This is the Pre-Published Version. The following publication Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q. (2020), "Critical success factors for management of the early stages of prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction project life cycle", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 27 No. 9, pp. 2315-2333 is available at https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-10-2019-0534

# 2

1

3

## Critical Success Factors for Management of the Early Stages of Prefabricated Prefinished Volumetric Construction Project Life Cycle

Ibrahim Yahaya Wuni and Geoffrey Qiping Shen

# Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, 11 Yuk Choi Road, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

## 6 Abstract:

Purpose – For many types of buildings, prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction (PPVC) is
 increasingly becoming a preferred alternative construction approach. However, empirical evidence of
 project performance has consistently demonstrated that the ultimate success of PPVC projects is directly
 linked to the key decisions made at the outset of the PPVC project life cycle. Meanwhile, there is limited
 knowledge of how to successfully manage these early stages. This research identified and evaluated the
 critical success factors (CSFs) required for management of the conception, planning, and design stages of
 the PPVC project life cycle.

14 Design/methodology/approach – A multistage methodological framework was adopted to identify and 15 evaluate the CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. Based on a 16 comprehensive literature review and pilot survey, a list of the 9 CSFs relevant to the early stages of the 17 PPVC project life cycle was established. Drawing on an online-based international questionnaire survey 18 with global PPVC experts, the CSFs were measured. The dataset was statistically tested for reliability and 19 analyzed using several techniques such as mean score analysis, relativity weightings, and significance 20 analysis.

Findings – The research identified that the most influential CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle include robust design specifications, accurate drawings and early design freeze; good working collaboration, effective communication and information sharing among project participants; effective stakeholder management; extensive project planning and scheduling; and early engagement of key players such as designers, engineers, fabricators, and contractors. The research further found correlations among the CSFS and proposed a conceptual framework for the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle.

Practical implications – The research identified the key factors that PPVC managers, contractors, and developers should prioritize at the early stages to improve the overall success of PPVC projects. This study may be used as decision support in deciding to implement PPVC in a project and could facilitate informed PPVC investment decision-making.

Originality/value – This research constitutes the first exclusive attempt at identifying the CSFs for successful management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. It provides a fresh and more indepth understanding of how best to manage the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. It contributes to the practice and praxis of the PPVC project implementation discourse.

36 Keywords: early stages; critical success factors; management; PPVC; project life cycle

37

**Please Cite As**: Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q. (2020), "Critical success factors for management of the early stages of prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction project life cycle", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. 27 No. 9, pp. 2315–2333.

2

#### 3 Introduction

4 The construction industry is a competitive one which imposes the need to adopt innovative 5 technologies and business models to remain competitive and achieve competitive cost advantage. 6 Recurring challenges such as low productivity (McKinsey Global Institute, 2017), dissatisfaction 7 of clients (Egan, 1998), cost escalation, time overrun, high waste footprint (Jaillon et al., 2009), 8 construction defects, poor safety of construction workers (Blismas et al., 2006), and higher 9 emissions of greenhouse gases (Mao et al., 2013) have provoked disruptions in the construction 10 industry. One of such disruptions is the transition towards off-site production, OSP (Wuni and 11 Shen, 2019d). OSP is a construction business model where major components of a building 12 project are manufactured in an off-site factory, transported to the construction site and 13 systematically assembled and installed (Blismas et al., 2006).

14 Prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction (PPVC) is the most complete form of OSP 15 where value-added fully-finished volumetric building components are manufactured on 16 production lines using advanced precision technology in an off-site factory, trucked to the 17 construction site in sections and systematically craned to form a complete building project (Wuni 18 et al., 2019; Wuni and Shen, 2019a, 2019b). According to Hwang et al. (2018), the business 19 model of PPVC allows for 80-95% of a whole building to be fully engineered in a manufacturing 20 plant. The rapid deployment and effective implementation of PPVC projects, with associated 21 technologies and supply chain arrangements, is leveraging significant gains such as increased 22 productivity, higher construction speed, reduced construction waste, improved safety of 23 construction workers, cost predictability, and improved quality control of construction projects 24 (Blismas et al., 2006; Wuni and Shen, 2019d).

However, not all initiated PPVC projects have achieved the expected level of success (Choi et al., 2016; Wuni and Shen, 2019b). Some key factors and conditions need to converge to achieve success in PPVC projects. Several studies have explored the critical factors required to achieve success in PPVC projects (Choi et al., 2016; O'Connor et al., 2014; Wuni and Shen, 2019b). However, scientific studies of construction project performance have consistently established that the ultimate failure or success of any project is directly linked to the decisions at and

1 management of the early stages of the project life cycle (Wuni and Shen, 2019a). However, 2 knowledge of how to manage the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle is limited and 3 inadequate. There is very little research into the critical success factors (CSFs) for managing the 4 early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. Li et al. (2018) evaluated critical success factors for 5 project planning and control in prefabrication housing production (PHP) in China. However, the 6 study focused on China and PHP and thus has limited application to PPVC projects. Also, Li et 7 al.'s (2018) research adopted a macro perspective of the CSFs and thus offered very little 8 information on the specific CSFs shared among different PPVC project types.

9 This research aims to identify and evaluate the CSFs for management of the early stages of 10 PPVC projects. The current research makes a unique contribution to the knowledge of the CSFs 11 for PPVC projects and will facilitate a deeper understanding and appreciation of how best to 12 successfully manage the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The rest of the paper is 13 structured as follows: The next section offers a concise research background of PPVC and the 14 associated CSFs for PPVC projects, followed by a description of the research approach adopted. 15 The research progresses with a discussion of key results and finally concludes the key findings of 16 the data analysis.

#### 17 **Research Background**

#### 18 **Prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction**

19 PPVC is an innovative and disruptive construction method which transforms the linear site-based 20 construction of buildings into an integrated production and assembly of value-added factory-21 made prefabricated prefinished volumetric modules (Wuni and Shen, 2019b). The Building and 22 Construction Authority (2019) defined PPVC as "a construction method whereby free-standing 23 volumetric modules (complete with finishes for walls, floors, and ceilings) are constructed and 24 assembled in an accredited fabrication facility, following any accredited fabrication method, and 25 then installed in a building under building works." The three major types of PPVC include 26 reinforced concrete modules, steel modules, and hybrid modules. PPVC shares many similarities 27 with other OSP models such as modular construction, modular integrated construction (MiC), 28 modular prefinished volumetric construction, and industrialized building systems (Wuni and 29 Shen, 2019d).

