CORRELATION BETWEEN SURFACE FREE ENERGY AND SURFACE CONSTITUTION

Manoj K. Chaudhury and George M. Whitesides

Dow Corning Corporation Midland MI 48686 and Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge MA 02138



Technical Report No. 47 (February 1992)

Interim Technical Report (Accepted for publication in <u>Science</u>)

PREPARED FOR DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington VA 22209

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY Office of Naval Research, Code 1130P 800 North Guincy Street Arlington VA 22217-5000

AD-A246 699

Contract No.: Effective Date: 86 September 15 Expiration Date: 92 September 14

Principal Investigator: George M. Whitesides (617) 495-9430

92 2 14 123

The views and conclusions in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U.S. Government.



Project No.: a400011dd205

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its dist ibution is unlimited.

N00014-86-K-0756

T

•		
	SECURITY	CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

.

REPORT DOCUMENTATIO	ON PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-011
ta. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	16. RESTRICTIVE	E MARKINGS		
22. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY	3. DISTRIBUTIO	N/AVAILABILITY	OF REPORT	
	A Approved	for public	release	: distributi
25. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	W unlimited	d		,
C. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION	REPORT NU	MBER(S)
Technical Report No. 47				
68. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 66. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF M	IONITORING ORGA	NIZATION	
(If applicable)				
Harvard University	DARPA			
SC. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	76. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and ZIP	Code)	
Office for Sponsored Research	1400 Wile	on Boulevar	. A	•
Holyoke Center, Fourth Floor	Arlington	VA 22209	-2308	
Cambridge MA 02138-4993				
a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING 8b. OFFICE SYMEOL	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IC	ENTIFICATIO	IN NUMBER
ONR	1			
ADDRESS (Cov. Serve and 200 Cover)				
C ADUREDD (LITY, DIATE, and LIF (OGE) Chamietry: Division - Code 1112	IU. SOURCE OF P	UNDING NUMBER	17464	Lucar un-
Office of Newsl Descent	ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION A
ULLICE OF NAVAL KOSCARCA	86-8-0756	1	a400011	lda2
Arlington VA 22217-5000		<u> </u>		
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides a. TYPE OF REPORT [13b. TIME COVERED [1	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, I	Day) [15. P	AGE COUNT
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	4. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, i	Day) 15. P	AGE COUNT
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S N. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	14. DATE CF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, I	Day) 15. P	AGE COUNT
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         Ia. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         FROM         SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         COSATI CODES         18. SUBJECT TERMS (CO	ATTACE CONSE	RT (Year, Month, )	Day) 15. P identity by	AGE COUNT block number)
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         Ia. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         FROM         SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB-GROUP	ALTIACE CONSE	RT (Year, Month, )	Day) 15. P identify by	AGE COUNT block number)
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         I. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         FROM         SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUB-GROUP	AUTIACE CONSE	RT (Year, Month, ) of necessary and	Day) 15. P	AGE COUNT block number)
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         7. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         1a. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         FROM         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUBJECT TERMS (CO	antique on reverse	RT (Year, Month, I	Dayj 15. P identify by	AGE COUNT block number)
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         a. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         FROM         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and Koentify by block numbers)	antinue on reverse	RT (Year, Month, I	Dayj [15. P identify by	AGE COUNT block number)
COrrelation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides  Technical IB. TIME COVERED Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION  COSATI CODES TIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP IB. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num Abstract Salf-assembled monolayers (SAM)	antinue on reverse	RT (Year, Month, i if necessary and	Day) [15. P	AGE COUNT block number)
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S         PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)         N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides         a. TYPE OF REPORT         Technical         IB. TIME COVERED         FROM         TO         SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION         COSATI CODES         FIELD         GROUP         SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num         ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num         Abstract.         Self-assembled monolayers (SAM:	antinue on reverse	RT (Year, Month, i if necessary and iloxanes on	Day) 15. P identify by elaston	AGE COUNT Diock number) neric
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num Abstract. Seif-assembled monolayers (SAM: PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as r	antique on reverse	AT (Year, Month, I If necessary and iloxanes on s to study	Day) [15. P Identity by elaston interact	AGE COUNT block number) neric tions
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num Abstract. Self-assembled monolayers (SAM: PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as i between surfaces. Surface free energies (	antique on reverse moer) s) of alkyls model system: (Yey) of thes	iloxanes on s to study se chemical	elaston interact	AGE COUNT block number) neric tions fied
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) N.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides a. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num Abstract. Self-assembled monolayers (SAM: PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as f between surfaces. Surface free energies ( surfaces were estimated by measuring the c	antinue on reverse moer) s) of alkyls model system: (Y <sub>SV</sub> ) of the deformations	iloxanes on s to study that result	Pay) 15. P reenuity by elaston interact ly modificed from	AGE COUNT Diock number) meric Lions Fied h the
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block num Abstract. Se!f-assembled monolayers (SAM: PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as if between surfaces. Surface free energies if surfaces were estimated by measuring the contact between small semispherical lences	antinue on reverse morer) s) of alkyls model systems $(\gamma_{SV})$ of thes deformations	iloxanes on s to study se chemical that result	Pay) 15. P recourty by elastom interact ly modified from	AGE COUNT DIOCK number) meric tions fied the mer
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD	antique on reverse mover) s) of alkyls model system: $(\gamma_{SV})$ of these deformations s and flat sh	AT (Year, Month, A if necessary and iloxanes on s to study se chemical that result heets of the	elaston interact ly modified from	AGE COUNT Diock number) meric tions fied the mer
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block nur Abstract. Self-assembled monolayers (SAM PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as r between surfaces. Surface free energies surfaces were estimated by measuring the c contact between small semispherical lenses under controlled loads. The measured surf	antique on reverse mover) s) of alkyls model system: $(\gamma_{SV})$ of thes deformations s and flat sh face free ene	at (Year, Month, ) if necessary and iloxanes on s to study se chemical that result heets of the ergies corre	elaston interact ly modif e elasto	AGE COUNT block number) heric tions fied h the mer with the
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD FIELD COSATI CODES FIELD	antique on reverse moer) s) of alkyls model system: (YSV) of thes deformations s and flat sh face free ene	iloxanes on s to study se chemical that result heets of the ergies corre	Pay) 15. P vaenuty by elaston interact ly modif ted from e elasto elated w	AGE COUNT Diock number) meric tions fied the omer with the
"Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and S PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M.K. Chaudhury and G.M. Whitesides I. TYPE OF REPORT Technical SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION COSATI CODES PIELD COSATI CODES PIELD PIELD COSATI CODES PIELD PIELD PIELD COSATI CODES PIELD	antique on reverse moer) s) of alkyls model systems (YSV) of thes deformations s and flat sh face free ene 1. ABSTRACT SECUL Unclassified	Il and the soft the s	Pay) 15. P Identity by elastom interact ly modified from e elasto elated w ON 22c. OFFICE	AGE COUNT block number) neric tions fied the mer with the SYMBOL

