



Contextualizing privilege and disadvantage: Lessons from women expatriates in the Middle East

DOI:

[10.1177/1350508418812580](https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508418812580)

[10.1177/1350508418812580](https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508418812580)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rodriguez, J. K., & Ridgway, M. (2019). Contextualizing privilege and disadvantage: Lessons from women expatriates in the Middle East. *Organization*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508418812580>, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508418812580>

Published in:

Organization

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.


General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.




 Organization

Contextualizing privilege and disadvantage: Lessons from women expatriates in the Middle East

Journal:	<i>Organization</i>
Manuscript ID	ORG-17-0266.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Keywords:	privilege, disadvantage, gendered institutions, women expatriates, Middle East
Abstract:	<p>This paper explores how the simultaneity of privilege and disadvantage shapes the experiences of women expatriates in the Middle East. The paper problematizes the simultaneity of being an elite group (e.g. expatriates) and a disadvantaged group (e.g. women) within the context of Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. Drawing on literature about women and work expatriation, the paper analyzes the narratives of women expatriates to highlight the complexity and multidimensionality of their experiences, positioning the discussion within the framework of gendered institutions. The paper concludes that privilege and disadvantage are inseparable to the way the experiences of women expatriates unfold in the Middle East, and that institutional settings articulate this inseparability in order to regulate and help to maintain the gender social order. The paper contributes a nuanced understanding of the experiences of women expatriates, challenging dominant views that present this group as generally privileged by virtue of their skilled and mobile status.</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Contextualizing privilege and disadvantage: Lessons from women expatriates in the Middle East

Abstract

This paper explores how the simultaneity of privilege and disadvantage shapes the experiences of women expatriates in the Middle East. The paper problematizes the simultaneity of being an elite group (e.g. expatriates) and a disadvantaged group (e.g. women) within the context of Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries. Drawing on literature about women and work expatriation, the paper analyzes the narratives of women expatriates to highlight the complexity and multidimensionality of their experiences, positioning the discussion within the framework of gendered institutions. The paper concludes that privilege and disadvantage are inseparable to the way the experiences of women expatriates unfold in the Middle East, and that institutional settings articulate this inseparability in order to regulate and help to maintain the gender social order. The paper contributes a nuanced understanding of the experiences of women expatriates, challenging dominant views that present this group as generally privileged by virtue of their skilled and mobile status.

Keywords: women expatriates, privilege, disadvantage, gendered institutions, Middle East

Introduction

The lives and work experiences of expatriates continue to garner academic attention given the rapid changes in the nature and structure of work in global labour markets, as well as the multi-layered, multifaceted features of international workplaces (Al Ariss et al., 2012). As individuals living and working outside of their home countries, expatriates have been described in the literature as mobile, elite professionals and their experiences framed in relation to a privileged positioning in host settings (Beaverstock, 2002; Farrer, 2010; Van Bochove & Engbersen, 2015). This is primarily linked to assumptions about what drives organizations to offer them opportunities to undertake assignments abroad as company-assigned expatriates, or their abilities to secure these opportunities abroad independently as self-initiated expatriates. However, regardless of whether expatriates are company assigned or self-initiated, the fundamental differences seem to emerge in relation to gender (Caligiuri & Tung, 1999; Shortland, 2009; 2014). In particular, socio-cultural and political realities present challenges to women expatriates, especially in contexts where gendered division of labour restricts women's participation in the labour market. For instance, literature about the Middle East has highlighted the

1
2
3 historical centrality of patriarchal regimes in defining societal structures, and how
4 patriarchal relationality serves as an organizing principle of social and work
5 relationships (Al-Waqfi & Al-Faki, 2015; Joseph & Slyomovics, 2011).
6
7

8 Expatriates provide a good analytical angle to explore how the narratives of the single
9 global village interact with the national realities of particular regions, such as the Middle
10 East. This region is said to resist the homogenizing forces of globalization, and sees
11 them as a threat to national culture and identity (Fox et al., 2006). The tension between
12 Western and Arab values within the Arab world has been problematised mobilizing the
13 idea of a 'Arab neopatriarchy' (Sharabi, 1992), used to refer to a specific form of
14 patriarchy that results from the contact of the Arab world with European modernity in the
15 imperialist age, which shapes the current social order in the region. This Arab neo-
16 patriarchy could be seen in the way advances to women's equality have materialized,
17 for instance, while women in the region can now engage in work and social life outside
18 the home, they can only do so within particular societal arrangements, such as women-
19 only spaces and work can only be undertaken with the permission of a male family
20 figure (e.g. fathers, uncles, or brothers). Ultimately, institutional and cultural barriers are
21 embedded in work systems in ways that affect women in terms of employment rights,
22 development and progression at work (Metcalf, 2008; Metcalf et al., 2009).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The previous tensions raise important questions about how the life and work
31 experiences of women expatriates unfold in work settings in the Middle East. Literature
32 about expatriates has largely adopted a gender-neutral approach (Hartl, 2004),
33 oversimplifying the experiences of women expatriates and overlooking the impact their
34 status as women may have in these contexts. While as expatriates these women would
35 not experience societal arrangements in a similar manner as local women in the Middle
36 East (Stalker & Mavin, 2011), they still face diverse challenges resulting from being
37 foreign women working within neo-patriarchal structures. With that in mind, the starting
38 point of this paper is that women expatriates are positioned by institutions at the
39 intersection where privilege, from being part of a mobile elite, meets disadvantage, from
40 being women, in male-dominated neo-patriarchal settings. We use the notion of
41 institutions to refer to "humanly devised constraints that structure political, economic,
42 and social interaction" (North, 1991: 97). Whilst some research on expatriation has
43 alluded to the role of different institutions (e.g. customs, traditions, laws and regulation),
44 the way their resulting dynamics come together to shape women expatriates'
45 experiences remains largely under-researched. In this paper, we explore this by
46 focusing on the following question: *How do privilege and disadvantage shape the work
47 and life experiences of women expatriates in the Middle East?*
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In order to explore this question, we problematise the simultaneity of being an elite
4 group (e.g. expatriates) and a disadvantaged group (e.g. women) within the context of
5 Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, namely, Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar,
6 Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. We draw on literature about women and
7 work expatriation to highlight the complexity and multidimensionality of the experiences
8 of women expatriates, positioning the discussion within the framework of gendered
9 institutions (Acker, 1990, 1992). In doing so, we contribute a nuanced exploration of the
10 experiences of women expatriates that moves away from a positioning that focuses on
11 success and/or failures, instead looking to gain insight into the dynamics that shape
12 their experiences amidst conflicting positions.
13
14
15
16
17

18 The paper is organized in four sections. After this introduction, the first section
19 discusses women and expatriate work in the Middle East, arguing that a more nuanced
20 understanding of their experiences requires that we look to the role of institutions in
21 sustaining gendered structures that support the simultaneous existence of privilege and
22 disadvantage. This discussion shows the unresolved tensions in extant literature about
23 expatriates, which considers this group as an elite hence obscuring the challenges they
24 face in host settings. The second section unpacks the intersectionality of privilege and
25 disadvantage, setting out the theoretical underpinning of the paper. In this section, we
26 highlight the fluidity of privilege and disadvantage that helped us to interrogate the
27 experiences of women expatriates. The remaining sections explain the methodology,
28 discuss and analyze findings. The findings are organized around the themes of privilege
29 and disadvantage, where we identify and discuss instances that provided evidence of
30 their simultaneity in the life and work experiences of the women expatriates. The last
31 section concludes and identifies directions for future work.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **Expatriate workers in the GCC: The role of gendered institutions**

39

40 The GCC is considered the world's region most reliant on expatriate workers (Haak-
41 Saheem & Brewster, 2017; Tahir & Erteck, 2018). Expatriates represent 69.4%, 89.9%
42 and 88.5% of the population for Kuwait, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates,
43 respectively (Gulf Labour Markets and Migration, 2017). Despite an increase in the
44 labour market participation of women in the Middle East, in what has been considered a
45 sign of societal modernization of the region as a whole (Metcalf, 2007; Stockemer &
46 Sundström, 2016), women continue to be perceived as inferior to men (UN Women,
47 2017). The Islamic principle of men and women being equal but holding different social
48 and economic roles (Metcalf et al., 2009) does not automatically translate into women
49 having legitimate spaces in the labour market.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 While women form a significant part of the global workforce, they are largely
4 underrepresented in many expatriate work environments (Hutchings et al., 2013; Varma
5 & Russell, 2016; Hutchings & Michailova, 2017). Gender stereotypes and perceptions
6 about women's choices and expectations have been linked to this underrepresentation;
7 for example, that women are less motivated to expatriate than men, that they are not
8 provided the same organizational support as their male counterparts, and that they
9 struggle to adjust to host environments (Adler, 1984; Caligiuri & Lazarova, 2002; Altman
10 & Shortland, 2008; Shortland, 2009; Andresen et al., 2015).