1 PPVC adopts a manufacturing business model and the philosophy of Design for Manufacture 2 and Assembly (DfMA). DfMA is an engineering methodology which simplifies the design of the 3 building components to ease manufacturing and assembly of the modules (Building and 4 Construction Authority, 2017; Gao et al., 2018). DfMA combines two distinct design principles 5 comprising the Design for Manufacture (DfM) and Design for Assembly (DfA). PPVC 6 incorporates and diffuses the DfMA principles into the early design phases of the project life 7 cycle. The adoption of DfMA in PPVC projects facilitate selection of cost-effective 8 manufacturing-compliant raw materials, minimizes the complexity of the manufacturing 9 operations during the design phase, and eventually reduces manufacturing time, cost of products 10 and assembly time. The supply chain of PPVC involves design, manufacturing, transportation, 11 storage, and components installations (Wuni et al., 2019). Figure 1 shows the basic life cycle of a 12 PPVC project.

13

#### [Figure 1. The basic life cycle of a PPVC project]

14 Two critical observations in Figure 1 are that the design stage constitutes an early stage of the 15 PPVC project life cycle, and the remaining stages are highly connected. The design stage of a 16 PPVC project is critical because a crucial decision at this stages influences the activities and 17 decisions in the subsequent stages of a PPVC project (Wuni and Shen, 2019b, 2019a). Indeed, 18 not every design of a project is suitable for modularization, prefabrication, and PPVC (Murtaza 19 et al., 1993). PPVC is most suitable for projects with repetitive design and layouts (Hwang et al., 20 2018; Wuni and Shen, 2019a). Thus, the design of a project is very decisive in whether PPVC is 21 ideal for a project. In this research, the early stages of a PPVC project life cycle include a 22 conception of the project, planning, and design (architectural and engineering) (Wuni and Shen, 23 2019c). The completion of these stages is required before the manufacture of the prefabricated 24 volumetric components. Thus, it is imperative to manage this early stage effectively because it is 25 linked to the total success of the PPVC project.

#### 26 Critical success factors for PPVC Projects

Key success factors (CSFs), key result areas, and success factors are interchangeable terminologies and refer to critical success factors (CSFs) in this research which constitute the few management areas that must be given critical attention and resources commitment (Rockart, upper 1982) to guarantee the success of PPVC projects. In practice, CSFs are usually few and ranges from 5 to 8 (Freund, 1988). Following the increasing popularity and rapid deployment of PPVC, it is imperative to identify the CSFs for PPVC. Because of the fewer academic research studies on PPVC (Hwang et al., 2018), the associated CSFs can hardly be found in the literature. However, there is some significant amount of studies on the CSFs for other OSP models which can be analyzed to ascertain their relevance to PPVC projects.

6

#### [**Table I**. Potential CSFs for PPVC projects (Wuni and Shen, 2019b)]

7 O'Connor et al. (2014) reported that the most influential CSFs for successful modularization of 8 industrial projects include attention to module envelope limitations, consensus among project 9 participants on project drivers, adequate resources of client, early design free, and early 10 consideration of modularization. Choi et al. (2016) concluded that the most critical CSFs for 11 industrial modular construction projects include timely design freeze, long-lead equipment 12 specification, early engagement of fabricator/contractor, and effective management of execution 13 risk. Li et al. (2018) found that the top 5 CSFs for planning and control of PHP include the 14 experience of designers, the experience of manufactures, capabilities of the management team, 15 use of advanced techniques in the detailed design phase, and favorable design codes and policies. 16 It can be observed that the CSFs are sensitive to project types and territories. Wuni and Shen 17 (2019b) reviewed studies on the CSFs for implementing MiC projects during the period 1993– 18 2019 and established a generic framework of 35 CSFs. The researchers synthesized the CSFs 19 from different OSP techniques and thus offered a useful framework to identify the CSFs with 20 relevance to the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The preliminary list of CSFs for 21 PPVC projects which were derived from Wuni and Shen's (2019b) framework is shown in Table 22 I. These CSFs formed the basis for identifying the CSFs for successful management of the early 23 stages of PPVC projects.

#### 24 **Research method and approach**

This research employed a quantitative research design where the study identified and evaluated the CSFs required for successful management of the early stages of the PPVC life cycle. The research adopted a multistage methodological framework comprising an identification of relevant CSFs, design of the CSFs measurement instrument, data collection, and statistical analysis of the collected data.

#### 1 Identifying the CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle

2 The research initiated with identifying the CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC 3 life cycle in two phases: (1) a literature review and (2) pilot survey. Drawing on the works of 4 Wuni and Shen (2019b), the research developed a preliminary list of the CSFs which were 5 considered relevant to PPVC projects (Table I). Next, a pilot survey was conducted with 3 PPVC 6 experts (two from academia and one from industry) to evaluate the relevance and 7 appropriateness of the CSFs to the conception, planning, and design (architectural and 8 engineering) stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The pilot survey made significant 9 improvements to the tentative list where some CSFs were merged, an additional CSF was added, 10 and some CSFs were reworded, redefined, or omitted. The final list of the CSFs relevant to the 11 early stages of the PPVC project life cycle is shown in Table II and formed the basis of designing 12 the measurement instrument.

13 [Table II. Final list of CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle]

#### 14 International expert survey and measurement instrument

15 This research was based on an international survey where PPVC experts were identified and 16 contacted to evaluate the significance and criticality of the identified CSFs for management of 17 the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The international survey approach was adopted 18 because of the following reasons: (1) there was the need to establish a generic framework of the 19 CSFs for management of the early stages of PPVC project life cycle which are shared between 20 countries and different project PPVC project types; (2) there was also the need to draw on rich 21 experiences of experts from many countries, especially where the PPVC technology is 22 developed; and (3) the international survey approach is widely used to generate substantial data 23 within a short time span (Osei-Kyei, Chan, Javed, et al., 2017; Sachs et al., 2007). A purposive 24 sampling technique was adopted to identify the relevant experts from industry and academia. 25 This non-probabilistic technique was adopted because there is no central database of all PPVC 26 experts in the world. Previous international surveys used a similar approach to identify relevant 27 experts and collect reliable data (Osei-Kyei, Chan, Javed, et al., 2017; Sachs et al., 2007).

