

## Curvature and metric in Riemannian 3-manifolds

By Toshio NASU

(Received Feb. 9, 1973)

### § 1. Introduction.

Let  $(M, g)$  and  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  be two Riemannian  $n$ -manifolds ( $n \geq 3$ ) and  $f$  a diffeomorphism of  $(M, g)$  to  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ .  $f$  is called a *curvature-preserving* diffeomorphism if for every point  $p \in M$  and for every 2-plane section  $\sigma$  of the tangent space  $T_p(M)$

$$\bar{K}(f_*\sigma) = K(\sigma)$$

holds, where  $K$  and  $\bar{K}$  denote the sectional curvatures of  $(M, g)$  and  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ , respectively. A point  $p \in M$  is said to be *isotropic* if  $K(\sigma) = \text{const.}$  for every 2-plane section  $\sigma$  of  $T_p(M)$ , and is said to be *non-isotropic* otherwise.

Recently, R. S. Kulkarni considered in [3] the converse of the *theorema egregium* of Gauss, which asserts that the curvature is a metric invariant, and proved that the curvature, in general, determines a conformal class of metric, that is, a curvature-preserving diffeomorphism  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is conformal if the set of non-isotropic points is dense in  $M$  (cf. Theorem 1 in [3]). It is natural to ask furthermore whether  $f$  is isometric or not. He showed in [3] that the answer to this question is affirmative if  $n \geq 4$  (cf. Fundamental Theorem in [3]), but he obtained only partial results for 3-manifolds assuming compactness and restricting sign of curvature (cf. § 6 in [3]). The purpose of this note is to give some affirmative answers to the above question for 3-manifolds.

In § 2 we shall prepare some general formulas on the conformal change of metric. In § 3, starting with Kulkarni's results, we shall obtain several lemmas on the curvature-preserving diffeomorphism  $f$  for later use. In § 4, after constructing a useful *constant associated with  $f$*  whose vanishing gives a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be isometric (cf. Theorem 1), we shall show as a corollary to Theorem 1 that the answer to the above question is also affirmative for conformally flat or compact 3-manifolds (cf. Corollary 1 and Corollary 2). Furthermore, as an application of Theorem 2 we shall give a partial result for complete manifolds with non-vanishing scalar curvatures (cf. Theorem 3). The hypothesis  $n = 3$  is essential in § 4.

We shall assume, throughout this paper, that Riemannian manifolds under consideration are connected and of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , their metrics are positive

definite, and all manifolds and all diffeomorphisms are of class  $C^\infty$ . For the terminology and notation, we generally follow [3].

## §2. Notation and conformal diffeomorphism.

In this section, we shall summarize general transformation formulas of some geometric objects under the conformal change of metric (for details see [3] or [5]).

Let  $(M, g)$  and  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  be Riemannian  $n$ -manifolds with metrics  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$ , respectively. A diffeomorphism  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is said to be conformal if the induced metric  $g^* = f^*\bar{g}$  is related to  $g$  by

$$(2.1) \quad g^* = e^{2\varphi}g,$$

where the function  $\varphi$  is necessarily differentiable and is called the associated function of  $f$ .  $\varphi$  will be sometimes denoted by  $\varphi_f$ . If  $\varphi$  is constant, then  $f$  is homothetic, and if  $\varphi$  is identically zero, then  $f$  is an isometry.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}(M)$  be the ring of differentiable real-valued functions on  $M$  and  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the Lie algebra of differentiable vector fields on  $M$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Riemannian connection with respect to the metric  $g$  and  $R(X, Y) = \nabla_{[X, Y]} - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]$  ( $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ) the curvature operator of  $\nabla$ . The Ricci tensor field and the scalar curvature will be denoted by  $\text{Ric}$  and  $\text{Sc}$ , respectively. And also we indicate the corresponding quantities with respect to the metric  $g^*$  or  $\bar{g}$  by asterisking or by bar overhead, respectively. Then it is known that the above quantities with respect to  $g^*$  coincide with the induced ones of the corresponding quantities with respect to  $\bar{g}$  by  $f$  and we have the following formulas. For any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we have

