RESEARCH

colorectal cancer

Open Access

Cytolytic activity correlates with the mutational burden and deregulated expression of immune checkpoints in



Apostolos Zaravinos^{1,2*}, Constantinos Roufas^{1,3}, Majdi Nagara⁴, Beatriz de Lucas Moreno^{1,5}, Maria Oblovatskaya¹, Christodoulos Efstathiades^{2,3}, Christos Dimopoulos^{2,3} and Georgios D. Ayiomamitis⁶

Abstract

Background: Microsatellite unstable colorectal cancers (MSI+ CRCs) expressing PD-L1, respond to anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 checkpoint blockade, whereas microsatellite-stable tumors do not respond the same. Our aim was to examine how the immune landscape relates to different aspects of the CRC's biology, including neoepitope burden.

Methods: We used TCGA data to stratify patients based on a cytolytic T-cell activity expression index and correlated immune cytolytic activity (CYT) with mutational, structural, and neoepitope features of each tumor sample. The expression of several immune checkpoints was verified in an independent cohort of 72 CRC patients, relative to their MSI status, using immunohistochemistry and RT-qPCR.

Results: CRC exhibits a range of intertumoral cytolytic T-cell activity, with lower cytolytic levels in the tumor, compared to the normal tissue. We separated CRC patients into CYT-high and CYT-low subgroups. High cytolytic activity correlated with increased mutational load in colon tumors, the count of MHC-I/–II classically defined and alternatively defined neoepitopes, high microsatellite instability and deregulated expression of several inhibitory immune checkpoints (VISTA, TIGIT, PD-1, IDO1, CTLA-4, and PD-L1, among others). Many immune checkpoint molecules (IDO1, LAG3, TIGIT, VISTA, PD-1, PD-L1 and CTLA-4) expressed significantly higher in MSI+ CRCs compared to MSS tumors. The expression of Treg markers was also significantly higher in CYT-high tumors. Both individual and simultaneous high levels of CTLA-4 and PD-L1 had a positive effect on the patients' overall survival. On the reverse, simultaneous low expression of both genes led to a significant shift towards negative effect. Assessed globally, CYT-low CRCs contained more recurrent somatic copy number alterations. PD-L1 protein was absent in most samples in the independent cohort and stained lowly in 33% of MSI CRCs. PD-L1+ CRCs stained moderately for CD8 and weakly for FOXP3. CYT-high colon tumors had higher TIL load, whereas CYT-high rectum tumors had higher TAN load compared to their CYT-low counterparts.

Conclusions: Overall, we highlight the link between different genetic events and the immune microenvironment in CRC, taking into consideration the status of microsatellite instability. Our data provide further evidence that MSI+ and CYT-high tumors are better candidates for combinatorial checkpoint inhibition.

Keywords: Colorectal cancer, Cytolytic activity, Classically defined neoepitopes, Alternatively defined neoepitopes, Mutation burden, Immune checkpoints, Cancer drivers

* Correspondence: A.Zaravinos@euc.ac.cy

²Centre for Risk and Decision Sciences (CERIDES), 2404 Nicosia, Cyprus Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of Life Sciences, School of Sciences, European University Cyprus, 1516 Nicosia, Cyprus

Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second leading cause of cancer-related death with > 8% of the newly estimated cases and deaths [1]. The introduction of EGFR and VEGF targeted agents to standard chemotherapy has brought modest advances in the treatment against the disease, prolonging overall survival up to 30 months in patients with metastatic disease [2]. However, recent trial results on PD1 and PD-L1 blockade provide encouraging evidence, that immunotherapy can further improve the therapeutic path.

A progressive accumulation of genetic and epigenetic events in tumor suppressor genes (APC, TP53, SMAD4) and oncogenes (KRAS, PI3K, BRAF) leads to the progression of adenoma to carcinoma. The majority of CRCs (~ 85%) develop because of chromosomal instability (CIN), loss of heterozygosity (LOH), chromosomal amplifications and translocations; while the remaining 15% have defective DNA mismatch repair systems (MMR) caused by the inactivation in MLH1, MLH3, MSH2, MSH3, MSH6, or PMS2, leading to hypermutations and microsatellite instability (MSI) [3]. Eventually, the accumulation of such DNA mutations promotes the formation of immunogenic tumor-mutated peptides called neoantigens (or neoepitopes), which attract a high number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and other immune cells into the tumor's microenvironment [4]. High numbers of CD8+ TILs are good indications of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy [5]. Consequently, the tumor's biology is to a significant extent regulated by immune cells within the tumor's microenvironment. The patient's antitumoral immune cytolytic activity (CYT), calculated as the geometric mean of the expression of the genes granzyme A (GZMA) and perforin 1 (PRF1), is also associated with improved patient survival [6, 7]. Cytotoxic T cells (CTL) and natural killer cells (NK) are able to kill tumor cells by overexpressing GZMA and PRF1 [8]. It is also known that effector T cells at the tumor site can predict a favorable outcome across many cancers [9-14]. GZMA is a tryptase that leads to caspase-independent apoptosis, while PRF1 is a pore-forming enzyme that facilitates the entry of granzymes into the target cells. Both effector molecules are considerably over-expressed upon CD8+ T cell activation [15] and during productive clinical responses to anti-CTLA-4 or anti-PD-L1 treatment [16, 17].

Recently, CRC was divided to four consensus molecular subtypes (CMSs) which can be used to classify individual patients who have higher chances to respond to targeted therapies. These include CMS1 (microsatellite instability immune, 14%), CMS2 (canonical, 37%), CMS3 (metabolic, 13%), and CMS4 (mesenchymal, 23%) [18]. CMS1 subtype tumors have the potential to generate durable clinical responses [19]. Immunologically, the microenvironment of colorectal cancers is rich in immunosuppressive cytokines. It is also characterised by inhibition of T-cell proliferation and effector responses, as well as tissue hypoxia [20]. To date, there is provocative evidence that the levels of cytotoxic T cells significantly affect the overall survival of CRC patients [6].

Microsatellite unstable (MSI-H) tumors are also hypothesized to have a noteworthy immunological response, being stimulated by neoepitopes that are created due to a defective MMR. Several immune checkpoint inhibitors, including anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies, are currently being clinically tested or used to therapeutically treat different cancer types, including metastatic colorectal cancer [21–26].

Herein, we investigated whether CYT is associated with distinct mutational and expressional profiles, as well as with the individual tumor's neoepitope load in CRC. To this end, we examined how the immune landscape of colorectal cancer relates to different aspects of the tumor's biology, including microsatellite instability, somatic mutations and copy number aberrations, the expression of immune checkpoint molecules and TIL load, or the presence of other immune cells.

Methods

Extraction of colorectal cancer datasets

Colorectal cancer data were extracted from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) datasets TCGA-COAD (colon adenocarcinoma, n = 480) and TCGA-READ (rectum adenocarcinoma, n = 367) and represent only untreated primary tumors. Patients who received neo-adjuvant therapy were not included in the study. The exact tumor samples within each dataset, along with their clinical information and cytolytic levels (CYT), are noted in Additional file 10: Table S1. Patients in both datasets were stratified to microsatellite unstable (MSI) or stable (MSS) according to the presence of missense mutations detected in the MMR genes *MLH1*, *MLH3*, *MSH2*, *MSH3*, *MSH4*, *MSH5*, *MSH6*, *PMS1* and *PMS2* (Additional file 11: Table S2).

"Level 3" gene expression data, mutational annotation format (MAF) files, copy number variation (CNV) files, and each patient's clinical information, were all extracted from TCGA's public access Genomic Data Commons data portal (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). GISTIC2.0 [27] gene-level, zero-centered, focal copy number calls for each CRC patient were accessed from Broad Institute's GDAC Firehose (https://gdac.broadinstitute.org/). Data were pre-processed in Apache Spark and further analyzed using the R environment.

Calculation of cytolytic activity

We calculated the immune cytolytic activity as the geometric mean of the genes *GZMA* and *PRF1*, as previously mentioned [7, 28]. Briefly, we divided each gene's total raw read counts by its maximum transcript length to represent a coverage depth estimate. Coverage estimates were then scaled to sum to a total depth of 1e6 per sample and deduced as transcripts per million (TPM), after adding a 0.01 offset to remove the zero counts from calculations.

COAD and READ dataset patients were separated into a CYT-high cohort (upper 25th quartile cytolytic index) and a CYT-low cohort (lower 25th quartile cytolytic index), each with equal combinations of histology-stage mixture. Comparisons were made between CYT-high or -low COAD and READ cancers, respectively. The *p*values from the comparisons of the cytolytic activity between samples were FDR-adjusted.

RNA-seq-based gene expression and protein expression analysis

We performed gene set variation analysis (GSVA) to subtle pathway activity changes over the sample population and to estimate variation of gene set enrichment across each dataset, using the "GSVA" (v.1.23.4) R package. The sample-wise enrichment score for a given gene set was calculated using a Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS)like random walk statistic. Gene sets were extracted from the C2 collection of the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). Statistical ranking for GSVA scores for the cytolytic index by the top and bottom quartiles were termed "CYT-high" and "CYT-low", respectively. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering, using complete linkage with the distance metric equal to 1-Pearson's correlation coefficient, was also executed using the GSVA scores for the COAD and READ datasets.

