

CONSTRUCTIONS OF DISJOINT STEINER TRIPLE SYSTEMS

JEAN DOYEN¹

ABSTRACT. Let $D^*(v)$ denote the maximum number of pairwise disjoint and isomorphic Steiner triple systems of order v . The main result of this paper is a lower bound for $D^*(v)$, namely $D^*(6t+3) \geq 4t-1$ or $4t+1$ according as $2t+1$ is or is not divisible by 3, and $D^*(6t+1) \geq t/2$ or t according as t is even or odd. Some other related problems are studied or proposed for study.

1. **Introduction and historical note.** Given a finite nonempty set S of v elements (called *points*), a *Steiner triple system* of order v on S is a collection \mathcal{S} of subsets of S (called *lines*) such that every line has exactly 3 points and every pair of points is contained in one and only one line. Any Steiner triple system is also a balanced incomplete block design with parameters v , $k=3$ and $\lambda=1$ (see for instance Hall [10, Chapter 15]).

Kirkman [11] proved in 1847 that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Steiner triple system (briefly STS) of order v is $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. An STS of order v is sometimes denoted simply by $S(v)$.

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' be two STS on the same set S of points. \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are called *disjoint* if $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{S}' = \emptyset$, that is if they have no line in common. According to [8], the construction of disjoint STS might be useful in the design of certain statistical experiments.

Let us denote by $D(v)$ the maximum number of pairwise disjoint $S(v)$ that can be constructed on a set S of v points. As S contains $v(v-1)(v-2)/6$ subsets of cardinality 3 and as any $S(v)$ contains exactly $v(v-1)/6$ lines, we have $D(v) \leq v-2$, except of course if $v=1$. We shall denote by $D^*(v)$ the maximum number of pairwise disjoint and isomorphic $S(v)$ that can be constructed on S . Obviously, $1 \leq D^*(v) \leq D(v)$.

It is clear that

$$D^*(1) = D(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad D^*(3) = D(3) = 1.$$

Cayley [6] proved in 1850 that $D^*(7) = D(7) = 2$. The following collections

Received by the editors April 22, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 05B05, 05B25; Secondary 62K10.

Key words and phrases. Steiner triple systems, balanced incomplete block designs.

¹ Aspirant du Fonds National belge de la Recherche Scientifique.

of subsets of the set $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ form two disjoint $S(7)$:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\{a, b, c\}, \{c, d, e\}, \{e, f, a\}, \{a, d, g\}, \{b, e, g\}, \{c, f, g\}, \{b, d, f\}\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}' = \{\{a, b, e\}, \{b, c, f\}, \{c, d, a\}, \{d, e, f\}, \{f, g, a\}, \{b, d, g\}, \{c, e, g\}\}.$$

The same year (1850), Kirkman [12] proved that $D^*(9)=D(9)=7$. This result was “discovered” again by Sylvester ([18], [19]) in 1861, Walecki in 1883 (see Lucas [14, 161–197]), Bays [4] in 1917 and finally Emch [9] in 1929 (for more historical details, see Ahrens [1, 110–113]). The simplest description of 7 pairwise disjoint $S(9)$ on the set $\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i\}$ is given by the following square arrays

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} a & b & c & & a & b & d & & d & e & g & & g & h & a \\ d & e & f & & e & f & g & & h & i & a & & b & c & d \\ g & h & i & & h & i & c & & b & c & f & & e & f & i \\ & & & & g & a & b & & a & d & e & & d & g & h \\ & & & & c & d & e & & f & g & h & & i & a & b \\ & & & & f & h & i & & i & b & c & & c & e & f \end{array}$$

The 12 lines of each system are simply the 3 rows, the 3 columns and the 6 products involved in the expansion of the “determinant” of each array.

The other values of $D^*(v)$ and $D(v)$ are unknown. Besides a few isolated lower bounds such as $D(13) \geq 3$, $D(15) \geq 2$ (Kirkman [13]), $D(31) \geq 6$ (Assmus and Mattson ([2], [3])), the only known general results are $D^*(2^n - 1) \geq 2$ for every odd integer $n \geq 3$ (Assmus and Mattson [2]) and $D^*(6t + 1) \geq 2$ for every $t > 0$: indeed, as was shown by Rosa [16] and Di Paola [7], it is not difficult to construct two disjoint and isomorphic cyclic STS of order $6t + 1$ (an $S(v)$ is called *cyclic* if one of its automorphisms is a cycle of length v).

