RESEARCH

Open Access

Cytokines for evaluation of chronic inflammatory status in ageing research: reliability and phenotypic characterisation



Liselot Koelman^{1,2}, Olga Pivovarova-Ramich^{3,4,5,7}, Andreas F. H. Pfeiffer^{3,4,5}, Tilman Grune⁶ and Krasimira Aleksandrova^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background: There is a growing interest in the role of inflammageing for chronic disease development. Cytokines are potent soluble immune mediators that can be used as target biomarkers of inflammageing; however, their measurement in human samples has been challenging. This study aimed to assess the reliability of a pro- and antiinflammatory cytokine panel in a sample of healthy people measured with a novel electrochemiluminescent multiplex immunoassay platform (Meso Scale Discovery, MSD), and to characterize their associations with metabolic and inflammatory phenotypes.

Results: Overall, the majority of cytokines were above the limit of detection (in at least 85.3% of the samples). Cytokines IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α , IL-10, IL-13, and IFN- γ showed overall good to fair reliability (ICC > 0.40), whereas IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, and IL-12p70 showed poor reliability (ICC < 0.40). The reliability estimates were not substantially influenced by participants' age, sex, obesity and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels. As expected, cytokine concentrations were elevated with advanced age most pronouncedly for IL-6, IL-8, II-2, IFN- γ , and TNF- α . No major associations with metabolic phenotypes were observed for most cytokines, with the exception of a positive association between IL-6 and TNF- α with body mass index and CRP (ρ : 0.36; ρ : 0.20; ρ : 0.53; ρ : 0.22, respectively), and IFN- γ and IL-10 with CRP (ρ : 0.19, respectively).

Conclusions: Single measurements of selected cytokines using MSD platform, including IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-13, TNF- α , and IFN- γ have shown to be representative of an individual's average level over time and could be suitable for use in prospective epidemiological and clinical studies. Such studies are highly warranted to characterize associations of cytokines with phenotypes and diseases associated with ageing.

Keywords: Reliability, Cytokines, Multiplex platforms, Inflammaging, Biomarkers, Ageing, BMI

Background

Inflammation has been increasingly recognized as an important pathophysiological phenomenon in ageing [1]. Two decades ago Franceschi et al. (2000) coined the term 'inflammaging' as a promising new field of research on the link between immunity, chronic inflammation, and ageing [2]. Since then, mounting evidence emerged

to suggest an important role of inflammaging in the development of chronic diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease, atherosclerosis, heart disease, type II diabetes, and cancer [3]. The underlying mechanisms by which inflammaging affects complex pathological changes and disease development are still not fully clarified [4].

Cytokines are potent soluble immune mediators disrupted in various disease states and their measurement could provide important insights into the pathogenesis of many age-related diseases and the role of inflammaging [5–7]. So far cytokine quantification in human circulation has been a challenge to both researchers and clinicians [8]. Commonly, cytokines exert biological



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: krasimira.aleksandrova@dife.de

¹Senior Scientist Group Nutrition, Immunity and Metabolism, Department of Nutrition and Gerontology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, 14558 Nuthetal, Germany

²University of Potsdam, Institute of Nutritional Science, Potsdam, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

effects at low pharmacological doses and circulating concentrations are below the limit of detection by commercially available assays kits. The cytokine blood levels have short half-lives and are prone to substantial variability potentially accounted for by diurnal rhythms, blood handling, processing, and storage, and assay methods [8].

Cytokines have been measured at messenger RNA (mRNA) levels using reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), and at protein levels by either cytokine bioassays or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) [9]. These techniques have their drawbacks and the results obtained using commercial assays should be interpreted with caution [9]. Advances in laboratory technologies including flow cytometrics, Luminex bead-based assays [10], and planar multiplex assays allow measurement of a more comprehensive panel of cytokines in serum/ plasma within a short period of time and with smaller specimen volumes [8]. Among novel multiplex platforms, the multiplex immunoassay platform (Meso Scale Discovery, MSD) represents a combination of electrochemiluminescence and patterned arrays with ultra-low detection limits. The reliability of cytokine measurements using MSD platform was evaluated in two recent studies [11, 12]; however, neither of these studies addressed feasibility of measurements in large population cohorts suited to advance research on immunity and ageing. Data from those studies was limited by patient characteristics [young men at risk for HIV infection [11] or small sample size [n = 10] of the studies [12].

In large population cohort studies, recruited participants are predominantly healthy at study baseline and researchers aim to capture even subtle pathophysiological changes in inflammatory response to identify high risk individuals. Furthermore, most studies use a single blood sample assuming that a single measurement represents the individual's long-term state of inflammation. So far, studies aimed to evaluate the temporal reliability of pro-inflammatory cytokine panels and characterise correlations with metabolic phenotypes in a predominantly healthy cohort have not been conducted.

We therefore aimed to assess the reliability of ten cytokines [interferon gamma (IFN- γ), interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β), interleukin-2 (IL-2), interleukin-4 (IL-4), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), interleukin-10 (IL-10), interleukin-12p70 (IL-12p70), interleukin-13 (IL-13), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α)] measured in human plasma using the MSD platform over a 4-month period. In secondary analyses, we characterized cross-sectional associations between cytokine concentrations and metabolic phenotypes.

Results

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of study participants. In total, 124 women and 83 men were

included in the study. The median age of the study participants was 55.4 years for women and 57.6 years for men. Participants had a median body mass index (BMI) of 26.1 kg/m² (78% men had BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m²; 50% females had BMI \geq 25.0 kg/m²) and a waist circumference (WC) of 93.0 cm. Median systolic and diastolic blood pressure values were 136 mmHg and 88 mmHg, respectively. Blood samples were collected from majority of the participants (90%) after overnight fasting and from the rest, blood collection was randomly performed.

