### Article

# CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of VvbZIP36 promotes anthocyanin accumulation in grapevine (Vitis vinifera)

Mingxing Tu<sup>1,2</sup>, Jinghao Fang<sup>2,3</sup>, Ruikang Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xingyu Liu<sup>1</sup>, Wuchen Yin<sup>2,3</sup>, Ya Wang<sup>2,3</sup>, Xianhang Wang<sup>1,\*</sup>, Xiping Wang<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Yulin Fang<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Enology, College of Food Science and Engineering, Viti-viniculture Engineering Technology Center of State Forestry and Grassland Administration, Shaanxi Engineering Research Center for Viti-Viniculture, Heyang Viti-Viniculture Station, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology in Arid Areas, College of Horticulture, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology and Germplasm Innovation in Northwest China, Ministry of Agriculture, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China

\*Corresponding authors: E-mail wangxianhang@nwafu.edu.cn; wangxiping@nwafu.edu.cn; fangyulin@nwafu.edu.cn

#### Abstract

Anthocyanins are plant secondary metabolites that have a variety of biological functions, including pigmentation. The accumulation of anthocyanins is regulated by both transcriptional activators and repressors. Studies have shown that bZIP family members act primarily as positive regulators of anthocyanin biosynthesis, but there are few reports of negative regulation. Here, we report that a grapevine (Vitis *vinifera*) bZIP gene from group K, VvbZIP36, acts as a negative regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis. Knocking out one allele of VvbZIP36 in grapevine with CRISPR/Cas9 promoted anthocyanin accumulation. Correlation analysis of transcriptome and metabolome data showed that a range of anthocyanin biosynthesis genes were activated in VvbZIP36 mutant plants relative to the wild type, resulting in the accumulation of related metabolites, including naringenin chalcone, naringenin, dihydroflavonols, and cyanidin 3-O-glucoside. The synthesis of stilbenes ( $\alpha$ -viniferin), lignans, and some flavonols (including quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside) was significantly inhibited, and several genes linked to their metabolism were downregulated in the mutant plants. In summary, our results demonstrate that VvbZIP36 is a negative regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis of stilbenes ( $\alpha$ -viniferin), lignans, flavonols, and anthocyanins.

### Introduction

Flavonoids are a family of specialized plant metabolites that includes flavonols, flavones, proanthocyanidins (PAs; also called condensed tannins), and anthocyanins [1]. They accumulate in different organs and tissues of a wide range of plants and have a variety of biological functions [1]. For example, flavonols and PAs provide protection from UV radiation and fungal invasion, respectively [2], and anthocyanins contribute pigmentation to flowers and fruits, thereby attracting insects and animals and promoting pollen and seed dispersal [3]. Flavonoids have also been associated with enhanced resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses [4]. There is increasing evidence that dietary anthocyanins act as powerful antioxidants with beneficial effects on human health, such as the prevention of cardiovascular disease, obesity, diabetes, and cancer [5].

Flavonols, Pas, and anthocyanins are all end-products of the flavonoid pathway, which has been well characterized in many species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* 

[6], tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) [7], strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) [8], peach (Prunus persica) [9], pear (Pyrus communis) [10], and grapevine [11]. The flavonoid biosynthetic pathways in these species share common steps, including chalcone synthase (CHS), chalcone isomerase (CHI), flavanone 3-hydroxylase (F3H), and flavonoid 3'-hydroxylase (F3'H) [2]. Subsequently, the formation of flavonols and leucoanthocyanidins from dihydroflavonols is catalyzed by flavonol synthase (FLS) and dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), respectively [12]. Anthocyanidin synthase (ANS) then converts leucoanthocyanidins to anthocyanidins [12], which are used as substrates by UDP-glucose flavonoid 3-O-glucosyltransferase (UFGT), glutathione S-transferase (GST), and anthocyanin 3-O-glucoside-6"-O-acyltransferase (3AT) to synthesize anthocyanins [11]. PAs are polymers made from flavan-3-ol units, catechin, and epicatechin, which are synthesized from leucoanthocyanidins by leucoanthocyanidin reductase (LAR) and anthocyanidin reductase (ANR) [13].

Received: 15 November 2021; Accepted: 24 January 2022; Published: 20 February 2022; Corrected and Typeset: 7 June 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nanjing Agricultural University. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The biosynthesis of flavonoids is controlled by a complex network of structural and regulatory genes. Many transcriptional activators involved in regulating flavonoid biosynthesis, especially anthocyanins and PAs, have been identified in various species. These include AtMYB113 and AtMYB114 (A. thaliana) [14], MdBBX22 and MdHY5 (bZIP family genes from apple; Malus domestica) [15], FubHLH9 (strawberry) [16], PpMYB10 and PpbHLH64 (pear) [17], PpNAC1 and PpMYB10.1 (peach) [18], and VvMYBA1, VvMYBA2, and VvMYBPA1 (grapevine) [11, 19]. It has also been determined in multiple species that a transcriptional activation complex of R2R3-MYB, bHLH, and WD-repeat (WD40) proteins regulates the expression of flavonoid biosynthetic genes [4]. In addition to transcriptional activators, transcriptional repressors have been shown to play important roles in regulating flavonoid biosynthesis pathways. For example, heterologous overexpression of strawberry FaMYB1 in Lotus corniculatus inhibits the expression of LAR and ANR and significantly reduces the content of PAs in leaves [20]; the poplar (Populus) MYB182 protein significantly reduces the content of anthocyanins and PAs in poplar by reducing the expression of DFR1, ANS1, and ANR1 [21]; and PpMYB18 acts as a negative regulator, balancing the accumulation of anthocyanins and PAs during peach fruit ripening and at juvenile stages of development [13].

Grapevines (Vitis vinifera) are economically important fruit-producing plants rich in flavonoids [22]. The regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis by transcription factors (TFs) has been studied in grapevine, including analyses of VuMYBPA1/VuMYBPA2, VuMYBA1/VuMYBA2, VuiMYB86, VvBBX44, and VvWRKY26 [1,11,19,23-25]. For example, VvMYBPA1 or VvMYBPA2 activate the expression of VvANR and VvLAR and promote PA accumulation [1, 19]. Overexpression of VuMYBA1 activates the transcription of Vv3AT in the white grape variety Chardonnay, causing the fruit to accumulate anthocyanins and turn red, whereas silencing of VvMYBA1 or VvMYBA2 in the red grape variety Shiraz results in a significant decrease in fruit anthocyanin content [11]. Notably, bZIP family genes, especially HY5, have also been shown to play key roles in the regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis in many species, including Arabidopsis [26], apple [27], tomato [28], pear [29], and strawberry [16]. However, there are only a few related studies of bZIP TFs in grapevine [30, 31]. Characterization of the regulation of grapevine flavonoid biosynthesis has mostly centered on gain-offunction experiments, and there are few loss-of-function studies. Thus, much remains to be learned about the transcriptional regulation of flavonoids by bZIP TFs in grapevine, and in this regard, the analysis of new functional knockouts of genes of interest represents a promising experimental strategy.