In 1917, Bays [4] conjectured that $D(v) \geq (v - 1)/2$ for every $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, $v > 7$. Our first theorem shows that this conjecture is true for every $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, even if $D(v)$ is replaced by $D^*(v)$.

2. A lower bound for $D^*(v)$.

THEOREM 1. For every nonnegative integer t ,

$$D^*(6t + 3) \geq 4t + 1 \quad \text{if } 2t + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

and

$$D^*(6t + 3) \geq 4t - 1 \quad \text{if } 2t + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

PROOF. Let $G = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{2t}\}$ be a multiplicative cyclic group of order $2t + 1$ and let us consider the Cartesian product $S = G \times \{0, 1, 2\}$. For every $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the subset $G \times \{e\}$ of S will be denoted by G_e and any

element (x, e) of G_e by $(x)_e$ or, when there is no danger of confusion, simply by x_e .

The set \mathcal{S} consisting of (i) all subsets $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$ of S for any $x \in G$, (ii) all subsets $\{x_0, y_0, z_1\}, \{x_1, y_1, z_2\}, \{x_2, y_2, z_0\}$ of S for any $x, y, z \in G$, where $x \neq y$ and $xy = z^2$, is easily verified to be an STS of order $6t + 3$; this construction is essentially due to Bose [5].

(a) Let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{2t}$ be $2t + 1$ permutations of the set S defined as follows: for every $x \in G$ and every $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2t$,

$$\varphi_i(x_0) = x_0, \quad \varphi_i(x_1) = (a^i x)_1, \quad \varphi_i(x_2) = (a^{2t-i} x)_2.$$

Let \mathcal{S}_i be the STS whose lines are the images of the lines of \mathcal{S} by the permutation φ_i . The systems $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{2t}$ obtained in this way are clearly isomorphic; we are going to prove that they are also pairwise disjoint.

Let $\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{S}_j$ be any two of the above systems, with $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2t$).

Any line of \mathcal{S}_i having a point in G_0, G_1 and G_2 is of the form $\{x_0, (a^i x)_1, (a^{2t-i} x)_2\}$; in \mathcal{S}_j , such a line is $\{x'_0, (a^j x')_1, (a^{2t-j} x')_2\}$. If these lines coincide, we must have

$$x = x', \quad a^i x = a^j x', \quad a^{2t-i} x = a^{2t-j} x'$$

which implies $a^i = a^j$, a contradiction since $i \neq j$.

Any line of \mathcal{S}_i having two points in G_0 is of the form $\{x_0, y_0, (a^i z)_1\}$ where $z^2 = xy$; in \mathcal{S}_j , such a line is $\{x'_0, y'_0, (a^j z')_1\}$ where $z'^2 = x'y'$. If they coincide, we have either

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x', & x &= y', \\ y &= y', & \text{or} & \quad y &= x', \\ a^i z &= a^j z', & a^i z &= a^j z'. \end{aligned}$$

As G is abelian of odd order, we find in both cases $a^i = a^j$, a contradiction.

By similar straightforward computations, one can easily check that no line of \mathcal{S}_i having two points in G_1 or G_2 can coincide with a line of \mathcal{S}_j and therefore \mathcal{S}_i and \mathcal{S}_j are disjoint.

(b) Let σ be the permutation of S defined by $\sigma(x_0) = x_2, \sigma(x_1) = x_1$ and $\sigma(x_2) = x_0$ for every $x \in G$. Let \mathcal{S}'_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 2t$) be the STS whose lines are the images of the lines of \mathcal{S}_i by the permutation σ . It is clear that $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{2t}, \mathcal{S}'_0, \mathcal{S}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}'_{2t}$ are isomorphic and that $\mathcal{S}'_0, \mathcal{S}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}'_{2t}$ are pairwise disjoint.

If a system \mathcal{S}'_i has a line in common with a system \mathcal{S}_j , this line must necessarily have a point in G_0, G_1 and G_2 . In \mathcal{S}_j , any such line is of the form $\{x_0, (a^j x)_1, (a^{2t-j} x)_2\}$; in \mathcal{S}'_i , it is $\{(a^{2t-i} x')_0, (a^i x')_1, x'_2\}$. If these

lines coincide, we have

$$x = a^{2t-i}x', \quad a^i x = a^i x', \quad a^{2t-i} x = x',$$

which gives $a^{2t-2i+j}=1$ and $a^{4t-i-j}=1$, that is $a^{3i}=a^{6t}$. Let us exclude the systems \mathcal{S}'_i which may have a line in common with one of the systems $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{2t}$. As the number of distinct cube roots of a^{6t} in the group G is three or one according as the order of G is or is not divisible by 3, the number of excluded systems will be three or one, and the theorem follows immediately.

