

COUNTABLE DIMENSIONAL UNIVERSAL SETS

ROMAN POL¹

ABSTRACT. The main results of this paper are a construction of a countable union of zero dimensional sets in the Hilbert cube whose complement does not contain any subset of finite dimension $n \geq 1$ (Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3) and a construction of universal sets for the transfinite extension of the Menger-Urysohn inductive dimension (Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.4).

1. Terminology and notation. All spaces considered in this paper are metrizable and separable. Our terminology follows Kuratowski [Ku] and Nagata [Na 2].

1.1. *Notation.* We denote by I the interval $[-1, 1]$, I^∞ is the countable product of I , i.e. the Hilbert cube, $p_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I$ is the projection onto the i th coordinate, P is the set of the irrationals from I and ω is the set of natural numbers. Given a point $t \in I$, we let $Q_t = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty: x_1 = t\}$.

1.2. *Partitions.* A partition in a space X between a pair of disjoint sets A and B is a closed set L such that $X \setminus L = U \cup V$, where U and V are disjoint open sets with $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

1.3. *Countable dimensional spaces and the transfinite inductive dimension* ind. A space X is countable dimensional if it is a countable union $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty X_i$ of zero dimensional sets X_i [Hu].

The transfinite dimension ind is the extension by transfinite induction of the classical Menger-Urysohn inductive dimension: $\text{ind } X = -1$ means $X = \emptyset$, $\text{ind } X \leq \alpha$ if and only if each point x in X can be separated in X from any closed set not containing x by a partition L with $\text{ind } L < \alpha$, α being an ordinal, we let $\text{ind } X$ be the smallest ordinal α with $\text{ind } X \leq \alpha$ if such an ordinal exists, and we put $\text{ind } X = \infty$ otherwise. If $\text{ind } X \neq \infty$, then $\text{ind } X$ is a countable ordinal, X having a countable base.

The transfinite dimension ind was first discussed by Hurewicz [Hu, §5], [H-W, p. 50] (although the idea goes back to Urysohn's memoir [Ur, p. 66]). A comprehensive survey of the topic is given by Engelking [En 2].

Hurewicz [Hu, En 2, 4.1, 4.15] proved that *for a complete space X , $\text{ind } X \neq \infty$ if and only if X is countable dimensional and that each space X with $\text{ind } X \neq \infty$ has a countable dimensional compactification.*

Received by the editors June 21, 1985 and, in revised form, October 15, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54F45.

¹This paper was written while I was visiting Auburn University, Alabama. I would like to express my gratitude to the Department of Mathematics of Auburn University for its hospitality.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

1.4. *Hereditarily infinite dimensional spaces.* We say that an infinite dimensional space X is hereditarily infinite dimensional (hereditarily uncountable dimensional) if each nonempty subset of X is either zero dimensional or infinite dimensional (uncountable dimensional), cf. [G-S, Wa, Tu].

2. Introduction. The following two theorems are main results of this paper.

2.1. **THEOREM.** *There exists a countable dimensional set C in the Hilbert cube I^∞ such that for each countable dimensional subset A of I^∞ the difference $A \setminus C$ is at most zero dimensional.*

2.2. **THEOREM.** *For each countable ordinal α there exists a G_δ -set E_α in I^∞ with transfinite inductive dimension $\text{ind } E_\alpha = \alpha$ such that for every G_δ -set G in I^∞ with $\text{ind } G \leq \alpha$ there is an irrational $t \in I$ for which $G \cap Q_t = E_\alpha \cap Q_t$, where $Q_t = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty : x_1 = t\}$.*

Since each separable metrizable space embeds in I^∞ , Theorem 2.1 yields the following corollary.

2.3. **COROLLARY.** *Each uncountable dimensional separable metrizable space contains a countable dimensional subset with hereditarily uncountable dimensional complement.*

Each subset of I^∞ can be enlarged to a G_δ -set in I^∞ with the same transfinite dimension ind [En 2, 5.5] and hence the sets E_α in Theorem 2.2 have the following property:

2.4. **COROLLARY.** *For each countable ordinal α , every separable metrizable space X with $\text{ind } X \leq \alpha$ can be embedded homeomorphically into the space E_α .*

Therefore, E_α is a universal space in the class of separable metrizable spaces with transfinite dimension $\text{ind} \leq \alpha$. The question about the existence of such universal spaces for $\alpha \geq \omega_0$ was asked by Engelking [En 2, Problem 5.11], cf. also Luxemburg [Lu 2, Problem 8.4].

Using the zero dimensional set E_0 described in Theorem 2.2 one obtains the following fact (cf. §4.2):

2.5. **COROLLARY.** *There exists a countable dimensional $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set E_∞ in I^∞ such that for every countable dimensional $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set G in I^∞ there is an irrational $t \in I$ with $G \cap Q_t = E_\infty \cap Q_t$.*

The results of this paper are based implicitly on a notion of “universal functions” for a given collection of sets and some related diagonal arguments; these ideas go back to the origins of descriptive set theory, cf. Moschovakis [Mo, Remark 15 on p. 63], Kuratowski [Ku, §30, XIII]. Certain “universal functions” for the collections of compacta in I^∞ with transfinite dimension $\text{ind} \leq \alpha$ have been considered in [Po 2, §4] and a significant part of the present paper is a modification and an extension of the methods from [Po 2, §4].

Theorem 2.1 can be proved by making use of the zero dimensional universal set E_0 described in Theorem 2.2 (cf. Remark 4.2.1). We give, however, an independent direct proof of this theorem (though based on the same ideas as the construction of

the sets E_α in Theorem 2.2). This proof also provides a simple construction of sets with properties only slightly weaker than those of E_0, E_1, \dots (for finite α) and the set E_∞ in Corollary 2.5.

