Cramér–Rao and Moment-Entropy Inequalities for Renyi Entropy and Generalized Fisher Information

Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang

Abstract—The moment-entropy inequality shows that a continuous random variable with given second moment and maximal Shannon entropy must be Gaussian. Stam's inequality shows that a continuous random variable with given Fisher information and minimal Shannon entropy must also be Gaussian. The Cramér–Rao inequality is a direct consequence of these two inequalities.

In this paper, the inequalities above are extended to Renyi entropy, pth moment, and generalized Fisher information. Generalized Gaussian random densities are introduced and shown to be the extremal densities for the new inequalities. An extension of the Cramér–Rao inequality is derived as a consequence of these moment and Fisher information inequalities.

Index Terms—Entropy, Fisher information, information measure, information theory, moment, Renyi entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE moment-entropy inequality shows that a continuous random variable with given second moment and maximal Shannon entropy must be Gaussian (see, for example, [1, Theorem 9.6.5]). This follows from the nonnegativity of the relative entropy of two continuous random variables. In this paper, we introduce the notion of relative Renyi entropy for two random variables and show that it is always nonnegative. We identify the probability distributions that have maximal Renyi entropy with given pth moment and call them generalized Gaussians.

In his proof of the Shannon entropy power inequality, Stam [2] shows that a continuous random variable with given Fisher information and minimal Shannon entropy must be Gaussian. We introduce below a generalized form of Fisher information associated with Renyi entropy and that is, in some sense, dual to the *p*th moment. A generalization of Stam's inequality is established. The probability distributions that have maximal Renyi entropy with given generalized Fisher information are the generalized Gaussians.

The Cramér–Rao inequality (see, for example, [1, Theorem 12.11.1]) states that the second moment of a continuous random variable is bounded from below by the reciprocal of its Fisher information. We use the moment and Fisher information inequalities to establish a generalization of the Cramér–Rao inequality, where a lower bound is obtained for the *p*th moment of a continuous random variable in terms of its generalized Fisher in-

Manuscript received October 29, 2002; revised October 10, 2004. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-0104363.

The authors are with the Department of Mathematics, Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY 11021 USA (e-mail: elutwak@poly.edu; dyang@poly.edu; gzhang@poly.edu).

Communicated by İ. E. Telatar, Associate Editor for Shannon Theory. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIT.2004.840871

formation. Again, the generalized Gaussians are the extremal distributions.

Analogues for convex and star bodies of the moment entropy, Fisher information ntropy, and Cramér–Rao inequalities had been established earlier by the authors [3]–[7]

II. DEFINITIONS

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise indicated, all integrals are with respect to Lebesgue measure over the real line \mathbb{R} . All densities are probability densities on \mathbb{R} .

A. Entropy

The Shannon entropy of a density f is defined to be

$$h[f] = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f \log f \tag{1}$$

provided that the integral above exists. For $\lambda > 0$, the λ -Renyi entropy power of a density is defined to be

$$N_{\lambda}[f] = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \\ e^{h[f]}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

provided that the integral above exists. Observe that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1} N_{\lambda}[f] = N_1[f].$$

The λ -Renyi entropy of a density f is defined to be

$$h_{\lambda}[f] = \log N_{\lambda}[f].$$

The entropy $h_{\lambda}[f]$ is continuous in λ and, by the Hölder inequality, decreasing in λ . It is strictly decreasing, unless f is a uniform density.

B. Relative Entropy

Given two densities $f,g:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, their relative Shannon entropy or *Kullback–Leibler distance* [11]–[13] (also, see [1, p. 231]) is defined by

$$h_1[f,g] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \log\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)$$
 (3)

provided that the integral above exists. Given $\lambda > 0$ and two densities f and g, we define the relative λ -Renyi entropy power of f and g as follows. If $\lambda \neq 1$, then

$$N_{\lambda}[f,g] = \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda-1} f\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda(1-\lambda)}}} \tag{4}$$

and, if $\lambda = 1$, then

$$N_1[f,g] = e^{h_1[f,g]}$$

provided in both cases that the right-hand side exists. Define the λ -Renyi relative entropy of f and g by

$$h_{\lambda}[f,g] = \log N_{\lambda}[f,g].$$

Observe that $h_{\lambda}[f,g]$ is continuous in λ .

