Copper-ion-dependent damage to the bases in DNA in the presence of hydrogen peroxide

Okezie I. ARUOMA,*[‡] Barry HALLIWELL,*[§] Ewa GAJEWSKI[†] and Miral DIZDAROGLU[†]

*Department of Biochemistry, University of London King's College, Strand Campus, London WC2R 2LS, U.K., and †Center for Chemical Technology, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, U.S.A.

Mixtures of Cu^{2+} and H_2O_2 at pH 7.4 caused damage to the bases in DNA greater than that caused by mixtures of Fe^{3+} and H_2O_2 . Addition of ascorbic acid to the Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2 system caused a very large increase in base damage, much greater than that produced by the $Fe^{3+}/H_2O_2/ascorbic$ acid system. The products of base damage in the presence of Cu^{2+} were typical products that have been shown to result from attack of hydroxyl radicals upon the DNA bases. Cytosine glycol, thymine glycol, 8-hydroxyadenine and especially 8-hydroxyguanine were the major products in both the Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2 and the $Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2/ascorbic acid systems$. Base damage in DNA by these systems was inhibited by the chelating agents EDTA and nitrilotriacetic acid and by catalase, but not by superoxide dismutase, nor by the hydroxyl-radical scavenger mannitol. It is proposed that Cu^{2+} ions bound to the DNA react with H_2O_2 and ascorbic acid to generate hydroxyl radicals, which then immediately attack the DNA bases in a site-specific manner. A hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase system also caused damage to the DNA bases in the presence of Cu^{2+} ions. This was inhibited by superoxide dismutase and catalase. The high activity of Cu^{2+} ions, when compared with Fe^{3+} ions, in causing hydroxyl-radical-dependent damage to DNA and to other biomolecules, means that the availability of Cu^{2+} ions *in vivo* must be carefully controlled.

INTRODUCTION

Oxygen-derived species such as superoxide radicals (O_2^{-}) and H₂O₂ are produced in mammalian cells during normal aerobic metabolism (for reviews see refs. [1] and [2]). Excess generation of these species in vivo results in damage to many biological molecules, including DNA. Indeed, strand breakage is frequently observed in cells subjected to oxidative stress [2-4]. Oxygenderived species are mutagenic, and may be able to act as promoters of carcinogenesis [3-12]. However, neither O^{*-} nor H_oO_o at physiological concentrations causes any strand breakage or chemical modification of the bases in DNA [13-17]. One proposal that has been made to account for DNA damage in cells subjected to oxidative stress is that O₂⁻⁻ and H₂O₂ interact with transition-metal ions bound to the DNA, or close to it, to form highly reactive oxidizing species such as hydroxyl radicals ('OH) [3,4,18,19]. It is well-established that Fe³⁺ ions can lead to formation of 'OH from O₂'- and H₂O₂, both in vitro and in vivo [2,4,9,17-20]. Indeed, when DNA is exposed to O₂⁻⁻ and H₂O₂ in the presence of Fe³⁺ ions in vitro, the pattern of base modification is very similar to that produced by ionizing radiation, an established source of 'OH [16,17].

Mixtures of Cu^{2+} ions and H_2O_2 [21,22], sometimes with added ascorbic acid [23–25] or thiols [26], have been shown to produce extensive strand breakage in DNA. Strand breakage often occurs near guanine residues, and it has been suggested that Cu^{2+} ions bind to DNA at these sites [21]. Indeed, Cu^{2+} -dependent DNA fragmentation has been reported to be much more extensive than that produced by equimolar Fe³⁺ ions in comparable reaction mixtures [23,26,27]. Several authors have suggested that Cu^{2+} ions react with H_2O_2 to produce 'OH, which mediates the DNA strand breakage [22–26,28,29]. However, other researchers have disputed the formation of 'OH in reactions involving Cu^{2+} ions and H_2O_2 [30,31], and the debate continues in the literature [27,30–34].