The paper is organized as follows.

In §3.1 we construct a “universal sequence” of sets for zero dimensional sets in I^∞ and in §3.2 we intensify certain singular properties of these sets, following an idea from Walsh [Wa], to obtain the countable dimensional set C described in Theorem 2.1.

In §4.1 we construct “universal functions” $M_\alpha \subset P \times I^\infty$, in the product of the irrationals and the Hilbert cube, for the collection of G_δ -sets in I^∞ with transfinite dimension $\text{ind} \leq \alpha$ and in §4.2 we apply a standard diagonal construction to get from these M_α 's the sets E_α described in Theorem 2.2.

§5 is a slight departure from the main subject of this paper (and it is formally independent of the other sections). We define here, by a method similar to that in §3.1, a “universal sequence” of partitions between the opposite faces in I^∞ , and we use these partitions along a path outlined by Walsh [Wa, §§3 and 7] to obtain a rather unexpectedly simple construction of hereditarily infinite dimensional compacta.

In §6 we collect some comments related to the subject of this paper.

I would like to thank Henryk Toruńczyk for pointing out a direct argument used in the proofs of property (I) in §3.1 and Lemma 4.1.3(ii), which simplified my original proofs.

3. A countable union of 0-dimensional sets in I^∞ whose complement has no subsets of dimension $n \geq 1$. In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2.1.

3.1. *A universal sequence N_1, N_2, \dots for 0-dimensional sets in I^∞ .* Let T be an arbitrary set in I homeomorphic to the irrationals P , let Γ be the space of all homeomorphic embeddings $h: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ of the Hilbert cube into itself endowed with the topology of uniform convergence and let

$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots): T \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma \times \dots$$

be a continuous map of the set T onto the countable product of the completely metrizable separable space Γ , cf. [Ku, §36, II].

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we let

$$(1) \quad N_i = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty: x_1 \in T \text{ and } u_i(x_1)(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in P \times P \times \dots\}.$$

We shall verify that the sets N_i have the following two properties, where for each $t \in I$,

$$Q_t = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty: x_1 = t\}$$

(cf. §4.2(I) and (II)):

(I) *The sets N_i are zero dimensional.*

(II) *Given an arbitrary sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of zero dimensional sets in I^∞ there exists $t \in T$ such that $G_i \cap Q_t \subset N_i \cap Q_t$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$*

PROOF OF (I). Let $Q_T = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty: x_1 \in T\}$ and let, for each $i \in \omega$, a continuous map $f_i: Q_T \rightarrow T \times I^\infty$ be defined by

$$f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, u_i(x_1)(x_1, x_2, \dots)).$$

The map f_i is closed (the projection $Q_T \rightarrow T$ being parallel to a compact factor, see [Bo, Chapter I, §§10, 1 and 2]) and injective (the maps $u_i(t)$ being embeddings), and hence f_i embeds Q_T homeomorphically into the product $T \times I^\infty$. Property (I) follows now from the fact that f_i embeds N_i into the zero dimensional space $T \times (P \times P \times \dots)$.

PROOF OF (II). We shall use the following universal property of the product of the irrationals $P \times P \times \dots$ established by Nagata [Na 1, Na 2, VI.2.A] (a simple proof is given in §6.4):

3.1.1. LEMMA (NAGATA). *For each zero dimensional set G in a metrizable separable space X there exists a homeomorphic embedding $h: X \rightarrow I^\infty$ such that $h(G) \subset P \times P \times \dots$*

Let G_1, G_2, \dots be an arbitrary sequence of zero dimensional sets in I^∞ and, for each $i \in \omega$, let $h_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ be an embedding such that

$$(2) \quad h_i(G_i) \subset P \times P \times \dots$$

Let us choose a $t \in T$ such that

$$(3) \quad u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots) = (h_1, h_2, \dots).$$

Then, for each $i \in \omega$, we have (see (2), (3), (1))

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \cap Q_t &\subset \{(t, x_2, x_3, \dots): h_i(t, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in P \times P \times \dots\} \\ &= \{(t, x_2, x_3, \dots): u_i(t)(t, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in P \times P \times \dots\} = N_i \cap Q_t, \end{aligned}$$

which proves property (II).

We close this section with an observation that property (II) yields, by a simple diagonal argument, the following property of the union

$$(4) \quad N_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i.$$

(III) *If A is a subset of I^∞ disjoint from N_∞ whose projection onto the first coordinate contains the set T , then A is uncountable dimensional.*

Assume on the contrary that $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$, where the sets G_i are zero dimensional. By property (II) there exists a $t \in T$ such that $G_i \cap Q_t \subset N_i \cap Q_t$ for all $i \in \omega$ and hence $\emptyset \neq A \cap Q_t = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \cap Q_t \subset N_\infty \cap Q_t$, contradicting the fact that A was disjoint from N_∞ .

3.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Reasoning in this section follows some ideas of Walsh [Wa].

Let T_1, T_2, \dots be a sequence of topological copies of the irrationals in I , with pairwise disjoint closures in I , such that each nondegenerate interval in I contains some T_i .

