



CSR communication on social media: the impact of source and framing on message credibility, corporate reputation and WOM

Journal:	<i>Corporate Communications: an International Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	CCIJ-09-2021-0097.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Communication, Corporate social responsibility, Social media, Source, Framing

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4 **CSR communication on social media: the impact of source and framing on**
5
6 **message credibility, corporate reputation and WOM**
7
8

9
10 **Abstract**

11 **Purpose** –When communicating CSR initiatives on social media, companies
12 need to choose the appropriate source and type of messages. Over the last few years
13 influencers have emerged as a relevant endorser for CSR messages, but there is a lack of
14 research investigating their effectiveness. Hence, the main goal of this study is to
15 analyse how the type of source and message framing on social media influence message
16 credibility, corporate reputation, and word-of-mouth.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Design/methodology/approach** – An online experiment with 2 (source:
26 influencer vs corporate) x 2 (CSR frame motives: values-driven vs performance-driven)
27 between-subject design was conducted among 200 participants.
28
29
30
31

32 **Findings** - Results showed that the type of source does not affect message
33 credibility or corporate reputation, but a corporate source generates more word-of-
34 mouth. Moreover, values-driven motives increase corporate reputation and generate
35 more word-of-mouth. However, the type of frame motives does not impact message
36 credibility.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Originality/value** – This paper tests the effect of framing and source when
45 communicating CSR on social media. It shows that overall, an effective CSR
46 communication should be posted by a corporate source and framed by values-driven
47 motives. Hence, this study contributes to the contemporary literature regarding CSR
48 communication and provides practical implications for companies.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Although the notion of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) features a wide range of definitions, the standard interpretation refers to companies' responsibility for their impacts on society (Moratis, 2016). A large part of their positive outcomes depends on its communication to stakeholders (Bhattacharya *et al.*, 2004; Colleoni, 2013) and studies highlight how stakeholders increasingly expect from companies not only to engage in CSR efforts but also to communicate about that (Beckmann *et al.*, 2006). Thus, CSR communication refers to “the ways that corporations communicate in and about this process” (Ihlen *et al.*, 2011, p.8).

To maximize corporate value and reputation, companies are increasingly using CSR as a strategic tool. Several studies have demonstrated positive effects of CSR communication on consumers' attitudes towards the company, trust, and perceptions of corporate reputation (Boccia *et al.*, 2019 and Kim, 2019), but the benefits might depend on several characteristics, such as the source and the message framing. For instance, Wang and Huang (2018) found that CSR messages raise great perceptions of trust and commitment toward the company, but the level varies depending on the communication source. Likewise, Wang and Anderson (2008) highlight the valence of CSR framing on how participants judge a firm's CSR practices and form an attitude toward that.

Hence, many studies have tested different CSR communication strategies to identify the more effective ones on stakeholders. However, existing literature on CSR communication, besides presenting different focuses, leads to mixed findings. Moreover, numerous studies tested the type of framing used by news media speaking about a company's CSR (e.g., Aksak *et al.*, 2016; Wang and Anderson, 2008), while it is also relevant to test the framing used in the message given by the company itself or by an influencer. As for the communication source, nowadays influencers are important

1
2
3 actors in social mediated CSR communication, connecting organizations and
4
5 stakeholders by endorsing organizational CSR initiatives through self-generated
6
7 messages (Cheng *et al.*, 2021). Indeed, earned media from key influencers has the
8
9 potential of spreading a company's CSR message much further than owned media
10
11 (Sarkar, 2018). According to De Veirman, Cauberghe and Hudders (2017), influencers
12
13 are individuals who have amassed a sizeable social network of followers and who are
14
15 considered trusted tastemakers in one or more areas. Consequently, brands are
16
17 increasingly reaching them to endorse their products. Literature offers several studies on
18
19 different types of endorsers in brand marketing and advertisements, especially testing
20
21 celebrity endorsement, but few focus on CSR communication through influencers.
22
23 Moreover, research in influencers' communication effectiveness provides mixed results.
24
25
26
27

28
29 Therefore, in this study we investigate the impact of the source, comparing
30
31 corporate and influencer sources, and the message framing, comparing values-driven or
32
33 performance-driven motives, in CSR communications. Specifically, the purpose of the
34
35 study is to understand the effect of the communication source and framed motives in
36
37 CSR communication to identify the best combination to be used on social media to
38
39 increase message credibility, corporate reputation and word-of-mouth.
40
41

42 **Literature review**

43
44 *CSR Communication and message credibility, corporate reputation, and word-of-mouth*

45
46
47 Three different variables related to CSR communication are discussed. First,
48
49 message credibility is strongly related to the concept of communication source, along
50
51 with the source credibility model successively presented. Source credibility perceptions
52
53 determine consumer judgment of how believable the communication is, which in turn
54
55 has a strong impact on attitudes towards the company (Choi and Rifon, 2002). However,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 it is important to separate these two concepts, as credibility may be influenced by non-
4
5 source factors, such as the channel and framing.
6

7
8 Message credibility is considered an essential element of CSR communication
9
10 (Lock and Schulz-Knappe, 2019). Indeed, it has been proved that credibility perception
11
12 plays a role in consumer response towards a company's CSR efforts (Bialkova and Te
13
14 Paske, 2020). In particular, it is related to WOM, as stakeholders perceive a CSR
15
16 communication as more credible if consumers' general opinion is positive (Smith and
17
18 Vogt, 1995), and vice versa, message credibility could have positive effects on their
19
20 intentions of spreading WOM and recommend the company to others (Eberle *et al.*,
21
22 2013).
23
24

25
26 Secondly, corporate reputation (CR) can be defined as a "collective construct
27
28 that describes the aggregate perceptions of multiple stakeholders about a company's
29
30 performance" and at the same time, as a "collective assessment of a company's ability to
31
32 provide valued outcomes to a representative group of stakeholders" (Fombrun *et al.*,
33
34 2000, pp. 242 - 243). These two definitions highlight a dual perspective of CR: on one
35
36 side, it is given by perceptions stakeholders have and on the other by the company's
37
38 ability towards stakeholders. In this respect, Maden *et al.* (2012) indicate that CR is
39
40 examined from a multi-stakeholder perspective, given that literature considers this
41
42 concept for customers, employees and investors.
43
44
45