'OH radicals may be detected by a variety of techniques, including 'trapping' methods such as spin-trapping and aromatic hydroxylation (reviewed in refs. [2] and [35]), but the results obtained so far with systems containing Cu²⁺ ions have been inconclusive, largely owing to the complexities of the methodology [21-34]. In addition, if 'OH is formed by Cu²⁺ ions bound to DNA and then immediately attacks the DNA (the so-called 'site-specific' type of reaction [2]), it is very difficult for any trapping molecule to intercept the 'OH. In the present paper, therefore, we have adopted an alternative approach, which might be called a 'fingerprinting' method [2,16,17]. When 'OH attacks DNA, it produces a wide range of products by attacking all four DNA bases (reviewed in refs. [36] and [37]). Formation of this wide range of products appears to be characteristic of 'OH attack, in that other reactive oxygen species either do not modify the DNA bases at all $(O_2^{-}, H_2O_2, \text{ the bleomycin ferryl radical})$ or else they form only a few products (singlet oxygen, cytotoxic aldehydes, HOCl) ([16,17,38]; O. I. Aruoma, B. Halliwell, E. Gajewski & M. Dizdaroglu, unpublished work).

In the present work, we have used this fingerprinting method to investigate the base products formed in DNA by H_2O_2 in the presence of Cu^{2+} ions, to see whether they are typical of attack by 'OH. In addition, we have examined the suggestions [23,26,27] that H_2O_2 in the presence of Cu^{2+} ions might lead to more DNA damage than in the presence of Fe³⁺ ions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Calf thymus DNA, ascorbic acid, mannitol, bovine copperzinc superoxide dismutase, catalase (type C-40; thymol-free) and EDTA-free xanthine oxidase were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Units of superoxide dismutase were as defined by the cytochrome c assay [39]. One unit of catalase decomposes $1 \mu mol$ of H_2O_2/min at pH 7.0 at 25 °C, under the reaction conditions given in the Sigma catalogue. Other reagents and reference materials were as described previously [16,17,38,40].

Treatment of DNA

A stock solution of calf thymus DNA (1 mg/ml) was treated

[‡] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[§] Present address: Pulmonary Medicine, U.C. Davis Medical Center, 4301 X Street, Sacramento, CA 95817, U.S.A.

with Chelex resin to remove contaminating metal ions and centrifuged to remove the resin before use. The pH of the DNA solution was re-adjusted to 7.4 with Chelex-treated 1 M-HCl. Reaction mixtures contained, in a final volume of 1.2 ml, the following reagents at the final concentrations given: DNA (0.5 mg/ml), KH₂PO₄/KOH buffer (10 mм, pH 7.4) and, where indicated, CuSO₄ (25 μ M), FeCl₃ (25 μ M), ascorbic acid (100 μ M), EDTA (100 μ M), nitrilotriacetic acid (100 μ M), H₂O₂ (2.8 mM), hypoxanthine (0.33 mm) or EDTA-free xanthine oxidase (0.08 unit/ml). Metal ions and chelating agents were pre-mixed, where appropriate, just before addition to the reaction mixture. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Scavengers were added to the reaction mixtures, where stated, to give the final concentrations given in the Tables. After incubation, the reaction mixtures were extensively dialysed against water at 4 °C. The absorbance at 260 nm of each sample was measured in order to calculate the amount of DNA ($A_{260} = 1 \equiv 50 \ \mu g \text{ of DNA/ml}$).

Hydrolysis of DNA samples, formation of derivatives of hydrolysate components and identification and quantification of derivatives by g.c.-m.s. with selected-ion monitoring were performed as described previously [16,17,38,40-42]. The column used was a fused-silica capillary column (12.5 m \times 0.2 mm internal diam.) coated with cross-linked 5% phenylmethylsilicone gum phase (film thickness 0.33 μ m). Products derived from approx. 0.4 μ g of DNA were injected on to the column for each analysis.

