

Coping styles in farmed fish: consequences for aquaculture

Castanheira Maria Filipa^{1,*}, Conceição Luís E.C.^{1,2}, Millot Sandie³, Rey Stephanie^{4,5},
Bégout Marie-Laure³, Damsgård Børge⁶, Kristiansen Tove⁷, Höglund Erik^{8,9}, Øverli Øyvind¹⁰,
Martins Catarina I.M.¹

¹ CCMAR-CIMAR L.A.; Centro de Ciências do Mar; Universidade do Algarve; Faro Portugal

² Sparos Lda; Área Empresarial de Marim; Olhão Portugal

³ Laboratoire Ressources Halieutiques; Ifremer; L'Houmeau France

⁴ Institut de Biotecnologia i Biomedicina; Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona; Barcelona Spain

⁵ Institute of Aquaculture; University of Stirling; Stirling Stirlingshire UK

⁶ Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economy; University of Tromsø; Tromsø Norway

⁷ Institute of Marine Research; Bergen Norway

⁸ Section for Aquaculture; The North Sea Research Centre; DTU Aqua; Technical University of Denmark; Hirtshals Denmark

⁹ Department of Research Management; University of Agder; Kristiansand Norway

¹⁰ Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences; Norwegian University of Life Sciences; Ås Norway

* Corresponding author : Maria Filipa Castanheira, email address : maria.filipa.castanheira@gmail.com

Abstract :

Individual differences in physiological and behavioural responses to stressors are increasingly recognised as adaptive variation and thus raw material for evolution and fish farming improvements including selective breeding. Such individual variation has been evolutionarily conserved and is present in all vertebrate taxa including fish. In farmed animals, the interest in consistent trait associations, that is coping styles, has increased dramatically over the last years because many studies have demonstrated links to performance traits, health and disease susceptibility and welfare. This study will review (i) the main behavioural, neuroendocrine, cognitive and emotional differences between reactive and proactive coping styles in farmed fish; (ii) the methodological approaches used to identify coping styles in farmed fish, including individual (group) mass-screening tests; and (iii) how knowledge on coping styles may contribute to improved sustainability of the aquaculture industry, including welfare and performance of farmed fish. Moreover, we will suggest areas for future research, where genetic basis (heritability/epigenetic) of coping styles, and the neuroendocrine mechanisms behind consistent as well as flexible behavioural patterns are pinpointed as central themes. In addition, the ontogeny of coping styles and the influence of age, social context and environmental change in coping styles will also be discussed.

Keywords : behavioural syndromes, farm animals, individual variation, personality, stress response

64 **Introduction**

65 In animals, including fish, individual differences in response to challenges are associated with
66 differences in behaviour (Øverli *et al.* 2007; Réale *et al.* 2010). Many of these associations have
67 been shown to be consistent under stressful conditions and thus to represent coping styles in
68 accordance with the definition by Koolhaas *et al.* (1999), as *‘a coherent set of behavioural and*
69 *physiological stress responses, which is consistent over time and which is characteristic to a*
70 *certain group of individuals’*. Terminology remains one of the main challenges when
71 addressing the topic of consistent individual variation in physiology and behaviour. Other
72 authors use terms such as behavioural syndromes (Sih *et al.* 2004), personality (Gosling 2001)
73 and temperament (Francis 1990) more or less synonymously, while physiologists tend to refer
74 to coping styles. These terms and designated definitions, share common grounds such as the
75 recognition that individual variation may be consistent and biologically meaningful, and
76 individual differences in certain behavioural traits are consistent and predictive of other
77 behaviours or physiological responses shown in another context. Typically, in biomedical
78 research and agricultural sciences the term “coping styles” is preferred, while in behavioural
79 ecology the terms behavioural syndromes are more common. The range of biological
80 parameters considered also differs between the different terms. Coping styles often include both
81 behavioural and physiological responses to unfavourable environments and stress (Koolhaas *et*
82 *al.* 1999) while behavioural syndromes include only behavioural differences and not necessarily
83 under stress conditions. Personality and temperament, in humans, include essentially emotional
84 reactivity traits. However, when applied to animals, the term personality often ignores the
85 emotional component. Table 1 summarises the terminology concerning individual variation.
86 Recognising that both physiological and behavioural traits are important, throughout this review
87 the term “Copingstyles” will be used in accordance with the definition by Koolhaas *et al.*
88 (1999, see above).

89 In fish, the importance of understanding mechanisms involved in coping styles have gained
90 increasing attention. Especially since, conditions that are well tolerated by some individuals
91 may be detrimental to others, the concept of coping styles are important for their welfare

92 (Huntingford & Adams 2005; Huntingford *et al.* 2006), health and diseases resistance (Fevolden
93 *et al.* 1992,1993; MacKenzie *et al.* 2009, Kittilsen *et al.* 2012), performance traits (Martins
94 2005, Martins *et al.* 2011b; Øverli *et al.* 2006 ab) and interpretations of molecular data
95 (Johansen *et al.* 2012; MacKenzie *et al.* 2009; Rey *et al.* 2013).

96 Moreover, Martins *et al.* (2011b) showed that coping styles are predictive of how stimuli are
97 appraised, supporting the inclusion of emotional or affective states (in this case fear) as essential
98 component of coping styles in fish. Also Millot *et al.* (2014a) shown that fish are able to retain
99 memories of events with positive/negative valence which are retrieved by environmental cues.

100 Table 2 summarises the main behavioural and physiological differences between reactive and
101 proactive individuals. Nevertheless, it is imperative to note that the differentiation in coping
102 styles may not be expressed as a binomial distribution in most of the species but rather as a
103 continuous distribution with the majority of individuals expressing intermediate characteristics.

104 In addition, Boersma (2011) suggested that the relative occurrence of contrasting coping styles
105 depends on the type of population (i.e. wild or domesticated). This author showed that in wild
106 populations of rats, the coping strategies of the individuals within a population display a
107 binomial distribution: with extremes proactive vs. reactive individuals. Rats with an
108 intermediate coping style are generally not present in a population in the wild since they have a
109 lower fitness in both stable and new or instable environments. In contrast, Réale *et al.* (2007,
110 2010 ab) demonstrated a normal distribution of coping styles in the wild in several species.
111 Moreover, in laboratory or domestic settings there is less environmental pressure pushing the
112 population into a bimodal distribution of coping styles. This means that in domesticated
113 population a normal distribution in coping styles is usually observed (Spoolder *et al.* 1996).

114 Nowadays, stress coping styles are clearly identified in fish and have contributed to the
115 understanding of individual variation in the ability to cope with stressful events. A consensus is
116 emerging that increased understanding of the consequences of stress coping styles in
117 aquaculture is important to safeguard a sustainable development of this industry.

118

119 This study will review: 1) the main behavioural, neuroendocrine, cognitive and emotional
120 differences between reactive and proactive coping styles in farmed fish, 2) the methodological
121 approaches used to identify coping styles in farmed fish; including individual (group) mass-
122 screening tests and 3) how knowledge on coping styles may contribute to improved
123 sustainability of the aquaculture industry, including welfare and performance of farmed fish.

124

125 **Assessment of coping styles in farmed animals**

126 *Land farm animals*

127 Assessment of coping styles in farm animals gained momentum in the late 1980's. In those
128 studies researchers applied the concept of coping styles to domestic livestock and started to
129 understand how distinct individual traits were related with stress coping under common rearing
130 conditions. For instance, in piglets, the most common test is the "back test" (Hessing *et al.*
131 1993,1994) which consists of restraining each piglet in a supine position for 1 min and
132 classification of pigs is then based on the number of escape attempts made. Other tests
133 commonly used in pigs and other farm animals like cows, cattle and sheep are the open field test
134 (Spoolder *et al.* 1996; Magnani *et al.* 2012 ; Van Reenen *et al.* 2005), the novel object test
135 (Spoolder *et al.* 1996; Magnani *et al.* 2012; Spake *et al.* 2012; Van Reenen *et al.* 2005), the
136 novel environment test (Hopster, 1998) and the resident intruder test (Bolhuis *et al.* 2005a;
137 Spake *et al.* 2012). Along with behavioural responses also physiological responses are measured
138 including cortisol responsiveness, heart rate (Korte *et al.* 1999), gastric ulceration and
139 vocalisation (Hessing *et al.* 1993; Ruis *et al.* 2001; Van Reenen *et al.* 2002; van Erp-van der
140 Kooij *et al.* 2003; Van Reenen *et al.* 2005; Hopster, 1998; Spake *et al.* 2012).

141 Several traits attributed to proactive and reactive individuals in land farmed animals have also
142 been identified in fish suggesting that many of such traits have been evolutionary conserved in
143 vertebrates (see references below).

144

145

146

147 *Identifying coping styles in farmed fish*

148 Over the last years, the number of papers addressing coping styles in fish has raised rapidly.
149 Many of these studies address farmed fish including common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)
150 (MacKenzie *et al.* 2009; Huntingford *et al.* 2010), Nile tilapia (Barreto & Volpato 2011;
151 Martins *et al.* 2011bd), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) (Kittilsen *et al.* 2009a, 2012; Vaz-Serrano
152 *et al.* 2011), Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) (Kristiansen & Fernö 2007), rainbow
153 trout (Øverli *et al.* 2005, 2006ab; Schjolden *et al.* 2005; Ruiz-Gomez *et al.* 2008, 2011;
154 Höglund *et al.* 2008; Laursen *et al.* 2011)), sea bass (Millot *et al.* 2009 ab) and gilthead
155 seabream (Castanheira *et al.* 2013ab; Herrera *et al.* 2014) Table 2.

156 Like in mammals, two main coping styles are typically recognised: proactive (active coping or
157 bold or 'fight-flight') and reactive (passive coping or shy or 'non-aggressive').