11
12
13
14
15 However, recent work (e.g. Metcalfe, 2007; Altman & Shortland, 2008; Harrison &
16 Michailova, 2012; Rodriguez & Scurry, 2014; Scurry et al., 2013; Kapiszewski, 2016;
17 Ridgway & Robson, 2017), has shifted the focus toward the role of structures and
18 arrangements in host countries, exploring the tensions unfolding amidst the increasing
19 presence of women expatriates in neo-patriarchal regions, such as the Middle East. For
20 instance, in their study of expatriates in Qatar, Rodriguez & Scurry (2014) identified that
21 expatriates experienced career capital stagnation and hurdles associated with formal
22 and informal institutions (e.g. customs, traditions, codes of conduct, rules, regulations,
23 and laws). This resulted in organizations not investing in their training and development,
24 which in turn affected their ability to progress in their careers.

25
26
27
28
29
30 A useful lens to analyze the impact of these structures and arrangements on women
31 expatriates is the notion of 'gendered institutions' (Acker, 1990, 1992). This lens
32 supports the view that organizations operate as sites for the reproduction of gendered
33 patterns of difference and domination through decisions and procedures, images,
34 symbols and ideologies, interactions, and individual dynamics of gender performance
35 (Acker, 1992). Gender is integral to many societal processes and the notion of
36 gendered institutions alludes to the natural progression in the way working life is
37 structured to provide continuity to dynamics in the social world. In the Middle East, the
38 social and working lives of women are structurally organized around marriage and
39 motherhood as mechanisms to regulate gender roles for both men and women. For
40 instance, Rashad et al. (2005:2) note that marriage bestows "prestige, recognition, and
41 societal approval" to women and leave single women without a defined space in society.
42 In addition, the centrality of women's reproductive function, alongside religious norms,
43 sees the regulation of women's public interactions through the use of segregated
44 spaces (Moghadam, 2003; Le Renard, 2008). In organizations, gendering is then seen
45 in the way power is distributed, legitimacy is awarded, and individuals are controlled,
46 segregated and excluded.

47
48
49
50
51
52
53 The lack of autonomy of the gender system is reinforced by hegemonic masculinity,
54 where men are dominant actors in social and economic life, with employers openly
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 favoring male job seekers (World Bank, 2007). As a result, women's disadvantage is
4 perpetuated by institutions and organizations through what could be termed gendered
5 structural inequality, whereby formal and informal regimes that regulate gender roles
6 are embedded in the organization of work as well as in relational dynamics. In the case
7 of women expatriates in the Middle East, this gendered structural inequality has the
8 added complexity that as expatriates, they are a privileged group in the labour market,
9 yet as women they remain socially disadvantaged. For instance, political regulation,
10 such as labour nationalization policies¹ are said to disproportionately affect women
11 because they reproduce gendered norms through a wider regulatory framework that
12 includes migration, citizenship and employment regulations (Forstenlechner, 2009;
13 Harry, 2007; Metcalfe & Rees, 2010). Furthermore, where there are formal institutions
14 and policies to encourage gender equality, informal traditions and cultural norms have
15 limited this equality from materializing (Alhejji et al., 2016). In this respect, a more
16 nuanced approach is needed to explore the features of this complexity and their role in
17 shaping the experiences of women expatriates.
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 Given the role of these regulations in reproducing the gendered socio-cultural order, it
25 could be argued that institutional and organizational arrangements, such as laws and
26 policies that affect employment protection and rights in the Middle East, are gendered.
27 These regulations promote lack of employment flexibility, less favorable wages,
28 discrimination in employment selection and limited career progression (Al-Waqfi & Al-
29 Faki, 2015), so it is important to bring to the fore the experiences of women expatriates
30 as part of a cycle of simultaneous privilege and disadvantage. This helps us to
31 interrogate the narratives of success associated with global mobile professionals and
32 gain a better understanding of the cycles of disadvantage that affect particular groups of
33 workers, such as women expatriates.
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Focusing on the simultaneity of privilege and disadvantage to understand** 40 **experiences of women expatriates in the Middle East** 41

42
43 As previously noted, most discussions about expatriate workers have presented
44 expatriates as inherently privileged by virtue of their mobility with assumptions about
45 them being highly skilled and consequently very desirable in global labour markets.
46 Nevertheless, the conceptualisation of expatriation based solely on attributions of
47 privilege obscures discrete sources of discrimination (Crenshaw, 1991). For instance,
48 Haak-Saheem & Brewster (2017: 435) have drawn attention to 'hidden' expatriates
49 described as "generally young, a mix of men and women, coming mainly from
50 developing countries and working in lower-management, manual and menial roles
51 across industries". Whilst these groups form a large majority of the expatriate workforce
52 in the GCC, their heterogeneity has led to the articulation of informal hierarchies rooted
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 on ethno-class distinctions between the migrant communities they belong to. These
4 differences are sustained by economic and social factors used to differentiate 'elite'
5 privileged Western professional expatriates from unskilled migrant workers (Jamal,
6 2015; Strabac et al., 2018; Valenta & Jakobsen, 2016).
7
8

9
10 It is important to acknowledge that the notion of expatriates as an 'elite' is one
11 dimension of complex dynamics that bring together advantage and disadvantage
12 resulting from the combined influence of structural, disciplinary, hegemonic and
13 interpersonal domains (Acker, 1990, 2012; Collins, 2015; Holvino, 2010; Rodriguez et
14 al., 2016). For instance, whilst the positioning of 'elite' Western expatriates might be
15 privileged in relation to unskilled migrant workers, it is also disadvantageous in relation
16 to national citizens as a result of nationalization agendas. The latter is materialized
17 through higher salaries and promotion opportunities being offered to national citizens,
18 even if they are less qualified or experienced than their expatriate counterparts (Kemp &
19 Rickett, 2017; Syed & Metcalfe, 2017).
20
21
22
23

24 The study of privilege has been isolated from simultaneous considerations of
25 disadvantage. Most studies have been framed primarily in relation to the way particular
26 groups enjoy a privileged status based on assumptions about these groups being 'the
27 societal norm' that sets the benchmark for other groups (Crenshaw, 1989, 1991;
28 Wildman & Davis, 1997). Inspired by intersectionality discussions, recent works (e.g.
29 Croteau et al., 2002; Holvino, 2010; Carrim & Nkomo, 2016; Rodriguez et al., 2016;
30 Ruiz Castro & Holvino, 2016) have focused on the simultaneous experience of privilege
31 and disadvantage in work and organizations, arguing that they cannot be seen as
32 separate or fixed and should be explored as part of a dialectic process of invocation
33 whereby subject positioning is negotiated through shifts between the two. Privilege is
34 then a "dynamic, relational and unstable phenomenon" (Mavin & Grandy, 2016: 380)
35 that is intrinsically linked to disadvantage and centered on power relations that are fluid,
36 interactive and uneven.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 In this paper we engage with the idea that we need to move beyond a fixed
44 understanding of privilege to one that considers the importance of debunking the binary
45 position used to discuss it. For example, it is important to interrogate how categories of
46 difference are brought to the fore in particular settings, structures and interactions, to
47 explain how power shifts shape the experiences of individuals and groups in ways that
48 reveal the simultaneity of privilege and disadvantage. Thus, the complexity of
49 intersectionality is useful to challenge this presentation of privilege that seems to
50 support the hegemony of the 'white, middle class perspective'. Conversely, the
51 complexity of contemporary work settings calls for a more comprehensive exploration of
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 privilege and disadvantage, in particular if we consider how socio-cultural and
4 institutional structures and arrangements play a role in these dynamics.
5
6

