DECAY ESTIMATES FOR NONLOCAL PROBLEMS VIA ENERGY METHODS

LIVIU I. IGNAT AND JULIO D. ROSSI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the applicability of energy methods to obtain bounds for the asymptotic decay of solutions to nonlocal diffusion problems. With these energy methods we can deal with nonlocal problems that not necessarily involve a convolution, that is, of the form $u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\,dy$. For example, we will consider equations like,

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\,dy + f(u)(x,t),$$

and a nonlocal analogous to the $p-$Laplacian,

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(y,t)-u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\,dy.$$

The energy method developed here allows us to obtain decay rates of the form

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\,t^{-\alpha}$$

for some explicit exponent α that depends on the parameters, d, q and p, according to the problem under consideration.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper our main aim is to apply energy methods to obtain decay estimates for solutions to nonlocal evolution equations.

First, let us introduce the prototype of nonlocal equation that we have in mind. Let $G : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative, compactly supported, radial, continuous function with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(z)\,dz = 1$. Nonlocal evolution equations of the form

$$u_t(x,t) = (G * u - u)(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)u(y,t)\,dy - u(x,t), \tag{1.1}$$

and variations of it, have been recently widely used to model diffusion processes. Equation (1.1) is called nonlocal diffusion equation since the diffusion of the density u at a point x and time t does not only depend on $u(x,t)$, but on all the values of u in a neighborhood of x through the convolution term $G * u$. As stated in [20], if $u(x,t)$ is thought of as a density at the point x at time t and $G(x-y)$ is thought of as the probability distribution of jumping from location y to location x, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)u(y,t)\,dy = (G*u)(x,t)$ is the rate at which individuals are arriving at position x from all other places and

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal diffusion, $p-$Laplacian, energy methods.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 45A07, 45G10.

$-u(x,t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(y-x)u(x,t)\,dy$ is the rate at which they are leaving location x to travel to all other sites. This consideration, in the absence of external or internal sources, leads immediately to the fact that the density u satisfies equation (1.1). For recent references on nonlocal diffusion see [1]-[9], [11], [13]-[22], [26] and references therein. This equation shares many properties with the classical heat equation, $u_t = \Delta u$, such as: bounded stationary solutions are constant, a maximum principle holds for both of them and, even if G is compactly supported, perturbations propagate with infinite speed, [20]. However, there is no regularizing effect in general.

The asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$ in for the nonlocal model (1.1) was studied in [12], see also [21] and [22], where the authors prove that every solution to (1.1) with an initial condition u_0 such that $u_0, \widehat{u_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has an asymptotic behavior given by $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq Ct^{-d/2}$.

The proof of this fact is based on a explicit representation formula for the solution in Fourier variables. In fact, from equation (1.1) we obtain $\widehat{u}_t(\xi,t) = (\widehat{G}(\xi) - 1)\widehat{u}(\xi,t)$, and hence the solution is given by, $\widehat{u}(\xi,t) = e^{(\widehat{J}(\xi)-1)t}\widehat{u_0}(\xi)$. From this explicit formula it can be obtained the decay in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the solutions, see [12] and [21]. This decay, together with the conservation of mass, gives the decay of the $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norms by interpolation. It holds, $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\,t^{-d/2(1-1/q)}$. Note that the asymptotic behavior is the same as the one for solutions of the heat equation and, as happens for the heat equation, the asymptotic profile is a gaussian, [12].

As we have mentioned, our main task here is to develop an energy method to obtain decay estimates. Our motivation to introduce energy methods to deal with nonlocal problems is twofold, first we want to see how energy methods can be applied to equations possibly without any regularization effect and moreover we want to deal with nonlinear problems for which there are no explicit representation formula for the solution (in general, Fourier methods are not applicable to nonlinear problems).