158 Typically, proactive individuals are behaviourally characterized by: 1) active escape from a
159 stressor (Brelvi *et al.* 2005; Laursen *et al.* 2011; Silva *et al.* 2010; Martins *et al.* 2011c); 2) high
160 feed efficiency (Martins *et al.* 2005ab, 2006ab; van de Nieuwegiessen *et al.* 2008); 3) high
161 feeding motivation after transfer to a new environment (Øverli *et al.* 2007) or food type
162 (Kristiansen & Fernö 2007); 4) high risk taking and exploratory when exposed to novelty
163 (Huntingford *et al.* 2010; Øverli *et al.* 2006a; MacKenzie *et al.* 2009; Millot *et al.* 2009a); 5)
164 high social rank (dominant) during aggressive encounters (Øverli *et al.* 2004, 2005; Castanheira
165 *et al.* 2013a); 6) low social influence (Magnhagen, 2007; Magnhagen & Staffan 2005;
166 Magnhagen & Bunnefeld, 2009); 7) low sensitive to environmental stressors (Höglund *et al.*
167 2008); 8) establishment of routines and have less behavioural flexibility (Chapman *et al.* 2010;
168 Ruiz-Gomez *et al.* 2011) when compared to reactive individuals. Proactive individuals exhibit
169 typical physiological and neuroendocrine characteristics such as: 1) lower hypothalamus-
170 pituitary-interrenal (HPI) activity (Silva *et al.* 2010), as measured by basal cortisol levels; 2)
171 lower HPI reactivity (Castanheira *et al.* 2013a; Øverli *et al.* 2007; Trenzado *et al.* 2003), as
172 measured by increase in cortisol over basal levels when stressed; 3) higher sympathetic
173 reactivity and lower parasympathetic reactivity (Verbeek *et al.* 2008; Barreto & Volpato 2011),
174 measured as opercular beat rate; 4) low hormonal modulation (LeBlanc *et al.* 2012); 5) higher

175 oxygen consumption during stress (Herrera *et al.* 2014; Killen *et al.* 2011; Martins *et al.* 2011c);
176 6) lower myocardial dysfunction (Johansen *et al.* 2011); 7) lower neural plasticity (Johansen *et al.*
177 *al.* 2012) and 8) high immunity (Kittilsen *et al.* 2012) when compared to reactive individuals.

178 In addition to behaviour, physiological and neuroendocrine characteristics, proactive and
179 reactive fish have also been reported to differ in cognitive and emotional traits. One of the best
180 examples of the characterization of coping styles in fish comes from studies using selected lines
181 of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). These lines were segregated into high- and low-
182 responding individuals (HR, LR) on the basis of their plasma cortisol response after
183 confinement test (Pottinger & Carrick 1999). Studies in these lines demonstrated a link between
184 cognition and coping styles: Moreira *et al.* (2004) showed that HR-LR individuals differed in
185 memory retention in addition to cortisol responsiveness. The extinction of a conditioned
186 response (i.e. how quickly the conditioned response was lost after the end of reinforcement) was
187 greater among LR individuals.

188 In accordance with this, Ruiz-Gomez *et al.* (2011) showed a higher propensity to develop and
189 follow routines (reversal learning) in LR trout. They continue to perform a learned pattern even
190 if the conditions change. LR fish showed slower reversal learning when finding relocated feed,
191 and it was suggested that this reflects a cognitive difference, where LR fish have a stronger
192 tendency to develop and follow routines. This is in accordance with what have been suggested
193 as general differences between proactive and reactive individuals, where reactive individuals
194 react to environmental changes while proactive individuals follow predictions of the actual
195 environment (Coppens *et al.* 2010).

196 Various methodologies used to characterise coping styles in fish have been adapted from those
197 used in land farmed animals, an example is the restraining test, which is very similar to the
198 back-test commonly used in pigs. The restraining test in fish consists of holding each individual
199 in an emerged net for a certain limited period depending on the species (Arends *et al.* 1999;
200 Silva *et al.* 2010; Castanheira *et al.* 2013 ab). While in the net, the following behaviours have
201 been measured: latency to escape, number of escape attempts and total time spent on escape
202 attempts. Proactive individuals have been shown to exhibit more and longer escape attempts as

203 compared to reactive individuals (Silva *et al.* 2010; Martins *et al.* 2011ac). Other tests used in
204 land farmed animals that have been adapted and applied to fish include the novel object test
205 (Frost *et al.* 2007; Basic *et al.* 2012), the exploration test (Chapman *et al.* 2010; Killen *et al.*
206 2011; Magnhagen & Staffan 2005; Magnhagen & Bunnefeld 2009 and the resident intruder test
207 (Øverli *et al.* 2002ab; Brelin *et al.* 2005).

208 Recent studies using farmed fish as models have suggested the possibility to discriminate
209 coping styles using grouped-based test (e.g. hypoxia test developed in rainbow trout by Laursen
210 *et al.* (2011) and adapted to gilthead seabream by Castanheira *et al.* 2013b). Briefly, the hypoxia
211 test consists of reducing the oxygen levels in one side of a two chambers tank and measuring the
212 escape behaviour from the hypoxia to the normoxia side. Another group-based test is the risk-
213 taking test (or exploration test) which consists of a tank separated in two distinct areas: safe and
214 risk areas. Fish are placed in the safe area (darkened settling chamber), connected by a plastic
215 tunnel or an opening to a risk area (open field). The risk area is usually associated to feed
216 delivery zone to stimulate fish going to the non-familiar area (Millot *et al.* 2009; Huntingford *et*
217 *al.* 2010; Castanheira *et al.* 2013b).

218 Finally, it should be noted that an increasing number of studies also report that contrasting
219 coping styles in fish are reflected in somatic and morphological traits such as developmental
220 rate (Andersson *et al.* 2011, 2013ab) and pigmentation patterns (Kittilsen *et al.*, 2009ab, 2012;
221 Bäckström *et al.* 2014). Genetic markers for variable stress resistance are also increasingly
222 explored (Rexroad *et al.*, 2012). Thus, tools to characterise coping styles and personality traits
223 in fish are becoming increasingly available which fulfils an important prerequisite for the effort
224 towards understanding both the biological background and applied potential of this type of
225 individual variation.

226 The presence of coping styles in the most important farmed fish species and the common tests
227 used are presented in Table 3.

228

229

230 **Consistency and plasticity of coping styles in farmed fish**

231 One of the major gaps in the literature concerning the characterization of coping styles in
232 animals, including fish, is the lack of knowledge on the consistency of individual differences.
233 This includes knowledge on both contextual consistency i.e. *‘the extent to which scores for*
234 *behaviour expressed in one context are correlated across individuals with scores for behaviour*
235 *expressed in one or more other contexts, when behaviour in all of the contexts is measured at*
236 *the same age and time’* and temporal consistency i.e. *‘the extent to which scores for behaviour*
237 *in a given context at a given time are correlated across individuals with scores for the same*
238 *behaviour in the same context at a later time’* (Stamps & Groothuis 2010).

239 Studies in the HR/LR rainbow trout lines showed that proactive and reactive individuals exhibit
240 consistent traits. Over a period of 7 days, feeding responses after transfer into a novel
241 environment, responses to a novel object, aggressiveness and responses to confinement were
242 behaviourally constant, but no differences between lines were apparent (Basic *et al.* 2012). The
243 ontogenic consistency of these traits were also demonstrate by Höglund *et al.* (2008) and
244 Andersson *et al.* (2011, 2013ab).

245 However, most of the studies on coping styles characterization have been done on selected HR -
246 LR fish lines (Øverli *et al.* 2005, 2007) which raises the question whether similar consistency
247 responses can be observed in non-selected populations. In line with the previous information,
248 recent studies on non-selected populations seem to support the consistency of behavioural
249 responses both over time and across-context. Castanheira *et al.* (2013b) using a non-selected
250 population of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) showed that individual differences in risk-
251 taking behaviour and escaping behaviour in response to stressors are consistent over a period of
252 15 days. Moreover the same authors also showed that some behaviour can be used to predict
253 other behaviours expressed in a different context (e.g. individuals that took longer to recover
254 feed intake after transfer into a novel environment, exhibited higher escape attempts during a
255 restraining test and escaped faster from hypoxia conditions).

256 Consistency of individual differences is a key element to identify coping styles in fish.
257 However, this does not exclude the possibility that individuals change their coping style over

258 time and context. In fact individual plasticity i.e. *the extent to which the behaviour expressed*
259 *by individuals with a given genotype in a given context at a given age and time varies as a*
260 *function of the set of conditions experienced by those individuals before the behaviour was*
261 *expressed* (Stamps & Groothuis 2010), is very important because it allows individuals to adjust
262 their behaviour to novel or instable environments. Few studies have addressed how plastic,
263 proactive and reactive coping styles are. Frost *et al.* (2007) suggested that social context is an
264 important modulator of coping styles in rainbow trout. These authors showed that bold
265 individuals observing another's losing fights or with lower responses to novelty (novel objects
266 and novel prey) reduced their boldness. However, shy individuals just alter their behaviour
267 (increase their boldness responsiveness) when their relative competitive ability was similar or
268 higher than their conspecifics. These results suggest that bold individuals may be more flexible
269 to changing conditions as opposed to shy individuals (Frost *et al.* 2007). Similar differences in
270 behavioural plasticity have been documented during feeding response in presence of the novel
271 object. Basic *et al.* (2012) showed that proactive individuals adopt a more flexible behaviour by
272 suppressing feed intake in presence of the novel object. In contrast, Ruiz-Gomez *et al.* (2011)
273 have reported opposite results, i.e. LR (proactive) individuals seem to be more fixed in
274 responses (relocated feed) when confronted with a new situation in contrast with HR
275 individuals.

276 Individuals differ in how the environmental stimuli are appraised and how they are able to
277 adjust and adapt their physiology and behaviour to help them cope more effectively. Part of this
278 plasticity is supported and influenced by cognition and neural plasticity. The underlying
279 neurobiological mechanism underpinning differences in plasticity between reactive and
280 proactive individuals have been recently studied by Johansen *et al.* (2012) in the HR/LR
281 rainbow trout lines. These authors measured genes involved in neural plasticity and
282 neurogenesis (PCNA, BDNF, NeuroD and DCX) using quantitative PCR in brains of rainbow
283 trout under baseline conditions and in response to short-term confinement and long-term social
284 stress. They showed that a higher degree of neural plasticity in reactive individuals might

285 provide the ideal conditions to support their higher behavioural flexibility as opposed to
286 proactive individuals.

287 Furthermore, Ebbesson & Braithwaite (2012) reviewed the influence of neural plasticity and
288 cognition shaped by the environmental experiences in several fish species. These authors agree
289 that, neural plasticity aids in the adaptation and flexibility, demanding by the diverse
290 environments in which fishes live. These, make the brain more sensitive to the surrounding
291 environment moulding the adaptive responses to the environment both over the individual life
292 and over evolutionary time.

293 However, there is still a long way to go in understanding plasticity of coping styles in order to
294 improve the management and welfare of aquaculture populations.

295 Aspects of how coping styles change with age, social context and new environmental conditions
296 should be explored in the future. Environmental changes might be particularly relevant during
297 this era of an ongoing global climate change. Global warming could cause changes in species
298 behaviour and life history (Kling *et al.* 2003). The impacts of climate change in aquaculture can
299 be direct e.g. changes in water temperature, or indirect such as the increase of fishmeal costs
300 and its consequences for aquaculture feeds. The recent approach by Dingemans *et al.* (2009)
301 offers a theoretical framework to help understanding plasticity of coping styles. They proposed
302 the concept of behavioural reaction norms, i.e. measuring individual behavioural response over
303 an environmental gradient (e.g. social environment, environmental changes). According to the
304 same authors the same behaviour can be measured over multiple environmental gradients and
305 individual behaviour can be described as a linear regression line linking the response with the
306 environmental conditions. In the linear regression the intercept of the line describes the average
307 individual level of the behaviour and the slope represents the individual degree of plasticity.