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_X^* Y = \nabla_X Y + S(X, Y)$$

with

$$(2.3) \quad S(X, Y) = (X\varphi)Y + (Y\varphi)X - \langle X, Y \rangle G,$$

where  $\langle X, Y \rangle = g(X, Y)$  and  $G = \text{grad } \varphi$ , the gradient of  $\varphi$  with respect to the metric  $g$ . Using the hessian of  $\varphi$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{hess}_\varphi(X, Y) &= (\nabla_X d\varphi)Y \\ &= \langle \nabla_X G, Y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we define the symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field

$$(2.5) \quad P(X, Y) = \text{hess}_\varphi(X, Y) - (X\varphi)(Y\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \|G\|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle,$$

where  $\|G\| = \langle G, G \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . In general, for a given symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field  $H$ , we denote by  $H_0$  the canonical endomorphism of the tangent bundle  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$

induced by  $H$ , that is,  $\langle H_0(X), Y \rangle = H(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Then by (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad P_0(X) = \nabla_x G - (X\varphi)G + \frac{1}{2} \|G\|^2 X.$$

The following transformation formulas of the various tensor fields under the conformal change of metric (2.1) are known:

$$(2.7) \quad R^*(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \tilde{T}(X, Y)Z,$$

where

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y)Z = P(Y, Z)X - P(X, Z)Y + \langle Y, Z \rangle P_0(X) - \langle X, Z \rangle P_0(Y);$$

$$(2.8) \quad \text{Ric}^*(X, Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y) + \mathfrak{R}(X, Y),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}(X, Y) = -(n-2)P(X, Y) - \langle X, Y \rangle \text{Trace } P_0;$$

$$(2.9) \quad e^{2\varphi} \text{Ric}_0^*(X) = \text{Ric}_0(X) + \mathfrak{R}_0(X),$$

where  $\text{Ric}_0^*$  is defined by  $g^*(\text{Ric}_0^*(X), Y) = \text{Ric}^*(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ; and

$$(2.10) \quad e^{2\varphi} \text{Sc}^* = \text{Sc} - 2(n-1) \text{Trace } P_0.$$

Weyl's conformal curvature tensor on  $M$  is a tensor field  $C$  of type (1, 3) defined by

$$(2.11) \quad C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{n-2} \{L(Y, Z)X - L(X, Z)Y \\ + \langle Y, Z \rangle L_0(X) - \langle X, Z \rangle L_0(Y)\}$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where we have put

$$(2.12) \quad L = \text{Ric} - \frac{\text{Sc}}{2(n-1)} g.$$

The following Weyl's 3-index tensor  $D$  of type (0, 3) will also be useful:

$$(2.13) \quad D(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_x L)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_y L)(X, Z).$$

The tensor field  $C$  is invariant under any conformal change of metric, and vanishes identically for  $n=3$ . As is well-known, a necessary and sufficient condition for  $(M, g)$  to be conformally flat is that

$$C = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 3$$

and

$$D = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 3.$$

We recall the following well-known facts (cf. Yano [5]):

LEMMA 1. *The tensor fields  $C$  and  $D$  satisfy the following identities:*

$$(a) \quad D^*(X, Y, Z) = D(X, Y, Z) - (n-2)\langle C(X, Y)Z, G \rangle,$$

(b)  $\text{Trace} \{X \rightarrow D_0(X, Y)\} = 0$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $D_0$  is the tensor field of type (1, 2) defined by  $\langle D_0(X, Y), Z \rangle = D(X, Y, Z)$ .

