Regular Article - Theoretical Physics



Construction of inflationary scenarios with the Gauss–Bonnet term and nonminimal coupling

Ekaterina O. Pozdeeva^a, Sergey Yu. Vernov^b

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory 1, 119991 Moscow, Russia

Received: 14 April 2021 / Accepted: 8 July 2021 / Published online: 20 July 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract Inflationary models with a scalar field nonminimally coupled both with the Ricci scalar and with the Gauss–Bonnet term are studied. We propose the way of generalization of inflationary scenarios with the Gauss–Bonnet term and a scalar field minimally coupled with the Ricci scalar to the corresponding scenarios with a scalar field nonminimally coupled with the Ricci scalar. Using the effective potential, we construct a set of models with the same values of the scalar spectral index n_s and the amplitude of the scalar perturbations A_s and different values of the tensor-to-scalar ratio r.

1 Introduction

Cosmic inflation is a stage of an accelerated expansion of the early Universe evolution that provides a simple explanation of both the large scale structure we observe today and the fact that the Universe is approximately isotropic, homogeneous, and spatially flat at cosmological distances [1–10]. Inflationary models yield accurate quantitative predictions for observable quantities known as inflationary parameters. The current observational constraints on the inflationary parameters [11] give the values of the scalar spectral index n_s and the amplitude of scalar perturbations A_s , whereas the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is restricted only from above. These constraints show that the single-field inflationary models are realistic, but the simplest inflationary models with minimally coupled scalar fields should be ruled out.

Scalar fields (inflatons) play a central role in the current description of the evolution of the Universe at an early epoch [4,12–15]. The recent observation data support taking into account quantum properties of the inflaton because quantum corrections to the action of the scalar field minimally coupled to gravity include non-minimally coupling term [16–

19]. An important step towards the unification of physics at all energy scales could be the possibility to describe the inflation using particle physics models. For this reason, inflationary models with scalar fields connected with particle physics are actively investigated. We can mention models with the Standard Model Higgs boson as an inflaton [20–30], supersymmetric inflationary models [8,9,31–40], and inflationary scenarios connected with nonsupersymmetric grand unified theories [5,41–48]. Most of these models include a nonminimal coupling between scalar fields and the Ricci scalar.

The standard way to analyze such inflationary models includes the conformal transformation of the metric to formulate them as equivalent models with minimally coupled scalar fields. It has been shown in Ref. [49,50] that the inflationary parameters can be considered as invariants under this metric transformation with good accuracy. In other words, in the slow-roll approximation, the observable inflationary parameters are the same in both frames. Moreover, the frameindependent classification of single-field inflationary models has been proposed using the expressions of the slow-roll parameters and the relevant observables in terms of frame invariant quantities [51]. F(R) gravity models can be reformulated as the General Relativity models with scalar fields as well. For example, the R^2 -inflation [1,7,52–54] and the Higgs-driven inflation [21] have almost the same values of inflationary parameters [55]. The simple reason why the Starobinsky R^2 inflation and the Higgs-driven inflation produce the same predictions for the inflationary parameters n_s and r is the possibility to omit in the slow-roll approximation the Higgs gradient term in the Jordan frame action [47,56]. Note that each of these models includes only one arbitrary parameter.

In this paper, we consider the gravity model, described by the following action:

$$S = \int d^4x \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2} \left[F(\phi)R - g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\nu}\phi - 2V(\phi) - \xi(\phi)\mathcal{G} \right],$$
(1)

^a e-mail: pozdeeva@www-hep.sinp.msu.ru (corresponding author)

^be-mail: svernov@theory.sinp.msu.ru

where the functions $F(\phi)$, $V(\phi)$, and $\xi(\phi)$ are differentiable ones, *R* is the Ricci scalar and

$$\mathcal{G} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$$

is the Gauss–Bonnet term. We assume that $F(\phi) > 0$ and $V(\phi) > 0$ during inflation.

There are a few reasons to add the Gauss-Bonnet term multiplied to a function of a scalar field in the action. On the one hand, this term arises in the string theory framework as a quantum correction to the Einstein-Hilbert action [57–60]. On the other hand, for inflationary scenarios with a nonminimal coupling between a scalar field and the Ricci term, one usually assumes that after inflation the scalar field tends to a constant and the model tends to the General Relativity one. Considering inflationary models in the framework of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, it is natural to assume that a scalar field non-minimally coupled not only with the Ricci scalar but also with the Gauss-Bonnet term. For example, the Higgs-driven inflation with the Gauss-Bonnet term has been considered in Refs. [61,62]. In such a way, one gets another model with the same behaviour at a late time, but with different evolution during inflation.

There are a lot of inflationary scenarios in Einstein-Gauss–Bonnet gravity [61–86]. The most of them includes a constant function F [63-69,71,73,75-82,86]. Note that for models with a constant F, the problem of reconstructing the function V and ξ from the observational data has been considered in Ref. [69]. The goal of this paper is to generalize such inflationary scenarios to the case of a positive function F. We analyze the possibility to construct a set of inflationary models with the same values of the scalar spectral index n_s and the amplitude of the scalar perturbation A_s starting from the known model with a scalar field minimally coupled with the Ricci scalar. There is an important difference between inflationary models with and without the Gauss-Bonnet term. A conformal transformation of the metric is not a useful tool for the investigation of inflationary models with the Gauss-Bonnet term. For this reason, it is not obvious how the knowledge of a suitable Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity inflationary scenario with minimal coupling can assist in the construction of inflationary scenarios with nonminimal coupling. In our paper, we clarify this question.

We formulate a new method for the construction of appropriate slow-roll inflationary models due to the effective potential method. Such a method allows us to reproduce spectral index, the amplitude of scalar perturbation, and we should only check the tensor-to-scalar ratio for considering models with nonminimal coupling. A standard way of the reconstruction of inflationary models [15,69,80] includes the assumption of an explicit dependence of the inflationary parameter n_s and r as functions of the e-folding number N_e . A broad class of inflationary scenarios with different nonminimal coupling between the Ricci scalar and the inflation

predicts the same functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $r(N_e)$. This fact is actively used to construct inflationary scenario in the cosmological attractor approach [39,47,70,87–98]. The generalization of this approach to models with the Gauss–Bonnet term has been made in [69,70,80]. It has been shown in Ref. [81] that $n_s(N_e)$ can be expressed via the effective potential proposed in Ref. [99] (see also, Ref. [100]) for models with the Gauss-Bonnet term.¹ In this paper, we show that the effective potential is a useful tool that allows us to generalize the known inflationary models with the Gauss–Bonnet term. In distinguish to the cosmological attractor approach, we construct inflationary models with the same functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $A_s(N_e)$, but with different functions $r(N_e)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we remind the main formulae about the slow-roll regime in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity and express the scalar spectral index n_s and the amplitude of the scalar perturbation A_s via the effective potential. In Sect. 3, we show how the effective potential can be used to check the possibility of construction of an inflationary model with the given functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $r(N_e)$. In Sect. 4, we propose the way of generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet inflationary model with a scalar field minimally coupled with the Ricci scalar and construct inflationary models with nonminimal couplings. Section 5 is devoted to our conclusions.

