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## Construction of Uniquely Decodable Codes for the Two-User Binary Adder Channel

Rudolf Ahlswede and Vladimir B. Balakirsky, Member, IEEE


#### Abstract

A construction of uniquely decodable codes for the two-user binary adder channel is presented. The rates of the codes obtained by this construction are greater than the rates guaranteed by the Coebergh van den Braak and van Tilborg construction and these codes can be used with simple encoding and decoding procedures.


Index Terms-Adder channel, coding, decoding, multiple-access channel.

## I. INTRODUCTION

We address the problem of constructing uniquely decodable codes for the two-user binary adder channel. Suppose that two independent users transmit binary codewords of the same length over the channel and the receiver gets a vector obtained by component-wise arithmetic sum of these codewords. The decoder has to decide which codeword was transmitted by each user with the error probability zero.
Systematic investigations of multiple-access channels were initiated by the papers [1], [2] where the achievable rate region for memoryless multiple-access channels under the criterion of arbitrarily small average decoding error probability was found. The boundary of this region for the two-user binary adder channel is defined by the equations

$$
R_{1}=1 \quad R_{2}=1 \quad R_{1}+R_{2}=1.5
$$

where $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the code rates of the users. These equations also give an outer bound on the code rates that can be realized under the criterion of the decoding error probability zero, i.e., the rates of the pair of codes that form a uniquely decodable code for the adder channel. The best known lower bound on these rates was proved by Kasami, Lin, Wei, and Yamamura [3] (this bound will be referred to as the KLWY lower bound). The first constructions of specific codes for this channel were obtained by Weldon [4]. Further results in this direction were established by Khachatrian [5], Coebergh van den Braak and van Tilborg [6], and other authors. Probably, the code construction discovered in [6] gives the best known pairs ( $R_{1}, R_{2}$ ) such that there exist uniquely decodable codes with these rates. This construction will be referred to as the CT-construction.
We will construct two binary codes, $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, of length $t n$, where $t$ and $n$ are fixed integers, in such a way that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a uniquely decodable code for the two-user binary adder channel. Each codeword will be represented as a sequence of binary $n$-tuples having length $t$; these $n$-tuples will be regarded as subblocks. The main point of our considerations is that we do not only prove the statement of an existence type concerning uniquely decodable codes, but build specific codes for fixed $t$ and $n$ in a regular way. The rates of these codes are

[^0]located above the KLWY lower bound and these codes can be used in conjunction with simple encoding and decoding procedures.
The correspondence is organized as follows. We begin with the description of codes $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$ and illustrate the definitions for specific data. Then we prove a theorem which claims that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a uniquely decodable code and gives expressions for $|\mathcal{U}|$ and $|\mathcal{V}|$. Some numerical results and a discussion about the relationships between our construction and the CT-construction are also presented. After that we describe a simple decoding procedure. Finally, we point out to the possibility of enumerative coding which follows from the regularity of the construction.

## II. Code Construction (u)-(v)

Let us fix integers $t, n \geq 1$ in such a way that $t$ is even and construct the codes $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ using the following rules.
(u) Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the set consisting of all binary vectors of length $t$ and Hamming weight $t / 2$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}=\left\{c=\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{t}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{t}: w_{H}(c)=t / 2\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{H}$ denotes the Hamming weight. Construct a code

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}=\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}}\left\{\left(c_{1}^{n}, \cdots, c_{t}^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of length $t n$ repeating $n$ times each component of every vector $c \in \mathcal{C}$.
(v) Given an $s \in\{0, \cdots, t\}$, let

$$
\mathcal{J}_{s}=\{J \subseteq[t]:|J|=s\}
$$

denote the collection consisting of all $s$-element subsets of the set $[t]=\{1, \cdots, t\}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{(s)}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{s}\left\{1^{i n} 0^{(s-i) n}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1^{0} 0^{s n}=0^{s n}$ and $1^{s n} 0^{0}=1^{s n}$. Furthermore, let us introduce an alphabet

$$
\mathcal{B}=\{0,1\}^{n} \backslash\left\{0^{n}, 1^{n}\right\}
$$

consisting of $2^{n}-2$ binary vectors which differ from $0^{n}$ and $1^{n}$. Let $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{s}$ be the elements of the set $J \in \mathcal{J}_{s}$ and let $j_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<j_{t-s}^{\prime}$ be the elements of the set