To begin our analysis, we first deal with a linear nonlocal diffusion operator with a nonlinear source, that is, we consider the following evolution problem

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\,dy + f(u)(x,t) \tag{1.2}$$

with f a locally Liptshitz function satisfying the sign condition $f(s)s \leq 0$ and $J(x,y)$ a symmetric nonnegative kernel.

We generalize the previous results in two ways, we allow a nonlinear term $f(u)$ imposing only a dissipativity condition, $f(s)s \leq 0$, and, what is even more relevant, we can consider equations in which the nonlocal part is not given by a convolution but for a general operator of the form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y) - u(x))\,dy$.

Our first result reads as follows: *under adequate hypothesis on J (see Theorem 2.1 in Section 2) and f a locally Liptshitz function satisfying the sign condition $f(s)s \leq 0$, consider an initial condition $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $d \geq 3$. Then, for any $1 \leq q < \infty$*

the solution to (1.2) *verifies the following decay bound,*

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\, t^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)}.$$

Our main hypotheses on J can be summarized as follows: $J(x,y)$ is strictly positive ($\geq c_1 > 0$) for $|y - a(x)| \leq c_2$, where a is a function with bounded derivatives.

We remark that this decay bound need not be optimal, in the final section we present examples of functions J that give exponential decay in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. To obtain a complete classification of all possible decay rates seems a very difficult but challenging problem.

Our energy approach not only simplifies the proof of the asymptotic decay in the linear case but also can de applied to handle nonlinear operators, like a nonlocal analogous to the $p-$Laplacian. Let $p > 2$ and consider

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(y,t) - u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\, dy. \tag{1.3}$$

This problem, with a convolution kernel, $J(x,y) = G(x-y)$ was considered in [3] and [2] where the authors found existence, uniqueness and the convergence of the solutions to solutions of the local $p-$Laplacian evolution problem, $v_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v)$ when a rescaling parameter (that measures the size of the support of the convolution kernel G) goes to zero.

In this case the asymptotic decay is described as follows: *given* $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *there exists a unique solution to* (1.3). *Moreover, under adequate hypothesis on* J *(see Theorem 2.1 in Section 2) and* $2 \leq p < d$, *its asymptotic decay is bounded by*

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\, t^{-\left(\frac{d}{d(p-2)+p}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)},$$

for $1 \leq q < \infty$.

This asymptotic decay is the same one that holds for solutions to the local $p-$Laplacian, $v_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v)$, see Chapter 11 in [28].

The assumption on the initial data, $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is imposed since, in general, nonlocal evolution equations have no regularizing $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) - L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ effect. In the particular case of a convolution kernel $J(x,y) = G(x-y)$, i.e. equation (1.1), in [12] it is proved that solutions u can be written as $u(t) = e^{-t}u_0 + K_t * u_0$, where K_t is a smooth function. As a consequence at any time $t > 0$, the solution u is as regular as the initial datum u_0 is. Thus, it is hopeless to guarantee that at any time $t > 0$, the solution $u(t)$ belongs to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ without assuming that $u_0 \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries and prove a decomposition theorem that will be used to apply energy methods; in Section 3 we deal with the decay of solutions with linear nonlocal diffusion and a nonlinear dissipative source and in Section 4 we prove the decay for the nonlocal $p-$Laplacian. Finally in Section 5 we present examples of J for which we can prove exponential decay bounds for the linear problem.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some preliminaries and state and prove a crucial decomposition theorem. In what follows we denote by

$$p^* = \frac{pd}{(d-p)}$$

the usual Sobolev exponent, while

$$p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

denotes the usual conjugate exponent.