2 Slow-roll regime in Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity with nonminimal coupling

Let us consider the Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity model, described by action (1). In the spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric with

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)\left(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}\right)$$

one obtains the following system of evolution equations [61, 99]:

$$6H^{2} \left(F - 4H\xi_{,\phi} \dot{\phi} \right) = \dot{\phi}^{2} + 2V - 6HF_{,\phi} \dot{\phi},$$
(2)
$$2\dot{H} \left(F - 4H\xi_{,\phi} \dot{\phi} \right) = 4H^{2} \left(\ddot{\xi} - \dot{\phi}^{2} - H\xi_{,\phi} \dot{\phi} \right) - \ddot{F} + HF_{,\phi} \dot{\phi},$$
(3)

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = 3\left(\dot{H} + 2H^2\right)F_{,\phi} - V_{,\phi} - 12\xi_{,\phi}H^2\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right),$$
(4)

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, a(t) is the scale factor, dots denote the derivatives with respect to the cosmic time *t* and $A_{,\phi} \equiv dA/d\phi$ for any function $A(\phi)$.

¹ The effective potential for the model with nonminimally coupled scalar field without the Gauss–Bonnet term has been proposed and used in Refs. [101–103].

In the slow-roll approximation, defined by the following conditions [61]:

$$\dot{\phi}^{2} \ll V, \qquad |\ddot{\phi}| \ll 3H|\dot{\phi}|,$$

$$4|\dot{\xi}|H \ll F, \qquad |\ddot{\xi}| \ll H|\dot{\xi}|,$$

$$|\ddot{F}| \ll H|\dot{F}| \ll H^{2}F,$$
(5)

Eqs. (2)-(4) are:

$$3FH^2 \simeq V, \tag{6}$$

$$2F\dot{H} \simeq -\dot{\phi}^2 - 4H^3\xi_{,\phi}\dot{\phi} + HF_{,\phi}\dot{\phi},\tag{7}$$

$$\dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{V_{,\phi} + 12\xi_{,\phi}H^4 - 6H^2F_{,\phi}}{3H}.$$
 (8)

To describe the Universe evolution during inflation we use the dimensionless parameter $N_e = -\ln(a/a_e)$ as a new measure of time. The constant a_e is fixed by the condition that the end of inflation happens at $N_e = 0$. The function H(t) is always finite and positive during inflation, hence, N_e is a monotonically decreasing function. The parameter N_e has been used in Refs. [15,80]. Note that in many papers [14,61,63,77,81] the parameter $N = -N_e$ is used as a new independent variable for evolution equations.²

From Eqs. (6)–(8), we get the following leading-order equations:

$$\ln(H)' = 2W_{,\phi} V_{eff,\phi} , \qquad (9)$$

$$\phi' = 4W V_{eff,\phi} \,, \tag{10}$$

where derivatives with respect to N_e are denoted by primes, $W \equiv V/F$ and the effective potential [81,99,100]:

$$V_{eff}(\phi) = \frac{1}{3}\xi(\phi) - \frac{F^2(\phi)}{4V(\phi)}.$$
(11)

The slow-roll approximation (5) requires

$$|\epsilon_i| \ll 1, \quad |\delta_i| \ll 1, \quad |\zeta_i| \ll 1,$$

where the slow-roll parameters are as follows [61]:

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{(H^2)'}{2H^2} \simeq \frac{W'}{2W}, \quad \epsilon_{i+1} = -\frac{\epsilon'_i}{\epsilon_i}, \quad i \ge 1, \tag{12}$$

$$\zeta_1 = -\frac{F'}{F}, \quad \zeta_{i+1} = -\frac{\zeta'_i}{\zeta_i}, \quad i \ge 1,$$
 (13)

$$\delta_1 = -\frac{4H^2\xi'}{F} \simeq -\frac{4V\xi'}{3F^2}, \quad \delta_{i+1} = -\frac{\delta'_i}{\delta_i}, \ i \ge 1.$$
 (14)

It is easy to get:

$$\epsilon_2 = 2\epsilon_1 - \frac{W''}{W'}, \ \zeta_2 = -\zeta_1 - \frac{F''}{F'}, \ \delta_2 = -2\epsilon_1 - \zeta_1 - \frac{\xi''}{\xi'}.$$

The tensor-to-scalar ratio r is presented in terms of the slow-roll parameters as follows [61]:

$$r = 8|2\epsilon_1 + \zeta_1 - \delta_1| = 8 \left| \frac{(H^2)'}{H^2} - \frac{F'}{F} + \frac{4H^2\xi'}{F} \right|.$$
 (15)

Using the derivative of the effective potential

$$V'_{eff} = \frac{F^2}{4V} \left(\frac{V'}{V} - 2\frac{F'}{F} + \frac{4V}{3F^2} \xi' \right) = \frac{F^2}{32V} r,$$
 (16)

and Eq. (10), we obtain

$${\phi'}^2 = 4W V'_{eff}.$$
 (17)

From here, we get the following relation between the tensorto-scalar ratio *r* and a square of the field derivative:

$$r = \frac{32W}{F} V'_{eff} = \frac{8}{F} \left(\phi'\right)^2.$$
 (18)

The spectral index of scalar perturbations n_s has the following form:

$$n_{s} = 1 - 2\epsilon_{1} - \zeta_{1} - \frac{2\epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{2} + \zeta_{1}\zeta_{2} - \delta_{1}\delta_{2}}{2\epsilon_{1} + \zeta_{1} - \delta_{1}} = 1 - 2\epsilon_{1} - \zeta_{1} + \frac{r'}{r},$$
(19)

where we simplify the expression for n_s using Eq. (15). Introducing parameters

$$\eta_0 = \frac{H^2}{F} = \frac{V}{3F^2}, \quad \eta_1 = \frac{\eta'_0}{\eta_0} = 2\epsilon_1 + \zeta_1,$$

we present n_s via derivatives of the effective potential:

$$n_s = 1 + \frac{d\ln(r/\eta_0)}{dN} = 1 + \frac{d\ln\left(F^2r/V\right)}{dN} = 1 + \frac{V_{eff}''}{V_{eff}'}.$$
(20)

Note that this formula generalizes the result obtained in the case of a constant *F* in Ref. [81]. During inflation $V'_{eff} = 4W(V_{eff,\phi})^2 > 0$, so the condition $V''_{eff} < 0$ is necessary to get $n_s < 1$.

The expression of the amplitude of the scalar perturbations in terms of the effective potential is as follows:

$$A_s \simeq \frac{2H^2}{\pi^2 Fr} \simeq \frac{2W}{3\pi^2 Fr} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2 V'_{eff}},$$
(21)

where we have used Eq. (16) to get the last equality.

To reconstruct an inflationary model we assume an explicit form of the inflationary parameters as functions of N_e . Formula (20) shows how the knowledge of $n_s(N_e)$ allows to calculate $V'_{eff}(N_e)$ [15,69,80]. The inflationary parameters n_s and A_s are defined by $V'_{eff}(N_e)$ only. So, if we assume a some form of $n_s(N_e)$, then integrating Eq. (20), we get

² The advantage of using the e-folding number N instead of cosmic time t was shown in the context of the stochastic approach to inflation in Refs. [10, 14].

$$V_{eff}'(N_e) = \exp\left(\int_{N_1}^{N_e} (n_s(\tilde{N}) - 1)d\tilde{N}\right),\tag{22}$$

,

where N_1 is an arbitrary constant, that can be fixed after substituting $V'_{eff}(N_e)$ into Eq. (21).

