

Año LII. urtea

130 - 2020

Uztaila-abendua
Julio-diciembre



FONTES LINGVÆ VASCONVM STVDIA ET DOCUMENTA

SEPARATA

Varia.

Days and names of days

Luis MICHELENA (Translator: Jose Ignacio HUALDE)

Days and names of days

Luis MICHELENA

Originally published in Basque as «Egunak eta egun izenak» in *Munibe*, 23 (1971, pp. 583-591).

Translation:

José Ignacio HUALDE

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

jihualde@illinois.edu

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4969-2995>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.35462/flv.130.9>

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE

The article by Luis Michelena (Mitxelena) offered here in English translation was originally published in Basque as «Egunak eta egun izenak» in a Basque journal of anthropology and archeology, *Munibe*, 23 (1971, p. 583-591) (now available online at <http://www.aranzadi.eus/fileadmin/docs/Munibe/1971583591.pdf>), in an issue that contains a collection of papers written in honor of the Basque ethnologist J. M. Barandiaran on the occasion of his 80th birthday. The article has been reprinted several times, most recently in 2011, in volume VIII of the compilation of all of Michelena's work (*Luis Michelena, Obras Completas*) undertaken by J. A. Lakarra and I. Ruiz Arzalluz. This paper is a classic demonstration of Michelena's etymological *savoir faire*. By publishing this English translation now, we hope to make Michelena's work more accessible to a wider readership.

Although I have tried to be faithful to the original text, I have also felt that my work as a translator included making the text intelligible in a different context, for a very different audience than the originally intended one. Michelena wrote the paper in Basque for a readership of specialists in the Basque language. For his readers, mentioning, for instance, that Father Izagirre recorded a certain form in Altsasu might have been enough to identify the source. Similarly, for this specialized readership, «Cap., Mic., Añib., etc.» and the like might have been meaningful without further details. Some missing details in the references were provided by the editors of the *Obras Completas*. For the many remaining incomplete references, I have endeavored to find the relevant information to the best of my ability. Following modern practice, all bibliographical references, which Michelena provided in footnotes, often in abbreviated form, have been moved to the end of the paper and are cited in the text of this translation in the name and year (and page) format that will be familiar to readers. In those instances where Michelena gave only the name of the author, without identifying the specific work, I have placed the year (and page numbers if they were missing) in square brackets in the text, e.g. «Izagirre [1967]». If the work was uniquely identified in Michelena's text, I have not used any special formatting

to distinguish publication details that were missing and that I have added. Other minor additions that I have made for greater clarity are also enclosed within brackets.

Another liberty that I have taken in this translation has to do with formatting. In the original, Michelena quotes whole sentences in Basque from other sources within the same paragraph. In order to facilitate parsing, and to enable readers who do not know Basque to better appreciate the points that Michelena intended to make, I have added space before and after such examples (with their English gloss) and have highlighted relevant words by bolding or italics, except when such quotes appear in the footnotes, where this would have been impractical.

In addition, I have added clarificatory information in footnotes when it has seemed to me that readers would benefit from some guidance. The text in these added footnotes is preceded by the abbreviation ‘TN’ (Translator’s Note). Some of these footnotes include references to work that appeared after Michelena’s article was published or even after his death. These works are identified in the references at the end by being enclosed within brackets. I want to thank Julen Manterola for his help in tracking down a couple of elusive references and Joseba Lakarra for carefully checking the translation against the original, clarifying for me the meaning of certain sentences within the context in which the original text was written, and answering my questions regarding editions and scholarship. I am also thankful to Begoña Echeverria and Joyce Tolliver for corrections and comments on the translation.

Luis Michelena¹

DAYS AND NAMES OF DAYS

1. There are some areas of study with which both ethnologists and linguists have been concerned for a long time. Given the fact that every language is the main conveyor and manifestation of a culture, or, in other words, of a people, it provides evidence for its past stages and it is in some ways a mirror, sometimes clear, often blurry, of the culture of the people who speak it. And, because, like an avaricious man or a thieving magpie, it is fiercely attached to the riches that it has gathered here and there, it is oftentimes the only witness to history. Its testimony, however, is not always, perhaps not even most of the time, loud and clear; but, rather, something like a barely visible footprint or the sound of a clapperless cowbell. The linguist must thus labor from both sides: on the one hand, from the side of things (and «things» here are not only those that can be seen and touched, but also the collection of all socially created and transmitted traditions); on the other, from the side of the words themselves².

1 TN. The article is signed *Luis Mitxelena*, which is the spelling the author used when writing in Basque. When he wrote in Spanish or French or his work was published in English translation, however, he signed *Michelena*.

2 TN. Michelena is making a reference here to the *Wörter und Sachen* or ‘words and things’ approach to etymology.

A linguist, it should be obvious, cannot leave the «things» aside, being concerned solely with words. Nevertheless, the words themselves are for linguists their special and chosen guide, since that is their profession. A linguist knows that the light that words shed is oftentimes faint and weak, but also that not even the faintest light can be ignored in areas where we walk so much in the dark.

A language's light scatters more widely when close and distant relatives are found, as happens in some language families³. We Basques don't have anything of the sort, as we are still alone, regarding relatives and family, in spite of many efforts. A long-written history can compensate in part for that lack, but we have had no luck in this regard either. It would appear that, old as we are as a people, we were born yesterday with respect to the history of the language.

2. The issue that I would like to discuss here concerns the Basque word *egun* 'day'. Not the word *egun* itself, which is an orphan with no known parents, but other words that are related to *egun* 'day' in their meaning or in their form.

Etymology, in any language, has clear limits, which define wider or narrower domains. The boundaries of Basque etymology, because of the linguistic isolation we have just mentioned, are even narrower than those of other European languages. Leaving aside «pure Basque words» (that is, those for which nobody has found any other origin yet), we only have two etymological sources: loanwords, especially those that can be explained through Latin and Romance, and those Basque words which Joannes D'Etcheberri of Sara [1712] called *moldatuak* ['formed or molded'], that is, words created by derivation and compounding from morphemes and other words that can be identified in the Basque language itself.

As I have mentioned elsewhere⁴, the latter class of words are not always obvious to everyone. With the passing of time, they have become opaque. With the years, their original components have merged and there is no clear way to reconstruct the structure that perhaps they once had. We might be able to discover something, to guess what might have happened; hardly, however, will we—or at least I—have the strength to convince someone else beyond all reasonable doubt. In this article, then, I will deal with what might have been, rather than with what necessarily was. And this is so by absolute necessity, not because it is my wish. There may be judges who could decide on these issues; this could happen if older texts are found. However, whether such texts might be found or when they may arrive to us is not something that we can know. The last word, then, must be left to a future time.

3 To find out what one can do in the case of the Indo-European languages, readers may consult Benveniste (1969), which is the most recent book and not the weakest.

4 See Michelena (1969). If I mention my own work here, it is not because I am the only *iustus iudex*, which I am not, but because it would be redundant and tiresome to repeat here what I have explained at length before.

3. Let us start with the word for ‘Thursday’. In Bizkaia, the most widespread word for that meaning is *eguen*. As Barandiaran has pointed out repeatedly, the Bizkaian dialect is quite distinct regarding the names of the days of the week, among other things (Barandiaran, 1934, p. 79, 1953, p. 171, 1960, p. 121).

Nevertheless, *eguen* is not the only form that the word for ‘Thursday’ takes in the western area. There is, in addition, *eguun* (in Mungia) and, notably, *eguaun* in eight villages in Álava/Araba (in the area of Zigoitia and in Nafarrate), as shown in the data from the «Triple Questionnaire» (*Erizkizundi Irukoitza*) published by the Basque Academy (Euskaltzaindia 1926, p. 52). And this is the problem: one could easily derive *eguen* from *eguaun* by sound change; but it would be much harder to derive *eguaun* from *eguen*. Admittedly, *eguaun* could still have developed, if not by regular sound change, by crossing and confusion with something else. But, for that, it would have to be a recent form; and it is not at all recent. Rather, it is the oldest variant of this word that has arrived to us. As a matter of fact, in Landucci’s dictionary, written in 1562, we find *eguaun* and not *eguen* (Landucci, 1562/1958, p. 43, 138).

For that reason, I thought that *eguaun* could be from something like **egu-egun* ‘day of day(s)’, rather than simply **egu-ren* ‘of day(s)’ (FHV, p. 92). If we take what Barandiaran himself says in different places literally, it would seem that the word could be understood either way.

4. It is a common opinion that the Basque names for the days of the week have been transformed in some measure by the influence of the neighboring languages. The western forms *ilen* ‘Monday’⁵ and *marti(t)zen* ‘Tuesday’, for instance, are generally assumed to correspond to Spanish *lunes* and *martes*, respectively. What we need to examine in more detail is what that relationship is exactly.

