



Counting Unbranched Subgraphs

DAVID RUELLE
 IHES, 91440 Bures sur Yvette, France

ruelle@ihes.fr

Received May 30, 1997; Revised January 16, 1998

Abstract. Given an arbitrary finite graph, the polynomial $Q(z) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{U}} z^{\text{card}F}$ associates a weight $z^{\text{card}F}$ to each unbranched subgraph F of length $\text{card}F$. We show that all the zeros of Q have negative real part.

Keywords: counting polynomial, graph, unbranched subgraph

A graph (V, E, v) consists of a finite set V of vertices, a finite set E of edges, and a map v of E to the two-element subsets of V . If $a \in E$ and $v(a) = \{j, k\}$, we say that the edge a joins the vertices j, k . (We impose that $j \neq k$, but allow different edges to join the same two vertices. We assume that each vertex j is in $v(a)$ for some $a \in E$).

For our purposes a *subgraph* of (V, E, v) will be a graph (V, F, ϕ) where $F \subset E$ and $\phi = v|_F$. We shall now fix (V, E, v) , and say that F is a subgraph of E if $F \subset E$ (this defines (V, F, ϕ) uniquely). We define the subset \mathcal{U} of *unbranched* subgraphs of E by

$$\mathcal{U} = \{F \subset E : (\forall j) \text{card}\{a \in F : v(a) \ni j\} \leq 2\}$$

Proposition 1 *The polynomial*

$$Q_{\mathcal{U}}(z) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{U}} z^{\text{card}F}$$

has all its zeros in $\{z : \text{Re}z \leq -2/n(n-1)^2\}$ where $n \geq 2$ is the largest number of edges ending in any vertex j .

The proof is given below. This result is related to a well-known theorem of Heilman and Lieb [2] on counting dimer subgraphs (for which $\text{card}\{a \in F : v(a) \ni j\} \leq 1$).

Let us consider an edge a as a closed line segment containing the endpoints $j, k \in v(a)$. Also identify a subgraph $F \subset E$ with the union of its edges. Then F is the union of its connected components, and if $F \in \mathcal{U}$, these are homeomorphic to a line segment or to a circle. We call $b(F)$ the number of components homeomorphic to a line segment, therefore

$$2b(F) = \text{card}\{j \in V : v(a) \ni j \text{ for exactly one } a \in F\}$$

Let us define

$$Q_U(z, t) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{U}} z^{\text{card}F} t^{b(F)}.$$

We see that

$$Q_U(z, 1) = Q_U(z).$$

Proposition 2 *If t is real $\geq 2 - 2/n$, then $Q_U(z, t)$ has all its zeros (with respect to z) on the negative real axis.*

The proof is given below. For $t \geq 2$, this is a special case a theorem of Wagner [6] as pointed out by the referee (take $Q_v(y) = 1 + sy + y^2/2$ for each vertex v in Theorem 3.2 of [6]).

We shall use the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let A, B be closed subsets of the complex plane \mathbf{C} , which do not contain 0. Suppose that the complex polynomial*

$$\alpha + \beta z_1 + \gamma z_2 + \delta z_1 z_2$$

can vanish only when $z_1 \in A$ or $z_2 \in B$. Then

$$\alpha + \delta z$$

can vanish only when $z \in -AB$.

This is the key step in an extension (see Ruelle [5]) of the Lee-Yang circle theorem [3]. Note that in applications of the lemma, the coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are usually polynomials in variables z_j (different from z_1, z_2, z).

Lemma 2 *Let $Q(z)$ be a polynomial of degree n with complex coefficients and $P(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ the only polynomial which is symmetric in its arguments, of degree 1 in each, and such that*

$$P(z, \dots, z) = Q(z).$$

If the roots of Q are all contained in a closed circular region M , and $z_1 \notin M, \dots, z_n \notin M$, then $P(z_1, \dots, z_n) \neq 0$.

This is Grace's theorem, see Polya and Szegö [4] V, Exercise 145.

Proof of Proposition 1: If $a \in E$, and $v(a) = \{j, k\}$, we introduce complex variables z_{aj}, z_{ak} . For each $j \in V$, let p_j be the polynomial in $Z^{(j)} = (z_{aj})_{v(a) \ni j}$ such that

$$p_j(Z^{(j)}) = 1 + \sum_a z_{aj} + \sum_{a \neq b} z_{aj} z_{bj}$$

(where we assume $v(a) \ni j, v(b) \ni j$). Putting all z_{aj} equal to z , we obtain a polynomial

$$q_j(z) = 1 + n_j z + \frac{n_j(n_j - 1)}{2} z^2$$

where $n_j > 0$ is the number of edges ending in j . Define $\zeta_{\pm}^{(j)} = -1$ when $n_j = 1$ or 2 , and