Lemma 1: If f and g are densities such that $h_{\lambda}[f]$, $h_{\lambda}[g]$, and $h_{\lambda}[f,g]$ are finite, then

$$h_{\lambda}[f,g] \geq 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if f = g.

Proof: The case $\lambda=1$ is well known (see, for example, [1, p. 234]). The remaining cases are a direct consequence of the Hölder inequality. If $\lambda>1$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda - 1} f \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

and if $\lambda < 1$, then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (g^{\lambda - 1} f)^{\lambda} g^{\lambda (1 - \lambda)}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda - 1} f \right)^{\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g^{\lambda} \right)^{1 - \lambda}.$$

The equality conditions follow from the equality conditions of the Hölder inequality. \Box

C. The pth Moment

For $p \in (0, \infty)$ define pth moment of a density f to be

$$\mu_p[f] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p f(x) \, dx \tag{5}$$

provided that the integral above exists. For $p \in [0, \infty]$ define the pth deviation by

$$\sigma_p[f] = \begin{cases} \exp\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \log|x| \, dx\right), & \text{if } p = 0\\ (\mu_p[f])^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } 0 0\}, & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}$$
 (6)

provided in each case that the right side is finite. The deviation $\sigma_p[f]$ is continuous in p and, by the Hölder inequality, strictly increasing in p.

D. The (p, λ) th Fisher Information

Recall that the classical Fisher information [14]–[16] of a density $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\phi_{2,1}[f]^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{-1}|f'|^2$$

provided f is absolutely continuous, and the integral exists. If $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote the (p, λ) th Fisher information

of a density f by $\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]$ and define it as follows. If $p\in(1,\infty)$, let $q\in(1,\infty]$ satisfy $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$, and define

$$\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]^{q\lambda} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^{\lambda-2}f'|^q f \tag{7}$$

provided that f is absolutely continuous, and the norm above is finite. If p=1, then $\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]^{\lambda}$ is defined to be the essential supremum of $|f^{\lambda-2}f'|$ on the support of f, provided f is absolutely continuous, and the essential supremum is finite. If $p=\infty$, then $\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]^{\lambda}$ is defined to be the total variation of f^{λ}/λ , provided that f^{λ} has bounded variation (see, for example, [17] for a definition of "bounded variation").

Note that our definition of generalized Fisher information has a different normalization than the standard definition. In particular, the classical Fisher information corresponds to the square of (2,1)th Fisher information, as defined above.

The Fisher information $\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]$ is continuous in (p,λ) . For a given λ it is, by the Hölder inequality, decreasing in p.

E. Generalized Gaussian Densities

Given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$t_+ = \max\{t, 0\}.$$

Let

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{t-1} e^{-x} \, dx$$

denote the Gamma function, and let

$$\beta(a,b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

denote the Beta function.

For each $p \in [0,\infty]$ and $\lambda > 1-p$, we define the corresponding generalized Gaussian density $G: \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ as follows. If $p \in (0,\infty)$, then G is defined by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} a_{p,\lambda} (1 + (1 - \lambda)|x|^p)_+^{\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \\ a_{p,1} e^{-|x|^p}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$
(8)

where

$$a_{p,\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{p(1-\lambda)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{2\beta(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{1-\lambda}-\frac{1}{p})}, & \text{if } \lambda < 1, \\ \frac{p}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \frac{p(\lambda-1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{2\beta(\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{1-\lambda})}, & \text{if } \lambda > 1. \end{cases}$$

If p = 0 and $\lambda > 1$, then G is defined for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$G(x) = a_{0,\lambda}(-\log|x|)_{+}^{\frac{1}{\lambda-1}}$$

where

$$a_{0,\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1})}.$$

If $p = \infty$ and $\lambda > 0$, then G is defined by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & \text{if } |x| \le 1\\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

