## DARBOUX'S THEOREM FAILS FOR WEAK SYMPLECTIC FORMS

## J. MARSDEN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. An example of a weak symplectic form on a Hilbert space for which Darboux's theorem fails is given.

**Introduction.** Let E be a Banach space and  $B: E \times E \rightarrow R$  a continuous bilinear form. Let  $B^{\flat}: E \rightarrow E^*$  be defined by  $B^{\flat}(e) \cdot f = B(e, f)$ . Call B nondegenerate if  $B^{\flat}$  is an isomorphism and call B weakly nondegenerate if  $B^{\flat}$  is injective. For a symmetric bilinear form G on E, define the skew form  $\tilde{G}$  on  $E \times E$  by

$$\tilde{G}((e_1, e_2), (f_1, f_2)) = G(f_2, e_1) - G(e_2, f_1).$$

It is easily seen that  $\tilde{G}$  is nondegenerate (resp. weakly nondegenerate) iff G is.

Now let M be a Banach manifold. A symplectic form (resp. weak symplectic form) on M is a smooth closed two form  $\omega$  on M such that for each  $p \in M$ ,  $\omega$  as a bilinear form on  $T_pM$  is nondegenerate (resp. weakly nondegenerate); here  $T_pM$  is the tangent space at p. Using a technique of Moser, Weinstein ([6], [7]) showed that for each  $p \in M$  there is a local chart about p on which  $\omega$  is constant. This is a significant generalization and simplification of the classical theorem of Darboux. However, in many physical examples (the wave equation and fluid mechanics for instance) one deals with weak symplectic forms (see [1], [3], [4], [5]).

It is therefore interesting to know if Darboux's theorem remains valid for weak symplectic forms. In this note we give a counterexample.

Sympletic forms induced by metrics. If M is a manifold, its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  carries a canonical symplectic form  $\omega$ . If M is modeled on a reflexive space the form is nondegenerate; otherwise it is only weakly nondegenerate. See [1], [4]. Now let  $\langle , \rangle_p$  be a (smooth) weak riemannian metric on M. Then it induces a map of TM to  $T^*M$ . The pull back  $\Omega$ of  $\omega$  to TM is called the form *induced by the metric*. It is a weak symplectic

 $\textcircled{\mbox{\scriptsize o}}$  American Mathematical Society 1972

590

Received by the editors June 4, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 58B20, 58F05.

Key words and phrases. Darboux's theorem, symplectic form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

form and in a chart U for M it is given by (using principal parts):

$$2\Omega_{u,e}((e_1, e_2), (e_3, e_4))$$
  
=  $D_u \langle e, e_1 \rangle_u \cdot e_3 - D_u \langle e, e_3 \rangle_u \cdot e_1 + \langle e_4, e_1 \rangle_u - \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle_u$ 

Here,  $D_u$  denotes the derivative of the map  $u \mapsto \langle e, e_1 \rangle_u$  with respect to u. In the finite dimensional case this corresponds to the classical formula

$$\Omega = \sum g_{ij} dq^i \wedge d\dot{q}^j + \sum \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial q^k} \dot{q}^i dq^j \wedge dq^k.$$

Observe that in the finite dimensional case if we take new variables  $q^1, \dots, q^n, p_1, \dots, p_n$  where  $p_i = \sum g_{ij} \dot{q}^j$ , then (as is easy to check)  $\Omega = \sum dq^i \wedge dp_i$  which gives a chart in which  $\Omega$  is constant.

**The example.** The following is a simplification of an earlier example. We thank the referee and Paul Chernoff for suggestions in this regard.

Let H be a real Hilbert space. Let  $S: H \rightarrow H$  be a compact operator with range a dense, but proper subset of H, which is selfadjoint and positive:  $\langle Sx, x \rangle > 0$  for  $0 \neq x \in H$ . For example if  $H = L_2(\mathbf{R})$ , we can let  $S = (1 - \Delta)^{-1}$  where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian; the range of S is  $H^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

Since S is positive, -1 is clearly not an eigenvalue. Thus, by the Fredholm alternative, aI+S is onto for any real scalar a>0. Define on H the weak metric  $g(x)(e, f) = \langle A_x e, f \rangle$  where  $A_x = S + ||x||^2 I$ . Clearly g is smooth in x, and is an inner product. Let  $\Omega$  be the weak symplectic form on  $H \times H = H_1$  induced by g, as was discussed above.

**PROPOSITION.** There is no coordinate chart about  $(0, 0) \in H_1$  on which  $\Omega$  is constant.

**PROOF.** If there were such a chart, say  $\phi: U \rightarrow H \times H$  where U is a neighborhood of (0, 0), then in particular in this chart, the range F of  $\Omega^{\flat}$ , as a map of  $H_1$  to  $H_1^*$ , would be constant. Let  $B_{x,y}$  be the derivative of  $\phi$  at  $(x, y) \in H_1$ . Then we obtain that the range of  $\Omega_{x,y}^{\flat}$  equals  $B_{x,y}^*F$ .

Now by the above formula for  $\Omega$ , at the point (x, 0) we have

$$2\Omega_{(x,0)}((e_1, e_2), (e_3, e_4)) = g_x(e_4, e_1) - g_x(e_2, e_3).$$

But by construction, for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $g_x$  is a strong metric (i.e.,  $A_x$  is onto for  $x \neq 0$ ), so the range of  $\Omega_{(x,0)}^{\flat}$  is all of  $H_1^*$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Since  $B_{x,y}$  is an isomorphism, this implies that  $\Omega_{(0,0)}^{\flat}$  is onto all of  $H_1^*$  as well. But  $g_0$  is only a weak metric which is not onto as a map of  $H_1$  to  $H_1^*$ . Hence  $\Omega_{(0,0)}^{\flat}$  cannot be onto as well, a contradiction.

As was pointed out by the referee, the example even shows that  $\Omega$  cannot be made constant on a continuous vector bundle chart on  $T^2M \rightarrow TM$ , let alone by a manifold chart on TM.

591

J. MARSDEN

Of course the essense of the example is that the range of  $\Omega$  suddenly changed at one point i.e., the topology of the metric suddenly changed. This is perfectly compatible with the smoothness of  $\Omega$  as it is only a weak symplectic form. This suggests a possible conjecture pointed out by Paul Chernoff: If  $\Omega$  is such that the ranges of  $\Omega_u$  are locally equivalent via an isomorphism, then Darboux's theorem should hold. This can be verified directly in case  $\Omega$  comes from a metric which has locally equivalent ranges.

## References

1. P. Chernoff and J. Marsden, *Hamiltonian systems and quantum mechanics* (in preparation).

2. J. M. Cook, Complex Hilbertian structures on stable linear dynamical systems, J. Math. Mech. 16 (1966), 339-349. MR 34 #625.

3. D. Ebin and J. Marsden, Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid, Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 102-163.

4. J. Marsden, Hamiltonian one parameter groups, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 28 (1967/68), 362–396. MR 37 #1735.

5. I. Segal, Conjugacy to unitary groups within the infinite dimensional symplectic group, Technical Report, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, 1966.

6. A. Weinstein, Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds, Advances in Math. 6 (1971), 329-346.

7. ——, Symplectic structures on Banach manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 1040–1041.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720