Table 2 presents the intraclass correlations (ICCs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) depicting reliability of cytokine measurements over a 4-month period, overall and by sex. Overall, the reliability estimates ranged from good to fair with IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α showing highest ICCs (0.60 < ICC < 0.70) followed by IL-10, IL-13 and IFN- γ (0.40 < ICC < 0.58). The results for IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, and IL-12p70 pointed to rather poor reliability of these biomarkers (ICC < 0.40). No substantial differences in the ICC-s could be observed in men and women, despite somewhat higher ICCs could be seen for IL-10, IFN- γ , IL-2, IL-6, and IL-12p70 in women compared to men, whereas TNF- α showed higher ICC in men compared to women (Table 2).

These data were additionally supported by the Bland-Altman plots that showed corresponding high levels of agreement and symmetrical distributions for cytokines with higher ICCs (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-13) and decreased levels of agreements and scattered distributions for those cytokines with low ICCs (IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-12p70) (Fig. 1).

In analyses according to age categories, an increasing pattern in the median concentrations of plasma could be seen for IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IFN- γ , and TNF- α , whereas the median concentrations of the rest of the cytokines remained almost unchanged (see Fig. 2). Table 3 presents Spearman partial correlation coefficients between mean biomarker concentrations and anthropometric parameters, high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), and physical activity. Among all cytokines, IL-6 appeared to be most strongly associated with BMI (ρ: 0.36; 95%CI: 0.23-0.47), WC (p: 0.41; 95%CI: 0.28-0.51), and hsCRP (p: 0.53; 95%CI: 0.41–0.63). Weaker positive correlations with BMI, waist circumference, and hsCRP could also be observed with TNF-α (ρ: 0.20; 95%CI: 0.07–0.33; ρ: 0.16; 95%CI: 0.03-0.29 and p: 0.22; 95%CI: 0.07-0.36, respectively). An inverse albeit weak correlation was seen for IL-1β and BMI (ρ: -0.19; 95%CI: -0.37-0.01), whereas IL-10 and IFN- γ were correlated with hsCRP (ρ : 0.19; 95%CI: 0.03-0.33 and p: 0.23; 95%CI: 0.08-0.37, respectively). IL-6 and TNF- α were both inversely correlated with physical activity (ρ : -0.12; 95%CI: -0.26-0.01 and ρ : -0.15; 95%CI: -0.28--0.02). Most of the remaining correlations were of negligible magnitude.

 Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study population, overall and by sex

	All participants ($n = 207$)	Men (n = 83)	Women (n = 124)
Age (years)	56.7 (53.7, 59.5)	57.6 (55.8, 60.4)	55.4 (51.5, 58.9)
Range	44.8–63.9	51.5-63.7	44.8-63.9
BMI (kg/cm²)	26.1 (23.3, 28.8)	27.8 (25.3, 29.5)	25.0 (22.6, 27.9)
Range	19.1–41.7	19.8–37.0	19.1–41.7
Overweight, BMI > 25 (%)	61	78	50
Waist circumference (cm)	93.0 (83.8, 101.8)	100.8 (96.1, 107.5)	86.3 (77.6, 93.3)
Range	68.3–126.3	79.3–126.3	68.3–115.8
hsCRP (μg/mL)	1.2 (0.7, 2.5)	1.5 (0.7, 2.9)	1.1 (0.6, 2.2)
Range	0.1–13.4	0.1–12.9	0.2–13.4
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	136.0 (128.0, 144.0)	137.0 (130.0, 145.0)	134.8 (124.0, 142.0)
Range	100.0-206.0	100.0-206.0	100.0-163.0
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	88.0 (80.0, 94.0)	90.0 (85.0, 96.0)	86.0 (79.0, 92.0)
Range	62.0-120.0	62.0-120.0	67.0-106.0
Sports in winter (h per week)	1.0 (0, 2.5)	0.5 (0, 2.0)	1.0 (0, 3.0)
Range	0–14.0	0–12.0	0-14.0
Sports in summer (h per week)	1.0 (0, 3.0)	0 (0, 2.0)	1.0 (0, 3.0)
Range	0–14.0	0–12.0	0-14.0
Non-fasting (%)	10	13	8

Abbreviations: BMI Body mass index, hsCRP High sensitivity C-reactive protein. Values are expressed as medians (25th, 75th percentile), or percentages

Finally, to facilitate application of current results for correcting measurements in future studies we provide estimates of true vs observed risk depending on the ICCs of the cytokines (Additional file 5). Differences between hypothetical risk ratios (of 1.5, 2.5, and 3.5) and observed risk ratios are caused by the (imperfect) reliability of a biomarker due to intra-individual variation. As an example, if one wants to explore exposure-outcome association of IL-8 based on a single measure, the measured IL-8 would lead to an underestimation of the true risk ratio. The observed risk ratio would for example be 2.3 whereas the true risk ratio is 3.5, taking into account the specific ICC of IL-8 (0.64).

Discussion

In this study, conducted among predominantly healthy individuals, we evaluated the reliability of circulating concentrations of ten cytokines measured with novel MSD platform over a 4-month period of time. Overall, the majority of cytokines were above the limit of detection (in at least 85.3% of the samples). The reliability estimates ranged from good to poor. Cytokines IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α , IL-10, IL-13, and IFN- γ showed overall good reliability (ICC > 0.40), whereas IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, and IL-12p70 showed poor reliability (ICC < 0.40). The reliability estimates were not substantially influenced by participants' age, sex, obesity status (normal or overweight) and baseline CRP levels. Cytokine concentrations were elevated with advanced age most pronouncedly for IL-6, IL-8, IL-2, IFN- γ , and TNF- α . Furthermore, elevated concentrations of IL-6, TNF- α , IL-10, and IFN- γ were associated with overweight and obesity (BMI above 25).