In Latin and its descendants, as well as in other languages that have been under their influence in the naming of the days the week, we find three distinct patterns. We may have, for instance, Lat. *veneris* ‘of Venus’ by itself (as in Sp. *viernes*), *veneris dies* (as in Fr. *vendredi*, It. *venerdì*) or *dies veneris* (as in Cat. *divendres*) (see Tagliavini, 1963, p. 65, Rohlfs 1960, p. 49 and map 17)⁶. Among these three possible structures, the third one would be the most difficult one in Basque, and it is not surprising that we don’t find any traces of it in this language. But the question I would like to ask is this: Can we be sure that in *il-en* ‘Monday’ the ending *-en* is what it would seem to be, namely the well-known [genitive] suffix *-en*? Couldn’t it be, instead, even if it is not so obvious, a contraction of the word *egun* ‘day’?

I see two advantages to this new proposal. First of all, it has the support of the above-mentioned *eguen* ‘Thursday’, since it should be clear that the ending of this form

5 TN. (*b*)*il* ‘month’ < ‘moon’, cf. *ilargi* ‘moon’ (compound of *bil* + *argi* ‘light’).

6 M. Alvar’s additions to the translation of Rohlfs’s book are relevant. In the place that we have indicated he quotes Menéndez Pidal (1950, p. 393): «*dillunes* vivía en la lengua rústica de Salamanca en el siglo XV».

is a shortening of *egun* ‘day’ (or at least something other than *-en*). Secondly, it is also supported by the western form *martitzen* or *martizen* ‘Tuesday’⁷. If we start from **marti(t)z egun*, we only need one genitive, the one already present in Latin *martis* ‘of Mars’. Otherwise, we would have two suffixes with the same meaning, Lat. *-is* and Bq. *-en*. And, of course, the most economical hypothesis is best regarding these issues. If what I am proposing is correct, or at least comes close to the truth, the words *ilen* and *marti(t)zen* would be analogous to Fr. *lundi* and *mardi*, respectively, not to Sp. *lunes* and *martes*.

5. If we now consider the day before Sunday, its most widespread name in Basque at present is clearly *larunbat*. However, although that is now the most common form of that word, it is not the only one. One of the oldest variants, which, as it happens, comes from the Bizkaian area, can be read in the book *Refranes y Sentencias* (Anonymous, 1596):

1 2 3 4
Eguzqui baco laurenbatic ez
 4 3 2 1
 No ay sabado sin sol
 ‘There is no Saturday without sun’⁸.
 (RS, 1596, proverb 159)

Similarly, the [18th century] Gipuzkoan writer Ochoa de Arin, discussing issues pertaining to the diocese or bishopric of Iruña-Pamplona (*Iruñeco Obispadua*):

Hostirala egunean, edo Laurembat egunean... Obispadu onetacoac Calahorra Obispaduan jan daique escrupulo vague Laurembat egunean, an permititzen den aragua
 ‘On the day of Friday, or on the day of Saturday... Those of this diocese can eat without scruple in the diocese of Calahorra the meat that is allowed there on the day of Saturday’.
 (Ochoa de Arin, [1713], p. 53)

7 The form that Landucci employs, *martičena*, is clear regarding pronunciation (that is, it has a fricative; it is what we would now write *martizena*), since he otherwise uses *sç* to represent the affricate that we now write *tz*. On the other hand, neither Micoleta’s [1653] *martizena* nor [Ochoa de] Capanaga’s [1656] *Marticeñean* ‘on Tuesday’ are unambiguous, since these authors do not distinguish the fricative *z* from the affricate *tz* in writing. Añibarro [Bizkaian, 1748-1830] uses only *martitzena*, but nowadays the form *martizena* is still alive, at least around Oñati (see Gandiaga, 1956, p. 212). Regarding the word *ilena* ‘Monday’, Landucci’s dictionary is the oldest testimony (*ylena*). The Bilbaoan Micoleta says «lunes, *ilena*» adding «y también *astelena*». Añibarro also gives *ilena* as Bizkaian, next to *astelena*. See also Euskaltzaindia (1926, p. 52). Azkue (1923, p. 95, fn.) is undecided regarding the origin of *ilena*; whether it is *il + len* «primero de la luna» or *il + en* «de la luna».

8 TN. The anonymous book *Refranes y sentencias* (RS) contains a list of Basque proverbs with word-for-word translations into Spanish. In the book, there are numbers on top of the words in both languages indicating the correspondences between the two. In his article, Michelena omitted those numbers, which may create problems in the printing process. I have restored the numbers, for clarity. The proverbs were studied by Julio de Urquijo in a series of articles published in the *Revista Internacional de los Estudios Vascos* and there is a (parcial) 1964 edition by Urquijo for which Michelena wrote a preamble. There is also a more recent critical edition by J. Lakarra (1996). The form for ‘Saturday’ cited in the text, *laurenbatic*, contains the partitive suffix */-ik/*. See also Arcocha-Scarcia & Lakarra (2019).

Ubillos [1785], also a Gipuzkoan, seemingly hesitates between two forms: *laurembata*, *laurembatean* (26, 177, 188 ff.), but also *larembata* (189), *ostiral-larumbàtetan* ‘on Friday and Saturday’ (ibid.).

Among Lapurdian writers, we only find *larunbat*, from the very beginning, as in the following examples⁹:

Bazcotic Trinitateco igandearen aitcineco Larumbataren Completetaraino
‘From Easter to the compline of the Saturday before Trinity Sunday’
(Harizmendi, 1901[1658], p. 1)

Ezteçaquela haragui ian aiphatu muguetan / Ez hain guti Orcirale eta Larumbatetan
‘Do not eat meat within the mentioned dates / neither on Friday and Saturday’.
(Etcheberry of Ziburu, 1627, I, p. 32)

It appears that the oldest attestation of this word, with a somewhat different shape, is that of Leizarraga (1571). This text has been quoted as often as it deserves, since it provides an exemplary explanation of what a translation must be like, at least a translation of the Holy Scripture:

*Sabbathoa, errã nahi da reposa, eta berehala (= as is, without changing a thing) hitz bera eduqui vkan dugu, ceren Euangelistéc Grecquez scribatzean, lengoage hartaco ezpacen-ere, ezpaitute cambiatu vkan. Eta hura cen asteco çazpigarren eta azquen eguna, orain batéc **larumbate**, bercec eguiacoitz eta bercéc nesquen egun deitzen duguna.*

‘Sabbath means rest, and we have left it as such, because the Evangelists, writing in Greek, did not change it, even if it was not [a word] of that language. And that was the seventh and last day of the week, which now some call *larumbate*, others *eguiacoitz* and others *nesquen egun*’.

(Leizarraga, 1571, Decl. a vi. r)¹⁰

We have thus gathered, next to *larun-*, also *lauren-*, *laren-* and *laran-*. Their common source could hardly be *lauren* + *-bat(e)*. What is it, then? Something like **laur(en)-egun* [where *laur* ‘four’, *lauren* ‘a fourth’]? In any case, one cannot speak with as much certainty as Vinson did: «on ne saurait le traduire autrement que ‘un quart’, c’est-à-dire ‘un quart de la lunaison’» (Vinson, 1910, p. 37)¹¹. For it to be ‘a fourth’ or ‘the fourth (day)’, (see, below, in § 9, *laurdenegu(n)* ‘two days before yesterday, three days ago’, lit. ‘the fourth day’), Wednesday would have to be the first day; and counting from the other end,

9 Cf. «*larumbatha*, laurgardagur» (Deen, 1937, p. 205).

10 TN. This quote is found in Leizarraga’s addendum to his translation of the New Testament, *Testamentu berrico hitz eta minçatzeco manera difficil bakoitz batzu bere declarationéquin* ‘Some difficult words and ways of speaking in the New Testament, with their explanation’.

11 Gorostiaga’s [1947] keen remark that Bq. *larunbat* ‘Saturday’ / *larunba* ‘gloomy, mournful’ is like Lat. *Saturnus* (*Saturni dies*) / *saturninus*, which is mentioned in Tagliavini (1963, p. 492), comes from Schuchardt (1906, p. 47). See Corominas (1954-1957, vol. IV, p. 161).

it would be Tuesday. Moreover, if Leizarraga is right, as opposed to everybody else, the last part would be *-bate* and not *-bat* ‘one’. Let’s keep in mind that the final *-e* has often been lost in the last member of a compound (FHV, p. 411)¹². Thus, perhaps, as happens with the names of other time units, we would have here the suffix *-te*, as in *aste* ‘week’, *urt(h)e* ‘year’, *mende* ‘century, long time’ (in Zuberoan and Roncalese, *mente*)¹³ and *igande* (in Zuberoan and Roncalese, *igante*)¹⁴. Possibly, *i(n)aute-ak* ‘Carnival’ could also be added to this list (the variant *i(n)auteriak* has been lengthened with another suffix).

6. From a diachronic point of view, no name for a day of the week is more tempting than that given to ‘Friday’ in the western area: *bariku* (which is the form found in the writings of Capanaga¹⁵, Micoleta, Añibarro, etc.), but also *bariaku*, *barieku*. The geographical reach of this word also extends to Gipuzkoa, around the town of Mutriku (see Euskaltzaindia, 1927, p. 3-30; Agud, 1968). In those regions, there is only one other word that competes with it for territory, especially in Araba and Gipuzkoa: the very old word *egu(b)akotx*. This word appears in Leizarraga’s (1571) quote given above in § 5, and still endures, at the other end of the Basque Country, although, as we can read in Leizarraga’s quote, there it means ‘Saturday’, not ‘Friday’.