For each $i \in \omega$, the construction described in §3.1 with $T = T_i$ yields a countable dimensional set $N_\infty^{(i)}$ in I^∞ such that (see property (III)) each subset in I^∞ disjoint from $N_\infty^{(i)}$ whose projection onto the first coordinate contains T_i is uncountable

dimensional. Therefore, the countable dimensional set

$$C' = N_\infty^{(1)} \cup N_\infty^{(2)} \cup \dots$$

has the following property:

(IV) *If A is a countable dimensional set in I^∞ disjoint from C' then the projection of A onto the first coordinate does not contain any nondegenerate interval in I , i.e. the projection is zero dimensional.*

Let $\pi_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ be the permutation of the coordinates interchanging the first coordinate with the i th one and let $C_i = \pi_i(C')$ for $i \in \omega$. Each countable dimensional set C_i has then the property analogous to that of C' stated in (IV), where the first coordinate is replaced by the i th one. The countable dimensional set $C = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \dots$ satisfies the assertion of Theorem 2.1: if A is a nonempty countable dimensional set in I^∞ disjoint from C then, A being disjoint from each C_i , for every $i \in \omega$ the projection $p_i(A)$ of A onto the i th coordinate is zero dimensional and so is the set $A \subset \prod_{i=1}^\infty p_i(A)$, cf. Walsh [Wa, §3].

4. Universal sets for the transfinite extension of the inductive Menger-Urysohn dimension. In this section we construct the sets E_α described in Theorem 2.2 and we prove Corollary 2.5.

4.1. *Universal functions M_α .* Given a set S in the product $P \times I^\infty$ of the irrationals P and the Hilbert cube I^∞ , for each $t \in P$, we let

$$(1) \quad S(t) = \{x \in I^\infty : (t, x) \in S\}.$$

4.1.1. **PROPOSITION.** *For each countable ordinal α there exists a G_δ -set M_α in $P \times I^\infty$ such that*

- (i) $\text{ind } M_\alpha = \alpha$,
- (ii) *for each G_δ -set G in I^∞ with $\text{ind } G \leq \alpha$ there is a $t \in P$ with $M_\alpha(t) = G$.*

PROOF. Let $p_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I$ be the projection onto the i th coordinate and let

$$(2) \quad C_i = p_i^{-1}(-1), \quad D_i = p_i^{-1}(1), \quad H_i = p_i^{-1}(0),$$

i.e. H_i is a partition between the pair C_i and D_i of the i th opposite faces in I^∞ . Let

$$(3) \quad Z = I^\infty \setminus \bigcup \{C_{2i-1} \cup D_{2i-1} : i = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

We shall construct the sets M_α by transfinite induction. Let $M_{-1} = \emptyset$ and let us assume that for some ordinal α the sets M_β with $\beta < \alpha$ have been already constructed. We shall define the set M_α .

(I) Let us split the set of even natural numbers into disjoint infinite sets $\Sigma_{-1}, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_\beta, \dots, \beta < \alpha$, and let Γ be the space of all homeomorphic embeddings $h: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ satisfying the following two conditions

- (*) *if $x \in h^{-1}(Z)$ and F is a closed set in I^∞ not containing x , then $h(x) \in C_{2i}$ and $h(F) \subset D_{2i}$ for some $i \in \omega$;*
- (**) *if $j \in \Sigma_\beta$ then $\text{ind } h^{-1}(Z \cap H_j) \leq \beta$.*

We shall consider Γ with the topology of uniform convergence.

4.1.2. LEMMA. For each G_δ -set G in I^∞ with $\text{ind } G \leq \alpha$ there exists an embedding $h \in \Gamma$ such that $G = h^{-1}(Z)$.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{B} be a countable base in I^∞ . Let us consider the collection of all pairs (A, B) of disjoint closed sets in I^∞ , each of which being a finite sum of the closures of the elements of \mathcal{B} such that there exists a partition L in I^∞ between A and B with $\text{ind}(L \cap G) = \gamma < \alpha$, let $\gamma(A, B)$ be the minimal such ordinal γ for the pair (A, B) , and finally, let us arrange this collection of pairs into a sequence $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2), \dots$ letting $\gamma(i) = \gamma(A_i, B_i)$. Choose an injection $\tau: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $\tau(i) \in \Sigma_{\gamma(i)}$ and let $f_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I$ be continuous maps with

$$(4) \quad f_i^{-1}(-1) = A_i, \quad f_i^{-1}(1) = B_i,$$

$$(5) \quad \text{ind}(G \cap f_i^{-1}(0)) = \gamma(i).$$

Let $I^\infty \setminus G = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots$, where X_i are compact sets and let $g_i: I^\infty \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous maps with $g_i^{-1}(1) = X_i$. Let us finally split the odd natural numbers into two disjoint infinite sets Σ' and Σ'' and let us choose bijections $\nu: \Sigma' \rightarrow \omega$ and $\mu: \Sigma'' \rightarrow \omega$.

An embedding $h: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ with required properties can be defined now by $h(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ where

$$(6) \quad y_j = \begin{cases} g_{\nu(j)}(x_1, x_2, \dots), & \text{if } j \in \Sigma', \\ \frac{1}{2}x_{\mu(j)}, & \text{if } j \in \Sigma'', \\ f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots), & \text{if } j = \tau(i), \\ 1 & \text{if } j \notin \Sigma' \cup \Sigma'' \cup \tau(\omega). \end{cases}$$

The first two formulas in (6) guarantee that h is an embedding and $G = h^{-1}(Z)$. Given an $x \in G$ and a closed set F in I^∞ not containing x , the assumption $\text{ind } G \leq \alpha$ yields the existence of a pair (A_i, B_i) such that $x \in A_i$ and $F \subset B_i$ (cf. [En 1, Lemma 1.2.9]). Then (see (2), (4), (5) and (6)), $x \in h^{-1}(C_{\tau(i)})$, $F \subset h^{-1}(D_{\tau(i)})$ and $\text{ind } h^{-1}(Z \cap H_{\tau(i)}) = \text{ind}(G \cap f_i^{-1}(0)) = \gamma(i)$. Therefore h satisfies condition (*) and condition (**) holds for all $j \in \tau(\omega)$ (recall that $\tau(i) \in \Sigma_{\gamma(i)}$). But if j is an even number not belonging to $\tau(\omega)$, the last formula in (6) shows that $h(I^\infty) \cap H_j = \emptyset$ and so (**) is satisfied also in that case.