RESULTS

Derivatives of hydrolysed DNA samples were analysed by g.c.-m.s. with selected-ion monitoring. Products arising from free-radical attack upon the DNA bases were identified and their yields are shown in Tables 1–3. The isolated DNA used in our experiments already contained some products of base modification (Table 1), as observed previously [16,17]. Addition of H_2O_2 alone, hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase alone, Fe³⁺ alone, Cu²⁺ alone or ascorbic acid alone produced no significant increase in the amount of base modification (results not shown). Cu²⁺/H₂O₂ produced significant increases in the amounts of DNA base products, in contrast with the much smaller amount produced by Fe³⁺/H₂O₂ (Table 1). The major base product formed was 8-hydroxyguanine, although increases in the amounts of almost all the other base products were observed. This wide range of base

Table 2. Effects of superoxide dismutase and mannitol on yields of base products formed in DNA by treatment with Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2

All values represent the means \pm s.D. of results from three separate reaction mixtures. Abbreviations: SOD, Cu–Zn superoxide dismutase; others as defined in Table 1 legend.

	Yield of modified base (nmol/mg of DNA)						
r	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / H ₂ O ₂ /Asc	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / H ₂ O ₂ /Asc/SOD (10 ³ units/ml)	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / H ₂ O ₂ /Asc/ mannitol (50 mм)				
5-OH-5-MeHyd	0.43±0.01	0.47 ± 0.02	0.34±0.01				
5-OH-Hyd	0.51 ± 0.007	0.42 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.02				
Cyt glycol	9.05 ± 0.54	7.90 ± 0.43	8.28 ± 0.40				
Thy glycol	5.06 ± 0.25	5.76 ± 0.18	5.06 ± 0.44				
5,6-diOH-Cyt	1.84 ± 0.39	1.23 ± 0.01	1.50 ± 0.19				
FapyAde	1.70±0.15	1.45 ± 0.27	1.58 ± 0.09				
8-OH-Ade	14.7 ± 0.55	14.2 ± 2.2	16.3 ± 0.11				
FapyGua	1.75 ± 0.14	0.79 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.11				
8-OH-Gua	48.2 ± 6.8	41.4 ± 14.2	53.9 ± 0.8				
Total	83.2±8.84	73.5 <u>+</u> 17.3	88.1±2.2				

modification suggests that a highly reactive species had attacked the DNA. Addition of ascorbic acid to the Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2 system produced a striking increase in DNA damage, with 8-hydroxyguanine, 8-hydroxyadenine, cytosine glycol and thymine glycol being the major products formed. Also, very high proportional increases over the background levels were observed in the yields of 5,6-dihydroxycytosine, 4,6-diamino-5-formamidopyrimidine and 2,6-diamino-5-formamido-4-hydroxypyrimidine (about 170fold). Similarly, the Fe³⁺/H₂O₂/ascorbic acid system produced more DNA damage than the Fe³⁺/H₂O₂ system. However, the amount of DNA damage by Fe³⁺/H₂O₂/ascorbic acid system less than that produced by the Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2 /ascorbic acid system (Table 1).

Fe³⁺-dependent DNA damage in the presence of O_2^{-} and H_2O_2 is usually increased by the addition of EDTA [16,17]. It was therefore of interest to examine the effects of EDTA on Cu²⁺-dependent DNA damage. Table 1 shows that chelation of Cu²⁺ with EDTA (at a 4:1 molar ratio of EDTA to Cu²⁺) almost completely inhibited Cu²⁺-dependent DNA base damage by

Table 1. Yields of base products formed in DNA by treatment with the Cu²⁺/H₂O₂ systems

All values represent the means \pm s.D. of results from three separate reaction mixtures for each column. Abbreviations: 5-OH-5-MeHyd, 5-hydroxy-5-methylhydantoin; 5-OH-Hyd, 5-hydroxyhydantoin; Cyt glycol, cytosine glycol; Thy glycol, thymine glycol; 5,6-diOH-Cyt, 5,6-dihydroxycytosine; FapyAde, 4,6-diamino-5-formamidopyrimidine; FapyGua, 2,6-diamino-5-formamido-4-hydroxypyrimidine; 8-OH-Ade, 8-hydroxyguanine; 8-OH-Gua, 8-hydroxyguanine; Asc, ascorbic acid; NTA, nitrilotriacetic acid.