Differential gene expression analysis between CYThigh and CYT-low across each dataset was calculated using gene-level raw counts with the "limma" R package and voom transformation with quantile normalization (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Lowly expressed genes with < 1 CPM in < 50% of the samples within each dataset were excluded for differential gene expression analysis. Genes with an FDR-adjusted p-value< 0.1 were considered as differentially expressed. All graphs were produced using the "ggplot2" R package.

GZMA and PRF1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) protein expression data were retrieved from the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) [29] and further analyzed.

Analysis of somatic mutations and copy number alterations per cytolytic subset

We processed TCGA-extracted MAF files using the R/ Bioconductor package "Maftools" and compared each cytolytic subgroup against the load of somatic mutations, microsatellite instability (MSI) and copy number alterations (CNAs). Adjusted *p*-values with statistical significance at adj. p < 0.01 was used to account for multiple testing.

Significantly mutated genes (SMG, FDR < 0.1) in cytolytic subtypes of colon and rectal adenocarcinomas were calculated using the MutSig algorithm (v1.3.01). Non-silent point mutations among the SMGs were investigated for association with each cytolytic subgroup, using a regression-based approach, as previously described in detail [30]. Mutually exclusive or co-occurring gene sets were detected using a pair-wise Fisher's exact test.

GISTIC (v2.0.22) was used to detect recurrent somatic CNAs (SCNA) in CYT-high and -low colon and rectum cancers, respectively, using MutSigCV (v1) using the Broad Institute's GenePattern. Each SCNA was assigned a G-score indicative of its amplitude and occurrence across samples. SCNAs in each CRC sample within each cytolytic subgroup were counted by taking the sum of segment mean changes \geq 0.6 and \leq – 0.4 between somatic and normal samples. Significantly amplified or deleted genomic regions in each cytolytic subgroup with FDR < 0.25 were considered significant.

Tumor heterogeneity and MATH scores

Tumor heterogeneity in the COAD and READ datasets was inferred by clustering variant allele frequencies (VAF). The extent of intra-tumor heterogeneity of each tumor was quantitatively measured calculating the width of the VAF distribution and assigning a mutant-allele tumor heterogeneity (MATH) score, as the ratio of the width to the center of its distribution of mutant-allele fractions among tumor-specific mutated loci. No significant differences were scored between the two cytolytic subsets in each dataset.

Overall survival and synergistic target analysis

We performed Kaplan-Meier survival analysis using the log-rank (Mantel Cox) test in each cytolytic CRC subgroup, with a p = 0.05 as threshold of statistical significance. The synergistic effect of the cytolytic genes *PRF1* and *GZMA* on patient survival was further tested using SynTarget [31].

Intratumoral immune cell composition

We used the CIBERSORT [32] deconvolution algorithm (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/) to estimate the abundance of 22 immune cell types in each cytolytic subgroup's tissue and to evaluate the corresponding intratumoral immune cell composition.

Neoepitope analysis

The "antigen.garnish" R package was used to predict neoepitopes from different DNA variants (missense mutations, indels and gene fusions) that were found across CYT-high and –low CRCs. Peptides (mutant nmers) predicted to bind MHC with high affinity ($IC_{50} < 50$ nM) or with greatly improved affinity relative to their non-mutated counterparts (differential agretopicity index (DAI) > 10 for MHC-I and > 4 for MHC-II), were classified as classically defined neoepitopes (CDNs) or alternatively defined neoepitopes (ADNs), respectively. Mutant peptides that met both ADN and CDN criteria, or those that met the CDN criteria and were derived from frameshift mutations, were defined as priority neoepitopes. The load of cancer neoepitopes was associated with the CYT and MATH scores in each dataset, using Pearson's correlation.

Validation of gene expression in an independent cohort of colorectal cancer samples

Seventy-two colorectal cancer tissue samples were surgically extracted at the Tzaneion General Hospital, Piraeus. Directly after resection, the samples were stored at - 80 °C in RNAlater. Histological classification was implemented according to the WHO and staging according to the UICC-TNM classification (2002). Informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study protocol was approved by the Hospital's Ethics Committee (TGH#16527/4-12-2017). A matched normal mucosa biopsy was also collected from each patient. Samples were homogenized in Trizol and total RNA was extracted and further purified using RNeasy kit (Qiagen) after on-column DNAse digestion, prior to reverse transcription and real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). cDNA was synthesized using the qScript system (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD). Real-time PCR was performed using a CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) with 2x Kapa SYBR Fast qPCR Master Mix Universal (Sigma-Aldrich) in triplicate 20 µl reactions and analyzed on the CFX Manager (Bio-Rad). Relative quantification of each target's mRNA levels was performed using the Pfaffl method [33]. Primer sequences derived from the Primer-Bank [34] (Additional file 12: Table S3).

All tumor samples were divided to high- or low-frequency microsatellite unstable (MSI-H, n = 12 and MSI-L, n = 13, respectively) and microsatellite stable (MSS, n = 47) tumors, using a panel of three dinucleo-tide repeat markers (D2S123, D5S346, D17S250) and two mononucleotide repeat markers (BAT25 and BAT26), as previously defined [35].

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and TIL evaluation

Tissue samples from the same cohort of patients were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE). Each paraffin section was reviewed by a pathologist, histologically classified according to the WHO and staged according to the UICC-TNM classification (2009).

IHC analysis for the protein expression of MLH1, MSH2, PD-L1, CD8, FOXP3 and CD66b was done as

previously described [36, 37]. Briefly, one block of FFPE tumor tissue, usually comprising adjacent normal mucosa, was selected per case. Five-µm-thick sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated using xylene and alcohol. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with H₂O₂ 0.3% in Tris buffer (pH 7.6) for 15 min. Before immunostaining, the sections were immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0), rinsed in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) and subjected to heat-induced antigen retrieval in a microwave oven (30 min at 600 W). Sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse monoclonal antibodies against PDL1 (1:50 dilution, clone 22C3, Dako, CA), CD8 (1:400 dilution, clone C8/144B, Dako, CA), FOXP3 (1:200 dilution, clone 236A/E7, ThermoFischer Scientific), CD66b (1:200 dilution, clone G10F5, BD Biosciences), MLH1 (1:100 dilution, clone E505, Dako, CA), and MSH2 proteins (1:100 dilution, clone FE11; Oncogene Research Products, MA). The EnVision[™] FLEX+ Mouse (linker) detection kit was used as the secondary detection system, and the peroxidase reaction was developed using 3,3'-DAB. Subsequently, slides were washed thoroughly in running tap water and counterstained with hematoxylin before being dehydrated and mounted.

Hyper-reactive tonsil sections were used as positive controls for anti-PDL1 and anti-CD8 staining. Preimmune rabbit serum was used as a negative control to test for nonspecific staining. Two pathologists, blinded to clinical information, independently evaluated immunoreactivity by assessing the percentage of positively immunostained tumor cells. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. MLH1 and MSH2 protein expression was scored as positive if 10% of cells were found positive.

MMR protein loss was defined as the absence of nuclear staining in tumor cells in the presence of positive nuclear staining in normal epithelial cells and lymphocytes. Tumors were categorized as having deficient MMR (dMMR/MSI) if the expression of at least one protein was lost, and proficient MMR (pMMR/MSS) if all proteins were intact.

CD8 is specific for cytotoxic T-cells, with a low percentage (< 25%) of them being negatively stained in the cytoplasm/membrane. FOXP3 is a marker for Treg cells, and exhibited very low nucleoplasmic staining. PD-L1 a marker specific for T-cells, B-cells and tumor cells, was mainly lowly expressed or absent (< 10%, membranous staining). CD66b, a marker specific for tumor-associated neutrophils (TAN), exhibited strong cytoplasmic/membranous staining in most cells (> 75%).

The stains for PD-L1, CD8, FOXP3 and CD66b were scored using the 0-2+ scale: 0 for no staining, 1+ for faint staining, and 2+ for moderate or strong staining. CRC patients were also separated into high- and low-TIL or TAN load groups, based on the median number of TILs (n= 5%), or that of CD66b + TANs (n=2%).

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) sections were systematically reviewed for pathologic features including tumor histologic type, differentiation, TILs and peritumoral lymphocyte aggregates. TILs were scored from 0 to 3, with 0 being < 1 TIL, 1 being 1–15 TILs, 2 being > 15 but < 215 TILs, and 3 being > 215 TILs per 10 high power fields. Peritumoral lymphocyte aggregates were scored from 0 to 2 with 0 being none, 1 being a few, often < 5, and 2 being > 5. All tissue slides were scanned on a VEN-TANA iScan HT slide scanner v1.1.1 (Roche) and analyzed using the corresponding software.

Results

CRC stratification based on the immune cytolytic T-cell activity

To evaluate intertumoral immune cytolytic T-cell activity across the colon (COAD) and rectum (READ) adenocarcinoma samples, we initially calculated the transcript levels of GZMA and PRF1 [7, 28].