It is clear what the source of this word’s special attraction is. In everyone’s opinion, it is rather new, since it is used only in the west, and like *zapatu* ‘Saturday’ and *domeka* ‘Sunday’, which are also found in that region, would seem to have a Christian flavor. Those who have attempted to find a source for it have mentioned, (Grk.-) Lat. *parasceue* ‘day of preparation, day before Sabbath’¹⁶, Bq. *baraur* ‘fasting’¹⁷, and Lat. *Venus*, among others¹⁸.

12 Interested readers may see or try to figure out on their own what Garate (1949, p. 360, 1957, p. 50) said or was trying to say.

13 In Larrasquet’s [1939] dictionary: *mente* ‘siècle’, *mentez* ‘de très longtemps’. For Roncalese, see Mendigacha: *Egun kuetan nago sentimendu pizkor bateki; oraigunian iltzitzaitadan ñore mentian adesskiderik andien ekunduana, irian; laurdenegun gossan onrizein* ‘These days I am deeply saddened. The best friend I ever had has recently died, in this town; he was buried three days before yesterday in the morning’ (letter of 1903, published in Irigoyen, 1957, p. 127).

14 If this word is related to *igan* ‘to go up’, as has often been suggested, wouldn’t it be a Christian word? Compare Russian *voskresén’e* now ‘Sunday’ < ‘Easter Sunday’ < ‘Resurrection’. The Russian word that used to mean ‘Sunday’ is now ‘week’: *nedélja*, literally something like ‘no-work’. One is reminded of Latin *surgo* / *resurgo*. Another Basque word for ‘Sunday’ is *dome(é)ka*, used in Bizkaia and neighboring areas. Rohlf’s (1960, p. 47) observation is relevant, in relation to this form: «El griego eclesiástico designa el ‘día del Señor’ (*kuriakè: he:méra*) con una palabra que tenía género femenino, de donde puede inferirse que se ha dado preferencia a un *dies dominica* en aquellas partes del mundo romano donde el influjo del griego eclesiástico fue especialmente intenso». That being the case, the Greek influence prevails in Bizkaia, Araba (*domequea*, in Landucci’s dictionary) and even in areas of Gipuzkoa and Navarre, as Gorostiaga has pointed out.

15 These are the forms of the days of the week that I have found in Capanaga’s work: *Aste lenean, Marticenean, Eguaztenean* (with *-z*, like Añibarro!), *Eguenean, Batiqan* [sic], *Çapatuan, Domecan* (135-).

16 Garate (1933, p. 96), who mentions that S. Arana Goiri related the Basque word to Lat. *parare* ‘to prepare’.

17 Among others, Vinson (1910, p. 37): «(*domeka*, etc.)... sont des emprunts récents, ainsi que *barriaku* [sic], qui paraît signifier ‘(jour) de jeûne, d’abstinence’ et serai ainsi de formation récente».

18 See Gorostiaga (1959, p. 89): «El *viernes* quedó siempre como día más especialmente de *ayuno*. Así, *bariku*, *bariaku* (¿de *barauki*?), parece estar relacionado con *barau* ‘ayuno’, o sea, ‘refección tardía, de la tarde’, cf. *bera, berandu*». The «word» that appears between question marks needs an asterisk, of course.

I will not go into the weaknesses of the various etymologies that have been proposed for this word. It should suffice to consider the form, since a word's sound form is the only way that may lead to the hidden substance it carries. And the proposals that have been made so far explain at most the first part of the word, leaving the ending unexplained. As far as can be ascertained, lat. *Venus*, *Vener-* has never had a related adjective with an ending *-iacus*. And, since we are talking about the form, it is clear that we must start from *bariaku*, which is the *lectio difficilior*, not from *bariku*.

Now, among the names for 'Friday' there is one in the Romance area, which is not too widespread, but still sufficiently known, not borrowed, but calqued from Greek: *cena pura* 'clean, pure supper' (See Rohlf, 1960, p. 49; Tagliavini, 1963, p. 106; Wagner, 1957, p. 328)¹⁹. Wouldn't we be on a better path than those we have been following so far if we started by looking for traces of *afari* 'supper' in *bariaku* 'Friday' as well?

Even better, we could start from *abari*, which is older than *afari*. The form *abari*, which is still used in Navarre, has been documented at least since the 13th century. In that form, it appears in the [old Navarrese law known as] *Fuero General de Navarra* (see Michelena, 1964). In that form as well, it is found in some lines of text that were written in Pamplona-Iruña around the year 1400 and have been published by Florencio Idoate: *jaunatiçula abarion* 'que Dios os conceda buena cena' ['May God grant you a good supper'] (Idoate, 1969)²⁰.

Let us assume that we have *abari*, the Basque counterpart of lat. *cena*, as a component of *bariaku*. What follows next could hardly be a translation of *pura* or anything of the sort. Even if it requires strong, perhaps too strong, contractions, this is what I would like to propose: **(a)bari ba(ga)ko egun* lat. '*dies sine cena*', that is, 'day without supper'. From a semantic point of view, there is no difficulty. Most, if not all, researchers who have dealt with this issue have observed that *bariaku* 'Friday' is a day of fasting (*barau*). And this is how Oihenart ([1657], proverb 67) explains what that fasting might have been: *Barurac hirur asse* 'Le ieusne a trois saoulés [Fasting (has) three fillings]'. And as an addendum, he gives the origin of the proverb:

Hirur asse hauc aditzen dira, barureguneco barascarias, aizineguneco auharias, eta bi-haramuneco ascarias.

'c'est à sçavoir le disner du iour auquel on ieusne; le souper du soir precedent, & le desiuner du lendemain'²¹

19 «originalmente termine del rituale pagano, adottato degli Ebrei per designare la vigilia della Pasqua, come traduzione del greco *deipnon katharón*, e introdotto in Sardegna dagli Ebrei venuti dall'Africa» (Wagner, 1957, p. 328) Additional references can be found there.

20 TN. *jaunak* 'the Lord-ERG' *dizula* 'that 3sg may give' *abari* 'supper' *on* 'good'.

21 It is true that later on things have been softened, even if the name and appearances have been preserved. As Capanaga ([1656], p. 58) puts it: *Barauen ganeco agindua... obligazen gaitu... ez iaateco iaaqui vedecaturic eta egunean bein baxen ian eztila* 'The command regarding fasting... obliges us... not to eat forbidden foods and not to eat more than once a day'. There is also a question for hungry people: *Zenbait bearlizate gabeco colaziñoa?* 'How large should the evening light meal be?'

'That is to say, the midday meal of the day when one fasts; the supper of the preceding evening and the breakfast of the following day'
(Oihenart, 1657, proverb 67)

It is not easy to establish the so-called *filière* of forms, but we may hypothesize something like this: **(a)baribakoeun*²² > **bari(b)akoun* > **bariakun* > *bariaku* > *bariku*. I will come back to the loss of the final *-n*, since it is not as surprising as it seems, given the fact that it is not an isolated case. The most difficult point is the lack of the initial *a-*. In some other languages, word-initial vowels have often been lost when they did not have any support. This is what we find in Albanian (*mik* 'friend' < Lat. *amicus*, *mbret* 'king' < Lat. *imperator*) and Greek (*máti* 'eye', etc.). In other languages, such as Spanish, the loss is more sporadic (*mellizo* 'twin' [< **gemellicius*]). In Basque, examples are not rare (dialectal *mazte* < *emazte* 'woman, wife', *zaumentu* 'knowledge' < *ezagu-* 'to know', etc.), but are mostly found far from Bizkaia.

7. I once wrote (FHV, p. 355) that, in my opinion, the word *biharamun* 'the next day', which appears in the quote from Oihenart mentioned in the previous section, is nothing more than *bihar* 'tomorrow' + *egun* 'day' in its origin, via something like **-ebun*²³. It is evident that *bihar* 'tomorrow' and *biharamun* 'the next day' are closely related both in form and in meaning, just like French *demain* and *lendemain*, to mention just one parallel. If that were not enough, here are some examples from Leizarraga's translation of the New Testament:

Etzaretela bada arthatsu biharamunaz: ecen biharamunac beretaco artha vkanen du.

Nolite enim solliciti esse in crastinum. Crastinum enim dies sollicitus erit sibi ipsi
(Grk. eis tē:n aúrion, he: gār aúrion)

[Ne soyez donc point en souci *du lendemain*: car *le lendemain* se souciera de ce qui le concerne]

'Therefore do not worry about *tomorrow*, for *tomorrow* will worry about its own things'
(Matthew 6:34)

Egun edo bihar ioanen gara hiri batetara... Estaquiçuelaric biharamunean cer içanen den

Hodie aut *crastino* (Grk. sé:meron è: aúrion) ibimus in illam ciuitatem... qui ignoratis quid erit in *crastino* (Grk. tò tē:s aúrion)

22 Of course, even if *baga* 'without' was still found in its complete form, *baga* 'without' + *ko* 'of' had already become *bako* in Bizkaia. See RS: *Odol bearbaguea* 'Sangre sin ser necessaria'(208), but *Su baco esea* 'Casa sin fuego' next to *gorpuz odol baguea* 'cuerpo sin sangre' (237), *Gurenda andia odol bacoa* 'Gran vitoria la de sin sangre' (308), etc.