308 Using temperature as an environmental gradient, on a recent work with zebrafish, Rey *et al.*
309 2014 (submitted) showed differences in thermal preferences for proactive and reactive fish
310 under a thermal gradient. Proactive individuals preferred higher temperatures than reactive
311 reflecting differences already detected on basal metabolic rates and different acclimation and
312 environmental adaptation capacities between both coping styles.

313 *Proxies for measuring coping styles in fish*

314 Coping styles characterization in fish can be time consuming, especially when individual-based
315 tests are used. Therefore, several proxies have been suggested in the literature to characterize
316 coping styles without the need to undertake complex behavioural tests.

317 Ventilation rate has been shown to be a sensitive indicator of fish physiological responses to
318 stress. Barreto & Volpato (2011) observed that ventilation rates of Nile tilapia were correlated
319 with the feeding resumption in isolation. Individuals with high ventilation rates resumed feeding
320 later than fish with low ventilation rates.

321 Skin pigmentation has also been suggested to predict coping styles in fish (Kittilsen *et al.* 2009
322 ab; 2012). High spotted Salmonids showed lower cortisol levels than lower spotted conspecifics
323 (Figure 1). Visual markers provide a suitable tool that can be easily combined with other
324 common procedures, such as size-grading or vaccination. Furthermore, Kittilsen *et al.* (2012)
325 provided evidence for individual variation in parasites incidences while screening distinct
326 coping styles. Individuals with high incidence of black skin spots harboured fewer ectoparasites
327 (sea lice) as compared to less pigmented fish.

328 Observations of ear and tail postures are reliable non-invasive method for assessing emotional
329 reactivity in pigs (Reimert *et al.* 2013) and sheep (Reefmann *et al.* 2009) and have been
330 suggested as proxies for coping styles screening. In fish very little is known about the link
331 between body postures and coping styles. Recently, Martins *et al.* (2012) used fin spreads
332 (defined as a sudden elevation of the dorsal fin) to distinguish bold and shy individuals of the
333 colonial fish, *Neolamprologus caudopunctatus*. Results showed that reactive individuals
334 exhibited a higher number of fin spreads in response to novelty.

335 The time to reach the first feeding in Salmonids has also been suggested to predict coping
336 styles. Recently, Andersson *et al.* (2013b) reported a coupling between stress coping styles and
337 the time to reach first feeding (low cortisol responders had larger yolk reserves at emergence
338 time) which can be used as a proxy.

339 **What are the consequences of different stress coping styles in farmed fish for**
340 **Aquaculture?**

341 The presence of coping styles is now well recognised in farmed fish and its implication for
342 aquaculture can be widespread. Individual fish within a population often differ in how strongly
343 they respond, behaviourally and physiologically, under stress conditions. A failure to
344 accommodate the coping styles of fish under farming conditions can lead to problems linked
345 with production (e.g. aggression, growth and disease resistance).

346

347 *Growth performance and energetics*

348 One of the best examples of the implications of coping styles in performance traits comes from
349 studies with African catfish (Martins 2005). By studying individual differences in growth and
350 how these relate with individual differences in feed intake, feeding behaviour and feed
351 efficiency, Martins (2005) showed that the most efficient individuals were those reacting
352 quicker to the presence of pellets and consuming their meals faster after transfer into a novel
353 environment. These individuals were also those that exhibited a lower cortisol response after
354 acute stress. All these characteristics (better feed efficiency and lower stress responsiveness) are
355 clearly beneficial under aquaculture conditions.

356 Several studies revealed that coping styles play an important role in growth performance and
357 feed conversion. In common carp the competitive ability (success in gaining access to a
358 spatially restricted feed source) was shown to be consistent over time and related to risk-taking
359 behaviour (Huntingford *et al.* 2010). The same behavioural characteristics have been observed
360 on sea bass (Milot *et al.* 2009b). Data from Martins *et al.* (2011 *abd*) have shown that proactive
361 individuals (*Nile tilapia*) seem to exhibit a faster recovery of feed intake after transfer and to use
362 feed resources more efficiently. In Atlantic salmon conditions that normally prevail in intensive
363 rearing systems (e.g. restricted feeding regimes, high density) may favour proactive individuals
364 (Huntingford 2004; Huntingford & Adams 2005).

365 Coping styles have also been linked with differences in metabolism (Huntingford *et al.* 2010;
366 Martins *et al.* 2011c). In nature, the metabolic rate of an animal is linked to the willingness of
367 risk-taking while foraging (Careau *et al.* 2008). Hence, increased energetic requirements in
368 individuals with a higher metabolic demand could require them to forage more often or take

369 more risks to achieve a higher rate of feed intake (Abrahams & Sutterlin 1999; Finstad *et al.*
370 2007). Huntingford *et al.* (2010) and Herrera *et al.* (2014) reported that in carp and seabream
371 respectively the risk-taking behavioural phenotype is associated with a relatively high metabolic
372 rate, while the risk-avoiding phenotype is associated with a lower rate. Killen *et al.* (2011)
373 reported in sea bass that the amount of risk-taking among individuals was positively correlated
374 with their routine metabolic rate. However, Martins *et al.* (2011c) have reported opposite results
375 in metabolic rate (oxygen consumption) measured when Senegalese sole were housed in
376 respirometry chambers. These authors suggested that different individuals reacted differently
377 when housed in the metabolic chambers that functioned as confinement chambers. Individuals
378 that consumed less oxygen in a respirometry chamber were also the individuals that reacted
379 sooner to a confinement stress (typical from proactive coppers). This apparent contradiction
380 may have to do with the passive benthic life-style sole, compared to other more active fish
381 species.

382 In addition, yolk-sac fry originating from the HR strain were more sensitive to environmental
383 stressors, and have shown a shorter reaction time to low oxygen levels (Höglund *et al.* 2008).
384 This suggests that differences in coping styles are expressed at early developmental stages
385 before social or environmental interference. Proactive individuals seem to have a “fast”
386 development strategy (or fast pace of life) as demonstrated by an earlier hatching and
387 consumption of egg yolk reserves as compared to reactive (Andersson & Höglund, 2012). Such
388 life strategy has an impact on metabolic needs and most likely on the nutritional requirements.
389 For instance, optimal dietary lipid content could depend on coping styles because metabolic
390 rates are different and hence energy requirements could vary.

391 In rats metabolic differences between coping styles have been associated with metabolic
392 diseases (Boersma 2011). Using selected Roman Low Avoidance (RLA) and Roman High
393 avoidance (RHA) rats Boersma (2011) showed that different strains differ in plasma insulin
394 levels, both in baseline conditions and during the intravenous glucose tolerance tests. Reactive
395 RLA individuals were associated with insulin resistance and elevated levels of plasma leptin,

396 free fatty acids levels, liver triglycerides, and an increased visceral fat content, especially when
397 over feeding a high fat diet. Proactive RHA individuals were extremely resistant to diet-induced
398 insulin resistance. Thus, coping styles of an individual seems to be associated with particular
399 metabolic and (patho-) physiological characteristics.

400

401 *Selection programmes*

402 Selection programmes in farmed fish focus essentially on growth performance (Gjedrem 2005).
403 As shown by Martins *et al.* (2005c) individuals exhibiting fast growth are often included in a
404 proactive coping style. However, proactive individuals have also been shown to be more
405 aggressive (Øverli *et al.* 2004; Castanheira *et al.* 2013a). Selection for fast growing individuals
406 may results in co-selection of undesirable traits such as aggression. Aggressiveness has been
407 linked with a diversity of aquaculture problems including decreased feed intake, growth
408 dispersion, chronic stress and disease vulnerability (Ashley 2007). Furthermore, fighting brings
409 a significant cost in terms of increased energy expenditure that may promote inefficient growth.
410 In addition, aggression among fish in production systems can be a cause of skin and fin damage.
411 This damage can directly reduce the value of the farmed product and increase the vulnerability
412 to diseases. Moreover, proactive individuals have also been shown to develop routines more
413 easily (Ruiz-Gomez *et al.* 2008, 2011; Basic *et al.* 2012; Frost *et al.* 2007). Such characteristic
414 may be more advantageous under stable conditions provided by intensive husbandry systems
415 but prejudicial in extensive or semi-intensive husbandry systems with lower standardized
416 conditions.

417

418 *Disease resistance and parasites*

419 Another important implication of coping styles in farmed fish is the different disease
420 susceptibility exhibited by proactive and reactive individuals. Diseases are one of the main
421 challenges in aquaculture and can represent a considerable financial burden to the farmer.
422 Studies on inflammatory challenge with bacterial pathogens reported distinct disease resistance
423 between coping styles (Fevolden *et al.* 1992, 1993; MacKenzie *et al.* 2009).

424 Fevolden *et al.* (1993) suggested selection targeting distinct coping styles rather than for
425 specific immune traits, selecting for a broad spectrum of defence mechanisms and hence
426 affecting resistance to several diseases.

427 Moreover, MacKenzie *et al.* (2009) showed distinct regulation of proinflammatory gene
428 expression suggesting that fundamental differences in cytokine regulation exist in fish with
429 distinct coping styles. In particular, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF α) and interleukin 1-beta
430 (IL1 β), putative cytokines involved in the development of inflammation in fish, differed
431 between proactive and reactive individuals.

432 Among the diseases, Salmon lice are considered a major threat to marine Salmonids farming
433 (Johnson *et al.* 2004) the evidence that salmon with higher black skin spots harboured fewer
434 mature female lice carrying egg sacs suggests that individual host traits may decrease parasite
435 infestation. Moreover (Øverli *et al.* 2014) demonstrate that the presence of sea lice affect
436 behaviour and brain serotonergic activity in Atlantic salmon. Still, further studies should
437 address the biology behind coping styles and resistance to parasites, bacteria and viruses.

438 Furthermore, (Kittilsen *et al.* 2009b) established that distinct pigmentation profiles are
439 correlated with stress cortisol response in Salmonids (Figure 1). Low cortisol responders were
440 found to be consistently more spotted than high cortisol responders. Another study by the same
441 authors Kittilsen *et al.* (2012) provided evidence for individual variation in parasites resistance
442 to sea lice particularly, salmon louse (*Lapeophtheirus salmonis*) carrying egg sacs.

443

444 *Fish welfare*

445 In most fish species, chronic or acute stress is considered as the main factor reducing animal
446 welfare in intensive husbandry productions (Ashley 2007; Huntingford *et al.* 2006). However,
447 despite the link between acute response to challenges and coping styles very little information is
448 available about chronic stressors and coping styles.

