

Daylight Simulation: Validation, Sky Models and Daylight Coefficients

John Mardaljevic

Daylight Simulation: Validation, Sky Models and Daylight Coefficients

John Mardaljevic

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements of the De Montfort University for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

December 1999

**Institute of Energy and Sustainable Development
De Montfort University Leicester**

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of
Professor Neil Bowman

Director of the Institute of Energy and Sustainable Development

1994 to 1999

Abstract

The application of lighting simulation techniques for daylight illuminance modelling in architectural spaces is described in this thesis. The prediction tool used for all the work described here is the *Radiance* lighting simulation system.

An overview of the features and capabilities of the *Radiance* system is presented. Daylight simulation using the *Radiance* system is described in some detail. The relation between physical quantities and the lighting simulation parameters is made clear in a series of progressively more complex examples. Effective use of the inter-reflection calculation is described.

The illuminance calculation is validated under real sky conditions for a full-size office space. The simulation model used sky luminance patterns that were based directly on measurements. Internal illuminance predictions are compared with measurements for 754 skies that cover a wide range of naturally occurring conditions. The processing of the sky luminance measurements for the lighting simulation is described. The accuracy of the illuminance predictions is shown to be, in the main, comparable with the accuracy of the model input data. There were a number of predictions with low accuracy. Evidence is presented to show that these result from imprecision in the model specification - such as, uncertainty of the circumsolar luminance - rather than the prediction algorithms themselves. Procedures to visualise and reduce illuminance and lighting-related data are presented.

The ability of sky models to reproduce measured sky luminance patterns for the purpose of predicting internal illuminance is investigated. Four sky models and two sky models blends are assessed. Predictions of internal illuminance using sky models/blends are compared against those using measured sky luminance patterns. The sky model blends and the Perez All-weather model are shown to perform comparably well. Illuminance predictions using measured skies however were invariably better than those using sky models/blends.

Several formulations of the daylight coefficient approach for predicting time varying illuminances are presented. *Radiance* is used to predict the daylight coefficients from which internal illuminances are derived. The form and magnitude of the daylight coefficients are related to the scene geometry and the discretisation scheme. Internal illuminances are derived for four daylight coefficient formulations based on the measured luminance patterns for the 754 skies. For the best of the formulations, the accuracy of the daylight coefficient derived illuminances is shown to be comparable to that using the standard *Radiance* calculation method.

The use of the daylight coefficient approach to both accurately and efficiently predict hourly internal daylight illuminance levels for an entire year is described. Daylight coefficients are invariant to building orientation for a fixed building configuration. This property of daylight coefficients is exploited to yield hourly internal illuminances for a full year as a function of building orientation. Visual data analysis techniques are used to display and process the massive number of derived illuminances.

Acknowledgements

This research was carried out at the Institute of Energy and Sustainable Development, De Montfort University Leicester (and 4 Hosefield Avenue, Aberdeen).

I would like to offer thanks to Professor Neil Bowman and Professor Kevin Lomas for supporting for this work - especially during the three years when I was working at the Marine Laboratory Aberdeen. Thanks to Professor Lomas for proof-reading the final draft and suggestions to clarify the text.

Dr. Paul Littlefair and Maurice Aizlewood of the Building Research Establishment provided the validation data. Their assistance and advice was much appreciated.

The following all deserve mention. Dr. Mike Heath (Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen) who showed consideration above and beyond what might be normally expected. Dr. Derek Raine (Astronomy Dept., Leicester University) who, some time ago, encouraged me to write my own (active-galaxy X-ray) illumination model and, unwittingly, provided the foundation for this work. Marilyn Uffner Alan (Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco) for assistance with the book chapter and obtaining permission to reproduce it here, and David Bartholomew (BEPAC) for doing the same for Chapter C. Ian Ashdown (Ledalite, Canada) for bringing me in on the first Siggraph (1995), and Rob Shakespeare for just being there at both of them.

It (almost) goes without saying that I owe the greatest debt of thanks to Greg Ward and all of his alter-egos.

Finally, I must thank Shona for her patience and understanding. Her words on hearing that this thesis was (at long last) finished were, unfortunately, unrepeatable. Especially in front of Elspeth!

I declare that the content of the submission represents solely my own work.

John Mardaljevic, December 1999.