$$
J^{c}=[t] \backslash J .
$$

For all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \times \mathcal{B}^{t-s}$, define a vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(a, b \mid J)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{t n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a way that

$$
v_{j}= \begin{cases}a_{k}, & \text { if } j=j_{k}  \tag{5}\\ b_{k}, & \text { if } j=j_{k}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

where $j=1, \cdots, t$, and construct a code

$$
\mathcal{V}=\bigcup_{s=0}^{t} \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{s}} \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}^{(s)}} \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}^{t-s}}\{v(a, b \mid J)\}
$$

Example 1: Let $t=n=2$. Then $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{B}=\{01,10\}$. The code $\mathcal{U}$ consists of two codewords

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u^{(1)}=00 & 11 \\
u^{(2)}=11 & 00
\end{array}
$$

and the code $\mathcal{V}$ consists of all binary vectors of length 4 , except 0011. We construct $\mathcal{V}$ in the following way.

$$
s=0 . \mathcal{J}_{s}=\emptyset, \mathcal{A}^{(s)}=\emptyset, \mathcal{B}^{t-s}=\{0101,0110,1001,1010\}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v^{(1)} & =v(-, 0101 \mid \emptyset)=01 \\
v^{(2)} & =v(-, 0110 \mid \emptyset)=01 \\
& 10 \\
v^{(3)} & =v(-, 1001 \mid \emptyset)=10
\end{array} 010 \text { 01 }
$$

$$
s=1 . \mathcal{J}_{s}=\{\{1\},\{2\}\}, \mathcal{A}^{(s)}=\{00,11\}, \mathcal{B}^{t-s}=\{01,10\}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
v^{(5)} & =v(00,01 \mid\{1\})=00 \\
v^{(6)} & =v(00,10 \mid\{1\})=00 \\
v^{(7)} & =v(11,01 \mid\{1\})=11 \\
v^{(8)} & =v(11,10 \mid\{1\})=11 \\
v^{(9)} & 10 \\
v^{(9)} & =v(00,01 \mid\{2\})=01
\end{array}\right) 00
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=2 . \mathcal{J}_{s}=\{\{1,2\}\}, \mathcal{A}^{(s)}=\{0000,1100,1111\}, \mathcal{B}^{t-s}=\emptyset . \\
v^{(13)}=v(0000,-\mid\{1,2\})=00 \quad 00 \\
v^{(14)}=v(1100,-\mid\{1,2\})=11 \quad 00 \\
v^{(15)}=v(1111,-\mid\{1,2\})=11
\end{gathered} \quad 11 .
$$

The pair $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is optimal in the following sense: any codes $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a uniquely decodable code for the binary adder channel may contain at most one common codeword; thus

$$
|\mathcal{U}|+|\mathcal{V}| \leq 2^{t n}+1 .
$$

In our case,

$$
|\mathcal{U}|+|\mathcal{V}|=17=2^{t n}+1 .
$$

## III. Properties of Codes Constructed by (u)-(v)

Theorem: The code $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ of length $t n$ defined in (u)-(v) is a uniquely decodable code for the two-user binary adder channel and

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{U}| & =\binom{t}{t / 2}  \tag{6}\\
|\mathcal{V}| & =\left(2^{n}-1\right)^{t}\left[\frac{t}{2^{n}-1}+1\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{t n} \log \left[2^{t}\binom{t}{t / 2}^{-1}\right] \\
& R_{2}=\frac{1}{n} \log \left(2^{n}-1\right)+\frac{1}{t n} \log \left[\frac{t}{2^{n}-1}+1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Equation (6) directly follows from (1) and (2). Given an $s \in\{0, \cdots, t\}$, the set $\mathcal{J}_{s}$ consists of $\binom{t}{s}$ elements. For each $J \in \mathcal{J}_{s}$ there are $s+1$ possibilities for the vector $a \in \mathcal{A}^{(s)}$ and $\left(2^{n}-2\right)^{t-s}$ possibilities for the vector $b \in \mathcal{B}^{t-s}$. Therefore,