7 In this context, the disadvantage experienced by women expatriates comes by the hand
8 of intersectional dynamics where gender alongside, for instance, racio-ethnicity,
9 nationality, class and citizenship, are used as mechanisms to perpetuate their
10 disadvantage (Bose, 2012; Rottmann & Ferree, 2008; Yuval-Davis, 2007). Thus,
11 dynamics of privilege and disadvantage can be seen as the result of complex
12 intersections between these categories of social difference, sustained by hierarchies
13 that emerge from exclusion, racialization, and unequal access to social and political
14 resources based on how individuals are positioned, as well as how they position
15 themselves within institutional and organizational arrangements (Acker, 1990; 2012;
16 Anthias, 2013).
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Methodology**

23
24
25 In this paper we are interested in showing how the life and work experiences of women
26 expatriates are shaped by simultaneous instances of privilege and disadvantage.
27 Participants in this study were located in either Kuwait, Qatar or the United Arab
28 Emirates. All had previous international experience and some had experience of
29 working within the GCC region as well as other Middle Eastern countries, namely Egypt,
30 Israel, and Yemen. Table 1 provides details of some of the formal institutional, legal and
31 social factors influencing women in the countries where the sample of participants was
32 located.
33
34
35

36
37 ***INSERT TABLE 1 HERE***
38

39
40 Data used in this paper are part of two larger qualitative studies conducted separately
41 by both authors about the life and work experiences of expatriates in the GCC. For the
42 purpose of this paper, we combined data sets of women expatriate in our samples,
43 which was possible due to the similarity of our studies in terms of the thematic focus
44 and methodological approach. Both authors used a combination of purposive and
45 snowball sampling. A breakdown of the demographic details of participants reported in
46 this paper is provided in Table 2. All participants have been allocated pseudonyms to
47 protect their anonymity. In the reporting of the findings, our convention for citing
48 interview data is: (Interviewee pseudonym, Place of residence).
49
50
51

52
53 ***INSERT TABLE 2 HERE***
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Data were gathered through semi-structured interviews conducted with 34 women
4 expatriates. Interviews lasted on average 1.5 hours and in all cases were conducted in
5 English (either face-to-face or using a voice-over-internet protocol), digitally recorded
6 and transcribed verbatim. We asked participants about their motives for expatriating to a
7 GCC country and the trajectory of their professional and personal lives in the host
8 country. We were particularly interested in their accounts about their interactions with
9 others (immigration officials, other expatriates, locals, etc.) and how these interactions
10 shaped their experiences in the context. Data coding and analysis were structured using
11 QSR NVivo11. Our analysis of the data paid attention to how the women positioned
12 themselves narratively; as Cole (2009: 563) has noted, “narrative can illuminate often
13 hidden complexities while seeking to avoid simplistic generalizations and
14 essentialisms”.

15
16
17
18
19
20 We drew insights from intersectionality to analyze the data. Our analytical strategy
21 followed the work of Strauss and Corbin (1998) and employed a template analysis
22 process (King & Brooks, 2017). Following similar efforts (e.g. Carrim & Nkomo, 2016;
23 Gioia et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2014) in data reduction of qualitative findings, we
24 conducted the coding in three stages. The first stage involved open coding, where we
25 read all interview transcripts independently and used a combination of *a priori* codes
26 that drew on existing literature, such as ‘expatriates as experts’ (see Rodriguez &
27 Scurry, 2014) and ‘lack of support for work/life balance’ (see Harris, 2004; Mäkelä et al.,
28 2011), and codes that emerged from participants’ narratives. The second stage involved
29 axial coding, conducted via a collaborative interpretive reading of transcripts where we
30 drew on Acker’s (1988, 1990, 1992) notion of gendered institutions to interpret the first
31 codes and assign the theoretical categories that led us to an agreed coding template.
32 The third stage involved aggregating theoretical categories into the thematic dimensions
33 of privilege and disadvantage, which reflected tensions we identified emerging from the
34 data. These aggregate theoretical categories highlight how gendering operates in this
35 context, whereby “advantage and disadvantage, exploitation and control, action and
36 emotion, meaning and identity, are patterned through and in terms of a distinction
37 between male and female, masculine and feminine” (Acker, 1990:146). Table 3
38 provides an example of our data structure.

39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47 ***INSERT TABLE 3 HERE***

48
49 In the next section, we discuss the empirical findings using three analytical domains
50 where we unpack dynamics and processes of privilege and disadvantage: (1) the wider
51 institutional context, (2) organizational processes and (3) social and relational
52 experiences.

Empirical findings: Navigating privilege and disadvantage in life and work in the Middle East

The wider institutional context of the Middle East

The simultaneity of privilege and disadvantage emerged as a defining feature of the experiences of women expatriates in the GCC. The women explained their arrival to the GCC as part of a grand narrative of freedom, mobility and job market desirability, where they used metaphors such as 'new challenge', 'different world' and 'adventure' to describe their initial impressions and expectations. This echoes what has been reported in the literature (Doherty et al., 2011; Scurry et al., 2013) about the idealistic way in which expatriates explain their motives and expectations about host settings. Nevertheless, the women also showed awareness of structural forms of inequality enforced by regimes in these countries, which position them in subordinate positions in relation to men.

“[As an educated expatriate] I had that confidence then that I would get a job and it would be okay. But it was interesting to move from being a... moving out here and being a housewife... I felt really embarrassed and a bit like, oh you know, I've worked really hard at my education and now it says I'm a housewife on my passport. So I suppose it was kind of... yeah, that was a bit difficult...” (Daisy, Abu Dhabi)

Migration regulation, as an institutionalised strategy of power, plays a role in the way privilege is both awarded and undermined for expatriates. On the one hand, expatriates benefit from the significant shortage of skilled labour in the GCC and are widely sought after in a region that values their skills and experience. On the other hand, migration regulation is very rigid and categorizations are applied to different groups (Kapiszewski, 2004). For instance, whilst single women are unable to enter to live in a GCC country without a job, married women entering a GCC country without a job can be sponsored by their husbands and receive a visa stamped as 'housewife'. Some of the women expressed that this located them in a particular social position that did not recognise their individual accomplishments. Daisy's case shows how assumptions and expectations about gender roles are used to frame the citizenship status of women; migration regulations form part of wider mechanisms that seek to frame women's position as part of a specific gender regime of subordination in society, ultimately shaping the nature of their subsequent interactions through the institutional boundaries they create.

1
2
3 The implication of a woman's status as a housewife is the dependency on the husband
4 as the main breadwinner, which reflects the traditional societal structure in the Middle
5 East, where men are recognised as sole breadwinners of families (Metcalf, 2007). In
6 that respect, despite all the women expatriates in our sample being working women,
7 those who entered the countries with a spouse who had a job before they secured one,
8 had to accept entering these countries as 'housewives' in order to be able to
9 accompany their spouses. Importantly, as we will discuss later, the transition from
10 'housewife' to 'worker' became difficult as a result of this status.
11
12
13
14

15 Tensions in relation to how women themselves narratively navigated privilege and
16 disadvantage were raised by the women in their accounts. While in cases such as
17 Daisy's, the status of housewife was perceived to undermine individual
18 accomplishments, other women acknowledged that one of the implications of being
19 classed as a housewife was the creation of the imaginary of an easy life. Expatriate
20 housewives in the Middle East lead lives that show features of middle-classness
21 reminiscent of the 'Stepford wives' metaphor and its fetichization of housework (Silver,
22 2002). The following quote illustrates a narrative connection made between being a
23 housewife and having a comfortable financial situation:
24
25
26
27

28 " [I] really enjoyed that element of a being a bit of a housewife, of being able to
29 support [husband] get settled, make sure that we have a house, make sure we
30 have all the... everything set up so he can just focus on his job, and I can do that.
31 And to be that supportive role I enjoyed, even though it had a lot of stigma for
32 me" (Kelly, Abu Dhabi)
33
34
35