For consistency we shall also denote $a_{\infty,\lambda} = 1/2$. For t > 0, define $G_t : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ by

$$G_t(x) = G(x/t)/t. (9)$$

Nagy [8] established a family of sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities on \mathbb{R} and their equality conditions. His results can be used to prove Theorem 3 and identify the generalized Gaussians as the extremal densities for the inequalities proved in this paper. Later, Barenblatt [9] showed that the generalized Gaussians are also the self-similar solutions of the L^p porous media and fast diffusion equations. Generalized Gaussians are also the one-dimensional versions of the extremal functions for sharp Sobolev, log-Sobolev, and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities (see, for example, [10]).

F. Information Measures of Generalized Gaussians

If $0 and <math>\lambda > 1/(1+p)$, the λ -Renyi entropy power of the generalized Gaussian G defined by (8) is given by

$$N_{\lambda}[G] = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p\lambda}{p\lambda + \lambda - 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} a_{p,\lambda}^{-1}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1\\ e^{\frac{1}{p}} a_{p,1}^{-1}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1. \end{cases}$$

If p = 0 and $\lambda > 1$, then

$$N_{\lambda}[G] = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 - \lambda}} a_{0, \lambda}^{-1}.$$

If $p = \infty$ and $\lambda > 0$, then

$$N_{\lambda}[G] = 2. \tag{10}$$

If $0 and <math>\lambda > 1/(1+p)$, then the pth deviation of G is given by

$$\sigma_p[G] = (p\lambda + \lambda - 1)^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

If p = 0 and $\lambda > 1$, then

$$\sigma_0[G] = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}}.$$

If $p = \infty$, then

$$\sigma_{\infty}[G] = 1.$$

If $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\lambda > 1/(1+p)$, then the (p,λ) th Fisher information of the generalized Gaussian G is given by

$$\phi_{p,\lambda}[G]\!=\!\begin{cases} p^{1/\lambda}a_{p,\lambda}^{(\lambda-1)/\lambda}\left(p\lambda+\lambda-1\right)^{-(1-\frac{1}{p})/\lambda}, & \text{if } p\!<\!\infty,\\ 2^{(1-\lambda)/\lambda}/\lambda^{1/\lambda}, & \text{if } p\!=\!\infty. \end{cases}$$

In particular, observe that if $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\lambda > 1/(1+p)$, then

$$N_{\lambda}[G]^{1-\lambda} = \lambda \sigma_p[G]\phi_{p,\lambda}[G]^{\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

Observe that if $\lambda \neq 1$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda} = a_{p,\lambda}^{\lambda-1} (1 + (1 - \lambda)\mu_p[G]) \tag{12}$$

and if $\lambda = 1$, then

$$h[G] = -\log a_{p,1} + \mu_p[G]. \tag{13}$$

We will also need the following simple scaling identities:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_t^{\lambda} = t^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\sigma_p[G_t] = t\sigma_p[G]. \tag{15}$$

III. THE MOMENT INEQUALITY

It is well known that among all probability distributions with given second moment, the Gaussian is the unique distribution that maximizes the Shannon entropy. This follows from the positivity of the relative entropy of a given distribution and a Gaussian distribution of the same variance. This result is generalized to pth moments in [1, Ch. 11].

We show that a similar inequality for the pth moment and λ -Renyi entropy follows from the positivity of the λ -Renyi relative entropy of a given distribution and the appropriate extremal distribution with the same pth moment.