46
47 What is important for companies and communicators is that a positive CR can
48
49 successfully affect stakeholders' behaviour, ultimately facilitating better corporate
50
51 performance (Maden *et al.* 2012). Eberle *et al.* (2013) found that an increase in
52
53 perceived interactivity in CSR messages on online media leads to higher message
54
55 credibility, which also boosts CR and word-of-mouth. They concluded that using online
56
57 media to communicate CSR initiatives can improve CR (Eberle *et al.*, 2013).
58
59
60

1
2
3 Finally, word-of-mouth (WOM) is a source of information among consumers
4
5 that involves people sharing information about their own evaluation of experiences
6
7 through oral communication which, according to marketing researchers, has an impact
8
9 on consumers' attitudes, judgments, and choice behaviour (Sallam, 2016). One of the
10
11 reasons why WOM is such a crucial concept for companies is that it represents a free
12
13 form of advertisement or promotion (Mosley, 2017). Depending on the studies, WOM
14
15 can be conceptualized as referring to positive comments only or as referring to both
16
17 positive or negative comments (Casidy and Wymer, 2015), but following previous
18
19 research this study uses the term WOM referring to positive comments only.
20
21
22

23
24 The distinctive feature of WOM is that the communication is usually unbiased
25
26 given that the source of information does not get anything in return from the receiver
27
28 (Schiffman and Wisenblit, 2015), which is probably why information spread by
29
30 consumers is perceived as more reliable than the same delivered from a company
31
32 (Schindler and Bickart, 2005). Wee *et al.* (1995) showed that WOM sources were
33
34 generally considered to be more reliable and influential than other sources of
35
36 information.
37
38

39
40 In analysing outcomes of CSR, Bhattacharya and Sen (2004) found consumers'
41
42 willingness to talk positively about companies that are engaged in CSR activities.
43
44 Similarly, Walsh and Beatty (2007) found a positive association between customer-
45
46 based CR and WOM, that is, companies with a positive reputation have a greater
47
48 probability that their customers act as company advocates. According to Bialkova and
49
50 Te Paske (2020), CSR motives and message credibility modulate the willingness to
51
52 spread e-WOM. This willingness is higher when the message combines value and
53
54 performance-driven CSR motives.
55
56

57
58 *The influence of CSR communication source*
59
60

1
2
3 The source-credibility model states that the effectiveness of a message relies on
4
5 the perception of expertise and trustworthiness of the endorser (Hovland and Weiss,
6
7 1951). Specifically, a communicator perceived as untrustworthy interferes with the
8
9 acceptance of the information and consequently source trustworthiness causes changes
10
11 in opinion (Hovland and Weiss, 1951). Hence, low-credibility sources are perceived and
12
13 judged less fair or justified than high-credibility sources.
14
15

16
17 Generally, CSR can be communicated through corporate sources (e.g., the
18
19 company CEO or the company itself) or non-corporate sources (e.g., customers
20
21 testimonials or influencers). Previous studies on CSR communication suggest that non-
22
23 corporate sources are perceived as unbiased (Skard and Thorbjørnsen, 2014). For
24
25 instance, it has been found that celebrity endorsement increases initial interest and
26
27 likelihood of seeking additional information (Maronick, 2005). Likewise, consumers
28
29 attribute more sincere CSR motives when they learn about the CSR activity from a
30
31 neutral source than from a company source (Lee *et al.*, 2018). Relatedly, Howes and
32
33 Sallot (2013) compared a company spokesperson and a customer spokesperson in the
34
35 context of message credibility and found that the second has a better impact on the
36
37 audience because it is considered more trustworthy.
38
39
40
41

42
43 On the contrary, Agnihotri and Bhattacharya (2018) found that, when the title
44
45 and designation of CEOs and founders are signalled, the communication is more
46
47 effective with corporate sources than with celebrities. However, other studies have
48
49 found no differences. Maronick (2005) compared the effectiveness of a celebrity
50
51 endorser and a company president but found no differences in belief of claim. Similarly,
52
53 Rantanen (2020) compared the effect of CSR communication from an influencer and
54
55 from the company itself and found no difference in credibility.
56
57
58
59
60

Therefore, further and deeper research is needed (Herold *et al.*, 2015 and Le *et al.*, 2018). In general, non-corporate sources are perceived as more reliable than corporate sources for being unbiased (Schindler and Bickart, 2005; Schiffman and Wisenblit, 2015) and expressing their expectations. Consumer-publics prefer non-corporate sources over CEOs and public relations spokespersons (Kim and Ferguson, 2014). Based on the above we can conclude that a noncorporate source, often a celebrity, is more effective than a corporate source. Hence, our first hypothesis is as follows:

H1: An influencer source will lead to higher levels of message credibility than a corporate source.

Influencer-generated content on social media positively affects brand awareness and purchase intentions (Lou and Yuan, 2019). Skard and Thorbjørnsen (2014) showed that a non-corporate source generates more positive brand evaluations than a corporate source when the sponsor has a positive reputation. However, the current study uses a fictional brand to avoid participants' preconceptions. Given the scarcity of research, the following research question is asked:

RQ1: Does an influencer source lead to higher levels of CR than a corporate source?

Finally, Herold *et al.* (2015) suggest that the processing of WOM differs depending on the source. Even though research has indicated that the source might impact credibility, according to Le *et al.* (2018), the relationship between source and message in WOM influence is still not clear. They found that judgement of message quality is influenced by the level of expertise, trustworthiness, homophily and opinion leadership of the WOM source. Based on this, we assume that an influencer source

1
2
3 generates higher WOM than a corporate source. Hence, our second hypothesis is as
4
5 follows:

6
7
8 **H2:** An influencer source will generate more WOM than a corporate source.

