Open Access



Current trends and intricacies in the management of HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis

Narendran Gopalan, Padmapriyadarsini Chandrasekaran, Soumya Swaminathan and Srikanth Tripathy^{*}

Abstract

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) epidemic has undoubtedly increased the incidence of tuberculosis (TB) globally, posing a formidable global health challenge affecting 1.2 million cases. Pulmonary TB assumes utmost significance in the programmatic perspective as it is readily transmissible as well as easily diagnosable. HIV complicates every aspect of pulmonary tuberculosis from diagnosis to treatment, demanding a different approach to effectively tackle both the diseases. In order to control these converging epidemics, it is important to diagnose early, initiate appropriate therapy for both infections, prevent transmission and administer preventive therapy. Liquid culture methods and nucleic acid amplification tests for TB confirmation have replaced conventional solid media, enabling quicker and simultaneous detection of mycobacterium and its drug sensitivity profile Unique problems posed by the syndemic include Acquired rifampicin resistance, drug-drug interactions, malabsorption of drugs and immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome or paradoxical reaction that complicate dual and concomitant therapy. While the antiretroviral therapy armamentarium is constantly reinforced by discovery of newer and safer drugs every year, only a few drugs for anti tuberculosis treatment have successfully emerged. These include bedaquiline, delamanid and pretomanid which have entered phase III B trials and are also available through conditional access national programmes. The current guidelines by WHO to start Antiretroviral therapy irrespective of CD4+ cell count based on benefits cited by recent trials could go a long way in preventing various complications caused by the deadly duo. This review provides a consolidated gist of the advancements, concepts and updates that have emerged in the management of HIV-associated pulmonary TB for maximizing efficacy, offering latest solutions for tackling drug–drug interactions and remedial measures for immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome.

Keywords: HIV, TB, ATT, ART, IRIS, MDR-TB, IPT

Background

HIV and tuberculosis (TB) have always been faithful comrades facilitating each other in spreading across the globe. According to recent World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, 9.6 million cases of tuberculosis (TB) occurred all over the world with 12 % (1.2 million) being co-infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV); with 37 % of these new TB cases going undiagnosed [1]. In the year 2014, an estimated 1.5 million had died due to

*Correspondence: srikanthtripathy@gmail.com

Division of HIV, National Institute for Research in Tuberculosis (Formerly Tuberculosis Research Centre), No. 1, Mayor Sathyamoorthy Road, Chetpet, Chennai 600 031, India TB with a quarter of them caused by HIV. Pulmonary TB is the commonest form of TB even in HIV even though it is more frequently associated with dissemination locally and systemically, when the two infections coexist. Therefore, early diagnosis and prevention of pulmonary TB, with suitable chemoprophylaxis have become key components towards achieving the end TB strategy [1].

Despite wide spread scale up of antiretroviral therapy (ART), only about one-third (392,000 or 77 % of the notified TB cases known to be HIV infected) were put on antiretroviral therapy (ART). The aim should be to combine the ideal anti-tuberculosis treatment (ATT) with mutually compatible highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) combinations to maximize efficacy, avoiding



© 2016 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

drug-drug interaction. When ART is initiated in HIV infected subjects, a major complication that could arise is the onset of ART related immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome or IRIS, requiring, early detection and prompt treatment. These important aspects pertaining to diagnosis and treatment of this deadly duo is being elaborated in the following paragraphs.

Diagnosis of tuberculosis in HIV infected

Latent TB infection

HIV infection is one important factor for progression to TB disease, mandating meticulous screening and treatment for latent *M. tuberculosis* infection especially in TB prevalent countries.

Diagnosed of latent TB infection

1. *Tuberculin skin test (TST)* Targeted tuberculin testing for LTBI forms a strategic component of TB control identifying high risk population prone for developing TB [2]. Studies have shown that TST-positive patients benefit more from IPT than those who are TST negative [3]. Anergy, improper cold chain maintenance can give rise to false negative results in HIV [2]. Considering these limitations in resource limited set-ups, World Health Organization's Guidelines Group strongly recommends IPT irrespective of TST for people living with HIV [3].

2. *TB MPB-64 skin patch test* MPB-64 is a specific mycobacterial antigen secreted by *M. tuberculosis, M. bovis* and some strains of *M. bovis* used in this patch. This test is simple, non-invasive, does not require a laboratory or highly skilled personnel, unaffected by anergy in HIV-infected individuals and becomes positive in 3-4 days after patch application on skin, and induration on skin lasts for a week. In a study in Manila, Philippines the sensitivity of the transdermal Patch was 87.8 %, with an efficacy of 92.9 % and a specificity of 100 % [4].

3. *IFN-\gamma release assays (IGRA's)* These in vitro blood assays based on IFN- γ production from sensitized T cells TB antigens like early secretory antigenic target 6 (ESAT 6) and culture filtrate protein 10 (CFP 10), are commercially available as QuantiFERON-TB QFT), Enhanced QuantiFERON-TB Gold assay and ELISPOT format, T-SPOT-TB assay] [5].

A study of asymptomatic adults from South Africa, a country with a high prevalence of co-infection found that the rates of positive ELISPOT and ELISA results did not vary significantly by HIV status compared to TST [6]. Due to the requirement of a good laboratory infrastructure and costs, the WHO's Guidelines Group does not recommend IGRA to screen people living with HIV for eligibility to receive IPT, as IGRA does not spell out who will benefit most from IPT [3].

4. *Blood biomarkers* Studies are underway using host RNA gene expression from whole blood that allows for identification of prospective high risk individuals who can potentially progress to active tuberculosis disease [7].

Diagnosis of active TB disease

High clinical suspicion is required in diagnosing early TB disease especially in the context of advanced HIV due to paucity of classical symptoms. WHO guidelines on systematic screening for active pulmonary TB using syndromic evaluation, with active case finding serves a dual purpose, channelizing individuals for either chemoprophylaxis or for prompt initiation of treatment [8–10]. This simplified syndromic questionnaire of three symptoms namely cough, fever and night sweats had been effectively used to diagnose or rule out TB in a study from South East Asia [11].

a. Imaging techniques

Adding a chest X-ray or a CT scan to symptom screening not only increases the detection rate but the cost as well. The spectrum of radiographic manifestation of pulmonary TB is dependent on the relative level of HIVrelated immunodeficiency and varies from normal chest X-ray (CXR) to full blown miliary TB [12, 13] (Fig. 1). A study to evaluate the utility of initial CXR in the diagnostic algorithm for symptomatic HIV-infected patients with negative sputum smears, found that basing a diagnosis of pulmonary TB on initial CXR leads to over diagnosis of TB. CXR had a sensitivity and specificity of 72 and 57 %, respectively, with positive predictive value of 21 % and negative predictive value of 93 % in diagnosing PTB [14]. In addition routine abdominal ultrasonography, followed by abdominal CT scan in inconclusive cases, significantly increased the detection of abdominal TB in patients with advanced immunodeficiency [15].

b) Microbiology

1. *Sputum Smear microscopy* The most frequent and conventional method of pulmonary TB detection in HIV co-infected persons involves examination of at least two sputum specimens, including one early-morning specimen, for acid-fast bacilli (AFB) [16]. Though the sensitivity of sputum microscopy in HIV infection is low (43–51 %), it has the advantage of being inexpensive, relatively rapid to perform, and specific in most settings. Fluorescence microscopy, light emitting diode microscopy, alternative specimen processing methods, such as concentration, bleach sedimentation and same-day sputum collection (front loading) strategies increase the sensitivity of sputum microscopy from 59 to 93 % in detecting pulmonary TB in HIV co-infected persons [17–19].



2. Culture of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.Tb) Mycobacterial culture still remains the gold standard for TB diagnosis. The inherent weaknesses of smear microscopy to determine viability, drug resistance and species identification has made culture test indispensable. HIV co-infection does not affect the yield of mycobacterial culture, but its growth on traditional solid medium like the Middlebrooks or Lowenstein-Jenson medium is rather slow requiring up to 6–8 weeks. Repeated sputum examinations for culture up to three specimens increases the yield by 10-17 % [16].

With increased sensitivity and reduced delays, automated liquid culture systems have replaced solid cultures, increasing case detection by 10 % with quicker TB diagnosis (detecting mycobacterial growth within 1-2 weeks), resulting in prompt treatment initiation. Methods currently in practice include Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT) 960 [Becton-Dickinson Diagnostic Instruments Systems] using fluorescent sensors, MB/BacT system (Organon Teknika) using colorimetric sensors, ESP culture system II (Difco Laboratories, USA) using pressure sensors, or redox reagents, such as Alamar blue [20– 22]. Among HIV-TB co-infected patients, MGIT was found to be more sensitive than culture and microscopy [23]. Other available techniques include bacteriophage based assays (FASTPlaqueTB), Luciferase reporter phage based test and Microscopic observation drug susceptibility (MODS) assays. The latter is a low cost non-commercial method for early detection of micro colonies and drug resistance [24, 25].

3. *Molecular techniques* Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT), are designed to amplify nucleic acid regions specific for *M. tuberculosis* complex and can be used directly on the clinical samples. NAAT yield rapid results, are

highly specific with improved sensitivity compared to smear microscopy. These techniques can detect specific mutations, thus providing information on drug sensitivity as well.

Line probe assays (LPA), endorsed by the WHO in 2008 for molecular detection of drug resistance uses a PCR/hybridization technique to distinguish members of the *M.Tb* complex and simultaneously identifies drug-resistant strains by detecting the most common single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with resistance [25]. LPAs are highly sensitive (\geq 97 %) and specific (\geq 99 %) for the detection of rifampicin resistance, alone or in combination with isoniazid (sensitivity \geq 90 %; specificity \geq 99 %), on isolates of *M. tuberculosis* but it use is restricted to smear-positive sputum specimens only [26].

Xpert-MTB rif (GeneXpert) has currently taken the center stage, providing results within 2 h, with an increase in case detection rate of 45 % compared to smear microscopy and can be used in smear negative patients also [27]. It is a TB-specific automated, cartridge-based nucleic acid amplification assay, endorsed by WHO, for the rapid diagnosis of TB as well as early detection of rifampicin resistance among HIV-infected individuals among presumptive TB patients [27]. Xpert-Ultra is an improved version that has been equated to a liquid culture in its ability to detect Tuberculosis with an improvised specificity to detect MT. TB as well as rifampicin resistance even among smear negative patients with HIV where the conventional Xpert-MTB rif has a lesser yield [28]. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification and Fluorescence in situ hybridization using peptide nucleic acid probes, are other rapid and simplified molecular techniques using NAAT platform used to diagnose

Mycobacterial infection, with high sensitivity and specificity [29, 30].

4. *Serological diagnosis of tuberculosis* Serological tests have no role to play for detecting active TB disease either pulmonary or extra pulmonary TB, and have been banned by WHO [31].

Other diagnostic techniques

Capture ELISA test is available to detect lipoarabinomannan (LAM) in urine specimens. Among hospitalized HIV-infected patients with suspected TB in Zimbabwe, the sensitivity of LAM was found to be significantly higher than that of Sputum smear microscopy, especially in advanced HIV with lower CD4 counts [32]. Bedside LAM-guided initiation of ATT for HIV-infected hospitalized patients with suspected TB was associated with a reduced 8-week mortality rate in a pragmatic, randomized, multi-centric trial in Africa [33]. WHO has now recommended the detection of LAM, in urine specimens in immunosuppressed HIV-infected patients as an adjunctive means of rapidly diagnosing active TB disease [34].

In-spite of all these newer TB diagnostic technologies, including Urinary LAM and Gene Xpert, clinical suspicion and thorough screening of TB in HIV still plays a vital role.

Preventive therapy for TB in HIV infection

As the risk of TB developing in HIV infected individuals is 5–10 % every year, current WHO guidelines recommend screening of all HIV-infected individuals for TB (intensified case finding), and if found to be un-infected, receive isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) for a 6 months, irrespective of TST status [35, 36].

Various randomized controlled clinical trials on different durations and multiple drug regimens have yielded varying results (Table 1).