Cytolytic levels were significantly lower in both datasets compared to the normal colon and rectum, respectively (Fig. 1a). The results were further supported using HPA-derived protein expression data, in which GZMA was lowly expressed in 3/11 colorectal cancer samples, and not detected in 8/11 of them. On the other hand, PRF1 protein was not detected in any of the 12 colorectal cancer samples (Fig. 1b and Additional file 13: Table S4). To classify the CRC subpopulations according to high or low cytolytic activity, we stratified each CRC dataset by defining cancer samples in the top 25th percentile by cytolytic index ($log_2TPM + 1$), as "CYT-high" and those in the bottom 25th percentile, as "CYT-low" (Fig. 1c and Additional file 14: Table S5). GZMA and PRF1 exhibited significantly



coordinated roles, mainly in the COAD dataset (r = 0.677, p = 1.27E-06) (Fig. 1c).

In the COAD (but not READ) dataset, both individual and simultaneous high levels of *PRF1* and *GZMA* had a positive effect on the patients' overall survival, according to SynTarget analysis. On the contrary, simultaneous low expression of both genes led to a significant shift towards negative effect versus all other patients, indicating the synergetic effect of both genes on patients' survival outcome in CRC. (Fig. 1d).

Cytolytic activity varies across different CRC subtypes

We then predicted the differentially expressed genes between the two immune cytolytic subgroups in each CRC dataset. As expected, *GZMA* and *PRF1* were among the top upregulated genes in the cytolytic-high tumors (Fig. 2a-b). Several other immune-related molecules, including *IL2RB*, *TRGV10*, *TIGIT*, *CCL5*, *TRGC2*, *CD96*, *HLA-DRA*, *CD8A*, *GZMH*, *TRG-AS1*, *FASLG*, and *NKG7* were included within the top-upregulated genes in the CYT-high subgroups in both datasets, clarifying their involvement in the tumor microenvironment (Additional file 15: Table S6).

Cytolytic-high CRC samples were enriched for gene sets associated with activated CD8+, PD1^{high} T-cells [38, 39], confirming that the expression of GZMA and PRF1 correlates with immune response and infiltration of CD8+ cytolytic T-cells (Additional file 2: Figure S2). These data suggest that the stratification based on cytolytic T-cell infiltration, as measured by the cytolytic index, may be associated with distinct CRC subtypes. We therefore, determined whether cytolytic activity is

associated with genomic and transcriptional metrics of the biology of colon and rectal adenocarcinomas.

We assessed enrichment of gene sets defining colon and rectum adenocarcinoma subtypes, and investigated their association with the cytolytic index. CYT-high tumors were statistically enriched for immune gene sets containing Lck and Fyn, the responsible tyrosine kinases for the initiation of TCR activation, CD8+ T-cytotoxic cell, B-cell and T-helper cell surface molecules, CTLmediated immune response genes against target cells, apoptosis, and genes involved in the IL-5 signaling pathway. CYT-high tumors were also enriched for genes being up-regulated in spleen interferon-producing dendritic cells (DCs) compared to plasmacytoid and conventional DCs, as well as in antigen-dependent B cell activation. CYT-high tumors were furher enriched in methylated germline-specific genes with intermediate-CpG-density promoters in sperm, amplification hotspots in loci 8q24.1-q24.3, 11q3, 18q11.2-q23 and Xp22.3-p11.1, neutrophil-specific genes up-regulated in comparison of immature with mature neutrophils, genes involved in PD-1 signaling, in the translocation of ZAP-70 to immunological synapse, and in the phosphorylation of CD3 and TCR zeta chains, among several other immune-related datasets (Fig. 2c-d and Additional file 3: Figure S3).

The expression of several immune checkpoints, including VTCN1, VISTA, HAVCR2, IDO1/2, PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4, was also significantly elevated in the CYT-high subgroup of COAD and READ tumors (Fig. 2e-f). Importantly, high expression of TIGIT, PD-1, LAG3, IDO1, CTLA-4, PDCD1LG2 (PDL2), CD274 (PD-*L1*) and *HAVCR2*, was significantly correlated (p < 0.001, Pearson's rho> 0.65) with an increased immune cytolytic activity in both CRC datasets. Similarly, but to a less extent, VTCN1, VISTA, ADORA2A, and IDO2 correlated with high cytolytic levels (p < 0.01, Pearson's rho > 0.3) (Fig. 4i-j). These data suggest that stratification of CRC patients based on transcriptional profiling can differentiate tumors with a strong cytolytic T-cell response, from those having an immune microenvironment that impedes such responses, thus, enhancing targeting of the tumor microenvironment [40, 41].

Cytolytic activity correlates with distinct mutational events in CRC

We next sought to determine whether CYT correlates with distinct mutational profiles characterized for CRC [3]. As expected, the mutation load increased significantly in microsatellite unstable (MSI+) colorectal tumors (Fig. 3a). Confirming previous findings [7], we found that cytolytic activity also increased dramatically given high MSI in colon (but not rectal) tumors (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the mutation load increased considerably in CYT-high colon (but nor rectal) tumors (Fig. 3c). Most mutations associated with C > T transitions, without significant differences between CYT-high and -low tumors (Fig. 3d). Likewise, there was no association between the cytolytic index and *APC* or *KRAS* mutation types in either dataset (Additional file 4: Figure S4).

Motivated by these observations, we obtained curated mutational data for the two TCGA datasets and identified the significantly mutated genes (SMGs, FDR < 0.1) occurring in CYT-high and -low colon and rectal tumors, respectively. CYT-high colon cancers had a significant association (p < 0.05) with mutations in ACVR1B, CIRH1A, SOX9, FAM123B, BRAF, SMAD4, CASP8, RIMS1, JPH3 and RNF43. On the other hand, CYT-low colon tumors were associated with missense, nonsense or splice-site mutations in APC, TGIF1, TP53 and SMAD2. Furthermore, FBXW7, KRAS, PIK3CA, NXT1, B2M and PCBP1 had equal mutation rates among CYThigh and -low colon cancers (Fig. 3e). Similarly, CYThigh rectal cancers correlated with mutations in PHF14, LRRFIP2, SERBP1, CD58, PTEN, CD4, SPZ1, PKNOX1 and SETD4, and CYT-low rectum tumors were associated with missense, nonsense or splice-site mutations in TCF7L2, TP53, SMAD2, PCBP1, ZC3HAV1 and KCNB2. Significantly mutated genes (FDR < 0.1) including APC, FBXW7, ST8SIA6, PPP2R2C and FGF13 were detected in equal frequencies between both cytolytic subgroups of the READ dataset (Fig. 3f). Collectively, these data clarify an association of the cytolytic index with distinct somatic mutations in colorectal cancers.

We then hypothesized that different cancer driver genes are associated with each cytolytic subgroup in each dataset. To verify this assumption, we conducted OncodriveCLUST analysis [42] and identified a single significant BRAF mutation cluster among CYT-high colon tumors; whereas, in the CYT-low subgroup we detected different drivers, including TP53, KRAS, PCBP1 (all of them with one mutation cluster) and FBXW7, with three mutation clusters (Fig. 3g). In CYT-high rectal cancers we also detected different cancer drivers between the two cytolytic subgroups. These, included PTEN, SPZ1, FBXW7 (with two mutation clusters each) and ADCY10, PIK3R1 and ARIDA (with one cluster each) in CYT-high rectum tumors; whereas in the CYTlow subgroup, TP53 was the only cancer driver with one mutation cluster (Fig. 3h). Our data suggest that TP53, whose role as a cancer driver is well-known in colorectal cancer [43], is a common driver between CYT-high and -low tumors. They also show that apart from TP53, different cancer drivers exist within each cytolytic subgroup of colorectal tumors.

We further investigated mutually exclusive or co-occurring gene sets in each dataset, and found that *BRAF* was mutually exclusive with *APC* in COAD, while a

Fig. 3 a The mutation load increased considerably in colorectal tumors with high microsatellite instability (MSI) vs stable microsatellites (MSS). b Cytolytic activity (CYT) is considerably higher in colon (but not rectal) tumors with high microsatellite instability (MSI+) vs MSS tumors. c The mutation load increased considerably in CYT-high colon (but not rectal) tumors and was significantly correlated with the cytolytic index (CYT). d Nonsynonymous mutation spectra across COAD and READ cytolytic subsets, depicting the percentage (%) of each mutation type in high and low colon and rectal tumors, respectively. Most mutations across the datasets were associated with C > T (and G > A) transitions and the frequency of specific substitutions did not differ between CYT-high and CYT-low tumors. e-f Co-mutation plot showing significantly mutated genes (SMGs, FDR < 0.1) in cytolytic subsets of colon (COAD) (e) and rectal (READ) tumors (f). Green, red, pink, black and orange boxes indicate missense, nonsense, transcription start site, multi-hit and splice-site mutations, respectively. SMGs that correlate with immune cytolytic subtypes (p < 0.05) are highlighted by green or orange circles in the left columns of each dataset. Each SMG's q-values (-log10(FDR)) are plotted as a right-side bar plot in blue color. g-h Plots show the different cancer driver genes in the two cytolytic subgroups of COAD and READ adenocarcinomas, using OncodriveCLUST [42]. Cancer driver genes are depicted as scatter plots, in which the size of the points is proportional to the number of clusters found in the corresponding gene. The x-axis shows the fraction of mutations observed in these clusters. Scores within brackets next to the gene names denote the number of the gene's mutational clusters. i-j Tumor heterogeneity and mutation load in CRC. The width of each tumor's variant allele frequency (VAF) distribution (MATH scores) does not correlate with the total mutation count or the total copy number events, neither in colon (i) nor in rectal cancers (j). No difference in MATH scores between cytolytic subsets in COAD and READ cohorts (p > 0.05). Pvalues were calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test (j)

broad combination of significant co-occurrences was scored between other gene pairs in both datasets, including *FBXW7* and *MUC16* (Additional file 5: Figure S5).