3 Inflationary scenarios with the given functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $r(N_e)$

Let us try to construct models with the Gauss-Bonnet term in which the functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $r(N_e)$ are coincide in the leading order of $1/N_e$ with conformal attractor models [87]:

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N_e + N_0},$$
(23)

$$r = \frac{12C_{\alpha}}{(N_e + N_0)^2},$$
(24)

where $|N_0| \ll 60$ and $C_{\alpha} > 0$ are constants. The Starobinsky R^2 inflation [1] and the Higgs-driven inflation [21] correspond to $C_{\alpha} = 1$.

Substituting (23) into Eq. (20), we obtain:

$$V'_{eff}(N_e) = C_{eff}(N_e + N_0)^{-2} = \frac{C_{eff}}{4}(n_s - 1)^2,$$
 (25)

where $C_{eff} > 0$ is an integration constant. Using Eq. (21), we get

$$A_s = \frac{1}{12\pi^2 C_{eff} (n_s - 1)^2}.$$
(26)

From Eq. (19), it follows that $\eta_1 = 0$ for n_s and r are given by (23) and (24). So, in this case $V = CF^2$, where C is a positive constant. If F is a constant, we obtain from Eq. (16) that the potential V is a constant as well and the slow-roll approximation does not work, because Eq. (8) gives that His a constant and $\epsilon_1 = 0$. For an arbitrary nonconstant $F(\phi)$, we obtain that $\zeta_1 = -2\epsilon_1$ and during inflation $\zeta_1 < -1$. Such inflationary scenarios can be possible but correct calculation of the inflationary parameters should include a numerical integration of the Eqs. (2)–(4) without any approximation. We do not consider such inflationary scenarios and use another way for constructing of inflationary scenarios with a nonconstant function F.

4 Generalization of inflationary models with minimal coupling

4.1 The model with an exponential potential V and a constant function F

The values of the inflationary parameters n_s and r given by (23) and (24) are not suitable. By this reason, we assume that

 n_s described by Eq. (23) only in large N_e approximation and has a more complicated dependence on N_e :

$$n_s = 1 - \frac{2}{N_e + N_0} + \frac{C_2}{(N_e + N_0)^2},$$
(27)

where a constant $|C_2| \ll 60$. The corresponding effective potential has the following form:

$$V_{eff} = C_{eff} \exp\left(-\frac{C_2}{N_e + N_0}\right).$$
(28)

From Eq. (21), we obtain

$$A_s = \frac{(N_e + N_0)^2}{48\pi^2 C_{eff} C_2} \exp\left(\frac{C_2}{N_e + N_0}\right).$$
 (29)

In Ref. [80], inflationary models with the Gauss-Bonnet term and a constant *F* have been constructed by using explicit functions $n_s(N_e)$ and $r(N_e)$ given by (27) and (24) correspondingly. In this model, the function $F = M_{Pl}^2$, the potential

$$\tilde{V} = V_0 \exp\left(-\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_{\alpha}}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right),\tag{30}$$

and

$$\tilde{\xi} = \xi_0 \exp\left(\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_{\alpha}}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right),\tag{31}$$

where $V_0 > 0$, $C_{\alpha} > 0$, ω_0 , and ξ_0 are constants. The effective potential is

$$\tilde{V}_{eff} = \frac{4\xi_0 V_0 - 3M_{Pl}^4}{12V_0} \exp\left(\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_\alpha}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right).$$
(32)

Using Eq. (10), we obtain $\phi(N_e)$ in the slow-roll approximation:

$$\phi(N_e) = \frac{\sqrt{6C_\alpha}}{2} M_{Pl} \ln\left(\frac{2\omega_0(3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0)}{9C_\alpha M_{Pl}^4}(N_e + N_0)\right),$$
(33)

where N_0 is an integration constant. We assume that the function $\phi(t)$ decreases during inflation, so $\phi'(N_e) > 0$ and, hence, $\omega_0(3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0) > 0$.

Substituting (33) into expression (32) and comparing with expression (28), we obtain:

$$C_{eff} = -\frac{3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0}{12V_0},$$
(34)

$$C_2 = -\frac{9C_{\alpha}M_{Pl}^4}{2(3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0)} = \frac{3C_{\alpha}M_{Pl}^4}{8V_0C_{eff}}.$$
(35)

Using the slow-roll Eq. (6), we get the parameter

$$\epsilon_1 \simeq \frac{W'}{2W} = -\frac{C_2}{2(N_e + N_0)^2}.$$
 (36)

The conditions that $0 < \epsilon_1 < 1$ during inflation (for $N_e > 0$) and $\epsilon_1 = 1$ at $N_e = 0$ give

$$C_2 = -2N_0^2, \quad C_\alpha = \frac{4N_0^2 \left(3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0\right)}{9M_{Pl}^4}.$$
 (37)

So, we get that $M_{Pl}^4 > 4V_0\xi_0/3$ and $\omega_0 > 0$. The slow-roll parameter

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{2}{N_e + N_0},\tag{38}$$

so $\epsilon_2 < 1$ during inflation if $N_0 \ge 2$. If $8\xi_0 V_0 < 3M_{Pl}^4$, then all slow-roll parameters are less than one during inflation.

Substituting $\phi(N_e)$, given by Eq. (33), into the potential $\tilde{V}(\phi)$ and the function $\tilde{\xi}(\phi)$, we obtain

$$\tilde{V} = V_0 \exp\left(-\frac{2N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right),$$

$$\tilde{\xi} = \xi_0 \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right).$$
(39)

Using Eqs. (27) and (24), we obtain the inflationary parameters

$$n_{s} = 1 - \frac{2}{N_{e} + N_{0}} - \frac{2N_{0}^{2}}{(N_{e} + N_{0})^{2}},$$

$$r = \frac{16N_{0}^{2} \left(3M_{Pl}^{4} - 4V_{0}\xi_{0}\right)}{3M_{Pl}^{4} \left(N_{e} + N_{0}\right)^{2}}.$$
(40)

The observable values of n_s , obtained by the telescope Planck [11]:

$$n_s = 0.965 \pm 0.04,\tag{41}$$

allows us to restrict values of N_0 . Indeed, the parameter N_0 belongs to the following interval:

$$2 \le N_0 \le 0.0199 N_e - 0.510 + 0.0102 \sqrt{195 N_e^2 - 10000 N_e + 2500}.$$

We see in Fig. 1 that for any $55 \le N_e \le 65$ it is possible to find suitable values for N_0 , in particular, the constraint $2 \le N_0 \le 5.06$ corresponds to $N_e = 65$.

The observation condition $A_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ restricts values of the model parameters ξ_0 and V_0 . From Eqs. (29) and (34) we get

$$\xi_0 = \frac{3M_{Pl}^4}{4V_0} - \frac{(N_b + N_0)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2N_0^2}{(N_b + N_0)}\right)}{32\pi^2 A_s N_0^2},$$
 (42)

where N_b is the value of N_e , at which the observable value of A_s is calculated. Other restrictions can be obtained from the condition r < 0.065 (see Ref. [80] where this model with $\omega_0 = 2$ has been considered in detail). Note that in the case of $\xi_0 = 0$, one can get an approximation the inflationary



Fig. 1 The inflationary parameter n_s as a function of N_0 for different numbers of e-foldings during inflation: $N_e = 55$ (blue solid curve), $N_e = 60$ (red dash curve) and $N_e = 65$ (green dash-dot curve)

parameters corresponding to the R^2 inflation [1,5,7] putting $C_{\alpha} = 1$ and, so, $N_0 = \sqrt{3}/2 \approx 0.87$.