A Cochrane systematic review of 12 trials, published in 2010 among 8578 patients demonstrated that IPT reduced the risk of active TB by 64 % among TST positive HIV-infected participants, but only by 14 % among TST negative individuals [37]. The key message from the review was that efficacy was similar regardless of drug type, regimen or duration compared to INH monotherapy [37]. CDC also recommended a 12-weekly regimen of INH and rifapentine given as DOT as an equal alternative to 9-months of daily self-supervised INH for treating LTBI in otherwise healthy patients aged ≥ 12 years and advised against use of rifampicin and pyrazinamide for 2 months as prophylaxis [38, 39]. Despite evidences in favour of preventive therapy, adoption of TB preventive therapy in clinical practice has been pretty slow, primarily due to the difficulty in ruling out active TB in HIV infection, apart from reluctance on part of policy makers and program implementers. Getahun et al. [40] suggested IPT be taken over by the HIV intervention programme for an effective implementation after thorough screening for TB.

Patient selection for IPT

In 2011, the WHO released simplified guidelines for IPT, using the clinical algorithm of any cough, night sweats, weight loss and/or fever. Similarly, house hold contacts of sputum smear positive pulmonary TB cases, especially children, are a good entry point for screening for TB and delivery of IPT [36]. A community wide survey among South African mine workers found that majority (approximately 80 %) were eligible for IPT [41]. Due to low specificity of symptom screening, C-reactive protein (CRP) that has a higher specificity for active TB has been incorporated into the diagnostic algorithm. A point-of-care CRP could facilitate rapid initiation of IPT in HIV patients without active TB [42, 43].

IPT with antiretroviral therapy (ART)

Mortality within the first 6 months after initiating ART has been attributed to TB in most resource-limited settings. Protection against TB is further optimized when IPT is combined with ART. Synergistic protection, with greater than 50 % reduction in TB rates, was found in patients who received both IPT and ART than protection afforded by either treatment alone [44, 45]. However, empirical TB treatment in situations where it is difficult to diagnose subclinical TB like advanced HIV disease may not be of much benefit in reducing mortality [46].

Cost-effectiveness of IPT

A randomized trial of HIV infected adults from India showed that IPT, 6EH increased life expectancy by 0.8 months incurring \$100 per individual while 36 months of INH extended life expectancy by 0.2 months with an additional per person cost of \$55 [47]. In addition, antepartum IPT for HIV-infected women, irrespective of CD4 count and TST status, was shown to have greater health benefits and more cost-effective than no IPT or TST-driven IPT in a study from India [48].

Brazil demonstrated that training health care workers to screen HIV-infected adults with positive TST and providing IPT to those with latent TB infection was costeffective relative to the Brazilian GDP per capita [49]. Similarly, the trial from United States using 12-dose of weekly rifapentine plus isoniazid administered as directly observed treatment, also proved to be a cost-effective alternative to 9 months of daily self-administered isoniazid [50]. A decision-analysis model to study the costeffectiveness of IPT in Botswana revealed that treating

	•)	•	
Author	Cohort character- istics	Median CD4	Study design used in RCT	No. enrolled	Drugs used with dosages and duration	Duration of fol- low up	TB breakdown	Conclusion
Samandari et al. [53]	HIV infected With ART (47 %) if CD4 <200 cells/ mm ³	297	Double blind pla- cebo controlled	1995 patients	Arm A 6 months INH 300 mg daily +30 months placebo Arm B 6 months INH 300 mg daily	36 months	Arm A 1.26 % Arm B-0.74 %	36 months INH was more effective but with greater toxicity
Swaminathan et al. [54]	HIV infected With/ without ART	320	Open labelled	683 patients	+30 months INH daily Arm A 36 months of INH 300 mg daily Arm B-6 months of INH 300 mg and Ethambutol	36 months	Arm A 1.6/100py Arm B-2.4/100py	Statistically similar efficacy and toxicity with 6EH7 and 36 INH. Emergence of resistance was 0.8 %
Martinson et al. [55]	HIV infected with- out ART and TST positive	484	Open labelled	1148 patients	800 mg daily Arm A Rifapentine (900 mg) plus INH (900 mg) plus INH (900 mg) weekly for 12 weeks, Arm B Rifampin (600 mg) plus INH (900 mg) twice weekly for 12 weeks, Arm C INH (300 mg) daily for up to 6 years (continuous iso-	Not specified	Arm A-3.1/100py Arm-B 2.9/100py Arm-C 2.7/100py Arm-D-3.6/100py	All regimens had equal efficacy w.r.t 6 months INH with toxicity more in the 3 years regimen. Emergence of resistance was 3.4 %
Sterling et al. [56] TBTC Study 26/ ACTG 5259 [56]	HIV infected with 30 % on ART or close contact of TB cases	200	Open labelled	399 patients	(300 mg) daily for 6 months (control group). Arm A 3 months of 900 mg (max) INH and 600–900 rifapentine once weekly Arm B 9 months of INH 300 mg daily	33 months	Arm A-0.39/100py Arm-B 1.25/100py	Both regimens had equivocal efficacy but more toxicity in 9 months of INH

Table 1 Summary of characteristics and conclusion of randomised controlled clinical trials using various regimens for TB prophylaxis in HIV

PLHIV who have positive TST with 36-month IPT was more cost effective for reducing both TB and death compared with providing IPT without a TST, providing only 6-month IPT, or expanding ART eligibility without IPT [51].

Drug resistance and IPT

A systematic review, assessing the effect of IPT on the risk of INH resistant TB, reported that IPT increases the risk of INH resistance by 1.45 times, whilst it was not significant (Relative risk 1.45; 95 % confidence interval 0.85–2.47) [52]. Though the study had a small sample size and analysis was restricted by incomplete testing of isolates, the relative risk showed a minimal increase in INH resistant TB. However, clinical trials of IPT in HIV-infected patients in Botswana, India and South Africa with more defined cohorts did not show any increased risk of INH resistance amongst patients given IPT [53–56].

Summary

Latent TB is still a difficult diagnosis to make in the wake of HIV coinfection. Advances in molecular technology have partially replaced conventional cultures helping in prompt diagnosis of active TB yielding sensitivity results at the earliest. TB should be meticulously screened and INH preventive therapy for 6 months or any other equivalent regimen should be started for TB-free HIV-infected individuals irrespective of Tuberculin Skin Testing. There is a need to update the knowledge and awareness of INH Preventive Therapy among health care workers and policy makers for rapid implementation and reap the benefits as it can be easily coupled and monitored with ART initiation.

Treatment for HIV-associated pulmonary TB

ATT drugs to be used

Management of pulmonary TB in HIV demands meticulous monitoring as it is complicated by smear negativity and atypical presentation, emergence of drug resistance, Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, drugdrug interaction and increased pill burden [57]. The Standards of TB care in India (STCI) recommend a four drug regimen consisting of Isoniazid (INH), rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol given during in the intensive phase of 2 months followed by INH and rifampicin with/without ethambutol (optional) in the next 4 months for treatment naive patients along with high dose pyridoxine and cotrimaxazole in HIV-TB coinfection [58]. HIV infection favours mycobacteremia and tissue invasion resulting in abundance of intracellular and intermittently dividing bacilli, making rifampicin indispensable in HIV associated TB [59]. This is despite therapeutic interactions with other concomitant medications notably HAART. Non-rifampicin regimens in HIV have been associated with inferior outcomes coupled with longer duration of therapy [60, 61]. The addition of quinolones in the intensive phase does not increase cure rate any further [62]. Interestingly, the sputum conversion rate is faster in HIV-PTB coinfection with effective ATT [63]. Studies comparing TB treatment outcomes between HIV infected and uninfected individuals have obviously shown better results in the latter group [64].

Empirical ATT even in advanced HIV has no definitive role to play. The A5274 study clearly demonstrated that even in a high TB burden population of 1368 participants with advanced HIV (CD4 < 50 cells/mm³), there was no benefit of empiric TB therapy over IPT when mortality rates at 48 weeks was compared [65].

Duration of TB therapy

TB treatment duration is not influenced or confounded by HIV infection currently being 6 months for Pulmonary and extended in severe forms of extra pulmonary TB like bone and neurological TB. Centre for Disease Control, Atlanta recommends extension of ATT beyond 6 months in HIV-coinfected pulmonary TB patients in specific instances like delayed sputum conversion or poor clinical improvement with/without evidence of dissemination, low CD4 count at nadir and presence of cavitation [66]. Swaminathan et al. [67] compared a 6 months standard intermittent therapy with a 9 months regimen (an extended continuation phase of 3 months) in the pre-HAART era among HIV infected pulmonary TB patients, and found that the favourable outcomes, failures at the end of treatment, adverse events and mortality (during treatment and follow-up of 24 months) to TB were similar. However, there was a significant reduction in bacteriologically confirmed recurrences when a longer regimen was used. The meta-analysis by Menzies et al. [68] demonstrated that extended treatment beyond 6 months (to 8 months) did not appreciably increase the efficacy further, justifying the current duration of 6 months. The same meta-analysis found only a single study having a higher risk of relapse with extensive cavitary disease demanding 8 months treatment. Hence, the authors, concluded that high risk identification would not be easy in the public health perspective that makes generalized extension of regimen in all cases unnecessary [68].

Dosing schedule

The legacy of intermittent regimens in India dates back to 1964 when Tuberculosis Research Centre used streptomycin and INH administered twice weekly, yielding a cure rate of 94 % and a relapse rate of 8 % [69]. The popular attributes of intermittent regimens were operational feasibility, lesser cost, fewer side effects and ease of supervision. The scientific basis for intermittent usage of ATT is based on post antibiotic effect of ATT or lag period [70]. Mycobacterium undergoes a lag phase in its growth pattern due to the influence of the post antibiotic effect, when bacilli fail to grow for a period of a few days even after removal of the exposed drug i.e. ATT [70]. However, newer hypotheses challenge this approach. It has been postulated that the ratio of peak concentration to minimum inhibitory concentration better correlates with the post antibiotic effect and contributes to suppression of resistance than lag phase. The authors further hypothesized that asynchrony between the metabolism of these intermittently growing bacilli and the day of drug administration could facilitate emergence of drug resistant mutants [71].

Swaminathan et al. [67] study showed a higher incidence of acquired rifampicin resistance (ARR) among failures, using thrice weekly rifampicin in HIV-PTB, which was unaltered by the duration of the regimen used. Interestingly, the meta-analysis by Menzies et al. [68] did not find intermittent ATT dosing to be a cause of poorer outcomes unless the frequency of dosing went down to below thrice a week, but had only 2 % of patient in the meta-analysis being co-infected. However, the meta-analysis by Faiz khan et al. showed that the use of intermittent regimen in HIV, especially in the intensive phase, increased the risk of failures, relapses and emergence of drug resistance which further intensifies in the wake of baseline H-resistance [72]. The study by Li et al. [73] showed that a daily intensive phase of ATT followed by an intermittent continuation phase served as a useful alternative to a fully daily regimen. The updated review by Faiz khan et al. [74] recommended use of a daily regimen throughout and extension of TB regimen to 8 months, but suggested further evaluation of data to make categorical conclusions. Recently, there has been a paradigm shift in approach to TB treatment among HIV in India, with the STCI recommending daily regimen for all HIV co-infected patients with ethambutol reinforced in the continuation phase (2EHRZ7/4HRE7) [58]. The only randomized trial of head to head comparison of daily vs thrice weekly ATT regimen in HIV patients with newly diagnosed rifampicin sensitive pulmonary TB, started on timely ART, showed a higher cure rate with daily compared to thrice weekly ATT (90 vs 76 %) but at the expense of higher incidence of hepatoxicity [75].

Acquired rifamycin resistance (ARR)—a unique phenomenon complicating HIV-associated pulmonary TB

The greater percentage of persistors and bacillary mutants in an immunocompromised environment (of HIV coinfection) facilitates and favours emergence of drug resistance to ATT notably rifampicin [76]. Acquired rifamycin resistance (ARR) is the emergence of resistance (defined as MIC > 128 μ g/ml) to rifamycin among patients whose pretreatment isolates were sensitive. ARR is a rarity in HIV seronegative individuals with PTB. In a cohort of 1435 HIV sero-negative patients with drug susceptible PTB enrolled in various trials at the Tuberculosis Research Centre, Chennai, only 4 patients developed rifampicin resistance irrespective of the dosing schedule [77].

On the contrary, ARR in the HIV co-infected had been reported with all types of rifamycin regimens used intermittently, be it once weekly rifapentine [78], twice weekly rifabutin [79, 80] or thrice weekly rifampicin [67]. Advanced stage of HIV, absence of HAART, extensive and/or disseminated TB, initial H-resistance, and suboptimal drug concentrations due to malabsorption are contributing factors for ARR. Increased tissue bacillary load in HIV, coupled with defective clearance attributed to subdued immune apparatus leads to selection of genomic mutants resistant to rifampicin, which is much more pronounced in the face of baseline INH resistance [80-83]. The study by Narendran et al. [84] showed that HIV and INH resistance at baseline were significant risk factors associated with ARR and HAART only reduced the frequency but did not offset the trend. The NCT 00933790 trial evaluating the incidence of failures and ARR among co-infected with different dosing schedules of ATT and timely HAART showed that ARR occurred only with intermittent dosing [75].