•

•

•

•

•

surface chemical compositions of the SAMs and were commensurate with the values estimated from the measurements of contact angles. This study provides, for the first time, direct experimental evidence for the validity of estimates of the surface free energies of low-energy solids obtained from contact angles.  $\leq 1$ 

ï

## Correlation Between Surface Free Energy and Surface Constitution

Manoj K. Chaudhury\*+ and George M. Whitesides\*++

- + Dow Corning Corporation Midland, MI 48686
- ++ Department of Chemistry Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138

Abstract. Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of alkylsiloxanes on elastomeric PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane) were used as model systems to study interactions between surfaces. Surface free energies  $(\gamma_{sv})$  of these chemically modified surfaces were estimated by measuring the deformations that resulted from the contact between small semispherical lenses and flat sheets of the elastomer under controlled loads. The measured surface free energies correlated with the surface chemical compositions of the SAMs and were commensurate with the values estimated from the measurements of contact angles. This study provides, for the first time, direct experimental evidence for the validity of estimates of the surface free energies of low-energy solids obtained from contact angles.

Acces	tion For	
NTIS DTIC Unani Justif	CRA&I TAB nounced ication	<b>9</b> 11 11
By Distrit	oution /	
ŀ	Vailahility ()	Colles 1
Dist	Avail Sert   Special	
A-1		



Measurement of contact angles is a technique that is highly sensitive to the composition and properties of surfaces (<u>1</u>). The measured quantities are related to the surface and interfacial free energies via Young's equation (<u>2</u>) (eq 1).