COROLLARY 1. $D^*(v) \geq 2$ for every $v \geq 7, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

This follows from Theorem 1 and from Rosa's result mentioned in the introduction.

COROLLARY 2. For every $v \geq 7, v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, there exists a balanced incomplete block design with parameters $v, k=3$ and $\lambda=2$, all of whose blocks are distinct (compare with Theorem 15.4.4 in Hall [10]).

THEOREM 2. For every nonnegative integer t ,

$$D^*(6t + 1) \geq t/2 \quad \text{if } t \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and

$$D^*(6t + 1) \geq t \quad \text{if } t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

PROOF. Let $G = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{2t-1}\}$ be a multiplicative cyclic group of order $2t$ and let us consider the set $S = (G \times \{0, 1, 2\}) \cup \{\infty\}$ of cardinality $6t+1$, where ∞ is a new symbol. For every $e \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, the element (x, e) of the subset $G \times \{e\}$ will be denoted by $(x)_e$ or, when there is no danger of confusion, by x_e . Finally, let $L = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{t-1}\}$, $R = \{a^t, a^{t+1}, \dots, a^{2t-1}\}$ and let \mathcal{S} be the set consisting of

- (i) all subsets $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$ of S for any $x \in L$,
- (ii) all subsets $\{\infty, x_0, (a^t x)_2\}, \{\infty, x_1, (a^t x)_0\}, \{\infty, x_2, (a^t x)_1\}$ of S for any $x \in L$,
- (iii) all subsets $\{x_0, y_0, z_1\}, \{x_1, y_1, z_2\}, \{x_2, y_2, z_0\}$ of S for any $x, y \in G$ with $x \neq y$ and
 - (1) $z \in L$ and $z^2 = xy$ if $xy = a^{2j}$,
 - (2) $z \in R$ and $az^2 = xy$ if $xy = a^{2j+1}$.

It is not difficult to verify that \mathcal{S} is an STS of order $6t+1$; this construction is due to Skolem [17].

Let $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{t-1}$ be t permutations of the set S defined as follows; for every $x \in G$ and every $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(x_0) &= x_0, & \varphi_i(x_1) &= (a^i x)_1, \\ \varphi_i(x_2) &= (a^{2t-1-i} x)_2, & \text{and } \varphi_i(\infty) &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{S}_i be the STS whose lines are the images of the lines of \mathcal{S} by the permutation φ_i . The systems $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{t-1}$ are clearly isomorphic. Moreover a proof similar to that of the preceding theorem shows that $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{t/2-1}$ are pairwise disjoint if t is even and that $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_t$ are pairwise disjoint if t is odd. The computations involved in this proof being quite straightforward, they will not be reproduced here.

3. **A lower bound for $D(v)$.** The two preceding theorems obviously give a lower bound for $D(v)$, since $D^*(v) \leq D(v)$. We want to prove now that this lower bound is not best possible and can be improved in certain cases. For instance, Theorem 2 gives $D(19) \geq 3$; our next result will show that $D(19) \geq 9$.

THEOREM 3. *For every $v \geq 7$ with $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$,*

$$D(2v + 1) \geq D(v) + 2.$$

PROOF. Let $D(v) = d$ and let S, S' be two disjoint sets of cardinality v . We shall denote by $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_d$ d pairwise disjoint STS of order v on the set S , and by $\mathcal{S}'_{d+1}, \mathcal{S}'_{d+2}$ two disjoint STS of order v on the set S' (the existence of at least two such systems follows from Corollary 1 and our hypothesis $v \geq 7$).

Let α be any permutation of S consisting of a single cycle of length v and let φ be any bijection from S' onto S . Finally let us consider the set $T = S \cup S' \cup \{\infty\}$ of cardinality $2v + 1$, where ∞ is a new symbol.

We are going to construct $d + 2$ Steiner triple systems $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{d+2}$ on the set T . For every $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, the lines of \mathcal{T}_i will be

- (i) all lines of \mathcal{S}_i ,
- (ii) all subsets $\{\infty, x, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(x))\}$ of T , where x is any point of S' ,
- (iii) all subsets $\{x, y, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(z))\}, \{x, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(y)), z\}, \{\alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(x)), y, z\}$ of T , where $\{x, y, z\}$ is any line of \mathcal{S}'_{d+1} .

