surgical residents. *British Journal of Surgery*, 84(2), 273–278.