Finally we remark that

(2.14)  $\text{Trace} \{X \rightarrow (\nabla_X \text{Ric}_0)(Y)\} = \frac{1}{2} Y(\text{Sc})$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

**§ 3. Curvature-preserving diffeomorphism.**

The following theorem due to Kulkarni is a starting point of this paper :

**THEOREM K ([3]).** *Let  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  be a curvature-preserving diffeomorphism of two Riemannian  $n$ -manifolds ( $n \geq 3$ ). Suppose that the set of non-isotropic points is dense in  $M$ . Then  $f$  is conformal, that is, there exists a function  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$  such that*

(3.1)  $g^* = e^{2\varphi} g$

and furthermore we have

(3.2)  $R^* = e^{2\varphi} R.$

From the equations (3.1) and (3.2) it follows immediately

(3.3)  $\text{Ric}^* = e^{2\varphi} \text{Ric} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sc}^* = \text{Sc}.$

In this section, we shall prepare, for later use, some basic formulas for the curvature-preserving diffeomorphism  $f$ . We shall use only the equations (3.1) and (3.3), so that all results in the following are valid also for the Ricci-curvature-preserving conformal diffeomorphism  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ .

From the equations (2.12) and (3.3) we get  $L^* = e^{2\varphi} L$ , which gives

(3.4) 
$$e^{-2\varphi} D^*(X, Y, Z) - D(X, Y, Z) = (X\varphi)L(Y, Z) - (Y\varphi)L(X, Z) + \langle X, Z \rangle L(Y, G) - \langle Y, Z \rangle L(X, G).$$

In fact, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(X, Y, Z) &= (\nabla_X^* L^*)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y^* L^*)(X, Z) \\ &= e^{2\varphi} \{2(X\varphi)L(Y, Z) + (\nabla_X^* L)(Y, Z) - 2(Y\varphi)L(X, Z) - (\nabla_Y^* L)(X, Z)\} \\ &= e^{2\varphi} [2(X\varphi)L(Y, Z) + (\nabla_X L)(Y, Z) - L(S(X, Y), Z) - L(Y, S(X, Z))] \\ &\quad - \{\text{replace } X \text{ by } Y \text{ in the above expression}\} \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &= e^{2\varphi} [D(X, Y, Z) + 2\{(X\varphi)L(Y, Z) - (Y\varphi)L(X, Z)\} \\ &\quad - \{L(Y, S(X, Z)) - L(X, S(Y, Z))\}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(Y, S(X, Z)) - L(X, S(Y, Z)) \\
&= (X\varphi)L(Y, Z) + (Z\varphi)L(Y, X) - \langle X, Z \rangle L(Y, G) \\
&\quad - \{\text{replace } X \text{ by } Y \text{ in the above expression}\} \\
&= (X\varphi)L(Y, Z) - (Y\varphi)L(X, Z) - \{\langle X, Z \rangle L(Y, G) - \langle Y, Z \rangle L(X, G)\},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.4). The equation (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad D_0^*(X, Y) - D_0(X, Y) = (X\varphi)L_0(Y) - (Y\varphi)L_0(X) + L(Y, G)X - L(X, G)Y,$$

where  $g^*(D_0^*(X, Y), Z) = D^*(X, Y, Z)$  for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . In (3.5), we take the trace of the linear map  $\{X \rightarrow (D_0^*(X, Y) - D_0(X, Y))\}$ , where  $Y$  is fixed. Then by virtue of (b) in Lemma 1 and

$$\text{Trace } L_0 = \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \text{Sc}$$

we have

$$(3.6) \quad L(Y, G) - \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \text{Sc} \langle Y, G \rangle = 0$$

because of

$$\text{Trace} \{X \rightarrow (X\varphi)L_0(Y)\} = L_0(Y)\varphi$$

and

$$\text{Trace} \{X \rightarrow L(X, G)Y\} = L(Y, G).$$

For convenience, let us define another symmetric tensor field  $T$  of type  $(0, 2)$  by

$$(3.7) \quad T = \text{Ric} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Sc } g.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 2. *The tensors  $T$  and  $T^*$  satisfy on  $M$  the following;*

- (a)  $\text{Trace } T_0 = 0,$
- (b)  $T^* = T - (n-2)P + \frac{n-2}{n} (\text{Trace } P_0)g,$
- (c)  $T^* = e^{2\varphi}T,$
- (d)  $T(X, G) = 0,$  or equivalently  $T_0(G) = 0,$
- (e)  $e^{-2\varphi}D^*(X, Y, Z) - D(X, Y, Z) = (X\varphi)T(Y, Z) - (Y\varphi)T(X, Z)$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

PROOF. The equations (a), (b) and (c) follow immediately. The equation (3.6) implies (d) because of

$$(3.8) \quad T = L - \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \text{Sc } g,$$

which is a consequence of (2.12) and (3.7). And also the equation (3.4) implies (e) because of (d) and (3.8). q. e. d.