23 Oihenart ([1847], p. 222) uses the verb *biharamun(du)* 'to become the following day', which is not included in either Azkue's [1905] or Lhande's [1926] [dictionaries]: *Gaüa goisac ordaritan biharamun deçano* 'jusqu'à ce que le matin, en échange, transforme la nuit en lendemain' (Lafon's [1955] French translation).

[allons aujourd'hui et *demain* en une telle ville,... Qui toutefois ne savez pas ce qui adviendra *le lendemain*]

'Today or *tomorrow* we will go to such and such a city... whereas you do not know what will happen *tomorrow*.'

(James 4:13-14).

The Basque translator, perhaps following the French, had to choose between options that were not differentiated in Latin²⁴.

Just a few years ago, Benveniste [1966] investigated and explained better than anyone else how language deals with time. Language considers two main points in the time axis, which it treats as related to place. One is the moment of speech itself. Exemplifying with the forms in Landucci's dictionary: *orain* 'now', *egun* (*gaur*) 'today', *aurten* 'this year' just like *euene*, *ni naguan lequan* 'here, in the place where I am' vs. *orr*, *y aguan lequan* 'there, in the place where you are'. The second one is the point in time that the speaker chooses as reference in order to narrate something: 'then', 'at that time', 'on that day', 'in that year', etc. To see the difference between the two, it is enough for me to remember a difference that is made in the language I learned in childhood. We say *datorren urtean* or *heldu den urtean* 'next year' [lit. 'the day that is coming'] if our anchoring point is *aurten* 'this year'. But, we say *hurrengo urtean* 'the following year' if we have *urte hartan* 'in that year' as our point of reference in the narrative²⁵. Here are two more contrasting sentences from Leizarraga's translation of the Gospels:

Baina bide egin behar diat egun eta bihar eta etzi

Veruntatem oportet me hodie, cras et *sequenti die* ambulare

'Nevertheless I must journey today, tomorrow, and *the day after*'

(Luke 13:33)

sendatzea acabatzen diat egun eta bihar, eta hereneco egunean fin hartzen diat

sanitates perficio hodie, et cras, et *tertia dia* consumor

'I cast out demons and perform cures today and tomorrow, and *the third day* I shall be perfected' (Luke 13:32)

- 24 TN. Joannes Leizarraga had converted to John Calvin's Reformed Christianity and had been charged by the Queen of Navarre with translating the New Testament into Basque. It is now clear that, in producing his Basque translation of the Gospels, Leizarraga closely followed Calvin's French text; see Mounole & Lakarra (2018, p. 426), Arcocha-Scarcia & Lakarra (2019). In the examples above, after the Latin and Greek versions that Michelena gives, we have added a French translation, based on the Bible of Geneva (from 1669), so that the point that Michelena is making here regarding Bq. *bihar* = Fr. *demain* and Bq. *biharamun* = Fr. *lendemain* can be directly appreciated.
- 25 In relation to Benveniste's distinction between *instance du discours* and *instance du récit*, I would like to say something regarding Leizarraga's use of verb tenses, although this matter deserves a more detailed study. In main clauses with past time reference, Leizarraga uses two tenses, e.g., *ikhus zezan / ikhusi ukhan du* 's/he saw', when in modern Basque we would use *ikusi zuen*. To tell a story, as *temps de narration* (cf. Cat. *va anar* 's/he went', etc.), what he uses is *ikhus zezan* (mostly in the Gospels and Revelation). On the other hand, when the writer speaks his own words or quotes those of others (thus, mostly in the Epistles), he employs *ikhusi ukhan du* [TN. See also Mounole 2014/2018].

It is obvious that the point of reference changes from *etzi* ‘the day after tomorrow’ to *hereneco egunean* ‘on the third day’. It switches from ‘today’ to ‘on that day’.

And since we are discussing days, one thing is *bezperan* ‘in the eve’ (or, if you prefer, *aitzin-egunean*, in Oihenart’s words), *egun hartan* ‘on that day’, *biharamunean* ‘the next morning’²⁶, and a different, very different one, *atzo* ‘yesterday’, *bart* ‘last night’, *egun* or *gaur* ‘today’, *bihar* ‘tomorrow’ or, when we speak about years, *igaz* ‘last year’, *aurten* ‘this year’, archaic *geurz* ‘next year’²⁷. The latter series is the one that we are going to be concerned with, especially the names of the days that come after *etzi* ‘the day after tomorrow’. Consider the following proverb in RS:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<i>Beyçut nay baoçu / gaur, biar, ezi, eziluma, ezicaramu</i>						
1	2	3	4	5		
Hareoslo si quereys, / hoy, mañana, después de mañana						
‘I will do it for you, if you want, today, tomorrow, the day after’						
(RS, 410) ²⁸						

There is a strange detail here. As always in this collection of proverbs, the Basque and Spanish words carry numbers to indicate the correspondences between the two; but the numbers on the Spanish words end with 5, even though those on the Basque ones go up to 7. In my opinion, the missing numbers in the Spanish are due to the lack of Spanish words for those meanings. It seems reasonable to conclude that *eziluma* is, just like in present-day Basque, the day after *etzi*, that is, ‘two days after tomorrow, in three days’, and that *ezicaramu* is the day after *eziluma*, or, in other words, two days after *etzi*.

It is true that nowadays that distinction is not at all clear, even in Bizkaia, but the text of this proverb seems too transparent for us to harbor any doubts. In any event, the forms without *-k-* are the following, as far as I know (see Azkue, 1923, p. 459): the already-mentioned form *etzi-luma*, which is now used around Plentzia and in Zigoitia (*etzilume*, with *-a > -e* after *u*); *etzirimu* in Landucci’s dictionary (written *eşçirimu* and wrongly given as a translation of «oy ha tres dias» ‘three days ago’, even if someone else later added *erenegun*); the Bizkaian variant *etzilimo* (in Bera, it seems, *etzimo*); *etzidamu*, which is perhaps the most widespread form nowadays and also the one that is found in Pouvreau [c. 1665]: «*etcidamu edo itciridamu*»²⁹. O[ihenart]. *Le jour depuis*

26 See Azkue (1923, p. 459, *biharamun*): «Es más nombre adverbial, pues recibe artículo». Compare *ordu-a-n* ‘then’ [lit. ‘on the hour’], formed in the same way as Old Sp. *a la hora*, It. *allora*, Fr. *alors*, etc. (see Corominas, 1954-1957, vol. II, p. 942b), as Azkue saw, and, like similar words, always with the article, whereas the other words in this group can appear without the article.

27 Cf. Etcheberri of Ziburu (1627): «*Iragan vrthea deitzen da, iaz, oraingoa aurthen, eta heldu dena geurz*» ‘Last year is called *iaz*, the present one *aurthen*, and the next one *geurz*’. That is the way it appears, with *-k-*.

28 Urquijo (1932, p. 270) does not shed much light on this saying. It seems, nevertheless, that it refers to promises that are postponed without ever being fulfilled.

29 Or *itziri-*? I cannot read the first letter well.

demain»³⁰; and the very similar forms *etziramu*, *etziamu* and Bizkaian *etziaramu*. In addition, the form *etziago*, found in Baztan, may be the result of a confusion with the suffix *-ago*³¹.

The forms with *-k-* are the following: *etzikaramu*, which we have already seen, and which Añibarro also uses, and its variant *etzikaramon* in Lekeitio³².

8. Even if the only sound basis for it that we have is the above-mentioned proverb in RS, I will assume that *etziluma* and *etzikaramu* are not synonyms, but, rather that each of them refers to a different day. That is the way Larramendi [1745] understood it too [in his dictionary]: *etziluma* ‘dos días después’, *etzikaramu* ‘tres días después’.

Thus, in my opinion, *etxidamu*, *etzilimo*, *etziluma* and the rest are, in their root and origin, only variants of a single older word: a compound word that combined *etzi* ‘the day after tomorrow’ and *biharamun* ‘the next day’ (as we have already seen, the latter is itself a compound of *bihar* ‘tomorrow’ + *egun* ‘day’). At one time, this structure was commonly used in the entire Basque Country. Its meaning is rather obvious. In French, it could be translated as (*le*) *lendemain d’après-demain*. I will not discuss how the phonetic form changed, contracted and diversified from one place to another, since that is an issue that cannot be decided without more light than we now have. Leaving other aspects aside, I will simply note here the same lack of final *-n* that we saw above in § 6, with the intention of pursuing this matter in more detail later³³.

The other word, that is, *etzikaramu*, would be *etzirik* ‘from the day after tomorrow’ (or *etziko* ‘of the day after tomorrow’) + *herenegun* ‘third day’; that is, ‘the third day starting from the day after tomorrow’, counting both *etzi* and the third day itself (cf. lat. *nudius tertius*).

30 TN. Two copies of Pouvreau’s manuscript Basque-French dictionary are available online on the website of the Bibliothèque nationale de France. The entry that Michelena cites here is found on p. 93v of the second copy. I read «itcidamu edo itciridamu». The date of composition that I have added for the ms. is that established in Kerejeta (1991).

