

COVID-19 and *per capita* green tea consumption: update

Maksim Storozhuk

Bogomoletz Institute of Physiology, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

Corresponding author

Dr. M. Storozhuk

Bogomoletz Institute of Physiology, National Academy of Science of Ukraine

4 Bogomoletz Street

Kyiv 01024

Ukraine

Tel.: +380 44 2562052

Fax: +380 44 2536458

e-mail: maksim@biph.kiev.ua

Abstract

Purpose: In spite of the development of numerous vaccines for the prevention of COVID-19 and improvement of several drugs for its treatment, there is still a great need in effective and inexpensive therapy of this disease. Pharmacological evidence suggesting the therapeutic potential of green tea catechins in amelioration/treatment of COVID19 is growing rapidly, however, there are only a few epidemiological studies addressing this possibility. The aim of this study was to provide update regarding ecological study assessing this issue as of January 2021.

Methods: The methodological approach used in this report is similar to that described previously. Briefly, information about COVID-19 morbidity (defined as a total number of cases per million population) and mortality (defined as a total number of deaths per million population) for a specific date was directly obtained from Worldometers info. Coronavirus. Analysis was restricted to 134 countries or territories with at least 3 million population. Twenty-one of these countries/territories, with estimated *per/capita* green tea consumption above 150 g (annually), were considered as a group with the high consumption. Countries/territories with the estimated *per/capita* green tea consumption below 150 g (N=82) were considered as the group with low the consumption.

Results: Pronounced differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality between groups of countries with high and low green tea consumption were found as of February 20, 2022. These differences were still observed in a subset of countries with HDI above 0.55. Moreover, in this restricted subset of countries, weak but statistically significant correlations between COVID-19 morbidity (or mortality) and *per/capita* green tea consumption were observed in a multiple regression model accounting for: population density, percentage of population aged above 65, and percentage of urban population.

Conclusion: The obtained results provide additional, though indirect, support of the idea that green tea catechins can be useful for treatment/amelioration of COVID-19. These results are in line with emerging evidence from other studies, including pharmacological. Nevertheless, further research is necessary to directly validate or reject this idea.

Key words: catechins; SARS-CoV-2; (-)-epigallocatechin (EGC); (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG).

Introduction

In spite of the development of numerous vaccines for prevention of COVID-19 and approval of several drugs for its treatment, there is still a great need for effective and inexpensive therapy of this disease. In this regard therapeutic potential of green tea catechins looks promising. There is mounting evidence suggesting the therapeutic potential of green tea catechins in prevention/treatment of COVID19. In particular, in addition to numerous docking studies (for instance, [1-7]) there is growing number of studies showing direct antiviral activity of at least one catechin epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) against SARS-CoV-2 in *in vitro* experiments ([8-17] see also [18-20] for review). Moreover, a recent work [21] reports that EGCG from green tea effectively blocks infection of SARS-CoV-2 and *new variants*. The latter is in line with the observation [22] that neutralizing activity of concentrated green tea extract is independent of the strain of SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan strain, beta- or delta-variants).

Besides, green tea constituent are likely to be beneficial in relation to factors associated with higher COVID-19 mortality such as cholesterol levels [23], obesity [24], [25], diabetes [26], uncontrolled immune activation, [27], and cardiovascular disease [28] Finally, green tea catechins potentiate the adaptive immunity [12] and can act as ionophores for zinc ions, while the latter are considered as potentially beneficial in relation to COVID-19 [29].

Still, it appears that there are only a few epidemiological studies assessing therapeutic potential of green tea catechins: (i) observational study [30] reporting that people who consumed ≥ 4 cups/day of green tea had a lower, albeit statistically not significant, odds of SARS-CoV-2 infection; (ii) ecological studies [31], [32] reporting lower COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in countries with higher *per capita* green tea consumption.

Additionally, although differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality between groups of countries with higher and lower *per capita* green tea consumption were statistically significant in the abovementioned ecological studies, data analyzed in these studies reflected the epidemiological situation observed in January 2021 and before. The aim of this report was to examine whether differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality between countries with higher and lower *per capita* green tea consumption are still present in the year 2022.

Methods

Ethics approval and consent to participate. Not applicable (ethical approval was not deemed necessary as this was an analysis of publicly available data).