449 One of the best examples used to discriminate distinct susceptibility to chronic stressors was
450 performed using selected lines of wild house-mice. Strains of mice have been created through
451 selective breeding for divergent hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to a standardized

452 aggressiveness test: Short Attack Latency, high aggressive/ proactive (SAL) and Long Attack
453 Latency, low to non-aggressive/ reactive (LAL) (Benus *et al.* 1991). Using these lines, Veenema
454 *et al.* (2003) showed that response to a chronic stressor resulted in symptoms in LAL
455 (proactive) mice characterized by decreased body weight, elevated plasma adrenocorticotrophic
456 hormone (ACTH) and corticosterone levels and a lower hippocampal mineralocorticoid receptor
457 (MR): glucocorticoid receptor (GR) ratio.

458 Korte *et al.* (2005) mention that adaptive processes, actively maintain stability through change
459 (allostasis) are dependent on the personality type and associated stress responses. The benefits
460 of allostasis and the costs of adaptation (allostatic load) lead to different trade-off in health and
461 stress related diseases, reinforcing that both coping styles (proactive/reactive) can be successful,
462 under different environmental conditions.

463 Furthermore, van de Nieuwegiessen *et al.* (2010) showed that chronic stressors (stocking
464 density) affect the performance traits in African catfish differently according to coping
465 strategies. Fish housed at high density showed an increase in activity and decrease in aggression
466 levels. In addition, at high density, reactive individuals reared in mixed groups showed a
467 comparable growth rate to intermediate and proactive individuals. It seems that the presence of
468 intermediate and proactive individuals stimulates the feeding motivation of reactive individuals.

469 Undoubtedly, coping styles play an important role in how different individuals appraise the
470 housing environment and thereby their welfare status. Huntingford & Adams (2005) reviewed
471 the welfare consequences of coping strategies in Salmonids. They suggest that when fish are
472 housed at high densities and with a predictable feed source, as is usually the case in intensive
473 husbandry systems, reactive individuals may fail to flourish. Another interesting question
474 related with high densities, is the difference on how proactive and reactive individuals react to
475 the suppression of aggressive behaviour induced by crowding i.e. the propensity for higher
476 aggression in proactive individuals suggests that they will suffer most in high densities.

477 In contrast to Huntingford & Adams (2005), no indications were found for welfare
478 consequences of different coping strategies in intensive husbandry systems in African catfish
479 (van de Nieuwegiessen *et al.* 2010). Although an impaired growth performance of reactive fish

480 housed in reactive groups was shown, no effects were detected in reactive fish housed in mixed
481 groups, which is the common rearing practice.

482 Based on these results, individual coping styles should not be used as a welfare indicator, but
483 one may infer a welfare problem when the behaviour identified under the proactive/reactive
484 continuum changes. Even though, the housing environments may have profound effects on
485 behaviour and welfare. For example, in pigs the environmental enrichment effects were shown
486 to be much higher in LR than in HR and were reflected in more time on play behaviour and
487 more oral manipulation of pen mates (Bolhuis *et al.* 2005b). In addition, the same authors
488 showed that the effect of environmental enrichment on weight gain may differ for pigs with
489 divergent coping styles. In fish, the effect of environmental enrichment (i.e. substrate
490 availability) as behavioural and physiological indicators of welfare was study by Galhardo *et al.*
491 (2008) whom showed that the absence of substrate decreased territorial behaviour, increase
492 aggression levels, cortisol and glucose; all of which are suggestive of a stress-related context.
493 This suggests that the welfare of at least some fish species may be negatively affected by the
494 absence of substrate or other environmental enrichment, and this effect may change in distinct
495 fish coping styles.

496 Furthermore, aggressiveness level is one of the differences between proactive and reactive
497 individuals. Literature suggests that proactive individuals show high levels of aggressiveness
498 (Øverli *et al.*, 2004 Castanheira *et al.*, 2013b). Aggression has been linked with a diversity of
499 aquaculture-relevant problems including decreased feed intake, growth dispersion, chronic
500 stress and disease vulnerability (Huntingford & Adams 2005; Martins *et al.* 2011e) which as a
501 consequence can impair fish welfare.

502 Moreover, Vindas *et al.* (2012, 2014) showed good evidence that Atlantic salmon possess a
503 nervous system and a brain sufficiently complex to demonstrate individual responses to
504 frustrations conditions when an omission of an expected reward occurs. Deviation from routine
505 feeding practices, in intensive farming conditions, could have negative consequences, in terms
506 of both production and welfare as a consequence of frustration-induced agonistic behaviours.

507 Knowing that farmed fish have coping styles and that coping styles differ in how they appraise
508 their environment may help designing farming environments that are more diverse and could
509 improve the welfare of individuals with different coping styles. In turn, this may increase
510 production output.

511 *Flesh quality*

512 Nowadays there is evidence showing that inadequate fish husbandry results in lower meat
513 quality (Ribas *et al.* 2007; Robb *et al.* 2000; Matos *et al.*, 2010, 2011). Studies show that fish
514 subjected to stress prior to and during slaughter, in particular salmonids, display a softer texture
515 and lower flesh quality (Bahuaud *et al.* 2010; Kiessling *et al.* 2004). Some studies suggest that
516 the production of low cortisol-responsive fish could benefit commercial parameters such as
517 flesh quality (Pottinger 2001). High fillet quality (e.g. textural characteristics, freshness and
518 health value) is a requirement for feed production and coping styles can attenuate or aggravate
519 the effect of stressors on file quality. However, knowledge on the mechanisms responsible for
520 individual differences in flesh quality is still largely unknown.

521

522 *Production systems*

523 It is also important to understand how divergent coping styles perform in different aquaculture
524 production systems. Recirculating Aquaculture Systems (RAS), for example are expected to
525 expand in the future as they offer the possibility to have a high production with a minimum
526 ecological impact (Martins *et al.* 2010).

527 Mota *et al.* (2014) showed that steroids (glucocorticoids, androgens and a progestin) in their
528 free and conjugated forms tend to accumulate in the rearing water of commercial RAS at levels
529 that can potentially be detected by some fish species. However, we still do not know how
530 sensitive the different coping styles are to the re-uptake of steroids and olfactory cues present in
531 the water and how such sensitivity can induce different welfare levels.

532 Furthermore, the range of the coping styled spectrum that leads to maximum growth
533 performance, highest welfare condition and disease resistance, may change depending on the

534 husbandry system, once different types of intensive, semi-intensive or extensive systems present
535 very different social and environmental conditions to fish.

536 **Future perspectives**

537 Coping styles are present in a variety of farmed fish and may impact aquaculture in different
538 ways. However, one of the main difficulties in understanding the implications of coping styles
539 under farming conditions is the methodology available that relies heavily on individually-based
540 tests. Screening in isolation may induce significant stress in social species. Consequently, the
541 development of grouped-based tests (Figure 2) may in the future facilitate mass screening of
542 fish stocked at high densities and therefore may be more easily applied under farming
543 conditions. Examples of potential mass screening tests are the hypoxia and the risk-taking tests
544 (Milot *et al.* 2009b; Huntingford *et al.* 2010; Laursen *et al.* 2011; Castanheira *et al.* 2013b).
545 Additionally, further studies should be considered to validate the temporal consistency over
546 time of the distinct traits. One of the limitations of the available knowledge regarding the
547 temporal consistency is that it refers always to short term consistency (usually a few weeks)
548 (Basic *et al.* 2012; Castanheira *et al.* 2013b). However, van Reenen (2012) demonstrated long-
549 term consistency of individual differences in behavioural and adrenocortical responses of dairy
550 cattle to acute stressors. The observations were recorded in rearing period (6 - 7 months),
551 gestation (22 - 24 months) and first lactation (25 - 29 months). They showed that individual
552 differences in struggling in a restraint test at 7 months of age predicted those in open field
553 locomotion during first pregnancy. In addition, individuals with high cortisol responses and
554 reactive behaviour measured as high avoidance and less exploration to open field and novel
555 object tests at 6 months of age, also exhibited high cortisol responses to both tests at 29 months
556 of age. Similar studies, over longer periods of time should be undertaken also in fish.

557 Measures of HPA axis reactivity, locomotion, vocalisation and adrenocortical and behavioural
558 responses to novelty contributed to the understanding of ability to cope with stress and
559 supporting the idea that stress responsiveness may be mediated by multiple independent
560 underlying traits. Some authors have suggested that cortisol and behavioural responses to

561 stressors are linked to two independent dimensions of stable trait characteristics (Koolhaas *et al.*
562 2010). These authors suggested that the quality of the response to a challenging condition
563 (coping style) is independent from the quantity of that response (stress reactivity). According to
564 the same authors, the physiological responses to stress such as the HPI axis reactivity (one of
565 the most significant differences between proactive and reactive individuals) is more related to
566 an emotional response to stress than to coping styles. Eventually a decoupling of these axis,
567 coping styles and emotional, could bring new light to understand the pronounced individual
568 variation in plasma cortisol response observed. It is also important to perform studies regarding
569 the influence of age, environmental conditions, nutrition and social group in coping styles. In
570 other comparative models (e.g. cows, pigs) coping styles can change partly according to the
571 social environment (van Reenen 2012; van Erp-van der Kooij *et al.* 2003). In addition, van Erp-
572 van der Kooij *et al.* (2003) showed that coping styles in piglets can change according to the
573 social environment although at an older age, this ability was lost.

574 In addition, different coping styles also differ in their adaptability towards shifts in
575 environmental conditions. In mice Benus *et al.* (1988) showed that individual differences in
576 aggressiveness (a component trait of coping styles) explain differences in adaptation to external
577 factors. The adaptation to a new photoperiod cycle took two fold long in the aggressive mice.
578 However, in farmed fish there are no similar studies in literature.

579 Still, studies in farmed fish such as the selected trout lines can open the possibility to use fish as
580 simpler models to understand underlying mechanism of coping styles in vertebrates such as
581 those related to neural activity and their implications in behaviour.

582 The knowledge of coping styles can help to improve the sustainability of production through the
583 establishment of more fine-tuned culture strategies. In this way the feed waste can be minimized
584 since each coping style is related to particular physiological and behavioural responses and
585 some culture variables could be adjusted. Moreover, the genetic basis (heritability/epigenetics)
586 of coping styles, disease susceptibility as well the neuroendocrine mechanisms behind
587 consistent as well as flexible behavioural patterns are here pinpointed as central themes and
588 open research lines on application of coping styles to aquaculture.

589 **Conclusions**

590 The presence of coping styles is now well recognised in farmed fish and its implication for
 591 aquaculture can be wide as here reviewed. Taken together, the fairly extensive literature on
 592 coping styles in fish shows that screening for coping styles is species-specific. The recent
 593 development of group-based tests and the use of proxies may provide an opportunity for mass
 594 screening in the future. Mass screening into different coping styles may help optimizing the
 595 production systems as optimal conditions for proactive individuals are likely to be different
 596 from those of reactive individuals.

597 In addition, the recognition that farmed fish exhibit coping styles means that a number of
 598 behavioural and physiological responses will vary as part of a common “package” that should
 599 be taken into consideration when designing selection programs.