9
10 *Framing and CSR motives*

11
12 Message framing consists of “selecting some aspects of a perceived reality and
13
14 making them more salient in a communicating text” (Entman, 1993, p.52). Frames
15
16 shape perceptions in communication (Hallahan, 2011) and guide people in forming
17
18 judgments (Wang and Anderson, 2018), influencing therefore their attitudes and
19
20 behavioural intent (Mooney and McGrath, 2020). For this reason, framing theory is
21
22 often studied in the context of persuasive communication. As frames affect how
23
24 audiences may respond, communicators often consciously select specific frames to
25
26 arouse the greatest persuasiveness or credibility (Geise and Coleman, 2015). For this
27
28 reason, this study considers different CSR motives as different frames in
29
30 communicating to stakeholders and tests their effect on message credibility and other
31
32 variables.
33
34
35
36

37
38 Literature suggests that the valence of CSR framing affects external judgments
39
40 and attitudes towards a company (Wang and Anderson, 2018). In that regard, CSR
41
42 communication can be framed by performance-driven motives or value-driven motives.
43
44 Value-driven motives reflect the willingness to positively impact society through CSR
45
46 initiatives, i.e., society-oriented activities (Bialkova and Te Paske, 2020), while
47
48 performance-driven CSR motives or egoistic motives reflect the perception that a
49
50 company focuses on itself and its performance objectives only (Swanson, 1995, cited in
51
52 Bialkova and Te Paske, 2020). Also known as positive duty, value-driven motives
53
54 recognize that a company may be involved in CSR to help others, and not only to meet
55
56 stakeholder expectations, i.e., negative duty (Swanson, 1995). According to Rives *et al.*
57
58
59
60

(2015, p.4), values-driven motives affect consumers' recommendation intentions and "are sufficient motivation for consumers to speak positively of a company". However, performance-driven motives may be perceived as sincere leading to positive outcomes (Kim, 2019).

Previous research testing framing of CSR motives has found that participants respond most positively to CSR motives they perceive as values-driven while negatively to motives judged as egoistic (Ellen *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, negative outcomes were identified when companies with poor CR emphasize only performance-driven motives, omitting value-driven motives (Kim and Ferguson, 2014). On the contrary, Kim (2014, p.838) found that acknowledging a performance-driven motive "reduces sceptical attribution and enhances stakeholders' favourable intent to support, seek employment with, invest in, and purchase from the company". Thus, although CSR communication with a self-promotional tone has a negative relationship with consumer's trust and CR, it improves consumers' CSR knowledge and, in turn, has a positive effect on the perception of CR (Kim, 2019).

Therefore, what is evident is that CSR motives have a strong impact on message credibility and on CR. Consequently, the following research questions are formulated:

RQ2. Do performance-driven motives lead to higher levels of message credibility than value-driven motives?

RQ3. Do values-driven CSR motives lead to higher levels of CR than performance-driven motives?

RQ4. Do values-driven CSR motives increase WOM more than performance-driven CSR motives?

Comparing internal and external sources, Groza *et al.* (2011) provide evidence that consumers attribute different motives to a CSR initiative depending on the source

of the message. They showed that the publication of a company's CSR initiative internally (vs. externally) amplified the effects of the CSR initiative on perceived values-driven and strategic-driven motives but not on perceived stakeholder-driven motives (Groza *et al.*, 2011). However, they used a newspaper as an external source, in opposition to the company's official website. Therefore, the existing literature does not offer research on the interaction between communication source and framed CSR motives in the context of CSR communication. As a result, the following research question is formulated:

RQ5. Is there an interaction effect between source and CSR framed motives?

Method

Research design and procedure

An online experiment with a 2 (corporate source vs influencer source) × 2 (values-driven motives vs performance-driven motives) between-subjects design was created on Online Surveys and distributed via social media and personal communication. Ethical approval was provided by the Ethical Review Committee of the university where the study was developed. Data was collected in May 2021.

Participants were randomly assigned to one of the four experimental conditions. First, the instructions were presented, and their consent was asked. Then, each participant was asked to read the Facebook post with the CSR communication. Immediately following the exposure, participants answered the manipulation check, and questions about the credibility of the message, CR and WOM. After answering demographic questions, participants were debriefed and thanked.

Stimulus material

The four experimental conditions were created through fictitious Facebook posts of a fictitious luxury company named "Infinity Lusso". Two posts (one framed by

values-driven motives and one by performance-driven motives) appeared to be written by a fictitious CEO and the other two by a real well-known influencer. The fictitious company was used to ensure participants “would not have any prior perception toward the company”, as suggested by Wang and Huang (2018, p. 332) and consequently the CEO could not be real. However, to test the real effect of an influencer source compared to a corporate source, only a real influencer could be used, as in Seiler and Kucza (2017) and Weismueller *et al.* (2020). Considering that often women are the face of luxury brands (Fedon and Schockert, 2017), we chose a female source. Chiara Ferragni was chosen as the influencer since she is the most important influencer in Italy, has an overall positive image and has sponsored content from luxury brands (Zanetti, 2021). We made sure that the fictitious CEO and the influencer were as similar as possible in appearance, i.e., gender, age, hair and skin colour, and haircut and style.

The source was manipulated creating two different Facebook profiles, one of the fictitious CEO and one of the influencer; besides name, surname, and picture, we included the occupation (CEO at Infinity Lusso or influencer) following Agnihotri and Bhattacharya (2018) to ensure participants had the communication source clear.

With regards to the framing, the CSR communication post was manipulated to get a message with perceived performance-driven motives and a message with perceived values-driven motives (see Appendix I). The messages were created based on Ellen *et al.* (2006) as in Shemetkova (2017). For example, to communicate values-driven motives the post included phrases such as “*We aim to protect the environment for the better future of our planet*”. For conditions with performance-driven motives the post featured phrases such as “*We decided to engage in corporate social responsibility projects because it is beneficial for our company and will help to improve brand image*”.