$$\gamma_{sv} = \gamma_{1v} \cos \theta + \gamma_{s1} \tag{1}$$

Here the system is assumed to be at thermodynamic equilibrium, and  $\gamma_{1v}$ ,  $\gamma_{sv}$  and  $\gamma_{s1}$  stand for the surface and interfacial free energies of the liquid-vapor, solid-vapor and solid-liquid interfaces. One use of this equation is to estimate  $\gamma_{sv}$  -- a fundamental thermodynamic state parameter characterizing the surface of materials. Although  $\gamma_{1v}$  can be determined directly,  $\gamma_{s1}$  cannot, in general. Thus there have been several efforts to express  $\gamma_{s1}$  in terms of  $\gamma_{sv}$  and  $\gamma_{1v}$  in order to reduce the number of unknowns in Young's equation. This objective has been best achieved (3) by treatments that assume specific forms for the intermolecular forces that operate across condensed phase boundaries. For systems where the London dispersion interactions are prominent, the most commonly used generalization of Young's equation is as shown in eq 2 (3).

$$\gamma_{\rm sv} = \gamma_{\rm lv} (1 + \cos{\theta})^2 / 4$$
 (2)

Equation 2 occupies a central position in estimating the surface frer energies of low-energy solids. Because the values of  $\gamma_{sv}$  of solids cannot, in general, be measured directly (4), no rigorous comparison between predicted and experimental values validate this equation. In this paper, we present an experimental system based on a methodology developed earlier by Johnson,

Kendall and Roberts (5), that allowed direct estimation of the surface free energies of several model low-energy surfaces. This system provides a suitable experimental basis for systematic evaluation of eq 2.

The basic protocol of these measurements is to study spreading occurring at the interface between two elastomeric solids. Solids, like liquids, have a tendency to spread on other solids. The driving force for this spreading, like that on solid-liquid interfaces, is the minimization of the interfacial free energies. Thus when a curved solid is brought into contact with a flat substrate, a deformation occurs at the zone of contact. The magnitude of this deformation is determined by the balance of the interfacial and elastic energies. If the elastic constants of the materials are known, the interfacial energies can be estimated directly from contact deformations (5-12). The materials used in these experiments must be compliant and have very smooth surfaces. Elastomeric PDMS, as we have shown in earlier publications (12.13), meets these requirements well and was thus the polymer of choice for our contact deformation experiments.

The basic experimental system (12) is comprised of a semispherical lens and a flat sheet of elastomeric PDMS (Dow Corning Syl-170). The surfaces of both lens and sheet were modified chemically using the technology of self-assembled organic monolayers (12-14). In a typical experiment (Figure 1), the lens was slowly brought into contact with the flat sheet, and an external load was then applied. A spontaneous deformation occurred at the contact zone as soon as the lens touched the flat sheet (Figure 2). This deformation increased in response to the external loads. Deformation was measured as a function of applied load.

At the end of the compressive cycle, the load was decreased stepwise and contact deformations were measured again, until the lens completely separated from the flat sheet. All measurements were made under ambient conditions. The data obtained from these load-deformation studies were analyzed (<u>15</u>) using eq 3 to estimate  $\gamma_{ey}$  for the surface of the elastomer.

$$a^{3} = (R/K) \{P + 6\pi R \gamma_{sv} + [12\pi R P \gamma_{sv} + (6\pi R \gamma_{sv})^{2}]^{0.5} \} \quad (3)$$

In this equation, a (cm) is the radius of the contact deformation, R (cm) is the radius of curvature of the lens, P (dynes) is the external load and K  $(dynes/cm^2)$  is the composite modulus. In our studies, values of K clustered around 5 x  $10^6$  dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>.

We controlled the chemical composition of the surface of PDMS using a procedure described previously (<u>12.16</u>). Exposure of PDMS to an oxygen plasma generated a thin (<50 Å by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, XPS) silica-like layer (<u>17</u>) on its surface. We denote this surface as PDMS<sup>OX</sup>. Chemisorption of alkyltrichlorosilanes ( $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_nR$ ) onto PDMS<sup>OX</sup> produced monolayers of the corresponding alkylsiloxanes. By varying the head group functionalities (R) of these silanes, the chemical compositions of the surface of the PDMS lens and sheet could be controlled. Silanes used to modify PDMS<sup>OX</sup> were  $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_{10}CH_3$ ,  $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_2(CF_2)_7CF_3$ ,  $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_{11}OCH_3$ ,  $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_{11}OCOCH_3$  and  $Cl_3Si(CH_2)_{11}Br$ . We denote the material produced by reaction of PDMS<sup>OX</sup> and a chlorosilane as PDMS<sup>OX</sup>- $O_3Si(CH_2)_nR$ . XPS verified the presence of the relevant functional groups on the monolayer-coated PDMS surfaces. One remarkable feature of these

surfaces was that they all exhibited negligible hysteresis (<u>18</u>) in contact angles, except for the fluorocarbon surface, which exhibited large hysteresis with diiodomethane (Table I). The observation of low hysteresis was particularly important in our studies, because it suggested that the measured contact angles are close to equilibrium values, and that Young's equation should be valid.