Eliminating  $T^*$  from (b) and (c) in Lemma 2, we have by (2.6)

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla_x G = \frac{1-e^{2\varphi}}{n-2} T_0(X) + (X\varphi)G + \frac{1}{n} (\Delta\varphi - \|G\|^2)X$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $\Delta\varphi$  is the Laplacian of  $\varphi$  defined by

$$\Delta\varphi = \text{Trace} \{X \rightarrow \nabla_x G\}.$$

The equation (3.9) implies

LEMMA 3. *The associated function  $\varphi$  of  $f$  has the following properties;*

- (a) *the trajectories of the gradient vector field  $G$  of  $\varphi$  are geodesic arcs in a neighborhood of an ordinary point of  $\varphi$ ,*
- (b)  $d(\|G\|^2) = \frac{2}{n} \{\Delta\varphi + (n-1)\|G\|^2\}d\varphi.$

PROOF. Putting  $X=G$  in (3.9), we get by (d) in Lemma 2

$$\nabla_G G = \frac{1}{n} \{\Delta\varphi + (n-1)\|G\|^2\}G,$$

which implies (a) in Lemma 3. Take the inner product of the both sides of (3.9) with  $G$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} X\langle G, G \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \{\Delta\varphi + (n-1)\|G\|^2\}X\varphi$$

for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , which implies (b) in Lemma 3.

q. e. d.

Let  $M'$  be an open subset of  $M$  defined by

$$M' = \{p \in M; p \text{ is the ordinary point of } \varphi, (d\varphi)_p \neq 0\}.$$

Then we have

LEMMA 4. *There exist two smooth functions  $\rho$  and  $\psi$  on  $M'$  such that*

- (a)  $d(\text{Sc}) = \rho d\varphi,$  and
- (b)  $d\rho = \psi d\varphi.$

The function  $\rho$  is given explicitly by

$$(3.10) \quad \rho = 2n(e^{2\varphi} - 1)(n-2)^{-2}\|G\|^{-2} \text{Trace}(T_0^2).$$

PROOF. Putting  $Z=G$  in the equations (a) in Lemma 1 and (e) in Lemma 2, we have by (d) in Lemma 2

$$D^*(X, Y, G) = D(X, Y, G) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-2\varphi}D^*(X, Y, G) = D(X, Y, G),$$

respectively, and hence by eliminating  $D^*(X, Y, G)$  from these equations

$$(e^{2\varphi} - 1)D(X, Y, G) = 0.$$

Since the set of zeroes of the function  $\varphi$  is discrete in  $M'$ , if there is any, we

have by continuity of  $D(X, Y, G)$

$$(3.11) \quad D(X, Y, G) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we get by substituting (3.8) into (2.13)

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} D(X, Y, Z) &= (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y T)(X, Z) \\ &+ \frac{n-2}{2n(n-1)} \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle X(\text{Sc}) - \langle X, Z \rangle Y(\text{Sc}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $Z=G$  in the above, we get, on account of (3.11),

$$(X\varphi)Y(\text{Sc}) - (Y\varphi)X(\text{Sc}) = 0,$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X T)(Y, G) - (\nabla_Y T)(X, G) &= -T(Y, \nabla_X G) + T(X, \nabla_Y G) \quad (\text{by (d) in Lemma 2}) \\ &= \frac{1-e^{2\varphi}}{n-2} \{ T(X, T_0(Y)) - T(Y, T_0(X)) \} \quad (\text{by (3.9)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a function  $\rho$  defined on  $M'$  such that

$$(3.13) \quad X(\text{Sc}) = \rho X\varphi$$

on  $M'$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Since  $\rho$  is independent of  $X$ , this implies (a) in Lemma 4.