4.2 Inflationary scenarios with a nontrivial function F

Let us construct such a model with a nonconstant function $F(\phi)$ that $\phi(N_e)$ and $n_s(N_e)$ are given by Eqs. (33) and (27) correspondingly. To construct a set of inflationary models with the same function $n_s(N_e)$ we put the condition that V'_{eff} does not change. It also guarantees that the parameter A_s , defined by Eq. (21), does not change. To get the same function $\phi(N_e)$ in the slow-roll approximation we add the condition that the function W does not change. In other words, we consider the model with

$$F = M_{Pl}^2 f(\phi),$$

$$V = f(\phi) \tilde{V} = V_0 f(\phi) \exp\left(-\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_{\alpha}}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right),$$

and

$$\xi(\phi) = \left(\xi_0 + \frac{3M_{Pl}^4}{4V_0}(f(\phi) - 1)\right) \times \exp\left(\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_\alpha}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right), \tag{43}$$

where $f(\phi)$ is a double differentiable function.

Note that we do not fix the parameter $r(N_e)$:

$$r(N_e) = \frac{12C_{\alpha}}{f \cdot (N_e + N_0)^2},$$
(44)

where the parameters C_{α} and N_0 are connected by Eq. (37), hence, the observation data [11] gives restrictions on the function f. Other restrictions on this function can be obtained from the condition that the slow-roll approximation should be satisfied during inflation. We do not change $W(N_e)$, so, the parameters ϵ_i do not depend on f, whereas other slow-roll parameters depend on f.

In the following sections, we consider a few interesting examples of the function $F(\phi)$.

4.3 The case of an exponential function F

Let us consider the case

$$f(\phi) = f_0 \exp\left(\beta\omega_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_{\alpha}}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right), \qquad (45)$$

where β is a constant. Using Eq. (33), we get

$$F = M_{Pl}^2 f_0 \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2\beta}{N_e + N_0}\right),$$
(46)

and

$$r = \frac{16N_0^2 \left(3M_{Pl}^4 - 4V_0\xi_0\right)}{3M_{Pl}^4 f_0 (N_e + N_0)^2} \exp\left(-\frac{2N_0^2\beta}{N_e + N_0}\right).$$
 (47)

Also, we obtain

$$V = f_0 V_0 \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2(\beta - 1)}{N_e + N_0}\right),$$
(48)

$$\xi = \frac{\left(3M_{Pl}^4 f_0 \exp\left(\frac{2\beta N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right) - 3M_{Pl}^4 + 4\xi_0 V_0\right)}{4V_0}$$

$$\times \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right).$$
(49)

Let us calculate the slow-roll parameters for this model:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{1} &= \frac{2\beta N_{0}^{2}}{(N_{e} + N_{0})^{2}} = 2\beta\epsilon_{1}, \qquad \zeta_{2} = \frac{2}{N_{e} + N_{0}} = \epsilon_{2}, \\ \delta_{1} &= \frac{2N_{0}^{2}(1+\beta)}{(N_{e} + N_{0})^{2}} - \frac{2N_{0}^{2}(3M_{Pl}^{4} - 4V_{0}\xi_{0})\exp\left(-\frac{2N_{0}^{2}\beta}{N_{e} + N_{0}}\right)}{3M_{Pl}^{4}f_{0}(N_{e} + N_{0})^{2}}. \\ \delta_{2} &= \frac{2}{N_{e} + N_{0}} \\ &+ \frac{2(3M_{Pl}^{4} - 4\xi_{0}V_{0})N_{0}^{2}\beta\exp\left(-\frac{2N_{0}^{2}\beta}{N_{e} + N_{0}}\right)(N_{e} + N_{0})^{-2}}{\left[3M_{Pl}^{4}f_{0}(1+\beta) - (3M_{Pl}^{4} - 4\xi_{0}V_{0})\exp\left(-\frac{2N_{0}^{2}\beta}{N_{e} + N_{0}}\right)\right]}. \end{aligned}$$
(50)

🖄 Springer



Fig. 2 Possible values of parameters J and β are in green domain

The condition $|\beta| \le 1/2$ is necessary to get $|\zeta_1| < 1$ during inflation.

To fix f_0 we put the following condition at the end of inflation:

$$F(\phi(0)) = M_{Pl}^2,$$

therefore,

$$f_0 = \exp\left(-2N_0\beta\right) \,. \tag{51}$$

To get the slow-roll evolution during inflation we restrict the value of the product $\xi_0 V_0$, after this the parameters ξ_0 and V_0 can be obtained using Eq. (42).

At $N_e = 0$, we get

$$\delta_1(0) = \frac{8J}{3} + 2\beta, \qquad \delta_2(0) = \frac{2}{N_0} - \frac{2\beta(4J-3)}{4J+3\beta},$$

where $J \equiv V_0 \xi_0 / M_{Pl}^4$.

Let us consider the case $N_0 = 2$ in detail. We get

$$-\frac{1}{2} \le \frac{4}{3}J + 3\beta \le \frac{1}{2},$$
(52)

$$-2 \le \frac{2\beta(3-4J)}{4J+3\beta} \le 0.$$
 (53)

Also, we have the conditions $|\beta| \le 1/2$. So, it follows from inequalities (52) that $|J| \le 3/4$. Note that J = 3/4 is excluded (see Eq. (32) for the effective potential). In Fig. 2, the green domain corresponds to the values of parameters Jand β that satisfy inequalities (52) and (53). At $\beta = 0$, we get the initial model with a constant F. **Table 1** Model parameters andthe corresponding values of rfor the exponential function F

β	J	V_0/M_{Pl}^4	ξ_0	r
-0.5	0.72	2.3556×10^{-11}	3.0565×10^{10}	0.0001
-0.5	0.5	1.9630×10^{-10}	2.5471×10^{9}	0.0008
-0.3	0.5	1.9630×10^{-10}	2.5471×10^{9}	0.0017
-0.1	0.45	2.3556×10^{-10}	1.9103×10^{9}	0.0045
-0.1	0.2	4.31863×10^{-10}	4.6311×10^{8}	0.0083
0	0.2	4.3186×10^{-10}	4.6311×10^{8}	0.0122
0.1	-0.2	$7.4595 imes 10^{-10}$	-2.6812×10^{8}	0.0311
0.1	-0.4	9.0299×10^{-10}	-4.4297×10^{8}	0.0376
0.2	-0.4	9.0299×10^{-10}	-4.4297×10^{8}	0.0554
0.25	-0.45	9.4225×10^{-10}	-4.7758×10^{8}	0.0701

Substituting the chosen values of the constants into formulae (29) and (47), we obtain

$$A_s = \frac{V_0 (N_b + 2)^2}{32\pi^2 M_{Pl}^4 (3 - 4J)} \exp\left(-\frac{8}{N_b + 2}\right),$$
(54)

$$r = \frac{64(3-4J)}{3(N_b+2)^2} \exp\left(\frac{4\beta N_b}{N_b+2}\right).$$
 (55)

The values of the inflationary parameter r and the corresponding values of V_0 and ξ_0 for $N_b = 60$ are presented in Table 1. For any values of these parameters, $n_s = 928/961 \simeq 0.96566$ and $A_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$. One can see that the parameter r increases with growth of J and all values of r, but one, do not contradict the observation data.