Data regarding COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. All data were obtained from open sources. Information on the total number of cases and total number of deaths was obtained directly from ‘Worldometers info. Coronavirus’ [33]. The information on ‘Worldometer’ is based on official daily reports and considered as a reliable (for instance, [34-35]).

The methodological approach used in this report is similar to that described previously [31-32]. Briefly, information about COVID-19 morbidity (defined as a total number of cases per million population) and mortality (defined as a total number of deaths per million population) for a specific date was directly obtained from ‘Worldometers info. Coronavirus’ [33]. Analysis was restricted to 134 countries or territories (according UN classification) with at least 3 million population. Twenty-one of these countries/territories, with estimated *per/capita* green tea consumption above 150 g (annually), were considered as a group with the high consumption. Countries/territories with estimated *per/capita* green tea consumption below 150 g were

considered as a group with the low consumption (see [31-32] for details). Considering that the variables of COVID-19 morbidity and COVID-19 mortality do not have a normal distribution [34], non-parametric statistic (Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney U Test) for Unpaired Data) was used for comparisons.

In multiple linear regression analysis, the following factors (beside green tea consumption) were included: population density [35], percentage of population aged above 65 [36], percentage of urban population [37]. In a complementary analysis an additional variable, namely Human Developmental Index (HDI) based on access to health and education services and income [38] was added to the model. 'KyPlot' software was employed for statistical assessments.

Results

Pronounced and statistically significant differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality between groups of countries/territories with higher and lower green tea consumption were found as of February 20, 2022 (**Table 1**). Thus, it appears that previously reported differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality, reflecting epidemiological situation in January 2021 [32] and before [31], are consistent over a prolonged time. This consistency may be relevant to the reported efficacy of green tea catechins against *several* variants of SARS-CoV-2, which was observed in *in vitro* studies [21-22].

Table 1. Lower COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in countries with higher *per capita* green tea consumption

	Group 1 (countries/territories with 'high' green tea consumption) N=21	Group 2 (countries/territories with 'low' or undetermined green tea consumption) N=113	Group 3 (countries/territories with 'low' green tea consumption) N=82	World ¹
COVID-19 morbidity	6867 (2651-35058)	54950* (4431-151533)	94634** (11032-178523)	78869
COVID-19 mortality	172 (36-422)	617** (82-1942)	1012*** (169-2123)	740

Values (per one million of population) are: median and interquartile range (IQR). * (P<0.05), ** (P<0.01) and *** (P<0.001) denote significance level of difference compared to Group 1 (Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney U Test) for Unpaired Data). Raw data for individual countries are from 'Worldometer' (Worldometers info. Coronavirus update. Available at: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>) as provided on February 20, 2022. World¹ – refers to top 212 countries/territories (ranked by population) affected by COVID-19 according to information from 'Worldometer' as provided on February 20, 2022. Lists of counties/territories with 'high' and 'low' *per/capita* green tea consumption are provided in Supplementary Materials. See [31-32] for details regarding definition of Group 2.

One of the factors strongly associated with COVID-19 morbidity and mortality is HDI [39]. Indeed, for several reasons (including underestimation of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality due to lower COVID-19 testing capabilities) COVID-19 morbidity and mortality appear to be lower in countries with lower HDI. This is especially apparent in countries with low HDI (countries with HDI below 0.55, as defined by UN) such as Burundi, Burkina Faso, Madagascar, Niger. Thus, it may be argued that lower COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in the group of countries with higher per capita green tea consumption is biased due to overrepresentation of countries with low HDI in this group. However, if countries with HDI below 0.55 were excluded from the analysis, there were still large and statistically significant differences between groups with high and low green tea consumption (**Table S1**).

Moreover, in this restricted subset of countries, weak but statistically significant correlations between COVID-19 morbidity (or mortality) and per/capita green tea consumption were observed in a multiple regression model accounting for several factors, reported previously [39] as important confounders (population density, percentage of population aged above 65, percentage of urban population). These results are summarized in the **Table S2 (A and B)**.