Contents

Chapter	1.	<i>Introduction</i>	1
Chapter	2.	<i>Daylight Simulation</i>	5
2.1		Daylight: Monitoring, Sky Models, and Daylight Indoors	7
2.1.1		Measuring Daylight	8
2.1.2		Sky Models	9
2.1.3		Daylight Indoors—The Components of Illuminance	10
2.2		Evaluation Techniques and Accuracy	10
2.2.1		The Daylight Factor Approach	12
2.2.2		Pictures, Numbers, and Accuracy	13
2.2.3		Color Specification	14
2.3		Case Study I: Creating the Luminous Environment	15
2.3.1		Example: Uniform Sky	15
2.3.2		Example: CIE Overcast Sky	16
2.3.3		Example: CIE Overcast Sky Defined by Its Horizontal Illuminance	18
2.3.4		The Ground “Glow”: An “Upside-Down” Sky	19
2.3.5		Summary	20
2.4		Case Study II: Predicting Internal Illuminances	21
2.4.1		A Simple Space	21
2.4.2		Computing Daylight Factor Values	21
2.4.3		The Dayfact Script	23
2.5		Case Study III: Introducing Complexity	24
2.6		DF Prediction: Tricks of the Trade	27
2.6.1		Appropriate Complexity	27
2.6.2		Views from the DF Plane	28
2.6.3		The Ambient Exclude/Include Options	28
2.7		Case Study IV: Creating Skies with Sun	28
2.7.1		Gensky	29
2.7.2		Time of Day Image Sequence	30
2.7.3		Gendaylit	32

2.7.4	Sky Spectral Radiance Values	32
2.8	Rendering Scenes Illuminated by Sunny Skies	32
2.8.1	A Note about the Rad Program	32
2.8.2	The Simple Space Lit by a Sunny Sky	33
2.8.3	The Mkillum Approach	34
2.9	Visualizing a Highly Detailed Atrium Scene	36
2.9.1	Ambient Calculation Parameter Values	37
2.9.2	Batch Rendering	41
2.9.3	Summary	42
2.10	Conclusion	42

Chapter **3.** *Validation I: Preparation* **43**

3.1	The validation dataset	44
3.1.1	Measured quantities and site details	45
3.1.2	Internal conditions: illuminance measurements	46
3.1.3	External conditions: monitoring the sky and sun	49
3.1.4	Comparison of the validation dataset composition with the Kew TRY	53
3.1.5	Scope of the validation	56
3.2	The lighting simulation models	56
3.2.1	The office model	56
3.2.2	The sun and sky models - generic form in the simulation	59
3.2.3	Modelling the sky and sun	60
3.2.4	The brightdata format	62
3.2.5	Pre-process of the sky luminance measurements	67
3.2.6	Deficiencies in the model sky representation	75
3.2.7	A hypothesis concerning potentially unreliable photocell-sky combinations	79
3.3	The lighting simulation - preparation	81
3.3.1	Simulation parameter settings and accuracy	81
3.3.2	Optimization methodology	85
3.3.3	Ambient calculation - progression and convergence characteristics	89
3.3.4	Automation of the simulations	90
3.4	Conclusion	94

Chapter **4.** *Validation II: Results and Analysis* **96**

4.1	External illuminance predictions	96
4.1.1	Results and discussion	97
4.2	Internal illuminance predictions	103
4.2.1	Individual cases	103
4.2.2	All 754 skies	108
4.3	Error characteristics related to positional factors	113
4.3.1	Sun angle relative to glazing normal	113
4.3.2	Errors related to the sun angle distribution	114
4.3.3	High RERs related to the "view" from the photocell location	118
4.3.4	Effect of frame bar shadowing	120
4.4	Errors related to illuminance components	125
4.4.1	Components of illuminance	126
4.4.2	Errors versus fraction of illuminance component	127
4.4.3	Summary	131
4.5	Partition of the validation dataset	132

4.5.1	Test for circumsolar region visibility	133
4.5.2	Results for the partitioned data	134
4.5.3	Summary	144
4.6	The ambient parameter resolution revisited	144
4.6.1	Low ambient parameter resolution	145
4.6.2	High ambient parameter resolution	148
4.6.3	Summary	149
4.7	The circumsolar exclusion region revisited	151
4.7.1	Luminance gradients in the circumsolar region	151
4.7.2	Errors as a function of CER angle	156
4.7.3	Summary	158
4.8	Conclusion	159