4.4 The coupling function F with a constant term

Let us consider another form of nonminimal couplings that tends to a constant at small values of the field:

$$F = M_{Pl}^2 f(\phi), \qquad f(\phi) = \frac{1 + \tilde{f}(\phi)}{1 + \tilde{f}(\phi_{end})},$$
(56)

where

$$\tilde{f}(N_e) = f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\omega_0}{2} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3C_\alpha}}\frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}}\right)\right),\tag{57}$$

 f_0 is a positive constant and $\phi_{end} = \phi(0)$ is the value of ϕ at the end of inflation.

To construct a model leading to an appropriate inflationary scenario it is convenient to use the e-folding number formulation. Using Eq. (33), we get

$$\tilde{f}(N_e) = f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right)$$
 (58)

With the help of Eqs. (39), (43), and (58), we obtain the functions ξ and *V* in the following form:

$$\xi = \left[\xi_0 + \frac{3M_{Pl}^4}{4V_0} \left(\frac{1 + f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right)}{1 + f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_0}\right)} - 1\right)\right] \times \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right), \tag{59}$$

$$V = \frac{V_0 \left[1 + f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right) \right] \exp\left(\frac{2N_0^2}{(N_e + N_0)}\right)}{1 + f_0 \exp\left(-N_0\right)} \,. \tag{60}$$

For the considering model the slow-roll parameters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are coincide with (38), the slow-roll parameters ζ_1 and ζ_2 have the following form:

$$\zeta_{1} = -\frac{f_{0}N_{0}^{2}\exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)}{(N_{e}+N_{0})^{2}\left(1+f_{0}\exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right)},$$

$$\zeta_{2} = \frac{2}{N_{e}+N_{0}} - \frac{N_{0}^{2}\exp\left(\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)}{(N_{e}+N_{0})^{2}\left(\exp\left(\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)+f_{0}\right)}.$$

We consider $f_0 > 0$, hence, $\zeta_1 < 0$. Also, we see that $-1 < \zeta_1 < 0$ and $0 < \zeta_2 < 1$ during inflation for $N_0 \ge 2$.

To simplify expression of the slow-roll parameters δ_1 and δ_2 we introduce a new constant *K*, namely we do redesignation of the constant V_0 :

$$V_0 = \frac{A_s M_{Pl}^4 \left(24\pi^2 N_0^2 + K\right)}{\left(N_b + N_0\right)^2 \left(1 + f_0 \exp(-N_0)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2N_0^2}{N_b + N_0}\right)}$$

After such supposition, the slow-roll parameters δ_1 and δ_2 can be presented in the following form:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{1} &= \frac{12 \pi^{2} f_{0} N_{0}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right) - K}{12 \pi^{2} (N_{e}+N_{0})^{2} \left(1+f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right)},\\ \delta_{2} &= \frac{2K}{(N_{e}+N_{0}) \left(K-12 \pi^{2} f_{0} N_{0}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right)} \\ &+ \frac{N_{0}^{2} \left(12 \pi^{2} N_{0}^{2}+K-24 \pi^{2} (N_{e}+N_{0})\right) f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)}{\left(1+f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right) (N_{e}+N_{0})^{2} \left[K-12 \pi^{2} f_{0} N_{0}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right]} \\ &- \frac{24 \pi^{2} N_{0}^{2} f_{0}^{2} (N_{e}+N_{0}) \exp\left(-\frac{2N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)}{\left(1+f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right) (N_{e}+N_{0})^{2} \left[K-12 \pi^{2} f_{0} N_{0}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{N_{0}^{2}}{N_{e}+N_{0}}\right)\right]}. \end{split}$$

The slow-roll parameters δ_1 and δ_2 at $N_e = 0$ are as follows:

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \frac{12 \,\pi^2 f_0 \,N_0^2 \exp(-N_0) - K}{12 \,(1 + f_0 \exp(-N_0)) \,N_0^2 \pi^2} \\ \delta_2 &= \frac{2}{N_0} + \frac{f_0 \exp(-N_0) \left(12 \pi^2 N_0^2 + K\right)}{(1 + f_0 \exp(-N_0)) \left[K - 12 \pi^2 f_0 N_0^2 \exp(-N_0)\right]} \end{split}$$

Solving inequalities $|\delta_1| \le 1$ and $|\delta_2| \le 1$ at $N_e = 0$, we get the area of appropriate values of parameters f_0 and Krestricted by the following curvatures:

- 1. the lower line is $K = K_l = -12\pi^2 N_0^2$ (corresponds to
- $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1 \text{ at } N_e = 0)$ 2. the upper line is $\kappa = \kappa_u = \frac{12\pi^2 f_0 N_0^2 \exp(-N_0)(2+f_0(N_0+2)\exp(-N_0))}{2 f_0(N_0+1)\exp(-N_0)+N_0+2}$, (corresponds to $\delta_2 = -1$ at $N_e = 0$)

The tensor-to-scalar ratio r can be presented in the following form:

$$r = \frac{2\left(24\pi^2 N_0^2 + K\right)}{3\pi^2 \left(1 + f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right)\right) (N_e + N_0)^2}.$$

In the case of the lower boundary of area appropriate values of parameters $0 < f_0 < \infty$ and $K = -12\pi^2 N_0^2$, the expressions of the slow-roll parameters can be simplified:

$$\delta_1 = \epsilon_1 = \frac{N_0^2}{(N_e + N_0)^2}, \quad \delta_2 = \epsilon_2 = \frac{2}{N_e + N_0}$$

Also we get a simplification of V_0 :

$$V_0 = \frac{12A_s M_{Pl}^4 \pi^2 N_0^2}{\exp\left(-\frac{2N_0^2}{N_b + N_0}\right) (1 + f_0 \exp\left(-N_0\right)) (N_b + N_0)^2}$$

and the tensor-to-scalar ratio

r

$$= \frac{8 N_0^2}{\left(1 + f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{N_0^2}{N_e + N_0}\right)\right) (N_e + N_0)^2}$$

From the previews analysis, it is evidently that the $N_0 = 2$ is an appropriate value. Here we should note that expressions for parameters δ_1 and δ_2 are coincide with expressions for parameters ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 . At $f_0 = 0$, parameters ζ_1 and ζ_2 disappear because F becomes a constant.