Genome editing technology has proven effective for loss-of-function research in many horticulturally important crops, such as tomato, tobacco, rice (*Oryza sativa*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), and maize (*Zea mays*) [32]. There have been far fewer such studies of fruit tree crops, with the limited examples being grapevine [33], citrus [34], and apple [35]; this is mainly due to their longer genetic transformation cycle and lower transformation efficiency. In citrus, targeted editing of the disease susceptibility gene CsLOB1 increased resistance to citrus canker [36], and targeting the promoter region of this gene also produced disease-resistant plants [34]. In apple, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) were successfully delivered to apple protoplasts to edit DIPM-1, DIPM-2, and DIPM-4, thereby increasing fire blight resistance [35]. In grapevine, VvWRKY52, VvMLO3, and VvPR4b were successfully mutated using CRISPR/Cas9, increasing resistance to Botrytis cinerea, downy mildew, and powdery mildew, respectively [37–39].

In the current study, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to target the grapevine bZIP family gene *VvbZIP36* and found that it contributes to the regulation of anthocyanin levels by balancing the synthesis of stilbenes ( $\alpha$ -viniferin), lignans, flavonols, and anthocyanins.

#### Results

#### Bioinformatic analysis of VvbZIP36

In previous studies, 47 bZIP TF-encoding genes were identified from grapevine (V. vinifera) [40]. Tissue-specific expression analysis showed that the bZIP gene VvbZIP36 has high expression in leaves, stems, flowers, tendrils, and especially fruits [40]. In this study, we found that *VvbZIP36* is expressed in the roots, tendrils, stems, leaves, and fruit of grapevine, with the highest expression in mature stems, leaves at fruit set, and senescent leaves, as well as ripening fruit (Fig. 1A), similar to a previous study. According to a phylogenetic analysis using bZIP TF sequences from Arabidopsis and rice, we found that VvbZIP36 was classified in the K subgroup as a homolog of AtbZIP60 and OsbZIP74, which encode proteins with both a bZIP domain and a trans-membrane domain (TMD) [40]. Previous studies showed that both AtbZIP60 and OsbZIP74 play important roles in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response in Arabidopsis and rice, respectively [41, 42]. To further analyze the group K bZIP TFs, we searched the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.go v/Blast.cgi) database for VvbZIP36 homologs and found 25 sequences from other species, all of which contained the bZIP domain and a putative TMD (Fig. S1). When analyzing their phylogenetic relationships, as shown in Fig. 1B, we found that VvbZIP36 was most closely related to Camellia sinensis bZIP18 and Ipomoea nil bZIP60.

## Knocking out one allele of *VvbZIP36* promotes the accumulation of anthocyanins in grapevine

In our previous study, we successfully knocked out one allele of *VvbZIP36* in grapevine (Thompson Seedless) using the CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system and obtained one mutant grapevine plant (KO45) [43]. No off-target events were identified in KO45, as determined



**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic analysis of VvbZIP36 and expression analysis of VvbZIP36. **A** Tissue-specific expression analysis of VvbZIP36 based on the Grape eFP Browser (http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp\_grape/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi). The color intensity represents the level of expression. **B** Phylogenetic tree showing the relationship between VvbZIP36 and homologs from other species. All the protein sequences (*Abrus precatorius* bZIP50 [XP\_027366621.1], *Arabidopsis thaliana* bZIP60 [OAP18538.1], *Camellia sinensis* bZIP18 [ALL97705.1], *Carica papaya* bZIP60-like [XP\_021909218.1], *Citrus clementina* bZIP60 [XP\_006473869.1], *Eucalyptus grandis* bZIP60 [XP\_010063178.2], *Gossypium hirsutum* bZIP60 [XP\_016748430.1], *Herrania umbratica* bZIP60 [XP\_021283978.1], *Hevea brasiliensis* bZIP60 [XP\_021646411.1], *Ipomoea nil* bZIP60 [XP\_019172061.1], *Jatropha curcas* bZIP60 [NP\_0102292941.1], *Juglans regia* bZIP60-like [XP\_010270593.1], Pistaci vera bZIP60 [XP\_031270269.1], Populus alba bZIP60 [XP\_034931370.1], *Punica granatum* bZIP60-like [XP\_031377447.1], *Quercus lobata* bZIP60 [XP\_030951078.1], *Quercus suber* bZIP60 [XP\_02398417.1], *Ricinus communis* bZIP60 [XP\_032951078.1], *Quercus suber* bZIP60 [XP\_023981.1], *Intersectional bZIP60* [XP\_015880016.1]) were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

by whole-genome sequencing (WGS) [43]. Subsequently, through continuous identification of regenerated lines, 7 transgenic positive plants were identified from 22 regenerated plants (Fig. S2). Among them, the mutant line KO21 was found by Sanger sequencing. As shown in Fig. 2A, we designed four CRISPR/Cas9 sgRNAs for the first exon of *VvbZIP36* [43], but only target 1 was mutated in KO21 and KO45. In addition, the KO21 and KO45 lines were found to contain monoallelic mutations, with a base insertion in KO21 and a base deletion in KO45.

We observed that the leaves of KO21 and KO45 turned red (Fig. 2B) and hypothesized that *VvbZIP36* plays a role in regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis. We therefore collected leaves from the mutant lines (KO21 and KO45) and the wild type (WT) to determine the total anthocyanin contents. As shown in Fig. 2C, D, the anthocyanin levels in the leaves of both KO21 and KO45 were significantly higher than those in the WT.

# Anthocyanin biosynthetic genes are differentially expressed between KO45 and WT plants

To further analyze the connection between VubZIP36 and anthocyanin biosynthesis, we performed an RNAseq analysis of KO45 (no off-target events certified by WGS [43]) and WT leaves from plants grown in a growth chamber under normal conditions. The results of normalized cluster analysis and Pearson's correlation analysis show that the biological replicates of each group were highly correlated (Pearson coefficient > 0.9; Fig. S3). A total of 4103 DEGs were identified between KO45 and the WT, including 1965 upregulated and 2138 downregulated genes (Fig. S4). As shown in Fig. 3A, the gene ontology MapMan analysis revealed that most of the downregulated DEGs were related to "photosynthesis", "tetrapyrrole", and "cell wall". By contrast, DEGs involved in "lipid synthesis", "fatty acid synthesis", "amino acid metabolism", and "reactive oxygen" were both



**Figure 2.** Knocking out one allele of VvbZIP36 promotes anthocyanin accumulation in grapevine. **A** Targeted mutagenesis of VvbZIP36 in transgenic lines. "+" represents insertions, and "-" represents deletions. The numbers before and after the "/" represent the number of mutant clones and the total number of clones sequenced. **B** Red leaf phenotype of seven-month-old transgenic (KO21 and KO45) and WT plants. Scale bar = 3 cm. **C** Anthocyanin solutions extracted from 0.3 g of KO21, KO45, and WT leaf tissue. **D** Anthocyanin content in KO21, KO45, and WT leaves. Values are means ± SE (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (\*\*P < 0.01, Student's t-test) between the transgenic and WT plants.

upregulated and downregulated. Finally, most of the DEGs related to phenolic compound metabolism were upregulated. This information is summarized in Supplementary Data S1.