600

601 **Acknowledgements**

602 This publication has been supported by the European Commission under the 7th Framework
 603 Programme FP7-KBBE-2010-4 Contract no.: 265957 COPEWELL.

604

605 Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

606

607

608 **References**

- 609 Abrahams MV & Sutterlin A (1999) The foraging and antipredator behavior of growth
 610 enhanced transgenic Atlantic salmon. *Animal Behavior*, 58:933–942.
- 611 Arends RJ, Mancera JM, Munoz JL, Wendelaar Bonga SE, Flik G (1999) The stress response of
 612 the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) to air exposure and confinement. *Journal of*
 613 *Endocrinology*, **163**,149-157.
- 614 Andersson MÅ, Khan UW, Overli O, Gjoen HM, Höglund E (2013a) Coupling between stress
 615 coping style and time of emergence from spawning nests in salmonid fishes: Evidence
 616 from selected rainbow trout strains (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Physiology & Behaviour*,
 617 **117**, 30-34.
- 618 Andersson MÅ, Laursen DC, Silva PIM, Höglund E (2013b) The relationship between
 619 emergence from spawning gravel and growth in farmed rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus*
 620 *mykiss*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **83**(1): 214-219.

- 621 Andersson MÅ, Höglund E (2012) Linking personality to larval energy reserves in rainbow
622 trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *PLoS ONE*, 7(11): e49247.
- 623 Andersson MÅ, Silva PIM, Steffensen JF, Höglund E (2011) Effects of maternal stress coping
624 style on offspring characteristics in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Hormones*
625 *and Behavior* **60**, 699-705.
- 626 Ashley PJ (2007) Fish welfare: Current issues in aquaculture. *Applied Animal Behaviour*
627 *Science*, **104**, 199-235.
- 628 Bahuaud D, Gaarder M, Veiseth-Kent E, Thomassen M (2010) Fillet texture and protease
629 activities in different families of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Aquaculture*
630 **310**, 213-220.
- 631 Barreto REV, Volpato GL (2011) Ventilation rates indicate stress-coping styles in Nile tilapia.
632 *Journal of Bioscience*, **36**, 851-855.
- 633 Basic D, Winberg S, Schjolden J, Krogdahl Å, Höglund E (2012) Context-dependent responses
634 to novelty in Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), selected for high and low post-
635 stress cortisol responsiveness. *Physiology & Behavior*, **105**, 1175-1181.
- 636 Benus RF, Bohus B, Koolhaas JM, van Oortmerssen GA (1991) Behavioural differences
637 between artificially selected aggressive and non-aggressive mice: response to
638 apomorphine. *Behavioural Brain Research*, **43**, 203-208.
- 639 Benus RF, Koolhaas JM, Van Oortmerssen GA (1988) Aggression and adaptation to the light-
640 dark cycle: Role of intrinsic and extrinsic control. *Physiology & Behavior*, **43**, 131-137.
- 641 Boersma G (2011) Personality and the pathophysiology of energy metabolism In: *Department*
642 *of neuroendocrinology*. University of Groningen the Netherlands, pp. 1-242.
- 643 Bolhuis EJ, Schouten WGP, Schrama JW, Wiegant VM (2005a) Individual coping
644 characteristics, aggressiveness and fighting strategies in pigs. *Animal Behaviour*, **69**,
645 1085-1091.
- 646 Bolhuis JE, Schouten WGP, Schrama JW, Wiegant VM, (2005b) Behavioural development of
647 pigs with different coping characteristics in barren and substrate enriched housing
648 conditions. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **93** (3-4), 213-228.
- 649 Brelin D, Petersson E, Winberg S (2005) Divergent Stress Coping Styles in Juvenile Brown
650 Trout (*Salmo trutta*). *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **1040**, 239-245.
- 651 Careau V, Thomas D, Humphries MM, Réale D (2008) Energy metabolism and animal
652 personality. *Oikos*, **117**, 641-653.
- 653 Castanheira MF, Herrera M, Costas B, Conceição LEC, Martins CIM (2013a) Linking cortisol
654 responsiveness and aggressive behaviour in gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata*: Indication
655 of divergent coping styles. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **143**, 75-81.
- 656 Castanheira MF, Herrera M, Costas B, Conceição LEC, Martins CIM (2013b) Can we predict
657 personality in fish? – searching for consistency over time and across contexts. *PLoS*
658 *ONE* **8**, e62037
- 659 Chapman BB, Morrell L J, Krause J (2010) Unpredictability in food supply during early life
660 influences boldness in fish. *Behavioral Ecology*, **21**, 501-506
- 661 Coppens CM, De Boer SF, Koolhaas JM (2010) Coping styles and behavioural flexibility:
662 Towards underlying mechanisms. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
663 *Biological Sciences*, **365**, 4021-4028.
- 664 Dingemanse NJ, Van der Plas F, Wright J, Réale D, Schrama M, Roff DA, Van der Zee E,
665 Barber I (2009) Individual experience and evolutionary history of predation affect
666 expression of heritable variation in fish personality and morphology. *Proceedings of the*
667 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 1285-1293.
- 668 Ebbesson LO, Braithwaite VA (2012) Environmental effects on fish neural plasticity and
669 cognition. *Journal of Fish Biology* **81** (7), 2151-2174.
- 670 Fevolden SE, Nordmo R, Refstie T, Røed KH (1993) Disease resistance in Atlantic salmon
671 (*Salmo salar*) selected for high or low responses to stress. *Aquaculture*, **109**, 215-224.
- 672 Fevolden SE, Refstie T, Røed KH (1992) Disease resistance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus*
673 *mykiss*) selected for stress response. *Aquaculture*, **104**, 19-29.
- 674 Finstad AG, Forseth T, Ugedal O, Naese TF (2007) Metabolic rate, behaviour and winter
675 performance in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Functional Ecology*, **21**, 905-912.

- 676 Francis RC (1990) Temperament in a Fish: A Longitudinal Study of the Development of
677 Individual Differences in Aggression and Social Rank in the Midas Cichlid. *Ethology*, **86**,
678 311-325
- 679 Frost AJ, Winrow-Giffen A, Ashley PJ, Sneddon LU (2007) Plasticity in animal personality
680 traits: does prior experience alter the degree of boldness? *Proc Biol Sci.*, **274**, 333-339.
- 681 Galhardo L, Correia J, Oliveira RF (2008) The effect of substrate availability on behavioural
682 and physiological indicators of welfare in the African cichlid (*Oreochromis*
683 *mossambicus*). *Animal Welfare*, **17**, 239-254.
- 684 Gjedrem T (2005) *Selection and Breeding Programs in Aquaculture*, 364 p.
- 685 Gosling, Samuel D. (2001) From mice to men: What can we learn about personality from
686 animal research? *Psychological Bulletin*, **127**, 45-86
- 687 Herrera M, Castanheira MF, Martins CIM, Conceição LEC (2014). Link between risk taking
688 and the behavioral and physiological responses to confinement stress in seabream
689 *Sparus aurata*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **155**,101-108.
- 690 Hessing MJC, Hagelsø AM, Schouten WGP, Wiepkema PR, Van Beek JAM (1994) Individual
691 behavioral and physiological strategies in pigs. *Physiology & Behavior*, **55**, 39-46.
- 692 Hessing MJC, Hagelsø AM, van Beek JAM, Wiepkema RP, Schouten WGP, Krukow R (1993)
693 Individual behavioural characteristics in pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **37**,
694 285-295.
- 695 Höglund E, Gjøn HM, Pottinger TG, Øverli Ø (2008) Parental stress-coping styles affect the
696 behaviour of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* at early developmental stages.
697 *Journal of Fish Biology*, **73**, 1764-1769.
- 698 Hopster H (1998) Coping strategies in dairy cows. In: Institute of Animal Sciences. Wageningen
699 University, The Netherlands, pp. 1-152.
- 700 Huntingford FA, Andrew G, Mackenzie S, Morera D, Coyle SM, Pilarczyk M, Kadri S (2010)
701 Coping strategies in a strongly schooling fish, the common carp *Cyprinus carpio*.
702 *Journal of Fish Biology*, **76**, 1576-1591.
- 703 Huntingford FA, Adams C, Braithwaite VA, Kadri S, Pottinger TG, Sandøe P, Turnbull JF
704 (2006) Current issues in fish welfare. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **68**, 332-372.
- 705 Huntingford FA, Adams C (2005) Behavioural syndromes in farmed fish: implications for
706 production and welfare. *Behaviour*, **142**, 1213-1227.
- 707 Huntingford FA (2004) Implications of domestication and rearing conditions for the behaviour
708 of cultivated fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **65**, 122-142.
- 709 Johansen IB, Sørensen C, Sandvik GK, Nilsson GE, Höglund E, Bakken M, Øverli Ø (2012)
710 Neural plasticity is affected by stress and heritable variation in stress coping style.
711 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics*, **7**, 161-
712 171.
- 713 Johansen IB, Lunde IG, Røsjø H, Christensen G, Nilsson GE, Bakken M, Øverli Ø (2011)
714 Cortisol response to stress is associated with myocardial remodeling in salmonid fishes.
715 *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **214**, 1313-1321.
- 716 Johnson SC, Treasurer JW, Bravo S, Nagasawa K, Kabata Z (2004) A review of the impact of
717 parasitic copepods on marine aquaculture. *Zoological Studies* **43** (2): 229-243.
- 718 Kiessling A, Espe M, Ruohonen K, Mørkøre T (2004) Texture, gaping and colour of fresh and
719 frozen Atlantic salmon flesh as affected by pre-slaughter iso-eugenol or CO₂
720 anaesthesia. *Aquaculture* **236**, 645-657
- 721 Killen SS, Marras S, McKenzie DJ. (2011) Fuel, fasting, fear: routine metabolic rate and feed
722 deprivation exert synergistic effects on risk-taking in individual juvenile European sea
723 bass. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **80**(5),1024-33.
- 724 Kittilsen S, Johansen IB, Braastad BO, Øverli Ø (2012) Pigments, parasites and personality:
725 Towards a unifying role for steroid hormones? *PLoS ONE*, **7** (4), e34281.
- 726 Kittilsen S, Ellis T, Schjolden J, Braastad BO, Øverli Ø (2009a) Determining stress-
727 responsiveness in family groups of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) using non-invasive
728 measures. *Aquaculture*, **298**, 146-152.