Several studies have provided evidence of within-person temporal stability for panels of circulating cytokines measured using ELISA and multiplex immunoassays as shown in Table 4 [11-20]. Among these studies, the Luminex bead-based assay was the most frequently used method. Among different cytokines, the most commonly evaluated biomarkers were IL-6, TNF- α , IL-8, and IL-10. However, comparison with results from previous studies was hampered by differences in study population, time periods between measurements, biosample material and cytokine panels (Additional file 4).

Recently, the MSD platform was applied in two studies aimed to evaluate the intra-individual reliability in cytokine measurements over a short and long period of time [11, 12]. Compared to our results these studies reported lower detection rates and poorer reliability estimates for IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, and IL-13. The first study was based on 250 young men at risk for HIV infection with repeated blood sample collections over 15 years [11]. With exception for IFN- γ and IL-2 most of the other cytokines were detectable in > 80% of the samples and had fair to strong within-person correlation (ICC > 0.40) up to 15 years. For example, the ICCs for IL-6, IL-10, IL-12p70, and TNF- α from first to last samples were

Table 2 Repeated measurements of the cytokine concentrations, overall and by sex, with estimated ICCs

Cytokines (pg/ml)		First measurement		Secon	d measurement	Р	ICC (95% CI)	
		N	Median (IQR)	N	Median (IQR)	difference*		
Interleukin-1beta	ALL	79	3.12 (0.35–6.50)	82	3.00 (0.39–5.45)	0.89	0.25 (0.02, 0.44)	
	Men	31	2.71 (0.35–4.42)	30	3.27 (0.23–6.25)	0.72	0.28 (-0.11, 0.57)	
	Women	48	3.47 (0.44–7.18)	52	2.97 (0.53–5.18)	0.92	0.23 (-0.07, 0.47)	
	P difference**		0.32		0.77			
Interleukin-2	ALL	143	2.13 (0.21–6.47)	131	1.77 (0.19–5.23)	0.72	0.32 (0.16, 0.46)	
	Men	59	2.32 (0.21–6.23)	56	2.15 (0.21–4.66)	0.93	0.26 (0.00, 0.48)	
	Women	84	1.67 (0.20–6.52)	75	0.56 (0.19–6.37)	0.62	0.36 (0.15, 0.54)	
	P difference		0.82		0.83			
Interleukin-4	ALL	143	2.66 (1.53–5.75)	152	2.75 (1.57–5.98)	0.94	-0.18 (-0.34, -0.02)	
	Men	56	2.69 (1.52–6.02)	60	2.70 (1.47–5.49)	0.78	-0.23 (-0.47, 0.02)	
	Women	87	2.63 (1.53–5.61)	92	2.90 (1.65–6.15)	0.64	-0.15 (-0.35, 0.06)	
	P difference		0.92		0.78			
Interleukin-6	ALL	207	0.52 (0.41–0.79)	207	0.52 (0.40-0.71)	0.06	0.60 (0.51, 0.68)	
	Men	83	0.59 (0.44–0.82)	83	0.60 (0.44–0.81)	0.55	0.51 (0.34, 0.66)	
	Women	124	0.50 (0.37–0.76)	124	0.47 (0.38–0.68)	0.04	0.63 (0.51, 0.72)	
	P difference		0.02	0.02				
Interleukin-8	ALL	207	3.91 (3.10–4.88)	207	3.89 (2.99–5.02)	0.32	0.64 (0.55, 0.71)	
	Men	83	3.96 (3.19–4.96)	83	4.19 (3.20–5.62)	0.07	0.62 (0.47, 0.74)	
	Women	124	3.67 (3.03–4.73)	124	3.62 (2.81–4.74)	0.81	0.63 (0.51, 0.73)	
	P difference		0.14		0.004			
Interleukin-10	ALL	207	0.25 (0.18–0.34)	207	0.25 (0.18–0.34)	0.76	0.58 (0.48, 0.66)	
	Men	83	0.28 (0.19–0.36)	83	0.26 (0.19–0.35)	0.03	0.38 (0.18, 0.55)	
	Women	124	0.24 (0.17–0.31)	124	0.24 (0.18-0.33)	0.13	0.72 (0.63, 0.80)	
	P difference		0.02		0.35			
Interleukin-12p70	ALL	194	0.30 (0.15–6.01)	203	0.31 (0.16–6.01)	0.98	0.35 (0.22, 0.47)	
	Men	78	1.90 (0.13–6.69)	81	1.06 (0.14–6.00)	0.29	0.27 (0.05, 0.46)	
	Women	116	0.22 (0.15–5.32)	122	0.27 (0.16–6.20)	0.30	0.40 (0.24, 0.54)	
	P difference		0.31		0.87			
Interleukin-13	ALL	167	0.67 (0.43–0.92)	168	0.77 (0.52–1.04)	0.01	0.43 (0.30, 0.55)	
	Men	69	0.55 (0.41–0.76)	67	0.63 (0.44–0.97)	0.12	0.43 (0.21, 0.60)	
	Women	98	0.75 (0.49–0.20)	101	0.83 (0.61–1.08)	0.06	0.39 (0.21, 0.54)	
	P difference		0.01		0.01			
Interferon-gamma	ALL	207	3.06 (2.12-4.61)	207	3.06 (2.18–4.21)	0.43	0.40 (0.28, 0.51)	
	Men	83	2.81 (2.09–4.29)	83	2.82 (2.06–3.87)	0.66	0.28 (0.07, 0.46)	
	Women	124	3.37 (2.16–4.86)	124	3.26 (2.22–4.44)	0.46	0.48 (0.33, 0.61)	
	P difference		0.28		0.14			
Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha	ALL	207	1.94 (1.56–2.39)	207	1.96 (1.57–2.40)	0.07	0.70 (0.63, 0.77)	
	Men	83	2.04 (1.64–2.40)	83	2.14 (1.60–2.50)	0.44	0.77 (0.66, 0.84)	
	Women	124	1.87 (1.51–2.36)	124	1.87 (1.56–2.33)	0.10	0.65 (0.53, 0.74)	
	P difference		0.07		0.08			