The PPVC experts were compiled after ten months of PPVC literature review as part of an ongoing Ph.D. research project. The target experts were selected based on two criteria: (1) the expert should have rich theoretical and research experience in PPVC projects, and (2) the experts

1 should have hands-on experience in PPVC projects. Four hundred experts were used as the 2 sampling frame. The research designed and administered an online-based structured 3 questionnaire using "Survey Monkey" to collect quantitative data. The questionnaire had two sections: (1) background information and (2) significance/criticality evaluation of the CSFs. 4 5 Section 1 solicited information regarding the sector of work, number of years of hands-on 6 experience, country of work, and PPVC project type of engagement. Section 2 requested the 7 experts to assess the level of criticality of the CSFs on a 5-point rating scale of 1 = not critical, 2 8 = fairly significant, 3 = critical, 4 = very critical, and 5 = extremely critical. Personalized emails 9 were written to each of the 400 experts using a combination of Microsoft Word, Excel, and 10 Outlook. A QR-code and web link to the survey was attached in the email. The experts were 11 given four weeks to complete the survey, and after several rounds of reminders, a total of 56 12 valid responses were collected. Although small, the sample size was considered adequate for 13 reliable analysis because previous international survey analyzed even smaller samples such as 46 (Osei-Kyei, Chan and Ameyaw, 2017), 42 (Osei-Kyei, Chan, Javed, et al., 2017), and 29 (Sachs 14 15 et al., 2007).

#### 16 Data analysis protocol

- /

17 The responses of the experts were coded and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the 18 Social Sciences (SPSS v.25). SPSS was used to conduct several statistical tests, including 19 reliability analysis, data normality tests, mean score analysis, relativity weightings, and 20 significance analysis. The Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) test was used to determine the statistical 21 reliability and validity of the survey responses. The  $\alpha$  values ranges between 0 and 1, where 0.7 22 represents the minimum acceptable reliability threshold (Tavakol and Dennick, 2011). Based on 23 the recommendations of Chou et al. (1998), the Shapiro - Wilk test was used to determine 24 whether the dataset is normality distributed. This is crucial because it determines whether 25 parametric or nonparametric statistical techniques should be used to analyze the dataset further. 26 The mean indexes  $(\mu_i)$  for each CSF was computed using equation (1).

27 
$$\mu_{i} = \frac{\Sigma(S \times F)}{N}, \qquad (1 \le \mu_{i} \le 5)$$
(1)

Where, S denotes a score given to each CSF by an expert, ranging from 1 to 5 (1= not critical and 5=extremely critical); F denotes the frequency of each rating (1-5) for each CSF, and N represents the total number of responses for a given CSF. The mean indices of the CSFs were interpreted on an interval scale where a CSF with a mean index of  $\mu_i \le 1.4$ ,  $1.5 \le \mu_i \le 2.4$ ,  $2.5 \le \mu_i \le 3.4$ ,  $3.5 \le \mu_i \le 4.4$ , and  $\mu_i \ge 4.5$  was considered "not critical", "fairly critical", "critical", "very critical", and "extremely critical", respectively (Osei-Kyei, Chan, Javed, et al., 2017).

For normalization of the mean indices, the relativity index (RI) of each CSF was computed. The
RI imposes the need to prioritize CSFs with higher relative weights (Mbachu and Nkado, 2006).
The RI compared the mean indices of all the CSFs and computed as a unit of the sum of the
mean indices (Mbachu and Nkado, 2006), as shown in equation (2).

8 
$$RI_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mu_i)}$$
(2)

9 Where: N denotes the number of CSFs and  $\mu_i$  denotes the mean index of given CSF *i*. 10 Effectively, the RI of each CSF represents its relative weightings and normalized mean index. 11 Drawing on the work of Zhang (2005), the research further computed the significance index  $(S_i)$ 12 of each CSF. The linear fuzzy linguistic alternatives of the 5-point grading scale (1-5) used in 13 measuring the CSFs in the questionnaire were converted to a linear percentage scale of 20 - 100with 20 corresponding to the lowest and 100 the highest significance. Effectively, "1", "2", "3", 14 "4", and "5" have the significance indices of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100, respectively. The 15 significance index of a CSF is computed using equation (3) as follows: 16

17 Significance index (S<sub>i</sub>) = 
$$\frac{1*R_{i1}+2*R_{i2}+3*R_{i3}+4*R_{i4}+5*R_{i5}}{R_{i1}+R_{i2}+R_{i3}+R_{i4}+R_{i5}} = \frac{20R_{i1}+40R_{i2}+60R_{i3}+80R_{i4}+100R_{i5}}{R_{i1}+R_{i2}+R_{i3}+R_{i4}+R_{i5}}$$
 (3)

18 Where:  $S_i$  = significance index for the *i*<sup>th</sup> CSF;  $R_{i1}$  = number of responses for the grading 19 alternative "1" for the *i*<sup>th</sup> CSF; and  $R_{i5}$  = number of responses for the grading alternative "5" for 20 the *i*<sup>th</sup> CSF. These scores formed the basis for ranking of the CSFs for management of the early 21 stages of the PPVC project life cycle.

22

#### 23 **Results and discussions**

#### 24 Background information of the international experts

The first section of the questionnaire solicited background information of the experts, and the relevant components are shown in Table 3. Preponderances of the experts (44, 78.6%) were actively working in academia. This unequal sectorial distribution of the experts is characteristic of previous international survey studies (Osei-Kyei, Chan and Ameyaw, 2017; Osei-Kyei, Chan, Javed, et al., 2017). Notably, academic experts have stronger ties with industry and actively provide several services to industry practitioners. Some academic experts have worked in the industry for some time before joining academia, and thus the distribution is fair enough to capture the views of both the academics and industry practitioners.

4

#### [Table III. Background information of the PPVC experts]

5 A significant proportion (27, 48.2%) of the experts had below five years of hands-on experience 6 in PPVC projects. This is entirely justifiable becomes PPVC is still fledgling in some countries, 7 with fewer projects been implemented (Wuni and Shen, 2019b). However, these experts had 8 researched and published research articles on PPVC and related OSP models and thus acquired 9 rich theoretical and research knowledge of PPVC implementation. Majority of the experts had 10 over five years of hands-on and practical work experience in PPVC and related OSP models. 11 Indeed, 16.1% of the experts had over 21 years of experience and worked on several PPVC 12 project types. This corroborates the quality of experts used in the study, and hence reliable 13 results are expected from the study. A geospatial analysis showed that the experts have worked 14 on PPVC projects in 18 countries and all continents. These countries (Table 3) comprises 15 developing and developing economies. Therefore, rich and disparate experiences have been 16 integrated into the assessment of the CSFs in the current study. The experts had also worked on 17 different PPVC project types (Figure 2).