The result of a typical load-deformation study (<u>19</u>) is shown in Figure 3. There was generally finite but small hysteresis in contact deformations as obtained from the compression and decompression cycles. This hysteresis resulted in two different values of  $\gamma_{sv}$  for a specific surface. In Table I, we present these values and compare them with the values obtained from the contact angles of hexadecane and diiodomethane. For the methyl and perfluoromethyl surfaces, we also report the surface free energies obtained from the contact angles of a fluorocarbon liquid -- perfluorodecalin. In all cases, we used the average of the advancing and receding contact angles to estimate  $\gamma_{sv}$ .

The data tabulated in Table I show that small variations in the chemical composition of the alkylsiloxane monolayers have a profound effect on adhesion of these surfaces and that the values of  $\gamma_{\rm SV}$  measured using equation 3 agree remarkably well with those estimated from contact angles. There are, however, several details about these data that deserve comment. First, we note that the surface free energies of the methyl surface as astimated from the contact angles of hexadecane and diiodomethane are higher than those obtained with the contact angle of perfluorodecalin. Conversely, the surface free energy (20) of the fluorocarbon surface as obtained from the contact angle of hexadecane is

of
ergs/cm <sup>2</sup> )
Energies (
Free
l Surface
S and
MOd F
lizec
Functiona
uo
Liquids
· Several
) of
s::
Angle
Contact These Sy:
Table

	0 <sup>4</sup> PD	Ør <sup>HD</sup>	B a PFD	Ør <sup>PFD</sup>	MO <sup>P</sup>	Br <sup>DM</sup>	γ <sub>sv</sub> com	7 <sub>sv</sub> decom	7 <sub>sv</sub> HD	7 <sub>sv</sub> PFD	7 <sub>sv</sub> DM
PDMS <sup>0X</sup> -0351(CH2)2(CF2)7CF3	11	68	35	35	98	67	15.5	16.5	12.7	15.0	٠
PDMS <sup>0X</sup> -03Si(CH2) <sub>10</sub> CH3	41	9	37	37	73	73	19.5	22.0	21.5	14.7	20.6
POMS <sup>ox</sup> -03Si(CH <sub>2</sub> )110CH3	24	20	•	•	55	54	26.5	27.0	25.7	•	30.8
PDMS <sup>ox</sup> -03S1(CH2)110C0CH3	0	0	•	•	45	45	32.5	33.5	ı	٠	36.0
PDMS <sup>oX</sup> -0 <sub>3</sub> Si(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>11</sub> Br	0	0	•	ı	42	40	36.0	37.5	·	ł	37.9
		ĺ									

indicates the surface energy value as obtained from contact angle measurements using liquid i. The errors in Oa and Or represent advancing and receding contact angles respectively. HD, PFD, and DM stand for hexadecane liquids are: 27.7 dynes/cm (HD), 18.2 dynes/cm (PFD), and 49.3 dynes/cm (DM).  $\gamma_{sv}^{com}$  and  $\gamma_{sv}^{ci}$ .com indicate (HD), perf!uorodecalin (PFD), and diiodomethane (DM) respectively. The surface tensions of these three the surface energy values obtained from compression and decompression wheriments respectively.  ${ extsf{y}}^{ extsf{i}}$ estimating  $\gamma_{sv}$  values were less than 1 ergs/cm<sup>2</sup>. lower than that obtained using perfluorodecalin. The values of  $\gamma_{sv}$  measured directly of the methyl surface certainly agree well with those obtained from the contact angles of hexadecane and diiodomethane but not with that obtained using a fluorocarbon liquid. The situation becomes reversed for the fluorocarbon surface (see Table I).