1
2
3 Finally, as Yang *et al.* (2020) have noted, social media is becoming increasingly
4 crucial for CSR communication. Following these authors, Facebook was specifically
5 chosen as a social media platform because nine out of ten leading companies use it to
6 communicate their CSR initiatives.
7
8
9
10

11 *Participants*

12 A sample of 200 participants living in Italy and aged between 21 and 63 ($M =$
13 36.99, $SD = 13.04$) took part in the study; 60.5% of them were females, 39% were
14 males, and 1 participant preferred not to specify (0.5%). Regarding the highest level of
15 education, 3% only had compulsory education, 36.5% had a high school diploma, 20%
16 had a bachelor's degree, 36.5% owned a Master, and 4% had a PhD. Finally, regarding
17 the employment status, 14.5% were students, 71% declared to be self-employed, work
18 full-time or part-time, 3.5% were unemployed or retired and 11% selected the option
19 "others".
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 Participants were randomly assigned to one of the four conditions (corporate
33 source and value-driven message $n=49$, influencer source and value-driven message
34 $n=49$, corporate source and performance-driven motives $n=51$, influencer source and
35 performance-driven motives $n=51$).
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 *Measures*

43 *Message credibility*

44 The scale from Newell and Goldsmith (2001), originally created to measure
45 corporate credibility, was adapted by using the post (i.e., the message) as the subject of
46 the items. The scale consisted of four items (e.g., After reading the post, I think it
47 contains honest information) measured in a 5-point Likert scale, from (1) totally
48 disagree to (5) totally agree (Cronbach's $\alpha = .75$; $M = 3.33$, $SD = .60$).
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 *Corporate reputation*

CR was measured using an adapted scale from Fombrun *et al.* (2000). Only three sections of the scale, i.e., emotional appeal, workplace environment, social and environmental responsibility, were selected because relevant for a fictitious company (e.g., I admire and respect this company) and measured in a 5-point Likert scale, from (1) totally disagree to (5) totally agree (Cronbach's $\alpha = .87$, $M = 3.35$, $SD = .56$).

Word-of-Mouth

WOM was measured using the scale from Walsh and Beatty (2007). The three items (e.g., To what extent is it likely that you would say good things about this company) were measured in a five-point Likert scale, from (1) very unlikely to (5) very likely (Cronbach's $\alpha = .85$, $M = 3.13$, $SD = .76$).

Manipulation check

Two questions were included: (1) The post that I have just read is posted by: an influencer or someone from the company (CEO); (2) The company affirms to engage in corporate social responsibility projects because: it is beneficial for the company or because they care about the environment and other social issues.

Control variables

As previous research has found gender (Boysselle, 2015) and age (Wee *et al.* 1995) effects on CSR communication, several sociodemographic variables (i.e., gender, age, educational level, and employment status) were controlled as they might provide alternative explanations for the hypothesized effects.

Results

Manipulation check

In the corporate source condition, 98% correctly said the post was posted by someone from the company (CEO). In the influencer source condition, 86% correctly said the post was posted by an influencer. This difference was significant, $\chi^2(1, N=$

200) = 143.18, $p < .001$. Although the source was not correctly noticed by all participants, the manipulation was successful.

In the value-driven condition, 85.7% correctly said that the company affirms to engage in corporate social responsibility projects because they care about the environment and other social issues. In the performance-driven condition, 63.7% correctly said that the company affirms to engage in CSR projects because it is beneficial for the company. This difference was significant, $\chi^2 (1, N=200) = 51.12, p < .001$. Although several participants did not perceive the intended framed motives, the majority answered correctly and the manipulation was successful.

Randomization-check

The four experimental groups did not differ with regard to age, $F(3, 196) = 1.7, p = .153$, gender, $\chi^2 (6, N=200) = 4.22, p = .646$, and employment status $\chi^2 (18, N=200) = 21.26, p = .266$. However, the four groups were not equally distributed with respect to educational level, $\chi^2 (12, N=200) = 25.74, p = .012$. Therefore, educational level was used as a covariate in the analyses.

Hypothesis testing

To test the hypothesis and answer the research questions three analysis two-way ANCOVA were conducted with source and framing as fixed factors; message credibility, CR and WOM as dependent variables; and educational level as a covariate.

Regarding the impact of source (H1) and framed motives (RQ2) on message credibility, there was not a statistically significant main effect for source, $F (1, 195) = 1.07, p = .300$ and for framed motives, $F (1, 429) = .19, p = .662$. Moreover, the interaction effect between source and framed motives, shown in Figure 1, was not statistically significant, $F (1, 195) = .45, p = .499$. Corporate or influencer source and

1
2
3 value or performance-driven framed motives do not differ in influencing message
4
5 credibility.
6
7
8
9

10 **< Insert Figure 1 here >**
11
12
13

14 As for the impact of source (RQ1) and framed motives (RQ3) on CR, there was
15 a statistically significant main effect for framed motives, $F(1, 195) = 7.09, p = .008$ but
16 not for source, $F(1, 195) = .40, p = .524$. Moreover, the interaction effect between
17 source and framed motives, shown in Figure 2, was not statistically significant, $F(1,$
18 $195) = .019, p = .890$. Thus, while the impact of corporate or influencer source does not
19 change on CR, framing the message by values-driven instead of performance-driven
20 motives has a better impact on CR.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **< Insert Figure 2 here >**
34
35
36
37

38 Finally, and regarding the impact of source (H2) and framed motives (RQ4) on
39 WOM, there was a statistically significant main effect for source, $F(1, 195) = 5.04, p =$
40 $.026$ and for framed motives, $F(1, 195) = 8.05, p = .005$. However, the interaction effect
41 between source and framed motives, shown in Figure 3, was not statistically significant,
42 $F(1, 195) = .22, p = .635$. Hence, H4 was rejected since a corporate source generates
43 more WOM than an influencer source. Moreover, a CSR message framed by value-
44 driven motives generates more WOM than performance-driven motives.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **< Insert Figure 3 here >**
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Because the impact of the source does not differ on message credibility or CR
4
5 and considering that young people are more familiar with social media and influencers,
6
7 we checked if the influencer source had a different effect on young and adult
8
9 participants. Only the data of participants who were exposed to the influencer source
10
11 were selected and a Pearson correlation was conducted to check whether there was a
12
13 relationship between age and evaluation of message credibility, CR and WOM. There
14
15 was not a significant relationship between age and variables of message credibility, r
16
17 $(100) = -.12, p = .222$; CR, $r(100) = -.09, p = .392$; and WOM, $r(100) = .12, p = .24$,
18
19 among the participants who were exposed to the influencer post.
20
21
22