Theorem 2: Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a density. If $p \in [0, \infty]$, $\lambda > 1/(1+p)$, and $N_{\lambda}[f], \sigma_p[f] < \infty$, then

$$\frac{\sigma_p[f]}{N_{\lambda}[f]} \ge \frac{\sigma_p[G]}{N_{\lambda}[G]} \tag{16}$$

where G is given by (8). Equality holds if and only if $f = G_t$ for some $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof: For convenience, let $a = a_{p,\lambda}$. Let

$$t = \frac{\sigma_p[f]}{\sigma_p[G]}. (17)$$

First, consider the case $\lambda \neq 1$. If $p \in (0, \infty)$, then by (8) and (9), (5), (17), and (12)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_t^{\lambda - 1} f \ge a^{\lambda - 1} t^{1 - \lambda} + (1 - \lambda) a^{\lambda - 1} t^{1 - \lambda - p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p f(x) dx
= a^{\lambda - 1} t^{1 - \lambda} (1 + (1 - \lambda) t^{-p} \mu_p[f])
= a^{\lambda - 1} t^{1 - \lambda} (1 + (1 - \lambda) \mu_p[G])
= t^{1 - \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda}$$
(18)

where equality holds if $\lambda < 1$. For $p = \infty$ observe that f vanishes outside the interval [-t, t] and therefore by (8), (9), and (6)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_t^{\lambda - 1} f = a^{\lambda - 1} t^{-\lambda + 1} \int_{-t}^t f(x) dx$$

$$= a^{\lambda - 1} t^{-\lambda + 1}$$

$$= t^{-\lambda + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda}.$$
(19)

It follows that if $p \in (0, \infty]$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, then by Lemma 1, (4), (18), (19), and (14), as well as (17), we have

$$1 \leq N_{\lambda}[f, G_{t}]^{\lambda}$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{t}^{\lambda}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{1-\lambda}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{t}^{\lambda-1} f\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}}$$

$$\leq t \frac{N_{\lambda}[G]}{N_{\lambda}[f]}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{p}[f]}{N_{\lambda}[f]} \frac{N_{\lambda}[G]}{\sigma_{p}[G]}.$$
(20)

If $\lambda = 1$ and $p \in (0, \infty)$, then by Lemma 1, (3), (8), and (9), as well as (17) and (13), we have

$$0 \le h_1[f, G_t] = -h[f] - \log a + \log t + t^{-p}\mu_p[f] = h[G] - h[f] + \log \sigma_p[f] - \log \sigma_p[G].$$

If $\lambda=1$ and $p=\infty$, then by Lemma 1, (3), (1), (8), and (9), as well as (17), (1), and (6)

$$0 \le h_1[f, G_t]$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \log f - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \log G_t$$

$$= -h[f] - \log a + \log t$$

$$= -h[f] + h[G] + \log \sigma_{\infty}[f] - \log \sigma_{\infty}[G].$$

This gives inequality (16) for $p = \infty$.

If p = 0 and $\lambda > 1$, then from (8) and (6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda} = -a^{\lambda - 1} \log \sigma_0[G]. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, by (8) as well as (9), (6), (17), and (21)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_t^{\lambda - 1} f \ge a^{\lambda - 1} t^{-\lambda + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\log t - \log |x|) f(x) dx$$

$$= a^{\lambda - 1} t^{-\lambda + 1} (\log t - \log \sigma_0[f])$$

$$= -t^{-\lambda + 1} a^{\lambda - 1} \log \sigma_0[G]$$

$$= t^{-\lambda + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G^{\lambda}.$$

The inequality for p = 0 and $\lambda > 1$ now follows from (20).

In all cases, Lemma 1 shows that equality holds if and only if $f = G_t$.

A higher dimensional version of Theorem 2 was established by the authors in [7]. The case p=2 of Theorem 2 was also established independently by Costa, Hero, and Vignat [18].

It is also worth noting that Arikan [19] obtains a momententropy inequality for discrete random variables analogous to Theorem 2. His inequality, however, is for the limiting case $\lambda = 1/(1+p)$, where Theorem 2 does not apply.

IV. THE FISHER INFORMATION INEQUALITY

Stam's inequality [2] shows that among all probability distributions with given Fisher information, the unique distribution that minimizes Shannon entropy is Gaussian. The following theorem extends this fact to λ -Renyi entropy and (p,λ) th Fisher information.