(II) For each even number $2i$ let $\beta(i)$ be the ordinal such that $2i \in \Sigma_{\beta(i)}$ (see (I)). Let us consider an embedding $h \in \Gamma$, where Γ is the space defined in (I). For every even number $2i$ property (**) and universality of the set $M_{\beta(i)}$ yield the existence of an irrational $t_i \in P$ such that

$$(7) \quad h^{-1}(Z \cap H_{2i}) = M_{\beta(i)}(t_i).$$

Let $\Lambda \subset \Gamma \times P \times P \times \dots$ be the space of all sequences (h, t_1, t_2, \dots) such that for each $i \in \omega$ the pair (h, t_i) satisfies condition (7). The space Λ being metrizable and separable, there exists a subset S of the irrationals in I and a continuous map $u = (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots): S \rightarrow \Lambda$ onto Λ (cf. [Ku, §36, III]). Let us define a continuous map $k: S \times I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ by $k(s, x) = u_0(s)(x)$ and let

$$(8) \quad M = \{(s, x) \in S \times I^\infty : u_0(s)(x) \in Z\} = k^{-1}(Z),$$

$$(9) \quad L_i = \{(s, x) \in S \times I^\infty : u_0(s)(x) \in H_{2i}\} = k^{-1}(H_{2i}).$$

4.1.3. LEMMA. *The set M and the sets L_i have the following properties:*

- (i) *for each G_δ -set G in I^∞ with $\text{ind} G \leq \alpha$ there exists an $s \in S$ such that $M(s) = G$,*
- (ii) $\text{ind}(L_i \cap M) \leq \beta(i)$, $i \in \omega$,
- (iii) $\text{ind} M = \alpha$.

PROOF. (i) Let G be a G_δ -set in I^∞ with $\text{ind} G \leq \alpha$. By Lemma 4.1.2 there exists an embedding $h \in \Gamma$ such that $G = h^{-1}(Z)$ and since $u_0(S) = \Gamma$, $h = u_0(s)$ for some $s \in S$. Then (see (8)) $M(s) = u_0(s)^{-1}(Z) = G$.

(ii) By (7), for each $s \in S$ and $i \in \omega$, we have

$$u_0(s)^{-1}(Z \cap H_{2i}) = M_{\beta(i)}(u_i(s)),$$

i.e. (see (8) and (9))

$$(10) \quad (M \cap L_i)(s) = M_{\beta(i)}(u_i(s)).$$

For each $i \in \omega$ define a continuous map $g_i: S \times I^\infty \rightarrow S \times P \times I^\infty$ by

$$g_i(s, x) = (s, u_i(s), x).$$

The map g_i homeomorphically embeds the set $M \cap L_i$ into the product $S \times M_{\beta(i)}$ (see (10)) and therefore $\text{ind}(M \cap L_i) \leq \text{ind} M_{\beta(i)} = \beta(i)$, the space S being zero dimensional.

(iii) Let $(s, x) \in M$ and let F be a closed set in $S \times I^\infty$ not containing (s, x) . Since $u_0(s) \in \Gamma$, property (*) in (I) and (8) yield the existence of an $i \in \omega$ such that $u_0(s)(x) \in C_{2i}$ and $u_0(s)(F(s)) \subset D_{2i}$. Therefore the set L_i separates in $S \times I^\infty$ the point (s, x) from the closed set $F \cap (\{s\} \times I^\infty)$ (see (9)). The projection $S \times I^\infty \rightarrow S$ parallel to the compact factor being closed, there exists an open and closed neighborhood W of s in S such that $L_i \cap (W \times I^\infty)$ is a partition in $S \times I^\infty$ between the point (s, x) and the set F . Since, by (ii), $\text{ind}(M \cap L_i) < \alpha$, this shows that $\text{ind} M \leq \alpha$ and it completes the proof, as $\text{ind} M \geq \alpha$, by (i).

(III) It remains to modify slightly the set M constructed in (II) to obtain a G_δ -set in $P \times I^\infty$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 4.1.3.

By (8), M is a G_δ -set in $S \times I^\infty \subset P \times I^\infty$ and therefore there exists a G_δ -set M^* in $P \times I^\infty$ such that $\text{ind} M^* = \text{ind} M$ and $M^* \cap (S \times I^\infty) = M$, cf. [En 2, 5.5]. Let S^* be the projection of the set M^* onto the P -coordinate, let $w: P \rightarrow S^*$ be a continuous map onto S^* , cf. [Ku, §37, I], and let

$$M_\alpha = \{(t, x) \in P \times I^\infty : (w(t), x) \in M^*\}.$$

The set M_α is a G_δ -set in $P \times I^\infty$ (being the preimage of the set M^* under the map $(t, x) \rightarrow (w(t), x)$) and for each G_δ -set G in I^∞ with $\text{ind} G \leq \alpha$, there exists an irrational $t \in P$ with $M_\alpha(t) = G$ (as $G = M(s)$ for some $s \in S$ and $s = w(t)$ for some $t \in P$). Finally, $\text{ind} M_\alpha \leq \alpha$, since the map $(t, x) \rightarrow (t, w(t), x)$ embeds homeomorphically the set M_α into the product $P \times M^*$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 4.1.3(ii)).

This completes the inductive proof of Proposition 4.1.1.

