



**CROSSHOLE RESISTIVITY AND ACOUSTIC VELOCITY  
IMAGING: 2.5-D HELMHOLTZ EQUATION  
MODELING AND INVERSION**

by

Zhou Bing

B.Sc., M.Sc. (China)

Department of Geology and Geophysics  
The University of Adelaide  
SA 5005, Australia

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 1998

# Contents

<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>Statement .....</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>Acknowledgments.....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Crosshole Resistivity Imaging.....	1
1.2 Crosshole Seismic Imaging.....	3
1.3 2.5-D Approximation .....	5
1.4 Objectives of The Study and Outline of Thesis.....	7
<b>Chapter 2 2.5-D Helmholtz Equation.....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 2.5-D Helmholtz Equation.....	9
2.2 DC Electric Potential Equation .....	11
2.3 Acoustic Wave Equation.....	13
2.4 2.5-D Green's Functions .....	16
2.5 Principle of Reciprocity.....	19
2.6 The Finite Element Method (FEM).....	20
(1) Galerkin's Solution.....	21
(2) Variational Principle .....	22
<b>Chapter 3 2.5-D DC Resistivity Modeling.....</b>	<b>25</b>
3.1 Mixed-Boundary Condition .....	26
3.2 The FEM Formulation .....	28
(1) Inner Element Matrix .....	29
(2) Boundary Element Matrix .....	35
3.3 Inverse Fourier Transform.....	36
3.4 Implementation of The Method.....	39
3.5 Examples of Modeling.....	43
(1) Accuracy of The Method .....	43
(2) Resistivity Profiling.....	46
(3) Crosshole Applied Potential Surveying.....	46

---

(4) Monitoring of Underground Water Injection	
From Artificial Aquifer Storage.....	48
<b>Chapter 4 2.5-D Acoustic Wave Modeling in</b>	
<b>The Frequency-Domain .....</b>	52
4.1 2.5-D Absorbing Boundary Condition .....	52
(1) Clayton-Engquist ABC.....	53
(2) General Form of The 2.5-D ABC.....	54
4.2 Boundary Condition for The Evanescent Field .....	57
4.3 Composite Boundary-Valued Solution .....	58
(1) The FEM Formulation .....	59
(2) Inner Element Matrix.....	60
(3) Boundary Element Matrix .....	62
(4) Numerical Modeling Results.....	64
4.4 A Damping Method .....	77
(1) The FEM Formulation .....	78
(2) Choice of The Damping Term .....	80
(3) Numerical Modeling Results .....	81
<b>Chapter 5 Extension to 2.5-D Elastic Wave Modeling</b>	
<b>in The Frequency-Domain .....</b>	90
5.1 2.5-D Elastic Wave Equation .....	91
5.2 The FEM Formulation .....	95
5.3 Boundary Conditions .....	98
(1) A Viscous Boundary Condition.....	99
(2) Paraxial Approximation .....	100
(3) The Modified Higdon Formula .....	101
<b>Chapter 6 Inversion Algorithms .....</b>	104
6.1 Objective Functions .....	104
6.2 Tikhonov Regularization Solutions .....	108
(1) General Iterative Solution.....	109
(2) Iteratively Linearized Solution.....	110
(3) Conjugate Gradient Solution (CGS).....	113
(4) Local-Search Quadratic Approximation CGS .....	114

