

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Antoni C, Quentin D, Lang AE, Aktories K, Gatsogiannis C, Raunser S (2020) Cryo-EM structure of the fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state. PLoS Pathog 16(8): e1008530. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/</u> journal.ppat.1008530

Editor: Karla J.F. Satchell, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, UNITED STATES

Received: April 5, 2020

Accepted: June 27, 2020

Published: August 18, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008530

Copyright: © 2020 Antoni et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The cryo-EM density maps of the PA7LF3-masked, PA7LF(2+1B), PA7LF(2+1A) and PA7LF(2+1A)', complexes are deposited into the Electron Microscopy Data Bank **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Cryo-EM structure of the fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state

Claudia Antoni¹, Dennis Quentin¹, Alexander E. Lang², Klaus Aktories², Christos Gatsogiannis¹, Stefan Raunser¹

Department of Structural Biochemistry, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany,
Institute of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

* stefan.raunser@mpi-dortmund.mpg.de

Abstract

Anthrax toxin is the major virulence factor secreted by Bacillus anthracis, causing high mortality in humans and other mammals. It consists of a membrane translocase, known as protective antigen (PA), that catalyzes the unfolding of its cytotoxic substrates lethal factor (LF) and edema factor (EF), followed by translocation into the host cell. Substrate recruitment to the heptameric PA pre-pore and subsequent translocation, however, are not well understood. Here, we report three high-resolution cryo-EM structures of the fully-loaded anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state, which differ in the position and conformation of LFs. The structures reveal that three LFs interact with the heptameric PA and upon binding change their conformation to form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. As a result of the underlying symmetry mismatch, one LF binding site in PA remains unoccupied. Whereas one LF directly interacts with a part of PA called α -clamp, the others do not interact with this region, indicating an intermediate state between toxin assembly and translocation. Interestingly, the interaction of the N-terminal domain with the α -clamp correlates with a higher flexibility in the C-terminal domain of the protein. Based on our data, we propose a model for toxin assembly, in which the relative position of the N-terminal α -helices in the three LFs determines which factor is translocated first.

Author summary

Anthrax is a life-threatening infectious disease that affects primarily livestock and wild animals, but can also cause high mortality in humans. Due to its suitability as a bioweapon and the search for an antidote, it is important to understand the molecular mechanism of infection of the anthrax pathogen and in particular of the anthrax toxin. Although the process of poisoning by anthrax toxin has been extensively investigated, many details are still missing that are required to understand the mechanism of action in molecular detail. Here, we used single-particle electron cryo microscopy to determine structures of the with the accession codes EMD-11522, EMD-11523, EMD-11524 and EMD-11525, respectively. Corresponding coordinates for PA7LF3-masked, PA7LF2+1B and PA7LF2+1A have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession number 6ZXJ, 6ZXK and 6ZXL. All relevant data is in the manuscript or has been deposited to the EMDB and PDB and publicly available.

Funding: This work was supported by the Max Planck Society (to S.R.) and the European Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/ 2007–2013) (grant no. 615984) (to S.R.). D.Q. is a fellow of Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

fully-loaded asymmetric anthrax lethal toxin in its heptameric pre-pore state. The structures reveal that three lethal factors interact with the heptameric protective antigen and upon binding change their conformation to form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. Based on our data, we propose a model for toxin assembly, in which the relative position of the N-terminal region in the three lethal factors determines which factor is translocated first. Our studies provide novel insights into the organization of the anthrax lethal toxin and advance our understanding of toxin assembly and translocation.

Introduction

Anthrax is a life-threatening infectious disease that affects primarily livestock and wild animals, but can also cause high mortality in humans [1]. During the early and late steps of infection with the Gram-positive bacterium *B. anthracis*, the tripartite anthrax toxin is secreted as major virulence factor in order to kill host immune cells such as macrophages or neutrophils [2,3]. Like other AB-type toxins, it is composed of a surface binding/translocation moiety, the protective antigen (PA, 83 kDa), and two cytotoxic subunits, lethal factor (LF, 90 kDa) and edema factor (EF, 93 kDa) [4,5].

To execute their toxicity, both the zinc-dependent metalloproteinase LF and/or the adenylate cyclase EF need to enter the host cytoplasm [6,7]. For that purpose, PA monomers first attach to the cell surface through binding to one of the two known membrane receptors, capillary morphogenesis gene 2 (CMG-2) and tumor endothelial marker 8 (TEM8) [8,9]. After cleavage by furin-like proteases, the truncated 63 kDa-sized PA monomer oligomerizes either into homo-heptamers (PA₇) or homo-octamers (PA₈) [10–12]. These ring-shaped oligomers, enriched in lipid raft regions, are in a pre-pore conformation as they do not penetrate the host membrane [13]. Due to the enhanced stability of PA₈ under diverse physiological conditions, it is proposed that the octameric form could circulate in the blood to reach and exert toxicity even in distant tissues [14]. This suggests that both oligomeric forms play an important role in intoxication, endowing *B. anthracis* with greater versatility against its host.

In the next step, the holotoxin is assembled by recruiting LFs/EFs. While PA₈ can bind up to four factors, only three of them can simultaneously bind to PA₇. Both enzymatic substrates bind to the upper rim of the PA oligomer via their N-terminal domains in a competitive manner [15]. Loaded complexes are then endocytosed [16,17], followed by a conformational change from the pre-pore to pore state which is triggered by the low pH in the endosome [18]. The central feature of the pore state is an 18 nm long 14-stranded β -barrel that spans the endosomal membrane with its narrowest point in the channel lumen being ~6 Å in width [19]. To pass through this hydrophobic restriction, called Φ -clamp, the substrate needs to be unfolded prior to translocation [20].

Structural and functional studies on the pre-pore PA octamer bound to four LFs revealed that an amphipathic cleft between two adjacent PA protomers, termed α -clamp by Krantz and coworkers, assists in the unfolding process [21]. More specifically, the first α -helix and β -strand (α 1- β 1) of LF almost completely unfold and change their position respective to the rest of the protein when interacting with the α -clamp of the PA oligomer [21]. After transition into the pore state, the unidirectional translocation of LF is driven by a proton-motive force, comprising the proton gradient between the two compartments and the membrane potential. It is thought that the acidic pH present in the endosome destabilizes the LF and thus promotes unfolding of its N-terminus [22]. Ultimately, it is believed that the translocation follows a 'charge-dependent Brownian ratchet' mechanism [23]. The required unfolding and refolding

of translocated enzymes is facilitated *in vivo* by chaperones, but can occur *in vitro* without the need of accessory proteins [24,25].

Crystallographic studies provided us with structural insights pertinent to the molecular action of the anthrax toxin. This includes structures of the individual complex subunits such as LF, EF and the PA pre-pore in both, its heptameric and octameric form [12,26–29]. The PA monomer was also co-crystallized with its receptor CMG-2, delineating the surface attachment to the host cell in molecular detail. More recently, the elusive pore state of PA₇ was determined by electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) in which Jiang *et al.* made use of an elegant on-grid pore induction approach [30].