Theorem 3: Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\lambda \in (1/(1+p), \infty)$, and $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be a density. If $p < \infty$, then f is assumed to be absolutely continuous; if $p = \infty$, then f^{λ} is assumed to have bounded variation. If $N_{\lambda}[f], \phi_{p,\lambda}[f] < \infty$, then

$$\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]N_{\lambda}[f] \ge \phi_{p,\lambda}[G]N_{\lambda}[G] \tag{22}$$

where G is the generalized Gaussian. Equality holds if and only if there exist t>0 and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x)=G_t(x-x_0)$, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

As mentioned earlier, Theorem 3, including its equality conditions, follows from sharp analytic inequalities established by Nagy [8]. Inequality (22) complements the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities on \mathbb{R}^n , with $n \geq 2$ and $n/(n-1) , established by Del Pino and Dolbeault [10] and generalized by Cordero, Nazaret, and Villani [20]. The proof presented here is inspired by the beautiful mass transportation proof of Cordero <math>et\ al.$ Observe, however, that there is no overlap between their inequalities and ours.

Before giving the proof of this theorem, we need a change of random variable formula and a lemma on integration by parts.

A. Change of Random Variable

Let X be a random variable with density f. Let the support of f be contained in an interval (S,T). Given an increasing absolutely continuous function $y:(S,T)\to\mathbb{R}$, the random variable Y=y(X) has density g, where

$$f(x) = g(y(x))y'(x)$$

for almost every x, and g(z)=0, for each $z\in\mathbb{R}\backslash y((S,T))$. Therefore, if $N_{\lambda}[g]<\infty$, then

$$N_{\lambda}[g] = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{S}^{T} f^{\lambda}(y')^{1-\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \\ e^{h[g]}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (23)

where

$$h[g] = h[f] + \int_{S}^{T} f(x) \log y'(x) dx.$$
 (24)

Similarly, if the pth moment of g is finite, then it is given by

$$\mu_p[g] = \int_S^T |y(x)|^p f(x) \, dx. \tag{25}$$

B. Integration by Parts

Lemma 4: Let $S,T\in[-\infty,\infty]$ and $f:(S,T)\to\mathbb{R}$ be an absolutely continuous function such that

$$\lim_{x \to S} f(x) = \lim_{x \to T} f(x) = 0.$$
 (26)

Let $g:(S,T)\to \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing absolutely continuous function such that

$$\lim_{t \to T} g(t) > 0$$

and the integral

$$\int_S^T f'g$$

is absolutely convergent. Then

$$\int_{S}^{T} fg' = -\int_{S}^{T} f'g.$$

Proof: It suffices to prove

$$\lim_{s \to S} f(s)g(s) = \lim_{t \to T} f(t)g(t) = 0.$$

The same proof works for both limits, so we will show only that the right limit vanishes.

$$0 = \lim_{t \to T} \int_{t}^{T} |f'(x)g(x)| dx$$

$$\geq \lim_{t \to T} |g(t)| \int_{t}^{T} |f'(x)| dx$$

$$\geq \lim_{t \to T} |g(t)| \left| \int_{t}^{T} f'(x) dx \right|$$

$$= \lim_{t \to T} |g(t)f(t)|.$$

C. Proof of Theorem 3

Let g be a density that is supported on an open interval (-R,R) for some $R \in (0,\infty]$. Let $S,T \in [-\infty,\infty]$ be such that (S,T) is the smallest interval containing the support of f. Define $g:(S,T) \to (-R,R)$ so that for each $x \in (S,T)$

$$\int_{S}^{x} f(s) \, ds = \int_{-R}^{y(x)} g(t) \, dt.$$

Observe that if X is a random variable with density f, then the random variable Y = y(X) has density g.