Base product	Yield of modified base (nmol/mg of DNA)								
	DNA alone	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ /H ₂ O ₂	DNA/ Fe ³⁺ /H ₂ O ₂	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ /H ₂ O ₂ / Asc	DNA/ Fe ³⁺ /H ₂ O ₂ / Asc	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ –EDTA/ H ₂ O ₂	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ -NTA/ H ₂ O ₂	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ -EDTA/ H ₂ O ₂ /Asc	DNA/ Cu ²⁺ -NTA/ H ₂ O ₂ /Asc
5-OH-5-MeHyd	0.26±0.001	0.55±0.05	0.13±0.004	0.43±0.01	0.43 ± 0.02	0.29±0.05	0.43 ± 0.02	0.68±0.06	0.54 + 0.05
5-OH-Hyd	0.23 ± 0.037	0.25 ± 0.022	0.09 ± 0.009	0.51 ± 0.007	0.12 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01	0.18 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.07	0.16 ± 0.007
Cyt glycol	0.14 ± 0.03	0.56 ± 0.015	0.55 ± 0.02	9.05 ± 0.54	1.49 ± 0.06	0.29 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.025	1.19 ± 0.15	8.15 ± 0.81
Thy glycol	0.24 ± 0.027	0.98 ± 0.06	0.33 ± 0.03	5.06±0.25	0.89 ± 0.05	0.42 ± 0.09	0.57 ± 0.027	1.22 ± 0.03	2.98 ± 0.20
5,6-diOH-Cyt	≤ 0.01	0.08 ± 0.06	0.09 ± 0.001	1.84±0.39	0.45 ± 0.04	≤ 0.01	≤ 0.01	0.07 ± 0.002	0.65 ± 0.11
FapyAde	≤ 0.01	0.34 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.003	1.70 ± 0.15	0.76 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.005	0.14 ± 0.04	0.28 ± 0.03	1.81 ± 0.16
8-OH-Ade	0.40 ± 0.008	2.43 ± 0.02	0.65 ± 0.08	14.7 ± 0.55	1.49 ± 0.34	1.42 ± 0.19	1.20 ± 0.13	3.00 ± 0.28	7.94 ± 1.16
FapyGua	≤ 0.01	0.7 ± 0.007	0.25 ± 0.006	1.75 ± 0.14	0.38 ± 0.04	≤ 0.01	≤ 0.01	1.00 ± 0.14	1.12 ± 0.29
8-OH-Gua	1.02 ± 0.09	9.02 <u>+</u> 0.12	1.29 ± 0.09	48.2 ± 6.8	2.14±0.24	2.33 ± 0.16	3.99±0.71	9.40 ± 0.42	26.9 ± 4.6
Total	2.32±0.19	14.3±0.39	3.63±0.24	83.2±8.84	8.15±0.84	5.02 ± 0.57	6.79 ± 0.98	17.1±1.18	50.3 ± 7.39

Table 3. Yields of base products formed in DNA by treatment with the $Cu^{2+}/hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase systems$

All values represent the means \pm s.D. of results from three separate reaction mixtures. Abbreviations: HX/XO, hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase system; SOD, Cu–Zn superoxide dismutase; others as defined in Table 1 legend.