Microsatellite-unstable (MSI) colorectal tumors were recently shown to over-express PD-L1, and thus be sensitive to immune checkpoint blockade with anti-PD-1 treatment [44, 45]. We speculated that MSI colorectal cancers might over-express several immune checkpoint molecules, other than PD-L1. To investigate this further, we calculated the expression of twelve known immune checkpoint molecules in MSI and MSS tumors and found significantly higher expression of all genes (except from ADORA2 and VTCN1) in MSI COAD tumors. Higher expression was also scored for several checkpoints in MSI READ tumors (Fig. 4a-b). These data suggest that immune evasion is modulated in MSI-high tumors through the over-expression of more than one immune checkpoint molecules, thus providing tumor cells a selective pressure to escape from the cytotoxic Tcell/Th1 immune response.

Microsatellite instability, along with chromosome instability (CIN), and chromosomal translocations, all lead to extensive copy number alterations in both human patients and genetically engineered mouse models [46-48]. We hypothesized that distinct events of genomic instability take place in each cytolytic subgroup in colorectal cancer. To verify this assumption, we performed GISTIC2.0 analysis for the tumors in each dataset and assessed copy number alterations between the two cytolytic subtypes. Assessed globally, our analysis identified a significantly higher number of recurrent somatic copy number alterations (SCNA) in the CYT-low subset of colon (but not rectal) adenocarcinomas (p = 5.07e-03). Cytolytic-low (but not high) colon adenocarcinomas had recurrent deletions at loci important in COAD, including 4q28.3 (NFKB1, RHOH), 5q21.3 (APC), 8p23.1 (SOX7), 10q22.1 (SIRT1), 16p13.3 (RBFOX1), 17p13.1 (TP53), 15q22.31 (SMAD6), 18q21.2 (DCC), and amplifications in 5q23.1 (ATG12), 8p11.21 (ZMAT4), 8q24.21 (POU5F1B),11p15.5 (*IGF2*), 13q12.13 (GTF3A),13q21.33 (KLF5), 17p11.2 (KCNJ12), among other alterations. Cytolytic-high colon tumors on the other hand, were characterized by recurrent deletions at loci 1p35.3 (PIK3CD, TP73, miR34a), 6p25.3 (FOXC1), and 21q11.1 (Let-7c), and amplifications at loci 8q24.21 (MYC) and 20q13.12 (MMP9) (Fig. 5a-c and Additional file 16: Table S7).

Likewise, CYT-low rectal tumors exhibited a higher number of SCNAs, but the difference with the CYT-high subgroup did not reach statistical significance. CYT-low (but not high) rectum tumors had recurrent deletions at loci 20p12.1 (*MACROD2-AS1*), 4q22.1 (*FAM190A*), 8p21.3 (*TNFRSF10D*, *FGF17*), 10q23.1 (*HIF1AN*), 18p11.23 (*NDUFV2*), 18q12.1 (*CDH2*), 18q22.1 (*CDH7*), and amplifications in 5q23.1 (*AQPEP*), 12p12.1 (*KRAS*), 13q12.13 (*FLT1*, *CDK8*), 17q21.2 (*KRT*), 20q11.21 (*BCL2L1*, *DNMT3B*, *MMP24*, *CTNNBL1*) and 20q11.23 (*SLC32A1*). CYT-high rectal tumors on the other hand, had recurrent deletions at loci 3p21.31 (*RHOA*), 5q22.2 (*APC*), 10q26.2 (*MGMT*), 14q32.2 (*AKT1*, *EIF5*, *YY1*), 18q21.2 (*SMAD4*, *MAPK4*), and a single amplification in 7p15.3 (*STK31*) (Fig. 5d-f and Additional file 17: Table S8). Taken together, these findings demonstrate that apart from somatic mutations, genomic instability due to distinct copy number alterations is characteristic for each cytolytic subgroup of colorectal adenocarcinomas.

Mutational analysis of tumor samples can be hampered due to tumor heterogeneity, which can reduce the ability to confidently detect SCNAs. To infer clonality, we calculated the number of different clusters within each tumor, along with the corresponding mean of each tumor's variant allele frequency (VAF). Intra-tumor heterogeneity was measured calculating the width of each tumor's VAF distribution (mutant-allele tumor heterogeneity, MATH scores). Higher MATH scores are found to be associated with poor outcome, and can be used as a proxy variable for survival analysis [49]. Highly variable intra-tumor heterogeneity among different samples was previously identified in rectal cancer [50].

MATH scores ranged from 7.24–78.24 in the COAD dataset and between 5.86–79.71 in the READ dataset. Tumor heterogeneity did not correlate with either total mutation load or total copy number events in the two CRC datasets. Moreover, there was no difference in the MATH scores between the two cytolytic subtypes (CYT-high vs –low COAD, 32.60 ± 13.38 vs 32.92 ± 15.51 , p = 0.873; CYT-high vs –low READ, 31.46 ± 14.19 vs $32.74 \pm .14$, p = 0.716) suggesting that the observed differences in copy number and mutational load, were not a likely result of variable intra-tumor heterogeneity (Fig. 3i-j and Additional file 18: Table S9). Thus, distinct mutational and structural changes in the genome distinguish those CRCs with low versus high cytolytic activity.

Correlation between the cytolytic index and neoepitope load

We then determined whether cytolytic activity is correlated with the neoepitope load in the two CRC datasets, as it has been widely suggested for cancers, in general [7]. Neoepitopes, derived from peptides encoded by somatic tumor mutations, and are thus not subject to central tolerance in the thymus, have been demonstrated to preferentially drive T-cell recognition of tumor cells [51]. To determine whether cytolytic activity is associated with the presence of neoepitopes, we determined the frequency of total missense mutations, and predicted those with potential to function as T-cell neoepitopes across the two datasets.

(See figure on previous page.)

Fig. 4 a-b The immune checkpoint molecules HAVCR2, IDO1, IDO2, ADORA2A, LAG3, TIGIT, VISTA, VTCN1, PD-1, PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2), CD274 (PD-L1) and CTLA-4 are significantly higher in MSI colorectal adenocarcinomas, compared to their MSS counterparts. **c-d** The total count of classically defined neoepitopes (CDNs) and alternatively defined neoepitopes (ADNs) per individual COAD tumor was significantly correlated with the levels of cytolytic activity (CYT). **e-f** The number of MHC class I and II CDN and ADN was significantly higher in CYT-high COAD tumors. **g-h** High levels of cytolytic activity (CYT-high) were significantly correlated with MHC-I CDNs (*p* = 0.047, Pearson's rho = 0.2) and with MHC-II ADNs (*p* = 6.9e-05, Pearson's rho = 0.39) in COAD tumors. **i-j** Pairwise correlation between the cytolytic index (log-average of GZMA and PRF1) versus individual genes of immune suppression index (log-average of VTCN1, VISTA, TIGIT, PD1, LAG3, ADORA2A, IDO1/2, CTLA-4, PDCD1LG2 (PD-L2), CD274 (PD-L1), and HAVCR2) in COAD and READ, respectively. The Pearson's rho (R) and statistical significance (*p*-value) are indicated in each graph. Loess regression (blue line) was used to diminish the noise of the variables during correlation analysis. **k** Kaplan-Meier curves depict the overall survival of COAD and READ patients after synergistic analysis for CTLA-4 and PD-L1 in these tumors. Both individual and simultaneous high levels of CTLA-4 and PD-L1 had a positive (though not statistically significant) effect on the patients' overall survival. On the reverse, simultaneous low expression of both genes led to a significant shift towards negative effect versus all other patients