For $i = d + 1$ or $d + 2$, the lines of \mathcal{T}_i will be

- (i) all lines of \mathcal{S}'_i ,
- (ii) all subsets $\{\infty, x, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(x))\}$ of T , where x is any point of S' ,
- (iii) all subsets $\{x, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(y)), \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(z))\}, \{\alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(x)), y, \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(z))\}, \{\alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(x)), \alpha^{i-1}(\varphi(y)), z\}$ of T , where $\{x, y, z\}$ is any line of \mathcal{S}'_{d+1} .

It is easy to check that each \mathcal{T}_i is an $S(2v + 1)$ and that $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_{d+2}$ are pairwise disjoint. This verification is rather tedious and will be omitted here.

COROLLARY 3. *For every odd integer $t \geq 1$,*

$$D(6t + 1) \geq 2t - 1.$$

PROOF. If $t=1$, the result is trivial. If $t=2t'+1 \geq 3$, then $6t+1=2(6t'+3)+1$ and so, by Theorems 3 and 2,

$$D(6t+1) \geq D(6t'+3) + 2 \geq 4t' + 1 = 2t - 1.$$

4. Disjoint and isomorphic cyclic Steiner triple systems. Let us denote by $D_c^*(v)$ the maximum number of pairwise disjoint and isomorphic cyclic STS of order v . So for instance $D_c^*(1) = D_c^*(3) = 1$, $D_c^*(7) = 2$ and $D_c^*(9) = 0$.

The following result is essentially due to Rosa [16].

THEOREM 4. *For every positive integer t ,*

$$D_c^*(6t+1) \geq 2.$$

PROOF. Peltesohn [15] has established the existence of a cyclic $S(v)$ for every $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, except $v=9$. Let \mathcal{S} be a cyclic $S(6t+1)$ constructed on the set $S = \{0, 1, \dots, 6t\}$ in such a way that the permutation $\alpha = (0, 1, \dots, 6t)$ be an automorphism of \mathcal{S} . The distance d_{ij} of the points i and j ($i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 6t$) will be defined as

$$d_{ij} = \min\{|i-j|, 6t+1-|i-j|\}.$$

For every line $\{i, j, k\}$ of \mathcal{S} , the 3 distances d_{ij} , d_{jk} , d_{ki} are distinct. Indeed, suppose for instance that $d_{ij} = d_{jk}$ and let α_{ij} be the power of α mapping i onto j . As $d_{ij} = d_{jk}$, α_{ij} maps j onto k and therefore also k onto i , otherwise the points j and k would belong to two distinct lines of \mathcal{S} . We conclude that $d_{ij} = d_{jk} = d_{ki} = (6t+1)/3$, which is clearly impossible.

Let \mathcal{S}' be the STS whose lines are the images of the lines of \mathcal{S} by the involution $\sigma = (0)(1, 6t)(2, 6t-1) \cdots (i, 6t+1-i) \cdots (3t, 3t+1)$. \mathcal{S}' is isomorphic to \mathcal{S} . Moreover \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are disjoint. Indeed, let $\{i, j, k\}$ (resp. $\{i', j', k'\}$) be the line of \mathcal{S} (resp. \mathcal{S}') containing the points i and j ; it is easily seen that $d_{ik} = d_{j'k'}$. Therefore these two lines are distinct, otherwise $k = k'$ and $d_{ik} = d_{jk}$, a contradiction.

REMARK. If \mathcal{S} is any cyclic $S(6t+3)$ constructed on the set $S = \{0, 1, \dots, 6t+2\}$ and admitting the permutation $\alpha = (0, 1, \dots, 6t+2)$ as an automorphism, then \mathcal{S} necessarily contains the lines $\{i, 2t+1+i, 4t+2+i\}$ for every $i = 0, \dots, 2t$, and so \mathcal{S} and its image \mathcal{S}' by the permutation $\sigma = (0)(1, 6t+2)(2, 6t+1) \cdots (3t+1, 3t+2)$ are never disjoint.