Recurrences

TB recurrences can be either due to endogenous re-activation or exogenous re-infection, the relative proportions depending on the background incidence of TB, level of immune suppression, length of rifampicin-containing ATT and adherence to treatment [79, 85]. The proportion of recurrences due to re-infection is more frequent in HIV positive individuals especially in countries with a higher TB burden than HIV-seronegative individuals with TB who have a true relapse [86].

Persistence of smear positivity in HIV—are they true failures?

The decision making based on sputum smears alone can often be misleading and one such situation is the phenomenon of persistent sputum positivity despite regular treatment. A practical approach requires segregation of cases into patients with clinical improvement but smear positive or those who are truly failing therapy with persistence/recrudescence of clinical symptoms or both currently the national programme in many countries has scaled up to detect early drug resistance using line probe assay and Xpert-MTB Rif at the start of TB treatment that can simplify the problem [87]. Causes of true failures in HIV associated TB include emergence of ATT drug resistance, virological failure to ART, immunological discordance (lower CD4 with undetectable viral load) and malabsorption of drugs leading to cryptic non-adherence [79, 80, 82]. All of them may influence smear and culture conversion with the risk of subsequent failures or recurrences and transmission. Mal-absorption of ATT drugs may cause persistence of symptoms with delayed clearance of organisms, providing a favourable nidus for emergence of drug resistant mutants [81–83].

The second group of apparent failures comprises of patients with clinical improvement but still have sputum smears positive for AFB or have apparent deterioration. The differential diagnosis of the latter includes IRIS [88], transient resistance or "bacillary escape" [89] and non-tuberculous mycobacteria mimicking TB [90]. Atypical mycobacteria including *M. avium intracellulare, M. kansasi, M. fortitium* have been isolated more frequently in advanced stages of HIV disease when the CD4 is <100 cells/mm³ [90]. Nocardiosis may be misdiagnosed as TB due to its close resemblance to the latter both microscopically as well as clinically [91].

Shortening TB treatment—a myth or a reality?

Whether a shorter TB regimen can work in the face of HIV coinfection still remains a mystery. The RIFAQUIN trial tested a combination of moxifloxacin replacing Isoniazid in the intensive phase along with rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol given daily in the 2 months intensive phase followed by high dose rifapentine (900/1200) for 2 months twice weekly or 4 months once weekly with moxifloxacin in the continuation phase and compared to a standard 6 months. The study failed to demonstrate non-inferiority of the 4 months regimen over the standard 6 months regimen. However, the 6 months regimen administered once weekly during the continuation phase showed comparable efficacy with better compliance and feasibility of implementation [92]. An important limitation in this study was that it enrolled only a quarter of HIV patients, with a relatively higher level of CD4 (above 200 cells/mm³) that limited its generalisability to advanced stages of HIV. The OFLOTUB study, that used gatifloxacin replacing ethambutol, also could not prove the 4 months regimen to be non-inferior to the 6 months regimen. These findings were more evident and obvious in the South African site which had half of their recruited patients being co-infected with HIV [93]. A regimen of PA_824 along with moxifloxacin and pyrazinamide, the STAND trial was being evaluated as a non-rifampicin, non-INH alternative that could prove useful in drug sensitive and MDR-TB, without significant drug interaction with ART. The study would resume enrolment shortly after being suspended temporarily [94]. A recent model had been developed that could predict efficacy of new regimens of varying durations, taking into account culture positive rate at 2 months and could spell out the recurrence rates to help investigators formulate shorter regimens with better efficacy [95].

Summary

A standard four drug rifampicin containing regimen given daily for 6 months is still the ideal regimen for pulmonary TB in HIV despite frantic efforts globally to shorten TB treatment. Ethambutol can be added in the continuation phase. Empirical Antituberculosis therapy has no role to play. Acquired rifamycin resistance is a unique complication in HIV-TB. Patients failing therapy should not only be evaluate for ATT resistance but also efforts taken to rule out virological failure, malabsorption and screened simultaneously for non-tuberculous mycobacteria.

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) tuberculosis

Globally in 2014, an estimated 480,000 people developed multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) with an estimated 39.5 % deaths and 9.7 % harboring extensively drug resistant TB (XDR-TB) [1]. India, China and Russia contribute about 60 % of global burden. While MDR-TB appears not to cause infection or disease more readily than drug susceptible TB in the HIV infected population, delayed diagnosis, inadequate initial treatment, and prolonged infectiousness contribute to increased attack rates among contacts, leading to high case fatality rates among patients [96].

Treatment for drug resistant-TB consists of at least 4-5 effective drugs. This includes a fluoroquinolone, a second line injectable agent (capreomycin, kanamycin or amikacin) and at least 2 agents from the remaining second line anti-TB drug classes [cycloserine, thionamides (ethionamide or prothionamide), linezolid, clofazimine] along with add on drugs like pyrazinamide, ethambutol, high dose INH, bedaquiline, delamanid, Amoxycillin clavulanate, para-amino salicylic acid selected preferentially in the order described above [97]. The intensive phase can be up to 8 months with a continuation phase without injectable up to 20 months depending on the therapeutic response. WHO had also come out with a shortened 9-12 month regimen based on the findings conducted by the International Union against Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases and Medical Research Council, United Kingdom, that consisted of moxifloxacin, clofazimine, prothionamide and pyrazinamide for 9 months reinforced with kanamycin and INH high dose in the first 4–5 months of intensive phase. This regimen, however,

is approved for use in second line ATT naïve patients (<1 month of treatment) with DST pattern showing sensitivity to both quinolone and second line aminoglycoside at baseline, without extra-pulmonary or disseminated form of TB or pregnancy complicating TB [98].

Evidence for this regimen originated from the Bangladesh observational cohort study by Van Deun among MDR-TB patients which showed a relapse free cure rate of 84.5 % among 515 patients, but in a virtually HIV-free population [99]. The same regimen, tested in the francophone African countries, among 408 patients (that included HIV positive -22 %) showed a relapse free survival rate of 82.1 %. Although treatment success rates did not differ by HIV status among those who survived, the death rate was higher among HIV co-infected 18 % died, compared to 5 % in HIV-seronegative patients [100].

Prognosis of MDR-TB in HIV continues to be grave with a death rate of over 50 % once again highlighting the ardent need to reconstitute the fallen immunity by timely ART initiation [101]. Two additional factors which hinder TB control among drug resistant cases include nosocomial transmission and increased incidence of drug toxicity with frequent drug interruptions that could worsen the prognosis [102, 103]. Both the conditions can lead to amplification of drug resistance and need to be addressed urgently. Stringent airborne infection control measures need to be in place as an effective strategy and overcrowding in hospitals minimized [102]. One study, surprisingly, reported a toxicity of only 7 % among 2000 patients in a study in South Africa elucidating the fact that it is comparable to HIV seronegative group [104].

XDR-TB or extensively drug resistant defined as MDR-TB (resistance to INH and rifampicin) plus resistance to any fluoroquinolone and one of the second line anti-TB injectable agents (kanamycin, amikacin or capreomycin) constitutes a formidable medical challenge. Although the absence of rifampicin brings down the occurrence of drug-drug interactions significantly, therapy for XDR-TB is confounded by other adverse reactions like QTC prolongation, anemia, psychiatric effects, nephrotoxicity and gastrointestinal intolerance in addition to increased pill burden [103]. Treatment options are extremely limited and challenging with higher frequencies of adverse events and death [96]. In a recent study on long term follow-up of XDR-TB patients from South Africa, independent predictors of probability of net sputum culture conversion were no previous history of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (p = 0.0007), use of clofazamine (p = 0.0069) with survival depending on culture conversion (p < 0.0001), treatment with clofazamine (p = 0.021) and ART in HIV (p = 0.003) [105].

Newer TB drugs in the pipeline

Bedaquiline (BDQ), one of the newer drugs conditionally approved by the FDA recently, has been a useful adjunct in drug resistant-TB therapy, especially when choice is limited. It is a diarylquinoline derivative that inhibits the mycobacterial ATP synthetase [106]. It inhibits both actively replicating and non-replicating mycobacteria with no cross resistance to any of the first line drugs and quinolones. It takes 3-5 days for perception of its bactericidal effect and has an extremely long halflife of 4–5 months and has to be taken along with food. It is administered 400 mg daily for the first 2 weeks followed by 200 mg thrice weekly up to a total of 24 weeks. CYP3A4 is the major cytochrome isoenzyme that metabolizes bedaquiline to M2, that is mainly removed in the stools, with only 1-4 % excreted in urine [107]. Drugdrug interactions occur with CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., rifampicin reduced bedaquiline exposure by approximately 50 %, obviating co-administration). The most frequent suspected adverse reactions (>20.0 % of patients) during treatment with bedaquiline in the controlled trials (C208 stages 1 and 2) were nausea (35.3 %), arthralgia (29.4 %), headache (23.5 %), hyperuricemia (22.5 %), and vomiting (20.6 %) [106]. The magnitude of QTcF prolongation, one of the potential side effects of BDQ was greater in patients treated concomitantly with clofazimine but none of them ever developed torsade de pointes [108]. One novel way that is being evaluated in preclinical studies to counteract the effect of Bedaquiline causing QTC prolongation is by adding verapamil [109]. Delamanid (OPC67687) 100 mg, has also entered phase IIb and III trials. Follow up data from trial 204 which enrolled 481 MDR-TB patients showed a 74.5 % favourable response among patients using delamanid for 6 months or more, compared to 55 % with use of delamanid for less than 2 months [110]. PA-824, a nitroimidazole (along with moxifloxacin and pyrazinamide) proved efficacious and safe in the phase II b study showing a greater bactericidal activity compared to standard ATT and is used in the STAND trial [94, 111].

Newer TB drugs and ART and drug-drug interactions and therapeutic implications

Bedaquiline concentration is increased with co-administered lopinavir/ritonavir by 22 % due to reduced clearance, while BDQ concentration is reduced by 50 % with efavirenz and these combinations are better avoided. Nevirapine has no interaction with BDQ and can be given safely [112]. Delamanid is expected not to have any clinically significant interaction with EFV, NVP or boosted PI and can be used concomitantly, as it neither induces nor inhibits the CYP 450 system. PA-824 concentrations are reduced by both EFV and lopinavir/ritonavir being 35 and 17 % respectively [113]. Newer derivatives of Linezolid in the pipeline are sutezolid, AZD 5487, radezolid and tedizolid, which are devoid of the myelosuppressive side effects of the linezolid, their predecessor [114].

Antiretroviral treatment (ART) in HIV-PTB The influence of HIV and ART on TB outcomes

In the pre-HAART era, a number of studies have shown considerably lower cure rates, higher mortality and recurrence rates of TB after standard ATT in HIV coinfected patients compared to sero-negative individuals [115–117]. The study in Spain showed an efficacy of 43 % in HIV infected Vs 70 % in the HIV negative TB [115]. Nevertheless, the study by Chaisson et al. did not reveal the outcomes to be different based on HIV status (87 % in the uninfected vs 81 % among co-infected). The median CD4 count of the study cohort was 475 cells/mm³ suggesting that preservation of adequate immunity could potentially improve TB outcomes in HIV emphasizing the need for early ART initiation [116]. Treatment of TB alone in HIV-TB co-infected patients did not substantially increase CD4 count nor reduced the viral load [117]. This had prompted both the WHO [118] and the National AIDS Control Organisation, (NACO) India [119] to recommend ART initiation irrespective of CD4 in HIV-TB infection. The updated systematic review on TB treatment in HIV by Faiz khan et al. of which the authors had also contributed, showed substantial improvement in TB treatment outcomes, reduction in relapse rates, mortality and acquisition of drug resistance with early ART initiation [74]. Timely ART initiation undoubtedly improved TB outcomes. Comparing HIV-TB studies conducted at NIRT in the pre- and post-ART era, the TB outcomes were significantly better with HAART co-administration (favourable response of 93 vs. 83 %) with a reduction in all-cause mortality from 17 to 5 % [67, 120]. Pulmonary TB patients initiating ART in the intensive phase had a better TB outcome (adjusted odds ratio 1.83 (95 % CI 1.29-2.60) compared those not on ART from a study from Malawi [121]. A study from Thailand also reflected the same trends with 43 % mortality in the absence of ART compared to 7 % when coadministered with ART [122].