Fig. 5 Assessed globally, GISTIC2.0 analysis identified a higher number of recurrent somatic copy number alterations (SCNA) in the CYT-low subset of colon (but not rectum) adenocarcinomas. **a** The genome plots depict the loci with significantly recurrent amplifications (red) and deletions (blue), among CYT-high and CYT-low COAD tumors, respectively. **b** Bubble plots display the summarized GISTIC results for each cytolytic subset in the COAD cohort. Significantly, amplified or deleted samples across the COAD dataset are depicted in red and blue colors, respectively. The x-axis depicts the number of samples and the y-axis depicts the number of genes. The size of the bubbles corresponds to the level of the calculated q-values ($-\log_{10}(q-value)$) for the aberrant genomic regions. **c** The number of the total recurrent SCNA events was significantly higher in the cytolytic-low COAD subset (p = 5.07e-3, Mann–Whitney). **d** The genome plots depict the loci with significantly recurrent amplifications (red) and deletions (blue), among CYT-high and CYT-low READ tumors, respectively. **e** Bubble plots display the summarized GISTIC results for each cytolytic subset in the READ cohort. Significantly, amplified or deleted samples across the READ dataset are depicted in red and blue colors, respectively. The x-axis depicts the number of samples and the y-axis depicts the number of genes. The size of the bubbles corresponds to the level of the calculated q-values ($-\log_{10}(q-value)$) for the aberrant genomic regions. **f** The number of the total recurrent amplifications (red) and deletions (blue), among CYT-high and the y-axis depicts the number of genes. The size of the bubbles corresponds to the level of the calculated q-values ($-\log_{10}(q-value)$) for the aberrant genomic regions. **f** The number of the total recurrent SCNA events was higher in the cytolytic-low READ subset, but the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.188, Mann–Whitney)

Antigen.garnish analysis revealed a significant correlation between the total mutation count per individual tumor and high cytolytic activity levels in COAD (Fig. 4c-d). Also, a significantly higher number of classically (CDN) and alternatively (ADN) defined neoepitopes (both MHC class I and II) was scored among CYT-high CRCs compared to CYT-low ones (Fig. 4e-f). Importantly, a high cytolytic index was correlated with MHC-I CDNs (p = 0.047, Pearson's rho = 0.2) and MHC-II ADNs (p = 6.9e-05, Pearson's rho = 0.39) in COAD tumors (Fig. 4g-h). Consistent with the findings from the overall mutation rate, MATH scores did not correlate with the number of CDNs and ADNs in CRC (Additional file 6: Figure S6). Taken together, these data suggest that cytolytic activity in CRC is driven by elevated mutation and/or neoepitope load.

Cytokine and immune checkpoint expression patterns differ in colorectal tumors with high versus low cytolytic activity

The tumor microenvironment in colorectal cancer contains a rich cytokine milieu with both pro- and anti-inflammatory factors that can regulate tumorigenesis [52]. It is thus, expected that the expression of these cytokines and chemokines would be increased in CYT-high colorectal tumors. Balli et al. [30] recently reported increased expression of a series of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and immune checkpoint molecules in CYT-high tumors. Consistent with these data, we found higher levels of a series of cytokines and chemokines (CCR4, CCR5, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CXCL13, C1QA, C1QB, and C1QC), as well as of immune checkpoint molecules in both CRC datasets (Additional file 15: Table S6). Specifically, cytokines that were previously shown to correlate with the cytolytic index (C1QA, C1QB, C1QC, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11 and CXCL13) [7], were upregulated in CYT-high colorectal tumors. The expression of regulatory T cell (Treg) markers, such as FOXP3 and IL2RA, was also significantly higher in CYT-high CRCs (Additional file 7: Figure S7).

CIBERSORT analysis showed that CYT-high CRCs were significantly related with high TIL levels, including CD4+ memory T cells, activated dendritic cells, and M2 macrophages, among others (p < 0.001) (Additional file 19: Table S10 and Additional file 8: Figure S8).

Finally, we assessed whether CYT-high colorectal cancers exhibit increased expression of immune checkpoint pathways. We investigated the expression levels of a series of inhibitory checkpoint molecules across COAD and READ patients, including *CD274* (*PD-L1*), *CTLA4*, *TIGIT*, *HAVCR2* (*TIM3*), *VISTA*, *PDCD1LG2* (*PD-L2*), *IDO1*, *IDO2*, *ADORA2A* (*A2AR*), *LAG3*, *PDCD1* (*PD-1*), *VISTA* (*C10orf54*), and *VTCN1* (*B7-H4*), among others. Importantly, we observed that higher cytolytic activity significantly correlated with high expression of at least five immune checkpoints (*CTLA-4*, *PD-1*, *PD-L1/2*, *LAG3* and *IDO1*) in CRC. This indicates that immune response in CYT-high colorectal tumors, similar to melanoma [53] and prostate cancer [28, 30] elicits multiple host and tumor mechanisms of immune suppression in the tumor microenvironment, other than the PD1 axis (Fig. 4i-j). Thus, our findings provide further evidence that a combinatorial targeting of such pathways may expand the clinical benefit for CRC patients.

Furthermore, using synergistic analysis for two of the most significant checkpoints, *CTLA-4* and *PD-L1*, we found that in COAD (but not in READ) tumors, both individual and simultaneous high levels of *CTLA-4* and *PD-L1* had a positive effect on the patients' overall survival. On the reverse, simultaneous low expression of both genes led to a significant shift towards negative effect versus all other patients. These results provide evidence that high expression of both immune checkpoints affects synergistically the survival of colon cancer patients (Fig. 4k).

Verification of gene expression using an independent cohort of colorectal cancer patients

We further verified the expression of both cytolytic genes (*GZMA* and *PRF1*), MMR-related genes (*MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6* and *PMS2*), immune checkpoint molecules (*PD-L1/2*, *CTLA-4*, *IDO1*, *TIGIT*, *LAG3*, *VISTA*), as well as that of *CD8* and *FOXP3* (Treg marker) in an independent cohort of 72 colorectal adenocarcinomas by RT-qPCR (Fig. 6a).

Furthermore, the protein expression of MLH1, MSH2 (MMR markers), PD-L1 (marker for T-cells, B-cells and tumor cells), CD8 (CTL marker), FOXP3 (Treg marker) and CD66b (TAN marker) was evaluated by IHC in FFPE tissue derived from the same patients in the cohort (47 pMMR/MSS, 13 dMMR/MSI-L and 12 dMMR/MSI-H colon adenocarcinomas). Medium or low PD-L1 expression was observed in 16/47 (~34%) pMMR/MSS and in 4/25 (16%) dMMR/MSI CRCs, whereas anti-PD-L1 staining was negative for the majority of the tumors. PD-L1+ microsatellite unstable CRCs also stained moderately for CD8 and weakly for FOXP3, indicating some infiltration of TILs and Tregs in these tumors. We also found higher CD66b + TAN infiltration in dMMR/MSI tumors (Fig. 6b).

To verify this assumption, we investigated whether the TIL load or that of TAN differs between the two cytolytic CRC subsets. TILs contained both stromal- and intratumoral-compartment lymphocytes, as previously defined [6, 54]. We found that CYT-high colon tumors had significantly higher TIL load compared to CYT-low tumors (p < 0.001), but the TAN load was equal between the two cytolytic subgroups. On the other hand, CYT-

high rectal tumors had significantly higher TAN load (but not TILs) compared to the CYT-low tumors (p = 0.027) (Fig. 6c). Importantly, a high TIL load, and a low TAN load respectively, were significantly correlated with a better prognosis among all CRC patients (Fig. 6d). Supportive evidence on this finding also came from the analysis of patients' survival in the TCGA database (Additional file 9: Figure S9).

Discussion

Immunoediting has turned out to be progressively critical in appreciating the immune system's ability to harness tumor growth and spread in several types of cancer [55]. In the present study, we implemented an extensive integrated analysis of the transcriptional and genetic landscape of colorectal cancer in the context of immune cytolytic activity. By stratifying colorectal cancer patients based on a validated cytolytic gene expression signature, we found a small subset with evidence of prominent T-cell reactivity.

Our data reveal a significant enrichment of CYT-high colon tumors for immune gene sets associated with activated CD8⁺, PD1^{high} T-cells. CYT-high tumors were also statistically enriched for gene sets including spleen interferon-producing DCs, amplification hotspots in various loci, and others. Regarding the first gene set, it has been shown that DCs may be endowed with a cytotoxic activity effective of killing tumor cells, apart from functioning as professional antigen presenting cells (APC) and controlling immune responses. For this reason they have been referred to as "interferon-producing killer dendritic cells (IKDCs)" [56]. These, are directly cytotoxic to NK

targets, produce IFN- γ but not IFN- α , and appear to be functionally closer to NK cells than to plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) [57]. Furthermore, significantly amplified regions were previously associated with high cytolytic activity in CRC and other cancers, including those of the head and neck, cervix, stomach, and lung. Of major interest, these amplifications were found to include PDL1/2 and other immunosuppressive factors [7]. While tumor cells and TILs express such immune-suppressive ligands, our results corroborate that tumorexpressed ligands affect tumor fitness in the presence of cytolytic activity.

We also show that high CYT is significantly correlated with an increased mutational burden in the tumor, including a high load of predicted cancer neoepitopes. In agreement with previous reports [4, 7, 58], and contrasted to those in pancreatic cancer [30], we further show that high CYT significantly correlates with microsatellite unstable colon tumors. This increased mutational load among dMMR/MSI+ tumors was previously associated with prolonged progression-free survival (PFS) [44]. Importantly, our data reveal the existence of different cancer drivers between cytolytic-high and -low colorectal cancers. Recent studies have also established the direct determination of the tumor's mutational burden as a predictive biomarker for immunotherapy [59, 60]. However, it seems that not all dMMR/MSI+ CRC patients have also a high tumor mutational burden, since this was observed in the absence of dMMR/MSI, as well [61, 62].