Considering conditions $|\delta_1| \leq 1$ and $|\delta_2| \leq 1$ at $N_e = 0$ and $N_0 = 2$, we get the following inequalities:

$$-1 \le \frac{48 \pi^2 f_0 \exp(-2) - K}{48 (1 + f_0 \exp(-2)) \pi^2} \le 1$$

$$-1 \le \frac{-48 \pi^2 f_0^2 \exp(-4) + 2 K f_0 \exp(-2) + K}{(1 + f_0 \exp(-2)) (-48 \pi^2 f_0 \exp(-2) + K)} \le 1$$

Solving these inequalities, we get the area restricted by the following curvatures:

- 1. the lower line is $K = K_l = -48\pi^2$ (corresponds to $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1$ at $N_e = 0$)
- 2. the upper line is

$$K = K_u = \frac{48 \pi^2 f_0 \exp(-2) \left(2 f_0 \exp(-2) + 1\right)}{3 f_0 \exp(-2) + 2}$$

(corresponds to $\delta_2 = -1$ at $N_e = 0$)

The corresponding area is green colored in Fig. 3.

Numerical analysis of the obtained model with $K = K_l$, $N_0 = 2$, and $N_b = 60$ shows that $n_s = 0.965$ and r < 0.065at any positive f_0 and the slow-roll regime is satisfied during inflation. We present the values of V_0 , ξ_0 , C_{α} , r for $N_0 = 2$,



Fig. 3 The domain of appropriate values of parameters f_0 and K is green colored

 $N_b = 60, A_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$, and at several values of f_0 : $0 \leq f_0 \leq 8$ in Table 2.

To clarity behavior of slow-roll parameters we present graphics of ζ_1 and ζ_2 at $f_0 = 0.1$ and $f_0 = 8$ in Fig. 4.

In the case of $K = K_u$, the expressions of the slow-roll parameters δ_1 and δ_2 for an arbitrary N_0 are rather long and we present only δ_1 at $N_0 = 2$:

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{4f_{0} \left[3f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{2(4+N_{e})}{N_{e}+2}\right) + 2\exp\left(-\frac{4}{N_{e}+2}\right) - 2f_{0} \exp(-4) - \exp(-2)\right]}{(3f_{0} \exp(-2) + 2) \left(1 + f_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{4}{N_{e}+2}\right)\right) (N_{e} + 2)^{2}}$$

To clarity behavior of slow-roll parameters at $K = K_u$ we present graphics of δ_1 and δ_2 at $f_0 = 0.1$, $f_0 = 2$, and $f_0 = 8$ in Fig. 5.

Let us numerically analyze the obtained models with K = K_u . If $N_0 = 2$ and $N_b = 60$, then $n_s = 0.965$ and r < 0.065 at any $f_0 \ge 0$ and the slow-roll regime is satisfied during inflation.

We present the values of V_0 , C_{α} , r at $N_0 = 2$, $N_b = 60$, $A_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-9}$ and at several values of $f_0: 0.001 \le f_0 \le 8$ in Table 3.

In the case of $f_0 = 0$, when the model coincides with the minimal coupling model (see Section 4.1), the slow-roll parameter $\delta_2 = \frac{2}{N_e + N_0}$ does not depend on K. In this case, the restriction to K can be obtained from the consideration of δ_1 . The condition

$$= -\frac{K}{12\pi^2 (N_e + N_0)^2}$$

=

should be satisfied and we get $K = K_{\mu}^{0} = 12\pi^{2} N_{0}^{2}$. So, to satisfy the slow-roll regime we should choose $|K| \leq$ $12\pi^2 N_0^2$. The numeral estimation of model parameters at $f_0 = 0, K = K_u^0, N_0 = 2$, and $N_b = 60$ gives the following values: $V_0/M_{Pl}^4 = 8.8336 \times 10^{-10}, \xi_0 = -4.2452 \times 10^8$, and $C_{\alpha} = 8.0001$. The corresponding value of the tensor-toscalar ratio is r = 0.0250. Obviously, at $f_0 = 0$, the slow-roll parameters ζ_i are disappear due to F' = 0.

In the limit $f_0 \to +\infty$, we obtain $V_0/M_{Pl}^4 \approx 1.963 \times$ $10^{-10}, \xi_0 \approx 2.5471 \times 10^9, C_{\alpha} \approx 1.7778$, and $r \approx 0.0008$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, inflationary scenarios of the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity have been considered. We focus on inflationary models with a scalar field nonminimally coupled both with the Ricci curvature scalar and with the Gauss-Bonnet term. To construct viable inflationary scenarios that do not contradict the observation data we consider the inflationary parameters as functions of e-foldings.

The application of the slow-roll regime to the model allows to present the scalar spectral index $n_s(N_e)$ and the amplitude of the scalar perturbations $A_s(N_e)$ in terms of derivatives of the effective potential. The main idea of the proposed method is the construction of a set of inflationary scenarios with one

the function F with a constant term in the case of $K = K_l$ 0 2.9446 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 1 2.5937 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 2 2.3173 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 3 2.0943 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 4 1.9103 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 5 1.7562 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 2.3078 × 10 ⁹ 1.8966 0.00 4 1.7301 0.00 5 1.7562 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 2.9972 × 10 ⁹ 1.5904 0.00 5 4 1.6251 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 2.9972 × 10 ⁹ 1.5904 0.00 5 5 1.6251 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ 2.9972 × 10 ⁹ 1.4717 0.00	Table 2 Model parameters andthe corresponding values of r for	f_0	V_0/M_{Pl}^4	ξ0	C_{lpha}	r
1 2.5937×10^{-10} 1.6183×10^9 2.3488 0.00 2 2.3173×10^{-10} 1.9631×10^9 2.0986 0.00 3 2.0943×10^{-10} 2.3078×10^9 1.8966 0.00 4 1.9103×10^{-10} 2.6524×10^9 1.7301 0.00 5 1.7562×10^{-10} 2.9972×10^9 1.5904 0.00 6 1.6251×10^{-10} 3.3420×10^9 1.4717 0.00	the function F with a constant term in the case of $K - K$.	0	2.9446×10^{-10}	1.2736×10^{9}	2.6667	0.0083
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	term in the case of $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_l$	1	2.5937×10^{-10}	1.6183×10^{9}	2.3488	0.0044
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2	2.3173×10^{-10}	1.9631×10^{9}	2.0986	0.0028
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3	2.0943×10^{-10}	2.3078×10^{9}	1.8966	0.0022
5 1.7562×10^{-10} 2.9972×10^9 1.5904 0.00 6 1.6251×10^{-10} 3.3420×10^9 1.4717 0.00 7 1.5101×10^{-10} 2.6662×10^9 1.4717 0.00		4	1.9103×10^{-10}	2.6524×10^{9}	1.7301	0.0017
$6 1.6251 \times 10^{-10} 3.3420 \times 10^9 1.4717 0.00$		5	1.7562×10^{-10}	2.9972×10^{9}	1.5904	0.0015
		6	1.6251×10^{-10}	3.3420×10^{9}	1.4717	0.0012
7 1.5121×10^{-10} 3.6868×10^{7} 1.3694 0.00		7	1.5121×10^{-10}	3.6868×10^{9}	1.3694	0.0011
8 1.4138×10^{-10} 4.0313×10^{9} 1.2804 0.00		8	1.4138×10^{-10}	4.0313×10^{9}	1.2804	0.0010





Fig. 4 The parameters ζ_1 and ζ_2 as functions of N_e . The blue lines correspond to $f_0 = 0.1$, the red lines correspond to $f_0 = 8$

and the same effective potential. It allows us to construct new models with suitable values of n_s and A_s . Starting from an inflationary model with the Gauss-Bonnet term and a constant F that does not contradict observation data, one can construct models with a nonconstant function F with the values of parameters n_s and A_s , but with different values of r. In other words, to compare the model predictions with observation data it is sufficient to check the tensor-to-scalar ratio of in the nonminimal coupling model: $\tilde{r}/f(N_b) < 0.065$, where \tilde{r} is the tensor-to-scalar ratio of the known minimally coupled

Fig. 5 The blue lines correspond to $f_0 = 0.1$, the grey lines correspond to $f_0 = 2$, and the red lines correspond to $f_0 = 8$. The parameters δ_1 and δ_2 are presented at $K = K_u$

model [80]. Also, we treat with care to the slow-roll regime during inflation that allows us to restrict the free parameters of the models obtained.