*P value for difference based on Wilcoxon signed rank test between first and second measurements. **P value for difference based on Wilcoxon rank sum test between men and women (Kruskal Wallis) Abbreviations: ICC Intraclass correlation coefficient, IQR Interquartile range, CI Confidence interval



(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 1 Bland-Altman plots showing the agreement between log-transformed cytokine concentrations at baseline and 4-months later in relation to average concentrations for each individual. Agreement of repeated measurements (y-axis) in relation to average concentrations (x-axis) for each individual. Horizontal lines show the mean difference and the 95% CI of limits of agreement, which are defined as the mean difference +/- 1.96 times the standard deviation of the differences

0.46, 0.71, 0.73, and 0.49, respectively [11]. In the second study based on data from 10 healthy controls with repeated blood collections over a 30 week period, the ICCs for IFN- γ , IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 were 0.07, 0.04, 0.01, and 0.11, respectively [12]. Possible reasons for the lower detection rates and differences in reliability estimates among different studies could be sought in the low circulating levels of these biomarkers in predominantly young male individuals [11], the small sample size [12], differences in short and long term time intervals or potential degradation of certain proteins during (long-term) storage [19].

Our results could guide researchers of future prospective studies of plasma cytokines to estimate the true relative risk given the observed relative risk. In particular, ICCs can be used to correct relative risks or correlation coefficients and their confidence intervals for random within-person variation to account for the attenuation introduced by measurement error [21]. Measurement error correction would have a substantial effect on the final estimate for cytokines with modest ICCs as shown for other biomarker studies [22].

A wide range of factors could affect the circulating levels of cytokines. These include age, sex, adiposity status and overall inflammatory state. We therefore evaluated whether observed results for the reliability of measured cytokines could be also influenced by some of these factors. Our data did not reveal pronounced differences by strata of age, sex, obesity and CRP levels arguing against possible influence of any of these factors on



Fig. 2 Box plots visualizing the distributions of log transformed cytokine concentrations stratified by age tertiles. This figure represents distributions of interleukin 1-beta (IL-1 β), interleukin 2 (IL-2), interleukin 4 (IL-4), interleukin 6 (IL-6), interleukin 8 (IL-8), interleukin 10 (IL-10), interleukin 12p70 (IL-12p70), interleukin 13 (IL-13), interferon gamma (IFN- γ), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) according to increasing age categories (red: 44.9–54.7 years, green: 54.8–58.8 years, and purple: 58.9–64.0 years). Concentrations are from plasma samples collected during the first measurement

the observed reliability estimates. Differences among circulating cytokine concentrations in individuals could also exist due to seasonal, hormonal, or circadian physiological variability. Cytokine concentrations were higher in afternoon measurements compared to morning measurements, supposedly reflecting influences by circulating cortisol [23]. These findings are consistent with previous work showing daytime regulation of inflammatory mediators including IL-6 in healthy individuals [24]. In our study, however, variations might have been limited due to restricted time interval when the samples were taken.

Annual seasonality was also suggested to be an important environmental factor influencing cytokine production [25]. Seasonal variation may reflect a physiological response to changes in daylight hours, indoor or outdoor temperature, or variations in physical activity in winter and summer season. For instance, in a cohort of 530 healthy individuals the production of several cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α) showed significant peaks in summer compared to other seasons [25]. As we had first and second measurements taken in different seasons, between October–March (autumn/

winter) and February–July (winter/spring/summer), and we only had a single blood sample per time point, we cannot determine whether variations are dependent of seasonality. However, since we did not detect major differences between the two measurements, we could exclude major influence of seasonality on biomarker stability. Similar to our findings, a previous reliability study evaluating seasonal variability of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α (n = 48) observed no substantial variation over seasons [20].

While the major focus of our study was to provide a methodological basis to researchers in planning future analyses employing cytokine measurements, our data also allowed exploration of associations between the range of cytokines and individual phenotypes. Our primary interest was related to cytokines as potential biomarkers of inflammageing [26]. Higher levels of cytokines have been associated with age-related diseases, such as cardiovascular diseases and cognitive decline [27]. The systemic effects of cytokines and the complex biochemical interactions with other pathophysiological pathways have not been well described [28]. Despite the narrow age-range in our study (40–64 years), we could

Table 3 Correlations for cytokine concentrations^a with BMI, WC, hsCRP, and sports adjusted for age and sex

Biomarker	BMI (I	kg/cm²)		Waist Circumference (cm)		hsCRP (ug/ml)			Reported sports activities ^b (hours/week)			
	Ν	ρ ^c	95% Cl ^d	N	ρ	95% Cl ^d	Ν	ρ ^c	95% Cl ^d	N	ρ ^c	95% Cl ^d
IL-1β	102	-0.19	- 0.37, 0.01	102	-0.15	- 0.33, 0.05	76	0.06	-0.17, 0.28	79	0.09	-0.14, 0.3
P-value		0.06			0.15			0.62			0.46	
IL-2	159	0.04	-0.11, 0.2	159	0.08	-0.07, 0.24	126	-0.02	- 0.2, 0.15	143	0.07	-0.1, 0.23
P-value		0.59			0.30			0.78			0.42	
IL-4	175	-0.06	-0.21, 0.09	175	-0.06	-0.21, 0.09	137	0.03	-0.14, 0.2	143	0.03	-0.14, 0.19
P-value		0.44			0.69			0.42			0.76	
IL-6	207	0.36	0.23, 0.47	207	0.41	0.28, 0.51	164	0.53	0.41, 0.63	207	-0.12	-0.26, 0.01
P-value		<.0001			<.0001			<.0001			0.08	
IL-8	207	0.05	-0.08, 0.19	207	0.04	-0.1, 0.18	164	0.06	-0.1, 0.21	207	0	-0.14, 0.14
P-value		0.44			0.58			0.46			0.98	
IL-10	207	0	-0.14, 0.13	207	0.02	-0.12, 0.15	164	0.19	0.03, 0.33	207	0.04	-0.1, 0.17
P-value		0.96			0.82			0.02			0.60	
IL-12p70	205	0.06	-0.08, 0.19	205	0.10	-0.04, 0.23	162	-0.02	- 0.17, 0.14	194	0.07	-0.08, 0.21
P-value		0.43			0.16			0.82			0.36	
IL-13	191	0.06	-0.09, 0.2	191	0.06	-0.09, 0.2	152	0.12	-0.04, 0.28	167	0.04	-0.12, 0.19
P-value		0.44			0.45			0.14			0.63	
IFN-γ	207	0.04	-0.1, 0.17	207	0.09	-0.05, 0.22	164	0.23	0.08, 0.37	207	0.01	-0.12, 0.15
P-value		0.60			0.22			0.004			0.84	
TNF- a	207	0.20	0.07, 0.33	207	0.16	0.03, 0.29	164	0.22	0.07, 0.36	207	-0.15	-0.28, -0.02
P-value		0.004			0.02			0.004			0.03	