In contrast, high resolution information on holotoxin complexes is rather scarce. The only obtained crystallographic structure is the aforementioned PA_8 pre-pore in complex with four LFs [21]. In this structure, however, the C-terminal domain of LF is absent. Unlike PA₈, loaded PA₇ was mainly studied by cryo-EM [31–35], presumably because its asymmetry impeded crystallization efforts. Earlier this year, the PA₇ pore state decorated with a single LF molecule and with up to two EF molecules was determined, in which it was shown that EF undergoes a large conformational rearrangement as opposed to LF [36]. However, cryo-EM studies of the loaded heptameric pre-pore were so far limited to a resolution of ~16 Å [31,32,34]. In addition, the number of LFs bound to PA₇ varied between one and three in these structures.

Here, we present three cryo-EM structures of the fully loaded anthrax lethal toxin in the heptameric pre-pore state (PA_7LF_3), in which three LF molecules are bound to the rim of the PA_7 ring, forming a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. The position and conformation of the LFs, however, varies between the structures. Unexpectedly, only one of three LFs interacts with the α -clamp of PA, adopting the "open" conformation as reported in the PA_8LF_4 structure [21]. Since we could neither observe a similar interaction for the other two LFs, nor them being in the "closed" conformation, we propose that they adopt an "intermediate" state between holotoxin assembly and translocation. Our findings allow us to propose a model for anthrax lethal toxin assembly, in which the LF translocation sequence is dictated by the position of the N-terminal α -helix of the LFs.

Results

Structure of the fully-loaded anthrax lethal toxin in the heptameric prepore state

To ensure that our purified and reconstituted PA_7 complexes (Materials and Methods) are indeed intact, we tested their membrane insertion capacity by reconstituting them in liposomes or nanodiscs (S1 Fig). We then evaluated different molar ratios of LF:PA₇ and only obtained fully-loaded anthrax lethal toxin (PA₇LF₃) when using a 10:1 molar ratio as judged by size exclusion chromatography (S2A and S2B Fig).

We then determined the structure of the PA_7LF_3 pre-pore complex by single particle cryo-EM to an average resolution of 3.5 Å. However, in this initial 3D reconstruction the densities corresponding to LF represented a mixture of assemblies and were partly unassignable (S3 Fig). This can be either due to the symmetry mismatch that emerges when three lethal factors bind simultaneously to PA_7 or to possible different conformations of the individual LFs bound to PA_7 . To address these points, we established an image processing workflow that includes sequential 3-D classifications and rotation of classes (S3 Fig). This resulted in three reconstructions with resolutions of 3.8 Å, 4.2 Å and 4.3 Å that differed in the position of the third LF bound to PA_7 (<u>1A and Fig 1B, S2, S3</u> and <u>S4</u> Figs) and the conformation of LF (Fig 1C, S2, S3 and <u>S4</u> Figs). The densities corresponding to the lethal factors in the 4.3 Å structure were not resolved well enough to allow the fitting of an atomic model (<u>S2F, S2I</u> and <u>S4B</u> Figs).



Fig 1. Cryo-EM structures of the PA₇LF₃ complexes. (A) Top view and side view of the color-coded segmented cryo-EM density map of PA_7LF_{2+1A} , with PA_7 in blue, ¹LF in pink, ²LF in gold and ³LF in cyan. The naming of the three LFs is based on their respective local resolution with ¹LF being best-resolved, while ³LF showed the weakest density in all reconstructions. Three lethal factors bind to the PA₇ ring and form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. Schematic representation is

shown on the left, corresponding atomic model on the right. (B) Same as in (A) for the PA_7LF_{2+1B} complex. (C) Same as in (A) for the $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)}$ complex. Notably, two LFs interact in their peripheral region (C-terminal domain) with each other close to the central axis. Segmented maps are shown at different thresholds for visualization. (D) Schematic representation of the last step in PA_7LF_3 toxin assembly, in which the third lethal factor can bind to one of two empty PA sites, resulting in two different complexes, PA_7LF_{2+1A} and PA_7LF_{2+1B} . Top and side views are shown, with the same color code as in (A), except that PA protomers alternate in light and dark blue.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008530.g001

Therefore, we proceeded with the remaining two structures, combined the two particle stacks and masked out the density of the third LF to improve the resolution of the rest of the complex to 3.5 Å (S2H, S3 and S4D Figs). Using a combination of the maps, we then build atomic models for the 3.8 Å and 4.2 Å reconstructions (Fig 1A and 1B, S1 Table).

The structures reveal that PA₇ forms a seven-fold symmetric ring structure with a ~25 Å wide central opening. With the exception of a few poorly resolved loop regions in the periphery of PA₇, our structures almost perfectly superimpose with the crystal structure of the PA₇ pre-pore (PDB:1TZO; RMSD of 0.92 Å) [26] (S5A Fig), indicating that the binding of LF does not induce conformational changes in PA₇. This is in contrast to Ren et al. who suggested that LF binding results in a distortion of the symmetric PA₇ ring, thereby facilitating the passage of cargo through the enlarged lumen [31,37]. Noteworthy, the 2β2-2β3 loop region (residues 300–323) which is implicated in pore formation was not resolved in our map. This indicates a high flexibility of this loop, which is in line with previous MD simulations [38].

In all PA_7LF_3 structures, the three LFs sit on top of PA_7 . The densities corresponding to the LFs show a resolution gradient from the central N-terminal domain which is resolved best to the peripheral C-terminal domain (S4A–S4D Fig). This indicates that this region is quite flexible compared to the rest of the toxin complex. We named the three LFs based on their respective resolution with ¹LF being best resolved and ³LF having the weakest density.

The LFs do not only interact with PA₇ but also form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions with each other. Binding of LF to a single PA protomer is mediated via the N-terminal domain of LF, orienting its bulky C-terminal domain such that the adjacent PA protomer is not accessible for binding. In this way a single lethal factor *de facto* occupies two of the seven binding sites of PA₇. In the chain of LFs, the C-terminal domain of the anterior LF binds to the N-terminal domain of the following one, creating a directionality in the complex (Fig 1D). Consequently, if two LFs are bound, three free PA binding sites are available, of which only two can potentially be occupied due to steric clashes (Fig 1D). This results in the two complexes PA_7LF_{2+1A} and PA_7LF_{2+1B} , that differ in the binding position of the third LF (Fig 1). Since each LF occupies two potential binding sites in these structures, this leads to a symmetry mismatch and leaves one PA unoccupied.