	Yield of modified base (nmol/mg of DNA)						
Base product	DNA alone	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / HX/XO	DNA/Cu ²⁺ - EDTA/ HX/XO	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / HX/XO/ SOD	DNA/Cu ²⁺ / HX/XO/ catalase		
5-OH-5-MeHyd	0.26+0.001	0.58+0.013	0.44 + 0.03	0.45+0.07	0.34+0.05		
5-OH-Hyd	0.23 ± 0.037	0.38 ± 0.004	0.32 ± 0.03	0.21 ± 0.08	0.30 ± 0.07		
Cyt glycol	0.14 ± 0.03	0.75 ± 0.10	0.41 ± 0.04	0.36 ± 0.08	0.23 ± 0.04		
Thy glycol	0.24 ± 0.027	0.89 ± 0.01	0.60 ± 0.076	0.17 ± 0.01	0.26 ± 0.04		
5,6-diOH-Cyt	≤ 0.01	0.08 ± 0.009	0.06 ± 0.005	0.08 ± 0.001	≤ 0.01		
FapyAde	≤ 0.01	1.03 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.002	≤ 0.01	0.12 ± 0.03		
8-OH-Ade	0.40 ± 0.008	0.88 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.025	0.47 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.07		
FapyGua	≤ 0.01	0.82 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.02	≤ 0.01		
8-OH-Gua	1.02 ± 0.09	8.57 <u>+</u> 0.95	1.38 <u>+</u> 0.14	0.51 <u>+</u> 0.04	0.47 <u>+</u> 0.05		
Total	2.32 ± 0.19	13.98±1.18	5.68 ± 0.41	2.42 ± 0.31	2.02 ± 0.35		

 H_2O_2 . Similarly, although nitrilotriacetic acid greatly stimulates Fe^{3+} -dependent DNA base damage by H_2O_2 [17], it inhibited Cu^{2+} -dependent DNA damage by H_2O_2 (Table 1). These chelating agents also markedly diminished DNA damage by the $Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2/ascorbic$ acid system.

Table 2 shows the effect of adding scavengers of oxygenderived species upon DNA damage by the $Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2/ascorbic$ acid system. Superoxide dismutase sometimes showed minor and variable inhibitions of the formation of some products, but overall it had no significant effect, nor did the 'OH scavenger mannitol. Addition of catalase (10³ units) to the reaction mixture completely inhibited the product formation, as would be expected (results not shown). This is unlikely to be a non-specific effect of protein, since the superoxide dismutase had no significant effect even though its molar concentration in the reaction mixture was greater than that of catalase.

A mixture of hypoxanthine and xanthine oxidase generates O_2^{*-} and H_2O_2 [39], but we found that this mixture produced no modification of the DNA bases unless Fe^{3+} ions were added to the reaction mixture [16]. Table 3 shows that Cu^{2+} ions could also promote DNA base damage by the hypoxanthine/xanthine oxidase system. Again, chelation of Cu^{2+} with EDTA had an inhibitory effect. Addition of superoxide dismutase or catalase to the reaction mixture almost completely inhibited the product formation.

DISCUSSION

A mixture of Cu^{2+} ions and H_2O_2 at pH 7.4 produced greater DNA base damage than a mixture of Fe^{3+} ions and H_2O_2 . The same held true when the reducing agent ascorbic acid was added to the reaction mixture. Indeed, the H_2O_2/Cu^{2+} /ascorbic acid system produced very extensive base modification in DNA. Thus the greater ability of Cu^{2+} ions, as compared with Fe^{3+} ions, to promote DNA damage via oxygen-derived species, previously reported on the basis of studies of DNA strand breakage [23,27], was confirmed by the results on base modification in DNA presented here.

EDTA increases free-radical-induced DNA base damage by Fe^{3+} ions in the presence of H_2O_2 [17], probably largely because EDTA keeps Fe^{3+} ions in solution and favourably changes their reduction potential [43]. However, we found that EDTA is a powerful inhibitor of DNA base damage promoted by Cu^{2+} ions. The ability of EDTA to suppress reaction of Cu^{2+} ions with O_2^{-1} has already been reported [44]. Similarly, nitrilotriacetic acid

inhibited DNA base damage in systems containing Cu^{2+} ions, although it increases the reactivity of Fe^{3+} ions [17].