^aMean cytokine concentrations from both measurements ^bSports in winter with first blood sample from October–March. ^cSpearman partial correlation coefficient. ^dBased on Fisher's *z* transformation *Abbreviations: BMI* Body mass index, *CI* Confidence interval, *hsCRP* High sensitivity c-reactive protein, *IL-1*β Interleukin 1-beta, *IL-2* Interleukin 2, *IL-4* Interleukin 4, *IL-8* Interleukin 8, *IL-10* Interleukin 10, *IL-12p70* Interleukin 12p70, *IL-13* Interleukin 13, *IFN-γ* Interferon gamma, *IQR* Interquartile range, *TNF-α* Tumor necrosis factor alpha, *WC* Waist circumference

Reference	Assay	Cytokines									
		IL-1β	IL-2	IL-4	IL-6	IL-8	IL-10	IL-12p70	IL-13	IFN-γ	TNF-a
This study	MSD	0.25 (0.02, 0.44)	0.32 (0.16, 0.46)	-0.18 (- 0.34, - 0.02)	0.60 (0.51, 0.68)	0.64 (0.55, 0.71)	0.58 (0.48, 0.66)	0.35 (0.22, 0.47)	0.43 (0.30, 0.55)	0.40 (0.28, 0.51)	0.70 (0.63, 0.77)
McKay et al. 2017 [11]	MSD	ND	ND	-	0.60 (0.51, 0.68)	-	0.85 (0.81, 0.88)	0.84 (0.79, 0.87)	-	ND	0.54 (0.46, 0.63)
Belzeaux et al. 2017 [12]	MSD	ND	_	ND	0.04	-0.01	0.12	0.83	ND	0.07	0.74
	Luminex	0.96	-	0.90	0.95	0.89	0.96	0.89	0.90	0.97	0.96
Epstein et al. 2013 [<mark>13</mark>]	Luminex	0.49 (0.41, 0.62)	_	-	0.55 (0.47, 0.62)	0.34 (0.25, 0.43)	0.70 (0.64, 0.75)	_	-	0.55 (0.47, 0.62)	0.87 (0.84, 0.90)
Todd et al. 2013 [14]	Erenna Immunoassay System ^a	-	-	-	0.46	-			-	-	0.39
Nash et al. 2013 [<mark>15</mark>]	ELISA	-	-	-	0.72	-	-	-	-	-	_
Navarro et al. 2012 [<mark>16</mark>]	ELISA/ Luminex ^b	-	_	-	0.48 (0.36, 0.62)	0.73 (0.63, 0.83)	_	_	-	-	0.92 (0.89, 0.96)
Hofmann et al. 2011 [1 7]	Luminex	ND	ND	ND	0.84 (0.70, 0.91)	0.55 (0.28, 0.71)	0.60 (0.35, 0.76)	ND	0.73 (0.52, 0.85)	ND	0.86 (0.74, 0.93)
Clendenen et al. 2010 [18]	Luminex	0.73 (0.43, 0.89)	0.80 (0.56, 0.92)	0.70 (0.36, 0.87)	0.81 (0.56, 0.92)	0.86 (0.68, 0.95)	0.75 (0.46, 0.90)	0.77 (0.50, 0.91)	0.81 (0.56, 0.92)	0.72 (0.41, 0.89)	0.69 (0.36, 0.87)
Gu et al. 2009 [19]	Luminex	0.86 (0.78, 0.91)	0.81 (0.71, 0.88)	0.92 (0.87, 0.95)	0.92 (0.88, 0.95)	0.02 (0.00, 0.21)	0.75 (0.63, 0.84)	0.83 (0.74, 0.89)	-	_	0.88 (0.82, 0.92)
Lee et al. 2007 [20]	Luminex	0.77	-	-	0.73	0.51	-	-	-	-	0.48

Table 4 ICCs with 95% CIs of inflammatory cytokines in predominantly healthy participants previously published in	n literature
---	--------------