Subsequently, we performed KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of the 4103 DEGs and found that "transcription factors" and the "mismatch repair", "secondary metabolite biosynthesis", "DNA replication", "phenylalanine metabolism", "flavonoid biosynthesis", and "phenylpropanoid biosynthesis" pathways were significantly enriched in the 1965 upregulated genes (Fig. 3B). By contrast, the "photosynthesis", "fatty acid elongation", "lipid", "vitamin and energy metabolism", "transporters", and "signal transduction" pathways were significantly enriched in the 2138 downregulated genes (Fig. 3C). Through further analysis, we found that most of the genes related to phenolic compounds were associated with the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway (Fig. S5).



Figure 3. MapMan and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the VvbZIP36 mutant line (KO45). A MapMan enrichment analysis of DEGs in the leaves of KO45 compared with the WT. The colored boxes indicate the log2 of the DEG expression ratios. **B**, **C** KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of genes that are expressed at higher levels (**B**) or lower levels (**C**) in leaves of KO45 compared with the WT. The red box represents the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway.

We identified 28 genes (including chalcone synthase, CHS; chalcone isomerase, CHI; flavanone 3-hydroxylase, F3H; flavonoid 3'-hydroxylase, F3'H; dihydroflavonol 4-reductase, DFR; flavonol synthase, FLS; and anthocyanidin synthase, ANS) related to flavonoid biosynthesis based on the KEGG and MapMan enrichment analysis results (Table 1).

## Metabolome profiling indicates that VvbZIP36 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in grapevine

To further investigate the function of *VubZIP36*, we compared the metabolomes of the leaves of transgenic (KO45) and WT plants. The results of normalized cluster analysis and Pearson's correlation analysis show that the biological replicates of each group are highly correlated (Pearson coefficient > 0.9; Fig. S6). A total of 347 differentially abundant metabolites between KO45 and the WT were identified and quantified; 185 were more abundant in KO45 than in the WT, and 162 were less abundant (Fig. S7). Of the top 20 metabolites showing higher levels in KO45, most were flavones, triterpene, flavanols, anthocyanidins, organic acids, and stilbenes, whereas the 20 that showed the greatest reduction were mainly flavonols, lignans, organic acids, amino acids and derivatives, and phenolic acids (Table 2).

KEGG enrichment analysis of the differentially abundant metabolites revealed terms that were mainly clustered around amino acids and derivatives, organic acids, lignans, lipids, stilbene, terpenoids, tannin, flavonoids, and phenolic acid pathways (Fig. S8). We noted that metabolites associated with lipids, organic acids, lignans, and amino acids and derivatives showed reduced levels in KO45 leaves, whereas metabolites related to terpenoid, stilbene, tannin, and flavonoid pathways had increased levels (Fig. 4,5). As shown in Fig. 5, the content of all the PAs from the tannin category increased in KO45 relative to the WT, and the flavonoids that increased in KO45 included mainly flavanones, flavones, flavanonols, flavanols, anthocyanidins, and flavonols. Among the four differentially abundant anthocyanidins, levels of cyanidin-3-O-(6"-O-acetyl)glucoside, cyanidin-3-O-(6"-O-p-coumaroyl)glucoside, and peonidin-3,5-Odiglucoside were higher in KO45 than in the WT (Table 2), whereas the content of petunidin-3-O-(6"-O-caffeoyl) glucoside was lower in KO45 (Fig. 5). In the flavonol category, quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-Orhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside levels were significantly lower in KO45, and they showed the greatest reduction among the 162 decreased differentially abundant metabolites (Fig. 5 and Table 2).

# *VvbZIP36* is important in anthocyanin biosynthesis regulation

To further understand the *VvbZIP36*-associated regulatory network involved in modulating anthocyanin biosynthesis, we performed a correlation analysis of the transcriptome and metabolome data. The expression of genes in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway (five *PAL*, three CHS, two CHI, two F3'H, two F3H, one DFR, and one ANS gene) was generally higher in KO45 than in WT, and the corresponding metabolites, such as naringenin chalcone, naringenin, dihydroflavonols, and

Table 1. List of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (with P-value <0.05, fold change >2) between WT an	ıd
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated VvbZIP36 mutant plants (KO45) related to the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway	
identified from the transcriptome data	

Gene ID	Symbol	Description	P-value	Log <sub>2</sub> FC (KO45 / WT)
VIT_06s0004g02620	VvPAL1	phenylalanine	2.30E-82	1.62
		ammonia-lyase 1		
VIT_16s0039g01100	VvPAL2	phenylalanine	2.20E-08	2.26
		ammonia-lyase 2		
VIT_11s0016g01640	VvPAL3	phenylalanine	0.001	1.83
		ammonia-lyase 3		
VIT_11s0016g01660	VvPAL4	phenylalanine	5.49E-07	2.90
		ammonia-lyase 4		
VIT_11s0016g01520	VvPAL5	phenylalanine	1.00E-04	1.38
		ammonia-lyase 5		
VIT_18s0001g12800	VvDFR	Dihydroflavonol	1.50E-141	2.29
-		4-reductase		
VIT_16s0098g00860	_	Type-I flavone synthase	1.67E-59	2.6
VIT_13s0047g00210	_	Type-I flavone synthase	1.50E-39	1.69
VIT_04s0023g03370	VvF3H1	Flavanone	1.06E-86	2.09
-		3-hydroxylase 1		
VIT_18s0001g14310	VvF3H2	Flavanone	1.80E-99	2.13
-		3-hydroxylase 2		
VIT_17s0000g07210	VvF3'H1	Flavonoid 3'	1.63E-09	1.25
-		hydroxylase 1		
VIT_17s0000g07200	VvF3'H3	Flavonoid 3'	9.22E-41	1.11
-		hydroxylase 3		
VIT_08s0007g05160	VvF3'5'H2	Flavonoid	3.52E-13	2.2
-		3′,5′-hydroxylase 2		
VIT_13s0067g03820	VvCHI1	Chalcone isomerase 1	3.20E-191	2.52
VIT_13s0067g02870	VvCHI2	Chalcone isomerase 2	3.40E-105	2.27
VIT_14s0068g00920	VvCHS1	Chalcone synthase 1	1.40E-128	2.23
VIT_14s0068g00930	VvCHS2	Chalcone synthase 2	8.48E-78	1.7
VIT_05s0136g00260	VvCHS3	Chalcone synthase 3	4.60E-203	2.95
VIT_02s0025g04720	VvANS	Anthocyanidin synthase	5.15E-97	1.94
VIT_01s0011g02960	VvLAR1	Leucoanthocyanidin	1.97E-72	1.93
		reductase 1		
VIT_00s0361g00040	VvANR	Anthocyanidin	1.70E-145	2.37
		reductase		
VIT_09s0002g08090	VvFLS1	Flavonol synthase 1	7.06E-40	1.8
VIT_18s0001g03510	VvFLS2	Flavonol synthase 2	0.007	-1.78
VIT_08s0105g00380	VvFLS3	Flavonol synthase 3	0.009	1.62
VIT_03s0091g01080	VvFLS4	Flavonol synthase 4	2.17E-06	-1.4
VIT_18s0001g03430	VvFLS5	Flavonol synthase 5	2.41E-21	1.44
VIT_06s0009g02010	VvFLR	Flavonol-3-O-	3.17E-09	-7.71
		rhamnosyltransferase		
VIT_06s0009g01990	VvFLR	Flavonol-3-O-	3.63E-13	-8.97
		rhamnosyltransferase		

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/hr/article/doi/10.1093/hr/uhac022/6532215 by guest on 28 September 2022

anthocyanins, were more abundant to varying degrees (Fig. 6).