## 18

#### [Figure 2. PPVC project types associated with the experiences of the experts]

19

20 The experts had worked on different PPVC project types (Figure 2) across the 18 countries and 21 six continents. Most of the experts had worked on housing projects (71.4%), commercial 22 buildings (30.4%), schools (26.9%), industrial projects (23.2%), and health projects (17.9%) 23 where PPVC was implemented. This distribution is not a coincidence because these project types 24 have repetitive designs and lend themselves to PPVC (Hwang et al., 2018; Wuni and Shen, 25 2019a). This further shows that multiple project experiences and lessons were captured in the 26 evaluation of the CSFs, and hence, the results may apply to all these categories of PPVC project 27 types.

#### 28 Reliability and validity of survey results

Reliability analysis of the dataset for the 9 CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle generated a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.764, which is above the minimum threshold of 0.7 (Tavakol and Dennick, 2011). This highlights the excellent internal consistency in the responses of the experts and the overall validity of the research instrument used.

#### 5 Agreement and consistency of survey responses

Before assessing the agreement of the different experts engaged in the study, it was necessary to
ascertain whether parametric or nonparametric statistical technique should be used for
evaluation. The Shapiro – Wilk test of data normality generated P-values of less than 0.05 at
95% confidence level for all 9 CSFs (Table IV), indicating that the dataset is not normally
distributed.

11

[Table IV. Shapiro – Wilk and Kruskal – Wallis tests results of the CSFs]

12 This outcome imposed the use of a nonparametric technique to ascertain whether there are 13 significant differences in the ratings given by experts from academia and industry. The Kruskal -14 Wallis test; a rank-based nonparametric technique was used to test the null hypothesis that "there 15 is no agreement or consensus among experts on the rankings of the CSFs for the PPVC projects." 16 The Kruskal – Wallis test generated P-values greater than 0.05 for all CSFs (Table IV), 17 indicating that the null hypothesis can be rejected and hence there are no significant variations in 18 the ranking of CSFs by the experts from academia and industry. This indicates that the responses 19 can be treated holistically.

#### 20 Mean, relativity and significance indices of the CSFs for PPVC projects

Mean score analysis is widely used to assess the aggregate average rating of a CSF based on Likert scale data (Zafar et al., 2019). It measures the total average evaluation of a CSF by all the experts. Table V shows the mean, relativity, and significance indices of all the CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The three indices, as shown in Table V, were computed using equation (1) to (3), respectively. The significance indices formed the basis for ranking the CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle.

27 [**Table V.** Mean, relativity and significance indices of the CSFs for PPVC projects]

1 The mean score analysis of the CSFs (Table V) for management of the early stages of the PPVC 2 project life cycle generated indices greater than 3.0 on the 5-point grading scale adopted. Based 3 on the definition of the grading points used in the current study, all the CSFs are considered at 4 least critical. The relativity indices are consistent with the mean indices. Based on the 5 significance indices, the top 5 most influential CSFs for management of the early stages of the 6 PPVC project life cycle include CSF2 – robust design specifications, accurate drawings and early 7 design freeze (3.96, 0.120), CSF1 – good working collaboration, effective communication and 8 information sharing among project participants (3.86, 0.117), CSF3 – effective stakeholder 9 management (3.77, 0.114), CSF5 – extensive project planning and scheduling (3.71, 0.112), and 10 CSF9 - early engagement of designer, fabricator and contractor (3.70, 0.112). They all scored11 mean indices of at least 3.70 and were considered very critical in the management of the early 12 stages of the PPVC project life cycle.

#### 13 Correlation matrix of the CSFs for management of the early stages of PPVC projects

14 A correlation coefficient measures the linear association between two variables. It computes the 15 extent to which two CSFs change together in the management of early stages of the PPVC 16 project life cycle. The coefficient describes both the strength and direction of the relationship or 17 association. The two conventional techniques for linear correlation analysis include the Pearson 18 product-moment correlation and the Spearman rank-order correlation. The latter is used in the 19 current study because it is suitable for ordinal data as collected in the current study and assumes 20 a monotonic relationship where the CSFs may change together but not necessarily at a constant 21 rate. Table VI presents the correlation matrix of the 9 CSFs for management of the early stages 22 of the PPVC project life cycle. The coefficient of the Spearman rank-order correlation (r) takes 23 the values of -1 and 1. A coefficient of +1 denotes that an improvement in one CSF results in an 24 improvement in the correlated CSF and -1 denotes that an improvement in one CSF is associated 25 with a decrease in the amount of the correlated CSF. The correlation analysis is often useful to 26 identify correlated CSFs for factor analysis.

27

#### [Table VI. Correlation matrix of the CSFs for PPVC projects]

However, it also provides useful information for developing a conceptual framework of the CSFs. The implications of the correlation matrix (Table VI) is that most of the CSFs are mutually complementary and should be considered carefully in the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. Based on the correlation matrix, Figure 3 shows a
 conceptual framework for the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle.
 The results in Table VI and the implications of Figure 3 are discussed in the next section.

- 4
- 5

#### 6 Discussions of the CSFs for management of the early stages of PPVC projects

7 The mean, relativity, and significance indexes of the CSFs have revealed that the 9 CSFs are 8 critical to the successful management of the early stages of PPVC projects. The conceptual 9 framework (Figure 3) further shows how the early stages may be effectively managed to generate 10 accurate drawings and timely design freeze. The results in the current study diverge significantly 11 from the works of Li et al. (2018) on the critical success factors for planning and control of PHP 12 in China. The top 5 CSFs in the current research are different from the top 5 CSFs in the works 13 of Li et al. (2018). This highlights the sensitivities of the CSFs to project types and territories and 14 makes a useful contribution to the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. 15 Considering that all the CSFs are found to be significant and critical, they are discussed (in 16 ranked order) in this section with references to the statistics in Table IV - VI and Figure 3. The 17 CSFs are discussed according to their overall ranking in Table V.