36 There is a class undertone to the idea of 'being a bit of a housewife', which has been
37 discussed in reference to the 'expat bubble' (Fechter, 2007; 2016), which alludes to the
38 creation of artificial narratives that re-produce imagined lives of privilege that expatriates
39 may be afforded in host countries in ways that do not, or would unlikely, resemble their
40 lives in their home countries. In this sense, we could see Kelly's comment as an
41 example of the (re)articulation of privilege in a way that negates the disadvantage that
42 the label of 'housewife' otherwise creates as a result of the stigma she still recognises.
43
44
45
46

47 Another instance where the privileged status conferred to expatriates was undermined
48 by the socio-institutional order was through distinctions that defined the social standing
49 of women based on their marital status. The perceived social credibility of married
50 women was highlighted by single women in our sample, who noted that, in contrast,
51 they experienced many challenges in these societies:
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 “For a woman especially, you have to be careful and respectful. Back home you
4 can walk, take public transport, you can wear what you like, and do whatever you
5 want to. You have to follow the rules here. You have to be careful when you
6 speak, and act. It’s a good place for a family, but for a single person, it’s hard.
7 They are very family oriented here, back home it’s more independent. Just the
8 general lifestyle is very different” (Krithika, Doha)
9
10
11

12 Krithika’s experience echoes what has been reported about the lives of single women in
13 the Middle East, where women remain spatially segregated despite generational and
14 social changes that see many pursuing higher education (Le Renard, 2008). Given the
15 centrality of marriage and motherhood in the organization of social life, single women do
16 not have a legitimate space and this is regulated through institutionalised mechanisms,
17 such as the presence of chaperones in interactions in the public domain.
18
19
20

21 Most women expatriates in our sample reported being unfamiliar with these dynamics
22 and their salience in the social structures in the Middle East, which they perceived did
23 not allow them to exercise their full personhood. Organizationally, this translated into a
24 lack of work opportunities for single women with some countries either forbidding, and
25 others not easily allowing work permits for single women expatriates or even married
26 women expatriates not accompanied by a spouse (Naithani & Jha, 2009).
27
28
29
30

31 However, while married women enjoyed the privilege of social approval in ways that
32 single women did not, gender appeared to always supersede the privileges awarded by
33 marriage. Some married women in our sample noted that the simultaneous career
34 pursuit of both themselves and their partners involved strategic decision-making in order
35 to navigate the family and mobility demands of dual-career couples (Patton & Doherty,
36 2017). This resulted in challenges for the women in cases where they travelled first to
37 the Middle East; for example, one participant noted that she moved first with her small
38 children to secure accommodation, register the children at a school, and undertake
39 other tasks associated with setting up home in Qatar, while her spouse dealt with the
40 sale of the family home and the logistics of household relocation. This proved
41 problematic for her given the lack of support from her employer:
42
43
44
45
46

47 “I’d worked in the UK business for such a long time and having had two children
48 there, appreciated, and was to a certain extent complacent about the amount of
49 flexibility I had. I was able to work part time; I was told that I couldn’t when I came
50 to the Middle East that there was no part time working. I was told, because I was
51 working on this project that I was 100% billable and that any time that I needed to
52 take out, you know, they’d have to get approval for from the client. That was a
53 real shock to the system, because obviously having arrived a day earlier with two
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 small children under the age of five, I was facing a very difficult situation and, to a
4 certain extent, difficult decision, you know, do I carry on doing this and make it
5 work or do we just say no, it's not for us and leave. And that was...they were
6 serious decisions that I had to make very quickly, and face the kind of
7 conversation with colleagues that were, you know, if you're going to make it work
8 this is what you have to get in place, this is what you're going to have to do; this
9 is additional costs that you're going to have to incur – none of that was foreseen
10 before we arrived.” (Cara, Doha)
11
12
13
14

15 The lack of organizational support for the needs of diverse female workers shows
16 organizational unfamiliarity with, and disregard for, their needs. This could be the result
17 of the small number of women in the workforce in the country; statistics produced by
18 Qatar’s Ministry of Development Planning and Statistics (State of Qatar, 2018) indicate
19 that 14% of the workforce in the country are women, of which 87% are expatriates. In
20 that respect, the privileged status Cara derived from being an expatriate who secured a
21 very lucrative job was undermined by the organizational precariousness related to the
22 lack of support to help her to navigate the initial demands of work and life as a mother of
23 young children.
24
25
26
27

28 As policies of localization stress that organizations invest in the local workforce, there is
29 limited support for expatriates (Rodriguez & Scurry, 2014); conversely, given the small
30 number of local women in the private sector, there are limited developmental
31 opportunities for this group in general terms so the needs of women may not be at the
32 top of organizational agendas. The underpinning centrality of gender in the articulation
33 of institutional dynamics results in logics that prioritize gendered notions of family and
34 kinship (Acker, 1990). For instance, the dominant understandings of family in the Middle
35 East, which are said to be reductionist and functionalist and reinforce status
36 expectations built around a central male figure as head of household and face of the
37 family in the public domain (Moghadam, 2004).
38
39
40
41
42

43 ***Organizational processes in the Middle East***

44
45

46 Access to the world of work also exemplified the tensions linked to the simultaneity of
47 privilege and disadvantage experienced by women expatriates. For most of the women,
48 the route to employment appeared more complex than what they had encountered in
49 their home countries mainly due to difficulties in establishing networks (*wasta*). The
50 complexity of *wasta* is linked to how the strength of networks emanates from
51 connections sustained by family, kinship and obligation and imply “the exercise of
52 power, influence and information sharing through social and political business networks”
53 (Hutchings & Weir, 2006: 143). In practice, this translates into employment opportunities
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 materializing through social networking, without any accompanying formal process of
4 recruitment and selection, which affected women in our sample, particularly self-initiated
5 expatriates, as they did not have established connections:
6
7

8 “I think job hunting was really difficult and I think everybody tells you it’s all about
9 who you know. And the annoying thing is when you arrive you don’t know
10 anybody. So there’s a lot of that and it’s really true, when you’re going through,
11 you know, the websites and stuff you feel like your applications are going into
12 black holes” (Daisy, Abu Dhabi)
13
14
15

16 This disadvantage was enhanced by the limited instances of socialization available to
17 the women due to gender segregation. Given that exchanges are only allowed in
18 women-only spaces, women expatriates do not gain access to wider networks linked to
19 employment opportunities because these are mainly dominated by men. As a result,
20 women expatriates are mainly able to socialize with other women who themselves often
21 do not work, which limits their agency and the support they need to develop a strategy
22 of social capital accumulation to advance their careers (Kumra & Vinnicombe, 2010).
23
24
25
26

27 Organizationally, there is an important tension that unsettles the privilege of women
28 expatriates. Fechter (2016) notes that the very concept of the ‘expat expert’ is the
29 overriding narrative that accompanies the presence of expatriates and is used to justify
30 their position and explain their privilege in host settings. However, despite women
31 expatriates enjoying a privileged status, which includes the freedom to access particular
32 jobs that local women may be unlikely to enter, competitive merit is not what allows
33 these women to secure a job, given the more complex dynamics at play. In particular,
34 the women are subordinated to the dominant groups (expatriate men and local men) in
35 the form of regulations established at the institutional level and implemented through
36 organizational practices. For example, the dependency on husbands (or male sponsors)
37 in order to be able to take up any form of employment, as well as a generalized dynamic
38 that subordinates women’s voices in interactions in public spaces:
39
40
41
42
43

44 “You know, people addressing [my husband] instead of me, but it’s me with the
45 issue. You know, it’s... and even I suppose getting a job. Because [my husband]
46 had to say that he consented to me having a job, and having a driver’s license,
47 and things like that. I think it’s quite an eye opener having your husband have to
48 sign [a no objections certificate] to say that you’re allowed to work and drive.”
49 (Kelly, Abu Dhabi)
50
51
52