We further showed that both colon and rectum MSI-H tumors are hypermutated and express numerous neoepitopes which elicit an immune response by TILs [21, 63]. Most of these mutant neoepitopes in MSI-H tumors render them sensitive to immune checkpoint blockade, regardless of the cancers' tissue of origin [64]. The dMMR/MSI-H tumors are thought to possess greater TIL densities compared to pMMR/MSS tumors, due to the presence of a vast number of neoepitopes [44]. This explains why checkpoint inhibition in pMMR/ MSS CRCs hasn't been very successful yet; whereas dMMR/MSI-H tumors are more susceptible to PD-1/ PD-L1 blockade.

We also showed that low cytolytic activity tracked with increased genomic structural variations, most notably prominent and recurrent *ATG12*, *KLF5* and *KCNJ12* amplifications and non-silent mutations and/or deletions in *APC*, *TP53*, *NFKB*, *DCC* and *SMAD6*, among others. Other distinct chromosomal aberrations were associated with CYT-high CRCs. These data point to an underappreciated link between genomic alterations and immune activation in colorectal cancer, suggesting that genomic structural variations implicated in the tumor's progression may also fundamentally influence de novo or

therapeutic antitumor immune activation, independently of host immune factors.

Our data also reveal a significantly higher TIL density among CYT-high colon tumors, which was associated with improved overall survival of these patients. Cytolytic activity was shown to associate with improved survival in CRC due to increased immunity and cytolytic activity of T cells and M1 macrophages [6]. We also showed that high CYT levels are accompanied by upregulation of at least one immune-checkpoint molecule, indicating that immune responses in CYT-high tumors elicit immune suppression in the tumor microenvironment [28]. In addition, CYT-high rectal tumors had a higher TAN load, which was associated with a worse prognosis. This is in line with a recent report, according to which CRC patients with fewer CD66b + TANs showed statistically favorable survival rates [37]. We can thus, hypothesize that MSI+ tumors with increased cytolytic levels have a significant immunological response that is elicited by such neoepitopes. Nevertheless, such MSI+ CYT-high tumor cells are not effectively eliminated by the immune system, due to the increased levels of several immune-inhibitory checkpoint molecules, such as PD-L1, PD-L2, CTLA-4, LAG3, TIGIT, IDO1 and VISTA [21].

Finally, we found that CYT-high tumors exhibited increased expression of multiple immune checkpoints, including CTLA-4, PD-1, PD-L1/2, LAG3 and IDO1, the levels of which significantly correlated to the cytolytic index. Such an immune activation in response to high neoepitope load additionally suggests that a combinatorial targeting of more than one immune checkpoint pathways could be beneficial for hypermutated CRC patients. This is supported by recent clinical findings, based on which neoadjuvant immunotherapy with a combination of ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) plus nivolumab (anti-PD-1) in early stage dMMR/MSI and pMMR/MSS CRCs resulted in major pathological responses in 100% of the dMMR/MSI tumors, and did not compromise surgery [65]. Taken together, this new knowledge further emphasizes the high potential of neoadjuvant treatment with combinatorial targeting of more than one immune checkpoints.

Two clinical trials recommended the use of PD-1 blockade (pembrolizumab and nivolumab) for the treatment of metastatic CRC. In 2015, the KEY-NOTE-16418 study showed that the MMR status can predict the clinical benefit of immune checkpoint blockade using pembrolizumab [44]. Of major interest, in the CHECKMATE-142 trial, nivolumab was used as second- or third-line treatment for patients with dMMR/MSI-H metastatic CRC and its combination with ipilimumab had comparatively better efficacy, and was shown to provide a promising new treatment option for metastatic CRC patients with dMMR/MSI+ tumors [25, 66].

The phase 2 study NCT01876511 is also on the way, investigating whether pembrolizumab is effective and safe in MSI+ CRC patients. Nevertheless, current success of immunotherapy is still limited to ~ 30% of MSI-H patients. Understanding why MSI-H tumors are responsive to immunotherapy will help develop better treatment options for all CRC patients. We propose that the CYT-high subgroup of these MSI-H tumors can be benefited to a higher percentage from such combinatorial immunotherapies. In line with our proposal, the combined use of immunotherapy with chemotherapy, ionizing radiation, and/or monoclonal antibodies, as well as the careful consideration of the right order of administering such combinations, is also proposed as a promising option that could complement the cancer-immunity cycle [67].

Conclusions

In summary, our data support the utility of combining genomic and immune profiling for the comprehensive understanding of immune activation in CRC, an approach that can help guide the development of effective combinatorial immunotherapies in this disease. We provide proof of concept that the high mutational burden and cancer neoepitope load are the primary drivers of immune activity in CRC. In addition, our findings highlight the need to look beyond standard neoepitope-based strategies for immunotherapy in CRC and to focus further on other tumor-intrinsic features that render these tumors immune privileged. The upregulation of various immune checkpoints in CRC, as reflected by increased cytolytic levels, provides further evidence of preceding T-cell immunity, similar to melanoma and lung cancer. Finally, we propose that the CYT-high subset of dMMR/MSI colorectal cancer patients is more susceptible to combinatorial immune checkpoint blockade.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. The voom function was used to transform the read counts into log counts per million (CPMs) while taking into account the mean-variance relationship in the data [68]. The meanvariance trend plots below were made to detect any genes that possibly varied in the data, and filtering of the low counts was performed adequately. (JPG 1492 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S2. Enrichment of selected immune-related gene sets in CYT-high colon (COAD) and rectum (READ) adenocarcinomas. **A.** Cytolytic-high tumors show increased enrichment of gene sets from activated, cytolytic CD8+ T-cell populations and PD1^{high} CD8 T-cells [38, 39]. **B.** Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) of known immune-related gene sets shows statistically significant increase in CYT-high colon and rectal tumors. (JPG 2337 kb)

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) of known immune-related gene sets (e.g., BIOCARTA_CTL_PATHWAY; TCYTOTOXIC_PATHWAY; TCRA_PATHWAY; THELPER_PATHWAY; PD1_SIGNALING; PRODUCING_DENDRITIC_CELL) showed statistically significant increase in CRCs identified as CYT-high, based on the expression of GZMA and PRF1. (JPG 1293 kb)

Additional file 4: Figure S4. APC and KRAS mutation types across the two CRC datasets and association with the cytolytic index, showing no statistically significant correlation between APC or KRAS mutations and CYT-high or -low subsets. (JPG 1232 kb)

Additional file 5: Figure S5. Top 25 mutually exclusive (< $-1 \log_{10} p$ -value) or co-occurring (> 1 log10 *p*-value) gene pairs in COAD and READ, using pair-wise Fisher's exact test. The red arrow indicates significant mutual exclusivity between *BRAF* and *APC* in COAD. (JPG 530 kb)

Additional file 6: Figure S6. MATH scores did not correlate with the number of classically defined neoepitopes (CDN) or alternatively defined neoepitopes (ADN) neoepitopes in CRC. (JPG 474 kb)

Additional file 7: Figure S7. Expression of differentially expressed Treg markers in cytolytic subsets of colorectal cancer. (JPG 1825 kb)

Additional file 8: Figure S8. CIBERSORT analysis results (in silico flow cytometry) depict the fractional representation of 22 hematopoietic cell types present in the gene expression profile of each cytolytic subset in colon (COAD) and rectal (READ) cancers, respectively. Columns represent cell types from the signature genes file and rows represent the deconvolution results for each tumor sample within each cytolytic subgroup. Filtering was set at p = 0.05 with 1000 permutations during analysis. All results are reported as relative fractions normalized to 1 across all cell subsets. P-value: Statistical significance of the deconvolution result across all cell subsets; useful for filtering out results with a poor "goodness of fit". Correlation: Pearson's correlation coefficient (R). generated from comparing the original mixture with the estimated mixture, the latter of which is calculated using imputed cell fractions and corresponding expression profiles from the signature genes file. Of note, the correlation is restricted to signature genes. RMSE: Root mean squared error between the original mixture and the imputed mixture, restricted to genes in the signature gene file. (JPG 1521 kb)

Additional file 9: Figure S9. Overall survival between CRCs with high and low load of tumor-assocated neutrophils (TAN load), using combined CRC samples from the TCGA-COAD and READ datasets, respectively. The patients were separated into high and low TAN load, based on the median number of TANs. (JPG 19 kb)

Additional file 10: Table S1. The CRC samples within each dataset (COAD and READ), along with their clinical information and cytolytic levels (CYT). (XLSX 9 kb)

Additional file 11: Table S2. Microsatellite instability status of all colorectal cancer samples within each TCGA dataset (COAD and READ). The presence of missense mutations in the MMR genes MLH1, MLH3, MSH2, MSH3, MSH4, MSH5, MSH6, PMS1 and PMS2 was used to stratify tumor samples to microsatellite unstable (MSI) or stable (MSS), respectively. (XLSX 16 kb)

Additional file 12: Table S3. Primer sequences used for RT-qPCR. (XLSX 4938 kb)

Additional file 13: Table S4. Human Protein Atlas (HPA)-derived protein expression analysis shows that in colorectal cancer GZMA is lowly expressed (3/11, 27%) or not detected (8/11, 72.7%) and PRF1 protein is not detected in any of the 12 colorectal cancer samples. (XLSX 71455 kb)