For PDMS<sup>0x</sup>- $0_3$ Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>11</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>, the surface free energy as obtained from the contact angle of hexadecane is somewhat lower than that obtained from the contact angle of dijodomethane. Although the reason for this discrepancy is not clear, it is plausible that diiodomethane has a greater ability to sense underlying functional groups (i.e., ether groups) than does hexadecane (21). This observation is similar to that reported for the self-assembled monolayers of  $\omega$ -mercaptoethers (HS(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>16</sub>O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>CH<sub>3:</sub> n = 0-5) on gold, where hexadecane was inferred to be a more surface sensitive probe liquid than water and glycerol (22). The agreement between the values of  $\gamma_{sv}$  for the methyl ether surface  $(R = OCH_3)$  obtained from the contact angle of hexadecane and direct measurement indicates that adhesion between solids is very surface sensitive (23). The methyl ester surface ( $R = CO_2CH_3$ ) was wettable by hexadecane, indicating the head group region of this surface is significantly disordered and populated with the carbonyl groups. The measured surface free energy of this surface is consequently higher than that of the methyl ether surface and is in reasonable agreement with the estimate based on the contact angle of diiodomethane. A similar high wettability (and thus high surface energy) is also observed for the surface containing bromide groups (R = Br).

These studies demonstrate that self-assembled alkylsiloxane monolayers on PDMS are excellent model systems for studying adhesion between surfaces. The method described here -- based on deformations in a compliant polymeric lens and sheet -- is sensitive to small variations in the compositions of the surface. In terms of analyzing surface energetics, it is complementary to measuring contact angles. Although, we have so far studied systems that interact primarily via London - van der Waals forces, the method can also be used to investigate more complex interfacial interactions (for example H-bonding) by incorporating relevant functional groups on the surface of the PDMS (<u>24</u>).

Acknowledgments. M. K. Chaudhury acknowledges support from Dow Corning. The initial stages of this work at Harvard University were supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency through the University Research Initiative. We would like to thank M. J. Owen (Dow Corning) for many valuable discussions.

## References and Notes

- 1. W. A. Zisman, <u>Adv. Chem. Ser.</u> 43, 1 (1964).
- T. Young, <u>Miscellaneous Works</u>; G. Peacock, Ed.; Murray: London, Page 1 (1855).
- L. A. Girifalco and R. J. Good, <u>J. Phys. Chem</u>. 61, 904 (1957): R. J. Good and L. A. Girifalco, <u>J. Phys. Chem</u>. 64, 561 (1960); F. M. Fowkes, <u>Ind.</u> <u>Eng. Chem</u>. 56, 40 (1964).
- 4. Another major difficulty in analyzing the energetics of solid surfaces arises from the fact that most real systems exhibit hysteresis of some sort, indicating that they are not at thermodynamic equilibrium. Young's equation strictly applies only at equilibrium.
- 5. K. L. Johnson, K. Kendall, A. D. Roberts, <u>Proc. Rov. Soc. Lond</u>, A324, 301 (1971). Significant contributions to our understanding on the deformations between elastic solids were also made by the school of Derjaguin et. al. [B. V. Derjaguin, <u>Kolloid Z</u>. 69, 155 (1934); B. V. Derjaguin, V. M. Muller, Yu. P. Toporov, <u>J. Colloid Interface Sci</u>. 53, 314 (1975). JKR theory is, however, more applicable for our present purpose (ref. 12). See ref. 6 for the details describing the differences between various theories dealing with contact deformations
- R. G. Horn, J. N. Israelachvili, R. Pribac, <u>J. Colloid Interface Sci</u>. 115, 480 (1987).
- K. Kendall, <u>J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys</u>. 4, 1186 (1971); <u>J. Adhesion</u> 5, 77 (1973).
- B. D. Maugis and M. Barquins, <u>Adhesion and Adsorption of Polymers: Polymer</u> <u>Science and Technology</u>, L. H. Lee, Ed.; Plenum Press: NY, 12A, 203 (1980);
   M. Barquins and R. Courtel, <u>Wear</u>. 32, 133 (1975).