31 Azkue also added by hand the form *etzirune* found in Zigoitia [Araba]. The form *etzinburu* ‘tras pasado mañana’, ‘two days after tomorrow’, which was gathered in Etxalar [Navarre] looks like it could be **etziaren buru* [lit. ‘head or end of *etzi*’]. Other forms found in Gipuzkoa and other places, such as *etxidamu-atzetik*, seem to have replaced the ending *-aitzitik* ‘from the front of’ with *-atzetik* ‘from behind’. Regarding time measurements, there is widespread confusion between ‘front’ and ‘back’ in Basque. Are they in the past or in the future? Or, in other words, it seems that some forms have been altered under the influence of the neighboring languages. I wonder if the suffix *-aste* or *-azte* used in Roncalese has anything to do with this (*etziaste* ‘*etxidamu*, two days after tomorrow’). [TN. Cf. Sp. *traspasado mañana* ‘two days after tomorrow’, lit. ‘beyond or behind the day after tomorrow’, Sp. *anteayer* ‘the day before yesterday’, lit. ‘before or in front of yesterday’].

32 I don’t think *etzikaramu* is a jocular formation, created to prolong the series, even if that has happened sometimes in other cases, cf. Spitzer (1955, p. 45), discussing Pulci’s *Morgante Maggiore*: «...las voces *crái* y *poscrái* son auténticos reflejos italianos de las voces latinas *cras* y *postcras*; en cambio, *poscrilla* y *posquacchera* son términos creados por la fantasía popular».

33 It seems that later *-damu* was analyzed as a suffix with the meaning of ‘the following day’, as in *biardamu* and *erenegundamu* used in the Baztan area.

The second part of the compound does not need an explanation: the word *heren* (Old Bizkaian *aren*) is well known with the meanings of ‘third’ and ‘a third’, even if nowadays it is rarely used. It has the suffix **-a-en* ‘genitive plural’; *her-*, *ar-* and *hirur* ‘three’ are seemingly related to each other, even if the link among the phonological forms is rather loose³⁴.

Pouvreau [c. 1665] has *herenegun* ‘*auant hier*’ and also *hereneco egun* (from Leizarraga, as we saw in § 7). In Landucci (1562) there is *arayn egun* ‘*antiyer*’ and Micoleta [1653] has *arenegun* ‘*antayer*’. Añibarro [1963 [c. 1820]] says the following, among other things «*día tercero, anteayer: b. arañegun, g. ereñegun*», and Father Izagirre [1967] recorded *arainaun* in Altsasu³⁵. To partially complete the list, let me add that in Zaraitzu [Navarre] the form is *ernegun*, with the typical syncope of that dialect, and in [the Navarrese Valleys of] Aezkoa and Ultzama, *erenegu*, again without final *-n*³⁶.

9. Once (in FHV, 1977, p. 137-138, [2011, p. 113-114]), I listed the Basque words that clearly show a sound change *-n > 0*. I listed them and stopped there, since I could not provide an explanation. I left two cases aside, which are essentially the same one: the inflectional (genitive) suffix *-ren / -re* and its verbal past tense counterpart *-en / -e*.

The remaining instances, if I am not mistaken, are the following: the [comitative] suffix *-ki(e)n / -ki*; the Aezkoan adverbial form *egu* ‘today’ (not the noun, *egun* ‘day’, which has *-n* there too) vs. *egun* ‘today’ which was once general to the entire Basque Country³⁷; and the eastern form *orai* ‘now’ (also in Leizarraga) vs. *orain*. The additional examples of *-n > 0* that we have considered here are mostly hypothetical. They include *bariaku* ‘Friday’, *etzidamu* ‘two days after tomorrow’ and *etzikaramu* ‘three days after tomorrow’, the last one of these with a variant *etzikaramon*.

But as certain as the fact that the Aezkoan form *egu* ‘today’ is missing something is the fact that the same thing happens to Aezkoan and High Navarrese *erenegu* ‘day before yesterday’, which undeniably is *egun*’s child. And this is equally clear regarding the

34 Are there any other ordinals with this type of irregularity? Azkue (1905) includes *serren* ‘octavo, octava parte’, as being used in some areas of Bizkaia. He gives an example from Mondragón/Arrasate: *kana-zerren* ‘octavo de vara’. But *zerren* is also rather opaque in its meaning: ‘tercera parte de la vara. Algunos (B-otx) tienen por cuarto, otros (B-mu) por octavo de vara’. It is thus not clear that *zerren* derives from *zortzi* ‘eight’, especially if we take *zerrenda* into account, cf. Añibarro [1963, c. 1820]: ‘retal, pedazo de tela que queda o sobra: c. *erretala, emparaiia, cerrena, cerrenda*’.

35 It is enough to compare the Altsasu forms *arainaun* and *arainaun-etziri* with the corresponding Txorierrri forms *erenegun* and *erenegun-etxekei* to see what I said before, in fn. 31; namely, that there is a connection with *aitzi-tik* ‘from the front’ (Bizkaian *atxi-ti(k)*). The Gipuzkoan word *antziña* ‘a long time ago,’ and similar forms, from the same root, are oriented towards past times, not those that are still to come.

36 I don’t know what to think about *etzidazu*. From Larramendi’s dictionary it spread to Humboldt, Añibarro («aun otro día después [de pasado mañana]») and others (maybe even to Bonaparte?). Azkue, on the other hand, has the following, added by hand: «*etzilaso* (B-mo) *etzikaramu-ostean*» ‘after *etzikaramu*’. In Bizkaian there is generalized confusion between *s* and *z*, as is well known.

37 TN. In modern times, the word for ‘today’ in western and central dialects is *gaur* (< *gau haur* lit. ‘night + this’).

form *laurdenegu* ‘trasantayer’, ‘two days before yesterday’ that Father Izagirre [1966] recorded in Ultzama: *laur(d)en* ‘fourth’ derives from *laur* ‘four’ as straightforwardly as *heren* ‘third’ comes from *hirur* ‘three’; and in Roncal/Erronkari we find the full form *laurdenegun*, see fn. 13.

I will add now to this list a less obvious example. According to Karl Bouda, the Bizkaian word *eztegu(ak)* ‘wedding’ is a compound word whose second member is *egun* [Bouda, 1952, p. 12]. I would propose that, in the word *eztegu* and in its synonym *eztei* found elsewhere, the first element is shared (*ezti* ‘honey, sweet’ in Bouda’s opinion)³⁸. The second element in *eztei* would be *jei, jai* ‘feast, holiday’ (it is well-known that *ezteiak* alternates with *eztaiak*). Instead, in the Bizkaian form *eztegu*, the second element would be again *egun* ‘day’³⁹.

I will now explain where I am going with this. If, for the moment, we leave aside the comitative suffix *-ki(e)n / -ki*, all other examples of this alternation (*-n ~ 0*) are found in *time* words, in the expression of temporal relations. To be more precise, in words that have as point of reference «now», but the speaker’s «now»: *egu* ‘today’, *erenegu* ‘three days ago’, *laurdenegu* ‘four days ago’, etc., and not, on the other hand, *biharamun* ‘the next day’. Now, to return to *-ki(e)n* ‘with’, keeping in mind that we express time and place in similar ways, we may be guided by Schuchardt [1923, p. 17-18, § 57 & 32-33, § 172], who proposed that *-ki(e)n* ‘with’ < *kide* ‘companion’ + *-n*, and that the suffix expresses where something is located in place and time and, therefore, when it happens.

10. I will now express very briefly what remains to be said in order to complete the task at hand, necessarily leaving the details for another occasion.

Linguistics has blazed rather new trails in the last ten years or so, mostly thanks to Chomsky. And, as often happens, old things have become new here too. He has rediscovered the people of Port-Royale, who had been so scorned and despised by other linguists. He has also claimed as his intellectual predecessors 17th and 18th century writers like Humboldt (fortunately or unfortunately, he still does not know Astarloa)⁴⁰, and even older writers.

38 TN. Here Michelena mentions Karl Bouda’s name without providing a more specific reference. Bouda (1952, p. 12) analyzes *eztegu* as a compound whose second member is *egun* ‘day’. For the first component, he proposes a root **zte*, which he relates to a Circassian word meaning ‘to take’: «*e-zte-gu* B “Hochzeit”, Wurzel **zte*: tscherkess. *šte* “nehmen”. Das zweite Glied des Kompositum *eztegu* ist bask. *e-gu-n* “Tag”». The proposal mentioned by Michelena that this word is related to *ezti* ‘honey’ must be found elsewhere in Bouda’s work.

39 TN. Manterola (2015) has proposed that *eztei* ‘wedding’ is a loanword from Gascon *hestejar* ‘to celebrate’ (< Lat *feſta*). See also Lakarra, Manterola & Segurola (2019). This would not invalidate Michelena’s (and Bouda’s) proposal regarding the ending of Bizkaian *eztegu*.

40 TN. Pablo Pedro de Astarloa (1752-1896) wrote about the Basque language and interacted with Wilhem von Humboldt. He had some rather unconventional ideas regarding etymology.