Crucial interactions in the PA7LF3 complex

LF and PA interact mainly via a large planar interface at which domain I of LF interacts with the LF/EF binding sites of two adjacent PAs (Fig 2, S5B Fig). The interaction with LF is mediated primarily by one PA, whereas the contribution of the second PA is only minor (Fig 2A). The LF-PA interface is well resolved for all LFs and almost identical in the different structures (Fig 2A, S5A and S5C Fig). The interaction is primarily mediated by an extensive hydrophobic core that is further surrounded by electrostatic interactions (Fig 2A). The interface in our structure is very similar to the one previously described for PA₈LF₄ [21]. There, the second LF-PA interface is formed by the N-terminal α -helix of LF that interacts with the α -clamp located at the interface of two PAs. This "open" conformation differs from the "closed" conformation of this region as observed in the structure of the unbound LF [27]. When comparing the LFs in our structure with that of the unbound LF [27], we observed that the C-terminal domain of the LFs in PA₇LF₃ is rotated in relation to the N-terminal domain, bringing them





Fig 2. Interfaces between lethal factor and protective antigen. (A) The N-terminal domain of LF mediates binding to two adjacent PA molecules, forming a large planar interface. The positions of ²LF (gold), PA and PA'(blue) are shown relative to the overall shape of the complex that is represented as transparent, low-pass filtered volume. A black square indicates the interaction interface between all three molecules. The inset shows a close-up of the interaction regions, with contributing residues labeled. They form a central hydrophobic core, that is surrounded by electrostatic interactions. (B) The second LF-PA interface, indicated by a dashed square in (A), is formed by the N-terminal α-helix of LF, interacting with the α-clamp region, located between two adjacent PA molecules. The four panels depict a close-up of this region for the three different LFs (³LF can adopt two different positions, i.e. the PA₇LF_{2+1A} or PA₇LF_{2+1B} complex) with half-transparent densities shown for PA (white), PA' (light blue) and the LF (¹LF—pink; ²LF—gold; ³LF-cyan). Notably, only ²LF interacts with the α-clamp.

closer together (Fig 3, S1 Movie). However, we only found that the N-terminal region of one LF (²LF) resides in the α -clamp, adopting the "open" conformation as described for PA₈LF₄ [21]. In the other LFs (¹LF, ³LF), this region is flexible and not interacting with the α -clamp (Fig 2B). A steric clash between the loop region (residues 576–579) of ¹LF and α 1- β 1 of ²LF (Fig 4A) prevents the N-terminal α -helix of ²LF from remaining in the "closed" conformation. Since ¹LF and ³LF neither take the "open", nor the "closed" conformation, we propose that they reside in an "intermediate" conformational state between toxin assembly and translocation. We further hypothesize that ²LF is the first of the three lethal factors that is unfolded by PA₇ and is also the first one to be translocated.

As described above, the LFs interact via their N- and C-terminal regions. In two of our structures, PA_7LF_{2+1A} and PA_7LF_{2+1B} , two LFs only interact at one position which is located next to the major LF-PA interface. In the third structure, which we designate as $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)}$,





two LFs likely interact with each other also via their C-terminal region close to the central axis of the complex (Fig 5, S2 Movie). However, the position of this additional interface that we observe in our PA₇LF_{(2+1A)'} structure differs from the putative interface, that has been proposed by Fabre et al, located further away from the central axis [34]. The main ²LF-¹LF interface, that is present in all three structures, consists of the helix-loop region (residues 572–579) of the first lethal factor (¹LF) which forms a relatively small interaction surface with the helixhelix-β-sheet motif (residues 52–84) of the adjacent lethal factor (²LF) (Fig 4B). Residues L63, L71 and I81 of ²LF form a central hydrophobic cavity that interacts with Y579 of ¹LF. In the βsheet region of ²LF, we identified a potential backbone-backbone hydrogen bond between K578 and I81 of ¹LF. In addition, P577 forms a hydrophobic interaction with Y82, which is further stabilized by H91. K572, being located on the α-helix next to the loop region in ¹LF, could potentially form a salt bridge interaction with E52 or D85 of ²LF. Together these interactions mediate the binding between two LFs. Although the local resolution at the ²LF-^{3A}LF and ^{3B}LF-¹LF interfaces does not allow the fitting of side chains (S4A–S4D Fig), we could flexibly fit in the structures of ¹LF and ²LF at this position. Since all structures are almost identical at



Fig 4. Molecular interface between two lethal factors. (**A**) Potential steric clash between the C-terminal domain of LF (red) and the N-terminal domain of an adjacent LF when it adopts the "closed" conformation (blue). The clash is highlighted as fading red spot in the background. In contrast, the "open" conformation (gold), i.e. the N-terminal α -helix interacts with the α -clamp region of PA, does not result in a steric clash. The N-terminal region of the red LF, which adopts an "intermediate" conformation, is not shown in this view. (**B**) A relatively small interaction interface mediates binding of the C-terminal domain of LF to the N-terminal domain of an adjacent LF. The positions of ¹LF (pink) and ²LF (gold) are shown relative to the overall shape of the complex that is represented as transparent, low-pass filtered volume. A black square indicates the interaction interface between the two LFs. Insets show close-ups of the interacting regions in different orientations, with contributing residues labeled.

backbone level (RMSD of 0.84 Å and 0.96 Å) (<u>S5F Fig</u>), we expect them to exhibit a similar network of interactions. Both interfaces, LF-PA and LF-LF that we describe here limit the freedom of movement mainly in the N-terminal region of LF, but still allow a certain level of flexibility in the rest of the protein.

In all structures, the LFs show a gradient in flexibility (Fig 1A–1C, S4A–S4D Fig). This was previously not observed at lower resolution [34]. ¹LF is resolved best in all structures, followed by ²LF and ³LF has the weakest density in all reconstructions. Since the N-terminal domain is well resolved in all LFs, this cannot be due to a varying occupancy of the binding sites, but must stem from a flexibility of the C-terminal domain. As expected, all free C-terminal domains, i.e. those that are not stabilized by an adjacent LF are more flexible than those with a binding partner. However, there is one exception, namely ²LF. In this case, the C-terminal domain is always flexible, independent of a stabilizing binding partner. Interestingly, ²LF is also the only lethal factor where the N-terminal α -helix of LF is ordered and resides in the α clamp, suggesting that this interaction results in a destabilization of the C-terminal domain of the molecule. This is in line with a recently reported structure of the PA₇LF₁ pore state where the C-terminal domain of the single LF bound was not resolved while the N-terminal α -helix is also bound to the α -clamp [36].

Discussion

We determined three structures of the fully-loaded heptameric anthrax lethal toxin complex, which differ in the position and conformations of the bound LFs. Due to a symmetry mismatch, three LFs occupy six binding sites of the heptameric PA₇ complex, leaving one PA site



Fig 5. LFs can interact via their C-terminal domain. Top and side views of the low-passed filtered maps of the three PA_7LF_3 complexes, with PA_7LF_{2+1B} in orange, PA_7LF_{2+1A} in yellow and $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)}$ in light blue. Volumes are shown at the same threshold. While the three LFs interact in all structures via their N- and C-terminal domains in a head-to-tail manner, an additional interface was identified in the $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)}$ reconstruction. Here, the C-terminal domains of ¹LF and ²LF, interact with each other close to the central axis of the PA_7LF_3 complex. This region is highlighted by dashed red circles. See also <u>S2 Movie</u>.

empty. Compared to the "closed" state as observed in the crystal structure of LF [27], the C-terminal domain of the LFs in PA₇LF₃ is rotated respective to the N-terminal domain. However, only ²LF adopts the "open" conformation which was reported for the structure of PA₈LF₄ [21], i.e. the N-terminal α -helix interacts with the α -clamp of PA. ¹LF and ³LF do not show this interaction, but can also not be in the "closed" conformation because of a steric clash with an adjacent LF. We therefore propose that they are in an "intermediate" state between toxin assembly and translocation.