The ideal ART regimen for TB co-infected patients and newer options available

Tenofovir, emtricitabine/lamivudine along with efavirenz as a single pill once a day is most ideal [123]. That efavirenz can still maintain an adequate plasma despite co-administration with rifampicin makes it suitable for co-administration with rifampicin in HIV-PTB [124, 125]. While efavirenz dose (600 vs 800 mg) and concurrent rifampicin administration had less clinical impact [126], a polymorphism in the CYP2B6 gene (G-T mutation) resulted in significantly higher blood levels of the drug resulting in increased risk of neuro-psychiatric toxicity [127]. Trials have shown lower dose of EFZ (400 mg) to be therapeutically useful, with effective virological suppression but with a lesser toxicity (39 vs 48 %) at the same time compared to the standard 600 mg [128]. Use of nevirapine (available as generic fixed-drug combination) is not recommended routinely with rifampicin, unless there is a contraindication to efavirenz like pregnancy or psychiatric illness. However, nevirapine initiation should be without a "lead in" period, starting with 200 mg twice daily to maximize efficacy in the presence of rifampicin [120, 129]. Caution needs to be exercised in patients with a higher CD4 (>400 in males and above 250 in females) who are prone for fulminant hepatitis while using this strategy [123]. Triple NRTI regimens containing abacavir can be used alternatively in patients negative for HLA B57:01, but virological suppression is inferior especially when the viral load is high [130]. A quadruple NRTI regimen including tenofovir has been found to be as effective as EFV based regimen in trials of a smaller number [131]. Rifabutin offers greater flexibility than rifampicin and the modifications that are required in concomitant therapy is depicted in Table 2.

Recently discovered drugs in the pipeline include tenofovir alafenamide (GS 7340), a prodrug of tenofovir, that gets converted at the site of lymphoid involvement and liver making it more potent, with greater tissue infiltration at a dosage ten times less than the conventional tenofovir and is currently evaluated in phase III trials in a fixed dose combination. There was significant improvement in estimated glomerular filtration rate, bone density and reduced proteinuria compared to conventional tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [132]. Dolutegravir has been shown to have a superior tolerability compared to darunavir in the Flamingo study [133]. The dosage of dolutegravir has to be doubled if concomitantly administered with rifampicin to 50 mg BD instead of the OD dosage (given with rifabutin) especially if given along with EFV [134]. Alternatively, dolutegravir 50 mg BD can also be combined with lamivudine and abacavir [123].

When to start ART after ATT initiation

Evidences from the START [135] and the TEMPRANO study [136] has made WHO recommend early initiation of ART, even in asymptomatic HIV individuals irrespective of CD4 so that Immune restoration is near complete with reduction in mortality due to both infective and non-infective causes with comparable toxicities. The START study which had 62 % of events attributed to TB showed that six participants in the immediate arm and 20 in the deferred arm of ART had broken down with

Table 2 Therapeutic modifications that are required while administering rifamycins and ART concomitantly [103, 177]

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) No dose modification required Alternative regimens used only when NNRTIs are contraindicated with VL < 100000copies/ ml [103] No dose modification required Alternative regimens used only when NNRTIs are contraindicated with VL < 100000copies/ ml [103] No dose modification required as an alternative regimen (178] Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors Nevirapine Reduced by 55 % [179–182] Not recommended routinely, If required, to avoid a lead in dose and star 200 mg BD [129] No dose modification required as an alternative regimen with NVP Efavirenz Safe option, reduction only 20–25 % [183]. More dependent on CYP286 GS16 G S 1 [184]. No trecommended Delavirdine Not recommended Not recommended Etravarine Reduced by 55 % [179–182] Not recommended Rilviprine Reduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommended Not recommended Doravirine Not recommended Not recommended Protesse linhibitors Increase Indiavir to 1000 mg thrice a day. 150 mg daily Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400 Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Double dosing [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 200 mg Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Double dosing not required for rifabutin Increase tos 0 mg BD of dolut		Rifampicin (dosage-600 mg unless specified) interaction with ART modification and rec- ommendation	Rifabutin (dosage-300 mg unless specified) interaction with ART modification and recom- mendation
Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTI's) No dose modification required as an alternative regimen with NVP Nevirapine Reduced by 55 % [179–182] Not recommended routinely. If required, to avoid a lead in dose and start 200 mg BD [129] Increase ifabutin dosage to 450–600 mg, usual not recommended model as an alternative regimen with NVP Efavirenz Safe option, reduction only 20–25 % [183], More dependent on CY286 G516 G > T [184] Preferred with rifampicin Increase ifabutin dosage to 450–600 mg, usual not recommended Delavirdine Not recommended Not recommended Etravarine Reduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommended Reduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabutin 200 mg [185]. Rilviprine Reduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommended by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]. Doravirine Not recommended 50 mg daily Amprenavia Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] 300 mg daily Supinavir All other PI's [177] Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Double dosing [51] Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Double dosing not required for rifabutin Increase inhibitors 400 mg BD of altegravir Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg Not	Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI's)	No dose modification required Alternative regimens used only when NNRTI's are contraindicated with VL < 10000copies/ ml [103] Triple and quadruple NRTI regimens – caution to be exercised with triple regimen [178]	No dose modification required
NevirapineReduced by 55 % [179–182] Not recommended froutinely. If required, to avoid a lead in dose and start 200 mg BD [129]No dose modification required as an alternative regimen with NVPEfavirenzSafe option, reduction only 20–25 % [183]. More dependent on CYP286 GS16 G > T [184] Preferred with rifampicinIncrease rifabutin dosage to 450–600 mg, usual not recommendedDelavirdineNot recommendedNot recommendedEtravarineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabut by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]RilviprineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabut by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]Saquinavir All other PI's [177] And recommendedNot recommended300 mg dailySuper boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51] Lopinavir 600 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinIncrease inhibitorsReduced by 60 % [191] Increase lob of % [192] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of raltegravirDolutegravir [134] Elvitagravir/cobicistatNot recommended25 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommendedCCS InhibitorsNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (N	INRTI's)	
EfavirenzSafe option, reduction only 20–25 % [183]. More dependent on CYP2B6 G516 G > T [184] Preferred with rifampicinIncrease rifabutin dosage to 450–600 mg, usual not recommendedDelavirdineNot recommendedNot recommendedEtravarineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabuti by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]RilviprineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabuti by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]RilviprineNot recommendedNot recommendedProtease inhibitorsIso mg dailyLopinavir/ritonavirNot recommended300 mg dailySaquinavir All other PI's [177] Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day. Indinavir150 mg dailySuper boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51] Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinRaltegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193) Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of dolutegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir25 mg BD of dolutegravirEtvitagravir/cobicistatNot recommendedNot recommended on to commended as reduced by 67 % [194]CCPS InhibitorsNot recommended in patients with higher VLNot recommended in patients with higher VL	Nevirapine	Reduced by 55 % [179–182] Not recommended routinely. If required, to avoid a lead in dose and start 200 mg BD [129]	No dose modification required as an alternative regimen with NVP
DelavirdineNot recommendedNot recommendedEtravarineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabu by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]RilviprineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabu by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]DoravirineNot recommendedDoravirineProtease inhibitorsItapinavir/ritonavirNot recommendedSaquinavir All other PI's [177] Amprenavir IndinavirNot recommended300 mg dailySuper boosting [51] 	Efavirenz	Safe option, reduction only 20–25 % [183]. More dependent on CYP2B6 G516 G > T [184] Preferred with rifampicin	Increase rifabutin dosage to 450–600 mg, usually not recommended
EtravarineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommendedReduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabut by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]RilviprineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommendedby 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]DoravirineNot recommendedVProtease inhibitorsIon g dailyLopinavir/ritonavirNot recommended300 mg dailySaquinavir All other PI's [177] Amprenavir IndinavirNot recommended300 mg dailySuper boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51] 	Delavirdine	Not recommended	Not recommended
RilviprineReduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommendedDoravirineNot recommendedProtease inhibitorsImage: Second Se	Etravarine	Reduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [185]. Not recommended	Reduced by 35 % and Etravarine reduces rifabutin by 17 %. Same dose as rifabutin 300 mg [185]
DoravirineNot recommendedProtease inhibitorsLopinavir/ritonavirNot recommended150 mg dailySaquinavir All other PI's [177]Not recommended300 mg dailyAmprenavir IndinavirIncrease Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day. Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day.150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg dailySuper boosting [51]Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51]Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51]Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinIntegrase inhibitorsReduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of raltegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] Kot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]CCRSKot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Rilviprine	Reduction in NNRTI by 70–80 % [186]. Not recommended	
Protease inhibitors Not recommended 150 mg daily Saquinavir All other PI's [177] Not recommended 300 mg daily Amprenavir Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day. 150 mg thrice weekly Indinavir Souger boosting [51] Super boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400 Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Double dosing [51] Super boosting [51] Super boosting not required for rifabutin Integrase inhibitors Feduced by 60 % [191] Double dosing not required for rifabutin Integrase inhibitors VL 400 mg BD of raltegravir Dolutegravir [192, 193] Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Dolutegravir [134] Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Doravirine	Not recommended	
Lopinavir/ritonavirNot recommended150 mg dailySaquinavir All other Pl's [177] Amprenavir IndinavirNot recommended Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day.300 mg daily 150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg dailySuper boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51] Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinIntegrase inhibitors Raltegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of raltegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended25 mg BD of dolutegravirElvitagravir/cobicistat CCCSNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Protease inhibitors		
Saquinavir All other Pl's [177] Amprenavir IndinavirNot recommended Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day.300 mg daily 150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg dailySuper boosting [51] Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51] Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinIntegrase inhibitors Raltegravir [192, 193]Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinDolutegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of dolutegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended25 mg BD of dolutegravirCCR5 InhibitorsKercemmendedNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Lopinavir/ritonavir	Not recommended	150 mg daily
Super boosting [51]Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51]Lopinavir 400 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinIntegrase inhibitorsReduced by 60 % [191]Double dosing not required for rifabutin400 mg BD of raltegravirRaltegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191]400 mg BD of raltegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir25 mg BD of dolutegravirElvitagravir/cobicistatNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]CCR5 InhibitorsKertegravir IntegraveNot recommendedNot recommendedDolutegravirNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedDolutegravirNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedCCR5Not recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedCCR5Not recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedCCR5Not recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommendedNot recommended	Saquinavir All other Pl's [177] Amprenavir Indinavir	Not recommended Increase Indinavir to 1000 mg thrice a day.	300 mg daily 150 mg thrice weekly 150 mg daily
Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Super boosting not required for rifabutinDouble dosing [51]Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mgNot recommended due to toxicity [187–190]Double dosing not required for rifabutinIntegrase inhibitorsReduced by 60 % [191]A00 mg BD of raltegravirRaltegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191]A00 mg BD of raltegravirLopinavir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir25 mg BD of dolutegravirElvitagravir/cobicistatNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Super boosting [51]		
Double dosing [51] Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Double dosing not required for rifabutin Integrase inhibitors Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Raltegravir [192, 193] Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Dolutegravir [192, 193] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher Dolutegravir [134] Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] CCR5 Inhibitors Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Lopinavir 400/ritonavir 400	Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]	Super boosting not required for rifabutin
Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190] Double dosing not required for rifabutin Integrase inhibitors Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Raltegravir [192, 193] Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Dolutegravir [134] Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Double dosing [51]		
Integrase inhibitors Reduced by 60 % [191] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Raltegravir [192, 193] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] 400 mg BD of raltegravir Location to be exercised in patients with higher VL 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Dolutegravir [134] Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] CCR5 Inhibitors Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Lopinavir 800 mg/ritonavir 200 mg	Not recommended due to toxicity [187–190]	Double dosing not required for rifabutin
Raltegravir [192, 193]Reduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL400 mg BD of raltegravirDolutegravir [134]Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended25 mg BD of dolutegravirElvitagravir/cobicistatNot recommendedNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]CCR5 InhibitorsIncrease to 50 mg BD of dolutegravirNot recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Integrase inhibitors		
Dolutegravir [134] Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir 25 mg BD of dolutegravir Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] CCR5 Inhibitors Increase to 10 mg BD of dolutegravir Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]	Raltegravir [192, 193]	Reduced by 60 % [191] Increase dose of raltegravir to 800 mg BD [193] Caution to be exercised in patients with higher VL	400 mg BD of raltegravir
Elvitagravir/cobicistat Not recommended Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194] CCR5 Inhibitors	Dolutegravir [134]	Increase to 50 mg BD of dolutegravir	25 mg BD of dolutegravir
CCR5 Inhibitors	Elvitagravir/cobicistat	Not recommended	Not recommended as reduced by 67 % [194]
	CCR5 Inhibitors		
Maraviroc Not recommended Not recommended	Maraviroc	Not recommended	Not recommended

CYP cytochrome P450

TB favouring immediate ART initiation [135]. Early initiation of ART not only reduces mortality and morbidity due to HIV and TB with faster sputum conversion but also prevents IRIS secondarily [79]. The SAPIT trial observed 56 % lower mortality among patients who concomitantly started ART during TB treatment compared to those who subsequently started ART after ATT completion [137]. The important finding that can be deduced from the results of both the STRIDE [138] and SAPIT [139] trials is that while HIV-TB patients with a CD4 > 50 cells/mm³ need to start ART at the earliest with counselling on early recognition of signs and symptoms of IRIS and toxicity, a permissible delay of ART initiation among patients with higher CD4 up to 12 weeks after starting ATT helps in reducing drug interactions between rifampicin and NNRTIs, cumulative drug toxicities (especially hepatotoxicity), pill burden and the incidence of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome without any compromise on survival or predisposition to newer opportunistic infections [140].