First, let us describe briefly how the energy method can be applied to obtain decay estimates for local problems. Let us begin with the simpler case of the estimate for solutions to the heat equation in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm,

$$u_t = \Delta u.$$

If we multiply by u and integrate in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dx.$$

Now we use Sobolev's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2(x,t)\,dx \geq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{2^*}(x,t)\,dx\right)^{2/2^*}$$

to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx \leq -C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{2^*}(x,t)\,dx\right)^{2/2^*}.$$

If we use interpolation and conservation of mass, that implies $\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C$ for any $t>0$, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u(t)\|^{\alpha}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|u(t)\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|u(t)\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

with α determined by

$$\frac{1}{2} = \alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{2^*}, \qquad \text{that is,} \qquad \alpha = \frac{2^*-2}{2(2^*-1)}.$$

Hence we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx \leq -C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

from where the decay estimate

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\,t^{-\frac{d}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \qquad t>0,$$

follows.

In the case of the $p-$Laplacian in the whole space,

$$u_t = \Delta_p u,$$

the argument is similar, we multiply by u, integrate in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and use Sobolev inequality, that in this case reads,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^p(x,t)\,dx \geq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^{p^*}(x,t)\,dx\right)^{p/p^*}$$

and interpolation to get a similar inequality for the L^2-norm of a solution

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx \leq -C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx\right)^{\theta}$$

for an explicit $\theta < 1$ that depends on p and d. As before this inequality implies a decay bound for the L^2-norm.

We want to mimic the steps for the nonlocal evolution problem

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\,dy.$$

Hence, we multiply by u and integrate in $\mathbb{R}^d$ to obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\,dy\,u(x,t)\,dy\,dx. \tag{2.4}$$

Now, we need to "integrate by parts". Therefore, let us begin by a simple algebraic identity (whose proof is immediate) that plays the role of an integration by parts formula for nonlocal operators.

Lemma 2.1. *If J is symmetric, $J(x,y) = J(y,x)$ then it holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))\psi(x)dydx = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(\varphi(y)-\varphi(x))(\psi(y)-\psi(x))dydx.$$

If we apply this lemma to (2.4) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)dx = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))^2\,dy\,dx,$$

but now we run into troubles since there is no analogous to Sobolev inequality. In fact, an inequality of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))^2\,dy\,dx \geq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^q(x,t)\,dx\right)^{2/q}$$

can not hold for any $q > 2$.

Now the idea is to split the function u as the sum of two functions $u = v + w$, where on the function v (the "smooth"part of the solution) the nonlocal operator acts as a gradient and on the function w (the "rough"part) it does not increase its norm significatively.

Therefore, we need to obtain estimates for the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of the nonlocal operators. The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $J(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric nonnegative function satisfying*

HJ1) *There exists a positive constant $C < \infty$ such that*

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)\,dx \le C.$$

HJ2) *There exist positive constants c_1, c_2 and a function $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla a(x)| < \infty \tag{2.5}$$

such that the set

$$B_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - a(x)| \le c_2\} \tag{2.6}$$

verifies

$$B_x \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d :\ J(x,y) > c_1\}.$$

Then, for any function $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exist two functions v and w such that $u = v+w$ and

$$\|\nabla v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dx\,dy. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, if $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $q \in [1,\infty]$ then the functions v and w satisfy

$$\|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,q)\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,q)\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Before the proof we collect some remarks and a prove a corollary.

Remark 2.1. *The above result says that there exists a decomposition of u in a smooth part, v, and a rough part, w, such that the action of the nonlocal operator is like a gradient on the smooth part and as the identity on the rough part.*

Remark 2.2. *We note that in the case $1 \le p < d$ using the classical Sobolev's inequality $\|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ we get that (2.7) implies*

$$\|v\|^p_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dx\,dy.$$

Remark 2.3. *In particular, we can consider $a(x) = x$, that is, the case of a convolution kernel, $J(x,y) = G(x-y)$, with $G(0) > 0$. In fact, it is reasonable to assume that $J(x,x) > 0$ since in biological models this means that the probability that some individuals that are in x at time t remain at the same position is positive.*

To simplify the notation let us note by $\langle A_p u, u\rangle$ the following quantity,

$$\langle A_p u, u\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dx\,dy.$$