$$
|\mathcal{V}|=\sum_{s=0}^{t}\binom{t}{s}(s+1)\left(2^{n}-2\right)^{t-s}
$$

It is easy to check that this equation can be expressed as (7).
The proof is complete if we show that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ is a uniquely decodable code. Let us introduce an alphabet $\mathcal{B}^{*}$ consisting of the $2^{n}-2$ elements of $\mathcal{B}$ and an element specified as " $*$," i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}^{*}=\mathcal{B} \bigcup\{*\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$ denote the $t$ th extension of $\mathcal{B}^{*}$. For all $b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$, we introduce the set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)=\left\{v=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{t n}:\right. \\
v_{j}=b_{j}^{*}, \text { if } b_{j}^{*} \neq *, \text { and } \\
v_{j} \in\left\{0^{n}, 1^{n}\right\}, \text { if } b_{j}^{*}=* \\
\text { for all } j=1, \cdots, t\} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $\left\{\mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right), b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}\right\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint sets and get the following proposition.

Proposition 1: Suppose that, for all $b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$, there are subsets $\hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
(\mathcal{U}+v) \bigcap\left(\mathcal{U}+v^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset, \quad \text { for all } v, v^{\prime} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)
$$

Then $\left(\mathcal{U}, \cup_{b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}} \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)\right)$ is a uniquely decodable code.
Furthermore, using (1), (2) and (8), (9) we obtain
Proposition 2: Given $b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$ and $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)$, the following two statements are equivalent:

1) There exist $u, u^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$
u+v=u^{\prime}+v^{\prime} .
$$

2) There exist $c, c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{j}=v_{j}^{\prime} \Longrightarrow c_{j}=c_{j}^{\prime} \\
\left(v_{j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(0^{n}, 1^{n}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}\right)=(1,0) \\
\left(v_{j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1^{n}, 0^{n}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}\right)=(0,1), \quad \text { for all } j=1, \cdots, t . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us fix $b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$ and, for all $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{01}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \chi\left\{\left(v_{j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(0^{n}, 1^{n}\right)\right\} \\
& t_{10}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \chi\left\{\left(v_{j}, v_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left(1^{n}, 0^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hereafter, $\chi$ stands for the indicator function: $\chi\{S\}=1$ if the statement $S$ is true and $\chi\{S\}=0$ otherwise.

Proposition 3: If $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{01}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \neq t_{10}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there are no $c, c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that statement (10) is true.

TABLE I
The Rates $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ of Some Uniquely Decodable Codes Defined by (u)-(v), the Sum Rats $R_{1}^{\prime}+R_{2}^{\prime}$ for the Codes Whose Existence Is Guaranteed by the CT-Construction, and the Differences Between $R_{2}$ and the Values $\hat{R}_{2}$ Defined by the KLWy Lower Bound on the Maximal Rate of Uniquely Decodable Codes

| $t n$ | $t$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{1}+R_{2}$ | $R_{1}^{\prime}+R_{2}^{\prime}$ | $R_{2}-\hat{R}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 14 | 0.419458 | 0.881856 | 1.301315 | 1.299426 | 0.008833 |
| 32 | 16 | 0.426616 | 0.875699 | 1.302315 | 1.301048 | 0.009834 |
| 36 | 18 | 0.432480 | 0.870463 | 1.302943 | 1.302071 | 0.010462 |
| 40 | 20 | 0.437382 | 0.865946 | 1.303328 | 1.302714 | 0.010847 |
| 44 | 22 | 0.441549 | 0.862002 | 1.303550 | 1.303109 | 0.011069 |
| 48 | 24 | 0.445141 | 0.858521 | 1.303662 | 1.303339 | 0.011181 |
| 52 | 26 | 0.448272 | 0.855424 | 1.303696 | 1.303457 | 0.011215 |
| 56 | 28 | 0.451030 | 0.852646 | 1.303676 | 1.303497 | 0.011195 |
| 60 | 30 | 0.453480 | 0.850138 | 1.303618 | 1.303482 | 0.011137 |
| 64 | 32 | 0.455672 | 0.847861 | 1.303533 | 1.303428 | 0.011052 |
| 68 | 34 | 0.457646 | 0.845783 | 1.303428 | 1.303347 | 0.010947 |
| 72 | 36 | 0.459434 | 0.843876 | 1.303311 | 1.303248 | 0.010829 |
| 76 | 38 | 0.461063 | 0.842121 | 1.303184 | 1.303134 | 0.010702 |
| 80 | 40 | 0.462553 | 0.840498 | 1.303051 | 1.303012 | 0.010570 |