If our classical grammarians had spoken in today's language or metalanguage, they would have said that the genitive suffixes *-(r)en* and *-(e)ko* are the result of a transformation. This is what Azkue says in his dictionary, under *-ko*:

sufijo casual, calificado de 'genitivo relativo' por el príncipe Bonaparte [...] *-(e)n* es genitivo que indica posesión; el genitivo *-ko* indica lugar o tiempo en que se contiene o pasa algo: *gizonaren etxea* 'la casa (que es posesión) del hombre'; *etxeko gizona* 'el hombre de (que está contenido en) la casa'; *atzoko euriak* 'las lluvias de ayer'. (Azkue, 1905, s.v. *-ko*)

case suffix, which Prince Bonaparte called «relative genitive» [...] *-(e)n* is a genitive that indicates possession; the genitive *-ko* indicates the place or time in which something is contained or happens: *gizonaren etxea* 'the man's house; the house that belongs to the man'; *etxeko gizona* 'the man of the house; who is (contained) in the house'; *atzoko euriak* 'yesterday's rains'.

In today's language: *gizonaren etxea* 'the man's house' is generated from *gizonak etxea du* 'the man has the house' by means of a transformation, and *etxeko gizona* 'the man of the house' likewise from *gizona etxean da* 'the man is in the house'.

Azkue clearly identified the gaps in the paradigm of *-ko*:

Este sufijo se agrega a la mayor parte de los sufijos casuales y aun a frases, formando en uno y otro caso elegantes locuciones. Los únicos sufijos casuales que no reciben el sufijo *-ko* son el activo *-k*, el dativo *-i*, y el inesivo *-n* (Azkue, 1905, s.v. *-ko*).

This suffix is added to most case suffixes and even to phrases, forming in both cases elegant expressions. The only case suffixes that do not receive the suffix *-ko* are active [ergative] *-k*, dative *-i* and inesive *-n*.

He adds, furthermore: «El posesivo no lo puede recibir directamente, sino, como recibe los demás, mediante el artículo *-a* tácito», 'it cannot take the possessive directly, but only in the same way that it takes the rest [of the suffixes], by means of the unexpressed article *-a*'. Using an example from RS:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Neure	eshseco	quea	/	laguneneco	sua	bano obeda
3	1	2	7	6	5	4

Humo de mi casa / mejor quel fuego de la del ageno
 'My home's smoke is better than the neighbor's fire'
 (RS, 473)

The determiner article, thus, does not appear in the «surface» structure (it is silent), but it does in the underlying structure, since *mendi-ko* means 'de + el + monte', 'of + the + mountain'. The locative mark, *-(e)n*, is not visible on the surface, but the force of its meaning is carried by the suffix *-ko*, as Azkue himself says. I am not skilled in matters of formalism and I will not get involved in these issues now, but I think that what I am

suggesting is clear. In the so-called «deep structure» we have *mendi* ‘mountain’ + *-a* ‘the’ + *-n* ‘on/in’ + *-ko* ‘of’ (that is, **mendiango*)⁴¹. We would, thus, need a rather late rule in order to erase *-a* and *-n* to the left of *-ko* (a deletion rule) in order to obtain the surface form *mendiko* that we actually pronounce⁴².

11. In Linguistics as well, there are thus innovations, or new fashions, and, even if I adapt only with difficulty to new ways, I do not think that all, or even most, of the opinions of younger people are necessarily wrong, as some of our honest countrymen are trying to proclaim to us, loudly and vehemently, but completely in vain. Somehow, those younger scholars do not separate diachrony from synchrony as strictly as did those who were young and innovative some years ago (and now, sadly, are old and attached to old opinions). Since I have always been one of those unrepentant diachronists, I would like to explain the consequences for diachrony of the idea I have presented in synchronic terms.

In Basque diachrony, thus, I see two main tendencies. Sometimes one of them applies and sometimes the other. One tendency is to spread the suffix *-(e)n* to all locative inflection: *non* ‘where’, *nondik* ‘whence’ (vs. Old Bizkaian *norik* ‘whence’ and common *nora* ‘whither’), *han* ‘there’, *handik* ‘thence’ (vs. common archaic *harik* ‘thence’, Old Bizkaian *arean* ‘thence’ and now everywhere *hara* ‘thither’, etc.; except for Aezkoan, as Azkue (1905) tells us: *gena*, *genara* ‘thither’); especially, *-gan* ‘in/on, with animates’, if it is from *-ga-n*, and the related forms used with animates *-gan(d)ik* ‘from’, *-gana* ‘to’⁴³.

The other is to take *-(e)n* away: *hemendik* ‘hence, from here’ is formed on *hemen* ‘here’. It is thus a new form. Nevertheless, in different areas of the Basque Country it shows up without *-n*: Bizkaian *emetik*, Zuberoan *hebeti*. The phrase *Oñeztar*

41 TN. The initial stop of the suffixes *-ko* and *-tik* systematically voices after a nasal, except in Zuberoan and Roncalese.

42 The seed of these thoughts was sown by someone else, see Ruwet (1969, p. 115-135). But it would be excessive to impute the responsibility for the fruit, if it is rotten, to Ruwet.

43 Azkue is not quite correct when he says this, in the same place [Azkue, 1905, under *-ko*]: «...el inesivo *-n*... conviene advertir que cuando se usa repetido formando modismo, en tal caso recibe el sufijo *-ko*: *egunean eguneango gure ogia* [‘today our daily bread’]... *orrako berban berbango gezurtegori* ‘ese mentir de cada palabra’ [‘that lying in every word’]». His third example, from Leizarraga, is rather different: *Eta haren icena baithango fedeaz* ‘Et in fide nominis eius’ (Acts 3:16). With nouns referring to animates it is easier for the *-n* to remain, as in *ta neuregango dator au*, *suteguico icatz ondo erriaz gar бага iraquiten dabelaco emengo lapicuac gueldi gueldi gañetic eguin бага* (Moguel, *Peru Abarca*, p. 131) ‘and in my opinion this (the tastiness of the blacksmiths’ food) comes from the fact that the pots simmer over embers, without flames, very slowly and without boiling’. Some further examples: *eta hirietan iragaiten ciradela iracasten cituzten betangoac* (Leizarraga, Acts 16:4) ‘And as they went through the cities, they taught the people in those’ (although here *betangoac* ‘those [people] in those’ are *hirietakoak* ‘those in the towns!’); *elcarrengo amorioa* (Lizarraga of Elkano, 1868, *copla* 434) ‘mutual love’; *ni gango uste ona* (Mendiburu, 1760, IX, p. 173 [LXX.garren otoitz-gaial]) ‘the good opinion towards me’, etc. For *-ekin* ‘with’, *aitarequicoa egin* (Lardizabal, 1855, p. 34) ‘do that of [with] the father’, without *-n*. In Zuberoa and neighboring areas, as is well known, a distinction is still made between locative forms with and without the article: *étxen* ‘at home’ and *etxin* < *etxian* < *etxean* ‘in the house’; *etxéa*, *etxéát* ‘(to) home’ and *etxila*, *etxilá* ‘to the house’; and there is also *etxenko* ‘of home’.

barrungoan ‘the followers of Oñaz who were inside’ that we find in an old ballad [see Michelena, 1964, p. 83] would be *barrukoak* ‘of inside’ in present-day Basque⁴⁴.

12. The confusion that could arise from that can be seen in Old Bizkaian itself, in the words that express quality or manner (in other dialects too, compare *nolatan?* ‘how’, next to *nola?* ‘how’). The following examples are from RS:

Celangoa *baista amea* / *alangoa* *oyda alabea*
 Qual suele ser la madre / tal suele ser la hija
 ‘As the mother is, so is usually the daughter’
 (RS, 35)

Like: *Celangoa* *da Butroe*
 Qué tal es Butron
 ‘How is Butron’
 (RS, 92)

But: *Celan* *vaysta ojala* / *alacoa* *mendela*
 Qual es el paño / tal es el orillo
 ‘As is the cloth, so is the hem’
 (RS, 20)

In accordance with what I have been saying, the *-n* of some time words has been lost mostly before the suffix *-ko* ‘of’, but also before *-tik* ‘from; through’ and some others suffixes: *oraingo* ‘of now’ → *oraiko* (the Low Navarrese author Salaberry [1856, p. 138] called the suffix *-ko* ‘*locatif*’); from *egun* ‘today’ + *-tik*, *-danik*, in Aezkoa and Erro (as Azkue has shown) → *egutik*, *egudanik*. In the same way, *erengun* ‘three days from today’, *laurdenegun* ‘four days from today’ → *erenegu*, *laurdenegu*, with the help of suffixes.

One could reply that the deletion of *-n* could happen at most in indefinite words, not in definite ones. Thus *bariaku* ‘Friday’ and *eztegu* ‘wedding’ would fall outside of its domain. But, demonstrably, the definite article was used less frequently before than it is nowadays; cf. *Osaylgo euria* ‘*Pluuias de Hebrero*’, ‘February rain’ (RS, 89), where *otsail* ‘February’ is treated as a proper name⁴⁵.