4.2. *Diagonal constructions related to the universal functions M_α .* For each countable ordinal α , let $M_\alpha \subset P \times I^\infty$ be the universal function constructed in §4.1 and let

$$(11) \quad E_\alpha = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty : (x_1, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in M_\alpha\}.$$

Given a point $t \in I$, we put

$$Q_t = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty : x_1 = t\}.$$

Let G be an arbitrary G_δ -set in I^∞ with $\text{ind } G \leq \alpha$. By Proposition 4.1.1, there exists an irrational $t \in P$ such that $M_\alpha(t) = G$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha \cap Q_t &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 = t \text{ and } (x_1, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in M_\alpha\} \\ &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 = t \text{ and } (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in M_\alpha(t)\} \\ &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 = t \text{ and } (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in G\} \\ &= G \cap Q_t. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, Proposition 4.1.1 shows also that E_α is a G_δ -set in I^∞ with $\text{ind } E_\alpha = \alpha$. Therefore the sets E_α satisfy the assertions of Theorem 2.2.

Let us construct now the set E_∞ described in Corollary 2.5.

Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots) : P \rightarrow P \times P \times \dots$ be a continuous map of the irrationals onto its countable product and let

$$(12) \quad N_i^* = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty : x_1 \in P \text{ and } (u_i(x_1), (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in M_0\},$$

where $M_0 \subset P \times I^\infty$ is the universal function for zero dimensional sets in I^∞ . Let us verify that the sets N_i^* have the following two properties (cf. §3.1(I) and (II)):

(I) *Each N_i^* is a zero dimensional G_δ -set in I^∞ .*

(II) *Given an arbitrary sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of zero dimensional G_δ -sets in I^∞ there exists an irrational $t \in P$ such that $G_i \cap Q_t = N_i^* \cap Q_t$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$*

Property (I) follows from the fact that the map $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \rightarrow (x_1, u_i(x_1), (x_1, x_2, \dots))$ homeomorphically embeds the set N_i^* into the product $P \times M_0$.

Let G_1, G_2, \dots be a sequence of zero dimensional G_δ -sets in I^∞ , let t_1, t_2, \dots be irrationals such that $M_0(t_i) = G_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and let t be an irrational such that $u(t) = (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots) = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$. Then, for each $i \in \omega$, we obtain (see 12)

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \cap Q_t &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 = t \text{ and } (t_i, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in M_0\} \\ &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 = t \text{ and } (u_i(t), (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in M_0\} \\ &= N_i^* \cap Q_t. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put now (cf. §3.1(4))

$$(13) \quad E_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty N_i^*$$

and let us verify that the countable dimensional $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set E_∞ satisfies the assertions of Corollary 2.5. Let G be an arbitrary countable dimensional $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set in I^∞ ; one can find zero dimensional G_δ sets G_1, G_2, \dots in I^∞ such that $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots$. By property (II) there exists an irrational $t \in P$ such that $G_i \cap Q_t = N_i^* \cap Q_t$ for each $i \in \omega$, and therefore $G \cap Q_t = E_\infty \cap Q_t$.

4.2.1. REMARK. The sequence N_1^*, N_2^*, \dots has properties slightly stronger than those of the sequence N_1, N_2, \dots described in §3.1 and therefore one can obtain the results in §3 using the sets N_i^* instead of N_i . Let us notice that in the case of the universal set M_0 , used to define the sets N_i^* , the construction given in §4.1 simplifies essentially: the sets Σ_β in (I) do not appear and “ind $< \alpha$ ” means just “empty”. Still, however, the proof of Theorem 2.1 given in §3 seems more direct than an alternative one based on the construction of the space M_0 . Let us observe finally that if N is a zero dimensional G_δ -set in I^∞ containing the set N_1 defined in §3.1, $G \subset P \times I^\infty$ is a G_δ -set universal for G_δ -sets in I^∞ [Ku, §30, XIII], and $w = (w_1, w_2)$ maps continuously P onto $P \times P$, then the set

$$M = \{(t, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in P \times I^\infty : (w_1(t), w_2(t), x_1, x_2, \dots) \in (P \times N) \cap G\}$$

is a G_δ -set in $S \times I^\infty$, S being the projection of M onto P -coordinate, and each zero dimensional G_δ -set in I^∞ is of the form $M(t)$ for some $t \in S$, cf. Jayne and Rogers [J-R, proof of Theorem 9.1], and therefore the space M_0 can be easily obtained from M by the method described in §4.1(III).

5. A universal sequence of partitions between the opposite faces in I^∞ . In this section we show that the method of parametrizing function spaces applied in §3.1 (cf. also the proof of Proposition 4.1.1(ii)) can be used to define a sequence of partitions between the opposite faces in I^∞ with some “universal” properties. This, combined with ideas of Walsh [Wa] and Rubin [Ru 1] provides a quite simple construction of hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional compacta.

Recall that $p_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I$ is the projection onto the i th coordinate and let

$$C_i = p_i^{-1}(-1), \quad D_i = p_i^{-1}(1), \quad H_i = p_i^{-1}(0),$$

i.e. C_i and D_i is the pair of the i th opposite faces in I^∞ and H_i is a partition between them.

Let $0 < a_i < 1$ and let

$$C_i^* = p_i^{-1}[-1, -a_i], \quad D_i^* = p_i^{-1}[a_i, 1].$$

Finally, let T be any subset of I homeomorphic to the irrationals and, for each $t \in I$, let

$$Q_t = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty : x_1 = t\}.$$

A space X is strongly infinite dimensional if there exists an infinite sequence $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2), \dots$ of pairs of disjoint closed sets in X such that if S_i is a partition between A_i and B_i in X ($i \in \omega$), then $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty S_i = \emptyset$; strongly infinite dimensional spaces are uncountable dimensional, cf. [Na 2, Chapter VI].