Proof: Since all vectors $c, c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ have the same Hamming weight, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{t} \chi\left\{\left(c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}\right)=(0,1)\right\}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} \chi\left\{\left(c_{j}, c_{j}^{\prime}\right)=(1,0)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these vectors satisfy (10) given $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right)$, then using (9), (11), and (13), we conclude that $t_{01}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=t_{10}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$, but this equation contradicts (12).

Let us fix $b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}$, denote

$$
J=\left\{j \in[t]: b_{j}^{*}=*\right\}, \quad s=|J|
$$

and suppose that $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{s}$ and $j_{1}^{\prime}<\cdots<j_{t-s}^{\prime}$ are the elements of the sets $J$ and $J^{c}$. Assign

$$
\hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)=\left\{v \in \mathcal{V}\left(b^{*}\right):\left(v_{j_{1}}, \cdots, v_{j_{s}}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{(s)}\right\}
$$

where the set $\mathcal{A}^{(s)}$ is defined in (3). Then, for all $v, v^{\prime} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)$, $v \neq v^{\prime}$, either $t_{01}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $t_{10}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=0$, or $t_{01}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $t_{10}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)>0$. Therefore, based on Proposition 3, we conclude that, for all $v, v^{\prime} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)$, there are no $c, c^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that statement (10) is true, and using Proposition 2 obtain that the sets $\mathcal{U}+v, v \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)$, are pairwise disjoint. Finally, Proposition 1 says that $\left(\mathcal{U}, \cup_{b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}} \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)\right)$ is a uniquely decodable code and, as is easy to see,

$$
\bigcup_{b^{*} \in\left(\mathcal{B}^{*}\right)^{t}} \hat{\mathcal{V}}\left(b^{*}\right)=\mathcal{V}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is defined in (4) and (5).
The rates $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ of some uniquely decodable code are given in Table I. For $R_{1} \in(1 / 3,1 / 2)$, the pair

$$
\left(R_{1}, \hat{R}_{2}=\frac{\log 6}{2}-R_{1}\right)
$$

belongs to the KLWY lower bound. We show the difference $R_{2}-\hat{R}_{2}$ and the values of the sum rates $R_{1}^{\prime}+R_{2}^{\prime}$ of the codes $\left(\mathcal{U}^{\prime}, \mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right)$ whose existence is guaranteed if we use the CT-construction with given $t$ and $n$. The sum rates of all codes presented in Table I are greater than $R_{1}^{\prime}+R_{2}^{\prime}$ and the points $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ are located above the curve obtained using the KLWY lower bound.

Remark (on the CT-Construction): The authors of [6] described a rather general construction which "almost" contains our construction (u)-(v) when $t \geq 4$, meaning that we fix the Hamming weight of each element of the set $\mathcal{C}$, while this weight should be divisible by $t / 2$ in the CT-construction (if we consider the case $q=2, r=0$ [6, p. 8]). Then the expressions for the cardinalities of the codes given in Theorem 2 are reduced (in our notations) to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\mathcal{U}^{\prime}\right|=2+\binom{t}{t / 2} \\
&\left|\mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right|=\left(2^{n}-1\right)^{t} {\left[\frac{t}{2}-\sum_{i=0}^{t / 2-2}\binom{t}{i}(t / 2-i-1) \pi^{i}(1-\pi)^{t-i}\right.} \\
&\left.+\sum_{i=0}^{t / 2-2}\binom{t}{i}(t / 2-i-1) \pi^{t-i}(1-\pi)^{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi=1 /\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ and $t$ is even. The difference in the code rate between $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\prime}$ vanishes when $t$ is not very small. However, our change makes it impossible to apply Lemma 5 one-to-one (the statement: "(6) is equivalent to ...," fails to be true), and we can improve the result for $\left|\mathcal{V}^{\prime}\right|$. For example, consider the case $t=4$ and set (in the notations of [6])