53 The guardianship system requiring that men (e.g. fathers, husbands or brothers) sign a
54 written declaration of consent to indicate that they do not object to women undertaking
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 employment before women are able to look for employment, is perhaps one of the
4 strongest ways in which women are disadvantaged in the labour market. This restricts
5 their personal freedom, granting formal powers to the men in their lives to legitimize
6 their actions and veto their activities. Furthermore, the complexity of this form of
7 patrilineality in social relations (see Joseph & Slyomovics, 2011) is reconfigured in
8 relation to women expatriates because they normally do not have extended family with
9 them. Some women expatriates noted that their husbands found this procedure
10 inconsequential and recognised it as a contextual hurdle to get out of the way.
11 Nevertheless, its presence speaks of institutionalised mechanisms that disadvantage
12 women by limiting their personhood and stripping them of individual agency in the public
13 domain, which challenged the experiences of women expatriates in the labour market
14 and their ability to make independent decisions with regard to pursuing work and
15 developing their careers.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 ***Social and relational experiences in the Middle East***

23
24

25 Women's experiences at work and in the social world were diverse and characterized
26 by the constant negotiation of contradictions between their status as professional
27 experts and the disadvantage associated with gendered structures (Acker, 1990).
28 Women's narratives highlighted tensions of inhabiting contradictory spaces in the social
29 world: on the one hand, due to skills shortage, the Middle East has a strong reliance on
30 expatriate labour; on the other hand, being women was at the centre of their struggles
31 with gendered norms and structures. Mechanisms of disadvantage appeared to be
32 framed within formalized interactions, which may explain why some women noted that
33 despite the lack of opportunities and progression, they still felt treated with respect and
34 deference at work by their male peers.
35
36
37
38
39

40 Acker (2012) has noted that gendered substructures help to reproduce societal beliefs
41 about gender, equality and inequality in work settings. In this respect, the respectful
42 treatment of women expatriates is not inconsistent with the ways in which women are
43 kept at a distance by men in the Middle East. Some participants note that their presence
44 seemed to be tolerated rather than valued; for example whilst being invited to meetings,
45 their views and expertise were completely ignored. This echoes what Gherardi (1994)
46 has noted about the way we do gender at work, which reinforces particular gendered
47 features in organizations that reproduce societal norms and increase inequalities
48 between men and women. Ultimately, the lack of engagement with women expatriates
49 obscures claims of disadvantage as the formalized nature of interactions would counter
50 the argument that women are mistreated by men at work. This tension is highlighted in
51 the following comment:
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 “Men tend to look at you as a secondary citizen. They feel they are stronger, and
4 you are not able to do your job as well as them, your abilities are only this much.
5 They also think that all the top positions should be for males and they can
6 progress better. It’s very male dominated here. And I think because of the
7 mentality, that becomes a barrier for the woman to advance in her career. We
8 are still treated with a level of respect. I have been treated well though” (Amy,
9 Doha)
10
11
12

13
14 There is increasing acknowledgement of the reconfiguration of patriarchal regimes
15 insofar as they no longer rely on the dichotomization of private and public spaces, and
16 their impact on the experiences of women. For instance, in this context, the power of
17 local men as the dominant group is central to unsettling the status of women expatriates
18 in work settings. It has been noted (see Moghadam, 2003:5) that gender relations draw
19 heavily on religion and cultural norms, governing women’s work, positioning them as
20 illegitimate within the work domain, and reinforcing patriarchal views that they should
21 not be part of the labour force. As a result, the presence of women expatriates is
22 contentious, and the women recognised that they had to adopt a cautious approach in
23 their interactions with local men:
24
25
26

27
28 “You always have to be aware of what you say, to make sure you don’t say
29 anything disrespectful. I always am extremely cautious ‘cause you don’t want
30 them to take anything the wrong way” (Emily, Dubai)
31
32

33
34 The regulation of social interactions is further legitimized by the role of the state in the
35 politics of male-female relations (Joseph & Slyomovics, 2011) enforced through strict
36 gendered social norms. Expectations of appropriate gendered behaviour in the Middle
37 East are related to a code of modesty as a pillar of respectable femininity and upon
38 which rests the dignity and reputation of women (Metcalf, 2007; Stalker & Mavin,
39 2011). It is important to note that whilst the underlying principle that sustains the
40 practice of chaperoning women in public spaces is related to preserving their virtue and
41 respectability, it is also a way of reinforcing male dominance in the public domain. One
42 participant recounted the following about interactions with local men outside of the
43 workplace:
44
45
46

47
48 “Sometimes you encounter men who show you respect, and some harass you.
49 Some are rude and perverted. Few years ago, I would just be standing on the
50 street, and they would throw their numbers at me.” (Janice, Doha)
51
52

53
54 Janice then noted that these encounters had left her feeling humiliated, insulted and
55 undermined but unable to understand what she had done to deserve this treatment. As
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 we have noted, the spatial, institutional and discursive segregation of women is an
4 organizing principle of social life in the Middle East (Le Renard, 2008). As such, women
5 who would “just be standing on the street” by themselves could be seen to be
6 countervailing social norms and would not be perceived as respectable. Harassment is
7 then both a form of social punishment as much as it is a mechanism of regulation of
8 social hierarchies between men and women, looking to reaffirm the strong gender roles
9 in Islamic culture (Metcalf, 2006).
10
11
12

13
14 In sum, there is a symbiotic interaction between organizational rules and regulations
15 and socio-cultural norms and dynamics, resulting in the articulation and reproduction of
16 instances of privilege and disadvantage that shape the experiences of women
17 expatriates in the Middle East. In this context, formal and informal institutions
18 simultaneously undermine women expatriates’ personhood and the possibilities to make
19 choices about their life, work and careers.
20
21
22

23 **Conclusion, contributions and directions for future research**

24
25

26
27 This paper set out to explore how privilege and disadvantage shape the work and life
28 experiences of women expatriates in the Middle East, specifically in GCC countries. The
29 experiences of the women expatriates discussed in this paper help to open up a wider
30 discussion about the importance of problematising privilege and its understanding.
31 Traditionally, privilege has been opposed to disadvantage instead of exploring it as a
32 continuous process of negotiation where both coexist as part of systemic processes that
33 institutionally, organizationally and relationally affect particular groups. Furthermore, the
34 positioning of this discussion in the context of the Middle East highlights ways in which
35 social and work domains intersect to create dynamics that distinctly position women
36 expatriates within complex tensions that they constantly negotiate.
37
38
39
40

41 An important consideration is that the prevalent premise in discussions about the
42 privileged is that they have tended to benefit from the exclusion of others (Johnson,
43 2006; Reiter, 2013). In this respect, there is dichotomization of individuals and groups
44 involved in these dynamics, which overlooks the simultaneous negotiation of privilege
45 and disadvantage. In this paper, we have shown how the experiences of women
46 expatriates are shaped by shifts in power in social and work domains, which constantly
47 re-position them within environments in the Middle East. In line with intersectional
48 understandings of simultaneity (see Holvino, 2010), we conclude that privilege and
49 disadvantage are inseparable to the way the experiences of women expatriates unfold
50 in the Middle East. On the one hand, women expatriates are privileged because as
51 expatriate professionals, they are part of a group of workers that enjoys an elite status
52 both globally and in the context of the Middle East. On the other hand, as women, they
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 experience disadvantage resulting from the strength of the patriarchal regimes in the
4 context. In this respect, there is important insight to be gained from the way the
5 institutional setting articulates this inseparability in order to regulate and maintain the
6 gender social order in organizational and social life (Acker, 1990).
7
8

9
10 In contextualizing the life and work experiences of women expatriates in relation to
11 dynamics of privilege and disadvantage, the paper shows that the experiences of this
12 group should not be generalized to a homogenous understanding of what it means to be
13 skilled and mobile in global labour markets. Throughout the paper, we allude to the
14 need for nuance in the exploration of the experiences of women expatriates in order to
15 challenge dominant gender-neutral narratives about expatriates, which sustain ideas
16 about expatriates as an elite group whilst obscuring the disadvantage experienced by
17 women. In this respect, our findings support Acker's (1990) idea that in looking to
18 present work as gender-neutral, the gendering that underpins organizational logics is
19 both obscured and enhanced. We have contributed to this nuanced exploration by
20 moving away from a positioning that focuses on success and/or failure of expatriate
21 experiences, instead looking to gain insight into the dynamics that shape their
22 experiences amidst conflicting positions.
23
24
25
26
27

28 Discussions in this paper highlight that the status of women expatriates as a privileged
29 elite needs to be further interrogated adopting an integrated framework that looks at
30 how institutions, organizations and relationships impact career choices and the
31 experiences of international work more generally, especially in the Middle East. While
32 women's labour mobility can be seen as an indicator of ways in which they enter spaces
33 of privilege and increase their global capital, and economic and social mobility, these
34 also come attached to reconfigured forms of disadvantage. These forms play a role in
35 shaping their experiences in ways that differ from both local women, local men and
36 expatriate men. In this paper, the neo-patriarchal dynamics prevalent in the Middle East
37 helped us to illustrate this.
38
39
40
41
42

43 However, it is worth noting that while there are common features in GCC countries,
44 such as Islam being the predominant religion, Arabic the native language and a
45 hierarchical culture (Kabasakal & Bodur, 2002; Al-Omari, 2008; Williams, 2010), which
46 play a fundamental role in shaping the life and work experiences of women expatriates,
47 the economic, political and socio-cultural features of each of the countries should not be
48 taken as generalizable. In addition, we must recognise that similar dynamics have been
49 found in other regions, for instance, machismo and patronage in Latin America (Gomez
50 & Rodriguez, 2006). This suggests there are important avenues for advancement of
51 discussions by focusing more comprehensively on the exploration of institutional
52 varieties as well as localized reformulations of gender(ed) regimes, also looking to
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 develop comparative work across regions of the Global South. This would help to
4 further our understanding of how cultural, institutional and organizational factors
5 intersect to shape the experiences of women expatriates and help to develop strategies
6 to support them in host settings.
7
8
9

10 References

- 11
12
13 Acker, J. (1988). Class, gender, and the relations of distribution. *Signs: Journal of*
14 *Women in Culture and Society*, 13(3), 473-497.
15 Acker, J. (1990). Hierarchies, jobs, bodies: A theory of gendered organizations. *Gender*
16 *& Society*, 4(2), 139-158.
17 Acker, J. (1992). From sex roles to gendered institutions. *Contemporary sociology*,
18 21(5), 565-569.
19 Acker, J. (2012) Gendered organizations and intersectionality: problems and
20 possibilities, *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 31(3),
21 214-224.
22 Adler, N. J. (1984). Women do not want international careers: And other myths about
23 international management. *Organizational Dynamics*, 13(2), 66-79.
24 Al-Omari, J. (2008). *Understanding the Arab Culture*. Oxford: How To Books Ltd.
25 Al-Waqfi, M. A., & Al-Faki, I. A. (2015). Gender-based differences in employment
26 conditions of local and expatriate workers in the GCC context: Empirical
27 evidence from the United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Manpower*,
28 36(3), 397-415.
29 Al Ariss, A., Koall, I., Ozbilgin, M., & Suutari, V. (2012). Careers of skilled migrants:
30 towards a theoretical and methodological expansion. *Journal of Management*
31 *Development*, 31(2), 92-101.
32 Alhejji, H., Ng, E. S., Garavan, T., & Carbery, R. (2016). The Impact of Formal and
33 Informal Distance on Gender Equality Approaches: The Case of a British MNC in
34 Saudi Arabia. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 630-631.
35 Altman, Y., & Shortland, S. (2008). Women and International Assignments: Taking
36 Stock - A 25-Year Review. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 47(2), 199-
37 216.
38 Andresen, M., Biemann, T. & Pattie, M. W. (2015). What makes them move abroad?
39 Reviewing and exploring differences between self-initiated and assigned
40 expatriation. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(7),
41 932-947.
42 Anthias, F. (2013). Intersectional what? Social divisions, intersectionality and levels of
43 analysis. *Ethnicities*, 13(1), 3-19.
44 Beaverstock, J. V. (2002). Transnational elites in global cities: British expatriates in
45 Singapore's financial district. *Geoforum*, 33(4), 525-538.
46 Bose, C. E. (2012). Intersectionality and Global Gender Inequality. *Gender & Society*,
47 26(1), 67-72.
48 Caligiuri, P., & Lazarova, M. (2002). A model for the influence of social interaction and
49 social support on female expatriates' cross-cultural adjustment. *International*
50 *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 13(5), 761-772.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Caligiuri, P. M. & Tung, R. L. (1999). Comparing the success of male and female
4 expatriates from a US-based multinational company. *International Journal of*
5 *human resource management*, 10(5), 763-782.
- 6 Carrim, N. M. H., & Nkomo, S. M. (2016). Wedding Intersectionality Theory and Identity
7 Work in Organizations: South African Indian Women Negotiating Managerial
8 Identity. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 23(3), 261–277.
- 9 Cole, B. A. (2009). Gender, Narratives and Intersectionality: Can Personal Experience
10 Approaches to Research Contribute to “Undoing Gender”? *International Review*
11 *of Education*, 55(5), 561-578.
- 12 Collins, P. H. (2015). Intersectionality’s Definitional Dilemmas. *Annual Review of*
13 *Sociology*, 41, 1-20.
- 14 Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black
15 Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist
16 Policies. *The University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
- 17 Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and
18 Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.
- 19 Croteau, J. M., Talbot, D. M., Lance, T. S., & Evans, N. J. (2002). A qualitative study of
20 the interplay between privilege and oppression. *Journal of Multicultural*
21 *Counseling and Development*, 30, 239-258.
- 22 de Eccher, U., & Duarte, H. (2016). How images about emerging economies influence
23 the willingness to accept expatriate assignments. *The International Journal of*
24 *Human Resource Management*, 5192(April), 1-27.
- 25 Doherty, N., Dickmann, M., & Mills, T. (2011). Exploring the motives of company-backed
26 and self-initiated expatriates. *The International Journal of Human Resource*
27 *Management*, 22(03), 595-611.
- 28 Farrer, J. (2010). “New Shanghailanders” or “New Shanghainese”: Western Expatriates’
29 Narratives of Emplacement in Shanghai. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*,
30 36(8), 1211–1228.
- 31 Fechter, A. M. (2007) Fechter, A. M. (2007). Living in a bubble. In Amit, V. (Ed) *Going*
32 *First class New approaches to privileged travel and movement*, Oxford:
33 Berghahn Books, pp. 33-52.
- 34 Fechter, A. M. (2016). *Transnational lives: expatriates in Indonesia*. London: Routledge.
- 35 Forstenlechner, I. (2009). Workforce localization in emerging Gulf economies: the need
36 to fine-tune HRM. *Personnel Review*, 39(1), 135-152.
- 37 Forstenlechner, I. (2010). Expats and citizens: managing diverse teams in the Middle
38 East. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 16(5/6), 237-
39 241.
- 40 Forstenlechner, I., & Rutledge, E. (2010). Unemployment in the Gulf: time to update the
41 “social contract”. *Middle East Policy*, 17(2), 38-51.
- 42 Forstenlechner, I., & Rutledge, E. J. (2011). The GCC’s “demographic imbalance”:
43 Perceptions, realities and policy options. *Middle East Policy*, 18(4), 25-43.
- 44 Fox, J.W., Mourtada-Sabbah, N. and al-Mutawa, M. (2006) The Arab Gulf region:
45 Traditionalism globalized or globalization traditionalized? In Fox, J.W., Mourtada-
46 Sabbah, N. and al-Mutawa, M. (Eds), *Globalization and the Gulf*, Routledge,
47 London, pp. 3-59.
- 48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Gherardi, S. (1994). The gender we think, the gender we do in our everyday
4 organizational lives. *Human relations*, 47(6), 591-610.
- 5 Gioia, D. a., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2012). Seeking Qualitative Rigor in
6 Inductive Research: Notes on the Gioia Methodology. *Organizational Research*
7 *Methods*, 16(1), 15-31.
- 8 Gomez, C. F., & Rodriguez, J. K. (2006). Four keys to Chilean culture: authoritarianism,
9 legalism, fatalism and compadrazgo. *Asian Journal of Latin American Studies*,
10 19(3), 43-65.
- 11 Gulf Labour Markets and Migration. (2017). GCC: Total population and percentage of
12 nationals and foreign nationals in GCC countries. Available at:
13 [http://gulfmigration.eu/gcc-total-population-percentage-nationals-foreign-](http://gulfmigration.eu/gcc-total-population-percentage-nationals-foreign-nationals-gcc-countries-national-statistics-2010-2016-numbers/?print=pdf)
14 [nationals-gcc-countries-national-statistics-2010-2016-numbers/?print=pdf](http://gulfmigration.eu/gcc-total-population-percentage-nationals-foreign-nationals-gcc-countries-national-statistics-2010-2016-numbers/?print=pdf)
15 (Accessed 10/03/17).
- 16 Haak-Saheem, W., & Brewster, C. (2017). "Hidden" expatriates: international mobility in
17 the United Arab Emirates as a challenge to current understanding of expatriation.
18 *Human Resource Management Journal*, 27(3), 423–439.
- 19 Harris, H. (2004). Global careers: Work-life issues and the adjustment of women
20 international managers. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(9), 818-832.
- 21 Harry, W. (2007). Employment creation and localization: the crucial human resource
22 issues for the GCC. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*,
23 18(1), 132–146.
- 24 Hartl, K. (2004). The expatriate career transition and women managers' experiences.
25 *Women in Management Review*, 19(1), 40–51.
- 26 Hearn, J. (2009). Patriarchies, transpatriarchies, and intersectionalities. In E. H. Oleksy
27 (Ed.), *Intimate Citizenships: Gender, sexualities, politics* (pp. 177–192).
28 Abingdon: Routledge.
- 29 Holvino, E. (2010). Intersections: The simultaneity of race, gender and class in
30 Organization Studies. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 17(3), 248–277.
- 31 Hutchings, K., & Weir, D. (2006). Guanxi and wasta: A comparison. *Thunderbird*
32 *International Business Review*, 48(1), 141-156.
- 33 Hutchings, K., Michailova, S., & Harrison, E. C. (2013). Neither Ghettoed Nor
34 Cosmopolitan: A Study of Western Women's Perceptions of Gender and Cultural
35 Stereotyping in the UAE. *Management International Review*, 53, 291–318.
- 36 International Labour Organization. (2017). *Country Profile*. Available at:
37 <http://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=1000:11003::NO::> (Accessed 08/05/18).
- 38 Jamal, M. A. (2015). The "tiering" of Citizenship and Residency and the "hierarchization"
39 of Migrant Communities: The United Arab Emirates in Historical Context.
40 *International Migration Review*, 49(3), 601–632.
- 41 Johnson, A. G. (2006). *Privilege, power, and difference*. Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.
- 42 Joseph, S., & Slyomovics, S. (2011). Introduction. In S. Joseph & S. Slyomovics (Eds.),
43 *Women and Power in the Middle East* (pp. 1–22). Philadelphia: University of
44 Pennsylvania Press.
- 45 Kabasakal, H., & Bodur, M. (2002). Arabic cluster: a bridge between East and West.
46 *Journal of World Business*, 37, 40-54.
- 47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Kapiszewski, A. (2004). Arab labour migration to the GCC states. In International
4 Organization for Migration (IOM) and League of Arab States (Eds) *Arab migration*
5 *in a globalized world*, Geneva: IOM, pp. 115-133.
6
7 Kemp, L. J., & Rickett, B. (2017). The lived experiences of foreign women: Influences
8 on their international working lives. *Gender, Work & Organization*.
9
10 King, N., & Brooks, J. M. (2017). *Template Analysis*. London: Sage.
11
12 Kumra, S., & Vinnicombe, S. (2010). Impressing for success: A gendered analysis of a
13 key social capital accumulation strategy. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 17(5),
14 521-546.
15
16 Le Renard, A. (2008). "Only for women:" Women, the state, and reform in Saudi Arabia.
17 *The Middle East Journal*, 62(4), 610-629.
18
19 Mäkelä, L., Suutari, V., & Mayerhofer, H. (2011). Lives of female expatriates: work-life
20 balance concerns. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 26(4), 256-
21 274.
22
23 Mavin, S., & Grandy, G. (2016). Women Elite Leaders Doing Respectable Business
24 Femininity: How Privilege is Conferred, Contested and Defended through the
25 Body. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 23(4), 379-396.
26
27 Metcalfe, B. D. (2006). Exploring cultural dimensions of gender and management in the
28 Middle East. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 48(1), 93-107.
29
30 Metcalfe, B. D. (2007). Gender and human resource management in the Middle East.
31 *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 54-74.
32
33 Metcalfe, B. D. (2008). Women, management and globalization in the Middle East.
34 *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(1), 85-100.
35
36 Metcalfe, B. D., Hutchings, K., & Cooper, B. (2009). Re-examining Women's
37 International Management Opportunities and Experiences: A Middle Eastern
38 Perspective. In K. Ibeh & S. Davies (Eds.), *Contemporary Challenges to*
39 *International Business* (pp. 232-250). London: Palgrave-Macmillan.
40
41 Metcalfe, B. D., & Rees, C. J. (2010). Gender, globalization and organization: exploring
42 power, relations and intersections. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An*
43 *International Journal*, 29(1), 5-22.
44
45 Moghadam, V. M. (2003). *Modernizing women: Gender and social change in the Middle*
46 *East*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
47
48 Moghadam, V. M. (2004). Patriarchy in transition: Women and the changing family in
49 the Middle East. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 137-162.
50
51 Naithani, D., & Jha, A. (2009). Challenges faced by expatriate workers in the Gulf
52 Cooperation Council countries. Available at:
53 <https://philpapers.org/archive/NAICFB.pdf> (Accessed 14/08/18).
54
55 North, D. C. (1991). Institutions. *Journal of economic perspectives*, 5(1), 97-112.
56
57 Patton, W., & Doherty, C. (2017). Career, family, and workforce mobility: an
58 interdisciplinary conversation. *Journal of Career Development*,
59 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845317731157>
60
61 Rashad, H., Osman, M., & Foudi-Fahimi, F. (2005). *Marriage in the Arab World*.
62 Washington, DC: Population Reference Bureau.
63
64 Ridgway, M., & Robson, F. (2017). Exploring the motivation and willingness of self-
65 initiated expatriates, in the civil engineering industry, when considering
66 employment opportunities in Qatar. *Human Resource Development International*,
67 1-22.