Although vaccination alone is not sufficient to contain the outbreak [40], potentially, differences in vaccination rates against COVID-19 in distinct countries can be another source of systematic bias. On the other hand, the period of time analyzed here (since the beginning of epidemic in December 2019 to February 2022) includes both pre- and post vaccination parts since the earliest mass vaccination was initiated in the second half of December 2020. Besides, a reasonable assumption is that vaccination rates (during post vaccination period) are associated with HDI. Therefore, in part, this concern may be addressed by adjusting for HDI. **Table S3** shows that correlations between COVID-19 morbidity (or mortality) and per/capita green tea consumption are still statistically significant in multiple regression model accounting *also* for HDI.

Interestingly, the transformation of the variable per capita green tea consumption into the common logarithm $\text{Log}(10)$, notably increased the strength and statistical significance of the correlations between this variable and COVID-19 morbidity or mortality (see the values in **Table S4** compared to those in **Table S3**). Apart from other reasons not discussed here, these increases might be relevant to the logarithmic dependence between dose and effect, usually observed in pharmacological studies.

Discussion

Pronounced differences in COVID-19 morbidity and mortality between groups of countries/territories with higher and lower green tea consumption were found as of February 20, 2022. These results extend previous observations, reflecting the epidemiological situation in January 2021 [32] and before (September and November 2020 [31]). This consistency over prolonged period of time may be an additional argument supporting the therapeutic potential of green tea catechins in amelioration/treatment of COVID19.

Although ecological studies, taken alone, could not confirm a causal relation, still these studies are considered as useful and widely used in the field, in particular, in relation to COVID-19 (e.g., [34], [39]). The current report, as well as ecological studies in general, does have limitations. In particular, there are many factors that can differentially affect COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in distinct countries (e.g. the percentage of older population; HDI [39], administrative strategies to prevent COVID-19 transmission; preventive strategies related to *other* diseases [39], [34], [41]; condition-specific mortality risks; particularities of treatment and vitamin D supplementation [42]). Additionally, particularities of the tea predominantly consumed in a specific country may also be of importance in this respect, because tea processing conditions can affect the content of potential antiviral compounds [43]. On the other hand, since numerous (more than one hundred) countries from all over the world were considered, it does not seem likely that these factors can *systematically* and *strongly* bias the results presented here. To some extent, this point is supported by similarities of the results obtained without restriction in respect of HDI (**Table 1**), and when countries with low HDI were excluded (**Table S1**). Additionally,

this point is supported by the results of linear regression analysis accounting for several factors, reported previously as important confounders in relation to COVID-19 morbidity and mortality. Indeed, statistically significant correlations between COVID-19 morbidity and mortality and per capita green tea consumption were observed in the linear regression analysis accounting for the following: population density, percentage of population aged above 65, percentage of urban population, and HDI (**Table S3**).

In any case, although these results do not *necessarily* indicate the causal links between higher green tea consumption and lower COVID-19 morbidity/mortality they do support these potential links. And this is in agreement with emerging pharmacological evidence ([1], [8], [9], [18], [21]) and the trend observed in the above-mentioned observational study [30].

Currently, at least one placebo controlled study has been registered to assess potential of EGCG-containing formulation (taken internally) in prevention of COVID-19 [44], but the results have not yet been reported. Interestingly, additional approach regarding green tea catechins and COVID-19 is also emerging [22]. This approach [22] focuses on the *local application* of green tea extract as a throat spray. It was demonstrated that the green tea extract formulation has strong neutralizing activity on SARS-CoV-2 independent of the strain (Wuhan strain, beta- or delta-variants) in VeroE6 cell culture model. Additionally qualitative and quantitative tannin profile present on the oral mucosa after spray application has been investigated [22]. In relation to the ecological data discussed here, it seems to be of interest to study whether usual green tea consumption also substantially enhances the level of green tea constituents in oral mucosa. Actually, if links between higher green tea consumption and lower COVID-19 morbidity/mortality are indeed causal, it cannot be excluded that this is (in part) due to *local* action of green tea catechins in mouth/throat. If this is the case, another aspect of this issue

arises. Should lower efficacy of green tea catechins formulations be expected in clinical trials, in which these formulations are taken *internally as capsules* (for instance, as compared to observational studies with a design similar to [30])? How to address this potential issue in placebo-controlled studies?