In other branches of the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway, such as flavanones and flavones, the levels of most metabolites were significantly higher in KO45 than in the WT. Correspondingly, the expression of VvF3'5'H2 (flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase 2: VIT\_08s0007g05160) and two Type-I flavone synthase genes (VIT\_16s0098g00860, VIT\_13s0047g00210) was higher. The PAs and most of their precursor metabolites (flavanols) also accumulated to higher levels in KO45 than in the WT, accompanied by higher expression levels of VvLAR1 (leucoanthocyanidin reductase 1: VIT\_01s0011g02960) and VvANR (anthocyanidin reductase: VIT\_00s0361g00040). The content of some flavonols was higher in KO45 than in the WT, whereas the abundance of others was lower (Table 2 and Fig. 6), accompanied by higher expression of three FLS genes (VvFLS1: VIT\_09s0002g08090; VvFLS3: VIT\_ 08s0105g00380; VvFLS5: VIT\_18s0001g03430) and lower expression of two FLS genes (VvFLS2: VIT\_18s0001g03510; VvFLS4: VIT\_03s0091g01080) and two FLR genes (flavonol-3-O-rhamnosyltransferase: VIT\_06s0009g02010, VIT\_ 06s0009g01990).

Interestingly, all the differentially abundant lignan metabolites were lower in KO45 than in the WT, whereas five PAL genes from the early general phenylpropanoid pathway were expressed at higher levels in KO45 (Fig. 6). Notably, we did not identify DEGs involved in lignan biosynthesis in the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. In the stilbene biosynthesis pathway, two ROMT genes (trans-resveratrol di-O-methyltransferase: VIT\_12s0028g01940, VIT\_15s0045g01490) were identified,

 Table 2. List of the top 20 differentially abundant metabolites in the leaves of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated

 VvbZIP36 mutant (KO45) and WT plants in a widely targeted metabolome data set

Compound	Class	P-value	Log <sub>2</sub> FC (KO45 / WT)
_ Luteolin-7-O-glucoside (Cynaroside)*	Flavones	0.004	16.58
Corosolic acid	Triterpenes	0.003	15.79
Maslinic acid	Triterpenes	0.003	15.76
3,24-Dihydroxy-17,21-semiacetal-12(13)oleanolic fruit	Triterpenes	0.004	15.59
2-Hydroxyoleanolic acid	Triterpenes	0.004	15.26
Alphitolic acid	Triterpenes	0.016	15.26
6-Aminocaproic acid	Organic acids	0.049	14.36
3'-O-Methyl-(–)-epicatechin	Flavanols	0.015	14.33
Galangin-7-0-glucoside	Flavones	0.027	12.69
Cyanidin-3-O-(6′′-O-acetyl)glucoside	Anthocyanidins	0.012	12.63
Luteolin-7-O-rutinoside	Flavones	0.094	11.88
Poncirin (Isosakuranetin-7-0-neohesperidoside)	Flavanones	0.007	11.39
trans-Trimethoxyresveratrol	Stilbenes	0.008	11.29
Resveratrol-3,5-di-O-glucoside	Stilbenes	0.014	10.39
3,11-Dioxo-19α-hydroxyurs-12-en-28-oic acid	Triterpenes	0.003	9.57
12,13-Dihydroursolic acid	Triterpenes	0.001	7.94
Pomolic acid	Triterpenes	0.001	5.87
5-O-Feruloylquinic acid	Phenolic acids	0.027	4.93
4-Guanidinobutyric acid	Organic acids	0.001	4.82
Apigenin-7-0-neohesperidoside (Rhoifolin)	Flavones	0.001	4.30
Quercetin-3-0-rhamnoside (Quercitrin)	Flavonols	0.002	-16.06
Kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside (Afzelin) (Kaempferin)*	Flavonols	0.013	-13.32
Kaempferol-7-0-rhamnoside*	Flavonols	0.014	-12.57
Isolariciresinol-9-0-glucoside	Lignans	0.003	-12.32
3-Guanidinopropionic acid	Organic acids	0.011	-11.91
Feruloylferuloyltartaric acid	Phenolic acids	0.016	-11.35
$\gamma$ -Glutamylmethionine	Amino acids and derivatives	0.008	-10.76
4'-Methoxycatalposide	Monoterpenoids	0.006	-9.82
"Eriodictyol-7-O-(6""-O-p-coumaroyl)glucoside"	Flavanones	0.023	-8.7
α-Viniferin	Stilbenes	0.043	-8.54
Taxifolin-3-O-rhamnoside (Astilbin)	Flavanonols	0.006	-7.47
3-Hydroxyphloretin-4'-O-(4",6"-di-O-galloyl)glucoside	Chalcones	0.022	-6.82
Pyridoxine	Vitamins	0.001	-5.20
2,4,6-Tri-O-galloyl-D-glucose	Phenolic acids	0.082	-4.33
Pyridoxine-5'-O-glucoside	Vitamins	0.013	-4.20
Engeletin	Flavanonols	0.001	-3.89
2'-Deoxyadenosine-5'-monophosphate	Nucleotides and derivatives	0.001	-3.75
Jasmonic acid	Organic acids	0.001	-3.70
Methyl L-pyroglutamate	Pyrrole alkaloids	0.005	-3.49
4,7,9,9'-Tetrahydroxy-3,3'-dimethoxy-8-O-4'-neolignan	Others	0.006	-3.29



Figure 4. Statistical analysis of differentially abundant metabolites in the leaves of KO45 compared with the WT. Blue represents metabolites that are present at lower levels in KO45 compared with the WT, and yellow represents metabolites that are present at higher levels in KO45.

one of which showed higher expression in KO45 than in the WT, whereas the other showed lower expression.

Subsequently, we randomly selected 15 of the 30 DEGs involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway from Fig. 6 for

confirmatory qRT-PCR expression analysis. As shown in Fig. 7, with the exceptions of *VvF3H2* and *VvLAR1*, their expression patterns were consistent with the transcriptome data.



Figure 5. Heat map analysis of differentially abundant metabolites. Red represents more abundant metabolites, and green represents less abundant metabolites in the mutant line compared with the WT; the color intensity reflects the degree of metabolite accumulation.