$$
\begin{aligned}
n=s & =2 \quad D^{(0)}=\{00\} \quad D^{(1)}=\{11\} \quad E=\{01,10\} \\
\boldsymbol{y} & =(00,00,01,01) \quad \boldsymbol{d}=(00,00) \quad \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}=(11,11)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (see [6, p. 5]),

$$
w^{*}(\boldsymbol{d})=w^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}\right)=\gamma\left(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{d}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

and the vectors $(00,00,01,01),(11,11,01,01)$ cannot simultaneously belong to $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$. Nevertheless, this is possible for the code $\mathcal{V}$.

## IV. Decoding Algorithm

The codes derived in (u)-(v) can be used with a simple decoding procedure. Let $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{t}\right) \in\{0,1,2\}^{t n}$ denote the received vector, where $z_{j} \in\{0,1,2\}^{n}$ for all $j=1, \cdots, t$. We will write $0 \in z_{j}$ and $2 \in z_{j}$ if the received subblock $z_{j}$ has 0 and 2 as one of components, respectively.

Since $u_{j} \in\left\{0^{n}, 1^{n}\right\}$ for all $j=1, \cdots, t$, each received subblock cannot contain both 0 and 2 symbols. Thus the decoder knows $u_{j}$ if $z_{j}$ contains either 0 or 2 . The number of subbocks $1^{n}$ in $u$ corresponding to the received subblocks $1^{n}$ can be found using the fact that the total Hamming weight of $u$ is fixed to be $t n / 2$. These remaining subblocks can be discovered based on the structure of the sets $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}, \cdots, \mathcal{A}^{(t)}$. A formal description of the decoding algorithm is given below.

1) Set

$$
J_{1}=\left\{j \in[t]: z_{j}=1^{n}\right\}, \quad J_{1}^{c}=[t] \backslash J_{1}
$$

2) For all $j \in J_{1}^{c}$, set

$$
u_{j}= \begin{cases}0^{n}, & \text { if } 0 \in z_{j} \\ 1^{n}, & \text { if } 2 \in z_{j}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
w^{\prime}=\left|\left\{j \in J_{1}^{c}: 2 \in z_{j}\right\}\right|
$$

3) Set

$$
w=t / 2-w^{\prime}
$$

and represent the elements of $J_{1}$ in the increasing order, i.e.,

$$
\left|J_{1}\right|=k, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k} \in J_{1} \Longrightarrow j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k}
$$

Set

$$
u_{j}= \begin{cases}0^{n}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k-w}\right\} \\ 1^{n}, & \text { if } j \in\left\{j_{k-w+1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right\} .\end{cases}
$$

4) Set

$$
v=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{t}\right)-\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{t}\right)
$$

Example 2: Let $t=n=2$ (see Example 1). If the first received subblock contains 0 then the codeword $u^{(1)}$ was sent by the first sender, and if it contains 2 then this codeword was $u^{(2)}$. Similarly, if the second received subblock contains 0 or 2 then the decoder makes a decision $u^{(2)}$ or $u^{(1)}$. The codeword $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is discovered in these cases after the decoder subtracts $u$ from the received vector. At last, if the received vector consists of all 1's then there are two possibilities: $(u, v)=\left(u^{(1)}, 1100\right)$ and $(u, v)=\left(u^{(2)}, 0011\right)$. However, $0011 \notin \mathcal{V}$, and the decoder selects the first possibility.

## V. Enumerative Coding

Enumerative procedures were developed in source coding to make the storage of a code book unnecessary at both sides of the communication link and essentially reduce computational efforts [7]-[9]. In this case, the encoder having received a message calculates the corresponding codeword, and the decoder calculates the inverse function. Our decoder does not use the code book to decode transmitted codewords, and an enumerative algorithm for messages completely escapes the storage of code books. We present this algorithm below.