5.1. PROPOSITION. *There exist partitions L_i between the i th opposite faces C_i and D_i in I^∞ ($i \in \omega$), such that for every sequence of partitions S_i between the enlarged opposite faces C_i^* and D_i^* in I^∞ ($i \in \omega$), there exists a $t \in T$ such that $L_i \cap Q_t = S_i \cap Q_t$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$.*

PROOF. Let Λ be the space of all continuous maps $f: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ such that

$$(1) \quad f(C_i^*) \subset C_i \quad \text{and} \quad f(D_i^*) \subset D_i,$$

Λ being endowed with the topology of uniform convergence, and let $u: T \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a continuous map onto the completely metrizable separable space Λ , cf. [Ku, §36, II]. Let $Q_T = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in I^\infty: x_1 \in T\}$ and let $F: Q_T \rightarrow I^\infty$ be a continuous map defined by (cf. §3.1(1))

$$(2) \quad F(x_1, x_2, \dots) = u(x_1)(x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

By (1), the set $F^{-1}(H_i)$ is a partition in Q_T between $C_i^* \cap Q_T$ and $D_i^* \cap Q_T$ and since C_i and D_i are in the interior of C_i^* and D_i^* respectively, there exists a partition L_i in I^∞ between C_i and D_i extending $F^{-1}(H_i)$, cf. Engelking [En 2, Lemma 1.2.9], i.e.

$$(3) \quad L_i \cap Q_T = F^{-1}(H_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall verify that the sequence L_1, L_2, \dots has the required property. Given partitions S_i in I^∞ between C_i^* and D_i^* ($i \in \omega$), let $f_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I$ be continuous functions such that $C_i^* = f_i^{-1}(-1)$, $D_i^* = f_i^{-1}(1)$ and $S_i = f_i^{-1}(0)$. The diagonal map $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots): I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ belongs to Λ and therefore $f = u(t)$ for some $t \in T$. Since $S_i = f^{-1}(H_i)$, for $i \in \omega$, we obtain (see (3) and (2))

$$L_i \cap Q_t = F^{-1}(H_i) \cap Q_t = u(t)^{-1}(H_i) \cap Q_t = f^{-1}(H_i) \cap Q_t = S_i \cap Q_t.$$

5.2. COROLLARY. *Let L_1, L_2, \dots be the sequence of partitions between the opposite faces in I^∞ described in Proposition 5.1. For each $\sigma \subset \omega \setminus \{1\}$ and for each set $M \subset \bigcap \{L_i: i \in \sigma\}$ whose projection onto the first coordinate contains T , we have*

- (i) *if σ is a k -element set, then M is at least k -dimensional;*
- (ii) *if σ is infinite, then M is strongly infinite dimensional.*

PROOF. The reasoning in both cases is the same. Assume that the assertion is not true. Then (using again a simple lemma on extension of partitions [En 2, Lemma 1.2.9]) one can find partitions S_i between C_i and D_i in I^∞ , where $i \in \sigma$, such that $M \cap \bigcap \{S_i: i \in \sigma\} = \emptyset$, cf. [Ku, §27, II; R-S-W, §3]. But, on the other hand, there exists a $t \in T$ such that $S_i \cap Q_t = L_i \cap Q_t$ for each i , and this would yield a contradiction, $\emptyset \neq M \cap Q_t \subset M \cap \bigcap \{S_i: i \in \sigma\} = \emptyset$.

5.3. Hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional compacta. We shall repeat in this section some arguments due to Walsh [Wa, §§3, 7] and Rubin [Ru 1, §6] to derive from Corollary 5.2 a construction of hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional spaces.

Choose in I a collection T_1, T_2, \dots of homeomorphic copies of the irrationals such that each nondegenerate interval in I contains some T_i and let $\sigma_{ik} \subset \omega \setminus \{1, i\}$, where $i, k = 1, 2, \dots$, be pairwise disjoint infinite sets.

Let us fix a pair of natural numbers i, k . Changing the i th coordinate with the first one and letting $T = T_k$, we obtain from Corollary 5.2 partitions L_j between the j th opposite faces in I^∞ , where $j \in \sigma_{ik}$, such that each subset of the intersection $L_{ik} = \bigcap \{L_j: j \in \sigma_{ik}\}$ whose projection onto the i th coordinate contains T_k is

strongly infinite dimensional. Since $1 \notin \bigcup_{i,k} \sigma_{ik}$, each partition in I^∞ between the opposite faces C_1 and D_1 hits the intersection $L = \bigcap \{L_j : j \in \bigcup_{i,k} \sigma_{ik}\} = \bigcap_{i,k} L_{ik}$, cf. [Ku, §28, IV], and therefore L is a compactum of positive dimension. If M is a nonempty set in L , then either for some i and k , $T_k \subset p_i(M)$ and then M is strongly infinite dimensional, or else no projection $p_i(M)$ contains a nondegenerate interval, and then M is zero dimensional, being a subset of the product $\prod_{i=1}^\infty p_i(M)$ of zero dimensional sets.

5.4. REMARK. For other constructions of hereditarily infinite dimensional compacta we refer the reader to the papers by Walsh [Wa], Rubin [Ru 1, Ru 3] and Krasinkiewicz [Kr]; an illuminating account of the topic is given by Garity and Schori [G-S, §2], cf. also Nagata [Na 2, p. 125].

Separators of certain special type between the opposite faces in I^∞ with properties similar to (i) and (ii) in Corollary 5.2 were constructed by Walsh [Wa, §4], cf. also [R-S-W and S-W]. A sequence of partitions between the opposite faces in I^∞ satisfying condition (ii) in Corollary 5.2 was constructed by Rubin [Ru 1] (a simplified, but still rather involved, exposition of this construction was given in [Ru 3]). Rubin [Ru 2] has shown that the existence of such partitions yields a result that *each strongly infinite dimensional space contains a closed hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional subspace*.