^aLaboratory developed tests based upon single-molecule counting technology. ^bIL-6 was measured with ELISA, IL-8 and TNF- α with Luminex. *Abbreviations: ICC* Intraclass correlation coefficient, *IL-1* β Interleukin 1-beta, *IL-2* Interleukin 2, *IL-4* Interleukin 4, *IL-8* Interleukin 8, *IL-10* Interleukin 10, *IL-12p70* Interleukin 12p70, *IL-13* Interleukin 13, *IFN-* γ Interferon gamma, *MSD* Meso Scale Discovery, *TNF-* α Tumor necrosis factor alpha, *ND* Not determined for analytes with low % detected (usually < 40% samples above LLOD or high coefficients of variability of duplicates)

observe a clear trend towards increasing cytokine concentrations with increasing age that was most pronounced for IL-6, IL-8, IL-2, IFN- γ , and TNF- α (Additional file 3). Furthermore, in line with previous evidence TNF- α and IL-6 were positively correlated with BMI, WC and CRP [15, 29]. Our data further suggested a positive association between IFN-y and IL-10 with CRP. Although IL-10 can be categorized as anti-inflammatory and CRP as pro-inflammatory, these cytokines are both activated in acute-phase inflammation and chronic inflammatory diseases. The association we found between IFN-y and CRP is comparable with previously published work, where a proxy marker of IFN-y production (neopterin) was positively associated with CRP and other metabolic biomarkers in a subgroup of healthy EPIC participants [30]. These results enhance our knowledge on the interplay of immune responses and metabolism. However, larger sample size studies would be needed in the future to characterize lifestyle patterns potentially associated with cytokine profiles. Whether measuring the full range of biomarkers would be useful to evaluate the role of inflammaging in epidemiological research remains questionable.

Our study has several strengths. We applied the electrochemiluminescent multiplex immunoassay platform (Meso Scale Discovery, MSD) as a new convenient technique that may be useful for future epidemiological studies employing a large number of participants. Our study population included both sexes and our sample size was relatively large for a validation study as compared to most reliability studies in the literature (see Additional file 4). The biomarkers we measured represented different aspects of immune-inflammatory pathways during adaptive and innate immune responses, having pro-inflammatory (IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-8, IL-12p70, TNF- α , IFN- γ) or anti-inflammatory (IL-4, IL-10) effects, or both (IL-6, IL-13).

Several limitations of the analysis should be considered. First, the study population included predominantly healthy adult individuals living in a specific geographic area (Potsdam, Germany) which would potentially limit generalisability to other population groups. However, based on measurements of hsCRP that could be used as a proxy marker of systemic inflammatory response, the results have remained robust. Cytokine concentrations could be influenced by sampling methods and storage conditions. We have measured cytokines in plasma EDTA using samples collected several years prior measurement. Previous studies that compared results on cytokine quantification in different media, i.e. plasma versus serum, did not suggest major differences particularly at low biomarker concentrations as in our study [12, 31, 32]. Plasma samples could be more reproducible due to anticoagulants (i.e. EDTA) that control activity of the blood sample and previous validation study showed an excellent intra- and inter-assay reliability as well as robust protein recovery efficiency of MSD platform in human plasma [33]. The storage time and the number of thow-freeze cycles were also shown to influence cytokine measurements [32] and our results should be interpreted taking these factors into account. We evaluated cytokine reliability over a 4 month period and further studies suited for evaluation of long term cytokine reliability are warranted in the future.

Conclusion

This study has provided first lines of evidence, as per our knowledge, on the reliability of cytokine concentrations measured with a novel MSD platform methodology. Our results suggested that single measurements of IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α , IL-10, IL-13, and IFN- γ could accurately assess the biomarker variability within an individual over 4 month period and could be suitable for use in prospective epidemiological and clinical studies. Such studies are highly warranted to characterize associations of cytokines with phenotypes and diseases associated with ageing.

Methods

Study population

The study was based on a randomly selected analytical sample of individuals (< 64 years old) taking part in a validation study conducted within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Potsdam study [34] (Fig. 3). Exclusion criteria included history of heart disease (myocardial infarction, heart failure, cardiomyopathy, stroke, angina pectoris), impaired mobility, reported use of β -blockers, and had systolic or diastolic blood pressure above 180 mmHg or 110 mmHg, respectively. Of the 407 invited participants, the total number of eligible participants with available sample collections on two occasions taken 4 months apart was 207.

The blood collection took place during weekdays in the mornings between 8 and 11 am. The first blood samples were collected between October 2007 and March 2008 and the second between February and July 2008. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and the Ethics Committee of the Medical Association of Brandenburg approved the study procedures.

Laboratory analyses

Blood plasma/serum was separated and stored at -80° C. 50 µl of plasma EDTA were retrieved for cytokine quantification. Measurements were performed at the Department of Clinical Nutrition, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Germany by trained laboratory staff. Measurements of hsCRP (high sensitivity C-reactive protein) were performed with commercially available sandwich ELISA (BioVendor, Kassel, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Repeated samples of each participant were measured in the same analytical batch.

The MSD V-Plex Proinflammatory Panel 1 Human Kit (MSD platform) (Rockville, Maryland, USA) was used to measure plasma IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, IFN- γ , and TNF- α concentrations in single samples, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Intra-class coefficients of variations were typically below 7%, and inter-class coefficients of variations were below 15%. The lower limits of detection (LLOD) for the cytokines ranged between 0.01-0.89 pg/mL. Overall, the majority of cytokines were above the limit of detection (in at least 85.3% of the samples). For IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IFN- γ and TNF- α , IL-12p70 and IL-13 more than 80% of the measurements could be detected at both study time points (see Additional file 1). For IL-1 β , IL-2, and IL-4 the average percent of detected measurement values were 38.9, 66.2, and 71.3, respectively. In one individual the difference of IFN-y concentration between two measurements was notably large (2.99-150 pg/mL), hence reported as outlier and excluded from the analysis.