To conclude, the obtained results provide additional though indirect support of the idea that green tea catechins can be useful for treatment/amelioration of COVID-19. These results are in line with the rapidly growing evidence obtained in pharmacological *in vitro* studies. Nevertheless, further research is necessary to directly validate or reject this idea.

Declarations

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of supporting data

Data (mostly) available within the manuscript and supplementary materials. Additional data are available on request from the author.

Competing interests

None

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgments

I would like express my gratitude to personnel of the open resources for creating excellent opportunities for research.

Reference List

- [1] Wu C, Liu Y, Yang Y *et al.* Analysis of therapeutic targets for SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of potential drugs by computational methods. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2020.02.008>
- [2] Sagaama A, Brandan SA, Ben IT, Issaoui N. Searching potential antiviral candidates for the treatment of the 2019 novel coronavirus based on DFT calculations and molecular docking. *Heliyon* 2020;6:e04640. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04640>
- [3] Allam L, Ghrifi F, Mohammed H *et al.* Targeting the GRP78-Dependant SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry by Peptides and Small Molecules. *Bioinform Biol Insights* 2020;14:1177932220965505. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1177932220965505>
- [4] Mhatre S, Gurav N, Shah M, Patravale V. Entry-inhibitory role of catechins against SARS-CoV-2 and its UK variant. *Comput Biol Med* 2021;135:104560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbimed.2021.104560>
- [5] Soni U, Singh P, Gupta OP *et al.* Lichen planus drugs re-purposing as potential anti COVID-19 therapeutics through molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulation approach. *J Clin Transl Res* 2022;8:127-146. [pii]jctres.08.202202.003
- [6] Sharma S, Deep S. In-silico drug repurposing for targeting SARS-CoV-2 main protease (M(pro)). *J Biomol Struct Dyn* 2022;40:3003-3010. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1844058>
- [7] Zhang D, Hamdoun S, Chen R *et al.* Identification of natural compounds as SARS-CoV-2 entry inhibitors by molecular docking-based virtual screening with bio-layer interferometry. *Pharmacol Res* 2021;172:105820. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105820>
- [8] Jang M, Park YI, Cha YE *et al.* Tea Polyphenols EGCG and Theaflavin Inhibit the Activity of SARS-CoV-2 3CL-Protease In Vitro. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med* 2020;2020:5630838. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5630838>
- [9] Jang M, Park R, Park YI *et al.* EGCG, a green tea polyphenol, inhibits human coronavirus replication in vitro. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2021;547:23-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2021.02.016>
- [10] Chiou WC, Chen JC, Chen YT *et al.* The inhibitory effects of PGG and EGCG against the SARS-CoV-2 3C-like protease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.12.106>
- [11] Park R, Jang M, Park YI *et al.* Epigallocatechin Gallate (EGCG), a Green Tea Polyphenol, Reduces Coronavirus Replication in a Mouse Model. *Viruses* 2021;13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13122533>