Loading of PA₇ with one or more substrates is crucial for the anthrax toxin to mediate toxicity during infection. The high binding affinity of LF and EF to PA₇ enables the formation of a stable toxin complex, which is required to withstand the rather hostile environment present within the host during the various steps of the infection process. A dissociation constant (K_D) in the range of 1–2 nM has been determined by both, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and binding studies using radiolabeled substrate with receptor-bound PA on the surface of L6 cells [<u>39</u>]. Head-to-tail binding of LFs as observed in our fully loaded PA₇LF₃ structures further contributes to the overall stability of the complex. This increased stability might be important during the internalization step and subsequent endosomal trafficking, before finally reaching its cytosolic target. It might reduce the susceptibility towards proteases and the probability to prematurely transition into the pore-state.

Studies addressing the saturation state of PA_7 (and PA_8) with LF/EF ligands in vivo are rather limited. While one study of cutaneous infection in immune-competent mice has focused on the level of both substrates and their respective ratio over the course of infection, the number of bound substrates to PA_7 or PA_8 has not been determined [40]. As has been suggested before, it is likely that this number can vary depending on the stage of the infection process, as well as the location within the host. Interestingly, mass spectrometry data of toxin assembly in vitro did not identify PA_7 or PA_8 ring intermediates decorated with less than three or four LFs, respectively [12]. This observation, however, could be a consequence of the two different assembly routes that will be discussed later. Furthermore, it has been shown by Zhang et al. that the number of ligands bound to PA_7 did not affect the translocation efficiency [41]. By varying either the ligand concentration or the available ligand binding sites in PA_7 , they excluded both positive and negative cooperativity in the translocation process of radiolabeled LF. These findings suggest that LF and EF ligands are translocated independently of the number of bound ligands.

In our structures, we observed that two LFs adopt a so called "intermediate" state, in which their N-terminal α -helix neither interacts with the α -clamp of PA, nor adopts the "closed" conformation [27]. Why has this state not been observed in the crystal structure of PA₈LF₄? It could have been missed due to averaging of the asymmetric unit of the PA₈LF₄ crystals, which is composed of two PAs and one LF. Another possibility is that compared to PA₇, the PA₈ prepore provides more space for the N-termini of the LFs to arrange in the "open" conformation in comparison to the PA₇ pre-pore. However, if all LFs were indeed in a "ready-to-be-translocated position" which LF would then be translocated first through the narrow PA pore that only allows the passage of a single unfolded LF at a time? The process could in principle be stochastic, but our PA₇LF₃ structures offer an alternative explanation.

Already based on the low-resolution structure of the PA_7LF_3 pre-pore [34], it has been suggested that the order of translocation is non-stochastic and that the first LF, whose N-terminal domain is not interacting with an adjacent LF, is translocated first. After the translocation of this factor, the second LF would be released from the inhibitory bond of the first LF and then be translocated and so on [34]. However, our data indicate that such an order in which the translocation of one LF is dependent on the translocation of an adjacent factor is rather unlikely.

Although we can as well only speculate about the exact order of translocation, based on our cryo-EM structures, two alternative scenarios are conceivable: In the first one, the factor in the "open" state, ${}^{2}LF$, is translocated first, followed by ${}^{1}LF$ or ${}^{3}LF$ which are in the "intermediate" state (Fig 6). The second possibility would be that ${}^{1}LF$ and ${}^{3}LF$ are translocated before ${}^{2}LF$ (Fig 6). Due to the different arrangements in the complexes, both alternatives exclude a dependency on a neighboring factor for LF translocation.

While we cannot exclude the second scenario, we think that the first one is more likely. Being in the "open" conformation, the N-terminal α -helix of ²LF interacts with the α -clamp of PA. The α -clamp is known to unfold polypeptides in a sequence-independent manner. The current theory is that this crucial region formed by two adjacent PA molecules first stabilizes unfolding intermediates, and introduces mechanical strain before the unfolded structure is fed further down the central pore [21]. In this way the α -clamp would facilitate the rapid unfolding of the entire ²LF molecule upon transition into the pore state. We therefore believe that ²LF is translocated before ¹LF and ³LF. The higher flexibility in the C-terminal domain of ²LF in the



Fig 6. Model for PA_7LF_3 assembly and translocation. After anthrax-receptor-mediated PA_7 formation on the surface of the host cell, three LFs bind to PA_7 to assemble into the fully loaded anthrax lethal toxin. As a consequence of the symmetry mismatch between PA_7 and three LFs, the third LF can attach to two different PA sites, resulting in two distinct PA_7LF_3 complexes. In both arrangements, the three LFs form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. One LF, namely ²LF, undergoes a conformational change from the "closed" state as observed in the unbound LF structure to an "open" state, which is characterized by an interaction of its N-terminal α -helix with the α -clamp region of PA. The other two LFs, ¹LF and ³LF, adopt an "intermediate" state, i.e. being neither in an "open" nor "closed" conformation. Two different scenarios for the translocation of the 1st LF after transitioning into the pore state are conceivable: In scenario 1, ²LF, being in the "open" state is translocated first, whereas either ¹LF or ³LF are translocated first in scenario 2.

presence of potentially stabilizing neighboring LFs suggests that the interaction of the N-terminal domain with the α -clamp results in a destabilization of the molecule. This in turn lowers the energy barrier for the unfolding of the entire LF molecule and further supports the assumption that ²LF is translocated first. Once ²LF is translocated, either ¹LF or ³LF can follow. As these two LFs both adopt an "intermediate" conformation in our structures, we cannot predict which LF is translocated next.

Currently, two possible routes for toxin assembly have become established within the anthrax field: (I) Anthrax-receptor (ATR)-mediated assembly on the cell surface and (II) ATR-independent assembly in solution, i.e. the bloodstream. It is important to note that both routes are not mutually exclusive. In route I, ATR first recruits full-length PA to form PA_7 and PA_8 , where PA is cleaved into its mature form, followed by LF/EF substrate recruitment. In vitro mass spectrometry data indicated that the PA oligomerization process occurs via even-numbered PA_2 and PA_4 intermediates, being consistent with a proposed dimerization of ATR [42]. In route II, binding of LF/EF to two PA molecules facilitates the assembly of both, the heptameric and octameric PA ring. Similar to route I, assembly route II is populated with dimeric and tetrameric PA intermediates, bound to one and two LFs, respectively [12]. As we

have pre-assembled the PA_7 ring before LF was added, our assembly approach resembles rather route I. We believe that ²LF is not only the first LF being translocated, but might also be the first one that binds to PA_7 during toxin assembly (Fig 6). Upon binding to PA, ²LF undergoes a conformational change from the "closed" to the "open" state. The two subsequent LFs adopt an "intermediate" state instead of transitioning into the "open" conformation. Since the third LF can attach to two different PA sites, this results in two different complexes (Fig 6). In this way, the assembled toxin has three LFs bound to PA_7 with two in an "intermediate" and one in the "open" conformation (Fig 6).

In summary, our high-resolution cryo-EM structures provide us with novel insights into the organization of the fully-loaded heptameric anthrax lethal toxin and thus advance our understanding of toxin assembly and translocation.