THEOREM 5. *For every positive integer $t \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,*

$$D_c^*(6t+3) \geq 4t+1.$$

PROOF. Let \mathcal{S} be the $S(6t+3)$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 1. The permutations π_1 and π_2 of S such that for every $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(x_0) &= x_1, & \pi_1(x_1) &= x_2, & \pi_1(x_2) &= x_0, \\ \pi_2(x_i) &= (ax)_i & (i &= 0, 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

are clearly two automorphisms of \mathcal{S} . Moreover if $2t+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, the permutation $\pi_1\pi_2$ consists of a single cycle of length $6t+3$ and \mathcal{S} is a cyclic STS. The above inequality is then an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

5. Open problems. (1) Given a Steiner triple system \mathcal{S} of order $v \geq 7$ on a set S of cardinality v , is there always another Steiner triple system \mathcal{S}' isomorphic to \mathcal{S} and disjoint from \mathcal{S} ? In other words, is there always a permutation α of S such that the image of any line of \mathcal{S} by α is never a line of \mathcal{S} ?

(2) Is it true that $D_c^*(6t+3) \geq 2$ for every $t \geq 2$?

(3) The lower bounds for $D(v)$ given in this paper can certainly be improved. It is tempting to conjecture that $D(v) = v-2$ for every $v \geq 9$, $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

(4) Given an integer n such that $0 \leq n \leq v(v-1)/6$, let us denote by $D(v, n)$ the maximum number of STS of order v that can be constructed on a set of cardinality v in such a way that any two of them have exactly n lines in common, these n lines being moreover in each of the $D(v)$ systems. It is an easy exercise to check that $D(7, 0) = D(7) = 2$, $D(7, 1) = 3$, $D(7, 2) = 0$, $D(7, 3) = 2$, $D(7, 4) = D(7, 5) = 0$, $D(7, 7) = \infty$. Kirkman [12] proved in 1850 that $D(15, 5) \geq 15$, but almost nothing is known in general about the function $D(v, n)$. For example, is it true that $D(v, 1) \geq 2$ for every $v \geq 3$, $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$?

REFERENCES

1. W. Ahrens, *Mathematische Unterhaltungen und Spiele*. Vol. 2, Teubner, Leipzig, 1918.
2. E. F. Assmus, Jr. and H. F. Mattson, Jr., *Steiner systems and perfect codes*, University of North Carolina Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series, No. 484-1, 1966.
3. ———, *Research problem*, J. Combinatorial Theory 3 (1967), 307.
4. S. Bays, *Une question de Cayley relative au problème des triades de Steiner*, Enseignement Math. 19 (1917), 57-67.
5. R. C. Bose, *On the construction of balanced incomplete block designs*, Ann. Eugenics 9 (1939), 353-399. MR 1, 199.
6. A. Cayley, *On the triadic arrangements of seven and fifteen things*, London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci. (3) 37 (1850), 50-53 (Collected Mathematical Papers, I, 481-484).
7. J. W. Di Paola, *Steiner triples and totally non-symmetric loops*, Combinatorial Structures and their Applications (Proc. Calgary Internat. Conf., Calgary, Alta., 1969), Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970, pp. 59-61. MR 41 #8260.
8. D. H. Doehlert, *Balanced sets of balanced incomplete block designs of block size three*, Technometrics 7 (1965), 561-577.
9. A. Emch, *Triple and multiple systems, their geometric configurations and groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1929), 25-42.
10. M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial theory*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1967. MR 37 #80.
11. T. P. Kirkman, *On a problem in combinations*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. 2 (1847), 191-204.

12. ———, *Note on an unanswered prize question*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. **5** (1850), 255–262.
13. ———, *Theorems on combinations*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. **8** (1853), 38–45.
14. E. Lucas, *Récréations mathématiques*. Vol. 2, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1883.
15. R. Peltesohn, *Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme*, Compositio Math. **6** (1939), 251–257.
16. A. Rosa, *A note on cyclic Steiner triple systems*, Mat.-Fyz. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied **16** (1966), 285–290. (Slovak) MR **35** #2759.
17. Th. Skolem, *Some remarks on the triple systems of Steiner*, Math. Scand. **6** (1958), 273–280. MR **21** #5582.
18. J. J. Sylvester, *Remark on the tactic of nine elements*, London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philos. Mag. and J. Sci. (4) **22** (1861), 144–147 (Collected Mathematical Papers, II, 286–289).
19. ———, *Note on a nine schoolgirls problem*, Messenger Math. (2) **22** (1892/93), 159–160; correction, 192 (Collected Mathematical Papers, IV, 732–733).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRUSSELS, 1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM