# CONVOLUTION ESTIMATES FOR SOME MEASURES ON CURVES 
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AbSTRACT. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a smooth measure on a curve in $R^{3}$. It is shown that $\lambda * L^{p}\left(R^{3}\right) \subset L^{q}\left(R^{3}\right)$ under certain conditions on $\lambda, p$, and $q$.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $L^{p}$ be the usual Lebesgue space formed with respect to Lebesgue measure on $R^{n}$. It is well known that every complex Borel measure $\lambda$ on $R^{n}$ acts as a convolution operator on $L^{p}: \lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{p}$. If $\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with density in $L^{r}$ for some $r>1$, Young's inequality shows that for $1 \leq p<\infty$ we have $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$ for some $q=q(p)>p$. One might say that such a measure is " $L^{p}$ improving." If $\lambda$ is singular, it is still possible for $\lambda$ to be $L^{p}$-improving when $p>1$. The Cantor-Lebesgue measures on $R$ are examples [1]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate similar behavior for a different class of singular measures, smooth measures supported on curves in $R^{3}$. Our motivation comes from the following two results.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $1 \leq k<n$ and $K$ is a smooth $k$-dimensional surface in $R^{n}$. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a smooth measure on $K$. If $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$, then $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ lies in the closed triangle in $R^{2}$ with vertices $(0,0),(1,1)$, and $(n /(2 n-k),(n-k) /(2 n-k))$.

Proof. A theorem of Hörmander [3] implies that $p \leq q$. Estimating the norms of $f$ and $\lambda * f$ when $f$ is the characteristic function of a small ball shows that $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ lies on or above the line $L$ joining $(1,1)$ and $(n /(2 n-k),(n-k) /(2 n-k))$. Since $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$ implies $\lambda * L^{q^{\prime}} \subset L^{p^{\prime}}$, where $p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ are the conjugate indices, $\left(1 / q^{\prime}, 1 / p^{\prime}\right)$ also lies on or above $L$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. Suppose $K$ is a smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional surface in $R^{n}$ on which all $n-1$ principal curvatures are bounded away from zero. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a smooth finite measure compactly supported away from the boundary. Then $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$ if and only if $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ lies in the closed triangle in $R^{2}$ with vertices $(0,0),(1,1)$, and $(n /(n+1), 1 /(n+1))$.

Proof. A theorem of Littman [4] implies that $\lambda * L^{(n+1) / n} \subset L^{n+1}$. Interpolation with trivial cases completes half the proof, and Theorem 1 gives the rest.

Theorems 1 and 2 lead naturally to the conjecture that if $\lambda$ is a nice measure supported on a nice $k$-dimensional surface in $R^{n}$, then $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$ precisely when $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ is in the closed triangle of Theorem 1 . This conjecture seems difficult even when $k=1, n=3$. Our purpose here is to prove a partial result for this case. We consider certain measures $\lambda$ on some curves in $R^{3}$ and prove that $\lambda * L^{p} \subset L^{q}$ whenever $(1 / p, 1 / q)$ lies in the triangle of Theorem 1 and on or above the line

[^0]through $(1 / 2,1 / 3)$ and $(2 / 3,1 / 2)$. To show this it is sufficient, by duality and interpolation, to establish that $\lambda * L^{3 / 2} \subset L^{2}$.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $I$ is a closed interval in $R$ and that the real-valued functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are both polynomial functions or both trigonometric functions on I. Put $\phi(t)=\left(\phi_{1}(t), \phi_{2}(t)\right)$ and, if $j=2$ or 3 , write $\phi^{(j)}$ for the $j$ th derivative of the function $\phi$. Suppose that given any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in I$, the vectors $\phi^{(2)}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $\phi^{(3)}\left(t_{2}\right)$ span $R^{2}$. Let $\lambda$ be the measure on $R^{3}$ defined by

$$
\langle\lambda, g\rangle=\int_{I} g\left(t, \phi_{1}(t), \phi_{2}(t)\right) d t
$$

Then $\lambda * L^{3 / 2} \subset L^{2}$.
Here are two examples where Theorem 3 applies.
EXAMPLE 1. $I=[a, b], \phi_{1}(t)=t^{2}, \phi_{2}(t)=t^{3}$.
EXAMPLE 2. $I=[0, \pi / 6], \phi_{1}(t)=\cos (t), \phi_{2}(t)=\sin (t)$.
Following are some comments on the notation to be used in the proof of Theorem 3. The symbol $f$ (resp. $g$ ) will denote an arbitrary continuous function of compact support on $R^{2}$ (resp. $R^{3}$ ). The symbol $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ will denote the norm of the indicated function in $L^{p}\left(R^{2}\right)$ or $L^{p}\left(R^{3}\right)$, whichever is appropriate. A sector $\Gamma$ in the plane is defined to be the set of all points in the plane which have a polar representation $r e^{i \theta}$ with $r \geq 0, c \leq \theta \leq d$, where $c$ and $d$ are fixed real numbers. The symbol $C$ will denote a positive constant which may increase from line to line but which depends only on the data $I$ and $\phi$ in the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Similarly, the symbol $C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)$ appearing in the following lemma represents a "variable" constant which can always be chosen to depend only upon $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, and $\delta$. The proof of this lemma is based on complex interpolation and follows fairly standard lines.

Lemma. Suppose $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are sectors such that $\Gamma_{2} \cap\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup-\Gamma_{1}\right)=\{0\}$. Suppose $\delta>0$. There is a positive constant $C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)$ such that the following holds: Suppose $J \subset R$ is a closed interval of length not exceeding $\delta^{-1}$ and suppose that $\Psi(t)=\left(\Psi_{1}(t), \Psi_{2}(t)\right)$ is a twice differentiable function from $J$ into $R^{2}$ such that
(i) for every $t \in J: \Psi^{\prime}(t) \in \Gamma_{1}, \Psi^{(2)}(t) \in \Gamma_{2},\left|\Psi^{\prime}(t)\right| \geq \delta,\left|\Psi^{(2)}(t)\right| \geq \delta$;
(ii) for any $x \in R^{2}, J$ splits into disjoint subintervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{K}$ with $K \leq \delta^{-1}$ such that the scalar product $x \cdot \Psi^{(2)}(t)$ is of constant sign on each $t$-interval $J_{n}$.

The measure $\mu$ on $R^{2}$ defined by

$$
\langle\mu, f\rangle=\int_{J} f(\Psi(t)) d t
$$

satisfies

$$
\|\mu * f\|_{3} \leq C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)\|f\|_{3 / 2}
$$

Proof. For $z \in C$ consider the distribution $d_{z}(s)=|s|^{z}$ on $R$. The map $z \rightarrow$ $d_{z}$ defines a meromorphic distribution-valued function on $C$ with simple poles at $z=-1,-3,-5, \ldots$ Thus $d_{z} /(\Gamma(z+1) / 2)$ is an entire distribution-valued function of $z$ (see [2] for details).

Now let $y_{1}$ be a unit vector orthogonal to no nonzero vector in $\Gamma_{1}$ and let $y$ be a unit vector orthogonal to $y_{1}$.

For $z \in C$ and test functions $h$ on $R^{2}$, define the distribution $T_{z}$ by

$$
\left\langle T_{z}, h\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\Gamma((z+1) / 2)} \int_{J} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\Psi(t)+s y)|s|^{z} d s d t
$$

We will establish that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|T_{i y} * h\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left(C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1+i y}{2}\right)\right)\|h\|_{1}  \tag{1}\\
&\left\|T_{i y-3 / 2} * h\right\|_{2} \leq\left(C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{3-2 i y}{4}\right)\right)\|h\|_{2}  \tag{2}\\
& T_{-1} * h=\mu * h \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the conclusion of the lemma will follow from the interpolation theorem in [5].
To show (1) is to show that $T_{i y}$ is an $L^{\infty}$ function of appropriate norm. The $\operatorname{map}(s, t) \rightarrow \Psi(t)+s y$ from $R \times J$ into $R^{2}$ is one-to-one by the assumptions on $y$ and $y_{1}$ and the mean value theorem. It has Jacobian of absolute value $\left|\Psi^{\prime}(t) \cdot y_{1}\right|$, a quantity which exceeds $1 / C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)$. Thus

$$
\left|\int_{J} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(\Psi(t)+s y) d s d t\right| \leq C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)\|h\|_{1}
$$