The CAMELIA study enrolling 661 patients with a median follow-up of 25 months reflected similar findings that were deduced from patients in the lower range of CD4 in the SAPIT and STRIDES study. Early ART initiation by 2 weeks post ATT reduced mortality significantly compared to late initiation of ART at 8 weeks post ATT (18 vs 27 %, p = 0.0006). However, the risk of IRIS was 2.5 times more in the early ART group [141].

Current WHO and ART guidelines in India also recommend ART between 2 and 8 weeks of starting ATT on similar principles [119, 142].

Adverse reactions occur more often among HIVinfected patients with TB on concurrent medication than among TB without HIV (serious ADR-27 vs 13 %), occurring mostly in the first 2 months of treatment [143, 144]. Hepatoxicity is common due to shared metabolic pathways of anti-TB and antiretroviral drugs, alcoholism, co-infection with Hepatitis B and C, IRIS hepatitis and obstruction by nodes at the porta hepatitis all predispose to liver injury [88]. Most cases present with transaminitis which resolves when drugs are withheld. In drug sensitive TB, non-hepatotoxic drugs like streptomycin, ethambutol and ofloxacin should be substituted for TB treatment till liver functions return to normal, when all drugs can be re-introduced. Other adverse reactions include cutaneous and gastrointestinal symptoms and peripheral neuropathy which can occasionally be disabling [88]. Lactic Acidosis can present in various ways and needs periodic estimation [145].

Isolated hyperbilirubinemia is very common with use of atazanavir but is more of a cosmetic disfigurement that may stigmatise the patient. Zinc sulphate supplementation may be useful in management of ATV-related HBR in selected patients [146].

Summary

The ideal co-administered ART regimen with ATT is a single pill of tenofovir (300 mg) along with emtricitabine/ lamivudine (300 mg) and efavirenz (600 mg) initiated between 2 and 12 weeks after ATT initiation, provided the patients' CD4 cell count is above 50 cells/mm³ with compromise on survival, (when there is no clinical compulsion). However, among patients with a CD4 of less than or equal to 50 cells/mm, immediate initiation of ART is the rule with proper counselling for IRIS and close monitoring for cumulative/additive toxicity that will help to maintain adherence

Tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (TB-IRIS)

Tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (TB-IRIS) is the paradoxical worsening of symptoms and signs of TB after starting ART (rarely with ATT itself), despite a favorable immunological recovery and effective virological suppression [147]. Incidence ranges from 8-43 % [148]. The frequency and severity of IRIS depends on the degree of CD4 lymphocytopenia at nadir, the presence of other opportunistic infections and also the strategic location of the lesion. Figure 2 shows a pulmonary TB patient with HIV who presented with IRIS lesions in the brain after initiating ART [149, 150]. TB-IRIS is of two types (1) Paradoxical TB-IRIS that occurs in HIV-TB co-infected patients started on ATT and subsequently started on ART. Paradoxical IRIS is relatively easy to diagnose because of its biphasic pattern of initial improvement with ATT followed by a latter phase "paradoxical" deterioration after ART initiation. (2) Unmasking TB-IRIS or ANTIRETROVIRAL therapy (ART) associated TB occurs in an asymptomatic individual without a prior diagnosis of TB who starts developing symptoms with ART initiation. This could either be subclinical or undiagnosed TB [147, 151].

Predictors of IRIS—risk factors

The most consistent risk factors are very low levels of CD4 cell count, CD4/CD8 ratio, hemoglobin, weight, presence of disseminated disease, shorter ATT-ART time interval, extra pulmonary foci and other opportunistic infections at the time of ART initiation [150–152]. Interleukin-6 and CRP were found to be reliable predictors of IRIS in a pure cohort of culture positive TB in HIV prospectively followed for IRIS occurrence in India. Evaluation of predictors from the CADRIS trial showed that baseline levels of vitamin D and higher D-dimer,



Interferon gamma (IFN- γ), and sCD14 were independently associated with risk of IRIS [153]. TNFA-308*2 and IL-6174G gene polymorphisms have been implicated in TB-IRIS causation [154].

Pathogenesis of TB-IRIS

Functional restoration of immunocompetent cells (CD4) causing a cytokine outburst with an overriding Th1 over Th2 response, apart from increase in number and their redistribution to the site of the lesion, is the primary mechanism of IRIS causation [155]. The majority of paradoxical IRIS cases occurs within the first 3 months after starting ART [156]. The T-regulatory cells or committed suppressors of the immune system are normally restored post ART but are functionally defective, tilting the tide towards an inflammatory reaction [157]. Recently, MMP-7, a tissue matrix metallo-proteinase, has also been implicated in TB-IRIS [158].

Clinical features of TB IRIS

Fever with rigor or chills (resembling malaria) is the commonest and consistent symptom of TB-IRIS, with lymph node enlargement being the commonest manifestation [88]. Symptoms vary in severity from localized superficial lymphadenopathy and subcutaneous abscesses to severe forms like adult respiratory distress syndrome, meningitis, enlarging space occupying lesions like tuberculomas and viscus perforation, which can end fatally [151, 159]. Compressive features due to lymphadenopathy include stridor due to tracheal narrowing and superior vena caval (SVC) obstruction [160]. Patients with abdominal TB may present with pain and diarrhea. Other abdominal manifestations include hepatosplenomegaly, psoas abscesses, splenic micro abscesses, splenic rupture, epididymo-orchitis, uretric compression, and acute renal failure [159]. Osteomyelitis, sub-cutaneous abscesses and thromboembolic episodes have been reported [160]. Radiological worsening in pulmonary TB without symptoms or "cryptic IRIS" has been reported among TB patients alone after starting ATT in the pre HIV era also [161].

Diagnosis of IRIS

It is important for physicians to remember that the onset of this syndrome is linked temporally to ART initiation, ART substitution (from I line to II line suppressing viremia) and ART interruption followed by re-initiation [88]. A strong suspicion and awareness of IRIS supplemented by the immunological tools of CD4 T cell count and viral load, after ruling out toxicity and drug resistance, will help clinch the diagnosis [162]. Initial work up of febrile episodes for infections endemic to a particular place like malaria, urinary tract infection, typhoid needs to be performed. Radiological deterioration in Chest skiagram is a usual accompaniment in almost all cases of IRIS [153]. In some instances, increase in CD4 cell count may not be evident [156]. A decline of viral load less than 0.5 log10 copies/ml compared to baseline has a high negative predictive value in ruling out IRIS and is a mandatory criterion to differentiate it from HIV disease progression [159, 163]. The International network for the study of HIV associated IRIS (INSHI) criteria can be used for diagnosing unmasking IRIS and paradoxical IRIS [147]. Lipoarabinomannan (LAM) detection serves as a useful index of systemic antigen burden and has proved to be useful as a predictive marker [164].

When IRIS occurs at an extrapulmonary location, appropriate investigation can be performed and tissue specimen obtained wherever possible, and sent for bacteriological staining and culture in addition to routine histopathology. A negative culture that succeeds a preexisting or baseline positive culture (that was sensitive to specific drug therapy) confirms the diagnosis of IRIS straightaway. However, this criteria of culture negativity cannot be applied for diagnosing unmasking IRIS or in paradoxical IRIS when the ATT-IRIS interval is too short. Hypercalcemia is a unique accompaniment of TB-IRIS, attributed to the calcium deposition through increased secretions of 1.25 dihydoxy cholecalciferol by activated macrophages and CD4 T cells [165].

Differential diagnosis of IRIS

Drug resistant TB is the closest mimic that requires to be ruled out before steroids are administered as they form the cornerstone of IRIS therapy currently [166]. The phenomenon of "fall and rise" exhibited by the acid fast bacilli (described by Toman) typically mimics the temporal sequence of paradoxical IRIS [70]. Steroid administration in the face of MDR-TB could spell disaster, ending fatally. Zidovudine induced anemia also mimics IRIS presenting with fever, rigor that settles after appropriate modification of ART [88]. Late onset IRIS (occurring after 3 months of ART initiation) is not rare but re-estimation of viral load is compulsory in such patients, to rule out ART failure and HIV progression [167]. Lymphoma of the Non-Hodgkins type which could occur or co-exist with TB in HIV may flare up after ART and steroid administration could confuse the hispathology findings [168].

Management

Preventive strategy

Intensive screening for TB and other opportunistic infections along with INH and cotrimaxozole prophylaxis reduces IRIS incidence [149, 167–169]. ART initiation before CD4 goes down considerably could protect against opportunistic infections and subsequent IRIS [148]. If a patient with TB has a CD4 > 50 cells/mm³, the initiation of ART can be delayed up to 8 weeks with close monitoring that reduces toxicity and IRIS but does not compromise on survival [169].

Treatment

Anti-inflammatory drugs especially steroids form the backbone therapy for TB-IRIS, even though non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents could prove to be a useful initial therapy for milder and localized cases of IRIS [153, 170]. A dose of 0.5-2 mg/kg body weight is usually used and tapered in a 4-8 weeks period depending on the site and severity of inflammation. Premature withdrawal of steroids can cause recrudescence of symptoms [153]. Severe forms may require parenteral steroids initially followed by switch to oral steroids. Thalidomide in steroid dependent IRIS has shown good results. [171] Maraviroc, monteleukast, pentoxifylline have not been proved to be effective in IRIS treatment [172]. Experimental drugs for IRIS treatment in the pipeline include CXCR-3 antagonists, the main receptor for CXCL-10 [173], IL-18 binding protein and IL-6 inhibitors [174, 175], anti-CD28 therapy [176] and drugs modulating MMP-7 activity [158].

Conclusions

HIV-associated pulmonary TB mandates a committed approach that encompasses both effective as well as enduring therapy originating from newer drug combinations, evolving ideas and emerging concepts from clinical trials globally, which if implemented in a proper and coordinated manner could not only save millions of lives but also offer a better quality of life to patients suffering from this coinfection.

Abbreviations

HIV: human immune deficiency virus infection; TB: tuberculosis; PTB: pulmonary TB; ART: antiretroviral therapy; ATT: anti-tuberculosis therapy; LTBI: latent TB infection; TST: tuberculin sensitivity test; IGRA: interferon gamma releases assay; CXR: chest X-ray; AFB: acid fast bacillus; M.Tb: mycobacterium tuberculosis; MGIT: mycobacterial growth indicator tube; NAAT: nucleic acid amplification test; VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor; ADA: adenosine deaminase; LAM: lipoarabinomannan; LPA: line probe assay; INH: isoniazid; IPT: INH preventive therapy; STCI: standards of TB care in India; DOT: directly observed therapy; ARR: acquired rifampicin resistance; NIRT: National Institute for Research in tuberculosis; CD: cluster of differentiation; MDR: multidrug resistant; XDR: extensively drug resistance; IRIS: immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome; MMP: matrix metallo-proteinases; INSHI: international network for the study of HIV associated IRIS.