18 [Figure 3. A conceptual framework of the CSFs for managing the early stages of PPVC projects]

#### 19 CSF2 – Robust design specifications, accurate drawings, and early design freeze

20 This CSF was ranked first among the 9 CSFs with a mean score of 3.96, a relativity weight of 21 0.120, and a significance index of 79.29% (Table V). It has significant positive correlations with 22 CSF3, CSF5, CSF6, CSF8, and CSF9, indicating that its effective implementation could improve 23 the success of five other CSFs. The design of PPVC projects constitutes a significant stage in its 24 implementations. Drawing on the concept of DfMA, the manufacturing and assembly of the 25 volumetric modules are significantly influenced by the accuracy of the design (Building and 26 Construction Authority, 2019). During the detailed design and engineering stages, allowable 27 tolerances are specified for the factory production and on-site assembly of the modules to avoid a 28 significant risk of dimensional and geometric variabilities (Enshassi et al., 2019; Shahtaheri et 29 al., 2017). Errors at the design stage may result in the production of components which are not

1 consistent with the overall scope of the project. Considering that the modules usually designed 2 and produced to be used in a specific project, inaccuracy of the design may generate huge cost to 3 account for the wrongly produced components. Accurate drawing and early design freeze is a 4 CSF for management of the early stages because the next major stage (component manufacture) 5 in the PPVC project life cycle (Figure 1) can only commence after the design freeze (Gibb and 6 Isack, 2003). From Figure 3, this CSF may be effectively achieved through good working 7 collaboration, information sharing, and the use of technologies such as building information 8 modeling (Wuni and Shen, 2019b). There is the need for designers, client, engineers, fabricators, 9 and contractors to collaborate and share information during this stage because a decision made at 10 this stage affects the roles of these stakeholders in the subsequent stages of the PPVC project life 11 cycle.

### 12 CSF1 – Good working collaboration, effective communication and information sharing

#### 13 *among project participants*

14 Information exchange and communication throughout the PPVC project life cycle is paramount, 15 specifically through early involvement of the actors such as contractors, subcontractors, and 16 engineers. It is therefore not surprising that CSF1 was evaluated as a very critical in the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. CSF1 was ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> among the 17 18 9 CSFs with a mean index of 3.86, a relative weight of 0.117, and significance index of 77.14% 19 (Table V). During the conception, planning, and design stage of PPVC projects, the inputs of 20 several project participants are required. Decisions made at this stage affect the roles of 21 subsequent project participants in the PPVC project life cycle (Luo et al., 2019; Wuni et al., 22 2019; Wuni and Shen, 2019a). For instance, the detailed design and engineering specifications 23 produced by the architects, designers, and engineers are to be used to manufacture the 24 components by the fabricator (Wuni and Shen, 2019b, 2019a). On-site installation of building 25 components has to be supervised by assembly contractors or project managers. Thus, 26 collaboration and information sharing are crucial to ensure that participants in upstream stages 27 understand the implications of decisions made at downstream stages. The collaboration further 28 allows for ideas of the various participant to be leveraged to facilitate accurate drawings and 29 early design freeze. This collaboration becomes even obligatory, where the design-build 30 procurement approach is adopted (Wuni and Shen, 2019a).

31 CSF3 – Effective stakeholder management

This CSF was ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> among the 9 CSFs with a mean index of 3.77, a relative weight of 1 2 0.114, and significance index of 75.36% (Table V). CSF3 had a significant positive correlation 3 with CSF1, CSF2, CSF6, CSF7, CSF8, and CSF9, indicating that the effective management of 4 stakeholders at the earliest stages is associated with improvement in 6 other CSFs. Considering 5 that the PPVC supply chain stages are linked and associated with multidisciplinary stakeholders 6 with their unique goals and value systems (Luo et al., 2019; Wuni et al., 2019), the effective 7 management of the involved stakeholders at their early stages would facilitate the successful 8 implementation of the PPVC project. Wuni and Shen (2019b) identified CSF3 as a critical 9 success factor for implementing MiC projects, and Luo et al. (2019) found CSF3 to be a success 10 factor in prefabricated construction projects.

#### 11 CSF5 – Extensive project planning and scheduling

Extensive project planning and scheduling (CSF5) was ranked 4<sup>th</sup> out of the 9 CSFs with a mean 12 13 index of 3.71, a relative weight of 0.112, and a significance index of 74.29% (Table V). From 14 Table VI, CSF5 has significant positive correlations with CSF2, CSF6, and CSF8. From Figure 15 3, it constitutes one of the earliest project management activities in the PPVC project life cycle 16 and may be effective through early engagement of designers, engineers, fabricators, and 17 contractors. After a decision has been made to implement PPVC in a project, the planning team 18 is required to identify and meet with key project stakeholders, set and prioritize the goals of the 19 project, define deliverables, create the PPVC project schedules, plan for risk, and generate a 20 project plan. This will allow the client or developer to recognize the early completion associated 21 with PPVC projects (Choi et al., 2016; O'Connor et al., 2014) and to determine the adequacy of 22 resources to support the rapid project schedule (Choi et al., 2016).

#### 23 CSF9 – Early engagement of players such as designers, engineers, fabricators, and

#### 24 contractors

Early engagement of designers, architects, engineers, fabricators, and contractors is the most cited CSF for management of the early stages of PPVC project life cycle (Building and Construction Authority, 2017; Construction Industry Council, 2018). The concept of "early" used throughout the research emphasizes the early commitment and decision-making required to realize significant gains from PPVC projects. CSF9 was ranked 5<sup>th</sup> out of 9 CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle with a mean index of 3.70, a relativity index of 0.112, and a significance index of 73.93. CSF9 was reported as a critical success factor for modular integrated construction (Wuni and Shen, 2019b) and PHP (Li et al., 2018). It has significant correlation with CSF2, CSF3, CSF6, and CSF7. Rentschler et al. (2016) argued that engaging the fabricators at the design stage is necessary because they sometimes have the best design ideas. Thus, these stakeholders should be given due consideration at the earliest stages.

6

#### 7 CSF7 – Early advice and consideration from PPVC design and engineering experts

8 The effective implementation of PPVC requires a commitment to early planning and decision-9 making. Thus, the decision to implement PPVC must be made early from the outset of the 10 project. CSF7 was evaluated as very critical in the effective management of the early stages of 11 the PPVC project life cycle and ranked 6<sup>th</sup> out of 9 CSFs. It recorded a mean index of 3.66, a 12 relativity index of 0.111, and a significance index of 73.21% (Table V). It has a significant 13 positive correlation with CSF1, CSF3, and CSF6. The early advice from PPVC design and 14 engineering experts is required to ascertain whether the design of the project is suitable for 15 PPVC and the potential benefits associated with its implementation. Blismas et al. (2006) 16 identified CSF7 as a critical early management activity to guide further decision and 17 consideration of PPVC in a project. The early advice will facilitate the early commitment 18 required to reap the full benefits of the PPVC technology.