- [12] Yang CC, Wu CJ, Chien CY, Chien CT. Green Tea Polyphenol Catechins Inhibit Coronavirus Replication and Potentiate the Adaptive Immunity and Autophagy-Dependent Protective Mechanism to Improve Acute Lung Injury in Mice. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 2021;10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10060928> [doi]
- [13] Hong S, Seo SH, Woo SJ, Kwon Y, Song M, Ha NC. Epigallocatechin Gallate Inhibits the Uridylate-Specific Endoribonuclease Nsp15 and Efficiently Neutralizes the SARS-CoV-2 Strain. *J Agric Food Chem* 2021;69:5948-5954. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c02050>
- [14] Montone CM, Aita SE, Arnoldi A *et al.* Characterization of the Trans-Epithelial Transport of Green Tea (*C. sinensis*) Catechin Extracts with In Vitro Inhibitory Effect against the SARS-CoV-2 Papain-like Protease Activity. *Molecules* 2021;26.m <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26216744>
- [15] Ngwe Tun MM, Luvai E, Nwe KM *et al.* Anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity of various PET-bottled Japanese green teas and tea compounds in vitro. *Arch Virol* 2022;167:1547-1557. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-022-05483-x>
- [16] Zhu Y, Xie DY. Docking Characterization and in vitro Inhibitory Activity of Flavan-3-ols and Dimeric Proanthocyanidins Against the Main Protease Activity of SARS-Cov-2. *Front Plant Sci* 2020;11:601316. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.601316>
- [17] Kato Y, Higashiyama A, Takaoka E, Nishikawa M, Ikushiro S. Food phytochemicals, epigallocatechin gallate and myricetin, covalently bind to the active site of the coronavirus main protease in vitro. *Adv Redox Res* 2021;3:100021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arres.2021.100021>
- [18] Park J, Park R, Jang M, Park YI. Therapeutic Potential of EGCG, a Green Tea Polyphenol, for Treatment of Coronavirus Diseases. *Life (Basel)* 2021;11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life11030197>
- [19] Park J, Park R, Jang M, Park YI, Park Y. Coronavirus enzyme inhibitors-experimentally proven natural compounds from plants. *J Microbiol* 2022;60:347-354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-022-1499-z>
- [20] Bimonte S, Forte CA, Cuomo M, Esposito G, Cascella M, Cuomo A. An Overview on the Potential Roles of EGCG in the Treatment of COVID-19 Infection. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2021;15:4447-4454. <https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S314666>
- [21] Liu J, Bodnar BH, Meng F *et al.* Epigallocatechin gallate from green tea effectively blocks infection of SARS-CoV-2 and new variants by inhibiting spike binding to ACE2 receptor. *Cell Biosci* 2021;11:168. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-021-00680-8>
- [22] Kicker E, Tittel G, Schaller T, Pferschy-Wenzig EM, Zatloukal K, Bauer R. SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing activity of polyphenols in a special green tea extract preparation. *Phytomedicine* 2022;98:153970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2022.153970>

- [23] Momose Y, Maeda-Yamamoto M, Nabetani H. Systematic review of green tea epigallocatechin gallate in reducing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels of humans. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2016;67:606-613. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09637486.2016.1196655>
- [24] Lin Y, Shi D, Su B *et al.* The effect of green tea supplementation on obesity: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Phytother Res* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6697>
- [25] Kagawa Y. Influence of Nutritional Intakes in Japan and the United States on COVID-19 Infection. *Nutrients* 2022;14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14030633>
- [26] Khan N, Mukhtar H. Tea and health: studies in humans. *Curr Pharm Des* 2013;19:6141-6147. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612811319340008>
- [27] Menegazzi M, Campagnari R, Bertoldi M, Crupi R, Di PR, Cuzzocrea S. Protective Effect of Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate (EGCG) in Diseases with Uncontrolled Immune Activation: Could Such a Scenario Be Helpful to Counteract COVID-19? *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21145171>
- [28] Abe SK, Inoue M. Green tea and cancer and cardiometabolic diseases: a review of the current epidemiological evidence. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-020-00710-7>
- [29] Wessels I, Rolles B, Rink L. The Potential Impact of Zinc Supplementation on COVID-19 Pathogenesis. *Front Immunol* 2020;11:1712. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01712>
- [30] Nanri A, Yamamoto S, Konishi M, Ohmagari N, Mizoue T. Green tea consumption and SARS-CoV-2 infection among staff of a referral hospital in Japan. *Clin Nutr Open Sci* 2022;42:1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutos.2022.01.002>
- [31] Storozhuk MV. COVID -19: could green tea catechins reduce the risks? *medRxiv* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218479>
- [32] Storozhuk MV. Green tea catechins against COVID-19? Lower COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in countries with higher per capita green tea consumption. *Coronaviruses* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.2174/2666796703666220124103039>
- [33] Worldometers info. Coronavirus update. Available at: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>.
- [34] Urashima M, Otani K, Hasegawa Y, Akutsu T. BCG Vaccination and Mortality of COVID-19 across 173 Countries: An Ecological Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17155589>