First, we construct one-to-one mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(m) & \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \\
f_{1}^{(s)}\left(m_{J}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{s} \\
f_{2}^{(s)}\left(m_{a}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \\
f_{3}^{(s)}\left(m_{b}\right) & \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{t-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m, m_{J}, m_{a}$, and $m_{b}$ are integers taking values in the corresponding sets: $m \in\{1, \cdots,|\mathcal{U}|\}, m_{J} \in\left\{1, \cdots,\left|\mathcal{J}_{s}\right|\right\}$, $m_{a} \in\left\{1, \cdots,\left|\mathcal{A}^{(s)}\right|\right\}, m_{b} \in\left\{1, \cdots,\left|\mathcal{B}^{t-s}\right|\right\}$, and $s=0, \cdots, t$. The structure of the possible mappings $f_{2}^{(s)}\left(m_{a}\right)$ and $f_{3}^{(s)}\left(m_{b}\right)$ is evident; the mappings $f(m)$ and $f_{1}^{(s)}\left(m_{J}\right)$ are based on the enumeration procedures for binary vectors having a fixed Hamming weight [7]-[9].

Let $\left(m, m^{\prime}\right)$ be the message to be transmitted over the binary adder channel, where $m \in\{1, \cdots,|\mathcal{U}|\}$ and $m^{\prime} \in\{1, \cdots,|\mathcal{V}|\}$. Encoding and decoding of the message $m$ are obvious: we assign

$$
f(m)=u \quad f^{-1}(u)=m
$$

Let us consider encoding and decoding of the message $m^{\prime}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0} & =0 \\
K_{s+1} & =K_{s}+\binom{t}{s}(s+1)\left(2^{n}-2\right)^{t-s}, \quad s=0, \cdots, t-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
M_{a}^{(s)}=s+1 \quad M_{b}^{(s)}=\left(2^{n}-2\right)^{t-s}
$$

for all $s=0, \cdots, t$. Furthermore, for all integers $q \geq 0$ and $Q \geq 1$, introduce the function

$$
\Delta(q, Q)=q-Q\lfloor q / Q\rfloor .
$$

The enumerative encoding procedure is given below.

1) Find the maximal value of $s \in\{0, \cdots, t-1\}$ such that $m^{\prime}>K_{s}$, denote $m_{s}=m^{\prime}-K_{s}-1$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{J} & =\left\lfloor m_{s} /\left(M_{a}^{(s)} M_{b}^{(s)}\right)\right\rfloor+1 \\
m_{a} & =\left\lfloor\Delta\left(m_{s}, M_{a}^{(s)} M_{b}^{(s)}\right) / M_{b}^{(s)}\right\rfloor+1 \\
m_{b} & =\Delta\left(\Delta\left(m_{s}, M_{a}^{(s)} M_{b}^{(s)}\right), M_{b}^{(s)}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Set

$$
J=f_{1}^{(s)}\left(m_{J}\right) \quad a=f_{2}^{(s)}\left(m_{a}\right) \quad b=f_{3}^{(s)}\left(m_{b}\right)
$$

3) Construct the vector $v(a, b \mid J)$ in accordance with (4) and (5).

The enumerative decoding procedure goes in the opposite direction.

1) Find $J, a$, and $b$ from $v$. Denote $s=|J|$.
2) Set

$$
m_{J}=\left(f_{1}^{(s)}\right)^{-1}(J) \quad m_{a}=\left(f_{2}^{(s)}\right)^{-1}(a) \quad m_{b}=\left(f_{3}^{(s)}\right)^{-1}(b)
$$

3) Set

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{\prime}= & K_{s}+\left(m_{J}-1\right) M_{a}^{(s)} M_{b}^{(s)}+\left(m_{a}-1\right) M_{b}^{(s)} \\
& +\left(m_{b}-1\right)+1 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3: Let $t=n=2$ (see Example 1). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{0}=0 \\
& K_{1}=0+\binom{2}{0}(0+1) 2^{2-0}=4 \\
& K_{2}=4+\binom{2}{1}(1+1) 2^{2-1}=12
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m^{\prime}=11$. Then $s=1$ since $11>K_{1}$ and $11 \leq K_{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1} & =11-4-1=6 \\
m_{J} & =\lfloor 6 /(2 \cdot 2)\rfloor+1=2 \\
m_{a} & =\lfloor\Delta(6,4) / 2\rfloor+1=2 \\
m_{b} & =\Delta(\Delta(6,4), 2)+1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

since $M_{a}^{(s)}=M_{b}^{(s)}=2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(6,4)=6-4\lfloor 6 / 4\rfloor=2 \\
& \Delta(2,2)=2-2\lfloor 2 / 2\rfloor=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}^{(1)}:(1,2) \rightarrow(\{1\},\{2\}) \\
& f_{2}^{(1)}:(1,2) \rightarrow((00),(11)) \\
& f_{3}^{(1)}:(1,2) \rightarrow((01),(10)) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we assign