In distinguish to the cosmological attractor approach and the method proposed in Ref. [73], we do not fix $r(N_e)$, but fix $\phi(N_e)$ and $n_s(N_e)$. We also use the known inflationary scenario with a constant function $F = M_{Pl}^2$ propose in Ref. [80] to construct sets of inflationary models with nonconstant functions F that is equal to M_{Pl}^2 at the end of inflation. Table 3 Model parameters and the corresponding values of r for the function F with a constant term in the case of $K = K_u$

f_0	V_0/M_{Pl}^4	ξ0	Cα	r
0.001	5.8887×10^{-10}	1.294×10^{5}	5.3334	0.017
1	5.3727×10^{-10}	1.224×10^{8}	4.8660	0.0091
2	4.9784×10^{-10}	2.329×10^{8}	4.5089	0.0063
3	4.6674×10^{-10}	3.334×10^{8}	4.2272	0.0048
4	4.4148×10^{-10}	4.253×10^{8}	3.9985	0.0040
5	4.2062×10^{-10}	5.096×10^{8}	3.8095	0.0035
6	4.0305×10^{-10}	5.872×10^{8}	3.6505	0.0030
7	3.8802×10^{-10}	6.592×10^{8}	3.5143	0.0030
8	3.7508×10^{-10}	7.261×10^{8}	3.3971	0.0026

Page 11 of 12 633

In this paper, we restrict ourselves to inflationary models for which all slow-roll parameters are small during inflation. At the same time, it would be interesting to consider models with a constant positive potential and with the potential $V = CF^2$ that do not satisfy this restriction. We plan to do this in future using numerical analysis of evolution equations without the slow-roll approximation.

Acknowledgements This work is partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant No. 20-02-00411.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors' comment: This is a theoretical research project.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecomm ons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP³.

References

- 1. A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 91, 99 (1980)
- 2. K. Sato, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 195, 467 (1981)
- 3. A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981)
- 4. A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B 108, 389 (1982)
- 5. A.A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B 117, 175 (1982)
- 6. V.F. Mukhanov, G.V. Chibisov, Sov. Phys. JETP 56, 258 (1982)
- 7. A.A. Starobinsky, Sov. Astron. Lett. 9, 302 (1983)
- 8. J. Ellis, D.V. Nanopoulos, K.A. Olive, K. Tamvakis, Nucl. Phys. B 221, 524 (1983)
- 9. B.A. Ovrut, P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Lett. B 147, 263 (1984)
- 10. A.A. Starobinsky, Lect. Notes Phys. 246, 107 (1986)
- 11. Y. Akrami et al. (Planck Collaboration), A&A 641, A10 (2020). arXiv:1807.06211

- 12. D.S. Salopek, J.R. Bond, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3936 (1990)
- 13. D.H. Lyth, A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. 314, 1 (1999). arXiv:hep-ph/9807278
- 14. F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A.A. Starobinsky, G.P. Vacca, G. Venturi, Phys. Rev. D 79, 044007 (2009). arXiv:0808.1786
- 15. V. Mukhanov, Eur. Phys. J. C 73, 2486 (2013). arXiv:1303.3925
- 16. N.A. Chernikov, E.A. Tagirov, Ann. Poincare Phys. Theor. A 9, 109 (1968)
- 17. E.A. Tagirov, Ann. Phys. 76, 561 (1973)
- 18. C.G. Callan, S.R. Coleman, R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. 59, 42 (1970)
- 19. N.D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982)
- 20 J.L. Cervantes-Cota, H. Dehnen, Nucl. Phys. B 442, 391 (1995). arXiv:astro-ph/9505069
- 21. F.L. Bezrukov, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 659, 703 (2008). arXiv:0710.3755
- 22. A.O. Barvinsky, A.Y. Kamenshchik, A.A. Starobinsky, J. Cosmol. Asropart. Phys. 0811, 021 (2008). arXiv:0809.2104
- 23. F.L. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, M. Shaposhnikov, S. Sibiryakov, J. High Energy Phys. 1101, 016 (2011). arXiv:1008.5157
- 24. F.L. Bezrukov, Class. Quantum Gravity 30, 214001 (2013). arXiv:1307.0708
- 25. A. De Simone, M.P. Hertzberg, F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B 678, 1 (2009). arXiv:0812.4946
- 26. F.L. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B 675, 88 (2009). arXiv:0812.4950
- 27. A.O. Barvinsky, AYu. Kamenshchik, C. Kiefer, A.A. Starobinsky, C.F. Steinwachs, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 2219 (2012). arXiv:0910.1041
- 28. R.N. Greenwood, D.I. Kaiser, E.I. Sfakianakis, Phys. Rev. D 87, 064021 (2013). arXiv:1210.8190
- 29. R.N. Lerner, J. McDonald, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1004, 015 (2010). arXiv:0912.5463
- 30. J. Ren, Z.-Z. Xianyu, H.-J. He, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1406, 032 (2014). arXiv:1404.4627
- 31. G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 387, 471 (1996). arXiv:hep-ph/9605445
- 32. K. Enqvist, A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rept. 380, 99 (2003). arXiv:hep-ph/0209244
- M. Einhorn, D.R.T. Jones, J. High Energy Phys. 03, 026 (2010). 33. arXiv:0912.2718
- 34. S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, A. Marrani, A. Van Proeyen, Phys. Rev. D 82, 045003 (2010). arXiv:1004.0712
- 35. L. Alvarez-Gaume, C. Gomez, R. Jimenez, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1103, 027 (2011). arXiv:1101.4948
- 36. S.V. Ketov, A.A. Starobinsky, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1208, 022 (2012). arXiv:1203.0805
- 37. C. Pallis, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1404, 024 (2014). arXiv:1312.3623