If $\lambda \neq 1$ and $p < \infty$, then by (2) and (23), Hölder's inequality, Lemma 4, Hölder's inequality again, and (6) and (7), we have

$$N_{\lambda}[f]^{-\lambda}N_{\lambda}[g] = \left(\int_{S}^{T} f^{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}} \left(\int_{S}^{T} f^{\lambda}(y')^{1-\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}$$

$$\leq \int_{S}^{T} f^{\lambda}y'$$

$$= -\int_{S}^{T} (f^{\lambda})'y$$

$$= -\lambda \int_{S}^{T} (yf^{1/p})(f^{\lambda-1-1/p}f')$$

$$\leq \lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{p}f\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^{\lambda-1-1/p}f'|^{q}\right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \lambda \sigma_{p}[g]\phi_{p,\lambda}[f]^{\lambda}$$

$$(27)$$

where q is the Hölder conjugate of p.

If $\lambda=1$ and $p<\infty$, then by (24), Jensen's inequality, Lemma 4, Hölder's inequality, and (6) and (7), we have

$$h[g] = h[f] + \int_{S}^{T} f \log y'$$

$$\leq h[f] + \log \int_{S}^{T} f y'$$

$$= h[f] + \log \int_{S}^{T} -f'y'$$

$$\leq h[f] + \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\log f)'|^q f \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^p f \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= h[f] + \log \phi_{p,1}[f] \sigma_p[g], \tag{28}$$

where q is the Hölder conjugate of p.

By the equality conditions of the Hölder inequality, equality holds for (27) and (28), only if there exist for $c_1, c_2, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $y = c_1(x - x_0)$, and f satisfies the differential equation

$$(f(x)^{\lambda})' = c_2|x - x_0|^{p-2}(x - x_0)f(x).$$

This, in turn, implies that there exist $\tau, t > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g = G_{\tau}$ and $f(x) = G_t(x - x_0)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, by (11), equality always holds for (22) if $f = G_t$.

If $p=\infty$, let g be compactly supported on the interval (-R,R) with $R<\infty$, and extend the domain of y to the entire real line by setting y(x)=-R for all $x\in(-\infty,S]$ and y(x)=R for all $x\in[T,\infty)$. Following the same line of reasoning as (27), we get

$$N_{\lambda}[f]^{-\lambda}N_{\lambda}[g] \leq -\int_{S}^{T} (f^{\lambda})'y$$
$$\leq R \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(f^{\lambda})'|$$
$$= \lambda \sigma_{\infty}[g] \phi_{\infty,\lambda}[f]^{\lambda}.$$

Equality holds if and only if there exist $c_1, c_2, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $y = c_1(x - x_0)$, and |y| is constant on the support of $(f^{\lambda})'$. This is possible only if $S, T < \infty$, and f is a uniform density for the interval [S, T]. In other words, $f = G_t$, for some $t \in (0, \infty)$.

V. THE CRAMÉR-RAO INEQUALITY

The following theorem generalizes the classical Cramér–Rao inequality [21], [22] (also, see [1, Theorem 12.11.1]).

Theorem 5: Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\lambda \in (1/(1+p), \infty)$, and f be a density. If $p < \infty$, then f is assumed to be absolutely continuous; if $p = \infty$, then f^{λ} is assumed to have bounded variation. If $\sigma_p[f], \phi_{p,\lambda}[f] < \infty$, then

$$\sigma_p[f]\phi_{p,\lambda}[f] \ge \sigma_p[G]\phi_{p,\lambda}[G].$$

Equality holds if and only if $f = G_t$, for some t > 0.

The inequality is a direct consequence of (16) and (22).

VI. INEQUALITIES FOR SHANNON AND QUADRATIC ENTROPY

The case p=1 and $\lambda=1$ of these theorems give the following.

Corollary 6: If $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous density with finite Shannon entropy, first moment, and (1,1)th Fisher information, then

$$(\sup |(\log f)'|)^{-1} \le \frac{N_1[f]}{2e} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| f(x) dx.$$

Equality holds for the first inequality if and only if there exist t>0 and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2t}e^{-|x-x_0|/t} \tag{29}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Equality holds for the second inequality if and only if there exists t > 0 such that (29) holds with $x_0 = 0$.

The cases p = 1, 2 and $\lambda = 2$ give the following inequalities for quadratic entropy.