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification

Protective antigen (PA) from Bacillus anthracis was cloned into a pET19b vector (Novagen), resulting in a N-terminal His10-tag fusion construct. E. coli BL21(DE3) were transformed with the pET19b::His10-PA plasmid and expression was induced immediately after transformation by the addition of 75 µM IPTG. Following incubation at 28°C for 24 h in LB medium, cells were pelleted, resuspended in lysis-buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 300 mM NaCl, 500 µM EDTA, 5 µg/ml DNAse, 1 mg/ml Lysozym plus Protease inhibitor cOmplete tablets from Sigma Aldrich) and lysed by sonication. Soluble proteins were separated from cell fragments by ultracentrifugation (15,000 rpm, 45 min, 4° C) and loaded onto Ni-IDA beads (Cube Biotech). After several washing steps, the protein was eluted with elution buffer (500 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA). Protein-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed against buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA. Subsequently, the sample was further purified using anion-exchange Mono Q (GE Healthcare) with a no-salt buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5) and high-salt buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 1M NaCl), applying a gradient from 0 to 40%. Next, oligomerization of PA was induced by addition of trypsin (1 µg enzyme for each mg of PA), followed by incubation on ice for 30 min. Upon addition of double molar excess of trypsin inhibitor (Sigma Aldrich), PA7 was further purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare). Lyophilized LF (List Biological Lab. Inc., Lot#1692A1B) were resuspended in water according to the manufacturer's manual and mixed with PA₇ in a molar ration of 10:1. Ultimately, loaded complexes were further purified in a final size exclusion chromatography step (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl) using a Superdex 200i column (GE Healthcare), before being used in down-stream applications.

Reconstitution of PA7 in lipid-mimetic systems

For nanodisc insertion, Ni-NTA column material was first washed with ddH_20 and subsequently equilibrated with buffer A (50 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl–pH 8.5, 0.05% Octyl β -Dglucopyranoside (w/v)). In the next step, 500 μ L of 0.2 μ M PA₇ in the pre-pore state was added and incubated for 25 min at room temperature. An additional washing step with buffer A was performed to remove unbound PA₇ pre-pore, followed by a 5 min incubation step with 1 M urea at 37°C and another wash with buffer A. MSP1D1:POPC:sodium cholate ratio and preparation was done according to Akkaladevi et al [33]. After dialysis (MWCO of 12-14k) for 24 to 72 h against buffer B (50 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5), excess of nanodiscs was collected from five washing steps with 500 μ L of buffer B. To elute PA₇ pores inserted into nanodiscs, column material was incubated for 10 min on ice in buffer C (500 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM imidazole). The eluted sample was concentrated and subsequently used for negative staining EM.

For the preparation of pre-formed liposomes, POPC was initially solubilized in 5% Octyl β -D-glucopyranoside. Solubilized lipids were dialyzed (MWCO: 12–14 k) for 8–12 h at 4° C against buffer A and subsequently PA₇ pre-pores were added to the lipids in a 1: 10 molar ratio. Following 24–72 h dialysis (MWCO:12–14 k) against buffer D (50 mM NaCl 50 mM NaOAc, pH 5.0), samples were used for negative staining EM.

Negative-stain electron microscopy

Complex purity and integrity were assessed by negative stain electron microscopy prior to cryo-EM grid preparation and image acquisition. For negative stain, 4 μ l of purified PA₇LF₃ complex at a concentration of ~0.04 mg/ml was applied onto a freshly glow discharged carbon-coated copper grid (Agar Scientific; G400C) and incubated for 45 s. Subsequently, excess liquid was blotted away with Whatman no. 4 filter papers. The sample was stained with 0.8% (w/v) uranyl acetate (Sigma Aldrich). Micrographs were recorded manually using a JEOL JEM-1400 TEM, operated at an acceleration voltage of 120 kV, equipped with a 4,000 × 4,000 CMOS detector F416 (TVIPS) and a pixel size of 1.84 Å/px.

Sample vitrification

For Cryo-EM sample preparation, 4 μ l of purified PA₇LF₃ at a concentration of ~0.06 mg/ml was applied onto freshly glow discharged grids (Quantifoil R 1.2/1.3 holey carbon with a 2 nm additional carbon support) and incubated for 45 s. Subsequently, grids were blotted automatically (3 s blotting time) and plunged into liquid ethane using a CryoPlunge3 (Gatan) at a humidity of ~ 95%. Grid quality was screened before data collection using a JEOL JEM-1400 TEM electron microscope (same settings as for negative-stain electron microscopy) or with an Arctica microscope (FEI), operated at 200 kV. Grids were kept in liquid nitrogen for long-term storage.

Cryo-EM data acquisition

Cryo-EM data sets of PA_7LF_3 were collected on a Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (FEI) equipped with a high-brightened field-emission gun (XFEG), operated at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. Micrographs were recorded on a K2 direct electron detector (Gatan) at 130,000 x magnification in counting mode, corresponding to a pixel size of 1.07 Å. 40 frames taken at intervals of 375 ms (1.86 e⁻/Å²) were collected during each exposure, resulting in a total exposure time of 15 s and total electron dose of 74.4 e⁻/Å². Using the automated data collection software EPU (FEI), a total of 5238 micrographs with a defocus range between -1.2 and -2.6 µm was automatically collected.

Image processing and 3-D reconstruction

Micrographs of the dataset were inspected visually and ones with extensive ice contamination or high drift were discarded. Next, frames were aligned and summed using MotionCor2 (in 3 x 3 patch mode) [43]. By doing so, dose-weighted and un-weighted full-dose images were generated. Image and data processing were performed with the SPHIRE/EMAN2 software package [44]. Un-weighted full-dose images were used for defocus and astigmatism estimation by CTER [45]. With the help of the drift assessment tool in SPHIRE, drift-corrected micrographs were further sorted to discard high defocus as well as high drift images that could not be compensated for by frame alignment.

For the PA_7LF_3 dataset, particles were automatically selected based on a trained model using the crYOLO software, implemented in SPHIRE [46]. In total, 382 k particles were extracted from the dose-weighted full dose images with a final window size of 336 x 336 pixel. Two-dimensional classification was performed using the iterative and stable alignment and clustering (ISAC) algorithm implemented in SPHIRE. Several rounds of 2-D classification yielded a total number of 213 k 'clean' dose-weighted and drift-corrected particles. During the manual inspection of the 2-D class averages, top views of the particles were excluded.

A generated composite crystal structure consisting of PA₇ (PDB:1TZO) decorated with three full-length LF (PDB:1J7N), docked with their N-terminal domain to PA as observed in the PA₈LF₄ structure (PDB: 3KWV), was converted into electron density (sp_pdb2em functionality in SPHIRE). After filtering to 30 Å, this map served as reference in the initial 3-D refinement. The 3-D refinement without imposed symmetry (sxmeridien in SPHIRE, C1) yielded an initial 3.5 Å electron density map of the PA₇LF₃ complex. Several rounds of 3-D classification and rotation of certain classes were necessary to separate particles belonging to PA₇LF_{2+1A}, PA₇LF_{2+1B} and PA₇LF_(2+1A), complexes. The respective classes obtained by 3D sorting or from previous 3D refinements served as reference for all subsequent 3D refinements. The flowchart of the image processing strategy including the obtained 3-D classes as well as the number of particles that they contained is described in detail in <u>S3 Fig (S3 Fig)</u>.

Global resolutions of the final maps were calculated between two independently refined half maps at the 0.143 FSC criterion, local resolution was calculated using sp_locres in SPHIRE. The final densities were filtered according to local resolution or the local de-noising filter LAFTER was applied to recover features with more signal than noise (based on half maps) [47].