This gives (1).
To obtain (2) we must estimate the Fourier transform of $T_{i y-3 / 2}$. Calculations in [2] show that the Fourier transform of $d_{z} / \Gamma((z+1) / 2)$ at $s$ is

$$
2^{z+1} \pi^{1 / 2}|s|^{-z-1} / \Gamma(-z / 2)
$$

Thus the Fourier transform of $T_{z}$ is given by

$$
\hat{T}_{z}(x)=\hat{\mu}(x) \cdot 2^{z+1} \pi^{1 / 2}|x \cdot y|^{-z-1} / \Gamma(-z / 2)
$$

(from which (3) follows). To establish (2) it is therefore sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{1 / 2}|\hat{\mu}(x)| \leq C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right) \quad \text { for } x \in R^{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the hypotheses guarantee that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x \cdot \Psi^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|x \cdot \Psi^{(2)}(t)\right| \geq|x| / C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right), \quad x \in R^{2}, t \in J \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now fix nonzero $x \in R^{2}$ and put $p(t)=x \cdot \Psi(t)$. By (ii), $J$ splits into disjoint subintervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{K}$ with $K \leq \delta^{-1}$ such that $p^{(2)}(t)$ has constant sign on each $J_{n}$. Write $\eta=|x| / 2 C\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \delta\right)$ and let $I_{n}^{1}=J_{n} \cap\left\{\left|p^{\prime}(t)\right|<\eta\right\}$. Since $p^{\prime}(t)$ is monotone on $J_{n}, I_{n}^{1}$ is an interval and so $J_{n} \backslash I_{n}^{1}$ is the disjoint union of $I_{n}^{2}$ and $I_{n}^{3}$, where each of $I_{n}^{2}$ and $I_{n}^{3}$ is an interval or empty. By (5) we have either $p^{(2 j}(t) \geq \eta$ or $p^{(2)}(t) \leq-\eta$ on $I_{n}^{1}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1 / 2}\left|\int_{I_{n}^{1}} e^{-i x \cdot \Psi(t)} d t\right| \leq 4 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by van der Corput's lemma [7]. If $j=2$ or $j=3$ we have $p^{\prime}(t)$ monotone and either $p^{\prime}(t)>\eta$ or $p^{\prime}(t)<-\eta$ on $I_{n}^{j}$. Thus, by van der Corput's lemma again,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1 / 2}\left|\int_{I_{n}^{j}} e^{-i x \cdot \Psi(t)} d t\right| \leq \min \left\{\eta^{1 / 2} \cdot \operatorname{length}\left(I_{\eta}^{j}\right), \eta^{-1 / 2}\right\} \leq \delta^{-1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality is because length $(J) \leq \delta^{-1}$. Adding all inequalities (6) and (7) gives (4) since there are at most $3 K \leq 3 \delta^{-1}$ such terms. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be defined by

$$
\langle\tilde{\lambda}, g\rangle=\int_{R^{3}} g(-x) d \lambda(x) .
$$

Since $\langle\tilde{\lambda} * \lambda * g, g\rangle=\|\lambda * g\|_{2}^{2}$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{\lambda} * \lambda * g\|_{3} \leq C\|g\|_{3 / 2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for continuous compactly supported functions $g$ on $R^{3}$. Writing $I=[a, b]$ and $I_{u}=[\max \{a, a-u\}, \min \{b, b-u\}]$ for $|u| \leq b-a$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\tilde{\lambda} * \lambda, g\rangle & =\int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t-s, \phi_{1}(t)-\phi_{1}(s), \phi_{2}(t)-\phi_{2}(s)\right) d s d t \\
& =\int_{a-b}^{b-a} \int_{I_{u}} g\left(u, \phi_{1}(s+u)-\phi_{1}(s), \phi_{2}(s+u)-\phi_{2}(s)\right) d s d u
\end{aligned}
$$

For $|u| \leq b-a$, define the measure $\lambda_{u}$ on $R^{2}$ by

$$
\left\langle\lambda_{u}, f\right\rangle=\int_{I_{u}} f\left(\phi_{1}(s+u)-\phi_{1}(s), \phi_{2}(s+u)-\phi_{2}(s)\right) d s
$$