The extensive DNA damage produced by the $Cu^{2+}/H_2O_2/ascorbic acid system is not significantly inhibited by$ superoxide dismutase or by the 'OH scavenger mannitol. Theobserved inability of 'OH scavengers to protect against damagein various systems has often been the basis of arguments that'OH is not responsible for that damage [2,30,31], although thereare other explanations for the inability of a scavenger to protectagainst damage that is actually mediated by 'OH [2,33,45]. In the $present case, we suggest that <math>Cu^{2+}$ ions bind to the DNA and cause damage by generating 'OH in site-specific reactions [45].

The extensive pattern of DNA base modification observed (products arising from all four bases) is similar to that produced by ionizing radiation in aqueous solution [36,37,40], suggesting that Cu²⁺-dependent DNA damage is mediated by 'OH. No other reactive oxygen species or metal ion-oxygen complex so far studied can generate this range of products from the DNA bases ([16,17,38]; O. I. Aruoma, B. Halliwell, E. Gajewski & M. Dizdaroglu, unpublished work). On the basis of the fingerprint of base damage, we therefore propose that the production of modified DNA bases by systems containing Cu²⁺ ions and H₂O₂ and/or O_2^{-} or ascorbate is mediated by 'OH. This proposal does not, of course, rule out the formation of additional reactive species in systems containing Cu²⁺ ions. It has been argued that reaction of Fe²⁺ with H₂O₂ produces a ferryl species, which can then give rise to 'OH [46]. An analogous series of reactions might occur in the Cu2+ system, i.e., an oxo-Cu2+ ion complex might be a precursor of 'OH [45].

Thus, in terms of its ability to promote damage to DNA, Cu^{2+} is an extremely dangerous metal ion, much more so than Fe^{3+} . Cu^{2+} is also very efficient at promoting peroxidation of certain lipids [47,48]. These reasons may account for the fact that Cu^{2+} ions are less extensively used in the human body than Fe^{3+} ions. They may also explain why proteins able to inhibit formation of reactive radicals (i.e. 'OH) by Cu^{2+} ions in free solution are so widespread [49,50].

We thank the Medical Research Council and the Association for International Cancer Research, Uxbridge, Middx., U.K., for financial support. Part of this work was done when O. I. A. was a guest scientist at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. We are grateful to Dr. John Gutteridge for reading the manuscript. Certain commercial equipment or materials are identified in this paper in order to specify the experimental procedure adequately. Such identification does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor does it imply that the materials or equipment identified are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fridovich, I. (1986) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 247, 1-11
- Halliwell, B. & Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1989) Free Radicals in Biology and Medicine, 2nd edn., Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Schraufstatter, I., Hyslop, P. A., Jackson, J. H. & Cochrane, C. G. (1988) J. Clin. Invest. 82, 1040–1050
- 4. Halliwell, B. (1987) FASEB J. 1, 358-364
- Moody, C. S. & Hassan, H. M. (1982) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 79, 2855–2859
- 6. Brawn, M. K. & Fridovich, I. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 922-925
- Weitzman, S. A., Weitberg, A. B., Clark, E. P. & Stossel, T. P. (1985) Science 227, 1231–1233
- 8. Cerutti, P. A. (1985) Science 227, 375-381
- 9. Imlay, J. A. & Linn, S. (1988) Science 240, 1302-1309
- McConkey, D. J., Hartzell, P. Nicotera, P., Wyllie, A. H. & Orrenius, S. (1988) Toxicol. Lett. 42, 123–130
- Nakayama, T., Kaneko, M., Kodema, M. & Nagata, C. (1985) Nature (London) 314, 462–464
- Kensler, T. W. & Taffe, B. G. (1986) Adv. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2, 347-387
- Lesko, S. A., Lorentzen, R. J. & Ts'o, P. O. P. (1980) Biochemistry 19, 3023–3028
- Brawn, M. K. & Fridovich, I. (1981) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 206, 414–419
- Rowley, D. A. & Halliwell, B. (1983) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 761, 86-93
- Aruoma, O. I., Halliwell, B. & Dizdaroglu, M. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 13024–13028
- Aruoma, O. I., Halliwell, B., Gajewski, E. & Dizdaroglu, M. (1989)
 J. Biol. Chem. 264, 20509–20512
- Mello Filho, A. C., Hoffman, R. E. & Meneghini, R. (1984) Biochem. J. 218, 273–275
- Nassi-Calo, L., Mello Filho, A. C. & Meneghini, R. (1989) Carcinogenesis 10, 1055-1057
- 20 Kyle, M. E., Nakae, D., Sakaida, I., Miccadei, S. & Farber, J. L. (1988) J. Biol. Chem. 263, 3784–3789
- 21. Sagripanti, J. L. & Kraemer, K. H. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 1729-1734
- Feldberg, R. S., Carao, J. A. & Paradise, R. (1985) J. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1, 459–466
- 23. Stoewe, R. & Prutz, W. A. (1987) Free Radical Biol. Med. 3, 97-105
- 24. Chiou, S. H. (1983) J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 94, 1259-1267