#### 19 CSF6 – Realistic feasibility analysis and early decisions

This CSF was ranked 7<sup>th</sup> out of 9 CSFs with a mean index of 3.55, a relativity index of 0.107, 20 21 and a significance index of 71.07% (Table V). Realistic feasibility analysis is required to identify 22 the practicality of implementing PPVC in a project. Economic analysis in the detailed feasibility 23 study will inform PPVC investment decision (Hwang et al., 2018; Murtaza et al., 1993; Wuni 24 and Shen, 2019a) and inform the early decisions and commitment to the PPVC approach in a project (Blismas et al., 2006). Wuni and Shen (2019a) explained that not all conditions and 25 26 circumstances render PPVC the best construction approach for a project. Thus, realistic 27 feasibility assessment and systematic economic analysis will provide a sound basis for making 28 the early decisions to implement PPVC in a project. This will further allow for early commitment 29 to be made at the outset of the PPVC project to realize the maximum benefits of the approach. 30 The implication is that bespoke feasibility analysis must be conducted at the earliest stages to

ascertain the feasibility of implementing PPVC in the project and the associated cost and
 benefits.

#### 3 CSF8 – Adequate experience and technical knowledge of key participants

4 PPVC is a disruptive technology which requires different capabilities and technical knowledge of 5 the key project participants (Fraser et al., 2015). For instance, some amount of manufacturing 6 knowledge and skills is required to evaluate the detailed design of the project. It is not surprising 7 that adequate experience and technical knowledge of the key participants (CSF8) was evaluated 8 as very critical in the management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. This CSF was ranked 8<sup>th</sup> out of 9 CSFs with a mean score of 3.50, a relativity weight of 0.106, and a 9 10 significance index of 70.00% (Table V). Considering the significant importance of the design 11 stage to the entire PPVC project life cycle (Figure 1), the engineer or designer must have 12 adequate experience and technical knowledge to deliver accurate designs and robust engineering 13 specification for manufacturing of the building components. Errors made in the design could 14 hatch a huge risk of cost increase in the form redesign, remanufacturing of components, 15 dimensional and geometric variabilities, and site-fit reworks (Wuni et al., 2019). Thus, the key 16 project participants should have enough knowledge to deliver the early stages with infinitesimal 17 errors.

#### 18 CSF4 – Effective use of information and communication technology (e.g., BIM)

19 Information and communication technologies constitute one of the disruptions in the 20 construction industry, which are changing how construction projects are planned, designed, and 21 managed. One of such disruptive tools is building information modeling (BIM). BIM is a tool 22 which can promote deeper collaboration and efficient coordination of the multidisciplinary 23 stakeholders in the PPVC project life cycle (Mostafa et al., 2018). Effective use of information 24 and communication technology such as BIM was evaluated as a critical CSF for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle. CSF4 was ranked 9th and last of the CSFs with a 25 26 mean index of 3.38, a relativity weight of 0.102, and a significance index of 67.50%. The use of 27 BIM at the earliest would provide a common digital platform to coordinate the views and ideas 28 of the different project participants into the design of the PPVC project. The key participant can 29 see the changes made to the design in real-time and could improve the design significantly. 30 Where circumstances merit, BIM should be adopted at the early stages to facilitate deeper and 31 closer collaboration among the client, designers, architects, engineers, fabricators, and

contractors. This will improve the effective management of the stakeholders (Luo et al., 2019;
 Wuni et al., 2019; Wuni and Shen, 2019b).

#### **3** Conclusions, contributions, and limitations

4 The success of PPVC projects is directly linked to the key decisions made at the earliest stages of 5 the project life cycle. However, knowledge of how best to manage the early stages of the PPVC 6 project life cycle is limited. This research evaluated the CSFs required for effective management 7 of the conception, planning, and design stages of the PPVC project life cycle. The research 8 adopted a quantitative research design where an online-based structured questionnaire was used 9 to measure the significance of identified CSFs for management of the early stages of PPVC 10 projects. The research collected data from international PPVC experts distributed across 18 11 countries and six continents who have substantial research and hands-on experience in different 12 PPVC project types. Based on mean score analysis, relativity weightings and significance 13 indices, the experts evaluated all 9 CSFs as critical in the effective management of the early 14 stages of the PPVC project life cycle. Of the 9 CSFs, the top 5 most significant CSFs include 15 robust design specifications, accurate drawings and early design freeze; good working 16 collaboration, effective communication and information sharing among project participants; 17 effective stakeholder management at the early stages; extensive project planning and scheduling; 18 and early engagement of key players such as designers, architects, engineers, fabricators and 19 contractors. This research constitutes the first exclusive quantitative evaluation of the CSFs 20 required for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle and has both 21 theoretical and practical implications for the management of PPVC projects. Theoretically, the 22 research has established an exclusive checklist of CSFs required for management of the early 23 stages of a PPVC project life cycle. Practically, the study has identified and ranked the CSFs, 24 which should be given sustained attention and resources commitment to improving the success 25 of PPVC projects. Overall, the study will improve the practice and praxis of PPVC project 26 management. However, the study suffered the following limitations: (1) although adequate, the 27 sample size was small, and hence the results may suffer from the limited sample; (2) the study 28 adopted a generic approach to the assessment of the CSFs, but the CSFs are sensitive to project 29 types and territories. Therefore, bespoke studies are required prior to implementation of a PPVC 30 project. Future studies will develop a structural equation model of the CSFs, exploring their 31 interactions and interdependences.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 4
- 5
- 6