Additional file 14: Table S5. Patient IDs and cytolytic activity levels (CYT) for CYT-high and CYT-low cytolytic subgroups of COAD and READ tumors. (XLSX 27546 kb)

Additional file 15: Table S6. Top-upregulated genes in the cytolytichigh (CYT-high) COAD and READ tumors, against their cytolytic-low (CYTlow) counterparts. (XLSX 9165 kb)

Additional file 16: Table S7. GISTIC (v2.0.22) analysis results for colon cancers (COAD). GISTIC was used to detect recurrent somatic copy number alterations (SCNA) in CYT-high and -low colon cancers, using MutSigCV (v1) from the Broad Institute's GenePattern. Each SCNA was

Additional file 17: Table S8. GISTIC (v2.0.22) analysis results for rectal cancers (READ). GISTIC was used to detect recurrent somatic copy number alterations (SCNA) in CYT-high and -low rectum cancers, using MutSigCV (v1) from the Broad Institute's GenePattern. Each SCNA was assigned a G-score indicative of its amplitude and occurrence across samples. SCNAs in each RED sample within each cytolytic subgroup were counted by taking the sum of segment mean changes \geq 0.6 and $\leq -$ 0.4 between somatic and normal samples. Significantly amplified or deleted genomic regions in each cytolytic subgroup with FDR < 0.25 were considered significant. (XLSX 75 kb)

Additional file 18: Table S9. Tumor heterogeneity was inferred using "maftools", with which we clustered variant allele frequencies (VAF). We also measured the extent of heterogeneity in terms of a numerical value. Mutant-Allele Tumor Heterogeneity (MATH) score is a simple quantitative measure of intra-tumor heterogeneity, which calculates the width of the VAF distribution. (XLSX 86 kb)

Additional file 19: Table S10. CIBERSORT analysis results depict the fractional representations of 22 hematopoietic cell types present in the gene expression profile of each cytolytic subset in colon (COAD) and rectal (READ) cancers, respectively. Columns represent cell types from the signature genes file and rows represent deconvolution results for each tumor sample in each cytolytic subgroup. Filtering was set at p = 0.05with 1000 permutations during analysis. All results are reported as relative fractions normalized to 1 across all cell subsets. P-value: Statistical significance of the deconvolution result across all cell subsets; useful for filtering out results with a poor "goodness of fit". Correlation: Pearson's correlation coefficient (R), generated from comparing the original mixture with the estimated mixture, the latter of which is calculated using imputed cell fractions and corresponding expression profiles from the signature genes file. Of note, the correlation is restricted to signature genes. RMSE: Root mean squared error between the original mixture and the imputed mixture, restricted to genes in the signature gene file. (XLSX 10 kb)

Abbreviations

ADN: Alternatively defined neoepitope; CDN: Classically defined neoepitope; CMSs: Consensus molecular subtypes; CNA: Copy number alteration; COAD: Colon adenocarcinoma; CRC: Colorectal cancer; CTL: Cytotoxic T cells; CYT: Immune cytolytic activity; dMMR: Defective mismatch repair system; FFPE: Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded; GSVA: Gene set variation analysis; GZMA: Granzyme A; HPA: Human Protein Atlas; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; KS: Kolmogorov–Smirnov; MATH: Mutant-allele tumor heterogeneity; MSI: Microsatellite Instability; MSigDB: Molecular Signatures Database; NK cells: Natural killer cells; pMMR: Proficient mismatch repair system; PRF1: Perforin-1; READ: Rectum adenocarcinoma; SMGs: Significantly mutated genes; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; VAF: Variant allele frequency

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all patients from the Tzaneion General Hospital who contributed for the purposes of this study, as well as to all patients whose genetic and clinical data were extracted from the TCGA platform.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design: AZ; Development of methodology: AZ, CR; Acquisition of data: AZ, CR, BLM, MO, CE, GDA; Analysis and interpretation of data: AZ, CR, MN; Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: AZ, CR; Administrative, technical, or material support: AZ, CE, CD; Study supervision: AZ. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study protocol was approved by the Tzaneion General Hospital's Ethics Committee (TGH# 16527/4-12-2017).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Life Sciences, School of Sciences, European University Cyprus, 1516 Nicosia, Cyprus. ²Centre for Risk and Decision Sciences (CERIDES), 2404 Nicosia, Cyprus. ³Department of Computer Science & Engineering, European University Cyprus, 1516 Nicosia, Cyprus. ⁴Inserm, UMR-S 1251, MMG, Faculté de Médecine, Aix Marseille University, Marseille, France. ⁵Center for Research in Health and Life Sciences, European University Madrid, 28670 Madrid, Spain. ⁶1st Surgical Department, Tzaneion General Hospital, 18536 Piraeus, Greece.

Received: 29 March 2019 Accepted: 9 August 2019 Published online: 20 August 2019

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017; 67:7–30.
- Tabernero J. The role of VEGF and EGFR inhibition: implications for combining anti-VEGF and anti-EGFR agents. Mol Cancer Res. 2007;5:203–20.
- 3. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature. 2012;487:330–7.
- Park JH, Powell AG, Roxburgh CSD, Horgan PG, McMillan DC, Edwards J. Mismatch repair status in patients with primary operable colorectal cancer: associations with the local and systemic tumour environment. Br J Cancer. 2016;114:562–70.
- Green AR, Aleskandarany MA, Ali R, Hodgson EG, Atabani S, De Souza K, et al. Clinical impact of tumor DNA repair expression and T-cell infiltration in breast cancers. Cancer Immunol Res. 2017;5:292–9.
- Narayanan S, Kawaguchi T, Yan L, Peng X, Qi Q, Takabe K. Cytolytic activity score to assess anticancer immunity in colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2018;25:2323–31.
- Rooney MS, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, Getz G, Hacohen N. Molecular and genetic properties of tumors associated with local immune cytolytic activity. Cell. 2015;160:48–61.
- Woodsworth DJ, Dreolini L, Abraham LHR. Targeted cell-to-cell delivery of protein payloads via the granzyme-perforin pathway. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2017;7:132–45.
- Pagès F, Berger A, Camus M, Sanchez-Cabo F, Costes A, Molidor R, et al. Effector memory T cells, early metastasis, and survival in colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:2654–66.
- Page F, Kirilovsky A, Mlecnik B, Asslaber M, Tosolini M, Bindea G, et al. In situ cytotoxic and memory T cells predict outcome in patients with early-stage colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:5944–51.
- Sato E, Olson SH, Ahn J, Bundy B, Nishikawa H, Qian F, et al. Intraepithelial CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and a high CD8+/regulatory T cell ratio are associated with favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:18538–43.
- Schumacher K, Haensch W, Röefzaad C, Schlag PM. Prognostic significance of activated CD8+ T cell infiltrations within esophageal carcinomas. Cancer Res. 2001;61:3932–6.
- Kawai O, Ishii G, Kubota K, Murata Y, Naito Y, Mizuno T, et al. Predominant infiltration of macrophages and CD8+ T cells in cancer nests is a significant predictor of survival in stage IV nonsmall cell lung cancer. Cancer. 2008;113:1387–95.
- Hendry S, Salgado R, Gevaert T, Russell PA, John T, Thapa B, et al. Assessing tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in solid tumors: a practical review for pathologists and proposal for a standardized method from the international

immuno-oncology biomarkers working group: part 2: TILs in melanoma, gastrointestinal tract carcinomas, non-small cell lung carcinoma and mesothelioma, endometrial and ovarian carcinomas, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, genitourinary carcinomas, and primary brain tumors. Adv Anat Pathol. 2017;24:311–35.