- 1
2
3 Reiter, B. (2013). *The dialectics of citizenship: Exploring privilege, exclusion, and*
4 *racialization*. MSU Press.
- 5 Rodriguez, J. K., Holvino, E., Fletcher, J. K., & Nkomo, S. M. (2016). The Theory and
6 Praxis of Intersectionality in Work and Organizations: Where Do We Go From
7 Here? *Gender, Work and Organization*, 23(3), 201–222.
- 8 Rodriguez, J. K., & Scurry, T. (2014). Career capital development of self-initiated
9 expatriates in Qatar: cosmopolitan globetrotters, experts and outsiders. *The*
10 *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(2), 190–211.
- 11 Rottmann, S. B., & Ferree, M. M. (2008). Citizenship and intersectionality: German
12 feminist debates about headscarf and antidiscrimination laws. *Social Politics*,
13 15(4), 481–513.
- 14 Ruiz Castro, M., & Holvino, E. (2016). Applying Intersectionality in Organizations:
15 Inequality Markers, Cultural Scripts and Advancement Practices in a Professional
16 Service Firm. *Gender, Work and Organization*, 23(3), 328-347.
- 17 Rutledge, E., Al Shamsi, F., Bassioni, Y., & Al Sheikh, H. (2011). Women, labour market
18 nationalization policies and human resource development in the Arab Gulf states.
19 *Human Resource Development International*, 14(2), 183-198.
- 20 Scurry, T., Rodriguez, J. K., & Bailouni, S. (2013). Narratives of identity of self-initiated
21 expatriates in Qatar. *Career Development International*, 18(1), 12-33.
- 22 Sharabi, H. (1992) *Neopatriarchy: A theory of distorted change in Arab society*. Oxford:
23 Oxford University Press.
- 24 Shortland, S. (2009) Gender diversity in expatriation: evaluating theoretical
25 perspectives. *Gender in Management*, 24(5): 365-386.
- 26 Shortland, S. (2014). Women expatriates: a research history. In Hutchings, K. &
27 Michailova, S. (Eds) *Research Handbook on Woman in International*
28 *Management*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 18-44.
- 29 Silva, T., Cunha, M. P. E., Clegg, S. R., Neves, P., Rego, A., & Rodrigues, R. A. (2014).
30 Smells like team spirit: Opening a paradoxical black box. *Human Relations*,
31 67(3), 287-310.
- 32 Silver, A. K. (2002). The Cyborg Mystique:" The Stepford Wives" and Second Wave
33 Feminism. *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 30(1/2), 60-76.
- 34 Stalker, B., & Mavin, S. (2011). Learning and development experiences of self-initiated
35 expatriate women in the United Arab Emirates. *Human Resource Development*
36 *International*, 14(3), 273-290.
- 37 State of Qatar (2018) Quarterly Bulletin - Labor Force Survey, First Quarter (Q1) 2018,
38 Ministry of Development Planning and Statistics. Available at:
39 [https://www.mdps.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce](https://www.mdps.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce/2018/Q1/LF_Q1_2018_AE.xlsx)
40 [/2018/Q1/LF_Q1_2018_AE.xlsx](https://www.mdps.gov.qa/en/statistics/Statistical%20Releases/Social/LaborForce/2018/Q1/LF_Q1_2018_AE.xlsx) (Accessed 11/09/18).
- 41 Stockemer, D., & Sundström, A. (2016). Modernization Theory: How to Measure and
42 Operationalize it When Gauging Variation in Women's Representation? *Social*
43 *Indicators Research*, 125(2), 695-712.
- 44 Strabac, Z., Valenta, M., & Al Awad, M. (2018). Temporary labour migration to United
45 Arab Emirates: a complex story. *Migration and Development*, 1-14.
- 46 Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of qualitative re- search: Techniques and*
47 *procedures for developing grounded theory*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- 48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Syed, J., & Metcalfe, B. D. (2017). Under western eyes: A transnational and
4 postcolonial perspective of gender and HRD. *Human Resource Development*
5 *International*, 20(5), 403-414.
- 6
7 Tahir, R. & Ertek, G. (2018). Cross-cultural training: a crucial approach to improve the
8 success of expatriate assignment in the United Arab Emirates. *Middle East*
9 *Journal of Management*, 5(1), 50-74.
- 10 UN Women. (2017). Understanding Masculinities: Results from the international men
11 and gender equality survey (images) – Middle East and North Africa. Available
12 at: [http://www.unwomen.org/-](http://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2017/images-mena-multi-country-report-en.pdf?la=en&vs=3602)
13 [/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2017/images-](http://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2017/images-mena-multi-country-report-en.pdf?la=en&vs=3602)
14 [mena-multi-country-report-en.pdf?la=en&vs=3602](http://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2017/images-mena-multi-country-report-en.pdf?la=en&vs=3602) (Accessed 12/05/18).
- 15
16 United Nations Development Programme. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016*.
17 New York. Available at:
18 http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/2016_human_development_report.pdf
19 (Accessed 12/05/18).
- 20
21 Valenta, M., & Jakobsen, J. (2016). Moving to the Gulf: an empirical analysis of the
22 patterns and drivers of migration to the GCC countries, 1960–2013. *Labor*
23 *History*, 57(5), 627–648.
- 24
25 Van Bochove, M., & Engbersen, G. (2015). Beyond cosmopolitanism and expat
26 bubbles: challenging dominant representations of knowledge workers and trailing
27 spouses. *Population, Space and Place*, 21(4), 295-309.
- 28
29 Varma, A., & Russell, L. (2016). Women and expatriate assignments: Exploring the role
30 of perceived organizational support. *Employee Relations*, 38(2), 200–223.
- 31
32 Wildman, S. M., & Davis, A. D. (1997). Making systems of privilege visible. In R.
33 Delgado & J. Stefancic (Eds.), *Critical white studies: Looking behind the mirror*
34 (pp. 314–319). Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- 35
36 Williams, J. (2010). *Don't They Know It's Friday* (2nd ed.). Dubai: Motivate Publishing.
- 37
38 Yuval-Davis, N. (2007). Intersectionality, citizenship and contemporary politics of
39 belonging. *Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy*, 10(4),
40 561–574.