Model building, refinement and validation

To build the PA₇ model, a single monomer of the PA₈ crystal structure (PDB: 3HVD) was placed seven times into the density corresponding to the heptameric PA ring of the PA₇LF_{3..} masked map using rigid body fitting in Chimera [48]. Next, two copies of the monomeric lethal factor of the unbound crystal structure (PDB:1J7N) were fitted similarly into the corresponding LF density located atop of the PA₇ ring. The resulting model was then flexibly fitted using iMODFIT [49] and subsequently further refined using a combination of manual model building in COOT [50] and real-space refinement in PHENIX [51]. Unresolved loop regions were deleted and side chain information was removed for less well-resolved regions.

The resulting models for ¹LF, ²LF and PA₇ from the PA₇LF_{3-masked} model served again as starting point for the PA₇LF_{2+1B} structure and were placed into the corresponding density using rigid-body fit in Chimera. Chain H (¹LF) was duplicated and placed similarly into the density corresponding to the third LF. For the entire model a restrained refinement in PHE-NIX was performed. The resulting model was further refined using a combination of COOT and real-space refinement in PHENIX. Like for the PA₇LF_{3-masked} model, unresolved loop regions were deleted and side chain information was removed for less well-resolved regions.

The PA_7LF_{2+1B} model served as starting model for PA_7LF_{2+1A} and was initially fitted into the density map using rigid-body fitting. Chain J (³LF) was placed into the density corresponding to the third LF. Similar to PA_7LF_{2+1B} , a restrained refinement in PHENIX was performed with the entire model, followed by an additional refinement using a combination of COOT and real-space refinement in PHENIX. Unresolved loop regions were deleted and side chain information was removed for less well-resolved regions. Geometries of the final refined models were obtained either from PHENIX or calculated with the Molprobity server (http:// molprobity.biochem.duke.edu). Data statistics are summarized in <u>S1 Table</u> (S1 Table).

Structure analysis and visualization

UCSF Chimera was used for structure analysis, visualization and figure preparation. The angular distribution plots as well as beautified 2-D class averages were calculated using SPHIRE.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Reconstitution of PA₇ into lipid mimetic systems after pore transition. (A) Representative negatively stained electron micrograph areas of PA₇ reconstituted into POPC liposomes (top panels), with individual inserted particles highlighted by white arrowheads. Selection of inserted particles in smaller lipid vesicles (bottom panel). Scale bar: 15 nm. Particles are clearly accumulated at lipid membranes. (B) Representative negatively stained electron micrograph area of PA₇ reconstituted in lipid nanodiscs (MSP1D1), with individual inserted particles highlighted by black arrowheads. Scale bar: 20 nm (C) Model of PA₇ complexes inserted into lipid nanodiscs with additional examples of individual particles after reconstitution (same nanodiscs as in B). Scale bar: 20 nm. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Purification and cryo-EM of PA₇LF₃. (**A**) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE of purified PA_7LF_3 complex. (**B**) Size exclusion chromatography profile of the PA_7LF_3 complex using a Superdex 200 column. Sample fraction used for cryo-EM studies is indicated by black arrow. (**C**) Representative digital micrograph area of vitrified PA_7LF_3 complex. Scale bar: 20 nm. (**D**) Representative 2-D class averages corresponding to **C**. Scale bar: 10 nm. (**E-H**) Rotated views of the 3-D reconstruction of PA_7LF_{2+1A} (**E**), $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)'}$ (**F**), PA_7LF_{2+1B} (**G**), and $PA_7LF_{3-...}$ masked (**H**), respectively. (**I**) FSC curves between two independently refined half-maps of PA_7LF_{2+1A} (green), $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)'}$ (red), PA_7LF_{2+1B} (blue) and $PA_7LF_{3-..masked}$ (purple). (TIF)

S3 Fig. Flowchart of image processing strategy in SPHIRE. The single particle processing workflow is shown that included multiple 3-D classification steps as well as rotation of individual classes (indicated by rotation symbol). Number of particles in each class is provided as orange box below the respective structure and the obtained resolution of the map after 3-D refinement is indicated. For each structure a top and side view is shown (in top views PA_7 density is partially clipped to focus on the bound LFs). Mask for masking out third LF is provided in dashed box. Final electron density maps are highlighted by green boxes. Abbreviations: cla3D - 3-D classification, cla2D - 2-D classification, ref-3D - 3-D refinement. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Local resolution and 3-D orientation plots. (**A-D**) Rotated views of the reconstructions, PA_7LF_{2+1A} (**A**), $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)'}$ (**B**), PA_7LF_{2+1B} (**C**), and $PA_7LF_{3-masked}$ (**D**), respectively, colored by local resolution. Corresponding color key of local resolution is provided on the right. The position of the N-terminal domain of ¹LF is indicated by a dashed orange ellipse for orientation. (**E**) Selected examples of side chain densities corresponding to PA and LF with atomic models fitted. (**F**) Rotated views of the 3-D angular distribution plot for the PA_7LF_{2+1A} reconstruction, in which the relative height of bars represents the number of containing particles. Corresponding 2-D histogram is shown on the right. (**G-I**) Same as in **F** for $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)'}$ (**G**), PA_7LF_{2+1B} (**H**), and $PA_7LF_{3-masked}$ (**I**). (TIF)

S5 Fig. Structure comparison of PAs and LFs. (A) Superposition of the seven PA protomers in PA_7LF_3 , which are colored in different blue hues (left panel), and a single PA subunit (blue) with the known crystal structure (PDB: 1TZO, green, right panel). Loop region $2\beta 2-2\beta 3$

(residues 300–323), resolved only in the crystal structure, is highlighted by a black arrowhead. (**B**) Domain organization of LF with individual domains highlighted by different colors. (**C**) Superposition of individual LFs in the PA₇LF₃ structures with ¹LF in pink, ²LF in gold, ^{3B}LF_N in cyan and ^{3A}LF_N in dark green. (**D**) Superposition of ¹LF (pink), ²LF (gold) and unbound LF (PDB: 1J7N, green), aligned via their C-terminal domain. Green and red arrows indicate similar positions in ¹LF and unbound LF (PDB:1J7N), respectively. Comparison reveals that the C-terminal domain is rotated respective to the N-terminal domain in the PA₇LF₃ structures. (**E**) Superposition of the N-terminal domain of the three LFs in PA₇LF₃ (green), of LF in the "open" conformation in PA₈LF₄ (PDB: 3KWV, dark yellow) and of unbound LF in the "closed" conformation (PDB: 1J7N, purple). (**F**) Superposition of the three LF-LF interfaces with ¹LF-²LF in pink, ²LF-^{3A}LF in green and ^{3B}LF-¹LF in blue. (TIF)

S1 Table. Data collection, refinement and model building statistics. (TIF)

S1 Movie. Conformational change of LF upon PA binding. The C-terminal domain of the three LF molecules rotates respective to the N-terminal domain upon binding to PA₇ when compared with the unbound LF structure (PDB:1J7N), to form a continuous chain of head-to-tail interactions. Top view of the morph between both conformations is shown, with LFs in blue and PA₇ in transparent grey. (MP4)

S2 Movie. LFs can interact via their C-terminal domains. In our $PA_7LF_{(2+1A)}$, reconstruction, two LF molecules interact with each other via their C-terminal domain close to the central axis of the complex, thus forming an additional LF-LF interface. Top view of the morph between this conformation (light blue) and the one observed in the PA_7LF_{2+1A} (yellow) is shown. Volumes are low-pass filtered and shown at the same threshold. (MP4)

Acknowledgments

We thank O. Hofnagel and D. Prumbaum for assistance with data collection.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Stefan Raunser. Data curation: Claudia Antoni. Formal analysis: Claudia Antoni, Dennis Quentin, Christos Gatsogiannis. Funding acquisition: Stefan Raunser. Project administration: Stefan Raunser. Resources: Alexander E. Lang, Klaus Aktories. Supervision: Christos Gatsogiannis, Stefan Raunser. Validation: Dennis Quentin, Christos Gatsogiannis. Visualization: Claudia Antoni, Dennis Quentin. Writing – original draft: Dennis Quentin, Stefan Raunser.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Anthrax in humans and animals. 2008.
- O'Brien J, Friedlander A, Dreier T, Ezzell J, Leppla S. Effects of anthrax toxin components on human neutrophils. Infection and immunity. American Society for Microbiology Journals; 1985; 47: 306–310. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.47.1.306-310.1985 PMID: 3917427
- Friedlander AM. Macrophages are sensitive to anthrax lethal toxin through an acid-dependent process. J Biol Chem. American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; 1986; 261: 7123–7126. PMID: 3711080
- Sandvig K, van Deurs B. Membrane traffic exploited by protein toxins. Annual review of cell and developmental biology. 2002; 18: 1–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.18.011502.142107</u> PMID: <u>12142266</u>
- 5. Friebe S, van der Goot FG, Bürgi J. The Ins and Outs of Anthrax Toxin. Toxins. 2016; 8: 69.
- Leppla SH. Anthrax toxin edema factor: a bacterial adenylate cyclase that increases cyclic AMP concentrations of eukaryotic cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. National Academy of Sciences; 1982; 79: 3162–3166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.10.3162</u> PMID: <u>6285339</u>
- Duesbery NS, Webb CP, Leppla SH, Gordon VM, Klimpel KR, Copeland TD, et al. Proteolytic Inactivation of MAP-Kinase-Kinase by Anthrax Lethal Factor. Science. American Association for the Advancement of Science; 1998; 280: 734–737. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.280.5364.734 PMID: 9563949
- Bradley KA, Mogridge J, Mourez M, Collier RJ, Young JAT. Identification of the cellular receptor for anthrax toxin. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2001; 414: 225–229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ n35101999</u> PMID: <u>11700562</u>
- Scobie HM, Rainey GJA, Bradley KA, Young JAT. Human capillary morphogenesis protein 2 functions as an anthrax toxin receptor. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. National Academy of Sciences; 2003; 100: 5170–5174. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0431098100</u> PMID: <u>12700348</u>
- Klimpel KR, Molloy SS, Thomas G, Leppla SH. Anthrax toxin protective antigen is activated by a cell surface protease with the sequence specificity and catalytic properties of furin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. National Academy of Sciences; 1992; 89: 10277–10281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.21.10277</u> PMID: 1438214
- Milne JC, Furlong D, Hanna PC, Wall JS, Collier RJ. Anthrax protective antigen forms oligomers during intoxication of mammalian cells. J Biol Chem. American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; 1994; 269: 20607–20612. PMID: <u>8051159</u>
- Kintzer AF, Thoren KL, Sterling HJ, Dong KC, Feld GK, Tang II, et al. The protective antigen component of anthrax toxin forms functional octameric complexes. Journal of molecular biology. 2009; 392: 614– 629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2009.07.037</u> PMID: <u>19627991</u>
- Abrami L, Liu S, Cosson P, Leppla SH, van der Goot FG. Anthrax toxin triggers endocytosis of its receptor via a lipid raft–mediated clathrin-dependent process. The Journal of Cell Biology. 2003; 160: 321–328. <u>https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200211018</u> PMID: <u>12551953</u>
- Kintzer AF, Sterling HJ, Tang II, Abdul-Gader A, Miles AJ, Wallace BA, et al. Role of the Protective Antigen Octamer in the Molecular Mechanism of Anthrax Lethal Toxin Stabilization in Plasma. Journal of molecular biology. 2010; 399: 741–758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.04.041 PMID: 20433851
- Pimental R-AL, Christensen KA, Krantz BA, Collier RJ. Anthrax toxin complexes: heptameric protective antigen can bind lethal factor and edema factor simultaneously. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. Academic Press; 2004; 322: 258–262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.07.105</u> PMID: <u>15313199</u>
- Abrami L, Bischofberger M, Kunz B, Groux R, van der Goot FG. Endocytosis of the Anthrax Toxin Is Mediated by Clathrin, Actin and Unconventional Adaptors. T Young JA, editor. PLoS Pathogens. Public Library of Science; 2010; 6: e1000792. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000792</u> PMID: <u>20221438</u>
- Boll W. Effects of dynamin inactivation on pathways of anthrax toxin uptake. European Journal of Cell Biology. Urban & Fischer; 2004; 83: 281–288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1078/0171-9335-00373</u> PMID: <u>15511085</u>
- Carl J Miller, Jennifer L Elliott A, Collier RJ. Anthrax Protective Antigen: Prepore-to-Pore Conversion†. Biochemistry. American Chemical Society; 1999. pp. 10432–10441. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi990792d</u> PMID: <u>10441138</u>
- Jiang J, Pentelute BL, Collier RJ, Zhou ZH. Atomic structure of anthrax protective antigen pore elucidates toxin translocation. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2015; 521: 545–549. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14247</u> PMID: 25778700
- 20. Krantz BA, Melnyk RA, Zhang Sen, Juris SJ, Lacy DB, Wu Z, et al. A Phenylalanine Clamp Catalyzes Protein Translocation Through the Anthrax Toxin Pore. Science. American Association for the

Advancement of Science; 2005; 309: 777–781. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1113380</u> PMID: 16051798