Chapter **5.** *Sky Models for Lighting Simulation* **163**

5.1	Introduction.....	164
5.1.1	Real and model skies	165
5.1.2	Summary	170
5.2	Radiance generator programs for sky models	170
5.2.1	The models supported by gensky	170
5.2.2	The gendaylit program	175
5.3	Evaluation I: 'Pure' sky models.....	175
5.3.1	Automation of the simulations	176
5.3.2	External illuminance predictions	178
5.3.3	Analysis of RERs for vertical illuminance predictions.....	181
5.3.4	Internal illuminance predictions.....	185
5.4	Evaluation II: Sky model blends	191
5.4.1	Model sky blends: ex post facto synthesis	191
5.4.2	The blending functions.....	193
5.4.3	Illuminance predictions for sky blends	197
5.5	Conclusion	207

Chapter **6.** *Daylight Coefficients: Formulation, Validation and Application* **210**

6.1	Introduction.....	210
6.1.1	The daylight factor approach to annual estimates	212
6.1.2	Annual daylight provision based on varying sky conditions.....	213
6.2	Daylight coefficients: Fundamentals, prediction and analysis.....	215
6.2.1	Fundamentals	215
6.2.2	Overview of the discretisation schemes	218
6.2.3	The 'Naive Method'	219
6.2.4	The 'Default Refined Method'	228
6.2.5	The 'Finescale Refined Method'	237
6.3	Validation of DC derived illuminances	243
6.3.1	DC formulation for validation	243
6.3.2	Variants of the daylight coefficient formulation	246
6.3.3	Pre-process of the sky luminance measurements	247
6.3.4	Results.....	250
6.3.5	Summary	256

6.4	DC Based daylighting analysis: The way ahead	256
6.4.1	Background.....	256
6.4.2	A system to predict time-varying illuminances	257
6.4.3	Example 1: Introduction to ADPs	261
6.4.4	Example 2: Parametric evaluation of ADPs.....	264
6.4.5	Example 3: ADPs and the daylight factor method.....	267
6.4.6	Implementation and application issues.....	274
6.5	Conclusion	280
Chapter	7. Conclusion	281
7.1	Summary.....	281
7.2	Suggestions for further work	284
	<i>Bibliography</i>	289
Appendix	A. Validation data	291
A.1	Equations.....	291
A.2	Scanid.....	291
A.3	Composition of the validation array.....	298
Appendix	B. Published work	300
B.1	List of related publications	300
Appendix	C. The Radiance system	301

Figures

Chapter 1. *Introduction*

Chapter 2. *Daylight Simulation*

Figure 2-1.	Basic daylight components: (a) global horizontal (sky and sun), (b) diffuse horizontal (sky only), and (c) direct normal (sun only).....	8
Figure 2-2.	Components of daylight: (a) direct sun, (b) direct sky, (c) externally reflected, and (d) internally reflected.	11
Figure 2-3.	Internal and external horizontal illuminance.....	12
Figure 2-4.	Illuminance calculation (a) can be used to calculate daylight factors. Image generation (b) can be used to render images with detail corresponding to need.....	13
Figure 2-5.	The luminous “envelope” describes luminance as a function of incident direction.....	20
Figure 2-6.	Plan view of room.....	21
Figure 2-7.	Daylight factor plots showing the effects of the -ab parameter. The top graph (a) uses fewer samples over the hemisphere, -ad 512 -as 0, than the bottom graph (b) which uses -ad 1024 -as 64.	22
Figure 2-8.	Ground plane versus ground glow.	24
Figure 2-9.	Ambient bounces and the ground plane.....	25
Figure 2-10.	Daylight factor curves with ground plane and obstruction. The top graph (a) shows the -aa 0.2 setting, which results in an inappropriate interpolation. The bottom graph (b) shows better results with the -aa 0.1 setting.....	26
Figure 2-11.	Nesting of a detailed office module in a coarsely modeled atrium building.	27
Figure 2-12.	Two views from the daylight factor plane: unobstructed view (a), and view with nearby building (b).	28
Figure 2-13.	Possible light transfers for ambient bounces equal to 0 (a), and 1 (b).	34
Figure 2-14.	The direct solar component (a) is not accounted for by mkillum because it is part of the <i>Radiance</i> direct calculation. The direct sky component (b) is accounted for by mkillum, as is the indirect solar component (c), and the indirect sky component (d).	36