Anthropometric measurements

Measurements of height, weight, waist circumference (WC), and systolic- and diastolic blood pressure were collected at the first and second visits. Height was measured with a rigid stadiometer; weight was measured using a standard scale or bio-impedance scale [35]. BMI (body mass index) was calculated from height and weight (kg/m²). Level of physical activity was assessed with a self-reported physical activity questionnaire (EPIC-PAQ) that has previously been validated in this study sample [35].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software package, release 14.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, and



statistical tests used were two-sided. Variable distribution was evaluated based on quantile-quantile plots and histograms. Non-normally distributed data was transformed using the natural logarithm in order to allow parametric testing. Biomarker concentrations were presented as medians and interquartile ranges. For each biomarker, Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare concentrations between first and second measurements. Wilcoxon rank sum test (Kruskal Wallis) was used to compare concentrations between men and women for each measurement. As a measure of reliability between the two measurements, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated for each biomarker, total and stratified by sex. ICCs were calculated by dividing the between-subject variance by the total variance (sum of between- and within-subject variances). Based on the ICC estimate, values less than 0.40, between 0.40 and 0.60, between 0.60 and 0.74, and greater than 0.75 were indicative of poor, moderate, good, and excellent reliability, respectively. To evaluate potential variability due to individual characteristics, we calculated ICCs of each cytokine according to participants' BMI, WC, hsCRP, and age (see Additional file 2). To create respective categories, we used cut-points based on median population values, i.e. BMI, 26.1 kg/m²; WC, men 100.8 cm, women 86.3 cm; hsCRP, 1.2 µg/mL; age, 56.7 years. Bland-Altman plots based on the means and the standard deviations of the differences between two repeated cytokine measurements were further created [36]. Age was stratified into tertiles such that the distribution of the cytokine concentrations could be illustrated in a boxplot according to increasing age categories. Tertiles were grouped as follows: low: 44.9-54.7 years, middle: 54.8-58.8 years, high: 58.9-64.0 years. Plasma samples were used from the first measurement. Wilcoxon rank sum test (Kruskal Wallis) was used to compare concentration differences in age categories per cytokine. Correlations of biomarker concentrations with BMI, WC, hsCRP, and physical activity were evaluated using Spearman correlation analyses. Average biomarker concentrations from the first (baseline) and second (after 4 months) measurements were used for correlations with BMI, WC, and hsCRP. Physical activity during winter was correlated with the first blood sample

collected during October 2007 – March 2008. Correlations were adjusted for age and sex. Fisher's z transformation was used to produce 95% confidence interval (CI) for each correlation coefficient.

In order to facilitate future observational studies with application of the measured biomarkers and their ICCs, we calculated the degree of attenuation of risk estimates that arises due to biological variability of the biomarker based on the following formula:

$$RR_{\rm true} = e^{\left(\ln RR_{\rm observed} * \frac{1}{\rm ICC}\right)}$$

Additional files

Additional file 1: Detection range and percentage detected for plasma cytokine levels in all samples. (DOCX 14 kb)

Additional file 2: Stratified ICCs, strata based on sample median at baseline. (DOCX 17 kb)

Additional file 3: Cytokine baseline concentrations stratified by age tertiles. (DOCX 16 kb)

Additional file 4 Overview of cytokine reliability studies in predominantly healthy individuals. (DOCX 19 kb)

Additional file 5 Observed relative risk (RR) measurements of cytokines based on single measurements. (DOCX 85 kb)

Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; CI: Confidence interval; ELISA: Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; EPIC: European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; hsCRP: High sensitivity C-reactive protein; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; IFN-γ: Interferon gamma; IL: Interleukin; MSD: Meso Scale Discovery; TNF-α: Tumor necrosis factor alpha; WC: Waist circumference

Acknowledgements

We thank the Human Study Centre (HSC) of the German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, namely the trustee and the data hub for the processing, and the participants for the provision of the data, the biobank for the processing of the biological samples and the head of the HSC, Manuela Bergmann, for the contribution to the study design and leading the underlying processes of data generation. Furthermore, we thank all EPIC-Potsdam participants for their invaluable contribution to the study.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due data protection regulation but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

LK analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript; KA conceived the study, planned and supervised data analysis, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript; OP performed the biomarker measurements; AP and TG revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethics Committee of the Medical Association of the State of Brandenburg approved the study procedures and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Senior Scientist Group Nutrition, Immunity and Metabolism, Department of Nutrition and Gerontology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, 14558 Nuthetal, Germany. ²University of Potsdam, Institute of Nutritional Science, Potsdam, Germany. ³Department of Clinical Nutrition, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany. ⁴German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Neuherberg, Germany. ⁵Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Nutrition, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Charité University Medicine, Berlin, Germany. ⁶Department of Molecular Toxicology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany. ⁷Senior Scientist Group Molecular Nutritional Medicine, Department of Molecular Toxicology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, Nuthetal, Germany.

Received: 15 March 2019 Accepted: 8 May 2019 Published online: 21 May 2019

References

- Ferrucci L, Fabbri E. Inflammageing: chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2018;15(9):505–22.
- Franceschi C, Bonafe M, Valensin S, Olivieri F, De Luca M, Ottaviani E, et al. Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2000;908:244–54.
- Goldberg EL, Dixit VD. Drivers of age-related inflammation and strategies for healthspan extension. Immunol Rev. 2015;265(1):63–74.
- Xia S, Zhang X, Zheng S, Khanabdali R, Kalionis B, Wu J, et al. An update on Inflamm-aging: mechanisms, prevention, and treatment. J Immunol Res. 2016;2016:8426874.
- 5. Forrester JS, Libby P. The inflammation hypothesis and its potential relevance to statin therapy. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99(5):732–8.
- Salvioli S, Capri M, Valensin S, Tieri P, Monti D, Ottaviani E, et al. Inflammaging, cytokines and aging: state of the art, new hypotheses on the role of mitochondria and new perspectives from systems biology. Curr Pharm Des. 2006;12(24):3161–71.
- Zhang JM, An J. Cytokines, inflammation, and pain. Int Anesthesiol Clin. 2007;45(2):27–37.
- Aziz N. Measurement of circulating cytokines and immune-activation markers by multiplex Technology in the Clinical Setting: what are we really measuring? For Immunopathol Dis Therap. 2015;6(1–2):19–22.
- 9. Tsang ML, Weatherbee JA. Cytokine assays and their limitations. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1996;10(Suppl 2):55–61; discussion 2.
- Kellar KL, Kalwar RR, Dubois KA, Crouse D, Chafin WD, Kane BE. Multiplexed fluorescent bead-based immunoassays for quantitation of human cytokines in serum and culture supernatants. Cytometry. 2001;45(1):27–36.
- McKay HS, Margolick JB, Martinez-Maza O, Lopez J, Phair J, Rappocciolo G, et al. Multiplex assay reliability and long-term intra-individual variation of serologic inflammatory biomarkers. Cytokine. 2017;90:185–92.
- Belzeaux R, Lefebvre MN, Lazzari A, Le Carpentier T, Consoloni JL, Zendjidjian X, et al. How to: measuring blood cytokines in biological psychiatry using commercially available multiplex immunoassays. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2017;75:72–82.
- Epstein MM, Breen EC, Magpantay L, Detels R, Lepone L, Penugonda S, et al. Temporal stability of serum concentrations of cytokines and soluble receptors measured across two years in low-risk HIV-seronegative men. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2013;22(11):2009–15.
- Todd J, Simpson P, Estis J, Torres V, Wub AH. Reference range and short- and long-term biological variation of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-17A and tissue necrosis factor-alpha using high sensitivity assays. Cytokine. 2013; 64(3):660–5.
- Nash SD, Cruickshanks KJ, Klein R, Klein BE, Nieto FJ, Chappell R, et al. Longterm variability of inflammatory markers and associated factors in a population-based cohort. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2013;61(8):1269–76.