23
24 Finally, RQ5 looked into the interaction between source and CSR framing
25
26 motives. As it has been shown previously, there was no interaction effect between these
27
28 two variables.
29

30 31 **Discussion**

32
33 This study aimed to understand the effect of the communication source and
34
35 framed motives in CSR communication to identify the best combination to be used on
36
37 social media to increase message credibility, corporate reputation and word-of-mouth.
38
39 We tested a message given by a corporate source (CEO) compared to an influencer
40
41 source and framed by values-driven motives in comparison to performance-driven
42
43 motives.
44
45

46
47 According to the results, there was no significant difference in the impact
48
49 between corporate and influencer source on message credibility nor on CR, while there
50
51 was a different impact on WOM. Although prior research showed that a neutral non-
52
53 corporate source is perceived as more credible than a corporate source (Schindler and
54
55 Bickart, 2005; Yoon *et al.*, 2008; Skard and Thorbjørnsen, 2014), similar to Rantanen
56
57
58
59
60

(2020), this study does not show a significant difference in the level of credibility perceived of a message given by a company's CEO or an influencer.

In contrast, an important finding is that the source impacted WOM, confirming that the processing of WOM differs depending on the source (Herold *et al.*, 2015). Contrary to expectations, a CSR message given by the CEO seems to generate more WOM than the same message given by an influencer. This effect might be related to the fact that the title and designation of the CEO were intentionally signalled, which according to Agnihotri and Bhattacharya (2018), makes the communication more effective than with celebrities. Furthermore, because the judgement of message quality is influenced by the level of expertise and opinion leadership of the WOM source (Le *et al.*, 2018), it might happen that considering the CEO as more expert, stakeholders are more likely to spread positive information.

As for framed motives, results show that framing the message with value or performance-driven motives had a different impact on CR and WOM, but not on message credibility. This reflects previous studies which suggest that the valence of CSR framing affects external judgments towards a company, i.e., CR (Wang and Anderson, 2018) and influence recommendation intentions, i.e., WOM (Bialkova and Te Paske, 2020; Rives *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, it confirms Ellen's *et al.* (2006) findings that show that participants respond most positively to CSR motives they perceive as values-driven and it is in line with Rives *et al.* (2015), who states that values-driven motives motivate consumers to speak positively of a company.

Regarding the relationship between communication source and CSR framed motives, the analysis does not show a statistically significant interaction effect on message credibility, CR or WOM. However, we can conclude that communicating a CSR message through a corporate source framed by value-driven motives has a better

1
2
3 impact on CR and WOM, even though it does not affect message credibility. Therefore,
4
5 it has been proven to be crucial for luxury companies to communicate their CSR
6
7 initiatives through a corporate source and to frame the social media message by values-
8
9 driven motives.
10

11 12 **Conclusions**

13
14 Addressing the increased demand for CSR communication, the current paper
15
16 explored how corporate vs influencer sources and value-driven vs performance-driven
17
18 framed motives affect message credibility, CR and WOM, providing understanding on
19
20 how to optimise CSR effectiveness on social media.
21
22

23
24 Results show that corporate or influencer source do not differently affect
25
26 message credibility or CR but do have a different impact on WOM. Contrary to prior
27
28 research, a CSR message given by a CEO generates more WOM than the same message
29
30 given by an influencer. With regards to framed motives, results reflect previous studies
31
32 showing that framing the message with value or performance-driven motives has a
33
34 different impact on CR and WOM, although not on message credibility. Values-driven
35
36 motives increase CR more than performance-driven motives and consequently generate
37
38 more WOM. As previously suspected, it might happen that even when the company
39
40 frames the message with value-driven motives, stakeholders are sceptical and believe
41
42 that the company has egoistic and performance purposes.
43
44
45

46
47 Finally, we can conclude that the most effective CSR communication on social
48
49 media should be posted by a corporate source (e.g., CEO) and should be framed by
50
51 values-driven motives (i.e., it should be society-oriented).
52

53
54 This study is of great value to both scholars and practitioners as it analyses CSR
55
56 communication in relation to stakeholders' preferences and its outcomes are crucial in
57
58 understanding the way CSR is perceived on social media. It gives a deeper
59
60

1
2
3 understanding of the role of source and message framing in CSR communication, in
4
5 order to enable companies to select the most effective combination to increase CR and
6
7 stimulate WOM, addressing Le's *et al.* (2018) suggestion. In particular, showing that
8
9 influencers are not more effective for communicating CSR than CEOs, indeed they are
10
11 less effective for spreading WOM. While it is true that numerous studies proved that
12
13 influencer endorsement positively affects consumer's attitudes, this study considered
14
15 both influencer and corporate sources and compared them to find the most effective.
16
17 Given that nowadays influencers are considered important actors in CSR
18
19 communication on social media and that companies over the last two decades have
20
21 collaborated with social media influencers, this result highlights the relevance of the
22
23 study for the professional world. Therefore, the current research stresses the importance
24
25 of selecting the right type of source before sharing CSR information on social media.
26
27
28
29

30
31 Nonetheless, this study presents several limitations. Firstly, although the CSR
32
33 messages were created based on Ellen's *et al.* (2006) items together with CSR
34
35 information of different luxury companies' official websites, the manipulations of CSR
36
37 motives did not work properly for every participant. However, in reality, CSR messages
38
39 on social media are not as clear and detailed as they were in the questionnaires, which
40
41 might raise concerns about whether people in real life understand CSR messages on
42
43 social media. Therefore, more research about that and for manipulation of CSR motives
44
45 in social media messages is needed.
46
47
48