Figure 2-15.	A daylight simulation of an atrium designed by Foggo Architects, U.K. (Model courtesy of John Mardaljevic).....	37
--------------	---	----

Chapter 3. *Validation I: Preparation*

Figure 3-1.	Instrumentation layout and obstructions to view above horizon	46
Figure 3-2.	Building 9 with inset showing test offices.....	47
Figure 3-3.	Photographs of the BRE office rooms (a) single glazing and (b) innovative glazing	47
Figure 3-4.	The BRE test cell	48
Figure 3-5.	Krochmann PRC sky scanner positioned on the roof of the BRE lighting laboratory building and detail.....	50
Figure 3-6.	Sky scanner measurement pattern	51
Figure 3-7.	Distribution of validation dataset samples from the year 1992.....	53
Figure 3-8.	Distribution in azimuth and altitude for validation database and entire year	54
Figure 3-9.	Distribution in clearness index compared to TRY	55
Figure 3-10.	Line drawing and rendering of office scene description	57
Figure 3-11.	Simplified ground plane model	58
Figure 3-12.	Sky and sun source geometry (not to scale)	60
Figure 3-13.	Hybrid deterministic/stochastic sampling of the light sources for the sun and the sky	61
Figure 3-14.	Application of brightdata pattern type	63
Figure 3-15.	Sky luminance data - measured and brightdata-format grids	64
Figure 3-16.	Comparison of the measurement pattern (a) with the brightdata format grid (b).....	67
Figure 3-17.	Interpolation across concatenated vector	69
Figure 3-18.	Rectangular and circular patch geometry	70
Figure 3-19.	Sky 093_92_13h15.....	72
Figure 3-20.	Sky 125_92_13h15.....	73
Figure 3-21.	Sky 273_92_12h15.....	74
Figure 3-22.	Horizontal and vertical illuminances	75
Figure 3-23.	Schematic for sky luminance versus angle	76
Figure 3-24.	Sky scanner (a) and solar tracker (b) acceptance angles (not to scale) ...	77
Figure 3-25.	False colour maps and profiles of the circumsolar luminance for a measured-interpolated sky and a Perez model sky for case 188_92_13h30.....	78
Figure 3-26.	Predicted sky component (daylight factor) versus number of ambient divisions	83
Figure 3-27.	Constant ambient value approximation	84
Figure 3-28.	Results for ambient divisions excursion.....	87
Figure 3-29.	Recursive progression of ambient calculation; levels 1 to 6.....	89
Figure 3-30.	Convergence characteristics of the illuminance calculation	91
Figure 3-31.	Structure of the 'executive' program	95