Authors' contributions

GN, CP and ST prepared various sections of the manuscript. GN and ST compiled the final manuscript with valuable inputs from SS. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank to Dr. Sanjay Mehendale MD, MPH, Director, NIRT and Dr. Mohan Natrajan HOD, Dept. of Clinical Research, National Institute for

Research in Tuberculosis Chennai, the medical officers of TB sanatorium Tambaram, Chest physicians and ART medical officers of Rajiv Gandhi Government General hospital, Chennai for their valuable inputs, the staff of HIV and clinic, NIRT for their support and Mr. N. Santhanakumar for his excellent secretarial assistance.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication

Consent has been obtained from the patients whose images have been displayed.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable as it is review of published literature and does not deal with individual patient or data.

Received: 4 July 2016 Accepted: 16 September 2016 Published online: 26 September 2016

References

- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2015. 2015. www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/gtbr2015_executive_summary.pdf. Accessed Jun 2016.
- 2. American Thoracic Society and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Targeted tuberculosis testing and treatment of latent tuberculosis infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2000;161:S221–47.
- Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource constrained settings. STOP TB Department, World Health Organisation, Geneva. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/.../9789241500708_eng.pdf.
- Nakamura RM, Einck L, Velmonte MA, et al. Detection of active tuberculosis by an MPB-64 transdermal patch: a field study. Scan J Infect Dis. 2001;33(6):405–7.
- Lalvani A. Diagnosing tuberculosis infection in the 21st century: new tools to tackle an old enemy. Chest. 2007;131:1898–906.
- Rangaka MX, Wilkinson KA, Seldon R, et al. The effect of HIV-1 infection on T-cell-based and skin test detection of tuberculosis infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2007;175:514–20.
- Zak DE, Penn-Nicholson A, Scriba TJ, et al. A blood RNA signature for tuberculosis disease risk: a prospective cohort study. Lancet. 2016;387(10035):2312–22.
- World Health Organisation. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis. Principle and recommendations. World Health Organisation. http:// apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/84971/1/9789241548601_eng.pdf. Accessed 3 May 2016.
- Koole O, Thai S, Khun KE, et al. Evaluation of the 2007 WHO guideline to improve the diagnosis of tuberculosis in ambulatory HIV-positive adults. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(4):e18502. doi:10.1371/journal.
- Getahun H, Kittikraisak W, Heiling CM, et al. Development of a standardized screening rule for tuberculosis in people living with HIV in resource constrained settings: individual participant data meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS Med. 2011;8(1):e1000391.
- Cain KP, McCarthy KD, Heilig CM, et al. An algorithm for tuberculosis screening and diagnsosi in people with HIV. N Engl J Med. 2010;362:707–16.
- Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Menon PA, et al. Impact of HIV infection on radiographic features in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci. 2007;49:133–6.
- Akinbami AA, Adegboyega AO, Oshinaike OO, et al. Chest X-ray findings in HIV patients in relation to the CD4 count. Nig Q J Hosp Med. 2011;21(4):306–11.
- Padmapriyadarsini C, Tripathy S, Sekar L, et al. Evaluation of a diagnostic algorithm for sputum smear-negative pulmonary tuberculosis in HIV-Infected adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013;63(3):331–8.
- Heller T, Goblirsch S, Bahlas S, et al. Diagnostic value of FASH ultrasound and chest X-ray in HIV co-infected patients with abdominal tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(3):342–4.

- Monkongdee P, McCarthy KD, Cain KP, et al. Yield of acid-fast smear and mycobacterial culture for tuberculosis diagnosis in people with human immunodeficiency virus. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2009;180(9):903–8.
- Cattamanchi A, Davis JL, Worodria W, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of fluorescence microscopy for diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis in a high HIV prevalence setting. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009;13(9):1130–6.
- Cattamanchi A, Davis JL, Pai M, et al. Does bleach processing increase the accuracy of sputum smear microscopy for diagnosing pulmonary tuberculosis? J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:2433–9.
- Thammavong C, Paboriboune P, Bouchard B, et al. Bleach treatment of sputum samples aids pulmonary tuberculosis screening among HIVinfected patients in Laos. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011;15(10):1353–8.
- Gil-Setas A, Torroba L, Fernandez JL, et al. Evaluation of the MB/BacT system compared with Middlebrook 7H11 and Lowenstein–Jensen media for detection and recovery of mycobacteria from clinical specimens. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2004;10:224–8.
- Lee JJ, Suo J, Lin CB, et al. Comparative evaluation of the BACTEC MGIT 960 system with solid medium for isolation of mycobacteria. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2003;7:569–74.
- 22. Farnia P, Mohammadi F, Mirsaedi M, et al. Application of oxidation reduction assay for monitoring treatment of patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:3324–5.
- Brum CB, Ramos DF, Abilleira F, et al. The BACTEC MGIT(tm) 320 system as a laboratory tool to diagnose tuberculosis in a Brazilian hospital with a high prevalence of HIV infection. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2016;49(1):112–4.
- Kalantri S, Pai M, Pascopella L, et al. Bacteriophage based tests for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in clinical specimens: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis. 2005;5:59.
- Leung E, Minion J, Benedetti A, et al. Microcolony culture techniques for tuberculosis diagnosis: a systematic review. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012;16(1):16–23.
- Molecular line probe assays for rapid screening of patients at risk of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. WHO policy statement 2008. http://www. who.int/tb/features_archive/policy_statement.pdf. Accessed 7 Jan 2014.
- 27. World Health Organization. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF system: policy statement. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
- 28. Alland D, Rowneki M, Smith L et al. Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra: a new near-patient TB test with sensitivity equal to culture abstract 91 CROI 2015, Seattle.
- Boehme CC, Nabeta P, Henostroza G, et al. Operational feasibility of using loop-mediated isothermal amplification for diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in microscopy centers of developing countries. J Clin Micrbiol. 2007;45:1936–40.
- Hongmanee P, Stender H, Rasmussen OF. Evaluation of a fluorescence in situ hybridisation assay for differentiation between tuberculous and nontuberculous Mycobacteria species in smears of Lowenstein–Jensen and Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube cultures using peptide nucleic acid probes. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39:1032–5.
- WHO. Tuberculosis serodiagnostic tests policy statement 2011. http:// www.who.int/tb/features_archive/factsheet_serodiagnostic_test. pdf?ua=1 Accessed 290616.
- 32. Zijenah LS, Kadzirange G, Bandason T, et al. Comparative performance characteristics of the urine lipoarabinomannan strip test and sputum smear microscopy in hospitalized HIV-infected patients with suspected tuberculosis in Harare, Zimbabwe. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16:20.
- Peter JG, Zijenah LS, Chanda D, et al. Effect on mortality of point-ofcare, urine-based lipoarabinomannan testing to guide tuberculosis treatment initiation in HIV-positive hospital inpatients: a pragmatic, parallel-group, multicountry, open-label, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10024):1187–97.
- World Health Organization (WHO). The use of lateral flow urine lipoarabinomannan assay (LF-LAM) for the diagnosis and screening of active tuberculosis in people living with HIV. http://www.who.int/tb/publications/useof-lf-lam-tb-hiv/en/. Accessed 10 Jun 2016.
- 35. Kaplan JE, Benson C, Holmes KH, et al. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from CDC, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2009;58(RR-4):1–207.

- World Health Organization. Guidelines for intensified tuberculosis case-finding and isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV in resource-constrained settings. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2011. (http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2011/9789241500708_ eng.pdf).
- Akolo C, Adetifa I, Shepperd S, et al. Treatment of latent tuberculosis infection in HIV infected persons. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;1:CD000171.
- Recommendations for use of an isoniazid-rifapentine regimen with direct observation to treat latent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep (MMWR). 2011;60(48):1650–3.
- Sterling TR, Villarino ME, Borisov AS, et al. Three months of weekly rifapentine and isoniazid for latent tuberculosis infection. NEJM. 2011;365:2155–66.
- Getahun H, Granich R, Sculier D, et al. Implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV worldwide: barriers and solutions. AIDS. 2010;24(suppl 5):S57–65.
- 41. Lewis JJ, Fielding KL, Grant AD, et al. Eligibility for Isoniazid Preventive Therapy in South African Gold Mines. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(11):e81376. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081376.
- Lawn SD, Kerkhoff AD, Vogt M, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of serum C-reactive protein for screening for HIV-associated tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(5):636–43.
- Yoon C, Davis JL, Huang L, et al. Point-of-care C-reactive protein testing to facilitate implementation of isoniazid preventive therapy for people living with HIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65(5):551–6.
- Suthar AB, Lawn SD, del Amo J, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for prevention of tuberculosis in adults with HIV: a systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS Med. 2012;9(7):e1001270.
- Rangaka MX, Boulle A, Wilkinson RJ, et al. Randomized controlled trial of isoniazid preventive therapy in HIV-infected persons on antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(12):1698–706.
- Hosseinipour MC, Bisson GP, Miyahara S, et al. Empirical tuberculosis therapy versus isoniazid in adult outpatients with advanced HIV initiating antiretroviral therapy (REMEMBER): a multicountry open-label randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10024):1198–209.
- Pho MT, Swaminathan S, Kumarasamy N, et al. The cost-effectiveness of tuberculosis preventive therapy for HIV-infected individuals in southern India: a trial-based analysis. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(4):e36001.
- Kapoor S, Gupta A, Shah M. Cost-effectiveness of isoniazid preventive therapy for HIV-infected pregnant women in India. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2016;20(1):85–92.
- Azadi M, Bishai DM, Dowdy DW, et al. Cost-effectiveness of tuberculosis screening and isoniazid treatment in the TB/HIV in Rio (THRio) Study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2014;18(12):1443–8.
- Shepardson D, Marks SM, Chesson H, et al. Cost-effectiveness of a 12-dose regimen for treating latent Tuberculous infection in the United States. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2013;17(12):1531–7.
- 51. Smith T, Samandari T, Abimbola T, et al. Implementation and operational research: cost-effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy and isoniazid prophylaxis to reduce tuberculosis and death in people living with HIV in Botswana. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;70(3):e84–93.
- Balcells ME, Thomas SL, Godfrey-Faussett P, et al. Isoniazid preventive therapy and risk for resistant tuberculosis. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12(5):744–51. doi:10.3201/eid1205.050681.
- Samandari T, Agizew TB, Nyirenda S, et al. 6-Month versus 36-month isoniazid preventive treatment of tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection in Botswana: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2011;377(9777):1588–98.
- Swaminathan S, Menon PA, Gopalan N, et al. Efficacy of a six-month versus a 36-month regimen for prevention of tuberculosis in HIVinfected persons in India: a randomized clinical trial. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(12):e47400.
- Martinson NA, Barnes GL, Moulton LH, et al. New regimens to prevent tuberculosis in adults with HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(1):11–20.
- Sterling TR, Scott NA, Miro JM, et al. Three months of weekly rifapentine and isoniazid for treatment of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in HIV co-infected persons. AIDS. 2016;30(10):1607–15.
- 57. Burman WJ, Jones B. Treatment of HIV-related tuberculosis in the era of effective antiretroviral therapy. AJRCC. 2001;164(1):7–12.