**Figure 6.** The mechanism of *VvbZIP36* involvement in anthocyanin biosynthesis. **A** The square heat map represents the transcriptional regulation of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) related to phenylpropanoid metabolism in transgenic (KO45) and WT plants. Normalized gene expression values [log<sub>2</sub>(FPKM+1)] were used to plot the heat maps. **B** The circular heat maps represent the relative accumulation levels of differentially abundant metabolites related to phenylpropanoid metabolism in KO45 and WT plants. The log<sub>2</sub>FC (fold change) values were used to plot the heat maps.



**Figure 7.** Quantitative real time (qRT)-PCR analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) related to phenylpropanoid metabolism in the leaves of transgenic lines (KO21 and KO45) and WT plants. Values are means  $\pm$  SE (n = 3). Asterisks indicate statistical significance (\*\*P < 0.01, \*0.01 < P < 0.05, Student's t-test) between the transgenic and WT plants.

### Discussion

The basic region/leucine zipper (bZIP) TFs are important regulators in plants and are involved in regulating many metabolic processes, such as energy metabolism, development, senescence, light signaling, anthocyanin biosynthesis, and response to biotic and abiotic stimuli [26, 44]. In previous studies, 47 bZIP TFs were identified from grapevine [40]. Tissue specific-expression analysis showed that VubZIP36 was highly expressed in leaves, stems, flowers, tendrils, and especially fruits [40]. Similar results were found in this study (Fig. 1A). Because previous reports indicate that bZIP TFs play an important role in the synthesis of anthocyanin [26–31], we speculated that VvbZIP36 might be involved in the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis. Knocking out one allele of VvbZIP36 did not affect expression (Fig. 2A, Fig. S9) but caused 46.45% of the transcripts of this gene to be mutated (Table S1). This resulted in a significant accumulation of anthocyanins and a red leaf phenotype in white grapevine (Thompson Seedless) (Fig. 2B-D), suggesting that VvbZIP36 is a negative regulator. Previously, most bZIP genes reported to be involved in regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis have been described as positive regulators, including MdbZIP44 and MdHY5 in apple [27, 45], SlHY5 in tomato [7, 28], and PybZIPa and PyHY5 in pear [10, 29]. Similar results were previously reported from studies of grapevine: VvibZIPC22 was observed to promote the accumulation of several flavonoids by regulating the expression of CHS and FLS [30], and VvHY5 promotes flavonol biosynthesis by activating the expression of an FLS [31]. These results indicate that VvbZIP36 may have a different regulatory framework from other bZIP TFs that control the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway. Previously, most studies on K subgroup genes (including

AtbZIP60 and OsbZIP74) have been related to endoplasmic reticulum stress [41, 42]. This is the first report to show that K subgroup genes (such as VvbZIP36) participate in plant anthocyanin biosynthesis.

To further analyze the regulatory mechanism by which VubZIP36 influences anthocyanin biosynthesis, we performed transcriptome analysis of the leaves of a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated VvbZIP36 mutant line (KO45) and WT plants. A total of 1965 and 2138 genes were expressed at higher and lower levels, respectively, in KO45 compared with the WT (Fig. S4). Genes expressed at lower levels in the transgenic leaves were mainly involved in photosynthesis, cell wall and lipid metabolism, and the fatty acid synthesis pathway (Fig. 3), suggesting that VvbZIP36 may positively regulate these synthetic pathways, consistent with similar studies. For example, in Arabidopsis, AtHY5 promotes photosynthetic pigment accumulation in response to light [46]. In soybean, GmbZIP04g and GmbZIP07g can transcriptionally regulate the expression of  $GmRCA\alpha$ , a key photosynthetic gene, by binding to its promoter [47]. Moreover, overexpression of NsbZIP1 and HSbZIP1 significantly promotes the synthesis of lipids in Nannochloropsis salina [48] and Chlorella sp. HS2 [49], respectively. In addition, research shows that MabZIP93 can activate the expression of cell wall modifying genes during banana (*Musa*) fruit ripening [50]. Given this association of VvbZIP36 with photosynthesis and the central role that photosynthesis plays in plant growth and development [51], it is perhaps not surprising that we only obtained transgenic VubZIP36 knock-out grapevine lines with single allele mutations (Fig. 2A, Table S1): complete VvbZIP36 knockout may be lethal.

We identified an association between the DEGs that were expressed at higher levels in KO45 and

the flavonoid metabolism pathway (Fig. 3), consistent with the observed phenotype (Fig. 2). Further analysis revealed that this subset included genes in the core anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, including PAL, CHS, CHI, F3H, F3'H, DFR, FLS, and ANS (Table 1).

Metabolome analysis showed that a total of 185 metabolites were more abundant in KO45 than in the WT, and 162 were less abundant (Fig. S7). Those that were less abundant belonged to the lipid, organic acid, lignan, and amino acids and derivatives categories, whereas those that were more abundant belonged to the terpenoid, stilbene, tannin, and flavonoid categories (Fig. 4,5). Interestingly, we found that most of the genes related to terpenoids were downregulated (Fig. 3A), but the corresponding levels of most triterpene metabolites were higher in KO45 than in the WT (Table 2). We speculated that the metabolism and regulatory network of triterpenes in mutant plants may be very complicated, or some terpenoid-related genes may not have been discovered, leading to this result.

Stilbenes, lignans, and flavonoids are all synthesized from the same precursor metabolite, p-coumaroyl-CoA [52]. Subsequently, correlation analysis of the transcriptome and metabolome data showed that many genes involved in the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway, including PAL, CHS, CHI, F3'H, DFR, and ANS were expressed at higher levels in KO45 than in the WT, and many related metabolites (including naringenin chalcone, naringenin, dihydroflavonols, and the anthocyanins cyanidin-3-O-(6"-O-acetyl)glucoside, cyanidin-3-O-(6"-O-p-coumaroyl)glucoside and peonidin-3,5-Odiglucoside) were more abundant in KO45 (Fig. 6). Naringenin chalcone, naringenin, and dihydroflavonol metabolites are all important intermediates in anthocyanin biosynthesis, which is part of the flavonoid pathway [2, 12]. Our data suggest that VvbZIP36 can suppress the expression of key anthocyanin biosynthesis genes; however, Qiu et al (2019) found that knocking out SlHY5 (a bZIP gene) in tomato can reduce anthocyanin levels and expression of these anthocyanin biosynthesis genes [28]. Similar studies have also been performed on grapevine with the same results [30, 31]. This suggests that bZIP proteins can operate via different mechanisms in regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis. Interestingly, we found that the abundance of the anthocyanin compound petunidin-3-O-(6"-O-caffeoyl)glucoside was lower in KO45 than in the WT (Fig. 5), indicating that VvbZIP36 may be involved in regulating the balance of different anthocyanin compounds. The identification of genes involved in petunidin-3-O-(6"-O-caffeoyl)glucoside synthesis will be an interesting area of future study.