- Navarro SL, Brasky TM, Schwarz Y, Song X, Wang CY, Kristal AR, et al. Reliability of serum biomarkers of inflammation from repeated measures in healthy individuals. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2012;21(7):1167–70.
- Hofmann JN, Yu K, Bagni RK, Lan Q, Rothman N, Purdue MP. Intra-individual variability over time in serum cytokine levels among participants in the prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer screening trial. Cytokine. 2011; 56(2):145–8.
- Clendenen TV, Arslan AA, Lokshin AE, Idahl A, Hallmans G, Koenig KL, et al. Temporal reliability of cytokines and growth factors in EDTA plasma. BMC Res Notes. 2010;3:302.
- Gu Y, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Linkov F, Koenig KL, Liu M, Velikokhatnaya L, et al. Reproducibility of serum cytokines and growth factors. Cytokine. 2009; 45(1):44–9.
- Lee SA, Kallianpur A, Xiang YB, Wen W, Cai Q, Liu D, et al. Intra-individual variation of plasma adipokine levels and utility of single measurement of these biomarkers in population-based studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2007;16(11):2464–70.
- Rosner B, Spiegelman D, Willett WC. Correction of logistic regression relative risk estimates and confidence intervals for random within-person measurement error. Am J Epidemiol. 1992;136(11):1400–13.
- Kotsopoulos J, Tworoger SS, Campos H, Chung FL, Clevenger CV, Franke AA, et al. Reproducibility of plasma and urine biomarkers among premenopausal and postmenopausal women from the Nurses' health studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev. 2010;19(4):938–46.
- Altara R, Manca M, Hermans KC, Daskalopoulos EP, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Hermans RJ, et al. Diurnal rhythms of serum and plasma cytokine profiles in healthy elderly individuals assessed using membrane based multiplexed immunoassay. J Transl Med. 2015;13:129.
- Kessler K, Hornemann S, Petzke KJ, Kemper MJ, Markova M, Rudovich N, et al. Diurnal distribution of carbohydrates and fat affects substrate oxidation and adipokine secretion in humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018;108(6):1209–19.
- Ter Horst R, Jaeger M, Smeekens SP, Oosting M, Swertz MA, Li Y, et al. Host and environmental factors influencing individual human cytokine responses. Cell. 2016;167(4):1111–24 e13.
- Pinti M, Appay V, Campisi J, Frasca D, Fulop T, Sauce D, et al. Aging of the immune system: focus on inflammation and vaccination. Eur J Immunol. 2016;46(10):2286–301.
- Michaud M, Balardy L, Moulis G, Gaudin C, Peyrot C, Vellas B, et al. Proinflammatory cytokines, aging, and age-related diseases. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2013;14(12):877–82.
- Monastero RN, Pentyala S. Cytokines as biomarkers and their respective clinical cutoff levels. Int J Inflam. 2017;2017:4309485.
- Elosua R, Bartali B, Ordovas JM, Corsi AM, Lauretani F, Ferrucci L, et al. Association between physical activity, physical performance, and inflammatory biomarkers in an elderly population: the InCHIANTI study. J Gerontol a-Biol. 2005;60(6):760–7.
- Chuang SC, Boeing H, Vollset SE, Midttun O, Ueland PM, Bueno-de-Mesquita B, et al. Cellular immune activity biomarker neopterin is associated hyperlipidemia: results from a large population-based study. Immun Ageing. 2016;13:5.
- Lee JE, Kim JW, Han BG, Shin SY. Impact of whole-blood processing conditions on plasma and serum concentrations of cytokines. Biopreserv Biobank. 2016;14(1):51–5.
- Parkitny L, McAuley JH, Kelly PJ, Di Pietro F, Cameron B, Moseley GL. Multiplex cytokine concentration measurement: how much do the medium and handling matter? Mediat Inflamm. 2013;2013:890706.
- Bastarache JA, Koyama T, Wickersham NE, Ware LB. Validation of a multiplex electrochemiluminescent immunoassay platform in human and mouse samples. J Immunol Methods. 2014;408:13–23.
- Boeing H, Korfmann A, Bergmann MM. Recruitment procedures of EPIC-Germany. European investigation into Cancer and nutrition. Ann Nutr Metab. 1999;43(4):205–15.
- InterAct C, Peters T, Brage S, Westgate K, Franks PW, Gradmark A, et al. Validity of a short questionnaire to assess physical activity in 10 European countries. Eur J Epidemiol. 2012;27(1):15–25.
- Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. Lancet. 1986;1(8476):307–10.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