# 7 **References**

- Blismas, N.G., Pasquire, C. and Gibb, A.G.F. (2006), "Benefit evaluation for off-site production
  in construction", *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 121–130.
- Building and Construction Authority. (2017), Overview of Design for Manufacturing and
   Assembly (DFMA), Singapore.
- Building and Construction Authority. (2019), *Prefabricated Prefinished Volumetric Construction* (*PPVC*), Singapore, available at: https://www.bca.gov.sg/BuildableDesign/ppvc.html.
- Choi, J.O., O'Connor, J.T. and Kim, T.W. (2016), "Recipes for Cost and Schedule Successes in
   Industrial Modular Projects: Qualitative Comparative Analysis", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 142 No. 10, p. 04016055.
- Chou, Y.-M., Polansky, A.M. and Mason, R.L. (1998), "Transforming Non-Normal Data to
  Normality in Statistical Process Control", *Journal of Quality Technology*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp.
  133–141.
- 20 Construction Industry Council. (2018), "About Modular Integrated Construction", Construction
   21 Industry Council, Hong Kong.
- Egan, J. (1998), *Rethinking Construction: The Report of the Construction Task Force*, available
   at:https://doi.org/Construction Task Force. Uk Government.
- Enshassi, M.S.A., Walbridge, S., West, J.S. and Haas, C.T. (2019), "Integrated Risk
  Management Framework for Tolerance-Based Mitigation Strategy Decision Support in
  Modular Construction Projects", *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 35 No. 4, p.
  05019004.
- Fraser, N., Race, G.L., Kelly, R., Winstanley, A. and Hancock, P. (2015), An Offsite Guide for
   the Building and Engineering Services Sector, Loughborough, available
   at:https://doi.org/10.1680/mpal.13.00031.
- 31 Freund, Y.P. (1988), "Critical Success Factors", *Planning Review*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 20–23.
- Gao, S., Low, S.P. and Nair, K. (2018), "Design for manufacturing and assembly (DfMA): a
   preliminary study of factors influencing its adoption in Singapore", *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, Taylor & Francis, Vol. 14 No. 6, pp. 440–456.
- 35 Gibb, A.G.F. and Isack, F. (2003), "Re-engineering through pre-assembly: Client expectations

- 1 and drivers", *Building Research and Information*, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 146–160.
- Hwang, B.-G., Shan, M. and Looi, K.Y. (2018), "Knowledge-based decision support system for
  prefabricated prefinished volumetric construction", *Automation in Construction*, Elsevier,
  Vol. 94 No. July, pp. 168–178.
- Jaillon, L., Poon, C.S. and Chiang, Y.H. (2009), "Quantifying the waste reduction potential of
  using prefabrication in building construction in Hong Kong", *Waste Management*, Elsevier
  Ltd, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 309–320.
- Li, L., Li, Z., Wu, G. and Li, X. (2018), "Critical success factors for project planning and control
  in prefabrication housing production: A China study", *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, Vol. 10
  No. 836, pp. 1–17.
- Luo, L., Shen, G.Q., Xu, G., Liu, Y. and Wang, Y. (2019), "Stakeholder-associated Supply
   Chain Risks and Their Interactions in a Prefabricated Building Project : A Case Study in
   Hong Kong", *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 1–14.
- Mao, C., Shen, Q., Shen, L. and Tang, L. (2013), "Comparative study of greenhouse gas
  emissions between off-site prefabrication and conventional construction methods: Two case
  studies of residential projects", *Energy and Buildings*, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 66, pp. 165–176.
- Mbachu, J. and Nkado, R. (2006), "Conceptual framework for assessment of client needs and
   satisfaction in the building development process", *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 31–44.
- McKinsey Global Institute. (2017), *Reinventing Construction: A Route To Higher Productivity*,
   New York, United States.
- Mostafa, S., Kim, K.P., Tam, V.W.Y. and Rahnamayiezekavat, P. (2018), "Exploring the status,
   benefits, barriers and opportunities of using BIM for advancing prefabrication practice",
   *International Journal of Construction Management*, Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–12.
- Murtaza, M.B., Fisher, D.J. and Skibniewski, M.J. (1993), "Knowledgment-Based Approach to
   Modular Construction Decision Support", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 119 No. 1, pp. 115–130.
- O'Connor, J.T., O'Brien, W.J. and Choi, J.O. (2014), "Critical Success Factors and Enablers for
   Optimum and Maximum Industrial Modularization", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 140 No. 6, p. 04014012.
- Osei-Kyei, R., Chan, A.P.C. and Ameyaw, E.E. (2017), "A fuzzy synthetic evaluation analysis of
   operational management critical success factors for public-private partnership infrastructure
   projects", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 24 No. 7, pp. 2092–2112.
- Osei-Kyei, R., Chan, A.P.C., Javed, A.A. and Ameyaw, E.E. (2017), "Critical success criteria for
   public-private partnership projects: international experts' opinion", *International Journal of Strategic Property Management*, Vol. 21 No. 1, pp. 87–100.

#### Rentschler, C., Mulrooney, M. and Shahani, G. (2016), "Modularization: The key to success in today's market", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, Vol. 95 No. 12, pp. 27–30.

- Rockart, J.F. (1982), "The changing role of the information systems executive : a critical success
   factors perspective", *Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 3–13.
- Sachs, T., Tiong, R. and Qing Wang, S. (2007), "Analysis of political risks and opportunities in
   public private partnerships (PPP) in China and selected Asian countries: Survey results",
   *Chinese Management Studies*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 126–148.
- Shahtaheri, Y., Rausch, C., West, J., Haas, C. and Nahangi, M. (2017), "Managing risk in
  modular construction using dimensional and geometric tolerance strategies", *Automation in Construction*, Elsevier B.V., Vol. 83, pp. 303–315.
- 9 Tavakol, M. and Dennick, R. (2011), "Making sense of Cronbach's alpha", *International Journal* 10 of Medical Education, Vol. 2, pp. 53–55.
- Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q. (2019a), "Towards a Decision Support for Modular Integrated
   Construction: An Integrative Review of the Primary Decision-Making Factors",
   *International Journal of Construction Management*, pp. 1–20.
- Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q. (2019b), "Critical success factors for modular integrated construction
   projects : a review", *Building Research & Information*, pp. 1–22.
- Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q. (2019c), "Risks Identification and Allocation in the Supply Chain of
  Modular Integrated Construction (MiC)", in Al-Hussein, M. (Ed.), *Proceedings of the*2019 Modular and Offsite Construction (MOC) Summit, University of Alberta, Banff,
  Alberta, Canada, pp. 189–197.
- Wuni, I.Y. and Shen, G.Q.P. (2019d), "Holistic Review and Conceptual Framework for the
   Drivers of Offsite Construction: A Total Interpretive Structural Modelling Approach",
   *Buildings*, Vol. 9 No. 117, pp. 1–24.
- Wuni, I.Y., Shen, G.Q.P. and Mahmud, A.T. (2019), "Critical risk factors in the application of
   modular integrated construction: a systematic review", *International Journal of Construction Management*, Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–15.
- Zafar, I., Wuni, I.Y., Shen, G.Q.P., Ahmed, S. and Yousaf, T. (2019), "A fuzzy synthetic
  evaluation analysis of time overrun risk factors in highway projects of terrorism-affected
  countries: the case of Pakistan", *International Journal of Construction Management*, Taylor
  & Francis, Vol. 0 No. 0, pp. 1–19.
- Zhang, X. (2005), "Critical success factors for public-private partnerships in infrastructure
   development", *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 131 No. 1, pp.
   3–14.
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 27
- 37