- 729 Kittilsen S, Schjolden J, Beitnes-Johansen I, Shaw JC, Pottinger TG, Sørensen C, Braastad BO,
730 Bakken M, Øverli Ø (2009b) Melanin-based skin spots reflect stress responsiveness in
731 salmonid fish. *Hormones and Behavior*, **56**, 292-298.
- 732 Kling GW, Hayhoe K, Johnson LB, Magnuson JJ, Polasky S, Robinson SK, Shuter BJ, Wander
733 MW, Wuebbles DJ, Zak DR, Lindroth RL, Moser SC, Wilson ML (2003) Confronting
734 Climate Change in the Great Lakes Region. Impacts on our Communities and
735 Ecosystems. Union of concerned scientists, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and Ecological
736 Society of America, Washington, D.C. (<http://www.ucsusa.org/greatlakes>).
- 737 Koolhaas JM, de Boer SF, Coppens CM, Buwalda B (2010) Neuroendocrinology of coping
738 styles: Towards understanding the biology of individual variation. *Frontiers in*
739 *Neuroendocrinology*, **31**, 307-321.
- 740 Koolhaas JM, Korte SM, De Boer SF, Van Der Vegt BJ, Van Reenen CG, Hopster H, De Jong
741 IC, Ruis MAW, Blokhuis HJ (1999) Coping styles in animals: current in behavior and
742 stress-physiology. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, **23**, 925-935.
- 743 Korte SM, Koolhaas JM, Wingfield JC, McEwen BS (2005) The Darwinian concept of stress:
744 Benefits of allostasis and costs of allostatic load and the trade-offs in health and disease.
745 *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* **29**, 3-38.
- 746 Korte SM, Ruesink W, Blokhuis HJ (1999) Heart rate variability during manual restraint in
747 chicks from high- and low-feather pecking lines of laying hens. *Physiology & Behavior*
748 **65** (4-5), 649-652.
- 749 Kristiansen TS, Fernö A (2007) Individual behaviour and growth of halibut (*Hippoglossus*
750 *hippoglossus* L.) fed sinking and floating: Evidence of different coping styles. *Applied*
751 *Animal Behaviour Science*, **104**, 236-250.
- 752 Laursen DC, L. Olsén H, Ruiz-Gomez MdL, Winberg S, Höglund E (2011) Behavioural
753 responses to hypoxia provide a non-invasive method for distinguishing between stress
754 coping styles in fish. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **132**, 211-216.
- 755 LeBlanc S, Höglund E, Gilmour KM, Currie S (2012) Hormonal modulation of the heat shock
756 response: insights from fish with divergent cortisol stress responses. *American Journal*
757 *of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, **302**, R184-R192.
- 758 MacKenzie S, Ribas L, Pilarczyk M, Capdevila DM, Kadri S, Huntingford FA (2009) Screening
759 for Coping Style Increases the Power of Gene Expression Studies. *PLoS ONE*, **4**, e5314.
- 760 Magnani D, Cafazzo S, Calà P, Costa LN (2012) Searching for differences in the behavioural
761 response of piglet groups subjected to novel situations. *Behavioural Processes*, **89**, 68-
762 73.
- 763 Magnhagen C, Bunnefeld N (2009) Express your personality or go along with the group: what
764 determines the behaviour of shoaling perch? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
765 *Biological Sciences*, **276**, 3369-3375.
- 766 Magnhagen C (2007) Social influence on the correlation between behaviours in young-of-the-
767 year perch. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **61**, 525-531.
- 768 Magnhagen C, Staffan F (2005) Is boldness affected by group composition in young-of-the-year
769 perch (*Perca fluviatilis*)? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, **57**, 295-303.
- 770 Martins CIM, Schaedelin FC, Mann M, Blum C, Mandl I, Urban D, Grill J, Sch, wender J,
771 Wagner RH (2012) Exploring novelty: a component trait of behavioural syndromes in a
772 colonial fish. *Behaviour*, **149**, 215-231.
- 773 Martins CIM, Conceição LEC, Schrama JW (2011a) Feeding behavior and stress response
774 explain individual differences in feed efficiency in juveniles of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis*
775 *niloticus*. *Aquaculture*, **312**, 192-197
- 776 Martins CIM, Silva PIM, Conceição LEC, Costas B, Höglund E, Øverli Ø, Schrama JW
777 (2011b) Linking Fearfulness and Coping Styles in Fish. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e28084.
- 778 Martins CIM, Castanheira MF, Engrola S, Costas B, Conceição LEC (2011c) Individual
779 differences in metabolism predict coping styles in fish. *Applied Animal Behaviour*
780 *Science*, **130**, 135-143.
- 781 Martins CIM, Conceição LEC, Schrama JW (2011d) Consistency of individual variation in
782 feeding behaviour and its relationship with performance traits in Nile tilapia
783 *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **133**, 109-116.

- 784 Martins CIM, Galhardo L, Noble C, Damsgård B, Spedicato M, Zupa W, Beauchaud M,
785 Kulczykowska E, Massabuau J-C, Carter T, Planellas S, Kristiansen T (2011e)
786 Behavioural indicators of welfare in farmed fish. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 1-
787 25.
- 788 Martins CIM, Eding EH, Verdegem MCJ, Heinsbroek LTN, Schneider O, Blancheton JP,
789 d'Orbcastel ER, Verreth JAJ (2010) New developments in recirculating aquaculture
790 systems in Europe: A perspective on environmental sustainability. *Aquacultural*
791 *Engineering*, **43**, 83-93.
- 792 Martins CIM, Hillen B, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2008) A brief note on the relationship
793 between residual feed intake and aggression behaviour in juveniles of African catfish
794 *Clarias gariepinus*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 111, 408-413.
- 795 Martins CIM, Trenovski M, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2006a) Comparison of feed intake
796 behaviour and stress response in isolated and non-isolated African catfish. *Journal of*
797 *Fish Biology*, **69**, 629-636.
- 798 Martins CIM, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2006b) The relationship between individual
799 differences in feed efficiency and stress response in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus*.
800 *Aquaculture*, **256**, 588-595.
- 801 Martins CIM, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2006c) The effect of group composition on the
802 welfare of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **97**,
803 323-334.
- 804 Martins CIM, Aanyu M, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2005a) Size distribution in African catfish
805 (*Clarias gariepinus*) affects feeding behaviour but not growth. *Aquaculture*, **250**, 300-
806 307. Behavioural indicators of welfare in farmed fish. *Fish Physiology and*
807 *Biochemistry*, 1-25.
- 808 Martins CIM, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2005b) The consistency of individual differences in
809 growth, feed efficiency and feeding behaviour in African catfish *Clarias gariepinus*
810 (Burchell 1822) housed individually. *Aquaculture Research*, 36, 1509-1516.
- 811 Martins CIM, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2005c) Inherent variation in growth efficiency of
812 African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822) juveniles. *Aquaculture Research*,
813 **36**, 868-875.
- 814 Matos E, Silva TS, Tiago T, Aureliano M, Dinis MT, Dias J (2011) Effect of harvesting stress
815 and storage conditions on protein degradation in fillets of farmed gilthead seabream
816 (*Sparus aurata*): A differential scanning calorimetry study. *Feed Chemistry* **126**, 270-
817 276.
- 818 Matos E, Gonçalves A, Nunes ML, Dinis MT, Dias J (2010) Effect of harvesting stress and
819 slaughter conditions on selected flesh quality criteria of gilthead seabream (*Sparus*
820 *aurata*). *Aquaculture* **305**, 66-72.
- 821 Mas-Muñoz J, Komen H, Schneider O, Visch SW, Schrama JW (2011) Feeding Behaviour,
822 Swimming Activity and Boldness Explain Variation in Feed Intake and Growth of Sole
823 (*Solea solea*) Reared in Captivity. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e21393.
- 824 Millot S, Cerqueira M, Castanheira MF, Øverli Ø, Martins CIM, Oliveira RF (2014a). Use of
825 conditioned place preference/avoidance tests to assess affective states in fish. *Applied*
826 *Animal Behaviour Science* **154**, 104-111.
- 827 Millot S, Péan S, Labbé L, Kerneis T, Quillet E, Dupont-Nivet M, Bégout ML (2014b).
828 Assessment of Genetic Variability of Fish Personality Traits using Rainbow Trout
829 Isogenic Lines. *Behavior Genetics*, 1-11.
- 830 Millot S, Bégout ML, Chatain B (2009a) Exploration behaviour and flight response toward a
831 stimulus in three sea bass strains (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Applied Animal Behaviour*
832 *Science*, **119**, 108-114.
- 833 Millot S, Bégout ML, Chatain B (2009b) Risk-taking behaviour variation over time in sea bass
834 *Dicentrarchus labrax*: effects of day-night alterations, fish phenotypic characteristics
835 and selection for growth. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **75**, 1733-1749.
- 836 Moreira PSA, Pulman KGT, Pottinger TG (2004) Extinction of a conditioned response in
837 rainbow trout selected for high or low responsiveness to stress. *Hormones and*
838 *Behavior*, **46**, 450-457.

- 839 Mota VC, Martins CIM, Eding E, Canário AV, Verreth JA (2014) Steroids accumulate in the
840 rearing water of commercial recirculating aquaculture systems. *Aquacultural*
841 *Engineering* (accepted).
- 842 Øverli Ø, Nordgreen J, Mejdell CM, Janczak AM, Kittilsen S, Johansen IB, Horsberg TE
843 (2014) Ectoparasitic sea lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) affect behaviour and brain
844 serotonergic activity in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): Perspectives on animal
845 welfare. *Physiology & Behavior*,
- 846 Øverli Ø, Sørensen C, Pulman KGT, Pottinger TG, Korzan W, Summers CH, Nilsson GE
847 (2007) Evolutionary background for stress-coping styles: Relationships between
848 physiological, behavioral, and cognitive traits in non-mammalian vertebrates.
849 *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, **31**, 396-412.
- 850 Øverli Ø, Sørensen C, Nilsson GE (2006a) Behavioral indicators of stress-coping style in
851 rainbow trout: Do males and females react differently to novelty? *Physiology &*
852 *Behavior*, **87**, 506-512.
- 853 Øverli Ø, Sørensen C, Kiessling A, Pottinger TG, GjØen HM (2006b) Selection for improved
854 stress tolerance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) leads to reduced feed waste.
855 *Aquaculture* 261(2): 776-781.
- 856 Øverli Ø, Winberg S, Pottinger TG (2005) Behavioral and neuroendocrine correlates of
857 selection for stress responsiveness in rainbow trout - A review. *Integrative and*
858 *Comparative Biology*, **45**, 463-474.
- 859 Øverli Ø, Korzan WJ, Höglund E, Winberg S, Bollig H, Watt M, Forster GL, Barton BA,
860 Øverli E, Renner KJ, Summers CH (2004) Stress coping style predicts aggression and
861 social dominance in rainbow trout. *Hormones and Behavior* **45**, 235- 241.
- 862 Øverli Ø, Pottinger TG, Carrick TR, Øverli E, Winberg S (2002a) Differences in behaviour
863 between rainbow trout selected for high- and low-stress responsiveness. *Journal of*
864 *Experimental Biology*, **205**, 391-395.
- 865 Øverli Ø, Kotzian S, Winberg S (2002b) Effects of Cortisol on Aggression and Locomotor
866 Activity in Rainbow Trout. *Hormones and Behavior*, **42**, 53-61.
- 867 Pottinger TG (2006) Context dependent differences in growth of two rainbow trout
868 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) lines selected for divergent stress responsiveness. *Aquaculture*
869 **256**, 140-147.
- 870 Pottinger TG (2001) *Effects of husbandry stress on flesh quality indicators in fish*. In: Kestin
871 SC, Warriss PD (Eds.), *Farmed fish quality*. Blackwell Oxford, 145-160.
- 872 Pottinger TG, Carrick TR (1999) Modification of the Plasma Cortisol Response to Stress in
873 Rainbow Trout by Selective Breeding. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, **116**,
874 122-132.
- 875 Réale D, Dingemanse NJ, Kazem AJN, Wright J (2010a) Evolutionary and ecological
876 approaches to the study of personality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*
877 *B: Biological Sciences*, **365**, 3937-3946.
- 878 Réale D, Garant D, Humphries MM, Bergeron P, Careau V, Montiglio PO (2010b) Personality
879 and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level.
880 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* **365** (1560),
881 4051-4063.
- 882 Réale D, Reader SM, Sol D, McDougall PT, Dingemanse NJ (2007) Integrating animal
883 temperament within ecology and evolution. *Biological reviews of the Cambridge*
884 *Philosophical Society* **82** (2), 291-318.
- 885 Reefmann N, Bütikofer Kaszàs F, Wechsler B, Gygas L (2009) Ear and tail postures as
886 indicators of emotional valence in sheep. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **118**, 199-
887 207.
- 888 Reimert I, Bolhuis JE, Kemp B, Rodenburg TB (2013) Indicators of positive and negative
889 emotions and emotional contagion in pigs. *Physiology & Behavior*, **109**, 42-50.
- 890 Rey S, Boltana S, Vargas R, Roher N, MacKenzie S. (2013) Combining animal personalities
891 with transcriptomics resolves individual variation within a wild-type zebrafish
892 population and identifies underpinning molecular differences in brain function.
893 *Molecular Ecology* **22** (24), 6100-6115.