- [35] World Bank. Population density (people per sq. km of land area). <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.POP.DNST> 2019.
- [36] worldbank.org. Population ages 65 and above (% of total population). <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.65UP.TO.ZS> 2019.
- [37] World Bank. Urban population (% of total population). <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.URB.TOTL.IN.ZS> Accessed 2019.
- [38] UN. United Nations Development Program. Human Development Reports (2019). <http://hdr.undp.org/en/content/2019-human-development-index-ranking> 2019.
- [39] Escobar LE, Molina-Cruz A, Barillas-Mury C. BCG vaccine protection from severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020;117:17720-17726. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008410117>
- [40] Moore S, Hill EM, Tildesley MJ, Dyson L, Keeling MJ. Vaccination and non-pharmaceutical interventions for COVID-19: a mathematical modelling study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021;21:793-802. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00143-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00143-2)
- [41] Hellwig MD, Maia A. A COVID-19 prophylaxis? Lower incidence associated with prophylactic administration of ivermectin. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2021;57:106248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106248>
- [42] Annweiler C, Hanotte B, Grandin de IC, Sabatier JM, Lafaie L, Celarier T. Vitamin D and survival in COVID-19 patients: A quasi-experimental study. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2020;204:105771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2020.105771>
- [43] Paiva L, Lima E, Motta M, Marccone M, Baptista J. Investigation of the Azorean *Camellia sinensis* Processing Conditions to Maximize the Theaflavin 3,3'-di-O-Gallate Content as a Potential Antiviral Compound. *Antioxidants* 2022;11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11061066>
- [44] ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04446065 2020. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04446065>

Supplementary Materials

List of countries/territories with 'high' per/capita green tea consumption (ranked by population).

China, Indonesia, Japan, Vietnam, Algeria, Afghanistan, Uzbekistan, Morocco, Niger, Taiwan, Burkina Faso, Mali, Senegal, Guinea, Tunisia, UAE, Hong Kong, Libya, Denmark, Mauritania, Mongolia.

List of countries/territories with 'low' per/capita green tea consumption (ranked by population).

USA, Pakistan, Brazil, Nigeria, Russia, Mexico, Ethiopia, Philippines, Egypt, Turkey, Iran, Germany, Thailand, UK, France, Italy, Colombia, Spain, Sudan, Ukraine, Canada, Poland, Saudi Arabia, Angola, Peru, Malaysia, Ghana, Madagascar, Cameroon, Ivory Coast, Australia, Malawi, Chile, Zambia, Kazakhstan, Romania, Syria, Ecuador, Netherlands, Cambodia, Zimbabwe, Rwanda, Benin, Burundi, Bolivia, Belgium, Dominican Republic, Czechia, Greece, Azerbaijan, Sweden, Honduras, Portugal, Hungary, Belarus, Israel, Austria, Switzerland, Serbia, Togo, Sierra Leone, Laos, Paraguay, Bulgaria, Lebanon, Nicaragua, Kyrgyzstan, El Salvador, Singapore, Finland, Norway, Slovakia, Oman, Costa Rica, Ireland, New Zealand, CAR, Panama, Kuwait, Croatia, Uruguay, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

See [32] for more details. These lists refer to countries with HDI above and below 0.55.

Table S1. COVID-19 morbidity and mortality in relation to per/capita green tea consumption in subset of countries with HDI above 0.55

	Group 1 (countries/territories with 'high' green tea consumption) N=15	Group 3 (countries/territories with 'low' green tea consumption) N=69
COVID-19 morbidity	28222 (6001-75233)	113208** (41235-216952)
COVID-19 mortality	227 (42-543)	1469*** (410-2406)

Values (per one million of population) are: median and interquartile range (IQR). ** (P<0.01) and *** (P<0.001) denote significance level of difference compared to Group 1 (Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney U Test) for Unpaired Data). Raw data for individual countries are from 'Worldometer' (Worldometers info. Coronavirus update. Available at: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>) as provided on February 20, 2022.

Table S2.

A. Higher *per capita* green tea consumption is associated with lower COVID-19 morbidity.

Regression Coefficient									
	Estimate	SE	t(cal)		P(T<=t(cal))	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	VIF (Variance Inflation Factor)	
b0	3.514849	0.258686	13.5873	*** (P<=0.001)	2.26E-22	2.999947	4.029752		
b1:X1	-0.00066	0.000256	-2.57299	* (P<=0.05)	0.011954	-0.00117	-0.00015	1.004837	
b2:X2	-0.00012	5.57E-05	-2.12989	* (P<=0.05)	0.036293	-0.00023	-7.76E-06	1.092597	
b3:X3	0.039805	0.00963	4.133531	*** (P<=0.001)	8.83E-05	0.020637	0.058973	1.170724	
b4:X4	0.012871	0.003904	3.296829	** (P<=0.01)	0.001467	0.0051	0.020642	1.263933	