- Feld GK, Thoren KL, Kintzer AF, Sterling HJ, Tang II, Greenberg SG, et al. Structural basis for the unfolding of anthrax lethal factor by protective antigen oligomers. Nature structural & molecular biology. Nature Publishing Group; 2010; 17: 1383–1390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1923</u> PMID: 21037566
- Krantz BA, Trivedi AD, Cunningham K, Christensen KA, Collier RJ. Acid-induced unfolding of the amino-terminal domains of the lethal and edema factors of anthrax toxin. Journal of molecular biology. 2004; 344: 739–756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004.09.067</u> PMID: <u>15533442</u>
- Wynia-Smith SL, Brown MJ, Chirichella G, Kemalyan G, Krantz BA. Electrostatic ratchet in the protective antigen channel promotes anthrax toxin translocation. The Journal of biological chemistry. American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology; 2012; 287: 43753–43764. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.419598</u> PMID: <u>23115233</u>
- Tamayo AG, Slater L, Parker JT, Bharti A, Harrison R, Hung DT, et al. GRP78(BiP) facilitates the cytosolic delivery of anthrax lethal factor (LF) in vivo and functions as an unfoldase in vitro. Molecular Microbiology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2011; 81: 1390–1401. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.</u> 07770.x PMID: 21797942
- Slater LH, Hett EC, Clatworthy AE, Mark KG, Hung DT. CCT chaperonin complex is required for efficient delivery of anthrax toxin into the cytosol of host cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. National Academy of Sciences; 2013; 110: 9932–9937. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302257110</u> PMID: 23716698
- Petosa C, Collier RJ, Klimpel KR, Leppla SH, Liddington RC. Crystal structure of the anthrax toxin protective antigen. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 1997; 385: 833–838. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> 385833a0 PMID: 9039918
- Pannifer AD, Wong TY, Schwarzenbacher R, Renatus M, Petosa C, Bienkowska J, et al. Crystal structure of the anthrax lethal factor. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2001; 414: 229–233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/n35101998</u> PMID: <u>11700563</u>
- Drum CL, Yan S-Z, Bard J, Shen Y-Q, Lu D, Soelaiman S, et al. Structural basis for the activation of anthrax adenylyl cyclase exotoxin by calmodulin. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2002; 415: 396– 402. https://doi.org/10.1038/415396a PMID: 11807546
- Shen Y, Zhukovskaya NL, Guo Q, Florián J, Tang W-J. Calcium-independent calmodulin binding and two-metal–ion catalytic mechanism of anthrax edema factor. The EMBO Journal. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005; 24: 929–941. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600574</u> PMID: <u>15719022</u>
- Santelli E, Bankston LA, Leppla SH, Liddington RC. Crystal structure of a complex between anthrax toxin and its host cell receptor. Nature. 2004; 430: 905–908. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02763</u> PMID: <u>15243628</u>
- Ren G, Quispe J, Leppla SH, Mitra AK. Large-Scale Structural Changes Accompany Binding of Lethal Factor to Anthrax Protective Antigen: A Cryo-Electron Microscopic Study. Structure. Cell Press; 2004; 12: 2059–2066. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2004.09.010 PMID: 15530370
- Tama F, Ren G, Brooks CL, Mitra AK. Model of the toxic complex of anthrax: responsive conformational changes in both the lethal factor and the protective antigen heptamer. Protein science: a publication of the Protein Society. 2006; 15: 2190–2200.
- Akkaladevi N, Hinton-Chollet L, Katayama H, Mitchell J, Szerszen L, Mukherjee S, et al. Assembly of anthrax toxin pore: lethal-factor complexes into lipid nanodiscs. Protein science: a publication of the Protein Society. 2013; 22: 492–501. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2231</u> PMID: <u>23389868</u>
- Fabre L, Santelli E, Mountassif D, Donoghue A, Biswas A, Blunck R, et al. Structure of anthrax lethal toxin prepore complex suggests a pathway for efficient cell entry. The Journal of general physiology. 2016; 148: 313–324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201611617</u> PMID: <u>27670897</u>
- 35. Gogol EP, Akkaladevi N, Szerszen L, Mukherjee S, Chollet-Hinton L, Katayama H, et al. Three dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin translocon-lethal factor complex by cryo-electron microscopy. Protein science: a publication of the Protein Society. 2013; 22: 586–594. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2241</u> PMID: <u>23494942</u>
- Hardenbrook NJ, Liu S, Zhou K, Ghosal K, Zhou ZH, Krantz BA. Atomic structures of anthrax toxin protective antigen channels bound to partially unfolded lethal and edema factors. Nature Communications. Nature Publishing Group; 2020; 11: 1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13993-7</u>
- Lacy DB, Wigelsworth DJ, Melnyk RA, Harrison SC, Collier RJ. Structure of heptameric protective antigen bound to an anthrax toxin receptor: A role for receptor in pH-dependent pore formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004; 101: 13147–13151.
- Alisaraie L, Rouiller I. Molecular assembly of lethal factor enzyme and pre-pore heptameric protective antigen in early stage of translocation. J Mol Model. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2016; 22: 1–12. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00894-015-2876-x</u>

- Jennifer L Elliott, Jeremy Mogridge A, Collier RJ. A Quantitative Study of the Interactions of Bacillus anthracis Edema Factor and Lethal Factor with Activated Protective Antigen†. Biochemistry. American Chemical Society; 2000; 39: 6706–6713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/bi000310u</u> PMID: <u>10828989</u>
- Rougeaux C, Becher F, Ezan E, Tournier J-N, Goossens PL. In vivo dynamics of active edema and lethal factors during anthrax. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016; 6: 1–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> <u>s41598-016-0001-8</u>
- Sen Zhang, Kristina Cunningham A Collier RJ. Anthrax Protective Antigen: Efficiency of Translocation Is Independent of the Number of Ligands Bound to the Prepore[†]. Biochemistry. American Chemical Society; 2004; 43: 6339–6343. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi049794a PMID: 15147218
- Kintzer AF, Sterling HJ, Tang II, Williams ER, Krantz BA. Anthrax Toxin Receptor Drives Protective Antigen Oligomerization and Stabilizes the Heptameric and Octameric Oligomer by a Similar Mechanism. Hofmann A, editor. PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science; 2010; 5: e13888. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0013888</u> PMID: 21079738
- Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache J-P, Verba KA, Cheng Y, Agard DA. MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. Nature methods. Nature Publishing Group; 2017; 14: 331–332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4193</u> PMID: <u>28250466</u>
- Moriya T, Saur M, Stabrin M, Merino F, Voicu H, Huang Z, et al. High-resolution Single Particle Analysis from Electron Cryo-microscopy Images Using SPHIRE. Journal of visualized experiments: JoVE. 2017. https://doi.org/10.3791/55448 PMID: 28570515
- Penczek PA, Fang J, Li X, Cheng Y, Loerke J, Spahn CMT. CTER—Rapid estimation of CTF parameters with error assessment. Ultramicroscopy. North-Holland; 2014; 140: 9–19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2014.01.009</u> PMID: 24562077
- 46. Wagner T, Merino F, Stabrin M, Moriya T, Antoni C, Apelbaum A, et al. SPHIRE-crYOLO is a fast and accurate fully automated particle picker for cryo-EM. Commun Biol. Nature Publishing Group; 2019; 2: 218–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0437-z PMID: 31240256</u>
- Ramlaul K, Palmer CM, Aylett CHS. A Local Agreement Filtering Algorithm for Transmission EM Reconstructions. Journal of Structural Biology. Academic Press; 2019; 205: 30–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2018.11.011</u> PMID: 30502495
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. Journal of Computational Chemistry. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2004; 25: 1605–1612. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084</u> PMID: <u>15264254</u>
- Lopéz-Blanco JR, Chacón P. iMODFIT: Efficient and robust flexible fitting based on vibrational analysis in internal coordinates. Journal of Structural Biology. Academic Press; 2013; 184: 261–270. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2013.08.010</u> PMID: 23999189
- Emsley P, Cowtan K, IUCr. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. International Union of Crystallography; 2004; 60: 2126–2132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1107/</u> S0907444904019158 PMID: 15572765
- Liebschner D, Afonine PV, Baker ML, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Croll TI, et al. Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr Sect D Struct Biol. International Union of Crystallography; 2019; 75: 861–877. <u>https://doi.org/10.1107/ S2059798319011471</u> PMID: <u>31588918</u>