44 The Bizkaian evidence shows undoubtably that the ending of *orain* ‘now’ does not come from an older **-ain*, but from something like **-aen*. Its source is obviously Lat. *hora*, followed by a Basque demonstrative (cf. Sp. *ahora*, older *agora*, Russian *sejčás*, etc.). Similarly, *egun* ‘day’ and *egun* ‘today’ may not have been once completely identical. It would seem that the latter would have required, besides *egun*, a demonstrative or similar element; just like *aurt(h)en* ‘this year’ [cf. *urte* ‘year’] has it at the beginning (cf. Lat. *hodie*, Grk. *s- / té:meron*, Class. Arm. *aysawr*, Grm. *Heute*, Rus. *segódnja* and so on and so forth) [TN. See Lakarra, Manterola & Segurola, 2019 under *orain* and *aurten*].

45 TN. Compare *azal-eko* ‘of the skin’ (common noun) with *Usurbil-go* ‘of Usurbil’ (proper name). In modern Basque, the usual form is *otsail-eko* ‘of February’, not *otsail-go* (*Osaylgo*).

13. The suggestion that I made before (in § 10) has an undeniable weakness, which I will only briefly mention here. Benveniste derived all usages of the Latin genitive from a *génitif de transposition* (Benveniste, 1962; see also Ruwet, 1968, p. 82, 231). In Basque, however, we have a tougher job, because, as we have seen, we need to explain not one, but two genitives [-(*r*)*en* and -(*e*)*ko*]. The task, in addition to being harder, brings from darkness to light what Benveniste's article, as if it were passing through a field of ferns, leaves untouched.

This is Benveniste's method: *ludus pueri* 'the boy's game' ← *puer ludit* 'the boy plays', *risus pueri* 'the boy's laughter' ← *puer ridet* 'the boy laughs', and from there, first, *somnus pueri* 'the boy's dream', then, *mos pueri* 'the boy's habit' and then *liber pueri* 'the boy's book' (in Basque it would be *haurraren* 'of the boy' in every case). But consider Bq. *hospe gaiztoko* 'of bad reputation':

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Aldi	guextoan	jaio	doa	hospe	guexto	coa
2	1	3	4	7	6	5
En mal punto nacer suele el de mala fama						
'The one who has a bad reputation was usually born at a bad time'						
(RS, 107)						

From what could this phrase derive? Certainly not from *hospe gaiztoan da* 'he/she is in bad reputation' or anything of the sort. Similarly, in Latin, *eloquentia hominis* 'the eloquence of the person' is fairly clear; but not, on the other hand, *homo magnae eloquentiae* 'a person of great eloquence'⁴⁶. In one case, the person has eloquence, but in the other, the person is of great eloquence. To compare Latin and Basque, we may consider, for instance, the well-known *et in terra pax hominibus bonae voluntatis* 'and on Earth, peace to people of good will' [Luke 2:14]⁴⁷. In Harriet-Haraneder's Basque translation, we have *eta lurrean baquea dutela guizon bihotz çucenecoec* [Harriet, 1855, p. 219]. So, *bihotz zuzena gizonak dute* 'the people have a righteous heart' and, from there, *gizonen bihotz zuzena* 'the people's righteous heart'. But to arrive at *bihotz zuzeneko gizonak* 'people of righteous heart' we must not focus on the people who have, but on what those people have. In other words, the people are the possessors; a righteous heart is what is possessed by them.

14. I would have preferred to have been able to offer to our teacher Barandiaran something richer and more pleasant instead of what I have offered him. A linguist, however, does not have much to give to an ethnologist—in any case, much less than what he takes from him—; so my offering will be even more insignificant, I being who I am and he being who he is. In this sorry world, however, there is nothing good except for good

46 This usage, unlike the others, had clear limitations: it was in competition with the ablative, and besides, it could not be used with an unmodified noun; it required an accompanying adjective. Thus, one could have *hominis bonae voluntatis* 'a person of good will', but not *hominis voluntatis* 'a person of will'.

47 TN. This verse also appears in the Christian hymn *Gloria in excelsis Deo* 'Glory to God in the highest'.

will—as I think Kant said—and I don't think Barandiaran will find this meager show of gratitude lacking in that respect at least.

REFERENCES

- Agud, M. (1968). Los nombres de los días de la semana en vasco. *Anuario del Seminario de Filología Vasca «Julio de Urquijo» (ASJU)*, 2, 33-48.
- Anonymous. (1596). *Refranes y sentencias*. Pamplona: Pedro Porralis de Amberes. [Critical ed. by J. Lakarra, 1996, Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia].
- Añibarro, P. A. de. (1963 [c.1820]). *Voces bascongadas diferenciales de Bizcaya, Guipúzcoa y Navarra*, ed. by L. Villasante. Bilbao: Caja de Ahorros Vizcaína. [Retrieved from https://www.euskaltzaindia.eus/dok/iker_jagon_tegiak/6741.pdf]
- [Arcocha-Scarcia, A. & Lakarra, J. A. (2019). Aspectos de la gramatización antigua de la lengua vasca: Humanismo, reformismo e imprenta (1545-1596). *Lengas*, 86. <https://doi.org/10.4000/lengas.4115>]
- Azkue, R. M.^a de. (1905). *Diccionario vasco-español-francés*. [Repr., 1969, Bilbao: La Gran Enciclopedia Vasca].
- Azkue, R. M.^a de. (1923). *Morfología Vasca*. [Repr., 1969, Bilbao: La Gran Enciclopedia Vasca].
- Barandiaran, J. M. (1934). *El hombre primitivo en el País Vasco*. Donostia-San Sebastián: Itxaropena.
- Barandiaran, J. M. (1953). *El hombre prehistórico en el País Vasco*. Buenos Aires: Editorial Vasca 'Ekin'.
- Barandiaran, J. M. (1960). *Mitología vasca*. Madrid: Minotauro.
- Benveniste, É. (1962). Pour l'analyse des fonctions casuelles: le génitif latin. *Lingua*, 11, 10-18. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841\(62\)90009-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841(62)90009-8)
- Benveniste, É. (1966). *Problèmes de linguistique générale, vol. 1*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Benveniste, É. (1969). *Le vocabulaire des institutions indo-européennes; vol. 1. Économie, parenté, société; vol. 2. Pouvoir, droit, religion*. Paris: Éditions de Minuit.
- Bouda, K. (1952). Neue Baskisch-Kaukasische Etymologien. *Acta Salmanticensia*, 4, 5-16.
- Capanaga, M. Ochoa de. (1656). *Exposición breve de la doctrina christiana*. Bilbao: Juan de Azpiroz. Repr. by E. S. Dogson, 1893, Vizeu: Affonso da Costa.
- Corominas, J. (1954-1957). *Diccionario crítico etimológico de la lengua castellana*. Madrid: Gredos. [Expanded in J. Coromines & J. A. Pascual (1991-1997). *Diccionario crítico etimológico castellano e hispánico*. Madrid: Gredos].
- Deen, N. G. H. (1937). *Glossaria duo vasco-islandica*. Amsterdam: H. J. Paris.
- Etcheberri [of Sara], J. d'. (1712). *Escuararen hatsapenac*. [Published, 1907, in *Obras vascongadas del doctor labortano Joannes d'Etcheberri. Con una introducción y notas por Julio de Urquijo e Ibarra*, Paris. Critical ed. in G. Bilbao Telletxea, 2006, *Joanes Etxeberri Sarakoaren saikera-lanak eta latina ikasteko gramatika: edizioa eta azterketa*, doctoral dissertation, Vitoria-Gasteiz, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU)].
- Etcheberri [of Ziburu], J. (1627). *Manual devotioenezcoa, edo ezperen, oren oro escuetan erabilltçeco liburutchoa. Escaraz bertsu tan egiña, eta guztia bi*