Standard Regression Coefficient									
	Estimate	SE							
b1:X1	-0.22444	0.087231		X1	<i>per capita green tea consumption</i>				
b2:X2	-0.19374	0.09096		X2	Population density				
b3:X3	0.389198	0.094156		X3	%population aged above 65				
b4:X4	0.322538	0.097833		X4	urban population % of total				
				Y	Log(cases/million)				

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(cases/million) as a dependent variable and four independent variables are shown. N=84 (excluding countries with HDI below 0.55).

B. Higher per capita green tea consumption is associated with lower COVID-19 mortality.

Regression Coefficient									
	Estimate	SE	t(cal)		P(T<=t(cal))	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	VIF (Variance Inflation Factor)	
b0	2.132954	0.271308	7.861753	*** (P<=0.001)	1.62E-11	1.592929	2.672978		
b1:X1	-0.00067	0.000269	-2.49003	* (P<=0.05)	0.014872	-0.00121	-0.00013	1.004837	
b2:X2	-0.00017	5.84E-05	-2.85077	** (P<=0.01)	0.005562	-0.00028	-5.02E-05	1.092597	
b3:X3	0.03265	0.0101	3.232784	** (P<=0.01)	0.001789	0.012547	0.052753	1.170724	
b4:X4	0.006211	0.004095	1.516989	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.133261	-0.00194	0.014361	1.263933	

Standard Regression Coefficient									
	Estimate	SE							
b1:X1	-0.23731	0.095303		X1	<i>per capita green tea consumption</i>				
b2:X2	-0.2833	0.099378		X2	Population density				
b3:X3	0.332556	0.10287		X3	%population aged above 65				
b4:X4	0.162146	0.106886		X4	urban population % of total				
				Y	Log(deaths/million).				

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(deaths/million) as a dependent variable and four independent variables are shown. N=84 (excluding countries with HDI below 0.55).

In these and the following Tables the values are copy-pasted from 'KyPlot' software (used for multiple regression analysis). Morbidity and mortality per million of population were transformed into the common logarithm (log10) to adjust for normality of the distribution as suggested earlier [34].

Table S3.

A. Higher *per capita* green tea consumption is still associated with lower COVID-19 morbidity in the model accounting for Human Development Index (HDI).

Regression Coefficient

	Estimate	SE	t(cal)		P(T<=t(cal))	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	VIF (Variance Inflation Factor)
b0	2.436435	0.589458	4.13335	*** (P<=0.001)	8.93E-05	1.262915	3.609955	
b1:X1	-0.00064	0.000252	-2.55971	* (P<=0.05)	0.012409	-0.00115	-0.00014	1.005783
b2:X2	-0.00013	5.48E-05	-2.32254	* (P<=0.05)	0.022813	-0.00024	-1.82E-05	1.099254
b3:X3	0.018602	0.014094	1.319872	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.190738	-0.00946	0.046662	2.606506
b4:X4	0.006203	0.005049	1.22858	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.222923	-0.00385	0.016254	2.196813
b5:X5	2.26033	1.115159	2.026913	* (P<=0.05)	0.046088	0.040219	4.48044	4.314287

Standard Regression Coefficient

	Estimate	SE		
b1:X1	-0.21912	0.085604	X1	<i>per capita green tea consumption</i>
b2:X2	-0.20785	0.089493	X2	population density
b3:X3	0.181888	0.137807	X3	%population aged above 65
b4:X4	0.155433	0.126514	X4	urban population % of total
b5:X5	0.359362	0.177295	X5	HDI
			Y	Log(cases/million)

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(cases/million) as dependent variable and five independent variables are shown. N=84 (the same as in Table S2 A).

B. Higher *per capita* green tea consumption is still associated with lower COVID-19 mortality in the model accounting for Human Development Index (HDI).