We found that when the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway was induced, the abundance of various flavonoid components (flavonols, flavanols, PAs, flavanones, and flavones) increased significantly in the mutant lines (Fig. 6). However, we also found that some flavonols, flavanols, flavanones, and flavones were present at lower levels in the mutant lines (Fig. 5), especially

flavonols (quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-Orhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside) (Table 2). Correspondingly, the expression of four genes from the flavonol synthesis pathway (VvFLS2, VvFLS4, and two VvFLR genes) was lower in the transgenic plants. These results suggest that VvbZIP36 is involved in balancing the synthesis of flavonols and anthocyanins. Similar results have also been found in the stilbene ( $\alpha$ -viniferin) and lignan biosynthetic pathways, which share the same precursor metabolite, p-coumaroyl-CoA, as the precursor of flavonoids, naringenin chalcone. We infer from our results that the action of VvbZIP36 causes more p-coumaroyl-CoA to be used to synthesize stilbenes ( $\alpha$ -viniferin) and lignans, and more dihydroflavonols to be synthesized into flavonols (quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside, etc.), thereby restricting the synthesis of naringenin chalcone and anthocyanins, ultimately leading to relatively low levels of anthocyanin accumulation. By contrast, more anthocyanin accumulation was seen in mutant plants because of the inhibition of flavonol, stilbene ( $\alpha$ -viniferin), and lignan synthesis (Fig. 8). That knockout of one allele of VvbZIP36 caused such a remarkable phenotype is surprising. A reasonable explanation is that *VvbZIP36* plays a complicated role in the phenylpropanoid pathway. It is an inhibitor for anthocyanins but an activator for metabolites such as flavonols and lignans. Single allele mutations lead to weakened inhibition of anthocyanin synthesis and promotion of stilbene, flavonol, and lignan synthesis. Finally, this caused significant accumulation of anthocyanins in leaves. Second, this may be caused by a cumulative effect. Single allele knockout only partially inhibits or activates downstream genes, but these genes will continue to function for a period of time after expression. This is consistent with the phenotype we observed, in which leaf redness gradually deepened with increasing leaf age (Fig. 2B).

In conclusion, the results reported here indicate that VvbZIP36 contributes to the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis by balancing the synthesis of stilbenes ( $\alpha$ -viniferin), lignans, flavonols, and anthocyanins in grapevine. This study provides new insights into the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis that will be valuable for grapevine breeding programs.

#### Materials and methods Phylogenetic and expression profile analyses

To investigate the relationship between VvbZIP36 and other bZIP TFs, multiple amino acid sequence alignments were generated using DNAMAN software (Version 5.2.2.0, Lynnon Biosoft, USA) with default parameters, and a phylogenetic analysis was carried out using the neighborjoining (NJ) method and MEGA software (version 11.0.8), as previously described [53].

The tissue-specific expression of VvbZIP36 was analyzed using the Grape eFP Browser (http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp\_grape/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi).



**Figure 8.** Proposed mechanism by which *VvbZIP36* regulates anthocyanin accumulation in mutant and WT plants. **A** In the WT, there are high levels of expression of biosynthetic genes involved in lignan, *α*-viniferin, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, and flavonol (quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside) formation and low expression of genes involved in proanthocyanin (PA) and anthocyanin (cyanidin-3-O-glucoside and peonidin-3,5-O-diglucoside) biosynthesis. Expression is maintained by *VvbZIP36* through a complex regulatory mechanism, allowing more lignans, *α*-viniferin, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, and flavonols (quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, kaempferol-3-O-rhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside) and fewer PAs and anthocyanins (cyanidin-3-O-glucoside and peonidin-3,5-O-diglucoside) to be synthesized. This causes leaf anthocyanin content to be maintained at a low level and the leaves to appear green. **B** In *VvbZIP36* mutant plants, the expression of biosynthesis genes involved in lignan, *α*-viniferin, petunidin-3-O-glucoside) biosynthesis was inhibited, whereas anthocyanin synthesis genes were activated. This caused the synthesis of lignans, *α*-viniferin, petunidin-3-O-glucoside, and flavonol (quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside, and kaempferol-7-O-rhamnoside) to decrease and the synthesis of PAs and anthocyanins (cyanidin-3-O-glucoside and peonidin-3,5-O-diglucoside) to increase. The anthocyanin content in the leaves was maintained at a high level, and the leaves appeared red.

#### Plant materials and growth conditions

Vegetative propagation of mutant lines 21 and 45 (KO21 and KO45) and WT grapevine (V. *vinifera* L. cv. Thompson Seedless) was performed as described in a previous study [54]. Two-month-old transgenic and WT plantlets were transferred to plastic pots ( $10 \times 10 \times 8$  cm) containing a mixture of soil (Pindstrup, Denmark) and vermiculite (1: 1, v: v). After one month of adaptation, the plants were transferred to larger plastic pots (diameter, 15 cm and height, 20 cm) filled with a mixture of soil and vermiculite (1: 1, v: v) and cultivated in a growth incubator ( $25^{\circ}$ C, 16-h photoperiod, and a light intensity of 200  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>; #G2-1000D3, Youke, China) for four months.

# Detection of on-target mutations by Sanger sequencing

Identification of mutant lines was performed as previously described [37, 43]. Specific primers (NPTII-F: 5'-AGA GGC TAT TCG GCT ATG ACT G-3'; NPTII-R: 5'-CAA GCT CTT CAG CAA TAT CAC G-3') were used to identify stable transgenic lines. Mutant type was identified using VvbZIP36 gene-specific primers (VvbZIP36-Target-F: 5'-ATG GAC GAT TTG GAA ATT GGG G-3'; VvbZIP36-Target-R: 5'-TCA CAC CAA AAC TCC ATG AG-3'). The PrimeSTAR Max DNA Polymerase kit (Takara, China) was used to amplify potential mutation regions in the first exon of *VvbZIP36*, and the PCR products were inserted into the pClone007 Simple Vector (TSINGKE, China) to select single clones for sequencing.

### Determination of total anthocyanin contents

The anthocyanin contents of leaves from three sevenmonth-old transgenic (KO21 and KO45) and WT plants, grown in a growth chamber (#G2-1000D3, Youke, China), were measured after sampling the third to fourth leaf from the top of the plants. The total anthocyanin content was calculated according to Li et al. (2012) [55]. In brief, leaves (approximately 0.3 g) were incubated in 5 mL of 0.1% (v/v) methanol-HCl in the dark at room temperature, and the absorbance of each extract was measured at 530, 620, and 650 nm with a spectrophotometer (UV2600; Unico, China). The experiment was repeated independently three times.

#### RNA-seq and data analyses

The third to fourth leaves from the tops of seven-monthold transgenic (KO45) and WT plants were collected, and their transcriptomes were characterized by RNAseq analysis. Samples from three plants per line were pooled and used as one independent sample, and three independent samples were analyzed per genotype. Total RNA was extracted from the transgenic and WT leaves using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). The RNA library preparation and sequencing were performed by Metware Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). In brief, RNAseq libraries were generated using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions before sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq platform. The original data were filtered using fastp (v0.19.3) to remove reads with adapter sequences. The resulting cleaned reads were mapped to the V. vinifera reference genome (PN40024) (http://pla nts.ensembl.org/index.html) using HISAT (v2.1.0). FPKM was calculated using featureCounts (v1.6.2), and differential gene expression was determined using DESeq2 (v1.22.1) with a threshold of corrected P-value < 0.05 and absolute  $\log_2 FC$  (fold change) > 1.

All differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were imported into MapMan software (v3.5.1R2; https://mapman. gabipd.org/home) for pathway analysis. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway database (https://www.genome.jp/kegg) was used for gene functional annotation, and KEGG enrichment analysis was performed using TBtools software [56]. All normalized cluster analyses and Pearson's correlation analyses were performed using Metware Cloud (https:// cloud.metware.cn/#/tools/tool-list).

### Metabolome analysis

The third to fourth leaves from the tops of three sevenmonth-old transgenic (KO45) and WT plants were collected for a widely targeted metabolome analysis. Approximately 5 g of leaf tissue per line was used as one independent sample, and three independent samples were analyzed. Sample extraction, metabolite identification, and quantification were performed by Metware Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). An ultra-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-ESI-MS/MS) system (UPLC, SHIMADZU Nexera X2) was used to analyze sample extracts as described previously [57]. Metabolites with a variable importance in projection (VIP)  $\geq$  1 and an absolute log<sub>2</sub>FC (fold change)  $\geq$  1 were considered to be differentially regulated between groups.

### Quantitative real time (qRT)-PCR analysis

For gene expression analysis, RNA was extracted from the leaves of seven-month-old transgenic (KO21 and KO45) and WT plants grown in a growth chamber using the E.Z.N.A. Plant RNA Kit (#R6827-01, Omega Bio-tek, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the HiScript II Q RT SuperMix for qPCR(+g DNA wiper) Kit (#R223-01, Vazyme) according to the manufacturer's protocol. qRT-PCR was performed using the SYBR qPCR Master Mix (#Q311-02, Vazyme) on a StepOnePlus RT-PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the gene-specific primers used are listed in Supplementary Table S2.

### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using Excel 2016 software (Microsoft Corporation, USA). Data were plotted using SigmaPlot (v14.0, Systat Inc., CA, USA). Tests for significant differences were performed using SPSS statistics software (v25.0, IBM China Company Ltd., Beijing, China).

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32002000) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFD1001401). We thank PlantScribe (www.plantscribe.com) for careful editing of this manuscript.

## Author contributions

Y.F., XP.W., XH.W., and M.T. planned and designed the experiments; M.T., J.F., R.Z., X.L., W.Y., and Y.W. performed experiments and analyzed the data; M.T., XH.W. and Y.F. wrote the manuscript.

## Data availability

The sequence data have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject ID PRJNA773187.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at Horticulture Research online.

## References

- Terrier N, Torregrosa L, Ageorges A et al. Ectopic expression of VuMybPA2 promotes proanthocyanidin biosynthesis in grapevine and suggests additional targets in the pathway. Plant Physiol. 2009;149:1028–41.
- Deluc L, Barrieu F, Marchive C et al. Characterization of a grapevine R2R3-MYB transcription factor that regulates the phenylpropanoid pathway. Plant Physiol. 2006;140:499–511.
- Grotewold E. The genetics and biochemistry of floral pigments. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2006;57:761–80.
- Wang XF, An J-P, Liu X et al. The nitrate-responsive protein MdBT2 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis by interacting with the MdMYB1 transcription factor. Plant Physiol. 2018;178:890–906.
- Vimolmangkang S, Han Y, Wei G et al. An apple MYB transcription factor, MdMYB3, is involved in regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis and flower development. BMC Plant Biol. 2013;13:176.
- 6. Saslowsky D, Winkel-Shirley B. Localization of flavonoid enzymes in Arabidopsis roots. *Plant J.* 2001;**27**:37–48.
- Liu CC, Chi C, Jin L-J et al. The bZIP transcription factor HY5 mediates CRY1a-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato. Plant Cell Environ. 2018;41:1762–75.
- Kadomura-Ishikawa Y, Miyawaki K, Noji S et al. Phototropin 2 is involved in blue light-induced anthocyanin accumulation in Fragaria x ananassa fruits. J Plant Res. 2013;126:847–57.

- Ravaglia D, Espley RV, Henry-Kirk RA et al. Transcriptional regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis in nectarine (Prunus persica) by a set of R2R3 MYB transcription factors. BMC Plant Biol. 2013;13:68.
- 10. Liu H, Su J, Zhu Y et al. The involvement of PybZIPa in lightinduced anthocyanin accumulation via the activation of PyUFGT through binding to tandem G-boxes in its promoter. Horticulture research. 2019;**6**:134.
- Rinaldo AR, Cavallini E, Jia Y et al. A grapevine anthocyanin acyltransferase, transcriptionally regulated by VvMYBA, can produce most acylated anthocyanins present in grape skins. Plant Physiol. 2015;169:1897–916.
- Dong T, Han R, Yu J et al. Anthocyanins accumulation and molecular analysis of correlated genes by metabolome and transcriptome in green and purple asparaguses (Asparagus officinalis L.). Food Chem. 2019;**271**:18–28.
- Zhou H, Lin-Wang K, Wang F et al. Activator-type R2R3-MYB genes induce a repressor-type R2R3-MYB gene to balance anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin accumulation. New Phytol. 2019;221:1919–34.
- Gonzalez A, Zhao M, Leavitt JM et al. Regulation of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway by the TTG1/bHLH/Myb transcriptional complex in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant J. 2008;53:814–27.
- An JP, Wang X-F, Zhang X-W et al. MdBBX22 regulates UV-B-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis through regulating the function of MdHY5 and is targeted by MdBT2 for 26S proteasomemediated degradation. Plant Biotechnol J. 2019;17:2231–3.
- Li Y, Xu P, Chen G et al. FvbHLH9 functions as a positive regulator of anthocyanin biosynthesis by forming a HY5-bHLH9 transcription complex in strawberry fruits. Plant & cell physiology. 2020;61: 826–37.
- Tao R, Yu W, Gao Y *et al.* Light-induced basic/helix-loop-helix 64 enhances anthocyanin biosynthesis and undergoes CONSTITU-TIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1-mediated degradation in pear. *Plant Physiol.* 2020;**184**:1684–701.
- Zhou H, Lin-Wang K, Wang H et al. Molecular genetics of bloodfleshed peach reveals activation of anthocyanin biosynthesis by NAC transcription factors. Plant J. 2015;82:105–21.
- Bogs J, Jaffe FW, Takos AM et al. The grapevine transcription factor VvMYBPA1 regulates proanthocyanidin synthesis during fruit development. Plant Physiol. 2007;143:1347–61.
- Paolocci F, Robbins MP, Passeri V et al. The strawberry transcription factor FaMYB1 inhibits the biosynthesis of proanthocyanidins in Lotus corniculatus leaves. J Exp Bot. 2011;62:1189–200.
- Yoshida K, Ma D, Constabel CP. The MYB182 protein downregulates proanthocyanidin and anthocyanin biosynthesis in poplar by repressing both structural and regulatory flavonoid genes. *Plant Physiol.* 2015;**167**:693–710.
- Czemmel S, Heppel SC, Bogs J. R2R3 MYB transcription factors: key regulators of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in grapevine. Protoplasma. 2012;249:S109–18.
- 23. Liu W, Tang R, Zhang Y et al. Genome-wide identification of B-box proteins and VvBBX44 involved in light-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis in grape (Vitis vinifera L.). Planta. 2021;**253**:114.
- 24. Amato A, Cavallini E, Zenoni S *et al.* A grapevine TTG2-like WRKY transcription factor is involved in regulating vacuolar transport and flavonoid biosynthesis. *Front Plant Sci.* 2016;**7**:1979.
- Cheng J, Yu K, Shi Y et al. Transcription factor VviMYB86 oppositely regulates proanthocyanidin and anthocyanin biosynthesis in grape berries. Front Plant Sci. 2020;11:613677.
- Nguyen NH, Jeong CY, Kang G-H et al. MYBD employed by HY5 increases anthocyanin accumulation via repression of MYBL2 in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 2015;84:1192–205.