- WHO Standards for TB care in India. www.searo.who.int/india/meidacentre/events/2014/stci_book.pdf.
- 59. Mitchinson DA. Role of individual drugs in the chemotherapy of tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2000;4(9):796–806.
- Jindani A, Nunn AJ, Enarson DA. Two 8-month regimens of chemotherapy for treatment of newly diagnosed pulmonary tuberculosis: international multicentre randomised trial. Lancet. 2004;364(9441):1244–51.
- 61. O'Donnel MM, Souza Carvalho S, et al. Poor response to tuberculosis treatment with regimens without rifampicin in immunosuppressed AIDS patients. Braz J Infect Dis. 2002;6(6):272–5.
- el-Sadr WM, Perlman DC, Matts JP, et al. Evaluation of an intensive intermittent-induction regimen and duration of short-course treatment for human immunodeficiency virus-related pulmonary tuberculosis. Terry Beirn Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS (CPCRA) and the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG). Clin Infect Dis. 1998;26(5):1148–58.
- Brindle RJ, Nunn PP, Githui W, et al. Quantitative bacillary response to treatment in HIV-associated pulmonary tuberculosis. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1993;147(4):958–61.
- Ackah AN, Coulibaly D, Digbeu H, Greenberg AE, De Cock KM, et al. Response to treatment, mortality, and CD4 lymphocyte counts in HIV-infected persons with tuberculosis in Abidjan. Cote d'Ivoire. Lancet. 1995;345(8950):607–10.
- 65. Kumwenda J et al. Empirical therapy versus IPT in hiv-Infected persons initiating ART (ACTG A5274 48w). CROI 20166 abstract 745. Boston.
- Centre for Disease Control. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents. Am Thorac Soc MMWR. 2009;58:1–198.
- 67. Swaminathan S, Narendran G, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy of a 6-month versus 9-month intermittent treatment regimen in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis: a randomized clinical trial. Am J RespirCrit Care Med. 2010;181(7):743–51.
- Menzies D, Benedetti A, Paydar A, et al. Effect of duration and intermittency of rifampin on tuberculosis treatment outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2009;6(9):e1000146.
- Tuberculosis Chemotherapy Centre. Intermittent treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. A concurrent comparison of twice-weekly isoniazid plus streptomycin and daily isoniazid plus p-Aminosalicylic acid in domiciliary treatment. Lancet. 1963;1078–80.
- Toman K. What is the 'fall and rise phenomenon'. Tuberculosis case finding and chemotherapy, questions and answers. Geneva: WHO; 1979.
- 71. Chang KC, Leung CC, Grosset J, et al. Treatment of tuberculosis and optimal dosing schedules. Thorax. 2011;66(11):997–1007.
- 72. Khan FA, Minion J, Pai M, et al. Treatment of active tuberculosis in HIVcoinfected patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(9):1288–99.
- Li J, Munsiff SS, Driver CR, Sackoff J. Relapse and acquired rifampin resistance in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis treated with rifampin- or rifabutin-based regimens in New York City, 1997–2000. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41(1):83–91.
- Ahmad Khan F, Minion J, Al-Motairi A, et al. An updated systematic review and meta-analysis on the treatment of active tuberculosis in patients with HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(8):1154–63.
- 75. Narendran G, Ramesh Kumar S, Menon PA, et al. Daily is better than thrice-weekly ATT in HIV patients with culture confirmed pulmonary TB,- a RCT from South India (CTRI-476/09, NCT00933790) WEAB0201 presented at AIDS 2016 conference, Durban.
- 76. Bifani P, Mathema B, Kurepina N, et al. The evolution of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: from a mono-rifampin-resistant cluster into increasingly multidrug-resistant variants in an HIV-seropositive population. J Infect Dis. 2008;198(1):90–4.
- 77. Tuberculosis Research Centre. Low rate of emergence of drug resistance in sputum positive patients treated with short course chemotherapy. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2001;5:40–5.
- Vernon A, Burman W, Benator D, et al. Acquired rifamycinmonoresistance in patients with HIV-related tuberculosis treated with once-weekly rifapentine and isoniazid. Tuberculosis trials consortium. Lancet. 1999;353(9167):1843–7.
- Nahid P, Gonzalez LC, Rudoy I, et al. Treatment outcomes of patients with HIV and tuberculosis. Am J RespirCrit Care Med. 2007;175(11):1199–206.

- Burman W, Benator D, Vernon A, et al. Acquired rifamycin resistance with twice-weekly treatment of HIV-related tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2006;173:350–6.
- Gurumurthy P, Ramachandran G, Hemanth Kumar AK, et al. Decreased bioavailability of rifampin and other antituberculosis drugs in patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus disease. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2004;48(11):4473–5.
- 82. Perlman DC, Segal Y, Rosenkranz S, et al. The clinical pharmacokinetics of rifampin and ethambutol in HIV-infected persons with tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41(11):1638–47.
- Hemanth Kumar AK, Narendran G, Kumar RS, et al. Rifampicin exposure is lower in HIV-infected TB patients receiving intermittent than daily anti-TB treatment. RMP exposure is lower in HIV-infected TB patients receiving intermittent than daily anti-tuberculosis treatment. IJTLD. 2015;19(7):805–7.
- Narendran G, Menon PA, Venkatesan P, et al. Acquired rifampicin resistance in thrice-weekly TB therapy: impact of HIV and antiretroviral therapy. Clinical Infectious diseases. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59(12):1798–804.
- Korenromp EL, Scano F, Williams BG, et al. Effects of human immunodeficiency virus infection on recurrence of tuberculosis after rifampinbased treatment: an analytical review. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37(1):101–12.
- Narayanan S, Swaminathan S, Supply P, et al. Impact of HIV infection on the recurrence of tuberculosis in South India. J Infect Dis. 2010;201(5):691–703.
- Guidelines for PMDT in India 2012. http://tbcindia.gov.in/WriteReadData/l892s/8320929355Guidelines%20for%20PMDT%20in%20 India%20-%20May%202012.pdf. Accessed 25 Jun 2016.
- Narendran G, Swaminathan S. Tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: profile of an enigmatic condition. Curr Sci. 2013;105(5):657–65.
- Caminero JA. Guidelines for clinical and operational management of drug-resistant tuberculosis 2013. http://www.theunion.org/what-wedo/publications/technical/english/mdr-tbguide_6-19-13_web.pdf. Accessed 25 Jun 2016.
- Gopinath K, Singh S. Non-tuberculous mycobacteria in TB-endemic countries: are we neglecting the danger? PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4(4):e615. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000615.
- Helal ZH, Khan MI, Ashour MSE-D, et al. Detection and characterization of nocardia from patients diagnosed as tuberculosis in Egypt. Int J Biomed Sci. 2008;4(3):179–84.
- Jindani A, Harrison TS, Nunn AJ, et al. High-dose rifapentine with moxifloxacin for pulmonary tuberculosis. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1599–608.
- Merle CS, Sismanidis C, Sow OB, et al. A pivotal registration phase III, multicenter, randomized tuberculosis controlled trial: design issues and lessons learnt from the Gatifloxacin for TB (OFLOTUB) project. Trials. 2012;13:61.
- 94. Dawson R, Diacon AH, Everitt D, et al. Efficiency and safety of the combination of moxifloxacin, pretomanid (PA-824), and pyrazinamide during the first 8 weeks of antituberculosis treatment: a phase 2b, open-label, partly randomised trial in patients with drug-susceptible or drug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. Lancet. 2015;385(9979):1738–47.
- Wallis RS, Wang C, Meyer D, Thomas N. Month 2 culture status and treatment duration as predictors of tuberculosis relapse risk in a metaregression model. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(8):e71116.
- Wells CD, Cegielski P, Nelson LJ, et al. HIV infection and multi drug resistant tuberculosis: the perfect storm. J Infect Dis. 2007;196:S86–107.
- 97. WHO treatment guidelines for drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2016. http://www.who.int/tb/MDRTBguidelines2016.pdf. Accessed 28 Jun 2016.
- World Health Organization. The shorter MDR-TB regimen. http://www. who.int/tb/Short_MDR_regimen_factsheet.pdf. Accessed 28 Jun 2016.
- 99. Aung KJM, Van Deun A, Declercq E, et al. Successful'9-month Bangladesh regimen' for multidrugresistant tuberculosis among over 500 consecutive patients. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2014;18(10):1180–7.
- 100. Kuaban, et al. West African Cohort study. Late breaker session. 46th Union World Conference on Lung Health, Cape Town.
- Palacios E, Franke M, Muñoz M, et al. HIV-positive patients treated for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: clinical outcomes in the HAART era. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2012;16(3):348–54.

- 102. Menon S. Preventing nosocomial MDR TB transmission in sub Saharan Africa: where are we at? Glob J Health Sci. 2013;5(4):200–10. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v5n4p200.
- CDC. Managing drug interactions in the treatment of HIV-related tuberculosis. 2013. http://www.cdc.gov/tb/TB_HIV_Drugs/default.htm.
- 104. Van der Walt M, Lancaster J, Odendaal R, et al. Serious treatment related adverse drug reactions amongst anti-retroviral Naïve MDR-TB patients. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(4):e58817. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058817.
- 105. Pietersen E, Ignatius E, Streicher EM, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa: a cohort study. Lancet. 2014;383:1230–9.
- 106. Fox GJ, Menzies D. A review of the evidence for using bedaquiline (TMC207) to treat multi-drug resistant tuberculosis. Infect Dis Ther. 2013;2:123–44.
- Andries K, Verhasselt P, Guillemont J, et al. A diarylquinoline drug active on the ATP synthase of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Science. 2005;307:223–7.
- Mase S, Chorba T, Lobue P, Castro K. Provisional CDC guidelines for the use and safety monitoring of bedaquiline fumarate (Sirturo) for the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. MMWR. 2013;62(9):1.
- 109. Srikrishna G, Gupta S, Dooley KE, Bishai WR. Can the addition of verapamil to Bedaquiline containing regimens improve tuberculosis treatment outcomes? A novel approach to optimising TB treatment. *Future microbiology* 2015;10(8): 1257-6014 B].
- Skripconoka V, Danilovits M, Pehme L, et al. Delamanid improves outcomes and reduces mortality in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 2013;41(6):1393–400.
- 111. Shortening treatment by advancing novel drugs (STAND). NCT02342886 https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02342886?term= NCT02342886&rank=1. Accessed 28 Jun 2016.
- 112. Van Heeswijk RPG, Dannemann B, Hoetelmans RMW. Bedaquiline: a review of human pharmacokinetics and drug–drug interactions. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;. doi:10.1093/jac/dku171.
- 113. Dooley K. Drug-drug interactions in TB-diabetes and HIV-TB. "Developing a comprehensive therapeutic research strategy for the converging epidemics of TB, T2DM, and HIV" Workshop NIAID, NIDDK, Office of AIDS Research 11th May 2016.
- Zumla A, Gillepsie SH, Hoelscher M, et al. New antituberculosis drugs, regimens and adjuvant therapies: needs, advances and future prospects. Lancet. 2014;14:237–340.
- Ruiz-Navarro MD, Hernández Espinosa JA, Bleda Hernández MJ, et al. Effects of HIV status and other variables on the outcome of tuberculosis treatment in Spain. Arch Bronconeumol. 2005;41(7):363–70.
- 116. Chaisson RE, Clermont HC, Holt EA, et al. Six-month supervised intermittent tuberculosis therapy in Haitian patients with and without HIV. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996;154:1034–8.
- 117. Morris L, Martin DJ, Bredell H, et al. HIV-1 RNA levels and CD4 lymphocyte counts during treatment for active tuberculosis in South African patients. J Infect Dis. 2003;187:1967–71.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Rapid advice: antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection in adults and adolescents. 2009. http://www.searo. who.int/LinkFiles/HIV-AIDS_Rapid_Advice_Adult_ART_Guidelines(web). pdf. Accessed 30 Jan 2013.
- 119. Revised NACO guidelines for ART initiation in adults. Office memorandum T-11020/36/2005 dated 4 November 2011.
- 120. Swaminathan S, Padmapriyadarsini C, Venkatesan P, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-daily nevirapine-or efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV associated tuberculosis: a randomized clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53(7):716–24.
- 121. Tweya Tweya H, Feldacker C, Phiri S, et al. Comparison of treatment outcomes of new smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients by HIV and antiretroviral status in a TB/HIV clinic, Malawi. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(2):e56248. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056248.
- 122. Akksilp S, Karnkawinpong O, Wattanaamornkiat W, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during tuberculosis treatment and marked reduction in death rate of HIV-infected patients, Thailand. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13(7):1001–7.
- 123. Panel on antiretroviral guidelines for adults and adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Department of Health and Human Services. http://www.aidsinfo.

nih.gov/ContentFiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Accessed 27 Jun 2016.