Regression Coefficient

	Estimate	SE	t(cal)		P(T<=t(cal))	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	VIF (Variance Inflation Factor)
b0	2.040701	0.634184	3.217839	** (P<=0.01)	1.88E-03	7.78E-01	3.303264	
b1:X1	-0.00067	0.000271	-2.46852	* (P<=0.05)	0.015755	-0.00121	-0.00013	1.01E+00
b2:X2	-0.00017	5.89E-05	-2.83709	** (P<=0.01)	0.005799	-0.00028	-4.99E-05	1.10E+00
b3:X3	0.030836	0.015164	2.033569	* (P<=0.05)	0.045396	0.000648	0.061025	2.606506
b4:X4	0.005641	0.005432	1.038524	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.302235	-0.00517	0.016454	2.196813
b5:X5	0.193359	1.199773	0.161163	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.872382	-2.19521	2.581925	4.314287

Standard Regression Coefficient

	Estimate	SE		
b1:X1	-0.23683	0.095942		<i>per capita green tea consumption</i>
b2:X2	-0.284562	0.1003006		population density
b3:X3	0.314082	0.154449		%population aged above 65
b4:X4	0.147254	0.141792		urban population % of total
b5:X5	0.032024	0.198705		HDI
				Log(deaths/million)

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(deaths/million) as dependent variable and five independent variables are shown. N=84 (the same as in Table S2 B).

Table S4.

A. Transformation of per capita green tea consumption into common logarithm appears to increase strength of correlation between this variable and COVID-19 morbidity.

Regression Coefficient					Lower	Upper	VIF (Variance	
	Estimate	SE	t(cal)	P(T<=t(cal))	95%	95%	Inflation Factor)	
b0	3.287411	0.471139	6.977588	*** (P<=0.001)	8.69E-10	2.349446	4.225375	
b1:X1	-0.30963	0.096074	-3.22286	** (P<=0.01)	0.001853	-0.5009	-0.11836	1.178894
b2:X2	-2.14E-05	6.40E-05	-0.33454	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.738873	-0.00015	0.000106	1.089964
b3:X3	-0.00772	0.014585	-0.52938	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.598049	-0.03676	0.021315	2.081683
b4:X4	-0.00032	0.005869	-0.05371	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.957307	-0.012	0.011368	2.52902
b5:X5	2.605137	1.022826	2.546999	* (P<=0.05)	0.012833	0.568847	4.641426	4.225381

Standard Regression Coefficient			
	Estimate	SE	
b1:X1	-0.35043	0.108734	X1 Log(per capita green tea consumption)
b2:X2	-0.03498	0.104552	X2 population density
b3:X3	-0.07649	0.144489	X3 %population aged above 65
b4:X4	-0.00855	0.159259	X4 urban population % of total
b5:X5	0.524311	0.205854	X5 HDI
			Y Log(cases/million)

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(cases/million) as dependent variable and five independent variables are shown. N=84 (the same as in Table S3 A).

B. Transformation of per capita green tea consumption into common logarithm appears to increase strength of correlation between this variable and COVID-19 mortality.

Regression Coefficient					Lower	Upper	VIF (Variance	
	Estimate	SE	t(cal)	P(T<=t(cal))	95%	95%	Inflation Factor)	
b0	1.666085	0.612332	2.720884	** (P<=0.01)	0.008025	0.447025	2.885146	
b1:X1	-0.30455	0.084934	-3.58574	*** (P<=0.001)	0.000584	-0.47364	-0.13546	1.14004
b2:X2	-0.00016	5.68E-05	-2.79735	** (P<=0.01)	0.006487	-0.00027	-4.58E-05	1.102937
b3:X3	0.025814	0.014667	1.760066	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.082317	-0.00338	0.055014	2.634635
b4:X4	0.002888	0.005265	0.548582	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.584859	-0.00759	0.013369	2.229886
b5:X5	1.438983	1.197813	1.201342	N.S. (P>0.05)	0.233254	-0.94568	3.823645	4.646077

Standard Regression Coefficient			
	Estimate	SE	
b1:X1	-0.35237	0.098269	Log(per capita green tea consumption)
b2:X2	-0.27038	0.096657	population density
b3:X3	0.262933	0.149388	%population aged above 65
b4:X4	0.075394	0.137435	urban population % of total
b5:X5	0.238323	0.19838	HDI
			Log(deaths/million)

Main values obtained in the multiple regression model with Log(deaths/million) as dependent variable and five independent variables are shown. N=84 (the same as in Table S3 B).