- 894 Rexroad C, Vallejo R, Liu S, Palti Y, Weber G (2012) QTL affecting stress response to
895 crowding in a rainbow trout broodstock population. *BioMed Central Genetics*, **13** (1),
896 97.
- 897 Ribas L, Flos R, Reig L, MacKenzie S, Barton BA, Tort L (2007) Comparison of methods for
898 anaesthetizing Senegal sole (*Solea senegalensis*) before slaughter: Stress responses and
899 final product quality. *Aquaculture* **269**, 250-258.
- 900 Robb DHF, Kestin SC, Warriss PD (2000) Muscle activity at slaughter: I. Changes in flesh
901 colour and gaping in rainbow trout. *Aquaculture* **182**, 261-269.
- 902 Ruis MAW, te Brake JHA, Engel B, Buist WG, Blokhuis HJ, Koolhaas JM (2001) Adaptation
903 to social isolation: Acute and long-term stress responses of growing gilts with different
904 coping characteristics. *Physiology & Behavior*, **73**, 541-551.
- 905 Ruiz-Gomez ML, Huntingford FA, Øverli Ø, Thörnqvist P-O, Höglund E (2011) Response to
906 environmental change in rainbow trout selected for divergent stress coping styles.
907 *Physiology & Behavior*, **102**, 317-322.
- 908 Ruiz-Gomez ML, Kittilsen S, Höglund E, Huntingford FA, Sørensen C, Pottinger TG, Bakken
909 M, Winberg S, Korzan WJ, Øverli Ø (2008) Behavioral plasticity in rainbow trout
910 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with divergent coping styles: When doves become hawks.
911 *Hormones and Behavior*, **54**, 534-538.
- 912 Schjolden J, Backström T, Pulman KGT, Pottinger TG, Winberg S (2005) Divergence in
913 behavioural responses to stress in two strains of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
914 with contrasting stress responsiveness. *Hormones and Behavior*, **48**, 537-544.
- 915 Sih A, Bell A, Johnson JC (2004) Behavioral syndromes: an ecological and evolutionary
916 overview. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, **19**, 372-378
- 917 Silva PIM, Martins CIM, Engrola S, Marino G, Øverli Ø, Conceição LEC (2010) Individual
918 differences in cortisol levels and behaviour of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*)
919 juveniles: Evidence for coping styles. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **124**, 75-81.
- 920 Stamps, J. A. & Groothuis, T. G. G. (2010) The development of animal personality: relevance,
921 concepts and perspectives. *Biol. Rev.* **85**, 301–325.
- 922 Spake JR, Gray KA, Cassady JP (2012) Relationship between backtest and coping styles in
923 pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **140**, 146-153.
- 924 Spoolder HAM, Burbidge JA, Lawrence AB, Simmins PH, Edwards SA (1996) Individual
925 behavioural differences in pigs: intra-and inter-test consistency. *Applied Animal
926 Behaviour Science*, **49**, 185-198.
- 927 Trenzado CE, Morales AE, Higuera M (2006) Physiological effects of crowding in rainbow
928 trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, selected for low and high stress responsiveness.
929 *Aquaculture*, **258**, 583-593.
- 930 Trenzado CE, Carrick TR, Pottinger TG (2003) Divergence of endocrine and metabolic
931 responses to stress in two rainbow trout lines selected for differing cortisol
932 responsiveness to stress. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, **133**, 332-340.
- 933 van de Nieuwegiessen PG, Ramli NM, Knegt BPFJM, Verreth JAJ, Schrama JW (2010)
934 Coping strategies in farmed African catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. Does it affect their
935 welfare? *Journal of Fish Biology*, **76**, 2486-2501.
- 936 van de Nieuwegiessen PG, Schrama JW, Verreth JAJ (2008) A note on alarm cues in juvenile
937 African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* Burchell: Indications for opposing behavioural
938 strategies. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, **113**, 270-275.
- 939 van Erp-van der Kooij E, Kuijpers AH, van Eerdenburg FJCM, Dieleman SJ, Blankenstein DM,
940 Tielen MJM (2003) Individual behavioural characteristics in pigs—influences of group
941 composition but no differences in cortisol responses. *Physiology & Behavior*, **78**, 479-
942 488.
- 943 van Reenen CG (2012) Identifying temperament in dairy cows In: *Department of Livestock
944 Research*. Wageningen University, The Netherlands, pp. 1-217.
- 945 van Reenen CG, O'Connell NE, Van der Werf JTN, Korte SM, Hopster H, Jones RB, Blokhuis
946 HJ (2005) Responses of calves to acute stress: Individual consistency and relations
947 between behavioral and physiological measures. *Physiology & Behavior*, **85**, 557-570.

- 948 van Reenen CG, Van der Werf JTN, Bruckmaier RM, Hopster H, Engel B, Noordhuizen JPTM,
949 Blokhuis HJ (2002) Individual Differences in Behavioral and Physiological
950 Responsiveness of Primiparous Dairy Cows to Machine Milking. *Journal of Dairy*
951 *Science*, **85**, 2551-2561.
- 952 Vaz-Serrano J, Ruiz-Gomez ML, GjØen HM, Skov PV, Huntingford FA, Øverli Ø, Höglund E
953 (2011) Consistent boldness behaviour in early emerging fry of domesticated Atlantic
954 salmon (*Salmo salar*): Decoupling of behavioural and physiological traits of the
955 proactive stress coping style. *Physiology & Behavior*, **103**, 359-364.
- 956 Veenema AH, Meijer OC, De Kloet ER, Koolhaas JM (2003) Genetic Selection For Coping
957 Style Predicts Stressor Susceptibility. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, **15**, 256-267.
- 958 Verbeek P, Iwamoto T, Murakami N (2008) Variable stress-responsiveness in wild type and
959 domesticated fighting fish. *Physiology & Behavior*, **93**, 83-88.
- 960 Vindas M, Sørensen C, Johansen IB, Folkedal O, Höglund E, Khan UW, Stien L, Kristiansen T,
961 Braastad B, Øverli Ø (2014) Coping with Unpredictability: Dopaminergic and
962 Neurotrophic Responses to Omission of Expected Reward in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo*
963 *salar*). *PLoS ONE* **9**, e85543.
- 964 Vindas M, Folkedal O, Kristiansen T, Stien L, Braastad B, Mayer I, Øverli Ø (2012) Omission
965 of expected reward agitates Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Animal Cognition*, **15**, 903-
966 911.
- 967

Figure 1. Distinct pigmentation profiles in Atlantic salmon defined as A) 'spotted', i.e. stress resistant and proactive and B) 'non-spotted', i.e. stress sensitive and reactive. Reproduced with permission from Kittilsen *et al.* 2009

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the group based tests used to determine coping styles in Gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata*. Reproduced with permission from Castanheira *et al.* 2013

Figure 1




Figure 2

Group-based tests
 $n = 24$
12 fish each group




Table.1 Summary of the terminology used concerning individual variation

Individual variation and Terminology			
	Terminology	Definition	References
Consistency in behaviour	Personality (temperament)	Those characteristics of individuals that describe and account for consistent patterns in feeling, thinking and behaving.	Francis 1990 Gosling 2001
Correlation between behaviours	Behavioural syndromes	A suite of correlated behaviours reflecting individual consistency in behaviour across multiple situations	Shi <i>et al.</i> 2004
Correlation between behaviour and physiology	Stress coping styles	A coherent set of behavioural and physiological stress responses which is consistent over time and which is characteristic of a certain group of individuals	Koolhaas <i>et al.</i> 1999

Table.2 Behavioural and physiological differences between proactive and reactive fish

Behavioural characteristics			
	Proactive	Reactive	References
Actively escape to stressor	High	Low	Silva <i>et al.</i> 2010; Martins <i>et al.</i> 2011c; Brelin <i>et al.</i> 2005; Laursen <i>et al.</i> 2011
Feed efficiency	High	Low	Martins <i>et al.</i> 2005ab 2006ab; van de Nieuwegiessen <i>et al.</i> 2008
Feeding motivation	High	Low	Øverli <i>et al.</i> 2007; Kristiansen & Fernö, 2007
Risk taking and exploration	High	Low	Huntingford <i>et al.</i> 2010; Øverli <i>et al.</i> 2006; MacKenzie <i>et al.</i> 2009; Millot <i>et al.</i> 2009a
Aggressiveness	High	Low	Øverli <i>et al.</i> 2004, 2005; Castanheira <i>et al.</i> 2013
Social influence	Low	High	Magnhagen, 2007; Magnhagen & Staffan 2005; Magnhagen & Bunnefeld, 2009
Sensitive to environmental stressors	Low	High	Höglund <i>et al.</i> 2008
Plasticity/Flexibility/Routine formation	Low	High	Chapman <i>et al.</i> 2010; Ruiz-Gomez <i>et al.</i> 2011
Physiological characteristics			
HPI reactivity	Low	High	Castanheira <i>et al.</i> 2013a; Øverli <i>et al.</i> 2006; Trenzado <i>et al.</i> 2003
Sympathetic reactivity	High	Low	Schjolden <i>et al.</i> 2006; Verbeek <i>et al.</i> 2008; Barreto & Volpato 2011
Parasympathetic reactivity	Low	High	Verbeek <i>et al.</i> 2008 Barreto & Volpato 2011
Hormonal modulation	Low	High	LeBlanc <i>et al.</i> 2012
Oxygen consumption	High	Low	Herrera <i>et al.</i> 2014; Killen <i>et al.</i> 2011, Martins <i>et al.</i> 2011c
Myocardial dysfunction	Low	High	Johansen <i>et al.</i> 2011
Neural plasticity	Low	High	Johansen <i>et al.</i> 2012
Immunity	High	Low	Kittilsen <i>et al.</i> 2012