Chapter 4. *Validation II: Results and Analysis*

Figure 4-1.	Predictions for total vertical illuminances	98
Figure 4-2.	Vertical illuminance RER time-series	100
Figure 4-3.	Vertical illuminance RER time-series	101
Figure 4-4.	Vertical illuminance RER time-series	102
Figure 4-5.	Vertical illuminance RER time-series	103
Figure 4-6.	Clear glazing - 102_92_13h00 and 121_92_14h15	105
Figure 4-7.	Diffuse light shelf - 137_92_12h00 and mirror light shelf - 318_92_12h00.....	106
Figure 4-8.	Rendering and luminance map for room with mirror light shelf 318_92_12h00	108
Figure 4-9.	Predicted vs measured illuminance scatter plot	109
Figure 4-10.	Frequency distribution in RER - all skies.....	110
Figure 4-11.	Relative error versus scan number.....	111
Figure 4-12.	Number (per bin) versus RER 	112
Figure 4-13.	Illustration for sun incidence angle plots.....	114
Figure 4-14.	Relative error versus angle between sun position and glazing normal.....	115
Figure 4-15.	Relative error versus angle between sun position and glazing normal.....	116
Figure 4-16.	MBE and RMSE as a function of binned sun position	117
Figure 4-17.	Photocell view of sun position	119
Figure 4-18.	Key renderings for sun positions	119
Figure 4-19.	Illustration for photocell renderings (127_92_12h00 p_cell 2).....	121
Figure 4-20.	Photocell 1 - day 102_92.....	122
Figure 4-21.	Photocell 2 - day 127_92.....	122
Figure 4-22.	Photocell 2 - day 318_92.....	123
Figure 4-23.	Vector geometry	124
Figure 4-24.	Illuminance components.....	126
Figure 4-25.	Fraction inter-reflected component by photocell	128
Figure 4-26.	Fraction sky component by photocell.....	129
Figure 4-27.	Mean absolute fractional error as a function of binned fractional component of illuminance.....	130
Figure 4-28.	Fraction of total per bin	131
Figure 4-29.	Generating ray bundles to test for visibility of circumsolar disc	135
Figure 4-30.	RER histograms for 'reliable' data.....	136
Figure 4-31.	RER histograms for 'potentially unreliable' data.....	136
Figure 4-32.	Partitioned dataset	138
Figure 4-33.	MBE and RMSE stratified by clearness index	139
Figure 4-34.	Illuminance RER time-series	140
Figure 4-35.	Illuminance RER time-series	141
Figure 4-36.	Illuminance RER time-series	142
Figure 4-37.	Illuminance RER time-series	143
Figure 4-38.	Lo-amb results	146
Figure 4-39.	Photocell points reversed	147
Figure 4-40.	Lo-amb results with calculation points reversed.....	147
Figure 4-41.	Hi-amb results.....	149
Figure 4-42.	Accuracy versus parameter resolution.....	150
Figure 4-43.	Renderings of model and measured skies for day 318_92	153
Figure 4-44.	Renderings of model and measured skies for day 121_92	154

Figure 4-45.	Sensitivity of errors to CER angle	157
Figure 4-46.	Fractions versus CDOA.....	159
Figure 4-47.	'Complex buildings'	161

Chapter 5. *Sky Models for Lighting Simulation*

Figure 5-1.	Overcast (a) and overcast-intermediate (b) skies	168
Figure 5-2.	Intermediate-clear (a) and clear (b) skies	169
Figure 5-3.	Luminance profile and maps for narrow-range sky models	174
Figure 5-4.	CIE overcast sky model.....	178
Figure 5-5.	CIE clear sky model.....	179
Figure 5-6.	Intermediate sky model.....	180
Figure 5-7.	Perez all-weather model.....	180
Figure 5-8.	Sky models MBE and RMSE	182
Figure 5-9.	Internal illuminance: sky models MBE and RMSE	186
Figure 5-10.	Sky model percentiles-RER comparison.....	188
Figure 5-11.	RER time-series for overcast (with sun) model - CS6-VIS and CS6+VIS.....	189
Figure 5-12.	Example composite skies.....	194
Figure 5-13.	Linear and power-law blending functions.....	195
Figure 5-14.	Plots of RMSEs for linear blends	196
Figure 5-15.	Maps of RMSEs for power-law blend	197
Figure 5-16.	Clear + overcast sky composite.....	198
Figure 5-17.	Intermediate + overcast sky composite	198
Figure 5-18.	Sky models and composites MBE and RMSE	199
Figure 5-19.	Percentile sky blends.....	201
Figure 5-20.	Time-series RER for clear-overcast and intermediate-overcast blends	202
Figure 5-21.	Time-series RER for clear-overcast blend and Perez	203
Figure 5-22.	Time-series RER for clear-overcast blend and Perez	204
Figure 5-23.	Time-series RER for clear-overcast blend and Perez	205
Figure 5-24.	Time-series RER for clear-overcast blend and Perez	206

Chapter 6. *Daylight Coefficients: Formulation, Validation and Application*

Figure 6-1.	Global and diffuse illuminance availability (klux)	211
Figure 6-2.	Cumulative diffuse illuminance.....	212
Figure 6-3.	Daylight coefficient basics	216
Figure 6-4.	DC patch schemes based on scanner measurement pattern	219
Figure 6-5.	Patch ID and building orientation	220
Figure 6-6.	The naive formulation	221
Figure 6-7.	Predicted DCs for the NM.....	224
Figure 6-8.	Identical DC values for the same patch positions.....	226
Figure 6-9.	Incomplete sky coverage with 'circular' sources.....	227
Figure 6-10.	Sun displacement angle.....	227
Figure 6-11.	'Aimed' rays	229
Figure 6-12.	The refined formulation	231