The explicit form (3.10) of  $\rho$  is obtained as follows. Since  $T_0(G) = 0$  by (d) in Lemma 2, we have

$$(3.14) \quad (\nabla_X T_0)G = -T_0(\nabla_X G).$$

We now obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Trace} \{ X \rightarrow (\text{the left hand side of (3.14)}) \} \\ &= \text{Trace} \{ X \rightarrow (\nabla_X \text{Ric}_0)G \} - \frac{1}{n} \text{Trace} \{ X \rightarrow X(\text{Sc})G \} \quad (\text{by (3.7)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G(\text{Sc}) - \frac{1}{n} G(\text{Sc}) \quad (\text{by (2.14)}) \\ &= \frac{n-2}{2n} \rho \|G\|^2 \quad (\text{by (3.13)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Trace} \{ X \rightarrow (\text{the right hand side of (3.14)}) \} \\ &= \frac{e^{2\varphi}-1}{n-2} \text{Trace} (T_0^2) \quad (\text{by (3.9)}) \end{aligned}$$

because of the equations (a) and (d) in Lemma 2, so that we obtain (3.10) by equating these two traces.

Finally taking exterior derivative of (a) in Lemma 4 we get (b) in Lemma 4 at once. q. e. d.

**§ 4. Theorems.**

In this section we shall assume  $n=3$  throughout and define an associated constant of the curvature-preserving diffeomorphism.

First, we remark that the restriction “ $n=3$ ” on the dimension of  $M$  implies two important relations as follows. Since Weyl’s conformal curvature tensor  $C$  vanishes identically, we have by the equation (a) in Lemma 1

$$(4.1) \quad D^*(X, Y, Z) = D(X, Y, Z)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . On the other hand, the equation (d) in Lemma 2 means that  $G$  is an eigen-vector of  $T_0$  corresponding to an eigen-value zero at each point  $p \in M'$ . Hence the equation (a) in Lemma 2 and the assumption  $n=3$  imply that the eigen-values of  $T_0$  are 0,  $\kappa(p)$  and  $-\kappa(p)$  at each point  $p \in M'$ , so that we find

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Trace}(T_0^3) = 0$$

on  $M'$ .

First we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 5. *We have on  $M'$*

$$\phi \|G\|^2 + \frac{4}{3} \rho \Delta \phi + \frac{2}{3} \rho \|G\|^2 = 0.$$

PROOF. The equation (3.10) yields on  $M'$

$$(4.3) \quad \rho \|G\|^2 = 6(e^{2\phi} - 1) \text{Trace}(T_0^3).$$

Applying  $\nabla_G$  to (4.3) we obtain directly

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & 6(e^{2\phi} - 1) \|G\|^{-2} \nabla_G \text{Trace}(T_0^3) \\ & = \phi \|G\|^2 + \frac{2}{3} \rho (\Delta \phi + 2 \|G\|^2) - 12e^{2\phi} \text{Trace}(T_0^3) \end{aligned}$$

because of the equations

$$\nabla_G \phi = \|G\|^2,$$

$$\nabla_G(\|G\|^2) = \frac{2}{3} (\Delta \phi + 2 \|G\|^2) \|G\|^2 \quad (\text{by (b) in Lemma 3})$$

and

$$\nabla_G \rho = \phi \|G\|^2 \quad (\text{by (b) in Lemma 4}).$$

On the other hand, we get by (e) in Lemma 2 and (4.1)

$$(e^{-2\phi} - 1) D(X, Y, Z) = (X\phi) T(Y, Z) - (Y\phi) T(X, Z)$$



for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , so that we obtain by setting  $Y=G$  and  $Z=T_0(X)$

$$(4.5) \quad (e^{2\varphi}-1)D(X, G, T_0(X)) = e^{2\varphi}\|G\|^2 \langle T_0(X), T_0(X) \rangle.$$