- An JP, Wang X-F, Espley RV et al. An apple B-box protein MdBBX37 modulates anthocyanin biosynthesis and hypocotyl elongation synergistically with MdMYBs and MdHY5. Plant & cell physiology. 2020;61:130–43.
- Qiu Z, Wang H, Li D et al. Identification of candidate HY5dependent and -independent regulators of anthocyanin biosynthesis in tomato. Plant & cell physiology. 2019;60:643–56.
- 29. Bai S, Tao R, Yin L et al. Two B-box proteins, PpBBX18 and PpBBX21, antagonistically regulate anthocyanin biosynthesis via competitive association with Pyrus pyrifolia ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 in the peel of pear fruit. Plant J. 2019;100:1208–23.
- Malacarne G, Coller E, Czemmel S et al. The grapevine VvibZIPC22 transcription factor is involved in the regulation of flavonoid biosynthesis. J Exp Bot. 2016;67:3509–22.
- Loyola R, Herrera D, Mas A et al. The photomorphogenic factors UV-B RECEPTOR 1, ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5, and HY5 HOMO-LOGUE are part of the UV-B signalling pathway in grapevine and mediate flavonol accumulation in response to the environment. J Exp Bot. 2016;67:5429–45.
- Borrelli VMG, Brambilla V, Rogowsky P et al. The enhancement of plant disease resistance using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:1245.
- Ren C, Liu Y, Guo Y et al. Optimizing the CRISPR/Cas9 system for genome editing in grape by using grape promoters. Horticulture research. 2021;8:52.
- Peng A, Chen S, Lei T *et al.* Engineering canker-resistant plants through CRISPR/Cas9-targeted editing of the susceptibility gene CsLOB1 promoter in citrus. *Plant Biotechnol J.* 2017;**15**:1509–19.
- Pompili V, Dalla Costa L, Piazza S et al. Reduced fire blight susceptibility in apple cultivars using a high-efficiency CRISPR/Cas9-FLP/FRT-based gene editing system. Plant Biotechnol J. 2020;18:845–58.
- Jia H, Zhang Y, Orbović V et al. Genome editing of the disease susceptibility gene CsLOB1 in citrus confers resistance to citrus canker. Plant Biotechnol J. 2017;15:817–23.
- Wang X, Tu M, Wang D et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated efficient targeted mutagenesis in grape in the first generation. Plant Biotechnol J. 2018;16:844–55.
- Wan DY, Guo Y, Cheng Y et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of VvMLO3 results in enhanced resistance to powdery mildew in grapevine (Vitis vinifera). Horticulture research. 2020;7:116.
- Li MY, Jiao Y-T, Wang Y-T et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated VvPR4b editing decreases downy mildew resistance in grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.). Horticulture research. 2020;7:149.
- Gao M, Zhang H, Guo C et al. Evolutionary and expression analyses of basic zipper transcription factors in the highly homozygous model grape PN40024 (Vitis vinifera L.). Plant Mol Biol Report. 2014;**32**:1085–102.
- Iwata Y, Koizumi N. An Arabidopsis transcription factor, AtbZIP60, regulates the endoplasmic reticulum stress response in a manner unique to plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102: 5280–5.
- Lu SJ, Yang Z-T, Sun L et al. Conservation of IRE1-regulated bZIP74 mRNA unconventional splicing in rice (Oryza sativa L.) involved in ER stress responses. Mol Plant. 2012;5:504–14.
- Wang X, Tu M, Wang Y et al. Whole-genome sequencing reveals rare off-target mutations in CRISPR/Cas9-edited grapevine. Horticulture research. 2021;8:114.
- Tu MX, Wang X, Huang L et al. Expression of a grape bZIP transcription factor, VqbZIP39, in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana confers tolerance of multiple abiotic stresses. Plant Cell Tissue Org Cult. 2016;125:537–51.

- An JP, Yao J-F, Xu R-R et al. Apple bZIP transcription factor MdbZIP44 regulates abscisic acid-promoted anthocyanin accumulation. Plant Cell Environ. 2018;41:2678–92.
- Toledo-Ortiz G, Johansson H, Lee KP et al. The HY5-PIF regulatory module coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene transcription. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004416.
- Zhang J, Du H, Chao M et al. Identification of two bZIP transcription factors interacting with the promoter of soybean rubisco activase gene (GmRCAα). Front Plant Sci. 2016;**7**:628.
- Kwon S, Kang NK, Koh HG et al. Enhancement of biomass and lipid productivity by overexpression of a bZIP transcription factor in Nannochloropsis Salina. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2018;115: 331–40.
- Lee H, Shin W-S, Kim YU et al. Enhancement of lipid production under heterotrophic conditions by overexpression of an endogenous bZIP transcription factor in chlorella sp. HS2. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2020;30:1597–606.
- Wu C, Shan W, Liang S et al. MaMPK2 enhances MabZIP93mediated transcriptional activation of cell wall modifying genes during banana fruit ripening. Plant Mol Biol. 2019;101:113–27.

- Evers JB, Vos J, Yin X et al. Simulation of wheat growth and development based on organ-level photosynthesis and assimilate allocation. J Exp Bot. 2010;61:2203–16.
- 52. Vogt T. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Mol Plant. 2010;3:2–20.
- Tu M, Wang X, Zhu Y et al. VlbZIP30 of grapevine functions in dehydration tolerance via the abscisic acid core signaling pathway. Horticulture research. 2018;5:49.
- 54. Tu M, Wang X, Yin W *et al.* Grapevine VlbZIP30 improves drought resistance by directly activating VvNAC17 and promoting lignin biosynthesis through the regulation of three peroxidase genes. *Horticulture research.* 2020;**7**:150.
- 55. Li YY, Mao K, Zhao C et al. MdCOP1 ubiquitin E3 ligases interact with MdMYB1 to regulate light-induced anthocyanin biosynthesis and red fruit coloration in apple. Plant Physiol. 2012;160: 1011–22.
- Chen C, Chen H, Zhang Y et al. TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. Mol Plant. 2020;13:1194–202.
- Ma W, Xu L, Gao S et al. Melatonin alters the secondary metabolite profile of grape berry skin by promoting VvMYB14-mediated ethylene biosynthesis. Horticulture research. 2021;8:43.