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=f_{1}^{(1)}(2)=\{2\} \\
& a=f_{2}^{(1)}(2)=(11) \\
& b=f_{3}^{(1)}(1)=(01)
\end{aligned}
$$

and construct the codeword using (4)and (5)

$$
v(a, b \mid J)=(01,11)
$$

Let us consider decoding of the message $m^{\prime}$ when $v=(11,10)$. We discover that

$$
J=\{1\} \quad a=(11) \quad b=(10)
$$

Hence, $s=|J|=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{J}=\left(f_{1}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}(\{1\})=1 \\
& m_{a}=\left(f_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}((11))=2 \\
& m_{b}=\left(f_{3}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}((10))=2 \\
& m^{\prime}=4+(1-1) \cdot 2 \cdot 2+(2-1) \cdot 2+(2-1)+1=8
\end{aligned}
$$

where (14) and (15) were used.
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#### Abstract

In an earlier paper, we studied the problem of guessing a random vector $X$ within distortion $D$, and characterized the best attainable exponent $E(D, \rho)$ of the $\rho$ th moment of the number of required guesses $G(X)$ until the guessing error falls below $D$. In this correspondence, we extend these results to a multistage, hierarchical guessing model, which allows for a faster search for a codeword vector at the encoder of a rate-distortion codebook. In the two-stage case of this model, if the target distortion level is $D_{2}$, the guesser first makes guesses with respect to (a higher) distortion level $D_{1}$, and then, upon his/her first success, directs the subsequent guesses to distortion $D_{2}$. As in the abovementioned earlier paper, we provide a single-letter characterization of the best attainable guessing exponent, which relies heavily on well-known results on the successive refinement problem. We also relate this guessing exponent function to the source-coding error exponent function of the two-step coding process.


Index Terms- Guessing, rate-distortion theory, source-coding error exponent, successive refinement.

## I. InTRODUCTION

In [1], we studied the basic problem of guessing a random vector with respect to (w.r.t.) a fidelity criterion. In particular, for a given information source, a distortion measure $d$, and distortion level $D$, this problem is defined as follows. The source generates a sample vector $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N}\right)$ of a random $N$-vector $\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{N}\right)$. Then, the guesser, who does not have access to $\boldsymbol{x}$, provides a sequence of $N$-vectors (guesses) $\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \cdots$ until the first success of guessing $\boldsymbol{x}$ within per-letter distortion $D$, namely, $d\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right) \leq N D$ for some positive integer $i$. Clearly, for a given list of guesses, this number of guesses $i$ is solely a function of $\boldsymbol{x}$, denoted by $G_{N}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The objective of [1] was to characterize the best achievable asymptotic performance and to devise good guessing strategies in the sense of minimizing moments of $G_{N}(\boldsymbol{X})$. It has been shown in [1], that for a finite-alphabet, memoryless source $P$ and an additive distortion measure $d$, the smallest attainable asymptotic exponential growth rate of $\boldsymbol{E}\left\{G_{N}(\boldsymbol{X})^{\rho}\right\}(\rho>0)$ with $N$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(D, \rho)=\max _{P^{\prime}}\left[\rho R\left(D, P^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{D}\left(P^{\prime} \| P\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the maximum w.r.t. $P^{\prime}$ is over the set of all memoryless sources with the same alphabet as $P, R\left(D, P^{\prime}\right)$ is the rate-distortion function of $P^{\prime}$ w.r.t. distortion measure $d$ at level $D$, and $\mathcal{D}\left(P^{\prime} \| P\right)$ is the relative entropy, or the Kullback-Leibler information divergence, between $P^{\prime}$ and $P$, i.e., the expectation of $\ln \left[P^{\prime}(X) / P(X)\right]$ w.r.t. $P^{\prime}$.
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