$$\zeta_{\pm}^{(j)} = \frac{-n_j \pm \sqrt{2n_j - n_j^2}}{n_j(n_j - 1)}$$

if $n_j \geq 2$. The zeros of q_j , considered as a polynomial of degree n_j are $\zeta_{\pm}^{(j)}$, and ∞ if $n_j > 2$. They are therefore contained in the closed circular regions (half-planes)

$$H_{\theta+}^{(j)} = \{z : \operatorname{Re}[e^{-i\theta}(z - \zeta_+^{(j)})] \leq 0\}$$

$$H_{\theta-}^{(j)} = \{z : \operatorname{Re}[e^{i\theta}(z - \zeta_-^{(j)})] \leq 0\}$$

for $0 \leq \theta < \pi/4$. By Lemma 2, we have thus $p_j(Z^{(j)}) \neq 0$ if $z_{aj} \notin H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)}$ for all $a \in E$ such that $j \in v(a)$.

If a polynomial is separately of first order in two variables z_1, z_2 , i.e., it is of the form

$$\alpha + \beta z_1 + \gamma z_2 + \delta z_1 z_2$$

the *Asano contraction* [1] consists in replacing it by the first-order polynomial

$$\alpha + \delta z$$

in one variable z , as in Lemma 1. As already noted, the coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ may depend on variables z_i different from z_1, z_2, z . Let now $Z = (z_a)_{a \in E}$ and

$$P_{\mathcal{U}}(Z) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{U}} \prod_{a \in F} z_a.$$

If we take the product $\prod_{j \in V} p_j(Z^{(j)})$ and perform the Asano contraction

$$\alpha + \beta z_{aj} + \gamma z_{ak} + \delta z_{aj} z_{ak} \longrightarrow \alpha + \delta z_a$$

for all $a \in E$ we obtain $P_{\mathcal{U}}(Z)$. Using Lemma 1 iteratively, once for each edge $a \in E$, we see thus that $P_{\mathcal{U}}(Z)$ has no zeros when for each $a \in E$

$$z_a \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)} H_{\theta\pm}^{(k)})$$

where $v(a) = \{j, k\}$ and

$$H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)} H_{\theta\pm}^{(k)} = \{uv : u \in H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)}, v \in H_{\theta\pm}^{(k)}\}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{C} \setminus (-H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)} H_{\theta\pm}^{(k)}) \supset \mathbf{C} \setminus (-H_{\theta\pm} H_{\theta\pm})$$

where $H_{\theta\pm}$ is the largest $H_{\theta\pm}^{(j)}$ (obtained by replacing n_j by $n = \max_j n_j$). Note that $\mathbf{C} \setminus (-H_{\theta\pm} H_{\theta\pm})$ is the interior of a parabola passing through $-\zeta_{\pm}^2$ and with axis passing through 0 and making an angle $\pm 2\theta$ with the positive real axis. When $\pm\theta$ varies between $-\pi/4$ and $\pi/4$, the parabola sweeps the region $\operatorname{Re} z > -\operatorname{Re} \zeta_{\pm}^2 = -2/n(n-1)^2$. Since $Q_{\mathcal{U}}(z)$ is obtained from $P_{\mathcal{U}}(Z)$ by putting all z_a equal to z , this proves Proposition 1. \square

Proof of Proposition 2: We proceed as for Proposition 1, defining here

$$p_j(Z^{(j)}) = 1 + s \sum_a z_{aj} + \sum_{a \neq b} z_{aj} z_{bj},$$

$$q_j(z, t) = 1 + n_j s z + \frac{n_j(n_j - 1)}{2} z^2.$$

If $s \geq \sqrt{2 - 2/n_j}$, the roots of q_j are real negative, and the same type of argument used for theorem 1 shows that all the zeros of $Q_{\mathcal{U}}(z, s^2)$ are real and negative. \square

Acknowledgments

I am indebted to Jozsef Beck, Jeff Kahn, and Chris Godsil for their interest and advice, and to an anonymous referee for useful detailed criticism.

References

1. T. Asano, "Theorems on the partition functions of the Heisenberg ferromagnets," *J. Phys. Soc. Jap.* **29** (1970), 350–359.
2. O.J. Heilmann and E.H. Lieb, "Theory of monomer-dimer systems," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **25** (1972), 190–232; **27** (1972), 166.
3. T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, "Statistical theory of equations of state and phase relations. II. Lattice gas and Ising model," *Phys. Rev.* **87** (1952), 410–419.
4. G. Polya and G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, Vol. 2, 3rd edition, Springer, Berlin, 1964.
5. D. Ruelle, "Extension of the Lee-Yang circle theorem," *Phys. Rev. Letters* **26** (1971), 303–304.
6. D.J. Wagner, "Multipartition series," *S.I.A.M. J. Discrete Math.* **9** (1996), 529–544.