- 124. Patel A, Patel J, et al. Safety and antiretroviral effectiveness of concomitant use of rifampicin and efavirenz for antiretroviral-naive patients in India who are coinfected with tuberculosis and HIV-1. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2004;37:1166–9.
- Pedral-Sampaio DB, Alves CR, Netto EM, et al. Efficacy and safety of efavirenz in HIV patients on rifampin for tuberculosis. Braz J Infect Dis. 2004;8:211–6.
- 126. Manosuthi W, Kiertiburanakul S, Sungkanuparph S, et al. Efavirenz 600 mg/day versus efavirenz 800 mg/day in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis receiving rifampicin: 48 weeks results. AIDS. 2006;20:131–2.
- 127. Ramachandran G, Hemanth Kumar AK, Rajasekharan S, et al. CYP2B6 G516T polymorphism but not rifampicin coadminstration influences steady state pharmacokinetics of efavirenz in human immunodeficiency virus infected patients in South India. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53:863–8.
- Carey D, Puls R, Amin J, et al. Efficacy and safety of efavirenz 400 mg daily versus 600 mg daily: 96-week data from the randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority ENCORE1 study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15(7):793–802.
- 129. Manosuthi W, Tantanathip P, Chimsuntorn S, et al. Treatment outcomes of patients co-infected with HIV and tuberculosis who received a nevirapine-based antiretroviral regimen: a four-year prospective study. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14:e1013–7.
- 130. Munderi P, Walker AS, Kityo C, et al. Nevirapine/zidovudine/lamivudine has superior immunological and virological responses not reflected in clinical outcomes in a 48-week randomized comparison with abacavir/ zidovudine/lamivudine in HIV-infected Ugandan adults with low CD4 cell counts. HIV Med. 2010;11:334–44.
- Moyle G, Higgs C, Teague A, et al. An open-label, randomized comparative pilot study of a single-class quadruple therapy regimen versus a 2-class triple therapy regimen for individuals initiating antiretroviral therapy. Antivir Ther. 2006;11:73–8.
- 132. Gallant JE, et al. Switching tenofovir DF to tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed adults. CROI 2016. Abstract 29. Boston.
- Molina JM, Clotet B, van Lunzen J, et al. Once-daily dolutegravir versus darunavir plus ritonavir for treatment-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (FLAMINGO): 96 week results from a randomised, open-label, phase 3b study. Lancet HIV. 2015;2(4):e127–36.
- 134. Dooley KE, Sayre P, Borland J, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of the HIV integrase inhibitor dolutegravir given twice daily with rifampin or once daily with rifabutin: results of a phase 1 study among healthy subjects. J Acquir Immune Defic Synr. 2013;62(1):21–7.
- 135. The INSIGHT START Study Group. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:795–807.
- The TEMPRANO ANRS 12136 Study Group. A trial of early antiretrovirals and isoniazid preventive therapy in Africa. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:808–22.
- Abdool Karim SS, Naidoo K, Grobler A, et al. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral drugs during tuberculosis therapy. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(8):697–706.
- Havlir DV, Kendall MA, Ive P, et al. Timing of antiretroviral therapy for HIV-1 infection and tuberculosis. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(16):1482–91 (stride).
- Abdool Karim SS, Naidoo K, Grobler A, et al. Integration of antiretroviral therapy with tuberculosis treatment. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(16):1492–501.
- Swaminathan S, Padmapriyadarsini C, Narendran G. HIV associated tuberculosis—clinical update. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(10):1377–86.
- Blanc FX, Sok T, Laureillard D, et al. Earlier versus later start of antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected adults with tuberculosis. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:1471–81.
- 142. WHO. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstr eam/10665/85321/1/9789241505727_eng.pdf?ua=1. Accessed 08 May 2014.
- 143. Yimer G, Aderaye G, Amogne W, et al. Anti-tuberculosis therapyinduced hepatotoxicity among Ethiopian HIV-positive and negative patients. PLoS ONE. 2008;3(3):e1809. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001809.

- 144. Pukenyte E, Lescure FX, Rey D, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for severe liver toxicity in HIV-infected patients on anti-tuberculosis treatment. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2007;11:78–84.
- 145. Imhof A, Ledergerber B, Huldrych F, The Swiss HIV Cohort Study A, et al. Risk factors for and outcome of hyperlactatemia in HIV-infected persons: is there a need for routine lactate monitoring? Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41:721–8.
- 146. Moyle G, Else L, Jackson A, et al. Coadministration of atazanavir–ritonavir and zinc sulfate: impact on hyperbilirubinemia and pharmacokinetics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(8):3640–4. doi:10.1128/ AAC.00357-13.
- 147. Meintjes G, Lawn SD, Scano F, et al. Tuberculosis-associated immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: case definitions for use in resource-limited settings. Lancet Infect Dis. 2008;8(8):516–23.
- Breton G, Duval X, Estellat C, et al. Determinants of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV type 1-infected patients with tuberculosis after initiation of antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39(11):1709–12.
- 149. Haddow LJ, Moosa MY, Mosam A, et al. Incidence, clinical spectrum, risk factors and impact of HIV-associated immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in South Africa. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(11):e40623.
- Gopalan N, Andrade BB, Swaminathan S. Tuberculosis-immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV: from pathogenesis to prediction. Expert Rev Clin Immunol. 2014;10(5):631–45.
- Lawn SD, Meintjes G. Pathogenesis and prevention of immune reconstitution disease during antiretroviral therapy. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2011;9(4):415–30.
- 152. Narendran G, Andrade BB, Porter BO, et al. Paradoxical tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (TB-IRIS) in HIV patients with culture confirmed pulmonary tuberculosis in India and the potential role of IL-6 in prediction. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(5):63541.
- 153. Musselwhite LW, Andrade BB, Ellenberg SS, et al. Vitamin D, d-dimer, interferon gamma, and sCD14 levels are independently associated with immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: a prospective, international Study. EBioMedicine. 2016;4:115–23.
- 154. Price P, Morahan G, Huang D, et al. Polymorphisms in cytokine genes define subpopulations of HIV-1 patients who experienced immune restoration diseases. AIDS. 2002;16(15):2043–7.
- 155. Bourgarit A, Carcelain G, Martinez V, et al. ExPLOS ion of tuberculinspecific Th1-responses induces immune restoration syndrome in tuberculosis and HIV co-infected patients. AIDS. 2006;20(2):F1–7.
- Manabe YC, Campbell JD, Sydnor E, et al. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: risk factors and treatment implications. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2007;46(4):456–62.
- 157. Seddiki N, Sasson SC, Santner-Nanan B, et al. Proliferation of weakly suppressive regulatory CD4+ T cells is associated with over-active CD4+ T-cell responses in HIV-positive patients with mycobacterial immune restoration disease. Eur J Immunol. 2009;39(2):391–403.
- Tadokera R, Meintjes GA, Wilkinson KA, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue damage in HIV-tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. Eur J Immunol. 2014;44(1):127–36.
- Lawn SD, Bekker LG, Miller RF. Immune reconstitution disease associated with mycobacterial infections in HIV-infected individuals receiving antiretrovirals. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;5(6):361–73.
- Buckingham SJ, Haddow LJ, Shaw PJ, et al. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV-infected patients with mycobacterial infections starting highly active anti-retroviral therapy. Clin Radiol. 2004;59(6):505–13.
- 161. Swaminathan S, Narendran G. HIV and tuberculosis in India. J Biosci. 2008;33(4):527–37.
- Shelburne SA, Montes M, Hamill RJ. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: more answers, more questions. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006;57(2):167–70.
- French MA, Price P, Stone SF, et al. Immune restoration disease after antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2004;18:1615–27.
- Lawn SD. Point-of-care detection of lipoarabinomannan (LAM) in urine for diagnosis of HIV-associated tuberculosis: a state of the art review. BMC Infect Dis. 2012;12:103.
- Lawn SD, Macallan DC. Hypercalcemia: a manifestation of immune reconstitution complicating tuberculosis in an HIV-infected person. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;38(1):154–5.

- 166. Meintjes G, Rangaka MX, Maartens G, et al. Novel relationship between tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome and antitubercular drug resistance. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(5):667–76.
- Colebunders R, John L, Huyst V, et al. Tuberculosis immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in countries with limited resources. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2006;10(9):946–53.
- Knysz B, Kuliszkiewicz-Janus M, Jelen M, et al. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma as a rare manifestation of immune reconstitution disease in HIV-1 positive patients. Postepy Hig Med Dosw. 2006;60:547–51.
- 169. Narendran G, Swaminathan S. TB-HIV co-infection: a catastrophic comradeship. Oral Dis. 2016;22(Suppl 1):46–52.
- Meintjes G, Wilkinson RJ, Morroni C, et al. Randomized placebocontrolled trial of prednisone for paradoxical tuberculosisassociated immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. AIDS. 2010;24(15):2381–90.
- 171. Brunel AS, Reynes J, Tuaillon E, et al. Thalidomide for steroid-dependent immune reconstitution inflammatory syndromes during AIDS. AIDS. 2012;26(16):2110–2.
- 172. Sierra-Madero JG, Ellenberg S, Rassool MS, et al. A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of a chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) antagonist to decrease the occurrence of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in HIV-infection: The CADIRIS Study. Lancet HIV. 2014;1(2):e60–7.
- Knight RL, Allen DR, Birch HL, et al. Development of CXCR3 antagonists. Part 4: discovery of 2-amino-(4-tropinyl)quinolines. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2008;18(2):629–33.
- 174. Kanai T, Kamada N, Hisamatsu T. Clinical strategies for the blockade of IL-18 in inflammatory bowel diseases. Curr Drug Targets. 2013;14(12):1392–9.
- 175. Hennigan S, Kavanaugh A. Interleukin-6 inhibitors in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2008;4(4):767–75.
- 176. Suntharalingam G, Perry MR, Ward S, et al. Cytokine storm in phase I trial of the anti-CD28 monoclonal antibody TGN1412. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(10):1018–28.
- de Jong BC, Israelski DM, Corbett EL, Small PM. Clinical management of tuberculosis in the context of HIV infection. Annu Rev Med. 2004;55:283–301.
- 178. DART Virology Group and Trial Team. Virological response to a triple nucleoside/nucleotide analogue regimen over 48 weeks in HIV-1-infected adults in Africa. AIDS. 2006;20:1391–9.
- Ribera E, Pou L, Lopez RM, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between nevirapine and rifampicin in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2001;28:450–3.
- Ramachandran G, Hemanthkumar AK, Rajasekaran S, et al. Increasing nevirapine dose can overcome reduced bioavailability due to rifampicin co-administration. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2006;42:36–41.
- 181. Manosuthi W, Ruxrungtham K, Likanonsakul S, et al. Nevirapine levels after discontinuation of rifampicin therapy and 60-week efficacy of nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients with tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44:141–4.
- 182. Autar RS, Wit FW, Sankote J, et al. Nevirapine plasma concentrations and concomitant use of rifampin in patients co-infected with HIV-1 and tuberculosis. Antivir Ther. 2005;10:937–43.
- Ngaimisi E, Mugusi S, Minzi O, et al. Effect of rifampicin and CYP2B6 genotype on long-term efavirenz auto induction and plasma exposure in HIV patients with or without tuberculosis. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2011;90:406–13.
- Cohen K, Grant A, Dandara C, et al. Effect of rifampicin-based antitubercular therapy and the cytochrome P450 2B6 516G> T polymorphism on efavirenz concentrations in adults in South Africa. Antivir Ther. 2009;14:687–95.
- Kakuda TN, Scholler-Gyure M, Hoetelmans RM. Pharmacokinetic interactions between etravirine and non-antiretroviral drugs. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2011;50:25–39.
- [Anonymous]. Edurant package insert. © Janssen Products, LP 2011. http://www.edurant.com/sites/default/files/EDURANT-PI.pdf. Accessed Jun 2013.
- Decloedt EH, McIlleron H, Smith P, et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir in HIV-infected adults receiving rifampin with adjusted doses of lopinavirritonavir tablets. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55:3195–200.

- Schmitt C, Riek M, Winters K, et al. Unexpected hepatotoxicity of rifampin and saquinavir/ritonavir in healthy male volunteers. Arch Drug Inf. 2009;2:8–16.
- Haas DW, Koletar SL, Laughlin L, et al. Hepatotoxicity and gastrointestinal intolerance when healthy volunteers taking rifampin add twice-daily atazanavir and ritonavir. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;50:290–3.
- Nijland HM, L'homme RF, Rongen GA, et al. High incidence of adverse events in healthy volunteers receiving rifampicin and adjusted doses of lopinavir/ritonavir tablets. AIDS. 2008;22:931–5.
- Wenning LA, Hanley WD, Brainard DM, et al. Effect of rifampin, a potent inducer of drug-metabolizing enzymes, on the pharmacokinetics of raltegravir. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53:2852–6.
- 192. Mena A, Vazquez P, Castro A, et al. Clinical experience of raltegravir-containing regimens in HIV-infected patients during rifampicin-containing treatment of tuberculosis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66:951–2.
- Burger DM, Magis-Escurra C, van den Berk GE, et al. Pharmacokinetics of double-dose raltegravir in two patients with HIV infection and tuberculosis. AIDS. 2010;24:328–30.
- 194. Ramanathan S, Wang H, Stondell T, et al. Pharmacokinetics and drug interaction profile of cobicistat boosted-elvitegravir with atazanavir, rosuvastatin or rifabutin. Abstract O-03. 13th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. Barcelona. 16–18 Apr 2012.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

() BioMed Central