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
ⁱ Nationalization policies, also known as localization policies, look to promote the
employment participation of locals by investing and supporting them to improve their
skills and enhance their employability to address the skills mismatch between local
graduates and the needs of the private sector (Forstenlechner, 2010; Forstenlechner &
Rutledge, 2010; 2011; Rutledge et al., 2011).

Table 1: Institutional, legal and social factors influencing women in Kuwait, Qatar and UAE.

Country	Family Code (1)	Gender Equality Index (2)	Female Labour Force Participation (3)	Equal Remuneration (4)	Discrimination in Employment (5)
Kuwait	0.8	0.335	57.0%	Not ratified	Ratified; in force
Qatar	0.8	0.542	99.3%	Not ratified	Ratified; in force
UAE	0	0.232	47.5%	Ratified; in force	Ratified; in force

(1) Data relating to Family Code reflects social practices such as early marriage, guardianship of children and restricted civil liberties; a score of 0 indicated no discrimination and a score closer to 1 suggests embedded discrimination (OECD, 2017a, b).

(2) The Gender Equality Index indicates the inequality between men and women in the labour market; a score of 0 would suggest equality (United Nations Development Programme, 2016).

(3) Female labour force participation shows the participation in the labour force as a percentage of the female working age population (International Labour Organization, 2018)

(4)(5) Each country's approach to equal remuneration and discrimination in employment were obtained from the International Labour Organization (International Labour Organization, 2017)

Table 2: Participants

Pseudonym	Age Range	Country of Origin	Host Country	Education Level	Condition of Entry
Andie	41-50	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Angela	21-30	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Ana	21-30	Russia	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Amal	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Cara	31-40	UK	Qatar	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Carla	31-40	Peru	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Cristina	31-40	Romania	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Daisy	31-40	UK	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Diana	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Elena	31-40	Romania	Qatar	Postgraduate	Assigned Expatriate
Elisa	21-30	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Ellie	41-50	UK	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Fay	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Irma	41-50	Philippines	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Isla	31-40	UK	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Kami	31-40	India	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Karen	41-50	USA	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Kelly	41-50	USA	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Leona	31-40	USA	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Lorraine	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Maddie	31-40	UK	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Mina	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Martha	41-50	USA	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Noreen	31-40	UK	UAE	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Nuria	51-60	UK	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Ola	31-40	Philippines	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate

Rita	41-50	US	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Sadeen	21-30	Jordan	Kuwait	Postgraduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Stacey	21-30	UK	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Susan	21-30	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Tania	31-40	UK	UAE	Graduate	Assigned Expatriate
Terri	21-30	UK	UAE	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Tia	31-40	Philippines	Qatar	Graduate	Self-Initiated Expatriate
Viola	51-60	UK	UAE	Postgraduate	Assigned Expatriate

For Peer Review

Table 3 - Data structure

First order codes	Second order theoretical categories	Aggregate thematic dimensions
Expats brought into the country as experts	Elite professional status of expatriates as workers –symbolic structures create abstract hierarchical understandings of worker based on levels of skill (Acker, 1990:149).	Privilege
Expats respected as professionals		
Expats have a better work ethic than locals		
Country needs expats for their skills		
Giving the impression of leading an easy life	Elite social status – processes that are “related to and powerfully support the reproduction of the class structure” (Acker, 1990:146).	
Able to afford standard of living not available back home		
Able to pay for domestic help (e.g. a cleaner)		
Lack of support to balance work and life	Gendered structure of work –“division of labour, [...] allowed behaviors [...] construction of divisions along the lines of gender” (Acker, 1990:146)	Disadvantage
Women assigned roles that are not strategic		
Lack of autonomy at work		
Subordination when dealing with male superiors		
As a woman, expected to do as told.		
Exclusion from meetings for being a woman/clients prefer dealing with men	Gendered social structures –“forms of language, ideology, [...] dress [...] patterns that enact dominance and submission” (Acker, 1990:146-147)	
Need to be cautious about how to dress and act		
As a woman, not expected to express opinions		
Needing authorization from husband to work		