Figure 6-13.	Calculated daylight coefficients for the default Refined Method	233
Figure 6-14.	Photocell hemispherical views for scene illuminated by patch# 17	235
Figure 6-15.	NM and RM comparison test	236
Figure 6-16.	Four 'finescale' patches for each 'default' patch.....	238
Figure 6-17.	Indirect DCMs for the finescale discretisation at p_cells 1 and 6	240
Figure 6-18.	Relationship between the building configuration and the spatial pattern in the coefficient of variation for p_cell 6	241
Figure 6-19.	The four components of illumination.....	244
Figure 6-20.	Comparison of scanner measurement pattern with DC patch scheme	248
Figure 6-21.	Sky 125_92_13h15.....	249
Figure 6-22.	Error characteristics for the 4 DC variants and the standard calculation	251
Figure 6-23.	Comparison standard calculation and DC variant 4	252
Figure 6-24.	Comparison standard calculation and DC variant 4	253
Figure 6-25.	Comparison standard calculation and DC variant 4	254
Figure 6-26.	Comparison standard calculation and DC variant 4	255
Figure 6-27.	Key TRY time series maps	262
Figure 6-28.	Sky model mixing function.....	263
Figure 6-29.	DC derived illuminances for p_cell 3 (south glazing)	264
Figure 6-30.	DC derived illuminance components.....	265
Figure 6-31.	Rotation-invariant nature of the DCM	266
Figure 6-32.	'MAP-rose' for p_cell3	267
Figure 6-33.	'FRQ-rose' for p_cell3	268
Figure 6-34.	'CML-rose' for p_cell3	269
Figure 6-35.	'TI-rose' for all photocells	270
Figure 6-36.	Frequency distribution of predicted TDFs as a function of glazing orientation	271
Figure 6-37.	Examination of TDF distributions for three orientations.....	273

Tables

Chapter 1. *Introduction*

Chapter 2. *Daylight Simulation*

Table 2-1.	Minimum separation and relative computational cost for a range of -ar settings.....	40
------------	---	----

Chapter 3. *Validation I: Preparation*

Table 3-1.	Sample from file for day 318_92.csv	49
Table 3-2.	Global quantities file	51
Table 3-3.	Sample from global quantities file sl319_92.alr.....	52
Table 3-4.	Measured data for case 102_92_13h00.....	52
Table 3-5.	Code example sun/sky input file	65
Table 3-6.	Data file for sky 102_92_13h00 (radiance values)	66
Table 3-7.	Pattern of rectangular patches.....	70
Table 3-8.	Likely luminance gradients across regions	78
Table 3-9.	Source visibility related errors - type, circumstance and effect.....	80
Table 3-10.	'Basecase' parameter values (av=0)	88
Table 3-11.	Number of points at each level where hemispherical sampling was initiated.	90
Table 3-12.	Measured quantities by vector index	92
Table 3-13.	Vector index for first predicted quantities.....	93

Chapter 4. *Validation II: Results and Analysis*

Table 4-1.	Ambient parameters for external illuminance predictions.....	97
Table 4-2.	Summary of sky conditions and glazing type	104
Table 4-3.	Approximate horizontal and vertical angles subtended by shadow discs at glazing mid-point.....	124
Table 4-4.	Ambient parameter settings (av=0)	145

Table 4-5.	Glazing sampling probability at photocell locations normalised to 1 at p_cell 1.....	148
Table 4-6.	Difference between measured (patch) luminances and equivalent patches from continuous sky luminances	155
Chapter	5. <i>Sky Models for Lighting Simulation</i>	
Table 5-1.	Measured distribution and sky model specification.....	166
Table 5-2.	Sky generator program parameters.....	177
Table 5-3.	Vertical illuminance: average MBE and RMSE	183
Table 5-4.	Internal illuminance: average MBE and RMSE	187
Chapter	6. <i>Daylight Coefficients: Formulation, Validation and Application</i>	
Table 6-1.	DC Variants.....	247
Appendix	A. <i>Validation data</i>	
Table A-1.	Scan ID.....	292
Table A-2.	Predicted quantities by vector index	298

“Das licht! Das licht!”