- M.N. Dubinin, EYu. Petrova, E.O. Pozdeeva, M.V. Sumin, SYu. Vernov, J. High Energy Phys. 1712, 036 (2017). arXiv:1705.09624
- M. Dubinin, EYu. Petrova, E. Pozdeeva, S.Yu. Vernov, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 15, 1840001 (2018). arXiv:1712.03072
- 40. S.V. Ketov, Universe 7, 115 (2021)
- A.O. Barvinsky, A.Yu. Kamenshchik, Phys. Lett. B 332, 270 (1994). arXiv:gr-qc/9404062
- 42. J.L. Cervantes-Cota, H. Dehnen, Phys. Rev. D 51, 395 (1995). arXiv:astro-ph/9412032
- S. Dimopoulos, G.R. Dvali, R. Rattazzi, Phys. Lett. B 410, 119 (1997). arXiv:hep-ph/9705348
- M.B. Einhorn, D.R.T. Jones, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1211, 049 (2012). arXiv:1207.1710
- S. Mukaigawa, T. Muta, S.D. Odintsov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 13, 2739 (1998). arXiv:hep-ph/9709299
- E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, E.O. Pozdeeva, S.Yu. Vernov, Phys. Rev. D 90, 084001 (2014). arXiv:1408.1285
- E. Elizalde, S.D. Odintsov, E.O. Pozdeeva, S.Yu. Vernov, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **1602**, 025 (2016). arXiv:1509.08817
- E.O. Pozdeeva, S.Yu. Vernov, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 14, 386 (2017). arXiv:1604.02272
- 49. D.I. Kaiser, Phys. Lett. B 340, 23 (1994). arXiv:astro-ph/9405029
- 50. D.I. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. D 52, 4295 (1995). arXiv:astro-ph/9408044
- L. Järv, K. Kannike, L. Marzola, A. Racioppi, M. Raidal, M. Rünkla, M. Saal, H. Veermäe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 151302 (2017). arXiv:1612.06863
- 52. A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 32, 2511 (1985)
- 53. M.B. Mijic, M.S. Morris, W.M. Suen, Phys. Rev. D 34, 2934 (1986)
- 54. K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D 37, 858 (1988)
- 55. F.L. Bezrukov, D.S. Gorbunov, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 365 (2012). arXiv:1111.4397
- M. He, A.A. Starobinsky, J. Yokoyama, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1805, 064 (2018). arXiv:1804.00409
- C. Cartier, J.C. Hwang, E.J. Copeland, Phys. Rev. D 64, 103504 (2001). arXiv:astro-ph/0106197
- J.C. Hwang, H. Noh, Phys. Rev. D 71, 063536 (2005). arXiv:gr-qc/0412126
- M. Sami, A. Toporensky, P.V. Tretjakov, S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Lett. B 619, 193 (2005). arXiv:hep-th/0504154
- S. Tsujikawa, M. Sami, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 0701, 006 (2007). arXiv:hep-th/0608178
- C. van de Bruck, C. Longden, Phys. Rev. D 93, 063519 (2016). arXiv:1512.04768
- J. Mathew, S. Shankaranarayanan, Astropart. Phys. 84, 1 (2016). arXiv:1602.00411
- 63. Z.K. Guo, D.J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 80, 063523 (2009). arXiv:0907.0427
- Z.K. Guo, D.J. Schwarz, Phys. Rev. D 81, 123520 (2010). arXiv:1001.1897
- P.X. Jiang, J.W. Hu, Z.K. Guo, Phys. Rev. D 88, 123508 (2013). arXiv:1310.5579
- S. Koh, B.H. Lee, W. Lee, G. Tumurtushaa, Phys. Rev. D 90, 063527 (2014). arXiv:1404.6096
- S. Koh, B.H. Lee, G. Tumurtushaa, Phys. Rev. D 98, 103511 (2018). arXiv:1807.04424
- G. Hikmawan, J. Soda, A. Suroso, F.P. Zen, Phys. Rev. D 93, 068301 (2016). arXiv:1512.00222
- S. Koh, B.H. Lee, G. Tumurtushaa, Phys. Rev. D 95, 123509 (2017). arXiv:1610.04360
- 70. K. Nozari, N. Rashidi, Phys. Rev. D 95, 123518 (2017)
- Z. Yi, Y. Gong, M. Sabir, Phys. Rev. D 98, 083521 (2018). arXiv:1804.09116

- 72. S. Chakraborty, T. Paul, S. SenGupta, Phys. Rev. D 98, 083539 (2018). arXiv:1804.03004
- S. Odintsov, V. Oikonomou, Phys. Rev. D 98, 044039 (2018). arXiv:1808.05045
- 74. I.V. Fomin, S.V. Chervon, Phys. Rev. D 100, 023511 (2019). arXiv:1903.03974
- K. Kleidis, V. Oikonomou, Nucl. Phys. B 948, 114765 (2019). arXiv:1909.05318
- N. Rashidi, K. Nozari, Astrophys. J. 890, 58 (2020). arXiv:2001.07012
- S. Odintsov, V. Oikonomou, F. Fronimos, Nucl. Phys. B 958, 115135 (2020). arXiv:2003.13724
- S. Odintsov, V. Oikonomou, Phys. Lett. B 805, 135437 (2020). arXiv:2004.00479
- 79. I. Fomin, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 1145 (2020). arXiv:2004.08065
- 80. E.O. Pozdeeva, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 612 (2020). arXiv:2005.10133
- E.O. Pozdeeva, M.R. Gangopadhyay, M. Sami, A.V. Toporensky, S.Yu. Vernov, Phys. Rev. D 102, 043525 (2020). arXiv:2006.08027
- 82. T.J. Gao, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 1013 (2020). arXiv:2008.03976
- V.K. Oikonomou, F.P. Fronimos, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 135, 917 (2020). arXiv:2011.03828
- S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, F.P. Fronimos, Nucl. Phys. B 963, 115299 (2021). arXiv:2101.00660
- S.A. Venikoudis, F.P. Fronimos, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 308 (2021). arXiv:2103.01875
- 86. E.O. Pozdeeva, Universe 7, 181 (2021). arXiv:2105.02772
- R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1307, 002 (2013). arXiv:1306.5220
- Roest, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1401, 007 (2014). arXiv:1309.1285
- M. Galante, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Roest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 011303 (2014). arXiv:1310.3950
- 90. R. Kallosh, Phys. Rev. D 89, 087703 (2014). arXiv:1402.3286
- R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Roest, J. High Energy Phys. 1409, 062 (2014). arXiv:1407.4471
- P. Binetruy, E. Kiritsis, J. Mabillard, M. Pieroni, C. Rosset, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 1504, 033 (2015). arXiv:1407.0820
- M. Galante, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, D. Roest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 141302 (2015). arXiv:1412.3797
- J.J.M. Carrasco, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. High Energy Phys. 1510, 147 (2015). arXiv:1506.01708
- M. Rinaldi, L. Vanzo, S. Zerbini, G. Venturi, Phys. Rev. D 93, 024040 (2016). arXiv:1505.03386
- M. Pieroni, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 02, 012 (2016). arXiv:1510.03691
- 97. S.D. Odintsov, V.K. Oikonomou, Phys. Lett. B 807, 135576 (2020). arXiv:2005.12804
- J.G. Rodrigues, S. Santos da Costa, J.S. Alcaniz, Phys. Lett. B 815, 136156 (2021). arXiv:2007.10763
- E.O. Pozdeeva, M. Sami, A.V. Toporensky, S.Yu. Vernov, Phys. Rev. D 100, 083527 (2019). arXiv:1905.05085
- 100. S. Vernov, E. Pozdeeva, Universe 7, 149 (2021). arXiv:2104.11111
- 101. M.A. Skugoreva, A.V. Toporensky, S.Yu. Vernov, Phys. Rev. D 90, 064044 (2014). arXiv:1404.6226
- E.O. Pozdeeva, M.A. Skugoreva, A.V. Toporensky, S.Yu. Vernov, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **1612**, 006 (2016). arXiv:1608.08214
- 103. L. Järv, A. Toporensky, (2021). arXiv:2104.10183