Received 15 May 1990/16 July 1990; accepted 26 July 1990

- Fujimoto, S., Adachi, Y., Ishimitsu, S. & Ohara, A. (1986) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 34, 4848–4851
- Reed, C. J. & Douglas, K. T. (1989) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 162, 1111–1117
- 27. Tachon, P. (1989) Free Radical Res. Commun. 7, 1-10
- Eberhardt, M. K., Ramirez, G. & Ayala, A. (1989) J. Org. Chem. 54, 5922–5926
- Rowley, D. A. & Halliwell, B. (1983) Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 225, 279–284
- Johnson, G. R. A., Nazhat, N. B. & Saadalla-Nazhat, R. A. (1988) J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 407–408
- Sutton, H. C. & Winterbourn, C. C. (1989) Free Radical Biol. Med. 6, 53-60
- Masarwa, M., Cohen, H., Meyerstein, D., Hickman, D. L., Bakac, A. & Espenson, J. H. (1988) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 4293–4297
- Czapski, G., Goldstein, S. & Meyerstein, D. (1988) Free Radical Res. Commun. 4, 231–236
- 34. Yamamoto, K. & Kawanishi, S. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 15435-15440
- Halliwell, B., Grootveld, M. & Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1988) Methods Biochem. Anal. 33, 59–90
- von Sonntag, C. (1987) The Chemical Basis of Radiation Biology, pp. 116–166, Taylor and Francis, London
- Teoule, R. & Cadet, J. (1978) in Effects of Ionizing Radiation on DNA (Hutterman, J., Kohnlein, W. & Teoule, R., eds.), pp. 171–203, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Dizdaroglu, M., Aruoma, O. I. & Halliwell, B. (1990) Biochemistry 29, 8447–8451
- 39. McCord, J. M. & Fridovich, I. (1969) J. Biol. Chem. 224, 6049-6055
- 40. Dizdaroglu, M. (1985) Anal. Biochem. 144, 593-603
- Fuciarelli, A. F., Wegher, B. J., Gajewski, E., Dizdaroglu, M. & Blakely, W. F. (1989) Radiat. Res. 119, 219-231
- Dizdaroglu, M. & Gajewski, E. (1990) Methods Enzymol. 186, 530-544
- Grootveld, M. & Halliwell, B. (1986) Free Radical Res. Commun. 1, 243-250
- 44. Halliwell, B. (1975) FEBS Lett. 56, 34-38
- Halliwell, B. & Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1990) Methods Enzymol. 186, 1-85
- Halliwell, B. & Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1988) ISI Atlas Sci. Biochem 1, 48-52
- 47. Gutteridge, J. M. C. (1984) Mech. Ageing Dev. 25, 205-214
- Esterbauer, H., Striegl, G., Puhl, H. & Rotheneder, M. (1989) Free Radical Res. Commun. 6, 67-75
 Contraining L. M. C. & William S. (1982) Display Principles Acts
- Gutteridge, J. M. C. & Wilkins, S. (1983) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 759, 39-41
- 50. Halliwell, B. (1988) Biochem. Pharmacol. 37, 569-571