Observe that, in order that the above quantity to be finite, we have to assume a priori that u belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Note that our main result of this section, Theorem 2.1 gives estimates from below for $\langle A_p u, u\rangle$. A corollary of this result is the following.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $J(\cdot,\cdot):\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric nonnegative function satisfying hypotheses* HJ1) *and* HJ2) *in Theorem 2.1 and $p\in[1,d)$. There exist two positive constants $C_1=C_1(J,p)$ and $C_2=C_2(J,p)$ such that for any $u\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the following holds:*

$$\|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C_1\|u\|^{p(1-\alpha(p))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_p u,u\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + C_2\langle A_p u,u\rangle, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\alpha(p)$ satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\alpha(p)}{p^*} + 1 - \alpha(p).$$

Remark 2.4. *The explicit value of $\alpha(p)$ is given by*

$$\alpha(p) = \frac{p^*}{p'(p^*-1)} = \frac{d(p-1)}{d(p-1)+p}. \tag{2.11}$$

Remark 2.5. *In the case of the local operator $B_p u = -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$, using Sobolev's inequality and interpolation inequalities we have the following estimate*

$$\|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C_1\|u\|^{p(1-\alpha(p))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle B_p u,u\rangle^{\alpha(p)}.$$

In the nonlocal case an extra term involving $\langle A_p u,u\rangle$ occurs, see (2.10).

Proof of Corollary 2.1. We use the decomposition $u=v+w$ given by Theorem 2.1 to obtain

$$\|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Also, by (2.7), we have

$$\|\nabla v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,p)\langle A_p u,u\rangle$$

and

$$\|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(J,p)\langle A_p u,u\rangle.$$

Then, from the interpolation inequality

$$\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|v\|^{\alpha(p)}_{L^{p*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|v\|^{1-\alpha(p)}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

we obtain that the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le \|v\|^{\alpha(p)p}_{L^{p*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|v\|^{(1-\alpha(p))p}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}\\ &\le \|\nabla v\|^{\alpha(p)p}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|u\|^{(1-\alpha(p))p}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + C(J,p)\langle A_p u,u\rangle\\ &\le C_1\|u\|^{(1-\alpha(p))p}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_p u,u\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + C_2\langle A_p u,u\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to prove. □

Now we proceed with the proof of the decomposition theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We divide the proof in two steps. First of all, we prove under the assumptions HJ1)-HJ2) the existence of a function $\rho(\cdot,\cdot)$ satisfying

H1) $\rho(x,\cdot) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

H2) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)\,dy = 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

H3) $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)\,dx \le M < \infty$,

H4) $\operatorname{supp} \rho(x,\cdot) \subset B_x$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

H5) $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\rho(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le M < \infty$,

H6) $\sum_{k=1}^d \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le M < \infty$.

Next, we define

$$v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)u(y)\,dy, \qquad \text{and} \qquad w = u - v,$$

and prove (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9).

Step I. Construction of ρ. With c_2 given by HJ2) we consider a smooth function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ supported in the ball $B_{c_2}(0)$, $0 \le \psi \le C$ and having mass one:

$$\int_{B_{c_2}(0)} \psi(x)\,dx = 1.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we consider the function $a(x)$ and the set B_x as in (2.6), see HJ2). We then define $\rho(x,y)$ by

$$\rho(x,y) = \psi(y - a(x)). \tag{2.12}$$

We will prove properties H3) and H6) since the others easily follow with a constant $M(J)$. We point out that the assumption on the existence of a ball B_x centered at $a(x)$ with radius c_2 is necessary in proving H5). Otherwise, $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |B_x| = 0$ and by Hölder inequality, we get

$$\|\rho(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \ge \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)dy}{|B_x|^{1/p}} = \frac{1}{|B_x|^{1/p}}$$

and then

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\rho(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \ge \frac{1}{\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |B_x|^{1/p}} = \infty.$$

Therefore, we cannot obtain property H5).