We will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\lambda_{u} * f\right\|_{3} \leq C|u|^{-2 / 3}\|f\|_{3 / 2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume (9) for the moment and write $g(t, x)\left(t \in R, x \in R^{2}\right)$ for a continuous compactly supported function on $R^{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\lambda} * \lambda * g\|_{3} & =\| \| \int_{a-b}^{b-a} \lambda_{u} * g(t-u, \cdot)(x) d u\left\|_{3, x}\right\|_{3, t} \\
& \leq\left\|\int_{a-b}^{b-a}\right\| \lambda_{u} * g(t-u, \cdot)(x)\left\|_{3, x} d u\right\|_{3, t} \\
& \leq C\left\|\int_{a-b}^{b-a}|u|^{-2 / 3}\right\| g(t-u, x)\left\|_{3 / 2, x} d u\right\|_{3, t}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the boundedness of the Riesz potential of order $\frac{1}{3}$ as a mapping from $L^{3 / 2}(R)$ to $L^{3}(R)$ (see p. 119 of $[6]$ ), this last term is dominated by

$$
C\left\|\|g(t, x)\|_{3 / 2, x}\right\|_{3 / 2, t}=C\|g\|_{3 / 2}
$$

Thus (8), and so Theorem 3, will be proved when (9) is established.
By the hypotheses of Theorem 3 there is an $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{(2)}(t)\right|,\left|\phi^{(3)}(t)\right| \geq \eta \quad \text { if } t \in I \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sets

$$
K_{1}=\left\{\phi^{(2)}(t) /\left|\phi^{(2)}(t)\right|: t \in I\right\}, \quad K_{2}=\left\{\phi^{(3)}(t) /\left|\phi^{(3)}(t)\right|: t \in I\right\}
$$

are disjoint closed intervals on the unit circle in $R^{2}$. If $i=1$ or 2 , define $\Gamma_{i}$ to be the sector $\left\{r v: r \geq 0, v \in K_{i}\right\}$. The hypotheses of Theorem 3 imply that
$\Gamma_{2} \cap\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup-\Gamma_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ and thus that the $\Gamma_{i}$ are convex. By (10), for $i=1$ or 2 the set $\phi^{(i+1)}(I)$ lies in a proper closed subset $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma_{i} \backslash\{0\}$, and $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ can be chosen to be convex. Fix $\delta>0$ such that
( $\alpha$ ) $\left\{x \in R^{2}:|x|<\delta\right\} \cap \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\varnothing$ for $i=1,2$;
( $\beta$ ) $b-a \leq \delta^{-1}$;
$(\gamma)$ For $u \in[a-b, b-a]$ and $x \in R^{2}, I_{u}$ splits into disjoint subintervals $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{K}$ with $K \leq \delta^{-1}$ such that $x \cdot\left(\phi^{(2)}(t+u)-\phi^{(2)}(t)\right)$ is of constant sign on each $t$-interval $J_{n}$. (This is where we use the hypothesis that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be both polynomial or trigonometric functions.)

Now fix $u \in[a-b, b-a]$. Define $\Psi(t)$ on $I_{u}$ by

$$
\Psi(t)=\frac{1}{u}(\phi(t+u)-\phi(t)) .
$$

Letting $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, and $\delta$ be as above, we claim that $\Psi(t)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma. The hypothesis (i) for $\Psi^{\prime}(t)$ follows from

$$
\Psi^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{u} \int_{t}^{t+u} \phi^{(2)}(s) d s
$$

combined with the convexity of $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and ( $\alpha$ ). The hypothesis (i) for $\Psi^{(2)}(t)$ follows similarly. The hypothesis (ii) is a consequence of $(\gamma)$, and the hypothesis that length $(J)$ not exceed $\delta^{-1}$ is covered by $(\beta)$. Thus it follows, with the notation of the lemma, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu * f\|_{3} \leq C\|f\|_{3 / 2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For functions $f$ on $R^{2}$ and $u \in R$, put $D_{u} f(x)=f(u x), x \in R^{2}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{u} * f(x)=\int_{I_{u}} f(x-u \Psi(t)) d t=\int_{I_{u}} f\left(u\left(\frac{x}{u}-\Psi(t)\right)\right) d t=D_{1 / u}\left(\mu *\left(D_{u} f\right)\right)(x) .
$$

Now (9) follows from (11) and the fact that

$$
\left\|D_{u} f\right\|_{p}=|u|^{-2 / p}\|f\|_{p}
$$
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