Then we have by (3.12) and (a) in Lemma 4

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} D(X, G, T_0(X)) &= (\nabla_X T)(G, T_0(X)) - (\nabla_G T)(X, T_0(X)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\rho}{12} \{ (X\varphi) \langle G, T_0(X) \rangle - (G\varphi) \langle X, T_0(X) \rangle \}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a point  $p \in M'$  and let  $E = \{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$  be a local orthonormal frame in a neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j = 0$  at  $p$  for all  $i, j$ . Putting  $X = E_i$  in (4.5) and summing up for  $i=1, 2, 3$ , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &-(e^{2\varphi}-1) \left\{ \frac{1}{3} (\Delta\varphi - \|G\|^2) \text{Trace}(T_0^2) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_G \text{Trace}(T_0^2) \right\} \\ &= e^{2\varphi} \|G\|^2 \text{Trace}(T_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

because we have at  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i (\nabla_{E_i} T)(G, T_0(E_i)) &= -\sum_i T(\nabla_{E_i} G, T_0(E_i)) && \text{(by (d) in Lemma 2)} \\ &= (e^{2\varphi}-1) \sum_i T(T_0(E_i), T_0(E_i)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} (\Delta\varphi - \|G\|^2) \sum_i T(E_i, T_0(E_i)) && \text{(by (3.9))} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} (\Delta\varphi - \|G\|^2) \text{Trace}(T_0^2) && \text{(by (4.2))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i (\nabla_G T)(E_i, T_0(E_i)) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nabla_G \langle T_0(E_i), T_0(E_i) \rangle - \sum_i T(\nabla_G E_i, T_0(E_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_G \text{Trace}(T_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

If we eliminate  $\nabla_G(\text{Trace}(T_0^2))$  from (4.4) and (4.7) and substitute (4.3) into the resulting equation, then the lemma follows. q. e. d.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $F$  be a function on  $M$  defined by*

$$(4.8) \quad F = (e^{-2\varphi}-1) \|G\|^2 \text{Trace}(T_0^2).$$

*Then it is constant on  $M$ .*

PROOF. We may assume that  $M'$  is not empty. Evidently the function  $F$  is smooth on  $M$  and given by

$$(4.9) \quad F = -\frac{1}{6} e^{-2\varphi} \rho \|G\|^4$$

on  $M'$  by (4.3). Hence, from the equations (b) in Lemma 3 and (b) in Lemma 4 we have by direct calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
 -6dF &= e^{-2\varphi} \|G\|^2 \left( \phi \|G\|^2 + \frac{4}{3} \rho \Delta \varphi + \frac{2}{3} \rho \|G\|^2 \right) d\varphi \\
 &= 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(by Lemma 5)}
 \end{aligned}$$

on  $M'$ . Consequently,  $F$  is constant on each connected component of  $M'$ . Thus, because of (4.8) we find  $F=0$  on  $M$  if  $M \neq M'$ , that is, if there exists at least one stationary point of  $\varphi$ . If  $M=M'$ ,  $F$  is obviously constant on  $M$  by connectedness of  $M$ . q. e. d.

For the diffeomorphism  $f$  in Theorem K for  $n=3$ , we define

$$c_f = (e^{-2\varphi} - 1) \|G\|^2 \text{Trace}(T_0^3).$$

Then owing to Lemma 6 we can call  $c_f$  the associated constant of the curvature-preserving diffeomorphism  $f$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Under the circumstances of Theorem K, suppose  $n=3$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be isometric is  $c_f=0$ .*

**PROOF.** The necessity is trivial, so we prove the sufficiency in the following. For the moment, suppose that  $M'$  is non-empty. Then, the set of zeroes of the function  $\varphi$  is closed in  $M'$ , which is open. Thus we can choose a point and its open neighborhood  $U \subset M'$ , on which  $\varphi \neq 0$ . By the assumption  $c_f=0$ , we find  $\text{Trace}(T_0^3)=0$  on  $U$ , from which  $T=0$ , i. e.  $\text{Ric} = \frac{1}{n} \text{Sc } g$  on  $U$ , because we have

$$\text{Trace}(T_0^3) = \langle T_0, T_0 \rangle,$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the canonical inner product on tensor algebra induced by Riemannian metric  $g$ . Since  $C=0$  on  $M$  by the assumption  $n=3$ , this implies by the equations (2.11) and (2.12)