- partetan berecia* [Manual of devotion, or little book to carry in your hands at all times. Written in Basque verse and divided in two parts]. Bordeaux: G. Millanges.
- Euskaltzaindia [Basque Academy]. (1926). Erizkizundi Irukoitzari Bizkaiak erantzun diona [Bizkaian answers to the Triple Questionnaire]. *Euskera*, 7, 45-59.
- Euskaltzaindia [Basque Academy]. (1927). Gipuzkoa'k Erizkizundiari erantzun diona [Gipuzkoan answers to the Questionnaire]. *Euskera*, 8, 3-30.
- FHV = Michelena, 1977.
- Gandiaga, B. (1956). Arantzazuko folklore-gaien biltzeaz [On recording materials on the folklore of Arantzazu]. *Euskera*, 1, 206-231.
- Garate, J. (1933). Segunda contribución al Diccionario vasco. *Revista Internacional de los Estudios Vascos*, 24(1), 94-104.
- Garate, J. (1949). Sexta contribución al Diccionario vasco. *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Bascongada de los Amigos del País*, 5, 353-364.
- Garate, J. (1957). Séptima contribución al Diccionario vasco. *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Bascongada de los Amigos del País*, 13(1), 44-53.
- Gorostiaga, J. (1947). La semana vasca: El sistema y los nombres de los días. *Eusko-Jakintza*, 1, 51-56.
- Gorostiaga, J. (1959). Los nombres vascos de los días de la semana. *Euskera*, 4, 87-92.
- Harizmendi, C. (1901 [1658]). *L'Office de la Vierge Marie en basque labourdin par C. Harizmendi vicair de Sare et prédicateur, nouvelle édition conforme a la première*, ed. by J. Vinson. Chalon-sur-Saône: E. Bertrand. Repr., facsimile, 1978, Donostia-San Sebastián: Hordago.
- Harriet, M. (1855). *Iesu-Christo gure Iaunaren Testament Berria, lehenago I.N. Haraneder Done loaneco Lohitsuco iaun aphez batec escuararat itçulia; orai, artha bereci batequin, garbiquiago, lehembicico aldicotçat aguer-aracia, laphurtar bi aphecec* [New Testament of Our Lord Jesus Christ, first translated to Basque by a priest of St. Jean-de-Luz, I. N. Haraneder; now, with special care, more purely, published for the first time by two Lapurdian priests]. Baiona: E. Lasserre.
- Idoate, F. (1969). Una carta del siglo XV en vascuence. *Fontes Linguae Vasconum*, 1, 287-290.
- Irigoyen, A. (1957). Cartas de Mariano Mendigacha a D. R. Ma. de Azkue. *Euskera*, 2, 119-170.
- Izagirre, K. (1966). Ultzamako euskeraren gai batzuk [Some topics in the Basque of Ultzama]. *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Bascongada de los Amigos del País*, 22, 403-467.
- Izagirre, K. (1967). Altsasuko euskeraren gai batzuk [Some topics in the Basque of Altsatsu]. *Anuario del Seminario de Filología Vasca «Julio de Urquijo»*, 1, 45-97.
- [Kerejeta, M. J. (1991). Oihenart Silvain Pouvreauren hiztegian [Oihenart in Silvain Pouvreau's dictionary]. *Anuario del Seminario de Filología Vasca «Julio de Urquijo»*, 25(3), 865-899].
- Lafon, R. (1955). Notes pour une édition critique et une traduction française des poésies d'Oihenart. *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Bascongada de los Amigos*

- del País*, 11, 135-273. [Repr. in Lafón, Renée. 1999. *Vasconiana* (Iker, 11), p. 908-860. Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia].
- [Lakarra, J., Manterola, J. & Seguro, I. (2019). *Euskal hiztegi historiko-etimologikoa*. (Basque historical and etymological dictionary). Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia].
- Landucci, N. (1958 [1562]). *Dictionarium linguae cantabricae*. M. Alvar & L. Michelena, eds. Donostia-San Sebastián: Seminario de Filología Vasca «Julio de Urquijo». [Repr. in Michelena, 2011, vol. XII, p. 199-363].
- Lardizabal, F. I. (1855). *Testamentu zarreco eta berrico kondaira* [Commentary on the Old and New Testaments]. Tolosa: A. Gorosabel. [Critical ed., by B. Urgell in two vol.: (1995), *Testamentu Zarreko Kondaira*; (1999), *Testamentu berriko kondaira*. Donostia-San Sebastián: Elkar].
- Larramendi, M. de. (1745). *Diccionario trilingüe del castellano, bascuence y latín*. Donostia-San Sebastián: B. Riesgo y Montero.
- Larrasquet, J. (1939). *Le Basque de la Basse-Soule orientale* (Collection Linguistique, 46). Paris: Société de Linguistique de Paris.
- Leizarraga [Leizarrague], J. (1571). *Iesus Christ gure Iaunaren Testamentu Berria* [New Testament of Our Lord Jesus Christ]. La Rochelle: Pierre Hautin.
- Lhande, P. (1926). *Dictionnaire basque-français*. Paris: Gabriel Beauchesne.
- Lizarraga [of Elkano], J. (1868). *Jesus. Copla guisa batzuc molde gutitacoac, celebratus Jesus Jaunaren amoreac ta favoreac* [Jesus. Some poems in short verses celebrating Our Lord Jesus's love and favors]. London: Strangeways & Walden.
- [Manterola, J. (2015). Ekialdeko erromantzeetako hitzak euskaraz (Words in Basque from Romance varieties to its East). *Lapurdum*, 19, 405-417].
- Menéndez Pidal, R. (1950). *Orígenes del español. Estado lingüístico de la Península Ibérica hasta el siglo XI*, 3rd ed. Madrid: Espasa-Calpe.
- Mendiburu, S. (1760). *Jesusen amore-nequei dagozten cembait otoitz-gai* [Some meditations concerning Jesus's love and suffering]. Iruña-Pamplona: Juan Antonio Castilla.
- Michelena, L. (1954). Nota sobre algunos pasajes de los Refranes y Sentencias de 1596. [Repr. in Michelena 2011, vol 11, p. 131-140].
- Michelena, L. (1964). *Textos arcaicos vascos*. Madrid: Minotauro.
- Michelena, L. (1969). Sobre algunos nombres vascos de parentesco. *Fontes Linguae Vasconum*, 1, 113-132. [Repr. in Michelena 2011, vol. VIII, pp. 331-354].
- Michelena, L. (1977). *Fonética Histórica Vasca*, 2nd expanded edn. Donostia-San Sebastián: Diputación Foral de Gipuzkoa. [Repr. in Michelena 2011, vol. VI].
- [Michelena, L. (2011). *Obras completas*, 15 volumes, ed. by J. A. Lakarra & I. Ruiz Arzallus. Donostia-San Sebastián & Vitoria-Gasteiz: Gipuzkoako Foru Aldundia & UPV/EHU. Supplements of ASJU].
- Micoleta, R. (1653). *Modo breue de aprender la lengua vizcayna*. Bilbao. [1988, ed. by Ángel Zelaieta. Donostia-San Sebastián: Eusko Ikaskuntza, Hizkuntza eta Literatura, 133-215. Retrieved from <http://hedatuz.euskomedia.org/2237/1/07133214.pdf>]
- Moguel, J. A. de. (1881 [ms. 1802]). *El doctor Peru Abarca*. Durango: Elizalde.
- [Mounole, C. 2014/2018. *Le verbe basque ancien: étude philologique et diachronique*. Gasteiz: University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU). (= *Anuario del Seminario de Filología Vasca «Julio de Urquijo»*, 48)].

- [Mounole, C. & Lakarra, J. (2018). El euskera arcaico. In J. Gorrochategui, I. Igartua & J. Lakarra (eds.), *Historia de la lengua vasca* (p. 369-508). Bilbao: Euskaltzaindia].
- Ochoa de Arin, J. (1713). *Doctrina Christianaren explicacioa Villa Franca Guipuzcoa-co onetan euscaraz itçeguitendan moduan* [Explanation of the Christian doctrine, in Basque as it is spoken in this town of Villa Franca in Gipuzkoa]. Donostia-San Sebastián: Pedro de Ugarte.
- Oihenart, A. (1657). *Les proverbes basques recuillis par le Sr. d'Oihenart, plus le poesies basques du mesme auteur*. Paris: [no publisher]. 2nd revised, amended and augmented ed., by Francisque-Michel, 1847, Bordeaux. [Retrieved from <http://hedatuz.euskomedia.org/2498/1/26201264.pdf>]
- Pouvreau, S. (1665). *Dictionnaire basque-français*. Bibliothèque Nationale de France, ms. Retrieved from gallica.bnf.fr.
- Rohlf, G. (1960). *Diferenciación léxica de las lenguas románicas*, Spanish translation and notes by Manuel Alvar. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
- RS = Anonymous, 1596.
- Ruwet, N. (1968). *Introduction à la grammaire générative*, 2nd ed. Paris: Plon.
- Ruwet, N. (1969). À propos des prépositions de lieu en français. In Charles Hyart, ed., *Mélanges [...] offerts à M. René Fohalle* (p. 115-135). Gembloux: Duculot.
- Salaberry (d'Ibarrolle), M. (1856). *Vocabulaire de mots basques bas navarrais, tra-duites en langue française*. Bayonne: Lamaignère.
- Schuchardt, H. (1923). *Primitiae Linguae Vasconum*. Halle (Saale): Max Niemeyer Verlag.
- Schuchardt, H. (1906). *Baskisch und Romanisch. Zeitschrifte für Romanische Philologie*, Beiheft 6. [Spanish translation by Á. Goenaga, Vascuence y romance, *Boletín de la Real Sociedad Vascongada de los Amigos del País*, 13 (1957), 463-487, 15 (1959), 181-205, 16 (1960), 339-363].
- Spitzer, L. (1955). *Lingüística e historia literaria*. Madrid: Gredos.
- Tagliavini, C. (1963). *Storia di parole pagane e cristiane attraverso i tempi*. Brescia: Morcelliana.
- Ubillos, J. A. (1789). *Cristau doctriñ berri-ecarlea* [News-bringing Christian doctrine]. Tolosa: Francisco de la Lama.
- Urquijo e Ibarra, J. (1932). Los Refranes y Sentencias de 1596. Estudio comparativo. *Revista Internacional de Estudios Vascos*, 23, 264-288.
- Urquijo e Ibarra, J. (1964). *Refranero vasco: Los refranes y sentencias de 1596*. Donostia-San Sebastián: Auñamendi. [with a preamble by L. Michelena].
- Vinson, J. (1910). Le calendrier basque. *Revista Internacional de los Estudios Vascos*, 4, 32-40.
- Wagner, M. L. (1957). *Dizionario etimologico sardo*. Heidelberg: C. Winter.