49
50 Secondly, for the experiment we chose a real influencer, and this might have
51
52 affected the results. Although we used a fictional company to remove the influence of
53
54 pre-existing beliefs, it is not possible to use a fictitious influencer. We made sure to
55
56 choose a popular influencer, but people might have different feelings about her, and
57
58 results might have been influenced by participants' preconceptions. **Further, and**
59
60

1
2
3 considering that influencers can also become CEOs, it could be relevant to include a
4
5 third experimental condition with a source performing both roles in future research.
6

7
8 Moreover, given that our results do not show significant results regarding credibility,
9
10 research is needed to understand which other elements can affect the perception of
11
12 message credibility.
13

14
15 Thirdly, although previous literature on CSR on social media has shown the
16
17 connection with electronic word of mouth (e-WOM), our study only measured the
18
19 communication outcomes on the traditional concept of WOM. Since literature suggests
20
21 that influencer' messages are likely to be perceived as highly credible e-WOM (De
22
23 Veirman, Cauberghe and Hudders, 2017), future research should also include the
24
25 measurement of e-WOM as a variable in connection to WOM. Even though our study
26
27 found that a corporate source generates more WOM, results could be different with e-
28
29 WOM.
30
31
32

33
34 Finally, even though CSR communication can lead to positive outcomes, it
35
36 might be ineffective, or even detrimental, when it comes across stakeholders' disbelief
37
38 and scepticism (Kim, 2019). Hence, future research should analyse whether scepticism
39
40 moderates the effect of framing and source.
41

42 **References**

- 43
44 Agnihotri, A. and Bhattacharya, S. (2019), "The relative effectiveness of endorsers: The
45
46 identity badge of CEOs and founders versus the attractiveness of celebrities",
47
48 *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 59 No. 3, pp. 357-369.
49
50
51 Aksak, E. O., Ferguson, M. A. and Duman, S. A. (2016), "Corporate social responsibility
52
53 and CSR fit as predictors of corporate reputation: A global perspective", *Public*
54
55 *Relations Review*, Vol. 42 No. 1, pp. 79-81.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Beckmann, C. S., Morsing, M. and Reisch, L. (2006), "Strategic CSR communication:
4 An emerging field" in Morsing, M. and Beckmann, S. (Ed.s), *Strategic CSR*
5
6 *Communication*, Copenhagen: DJOF Publishing, pp. 11–36.
7
8
9
- 10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Bhattacharya, C.B. and Sen, S. (2004), "Doing better at doing good: When, why, and how consumers respond to corporate social initiatives", *California Management Review*, Vol. 47, pp. 9-24, doi: 10.2307/41166284.
- Bialkova, S. and Paske, S. (2020), "Campaign participation, spreading electronic word of mouth, purchase: how to optimise corporate social responsibility, CSR, effectiveness via social media?", *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 108-126, doi: 10.1108/EJMBE08-2020-0244.
- Boccia, F., Malgeri Manzo, R. and Covino, D. (2019), "Consumer behavior and corporate social responsibility: An evaluation by a choice experiment", *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 97-105.
- Boyselle, J. (2015), "The influence of CSR (Corporate Social Responsibility) communication on brand perceived value and trust: The case of SME in the food industry", (Doctoral dissertation), Université Montpellier, Montpellier.
- Casidy, R. and Wymer, W. (2015), "The impact of brand strength on satisfaction, loyalty and WOM: An empirical examination in the higher education sector", *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol. 22, pp. 117-135.
- Cheng, Y., Chen, Y. R. R. and Hung-Baesecke, C. J. F. (2021), "Social media influencers in CSR endorsement: the effect of consumer mimicry on CSR behaviors and consumer relationships", *Social Science Computer Review*, pp. 744-761, doi: 0894439320987184.

- 1
2
3 Choi, S. and Rifon, N. (2002), "Antecedents and consequences of web advertising
4 credibility: A study of consumer response to banner ads", *Journal of Interactive*
5 *Advertising*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 12-24.
6
7
8
9
10 Colleoni, E. (2013), "CSR communication strategies for organizational legitimacy in
11 social media", *Corporate Communications: An international journal*, Vol. 18
12 No. 2, pp. 228-248.
13
14
15
16
17 De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V. and Hudders, L. (2017), "Marketing through Instagram
18 influencers: the impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand
19 attitude", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vo. 36 No. 5, pp. 798-828, doi:
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Eberle, D., Berens, G. and Li, T. (2013), "The impact of interactive corporate social
responsibility communication on corporate reputation", *Journal of business
ethics*, Vol. 118 No. 4, pp. 731-746, doi: 10.1007/s10551-013-1957-y.
- Ellen, P.S., Webb, D.J. and Mohr, L.A. (2006), "Building corporate associations:
Consumer attributions for corporate socially responsible programs", *Journal of
the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 147-157,
doi:10.1177/0092070305284976
- Entman, R. M. (1993), "Framing: toward clarification of a fractured paradigm", *Journal
of Communication*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 51-8.
- Fedon, F. and Schockert, P. (2017), "Is the use of female celebrity endorsers instead of
models in luxury advertising a feminist act?", (Master Research Report),
Toulouse Business School, Toulouse.
- Fombrun, C., Gardberg, N. and Sever, J. (2000), "The Reputation QuotientSM: A multi-
stakeholder measure of corporate reputation", *Journal of Brand Management*,
Vol. 7 No. 4, pp. 241-255.