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{\text{Sc}}{n(n-1)} \{ \langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X \}$$

on  $U$ . Thus each point of  $U$  is isotropic. But this contradicts the assumption that the set of non-isotropic points is dense in  $M$ . Thus  $M'$  is empty, that is,  $d\varphi=0$  on  $M$ . So  $f$  is homothetic. Then we have

$$\bar{K}(f_*\sigma) = e^{-2\varphi} K(\sigma)$$

by (2.7) for any 2-plane section  $\sigma \subset T_p(M)$  at any point  $p \in M$ . Since  $f$  is curvature-preserving, we obtain

$$(e^{2\varphi} - 1)K(\sigma) = 0.$$

Since, by the assumption of Theorem 1,  $K \neq 0$  for at least one  $\sigma$  at almost all points, it follows  $\varphi=0$ . Thus,  $f$  is isometric. q. e. d.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem K, suppose that  $n=3$  and  $(M, g)$  is conformally flat. Then  $f$  is an isometry.*

PROOF. Since  $(M, g)$  is conformally flat and  $n=3$ , we have  $D^*=D=0$ . So, it follows from (e) in Lemma 2

$$(X\varphi)T_0(Y)-(Y\varphi)T_0(X)=0$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Setting  $Y=G$  in the above, we find easily  $c_f=0$  by (d) in Lemma 2. Hence  $f$  is an isometry by Theorem 1. q. e. d.

This Corollary has been obtained independently in a different way by Kulkarni [4].

COROLLARY 2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem K, suppose that  $n=3$  and  $M$  is compact. Then  $f$  is an isometry.*

PROOF. Since there exists at least one stationary point of  $\varphi$  by compactness of  $M$ , it follows  $c_f=0$ , from which  $f$  is isometric by Theorem 1. q. e. d.

Corollary 2 is an improvement of the results of Kulkarni (cf. Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 in [3]) in the sense that the additional assumptions on the sign of curvature have been removed in Corollary 2.

The author does not know as yet whether there exists a global non-isometric curvature-preserving diffeomorphism satisfying the assumptions of Theorem K in the case  $n=3$ . In this respect, it may be helpful to keep the next theorem in mind while constructing such an example, if there is.

THEOREM 2. *Under the circumstances of Theorem K, suppose  $n=3$ . A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to be non-isometric is that the manifold  $(M, g)$  and the associated function  $\varphi$  of  $f$  satisfy simultaneously the following three conditions (a), (b) and (c):*

- (a)  $\varphi$  has no stationary point on  $M$ ,
- (b) there exists no isotropic point on  $M$ ,
- (c) the range of  $\varphi$  is either  $\varphi > 0$  or  $\varphi < 0$ ,

or, equivalently, satisfy simultaneously the two conditions (a) and

- (d) the scalar curvature  $Sc$  has no stationary point on  $M$ .

PROOF. The condition  $c_f \neq 0$  is equivalent to the following:

- (i)  $\|G\| \neq 0$ ,    (ii)  $\text{Trace}(T_0^2) \neq 0$     and    (iii)  $e^{2\varphi} \neq 1$ .

Evidently (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a). We have (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow T_0 \neq 0$ , which is equivalent to the condition (b) by the assumption  $n=3$ , as is easily verified by Lemma 1 in [3]. Since  $M$  is assumed to be connected and  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $M$ , the range of  $\varphi$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ , so that we see (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). Owing to another expression (4.9) of  $c_f$ , we find similarly  $c_f \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \{(a) \text{ and } (d)\}$ . Thus, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1. q. e. d.

The technique developed in the proofs of Lemma 5 in [1] and Proposition 10.4 in [2] is applicable to the following

THEOREM 3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem K, suppose that  $n=3$  and two metrics  $g, \bar{g}$  are complete. If  $f$  is an onto diffeomorphism and  $Sc$  does not*

vanish, then  $f$  is an isometry.