An important element in the constructions in [R-S-W, Wa, Ru 1 and Kr] is a continuous parametrization of some collections of compacta and forming a “diagonal compactum” for that collection, and this element is also hidden in the proof of Proposition 5.1. This idea can be traced back to Mazurkiewicz [Ma] and Knaster [Kn], cf. Lelek [Le, Example, p. 80].

6. Comments.

6.1. *Tumarkin's property*. The following property of separable metrizable spaces X was considered by Tumarkin [Tu, Na, p. 125]:

(T) *each infinite dimensional subspace of X contains subsets of arbitrarily large finite dimension*.

Corollary 2.5. and the fact that the spaces X with $\text{ind } X \neq \infty$ have property (T) yield the following fact.

6.1.1. PROPOSITION. *Property (T) implies countable dimensionality, and in the class of completely metrizable separable spaces countable dimensionality is equivalent to property (T)*.

It is an open problem whether there exists a countable dimensional space which fails property (T), cf. [Tu, Wa, §7, En 2, 4.14]. In connection with this problem, let us make the following remark. One can repeat the construction in §3, starting with a continuous mapping $u = (u_1, u_2): T \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ onto the square of Γ instead of its countable product. This yields zero dimensional sets N_1, N_2 (see 3.1(1)), a one dimensional set $E = N_1 \cup N_2$ (cf. 3.1(4)), and finally, it provides a one dimensional set D' in I^∞ defined analogously to the set C' described in §3.2. The set D' has the property that each one dimensional set $S \subset I^\infty \setminus D'$ has zero dimensional projection

onto the first coordinate (cf. §3.2 (IV)). Therefore, if we let $D = \pi_1(D') \cup \pi_2(D') \cup \dots$, where $\pi_i: I^\infty \rightarrow I^\infty$ is the permutation of the coordinates changing the i th one with the first one, we obtain a countable dimensional set D intersecting each one dimensional set in I^∞ (see the reasoning at the end of §3.2) and hence, the complement $I^\infty \setminus D$ does not contain any subset of positive finite dimension (as any such set contains a one-dimensional subset). It is still conceivable that there exists an infinite dimensional countable dimensional set S in $I^\infty \setminus D$ (any such S would provide a solution to the problem we have formulated), however, the nature of the construction of D makes it difficult to clarify what are exactly the properties of this set.

Let us also mention that *there exist uncountable dimensional compacta all of whose closed infinite dimensional subspaces contain closed subsets of arbitrarily large finite dimension*—such a compactum is defined in [Po 1].

6.2. *Totally disconnected complete spaces D_α with $\text{ind } D_\alpha = \alpha$.* We shall use the spaces E_α defined in Theorem 2.2 to obtain spaces D_α described in the title of this section. Various constructions of totally disconnected complete spaces of arbitrarily large finite dimension can be found in [Ma, Le, p. 80; R-S-W, Kr].

Let $\alpha = \beta + 1$ be a non-limit-countable ordinal and let E_β be the universal set described in Theorem 2.2. Let $P \times I^\infty$ be the product of the irrationals in I and the Hilbert cube and let

$$G_\beta = \{ (t, (x_1, x_2, \dots)) \in P \times I^\infty : (t, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in E_\beta \}.$$

Let $p: P \times I^\infty \rightarrow P$ be the projection. The universal properties of E_β yield immediately that G_β intersects each set S in $P \times I^\infty$ with $\text{ind } S \leq \beta$ and $p(S) = P$. Let $K_\alpha \subset I^\infty$ be a compactum with $\text{ind } K_\alpha = \alpha$, cf. [En 2, 2.2; Na 2, p. 148]. The set $P \times K_\alpha \setminus G_\beta = F_\alpha$ is an F_σ -set in $P \times K_\alpha$ and, since $\text{ind } G_\beta = \beta < \text{ind } K_\alpha = \alpha$, each vertical section $F_\alpha \cap p^{-1}(t)$ is nonempty. Therefore, there exists a function $f: P \rightarrow K_\alpha$ of the first Baire class whose graph D_α is contained in F_α , see [Ku, §43, IX]. The set D_α is a totally disconnected G_δ -set in $P \times K_\alpha$. Moreover, D_α is disjoint from G_β and $p(D_\alpha) = P$, so $\beta < \text{ind } D_\alpha \leq \text{ind}(P \times K_\alpha) = \beta + 1 = \alpha$.

If α is a limit ordinal it is enough to let D_α be the free union of the sets $D_{\beta+1}$ with $\beta < \alpha$.

6.3. *Compactifications of spaces with $\text{ind} = \alpha$.* Let M_α be the universal space described in Proposition 4.1. By the theorem of Hurewicz quoted at the end of §1.3, there exists a countable dimensional compact extension M_α^* of M_α and let $\phi(\alpha)$ be the minimal transfinite dimension of such extensions. The function ϕ augmented by $\phi(\infty) = \infty$ has the following property:

For each separable metrizable space X there exists a compactification X^ of X such that $\text{ind } X \leq \text{ind } X^* \leq \phi(\text{ind } X)$.*

Luxemburg [Lu 1] constructed examples which show that for any limit ordinal α , $\phi(\alpha) > \alpha$. The exact nature of the function ϕ is, however, unknown, see [Lu 1, Conjecture on p. 443, En 2, 5.10].

6.4. *A proof of Nagata's Lemma 3.1.1.* We shall give a simple proof of Lemma 3.1.1. By a standard diagonal embedding argument it is enough to show that given a zero dimensional set G in X and a pair of disjoint closed sets A and B in X , there is

a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow J$ into the interval $J = [-1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}]$ such that $A \subset f^{-1}(-1/\sqrt{2})$, $B \subset f^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2})$ and $f(G) \subset P$, P being the irrationals.