---

6.3 The Smoothest Model Solution (Occam's Inversion) .....	120
6.4 Generalized Subspace Solution.....	122
<b>Chapter 7 Computation of The Fréchet and Second Derivatives .....</b>	<b>128</b>
7.1 Basic Definitions .....	129
(1) Bilinear Operator.....	129
(2) Fréchet and Second Derivatives.....	130
7.2 Common Algorithms.....	131
7.3 Explicit Expressions for DC Resistivity .....	134
7.4 Explicit Expressions for 2.5-D Acoustic Waves .....	139
7.5 Numerical Algorithms .....	142
7.6 Synthetic Examples.....	148
(1) Patterns in a Homogeneous Background.....	148
(2) Patterns for Imhomogeneous Models.....	152
<b>Chapter 8 Synthetic Study on Crosshole DC Resistivity Imaging .....</b>	<b>157</b>
8.1 Crosshole Surveying Configurations.....	157
8.2 Sensitivity Variation of Crosshole Measurements.....	162
8.3 Numerical Experiments of Crosshole Imaging.....	170
(1) Pole-Pole Array.....	172
(2) Pole-Bipole Array .....	173
(3) Bipole-Pole Array .....	176
(4) Bipole-Bipole Array .....	178
8.4 Numerical Experiments for Resistivity Profiling.....	183
8.5 Physical Model Imaging Experiments.....	188
<b>Chapter 9 Numerical Simulations for Crosshole Acoustic Velocity Imaging with Spectral Data .....</b>	<b>191</b>
9.1 Full-waveform Inversion.....	191
9.2 2.5-D Generalized Diffraction Tomography .....	195
9.3 Imaging with a Known Source Wavelet.....	199
(1) Using Real and Imaginary components.....	201
(2) Using Hartley-Spectral Data.....	204
(3) Using Amplitude and Phase Spectra.....	208
9.3 Imaging with an Unknown Source Wavelet.....	214

(1) Normalized Spectral Data.....	214
(2) Numerical Imaging Experiments.....	217
<b>Chapter 10 Conclusions and Future Research .....</b>	<b>222</b>
10.1 Forward Modeling .....	222
10.2 Imaging Algorithms .....	224
10.3 Numerical Imaging Results.....	225
10.4 Future Research.....	227
<b>Appendix A The Hartley Transform .....</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>Appendix B Computation of The Boundary Integral.....</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>Appendix C Semi-Analytic Acoustic Solution for Two Semi-infinite Media in Contact.....</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>Appendix D A Constant-Block Approximation in The Computation of The Fréchet and Second Derivatives for Resistivity Imaging.....</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>239</b>

---

## Abstract

Crosshole resistivity and seismic velocity imaging (diffraction tomography or waveform inversion with the acoustic approximation) are two very useful techniques in geophysical exploration, because they may be employed to map conductivity or velocity inhomogeneities (geological anomalies) between boreholes. Such imaging techniques, when considered in mathematical terms, both reduce to 2.5-D Helmholtz equation modeling and inversion. This thesis presents (1) new finite element solutions for two specified forms of this kind of equation, which define 2.5-D resistivity and 2.5-D acoustic wave problems, as well as an extension to 2.5-D elastic waves solution; (2) iterative algorithms for tackling inversion problems, including Tikhonov regularisation, the smoothest model solution and the subspace solution, in which the generalised algorithms and their inter-relations are formulated, and new approximations for the Fréchet and second derivatives are developed; (3) numerical and physical model experiments for crosshole resistivity imaging with different electrode arrays (or configurations) and synthetic simulations for crosshole acoustic velocity imaging with different full-waveform spectral data (real and imaginary components, amplitude and phase, and the Hartley spectra).

The modeling examples for resistivity and acoustic wavefield show that the presented methods are effective and flexible to compute the 3-D physical response for 2-D arbitrary media. Furthermore, it is shown that the accuracy of the modeling mainly depends upon the wavenumber range and the number of wavenumber samples, both of which can be evaluated in terms of the typical shape of the transformed potential in the resistivity case and the critical values of the wavenumber of the media around the seismic source in the acoustic wave case, respectively. The constant-point approximation based on the explicit expressions for the Fréchet and second derivatives has been shown to be a robust and efficient algorithm for inversion. The numerical and physical imaging experiments show that some specified three- and four-electrode arrays are more suitable for crosshole resistivity imaging than the pole-pole array. For acoustic imaging with spectral data, the real and imaginary components, the Fourier amplitude

and the Hartley spectra are suitable for full-waveform inversion with a known source wavelet, but the phase data do not permit satisfactory object field reconstruction. It is shown in the thesis that the normalised Fourier/Hartley spectral data can be used for imaging when the seismic source wavelet is unknown.