Table 3 A. Summary of the evidence of coping styles in farmed fish and common tests used-
Freshwater Fish

Freshwater Fish				
Fish Species	Tests	Screening	Observations	References
Common carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>)	Risk-taking, competitive ability	Group	Rate of exploration and competitive ability are consistent over time and related to risk-taking behaviour: individuals that explored more quickly the novel environment were the first to gain access to restricted feed.	Huntingford <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Risk-taking	Group	Individual differences in behavioural responses, immune condition and baseline gene expression.	MacKenzie <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Nile tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>)	Feed intake recovery	Individual	Individual differences in ventilation rate and correlate with the rate of feeding recovery in isolation.	Barreto & Volpato, 2011
	Feed intake recovery	Individual	Proactive individuals seem to exhibit a faster recovery of feed intake after transfer into a novel environment and use feed resources more efficiently.	Martins <i>et al.</i> (2011 bd)
	Feed intake recovery, novel object, restraining	Individual	Inclusion of emotional reactivity (fearfulness) and appraisal as discriminating variables between reactive and proactive individuals.	Martins <i>et al.</i> (2011a)
African catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>)	Feed intake recovery, feeding behaviour	Individual + Group	Proactive individuals seem to exhibit a faster recovery of feed intake after transfer into a novel environment and use feed resources more efficiently. Feeding behaviour could be used as a predictor of feed efficiency.	Martins <i>et al.</i> (2005ab; 2006 abc)
	Feed intake, aggression	Individual + Pairwise	Individual differences in residual feed intake are related with differences in aggressive behaviour: more efficient individuals are more aggressive.	Martins <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Alarm cues, feeding behaviour	Individual	Feeding efficiency (residual feed intake) related with opposite behavioural responses to conspecific skin extract.	van de Nieuwegiessen <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Escape test	Individual + Group	Behavioural responses to the escape test (after a group-housed period) changed according to the group composition.	van de Nieuwegiessen <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Perch (<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>)	Habitat utilisation and feeding activity in visual contact with a potential predator, Risk-taking	Individual + Group	Proactive individuals spent more time in the open field and tended to be faster to enter in unknown environments. Modulation of individual behaviours by other group members.	Magnhagen & Staffan (2005) Magnhagen (2007) Magnhagen & Bunnefeld (2009)

Table 3 B. Summary of the evidence of coping styles in farmed fish and common tests used-
Diadromous Fish

Diadromous Fish				
Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)	High/Low stress response	-	Individual differences in disease resistance in lines selected for high and low post stress plasma cortisol levels.	Fevolden <i>et al.</i> (1993)
	Feeding in isolation, confinement	Individual	HR (more reactive) fish showed increased susceptibility to infectious. Pigmentation profiles are correlated with stress cortisol response. Distinct vulnerability to parasites correlates with pigmentation (high/low black skin spots).	Kittilsen <i>et al.</i> (2009;2012)
	Resume feeding in isolation	Individual	Early emerging individuals showed a shorter time to resume feeding after transfer to rearing in isolation.	Vaz-Serrano <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Rainbow trout (<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>)	Aggression	Pairwise	Lower brain serotonergic activity in socially naïve fry with big yolk and higher propensity for social dominance and aggression.	Andersson & Höglund (2012)
	Emergence from spawning gravel	Group	Relationship between characteristics expressed in early development and stress coping styles. The LR fish line has bigger eggs, yolk reserves and faster developmental rate.	Andersson <i>et al.</i> (2013a,b)
	Novel object , resident intruder, confinement	Individual	Individual differences were behavioural constant, but no differences were found between LR-HR lines.	Basic <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Confinement	Group	Inflammatory challenge with bacterial pathogens reported distinct disease resistance between coping styles.	Fevolden <i>et al.</i> (1992)
	Novel object	Individual	Social context is an important modulator of coping styles. Bold fish may be more flexible to changing conditions as opposed to shy individuals.	Frost <i>et al.</i> (2007)
	Initiation of avoidance swimming (larvae)	Individual	Yolk-sac fry originating from the HR strain were more sensitive to environmental stressors, and have shown a shorter reaction time to low oxygen levels.	Höglund <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Confinement	Individual	HR fish seem to be associated with cardiac remodeling and altered gene expression.	Johansen <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Confinement, social stress (dominant resident fish)	Individual + Group	Neurobiological mechanism underpinning differences in plasticity associated with distinct coping styles.	Johansen <i>et al.</i> (2012)
	Confinement	Individual	Differences between the HR-LR fish strain in the degree of pigmentation.	Kittilsen <i>et al.</i> (2009b)
	Hypoxia	Group	Behavioural responses to hypoxia can be used as a non-invasive method for sorting fish according to stress coping styles.	Laursen <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Confinement, heat shock, feed intake recovery	Individual	HR fish has a general response to environmental changes reflected in their greater and faster heat shock response and lower oxidative protein damage in response to high temperatures.	LeBlanc <i>et al.</i> (2012)

	Exploratory behaviour, Risk-taking	Individual + Group	The importance of the genetic regulation: isogenic lines with contrasted behavioural responses to a set of environmental stimuli.	Millot <i>et al.</i> (2014b)
	Emersion, confinement	Group	HR-LR individuals differed in memory retention.	Moreira <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	Locomotor activity, smaller conspecific intruder, feed intake	Individual + Pairwise	Behavioural and physiological differences between HR and LR fish established differences in performance.	Øverli <i>et al.</i> (2002)
	Aggressive behaviour, feed intake, confinement	Individual + Pairwise	LR fish were more aggressive when placed in a dominant social position.	Øverli <i>et al.</i> (2004a)
	Confinement, locomotor activity, feed intake	Individual	Individual differences in behavioural responses. Synthesis and metabolism of monoamine neurotransmitters and their metabolites were elevated after stress to a larger degree in HR fish.	Øverli <i>et al.</i> (2004b)
	Feed intake recovery, confinement	Individual	Behavioural indicators of stress-coping styles related with sex difference. Immature males resumed feeding after transfer to social isolation quicker than males. Females settling down and ceasing to move in a panic-like manner quicker than males during the confinement.	Øverli <i>et al.</i> (2006a)
	Crowded/ uncrowded conditions	Group	Distinct susceptibility under crowded condition and more feed waste in units containing HR when transported.	Øverli <i>et al.</i> (2006b)
	Feeding behaviour	Individual	Differences in responsiveness to environmental change: LR fish shown to develop routines more easily.	Ruiz-Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2011)
	Feed intake recovery, conspecific intruder	Individual + Pairwise	Behavioural plasticity is limited by genetic factors determining social position in early life. Some behavioural differences can be modified by experience.	Ruiz-Gomez <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	Confinement	Group	Differences between the HR-LR fish in plasma amino acids and liver glycogen concentration.	Trenzado <i>et al.</i> (2003)
	Crowded/ uncrowded conditions	Group	Performance discrepancy between the HR-LR fish related with competitiveness/ aggressiveness. Differences in plasma glucose levels and glycogen levels.	Trenzado <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Brown Trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>)	Feed intake recovery, resident-intruder, hypoxia, confinement	Individual	Individual differences in behavioural responses on resident-intruder, hypoxia and confinement. No differences in feed intake recovery.	Brelin <i>et al.</i> (2005)

Table 3 C. Summary of the evidence of coping styles in farmed fish and common tests used-
Marine Fish

Marine Fish				
Gilthead Sea bream (<i>Sparus aurata</i>)	Restraining, aggression	Individual + Pairwise	Fish with lower cortisol levels (proactive) when exposed to stress are more aggressive.	Castanheira <i>et al.</i> (2013b)
	Feed intake recovery, novel object, restraining, risk-taking	Individual + Group	Behavioural differences are consistent over time and predictable based on other behaviours. Possibility to predict behaviour in groups from individual personality traits.	Castanheira <i>et al.</i> (2013a)
	Risk-taking, hypoxia	Individual + Group	Risk-avoiders (reactive) behaviours were negatively correlated to movement and oxygen consumption rates in metabolic chambers.	Herrera <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Sea bass (<i>Dicentrarchus labrax</i>)	Feed intake recovery, exploration, restraining, risk-taking, hypoxia	Individual + Group	Behavioural differences were not consistent over time or across context in individual-based tests. In contrast, strong individual consistency was observed for all variables measured in group based tests. Hypoxia-avoiders had lower cortisol rate, higher activity and were higher risk-takers: the 3 characteristics of proactive coping style	Ferrari <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Exploration + swimming activities after a stimulation	Individual	Whatever the level of domestication and selection for growth fish presented the same flight response and stimulus exposure induced a significant decrease in exploratory behaviour and swimming activity. Only one generation of captivity could be sufficient to obtain fish presenting the same coping style characteristics (bolder) than fish reared for at least two generations.	Millot <i>et al.</i> (2009a)
	Risk-taking	Group	Wild fish were generally bolder than selected fish during two first days of test but showed a decrease in risk taking behaviour during a third day test. Selected fish showed a constant increase in their risk-taking behaviour over time.	Millot <i>et al.</i> (2009b)
Senegalese sole (<i>Solea senegalensis</i>)	Feed intake recovery, restraining	Individual	Proactive fish exhibit shorter feeding latency, higher duration of escape attempts and lower undisturbed cortisol levels than passive individuals.	Silva <i>et al.</i> (2010)
	Restraining	Individual	Individual differences in metabolism are predictive of distinct coping styles.	Martins <i>et al.</i> (2011a)
Sole (<i>Solea solea</i>)	Novel environment, light avoidance, feeding efficiency	Group + Individual	Proactive fish (high swimming activity) were most feed efficient and grew faster.	Mas-Muñoz <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Halibut (<i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i>)	Swimming behaviour, feed intake	Group	Reactive individuals were unable to adapt, or adapted very slowly, to floating feed showed decreased feed intake and increased stereotypic (surface swimming) activity – reflects high routine formation.	Kristiansen & Fernø (2007)