Corollary 7: If $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous density with finite 2-Renyi entropy, first moment, and (1,2)th Fisher information, then

$$\frac{2}{9} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| f(x) \, dx \right)^{-1} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 \le \frac{2}{3} \left(\sup |f'| \right)^{1/2}.$$

Equality holds for the left inequality if and only if there exist t > 0 and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = (1 - |x - x_0|/t)_+/t \tag{30}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Equality holds for the right inequality if and only if there exists t > 0 such that (30) holds with $x_0 = 0$.

Corollary 8: If $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous density with finite 2-Renyi entropy, second moment, and (2,2)th Fisher information, then

$$\frac{3}{5^{3/2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 f(x) \, dx \right)^{-1/2} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 \le \frac{6^{1/2}}{5^{3/4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f')^2 f \right)^{1/4}.$$

Equality holds for the left inequality if and only if there exist t>0 and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = (1 - |x - x_0|^2/t^2)_+/t \tag{31}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Equality holds for the right inequality if and only if there exists t > 0 such that (31) holds with $x_0 = 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Prof. Wenxiong Chen, the referees, and the Associate Editor for their careful reading of an earlier draft of this paper and valuable suggestions for improving the paper.

REFERENCES

- T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, Elements of Information Theory. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1991.
- [2] A. J. Stam, "Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon," *Inf. Contr.*, vol. 2, pp. 101–112, 1959.

- [3] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, "L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities," J. Differential Geom., vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 111–132, 2000.
- [4] O. G. Guleryuz, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, "Information-the-oretic inequalities for contoured probability distributions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 2377–2383, Aug. 2002.
- [5] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, "The Cramér–Rao inequality for star bodies," *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 59–81, 2002.
- [6] —, "Sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequalities," *J. Differential Geom.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 17–38, 2002.
- [7] —, "Moment-entropy inequalities," *Ann. Probab.*, vol. 32, pp. 757–774, 2004.
- [8] B. v. S. Nagy, "Über integralungleichungen zwischen einer Funktion und ihrer Ableitung," Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math., vol. 10, pp. 64–74, 1941
- [9] G. I. Barenblatt, "On self-similar motions of a compressible fluid in a porous medium," *Akad. Nauk SSSR. Prikl. Mat. Meh.*, vol. 16, pp. 679–698, 1952.
- [10] M. Del Pino and J. Dolbeault, "Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions," *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), vol. 81, no. 9, pp. 847–875, 2002.
- [11] S. Kullback and R. A. Leibler, "On information and sufficiency," Ann. Math. Statist., vol. 22, pp. 79–86, 1951.
- [12] I. Csiszár, "Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observations," *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, vol. 2, pp. 299–318, 1967.
- [13] S.-i. Amari, Differential-Geometrical Methods in Statistics (Lecture Notes in Statistics). New York: Springer-Verlag, 1985, vol. 28.
- [14] R. A. Fisher, "Theory of statistical estimation," *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, vol. 222, pp. 309–368, 1930.
- [15] J. L. Doob, "Probability and statistics," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 759–775, 1934.
- [16] J. M. Borwein, A. S. Lewis, and D. Noll, "Maximum entropy reconstruction using derivative information. I. Fisher information and convex duality," *Math. Oper. Res.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 442–468, 1996.
- [17] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [18] J. A. Costa, A. O. Hero, and C. Vignat, "A characterization of the multivariate distributions maximizing Renyi entropy," in *Proc. 2002 IEEE Int. Symp. Information Theory*, Lausanne, Switzerland, Jun./Jul, 2002, p. 263.
- [19] E. Arikan, "An inequality on guessing and its application to sequential decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 99–105, Jan. 1996.
- [20] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani, "A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities," *Adv. Math.*, vol. 182, no. 2, pp. 307–332, 2004.
- [21] H. Cramér, Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 1999, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics.
- [22] C. R. Rao, "Information and the accuracy attainable in the estimation of statistical parameters," *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, vol. 37, pp. 81–91, 1945