Tristan und Isolde, Act II

Richard Wagner



Introduction

"I read part of it all the way through"

SAM GOLDWYN

The goal of the work described in this thesis is the accurate simulation of hourly internal daylight illuminance levels for a full year under naturally occurring meteorological conditions. The prediction tool used for all the work described in this thesis was the *Radiance* lighting simulation system [Ward 98]. Chapter 2 is an introduction to daylight simulation using the *Radiance* system. This chapter was originally published in the book **Rendering with Radiance: the Art and Science of Lighting Visualization**.¹ This chapter introduces to the reader the fundamentals of using *Radiance* for exacting daylighting simulation work. The chapter covers a range of topics from daylight factor prediction for simple spaces to creating renderings of highly complex architectural designs. Throughout the chapter, a strong emphasis is placed on the relation between physical

1. Note that the originator of the *Radiance* system has been known variously as: Greg Ward, Greg Larson and Greg Ward Larson. Despite this, and several changes of employment, he has yet to escape recognition as the (effective) sole originator/creator of the *Radiance* system. As of late-1999, 'Greg Ward' is the name to use.

quantities and the lighting simulation parameters. The use of the ambient calculation for inter-reflected light, generally considered to be one of the more perplexing features of the *Radiance* system, is carefully described. The examples given in this chapter demonstrate how the performance of the ambient calculation can be optimized by judicious examination of the scene prior to attempting any simulations. This chapter was conceived as a ‘tutorial’ on daylight simulation for those already familiar with, at least, the basics of the *Radiance* system.² The chapters that follow are concerned with the validation of the illuminance calculation and the formulation, application and proving of techniques for daylight illuminance prediction.

The validation of the *Radiance* illuminance calculation under real sky conditions is described in Chapter 3 (Preparation) and Chapter 4 (Results). The validation dataset is based on simultaneous measurements of the internal illuminance in an office space and the luminance distribution of the sky.³ The internal illuminance was recorded at six locations along the length of the office, and the sky luminance was measured at 145 points evenly distributed across the hemisphere. The validation dataset contains measurements - internal and external - for 754 unique skies that cover a wide range of naturally occurring conditions: from heavily overcast, through intermediate to clear. The sky brightness patterns used in the lighting simulation were based directly on the sky luminance measurements. A hypothesis regarding potentially unreliable photocell-sky combinations in the validation dataset is advanced. Chapter 4 begins with a summary presentation of the validation results. Thereafter, the validation results are subjected to a range of investigations. These analyses are gradually refined and evidence is presented to support the hypothesis advanced in Chapter 3. On the strength of this evidence, the photocell-sky combinations in the validation dataset are partitioned into ‘reliable’ and ‘potentially unreliable’

2. For a practical introduction to *Radiance*, readers are directed to Chapters 1 to 4 of the book *Rendering with Radiance*.

3. Validation data were supplied by the Building Research Establishment.

sets based on the visibility of the circumsolar region from the photocell location. The accuracy of the illuminance predictions for the 'reliable' set is taken to be the intrinsic accuracy of the illuminance calculation.

The use of sky models for lighting simulation is investigated in Chapter 5. For this, the validation exercise was repeated but now the sky luminance patterns are based on sky models. The sensitivity of internal illuminance predictions to sky model type is evaluated. In all, four different sky model formulations and two sky model blends are assessed.

An implementation of the daylight coefficient approach for *Radiance* is described in Chapter 6. With the daylight coefficient approach, the internal illuminance for arbitrary sun and sky conditions can be evaluated by re-using pre-computed daylight coefficient values for a discretised sky. Five candidate daylight coefficient formulations for *Radiance* are examined. One of these is found to be potentially very inaccurate and it is eliminated from further consideration. The accuracy of the remaining formulations is tested using the validation dataset. Illuminance predictions for the office space are derived from daylight coefficients using the measured sky luminance patterns for all 754 skies in the validation dataset. The accuracy of daylight coefficient derived illuminance predictions are compared against measurements and those obtained using the standard *Radiance* calculation method. This chapter then shows how daylight coefficients can be used to predict the annual daylighting potential of an architectural space. A methodology for the efficient evaluation of annual daylighting potential as a function of building orientation is presented. Several possible applications for these new techniques are discussed. The thesis concludes with a list of suggestions for the practical application of the new techniques and recommendations for future work.

Familiarity with the *Radiance* system is a desirable, though not essential, prerequisite for critical reading of this thesis. It is hoped that many of the

findings described here will be of interest, to a greater or lesser degree, to all those concerned with daylight prediction.