- Johnson BJ, Costelloe EO, Fitzpatrick DR, Haanen JB, Schumacher TN, Brown LE, et al. Single-cell perforin and granzyme expression reveals the anatomical localization of effector CD8+ T cells in influenza virus-infected mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:2657–62.
- Ji RR, Chasalow SD, Wang L, Hamid O, Schmidt H, Cogswell J, et al. An immune-active tumor microenvironment favors clinical response to ipilimumab. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2012;61:1019–31.
- Herbst RS, Soria J-C, Kowanetz M, Fine GD, Hamid O, Gordon MS, et al. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. Nature. 2014;515:563–7.
- Guinney J, Dienstmann R, Wang X, De Reyniès A, Schlicker A, Soneson C, et al. The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. Nat Med. 2015; 21:1350–6.
- Nowak JA, Yurgelun MB, Bruce JL, Rojas-Rudilla V, Hall DL, Shivdasani P, et al. Detection of mismatch repair deficiency and microsatellite instability in colorectal adenocarcinoma by targeted next-generation sequencing. J Mol Diagnostics. 2017;19:84–91.
- 20. Fridman WH, Zitvogel L, Sautès-Fridman C, Kroemer G. The immune contexture in cancer prognosis and treatment. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14:717–34.
- Llosa NJ, Cruise M, Tam A, Wicks EC, Hechenbleikner EM, Taube JM, et al. The vigorous immune microenvironment of microsatellite instable colon cancer is balanced by multiple counter-inhibitory checkpoints. Cancer Discov. 2015;5:43–51.
- 22. de GE. Anti program death-1/anti program death-ligand 1 in digestive cancers. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2015;7:95.
- Chung C, Christianson M. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers with therapeutic targets in breast, colorectal, and non-small cell lung cancers: a systemic review of current development, evidence, and recommendation. J Oncol Pharm Pract. 2014;20:11–28.
- 24. Liu XS, Mardis ER. Applications of Immunogenomics to Cancer. Cell. 2017; 168:600–12.
- Overman MJ, Lonardi S, Wong KYM, Lenz HJ, Gelsomino F, Aglietta M, et al. Durable clinical benefit with nivolumab plus ipilimumab in DNA mismatch repair-deficient/microsatellite instability-high metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:773–9.
- Droeser RA, Hirt C, Viehl CT, Frey DM, Nebiker C, Huber X, et al. Clinical impact of programmed cell death ligand 1 expression in colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2013;49:2233–42.
- Mermel CH, Schumacher SE, Hill B, Meyerson ML, Beroukhim R, Getz G. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. Genome Biol. 2011;12:R41.
- Roufas C, Chasiotis D, Makris A, Efstathiades C, Dimopoulos C, Zaravinos A. The expression and prognostic impact of immune cytolytic activity-related markers in human malignancies: a comprehensive meta-analysis. Front Oncol. 2018;8:27.
- Uhlen M, Zhang C, Lee S, Sjöstedt E, Fagerberg L, Bidkhori G, et al. A pathology atlas of the human cancer transcriptome. Science. 2017; 357(6352). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2507.
- Balli D, Rech AJ, Stanger BZ, Vonderheide RH. Immune cytolytic activity stratifies molecular subsets of human pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2017;23:3129–38.
- Amelio I, Tsvetkov PO, Knight RA, Lisitsa A, Melino G, Antonov AV. SynTarget: an online tool to test the synergetic effect of genes on survival outcome in cancer. Cell Death Differ. 2016;23:912.
- Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. Nat Methods. 2015;12:453–7.
- Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001;29:16–21.
- Wang X, Spandidos A, Wang H, Seed B. PrimerBank: a PCR primer database for quantitative gene expression analysis, 2012 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(Database issue):D1144–9.
- Losso GM, Moraes RDS, Gentili AC, Messias-Reason IT. Microsatellite instability–MSI markers (BAT26, BAT25, D2S123, D5S346, D17S250) in rectal cancer. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2012;25:240–4.

- Lanza G, Gafa R, Maestri I, Santini A, Matteuzzi M, Cavazzini L. Immunohistochemical pattern of MLH1/MSH2 expression is related to clinical and pathological features in colorectal adenocarcinomas with microsatellite instability. Mod Pathol. 2002;15:741–9.
- Zhu B, Luo J, Jiang Y, Yu L, Liu M, Fu J. Prognostic significance of nomograms integrating IL-37 expression, neutrophil level, and MMR status in patients with colorectal cancer. Cancer Med. 2018;7:3682–94.
- Duraiswamy J, Ibegbu CC, Masopust D, Miller JD, Araki K, Doho GH, et al. Phenotype, function, and gene expression profiles of programmed death -1(hi) CD8 T cells in healthy human adults. J Immunol. 2011;186:4200–12.
- Parish I a, Rao S, Smyth GK, Juelich T, Denyer GS, Davey GM, et al. The molecular signature of CD8+ T cells undergoing deletional tolerance. Blood. 2009;113:4575–85.
- Smyth MJ, Ngiow SF, Ribas A, Teng MWL. Combination cancer immunotherapies tailored to the tumour microenvironment. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2016;13:143–58.
- 41. Dougan M, Dougan SK. Targeting immunotherapy to the tumor microenvironment. J Cell Biochem. 2017;118:3049–54.
- 42. Tamborero D, Gonzalez-Perez A, Lopez-Bigas N. OncodriveCLUST: exploiting the positional clustering of somatic mutations to identify cancer genes. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:2238–44.
- 43. Fearon ER, Vogelstein B. A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. Cell. 1990;61:759–67.
- Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Kemberling H, Eyring AD, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372:2509–20.
- 45. Rosenbaum MW, Bledsoe JR, Morales-Oyarvide V, Huynh TG, Mino-Kenudson M. PD-L1 expression in colorectal cancer is associated with microsatellite instability, BRAF mutation, medullary morphology and cytotoxic tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Mod Pathol. 2016;29:1104–12.
- Negrini S, Gorgoulis VG, Halazonetis TD. Genomic instability an evolving hallmark of cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010;11:220–8.
- 47. Grady WM. Genomic instability and colon cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2004;23:11–27.
- Maser RS, Choudhury B, Campbell PJ, Feng B, Wong KK, Protopopov A, et al. Chromosomally unstable mouse tumours have genomic alterations similar to diverse human cancers. Nature. 2007;447:966–71.
- Mroz EA, Rocco JW. MATH, a novel measure of intratumor genetic heterogeneity, is high in poor-outcome classes of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2013;49:211–5.
- Hardiman KM, Ulintz PJ, Kuick RD, Hovelson DH, Gates CM, Bhasi A, et al. Intra-tumor genetic heterogeneity in rectal cancer. Lab Investig. 2016;96:4–15.
- McGranahan N, Furness AJS, Rosenthal R, Ramskov S, Lyngaa R, Saini SK, et al. Clonal neoantigens elicit T cell immunoreactivity and sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. Science. 2016;351:1463–9.
- 52. Coussens LM, Werb Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature. 2002;420:860-7.
- Spranger S, Spaapen RM, Zha Y, Williams J, Meng Y, Ha TT, et al. Up -regulation of PD-L1, IDO, and tregs in the melanoma tumor microenvironment is driven by CD8+ T cells. Sci Transl Med. 2013;5: 200ra116.
- Meraviglia S, Lo Presti E, Tosolini M, La Mendola C, Orlando V, Todaro M, et al. Distinctive features of tumor-infiltrating γδ T lymphocytes in human colorectal cancer. Oncoimmunology. 2017;6:e1347742.
- Märkl B, Wieberneit J, Kretsinger H, Mayr P, Anthuber M, Arnholdt HM, et al. Number of intratumoral T lymphocytes is associated with lymph node size, lymph node harvest, and outcome in node-negative colon cancer. Am J Clin Pathol. 2016;145:826–36.
- Hanke N, Alizadeh D, Katsanis E, Larmonier N. Dendritic cell tumor killing activity and its potential applications in cancer immunotherapy. Crit Rev Immunol. 2013;33:1–21.
- Vremec D, O'Keeffe M, Hochrein H, Fuchsberger M, Caminschi I, Lahoud M, et al. Production of interferons by dendritic cells, plasmacytoid cells, natural killer cells, and interferon-producing killer dendritic cells. Blood. 2007;109:1165–73.
- Giannakis M, Mu XJ, Shukla SA, Qian ZR, Cohen O, Nishihara R, et al. Genomic correlates of immune-cell infiltrates in colorectal carcinoma. Cell Rep. 2016;15:857–65.
- Endris V, Buchhalter I, Allgäuer M, Rempel E, Lier A, Volckmar AL, et al. Measurement of tumor mutational burden (TMB) in routine molecular diagnostics: in silico and real-life analysis of three larger gene panels. Int J Cancer. 2019;144:2303–12.

- Chan TA, Yarchoan M, Jaffee E, Swanton C, Quezada SA, Stenzinger A, et al. Development of tumor mutation burden as an immunotherapy biomarker: utility for the oncology clinic. Ann Oncol. 2019;30:44–56.
- Salem ME, Puccini A, Grothey A, Xiu J, Goldberg R, Kim ES, et al. Comparative molecular analysis between microsatellite instabilityhigh (MSI -H) tumors with high tumor mutational burden (TMB-H) versus MSI-H tumors with TMB-intermediate/low. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(suppl_8):viii649–69. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy303.
- Fabrizio DA, George TJ, Dunne RF, Frampton G, Sun J, Gowen K, et al. Beyond microsatellite testing: assessment of tumor mutational burden identifies subsets of colorectal cancer who may respond to immune checkpoint inhibition. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2018;9:610–7.
- Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQM, Hwu W-J, Topalian SL, Hwu P, et al. Safety and activity of anti–PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:2455–65.
- Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Aulakh LK, et al. Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science. 2017;357:409–13.
- 65. Chalabi M, Fanchi LF, Van den Berg JG, Beets GL, Lopez-Yurda M, Aalbers AG, et al. LBA37_PR Neoadjuvant ipilimumab plus nivolumab in early stage colon cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29:mdy424.047.
- Overman MJ, Kopetz S, McDermott RS, Leach J, Lonardi S, Lenz HJ, et al. Nivolumab ± ipilimumab in treatment (tx) of patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with and without high microsatellite instability (MSI-H): CheckMate-142 interim results. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34: 15(suppl, 3501-3501).
- 67. Birendra KC, Hwang JJ, Farhangfar CJ, Chai SJ. Advances in immunotherapy in the treatment of colorectal cancer. Ajho. 2017;13:4–8.
- Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol. 2014;15:R29.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