Nagata [Na 1, Na 2, VI.2.A] constructed such a map f by a modification of a standard proof of Urysohn's Lemma. We shall construct this map by a simple approximation procedure.

Arrange all rational numbers from T into a sequence r_1, r_2, \dots (without repetitions) and let $\delta_i = \min\{|r_j - r_k|, |r_j \pm 1/\sqrt{2}|\}; k < j \leq i\}$, $\varepsilon_i = 2^{-(i+3)} \cdot \delta_i$, $a_i = r_i - \varepsilon_i$, $b_i = r_i + \varepsilon_i$, $A_i = [-1/\sqrt{2}, a_i]$, $B_i = [b_i, 1/\sqrt{2}]$, $J_i = (a_i, b_i)$. Let us define continuous maps $f_i: X \rightarrow J$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, inductively as follows: let f_0 be such that $A \subset f_0^{-1}(-1/\sqrt{2})$, $B \subset f_0^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2})$, assume that the map f_i has been already defined and put $C = f_i^{-1}(A_{i+1})$, $D = f_i^{-1}(B_{i+1})$. Choose an open set U in X such that $C \subset U$, $\bar{U} \cap D = \emptyset$ and $(\bar{U} \setminus U) \cap G = \emptyset$ and let $f_{i+1}: X \rightarrow J$ be a continuous map such that $f_{i+1}^{-1}(A_{i+1} \cup B_{i+1}) = C \cup D$, f_{i+1} coincides with f_i on $C \cup D$ and $f_{i+1}^{-1}(r_{i+1}) = \bar{U} \setminus U$. Since $|f_{i+1}(x) - f_i(x)| < 2 \cdot \varepsilon_{i+1} = 2^{-(i+3)} \cdot \delta_{i+1}$, the sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ converges uniformly to a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow J$. Since all f_i coincide with f_0 on $A \cup B$, $A \subset f^{-1}(-1/\sqrt{2})$ and $B \subset f^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2})$ and it is routine to check that for every rational number r_i from J , $f^{-1}(r_i) \cap G = \emptyset$, i.e. $f(G) \subset P$.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Chapitre 1, Hermann, Paris, 1948.
- [En 1] R. Engelking, *Dimension theory*, PWN, Warszawa, 1978.
- [En 2] _____, *Transfinite dimension*, Surveys in General Topology (G. M. Reed, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1980, pp. 131–161.
- [G-S] D. J. Garity and R. M. Schori, *Infinite-dimensional dimension theory*, preprint.
- [Hu 1] W. Hurewicz, *Ueber unendlich-dimensionale Punktmengen*, Proc. Akad. Amsterdam 31 (1928), 916–922.
- [H-W] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 4, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941.
- [J-R] J. E. Jayne and C. A. Rogers, *Borel isomorphisms at the first level*. II, *Mathematika*, 26 (1979), 157–179.
- [Kr] J. Krasinkiewicz, *Essential mappings onto products of manifolds*, preprint, August 1984.
- [Kn] B. Knaster, *Sur les coupures biconnexes des espaces euclidiens de dimension $n > 1$ arbitraire*, *Mat. Sb.* 19 (1946), 9–18.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vols. I, II, PWN, Warszawa, 1966 and 1968.
- [Le] A. Lelek, *Dimension inequalities for unions and mappings of separable metric spaces*, *Colloq. Math.* 23 (1971), 69–91.
- [Lu 1] L. Luxemburg, *On compactification of metric spaces with transfinite dimensions*, *Pacific J. Math.* 101 (1982), 399–450.
- [Lu 2] _____, *On universal infinite-dimensional spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 122 (1984), 129–147.
- [Ma] S. Mazurkiewicz, *Sur les problèmes κ et λ de Urysohn*, *Fund. Math.* 10 (1926), 311–319.
- [Mo] Y. N. Moschovakis, *Descriptive set theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [Na 1] J. Nagata, *On the countable sums of zero dimensional spaces*, *Fund. Math.* 48 (1960), 1–14.
- [Na 2] _____, *Modern dimension theory*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [Po 1] R. Pol, *A weakly infinite-dimensional compactum which is not countable dimensional*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1981), 634–636.
- [Po 2] _____, *On classification of weakly infinite dimensional compacta*, *Fund. Math.* 116 (1983), 169–188.
- [Ru 1] L. R. Rubin, *Hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional spaces*, *Michigan Math. J.* 27 (1980), 65–73.
- [Ru 2] _____, *Noncompact hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1980), 153–154.

- [**Ru 3**] _____, *Construction of hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional spaces*, preprint, December 1984.
- [**R-S-W**] L. R. Rubin, R. M. Schori, and J. J. Walsh, *New dimension-theory technique for constructing infinite-dimensional examples*, *General Topology Appl.* **10** (1979), 93–102.
- [**S-W**] R. M. Schori and J. J. Walsh, *Examples of hereditarily strongly infinite dimensional compacta*, *Topology Proc.* **3** (1978), 495–506.
- [**Tu**] L. Tumarkin, *Concerning infinite-dimensional spaces*, *Proc. 1st Prague Topol. Symp.* 1961, Publishing House of ČSAV, Prague, 1962, pp. 352–353.
- [**Ur**] P. Urysohn, *Memoire sur les multiplicités cantorienes*, *Fund. Math.* **7** (1925), 30–137.
- [**Wa**] J. J. Walsh, *Infinite dimensional compacta containing no n -dimensional ($n \geq 1$) subsets*, *Topology* **18** (1979), 91–95.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY, PKiN, IX P., 00 - 901 WARSZAWA, POLAND