PROOF. On the contrary, assume that  $f$  is non-isometric. Then the function  $\lambda = \|G\|$  vanishes nowhere on  $M$  by (a) of Theorem 2. The range of  $\text{Sc}$  is either  $\text{Sc} > 0$  or  $\text{Sc} < 0$ , and hence one of two functions  $(1 - e^{2\varphi})\text{Sc}$  and  $(1 - e^{-2\varphi})\text{Sc}$  is positive-valued, because of (c) of Theorem 2. The diffeomorphism  $f$  is onto and the associated functions  $\varphi_f$  and  $\bar{\varphi}_{f^{-1}}$  of conformal diffeomorphisms  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$ , respectively, are related by

$$\bar{\varphi}_{f^{-1}} = -\varphi_f \circ f^{-1},$$

so that we have by the equation (3.3)

$$\{(1 - e^{2\bar{\varphi}_{f^{-1}}})\bar{\text{Sc}}\} \circ f = (1 - e^{-2\varphi_f})\text{Sc}.$$

Thus, we may assume that

$$(4.10) \quad (1 - e^{2\varphi})\text{Sc} > 0$$

by considering  $f^{-1}$ , if necessary. The trajectory  $x(t)$  of the vector field  $G$  passing through a point  $p = x(0)$  of  $M$  is a geodesic by (a) of Lemma 3. We can assume that the parameter  $t$  is the arc-length. Let  $X = \frac{1}{\lambda}G$  be the unit tangent vector field to  $x(t)$ . Then we have along  $x(t)$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\lambda \frac{d\lambda}{dt} &= \nabla_x \|G\|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \nabla_G \|G\|^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \lambda (\Delta\varphi + 2\lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

by (b) in Lemma 3. On the other hand, we obtain by (2.10)

$$(4.12) \quad (1 - e^{2\varphi})\text{Sc} = 4 \text{Trace } P_0 = 4 \left( \Delta\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \right).$$

Eliminating  $\Delta\varphi$  from the equations (4.11) and (4.12) we get

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 + \alpha(t)$$

along  $x(t)$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{12} (1 - e^{2\varphi})\text{Sc}$  is a smooth positive-valued function by (4.10).

We consider an auxiliary differential equation

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2$$

on the  $(t, \lambda)$ -plane. The solution of (4.14) with initial condition  $\mu(0) = \|G\|_p (= \lambda(0)) > 0$  is given by

$$\mu(t) = -\frac{2}{t-a},$$

where  $a = 2\|G\|_p^{-1} > 0$ . It is easy to prove that for the solution  $\lambda(t)$  of (4.13) and the continuous solution  $\mu(t)$  of (4.14) it holds

$$\mu(t) \leq \lambda(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < a.$$

Hence the function  $\lambda(t) = \|G\|(x(t))$  must have a singularity at finite positive time. But this is impossible, because  $x(t)$  must be extended indefinitely with respect to the arc-length parameter  $t$  by the completeness of the metric  $g$  and the function  $\lambda(t)$  must be defined for all  $t$ . Thus,  $f$  is isometric. q. e. d.

### References

- [1] S. Ishihara, Groups of projective transformations and groups of conformal transformations, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **9** (1957), 195-227.
- [2] R.S. Kulkarni, Curvature structures and conformal transformations, J. Differential Geometry, **4** (1969), 425-451.
- [3] R.S. Kulkarni, Curvature and metric, Ann. of Math., **91** (1970), 311-331.
- [4] R.S. Kulkarni, Equivalence of Kähler manifolds and other equivalence problem, J. Differential Geometry, **9** (1974), 401-408.
- [5] K. Yano, Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Dekker, New York, 1970.

**Added in proof.** Very recently, in the direction of Theorem 2, S. T. Yau has proved that there exist an open Riemannian 3-manifold  $(M, g)$  and a non-isometric diffeomorphism  $f$  satisfying the assumptions of Theorem K [cf. S. T. Yau: Curvature preserving diffeomorphisms, Ann. of Math., **100** (1974), 121-130].

Toshio NASU  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of General Education  
 Okayama University  
 Tsushima, Okayama  
 Japan