- 1
2
3 Geise, S. and Coleman, R. (2015), “Ethical challenges of framing in persuasive
4 communication, in words and pictures” in Duffy, M. and Thorson, E. (Ed.s)
5
6
7 *Persuasion ethics today*. 1st edn. New York: Routledge, pp. 199-221.
8
9
10 Groza, M. D., Pronschinske, M. R. and Walker, M. (2011), “Perceived organizational
11 motives and consumer responses to proactive and reactive CSR”, *Journal of*
12
13 *Business Ethics*, Vol. 102 No. 4, pp. 639–652, doi:10.1007/s10551-011-0834-9.
14
15
16
17 Hallahan, K. (2011), “Political public relations and strategic framing” in Strömbäck, J.
18 and Kioussis, S. (Ed.s) *Political public relations: Principles and Application*. 1st
19 edn. New York: Routledge, pp.177-213.
20
21
22
23
24 Herold, K., Tarkiainen, A. and Sundqvist, S. (2016), “How the source of word-of-mouth
25 influences information processing in the formation of brand attitudes”, *Journal of*
26
27 *Marketing for Higher Education*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 64-85.
28
29
30
31 Hovland, C. I. and Weiss, W. (1951), “The Influence of source credibility on
32 communication effectiveness”, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 15(4), pp. 635-650,
33
34 doi:10.1086/266350.
35
36
37
38 Howes, P. and Sallot, L. (2013), “Company spokesperson vs. customer testimonial:
39 Investigating quoted spokesperson credibility and impact in business-to-business
40 communication”, *Public Relations Review*, Vol. 39, pp. 207-212.
41
42
43
44
45 Ihlen, Ø., Bartlett, J.L. and May, S. (2011), “Corporate social responsibility and
46 communication” in Ihlen, Ø., Bartlett, J.L. and May, S. (Ed.s) *The Handbook of*
47
48 *Communication and Corporate Social Responsibility*, John Wiley & Sons, pp. 3-
49
50
51
52 22, doi: 10.1002/9781118083246.ch1.
53
54
55
56 Kim, S. (2019), “The process model of corporate social responsibility (CSR)
57 communication: CSR communication and its relationship with consumers’ CSR
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 knowledge, trust, and corporate reputation perception”, *Journal of Business*
4
5 *Ethics*, Vol. 154, pp. 1143-1159, doi:10.1007/s10551-017-3433-6.
6
7
8 Kim, S. and Ferguson, M. T. (2014), “Public expectations of CSR communication:
9
10 What and how to communicate CSR”, *Public Relations Journal*, Vol. 8 No. 3,
11
12 pp. 1-22.
13
14
15 Lee, Y. J., Yoon, H. J. and O'Donnell, N. H. (2018), “The effects of information cues on
16
17 perceived legitimacy of companies that promote corporate social responsibility
18
19 initiatives on social networking sites”, *Journal of business research*, Vol. 83, pp.
20
21 202-214.
22
23
24 Lock, I. and Schulz-Knappe, C. (2019), “Credible corporate social responsibility (CSR)
25
26 communication predicts legitimacy”, *Corporate Communications: An*
27
28 *International Journal*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 2-20, doi:10.1108/CCIJ-07-2018-0071.
29
30
31 Lou, C. and Yuan, S. (2019), “Influencer marketing: how message value and credibility
32
33 affect consumer trust of branded content on social media”, *Journal of Interactive*
34
35 *Advertising*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 58-73, doi:10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501.
36
37
38 Maden, C., Arıkan, E., Telci, E.E. and Kantur, D. (2012), “Linking corporate social
39
40 responsibility to corporate reputation: A study on understanding behavioral
41
42 consequences”, *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 58, pp. 655-664,
43
44 doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1043.
45
46
47 Maronick, T.J. (2005), “Celebrity versus company president as endorsers of high risk
48
49 products for elderly consumers”, *Journal of Promotion Management*, Vol. 11
50
51 No. 4, pp. 63-80, doi: 10.1300/J057v11n04_06.
52
53
54 Moratis, L. (2016), “Out of the ordinary? Appraising ISO 26000's CSR definition”,
55
56 *International Journal of Law and Management*, Vol. 58 No. 1, pp. 26-47,
57
58 doi:10.1108/IJLMA-12-2014-0064.
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mosley, M. (2017), "Why is word of mouth marketing so important?", available at
4
5 <https://www.socialmediatoday.com/marketing/why-word-mouthmarketing-so->
6
7 important (accessed 25 May 2021)
8
9
- 10 Newell, S. and Goldsmith, R. (2001), "The development of a scale to measure perceived
11
12 corporate credibility", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 52 No. 3, pp. 235-
13
14 247, doi:10.1016/S0148-2963(99)00104-6.
15
16
- 17 Rantanen, C. (2020), "Comparing the effect of CSR communication from company vs.
18
19 social media influencers on consumer's brand attitude and purchase intention:
20
21 An experimental study", (Doctoral dissertation), University of Groningen,
22
23 Groningen.
24
25
- 26 Rives, L., Cuestas Díaz, P. and Román, S. (2015), "Determinants of consumer
27
28 attributions of corporate social responsibility", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol.
29
30 138 No. 2, pp. 247-260, doi:10.1007/s10551-015-2578-4.
31
32
- 33 Sallam, M. A. (2016), "An investigation of corporate image effect on WOM: The role
34
35 of customer satisfaction and trust", *International Journal of Business*
36
37 *Administration*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 27-35.
38
39
- 40 Sarkar, R. (2018), "PR and its role in communicating corporate social responsibilities"
41
42 paper presented at the National PR Conclave- 2018 Strengthening Democracy:
43
44 Role of Public Relations, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.
45
46
- 47 Schiffman, L. G. and Wisenblit, J. (2015), *Consumer Behavior*, Pearson, Essex, UK.
48
- 49 Schindler, R. and Bickart, B. (2005), "Published word of mouth: Referable, consumer-
50
51 generated information on the Internet" in Haugtvedt, C.P., Machleit, K.A. and
52
53 Yalch, R. (Ed.s) *Online Consumer Psychology: Understanding and Influencing*
54
55 *Behavior in the Virtual World*, London: Psychology Press, pp. 35-61.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Seiler, R. and Kucza, G. (2017), "Source credibility model, source attractiveness model
4 and match-up-hypothesis—An integrated model", *Journal of International*
5
6 *Scientific Publications: Economy and Business*, Vol. 11, pp. 1-15.
7
8
9
10 Shemetkova, A. (2017), "The role of CSR motives and CSR fit in stakeholders'
11 intentions of spreading Ewom", (Master's Thesis), Tilburg University, Tilburg.
12
13
14 Skard, S. and Thorbjørnsen, H. (2014), "Is publicity always better than advertising? The
15 role of brand reputation in communicating Corporate Social Responsibility",
16
17 *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 124 No. 1, pp.149-160, doi:149-
18
19 160.10.1007/s10551-013-1863-3.
20
21
22
23
24 Smith, R. and Vogt, C. (1995), "The effects of integrating advertising and negative
25 word-of-mouth communications on message processing and response", *Journal*
26
27 *of Consumer Psychology*, Vol. 4 No. 2, pp. 133-151.
28
29
30
31 Swanson, D. L. (1995), "Addressing a theoretical problem by reorienting the Corporate
32 Social Performance model", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 20 No. 1,
33
34 pp. 43-64.
35
36
37
38 Walsh, G. and Beatty, S. (2007), "Customer-based corporate reputation of a service
39 firm: Scale development and validation", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing*
40
41 *Science*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 127-143, doi: 10.1007/s11747-007-0015-7.
42
43
44
45 Wang, A. and Anderson, R. (2008), "Corporate social responsibility priming and
46 valence of CSR framing on CSR judgments", *Public Relations Journal*, Vol. 2,
47
48 pp.1-15, doi: 10.1108/CCIJ-07-2017-0067.
49
50
51
52 Wang, R. and Huang, Y. (2018), "Communicating corporate social responsibility (CSR)
53 on social media: How do message source and types of CSR messages influence
54 stakeholders' perceptions?", *Corporate Communications: An International*
55
56 *Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 326-341.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Wee, C. H., Ta, S. J. and Cheok, K. H. (1995), "Non-price determinants of intention to
4 purchase counterfeit goods: an exploratory study", *International Marketing*
5
6
7
8 *Review*, Vol. 12 No. 6, pp. 19-46.