- 9. A. D. Roberts and A. B. Othman, <u>Wear</u>. 42, 119 (1977).
- 10. D. Tabor, <u>J. Colloid Interface Sci</u>. 58, 2 (1977).
- 11. A. E. Lee, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 64, 577 (1978).
- 12. M. K. Chaudhury and G. M. Whitesides, Langmuir. 7, 1013 (1991).
- G. F. Ferguson, M. K. Chaudhury, G. Sigal, G. M. Whitesides, <u>Science</u> 253, 776 (1991).
- 14. J. Sagiv, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 102, 92 (1980); R. Maoz and J. Sagiv, <u>J.</u>
   <u>Colloid Interface Sci.</u> 100, 465 (1984); S. R. Wasserman, Y.-T. Tao, G. M.
   Whitesides, G. M. <u>Langmuir</u> 5, 1074 (1989); N. Tillman, A. Ulman, J. S.
   Schildkraut, T. C. Penner, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>. 110, 6136 (1988).
- 15. The original JKR equation was expressed in terms of W, the work of adhesion. For two similar surfaces, W is equal to 2  $\gamma_{sv}$  and thus we have expressed JKR equation in terms of  $\gamma_{sv}$ .
- 16. The conditions used for silanization of PDMS<sup>OX</sup> were slightly different from those reported in ref. 12. Under new conditions, desiccators containing paraffin oil solutions of silanes were evacuated to about 0.001 torr for 30 min. Oxidized PDMS samples were placed, as usual, a. about 1.5 cm from the oil level. The concentrations of silanes were 60  $\mu$ l of silane per 3 g of paraffin oil, except for the fluoroalkylsilane for which the concentration was 5  $\mu$ l silane per 3 g of paraffin oil. Using these conditions, we could consistently obtain surfaces exhibiting low hysteresis.
- The exact nature of the plasma-oxidized surface of PDMS is unclear. Most reports, however, agree that it contains silanol groups. For instance, see: D. W. Fakes, M. C. Davies, A. Brown, J. M. Newton, <u>Surf. Interface Sci</u>. 13, 233 (1988); D. W. Fakes, J. M. Newton, J. M. Watts, M. J. Edgell,

<u>Surf. Interface Sci</u>. 10, 416 (1987); M. Morra, E. Occhiello, R. Marola, R. Garbassi, P. Humphrey, D. Johnson, <u>J. Colloid Interface Sci</u>. 137, 11 (1990).

- 18. The methyl ether surface, when freshly formed, exhibited significant hystereses in both contact angles and contact deformations (not reported here). It was necessary to rinse this surface in decalin, ethanol, and water in order to minimize hysteresis.
- 19. The load-deformation and contact angle measurements were performed on different samples taken from the same batch.
- 20. The origin of the large hysteresis of diiodomethane on the fluorocarbon surface is not clear. Because of the high hysteresis, we did not use this liquid to calculate  $\gamma_{sy}$  of the fluorocarbon surface.
- 21. These differences cannot be explained on the basis of the surface swelling, because neither hexadecane nor diiodomethane swell monolayer-coated PDMS.
- 22. C. D. Bain, G. M. Whitesides, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 110, 334 (1988). The term "high surface sensitivity" is used here loosely. Whether the liquids (water, glycerol, or diiodomethane) sense the underlying functional groups by long-range forces or by perturbing the structure of the surface is not clear.
- 23. High surface sensitivity of adhesion has also been demonstrated recently by Burnham et. al. [N. A. Burnham, D. D. Dominguez, R. L. Mowery, R. J. Colton, <u>Phys. Rev. Lett</u>. 64, 1931 (1990)]. Using an atomic force microscope, these authors have measured the force between tungsten and different surfaces, including Langmuir-Blodgett films of stearic acid and  $\omega, \omega, \omega$ -trifluorostearic acid deposited on Al/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In these studies the

adhesion force between tungsten and monolayer-coated alumina changed remarkably when the surface composition varied from  $-CH_3$  to  $-CF_3$ .

24. M. K. Chaudhury, unpublished results.

.

: .

•

Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Contact between a semispherical lens and flat sheet of PDMS results in the formation of a circular region of radius a. For clarity, the area of contact is exaggerated. The surfaces of both the lens and flat sheets were modified by using self-assembled alkylsiloxane monolayers (SAM). In a typical load-deformation experiment, the radius of the lens was about 1 mm and the thickness of the flat sheet was about 1.5 mm.

Figure 2. Photomicrograph showing the contact area (innermost circle) resulting from the contact between a lens (R = 0.72 mm) and a flat sheet of PDMS<sup>OX</sup>-O<sub>3</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>10</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> under zero load. The radius of contact deformation was about 92  $\mu$ m.

Figure 3. Plots of  $a^3$  against P showing weak hysteresis for the surface PDMS<sup>OX</sup>-0<sub>3</sub>Si(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>10</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>. The radius of the lens was .97 mm. The open circles represent the data obtained from compressive loads and the closed circles represent the data obtained from decompressive loads. The solid lines in both plots are predicted from eq 3.



•

. . .



. .



Fig 2



• •

Fig 3