- **Table I.** Potential CSFs for PPVC projects (Wuni and Shen, 2019b)

Code	Potential CSFs for PPVC projects
CSF1	Good working collaboration and effective communication
CSF2	Effective supply chain coordination and management
CSF3	Clear specifications, accurate drawings, and early design freeze
CSF4	Involvement of key players throughout the project
CSF5	Suitable procurement strategy and contracting
CSF6	Effective use of information and communication technology
CSF7	Extensive project planning and scheduling
CSF8	Feasibility and economic analysis
CSF9	Early advice and consideration from PPVC experts
CSF10	Adequate experience and technical knowledge of key participants
CSF11	Early engagement of designer, fabricator, and contractor
CSF12	Effective risk management
CSF13	Effective stakeholder management

**Table II**. Final list of CSFs for management of the early stages of the PPVC project life cycle

Code	Potential CSFs for PPVC projects
CSF1	Good working collaboration, effective communication and information sharing among
	project participants
CSF2	Robust design specifications, accurate drawings, and early design freeze
CSF3	Effective stakeholder management
CSF4	Effective use of information and communication technology (e.g., BIM)
CSF5	Extensive project planning and scheduling
CSF6	Realistic economic analysis and early decisions
CSF7	Early advice and consideration from PPVC design and engineering experts
CSF8	Adequate experience and technical knowledge of key participants
CSF9	Early engagement of key players such as designers, engineers, fabricators, and contractor

#### -

# **Table III**. Background information of the PPVC experts

Attribute			Sub-attribute	Responses	% Responses
Job Sector			Academia	44	78.6
			Industry	12	21.4
			Total	56	100.0
Years of	PPVC	work	Below 5 years	27	48.2
experience			5 - 10 years	13	23.2
			11 - 15 years	5	8.9
			16 - 20 years	2	3.6
			21 years and above	9	16.1
			Total	56	100.0
Country			United States	10	17.9
			Canada	8	14.3
			China	7	12.5
			Hong Kong	7	12.5
			Australia	5	8.9
			Malaysia	4	7.1
			United Kingdom	4	7.2
			Brazil	1	1.8
			Finland	1	1.8
			Germany	1	1.8
			Greece	1	1.8
			Lebanon	1	1.8
			Singapore	1	1.8
			Slovakia	1	1.8
			Spain	1	1.8
			Sweden	1	1.8
			Switzerland	1	1.8
			Tanzania	1	1.8
			Total	56	100.0

T	
2	

## Table IV. Shapiro – Wilk and Kruskal – Wallis tests results of the CSFs

Code	CSF for PPVC projects	Frequency of ratings				8	Shapiro – Wilk	Kruskal – Wallis		
		1	2	3	4	5	Test (Sig.)	test (Asymp. Sig)		
CSF1	Good working collaboration, effective communication and information sharing among project participants	0	2	16	26	12	0.000*	0.534		
CSF2	Robust design specifications, accurate drawings, and early design freeze	0	3	10	29	14	0.000*	0.931		
CSF3	Effective stakeholder management	0	5	13	28	10	0.000*	0.605		
CSF4	Effective use of information and communication technology (e.g., BIM)	1	6	25	19	5	0.000*	0.708		
CSF5	Extensive project planning and scheduling	0	6	15	24	11	0.000*	0.958		
CSF6	Realistic feasibility analysis and early decisions	0	7	18	24	7	0.000*	0.239		
CSF7	Early advice and consideration from PPVC design and engineering experts	0	10	10	25	11	0.000*	0.453		
CSF8	Adequate experience and technical knowledge of key participants	0	6	21	24	5	0.000*	0.108		
CSF9	Early engagement of key players such as designers, engineers, fabricators, and contractor	1	2	21	21	11	0.000*	0.816		

\*The Shapiro-Wilk test was significant at the 0.05 significance level, indicating the data were not normally distributed

**Table V.** Mean, relativity and significance indices of the CSFs for PPVC projects

Code	CSF	Mean	Standard	Relativity	Significance	Ranking
		index	deviation	index	index (%)	
CSF2	Robust design specifications,	3.96	0.81	0.120	79.29	1
	accurate drawings, and early design					
	freeze					
CSF1	Good working collaboration,	3.86	0.80	0.117	77.14	2
	effective communication and					
	information sharing among project					
	participants					
CSF3	Effective stakeholder management	3.77	0.85	0.114	75.36	3
CSF5	Extensive project planning and	3.71	0.91	0.112	74.29	4
	scheduling					
CSF9	Early engagement of key players	3.70	0.89	0.112	73.93	5
	such as designers, engineers,					
~~~~	fabricators, and contractor					
CSF7	Early advice and consideration	3.66	1.00	0.111	73.21	6
	from PPVC design and engineering					
GGE(	experts		0.07	0.107	<b>51</b> 0 <b>5</b>	-
CSF6	Realistic feasibility analysis and	3.55	0.87	0.107	/1.0/	1
CCEO	early decisions	2.50	0.01	0.100	70.00	0
CSF8	Adequate experience and technical	3.50	0.81	0.106	/0.00	8
	knowledge of key participants	2.20	0.00	0.100	(7.50	0
CSF4	Effective use of information and	3.38	0.86	0.102	67.50	9
	communication technology (e.g.,					

**Table VI**. Correlation matrix of the CSFs for PPVC projects

Code		CSF1	CSF2	CSF3	CSF4	CSF5	CSF6	CSF7	CSF8	CSF9
CSF1	r	1.000								
CSF2	r	0.269*	1.000							
CSF3	r	0.395**	0.435**	1.000						
CSF4	r	0.105	0.224	0.045	1.000					
CSF5	r	0.110	0.363**	0.188	0.002	1.000				
CSF6	r	0.249	0.408**	0.298*	0.112	0.387**	1.000			
CSF7	r	0.382**	0.243	0.477**	0.071	0.185	0.518**	1.000		
CSF8	r	0.301*	0.430**	0.524**	0.073	0.275*	0.561**	0.500**	1.000	
CSF9	r	0.210	0.276*	0.376**	0.234	-0.012	0.314*	0.309*	0.232	1.000

6 \*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

7 \*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)



## **Figure 1**. The basic life cycle of a PPVC project



6 Figure 2. PPVC project types associated with the experiences of the experts



Figure 3. A conceptual framework of the CSFs for managing the early stages of PPVC projects

 $\frac{1}{2}$