9
10 Weismueller, J., Harrigan, P., Wang, S. and Soutar, G. N. (2020), "Influencer
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

endorsements: How advertising disclosure and source credibility affect
consumer purchase intention on social media", *Australasian Marketing Journal*,
Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 160-170, doi:10.1016/j.ausmj.2020.03.002.

Yang, J., Basile, K. and Letourneau, O. (2020), "The impact of social media platform
selection on effectively communicating about corporate social responsibility",
Journal of Marketing Communications, Vo. 26 No. 1, pp. 65-87, doi:
10.1080/13527266.2018.1500932


Zanetti, A. (2021). "The importance of being Chiara Ferragni", available at
<https://italicsmag.com/2021/02/17/the-importance-of-being-chiara-ferragni>

APPENDIX

< Insert Figure 4 here >


-- Values
— Performance

Credibility




Source: <http://manuscriptcentral.com/ccij>

Corporate Communications: an International Framed motives




Source: <http://manuscriptcentral.com/ccij>



Source: [manuscriptcentral.com/ccij](https://www.manuscriptcentral.com/ccij)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Condition 1 - CEO & Values



Beatrice Marchesi
CEO at Infinity Lusso


We are pleased to announce our donation of over 30 million essential goods, safe water and medical supply to support children in need and communities around the world during the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. As we aim to protect the environment for the better future of our planet, we are also investing over 60 million to sustainable materials and renewable energy projects, with the goal to procure 35% of our energy needs from renewable sources, as well as to support research institutes focused on addressing climate change and species extinction. Following the core values of our company, we are looking to diversify our workforce and we are actively ensuring that we set strict international labour policies to protect the safety and rights of our employees and to set examples of ethical behaviour.

You can find more detailed information on our website:
www.infinitylusso.it

#InfinityLusso #CSR #social #environment #values

Like Comment Share

Condition 2 - Influencer & Values



Influencer


Infinity Lusso announced their donation of over 30 million essential goods, safe water and medical supply to support children in need and communities around the world during the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. As they aim to protect the environment for the better future of our planet, they are also investing over 60 million to sustainable materials and renewable energy projects, with the goal to procure 35% of their energy needs from renewable sources, as well as to support research institutes focused on addressing climate change and species extinction. Following the core values of their company, they are looking to diversify their workforce and they are actively ensuring to set strict international labour policies to protect the safety and rights of their employees.

You can find more detailed information on their website:
www.infinitylusso.it

#InfinityLusso #CSR #social #environment #values

Like Comment Share

Condition 3- CEO & Performance



Beatrice Marchesi
CEO Infinity Lusso


We are pleased to announce our donation of over 30 million essential goods, safe water and medical supply to support children in need and communities around the world during the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. To help strengthen our market position and attract new customers, we are also investing over 60 million to sustainable materials and renewable energy projects, with the goal to procure 35% of our energy needs from renewable sources, as well as to support research institutes focused on addressing climate change and species extinction. Finally, we are looking to diversify our workforce and we are actively ensuring that we set strict international labour policies to protect the safety and rights of our employees and to set examples of ethical behaviour. We decided to engage in corporate social responsibility projects as it is beneficial for our company and will help to improve our brand image.

You can find more detailed information on our website:
www.infinitylusso.it

#InfinityLusso #CSR #brandimage #environment #market

Like Comment Share

Condition 4 - Influencer & Performance



Influencer

Infinity Lusso announced their donation of over 30 million essential goods, safe water and medical supply to support children in need and communities around the world during the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic. To help strengthen their market position and attract new customers, they are also investing over 60 million to sustainable materials and renewable energy projects, with the goal to procure 35% of our energy needs from renewable sources, as well as to support research institutes focused on addressing climate change and species extinction. Finally, they are looking to diversify their workforce and they are actively ensuring to set strict international labour policies to protect the safety and rights of their employees and to set examples of ethical behaviour. They decided to engage in corporate social responsibility projects as it is beneficial for their company and will help to improve their brand image.

You can find more detailed information on their website:
www.infinitylusso.it

#InfinityLusso #CSR #brandimage #environment #market

Like Comment Share

Stimulus material. Picture and name blurred for legal and copyright reasons.

929x1120mm (38 x 38 DPI)