We now prove property H3). Observe that, by definition (2.12) of the function $\rho(\cdot,\cdot)$ and the fact that $\psi \le C$ we have

$$\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)\,dx = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\psi(y-a(x))\,dx = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{|y-a(x)|\le c_2}\psi(y-a(x))\,dx$$
$$\le C\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\big|\{x : |y-a(x)|\le c_2\}\big|.$$

It remains to show that the last term in the right hand side is finite. Indeed, given y, we have

$$|\{x : |y-a(x)|\le c_2\}| \le \int_{\{x:|y_n-a(x)|\le c_2\}}\frac{J(x,y)}{c_1}\,dx \le \frac{1}{c_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}J(x,y)\,dx \le C.$$

We now prove HJ6). By definition (2.12) for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$\|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\nabla\psi(\cdot-a(x))\cdot\partial_{x_k}a(x)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le |\partial_{x_k}a(x)|\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Using (2.5) and the construction of ψ we obtain HJ6).

Step II. Proof of the estimates on u, v and w. We have proved that there exists a function ρ satisfying hypotheses H1)-H6). Let us take

$$v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)u(y)\,dy, \qquad \text{and} \qquad w = u - v.$$

First we prove (2.8) and (2.9). Hölder's inequality applied to the function v and H2) guarantee that

$$|v(x)|^q \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)|u(y)|^q\,dy\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)\,dy\right)^{\frac{q}{q'}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)|u(y)|^q\,dy,$$

Then, property H3) gives us

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|v(x)|^q\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(y)|^q\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)\,dx\,dy \le \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\rho(x,y)dx\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(y)|^q\,dy$$
$$\le M\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(y)|^q\,dy$$

which proves (2.8).

Also, we obviously have

$$\|w\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|v\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le (1+M^{1/q})\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

We now proceed to prove (2.7). To do that we prove the following inequalities:

$$\|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le c_1^{-1}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\|\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dx\,dy \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\|\nabla v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{d} c_1^{-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dx\,dy. \tag{2.14}$$

The fact that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\rho(x,\cdot)$ is supported in the set B_x and has mass one gives the following

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= u(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)u(y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))\,dy \\ &= \int_{B_x} \rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))\,dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Hölder's inequality we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{B_x} \rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))dy \right|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{B_x} |u(x)-u(y)|^p dy \left(\int_{B_x} \rho(x,y)^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{B_x} \rho(x,y)^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{B_x} |u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dy\,dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{B_x} |u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dy\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using now that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y \in B_x$ we have $J(x,y) > c_1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq c_1^{-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{B_x} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dy\,dx \\ &\leq c_1^{-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(x)-u(y)|^p\,dy\,dx \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.13).

In the case of v we proceed in a similar manner, by tacking into account that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the mass of $\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)$, $k = 1,\dots,d$ vanishes:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)\,dy = \partial_{x_k}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x,y)dy \Big) = 0.$$

The definition of v and this mass property gives,

$$\partial_{x_k} v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)(u(y)-u(x))\,dy = \int_{B_x} \partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)(u(y)-u(x))\,dy.$$

Thus, by Hölder inequality and the fact that $J(x,y) > c_1$ for all $y \in B_x$ we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{x_k} v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{B_x} \partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)(u(y)-u(x))dy \right|^p dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_x} |u(y)-u(x)|^p \, dy \left(\int_{B_x} |\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,y)|^{p'} dy \right)^{\frac{p}{p'}} dx \\
&= \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_x} |u(y)-u(x)|^p \, dx \, dy \\
&\leq c^{-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B_x} J(x,y)|u(y)-u(x)|^p \, dx \, dy \\
&\leq c^{-1} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{x_k}\rho(x,\cdot)\|^p_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(y)-u(x)|^p \, dx \, dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the above inequalities for all $k = 1, \dots, d$ we get (2.14).

The proof is now finished since (2.13) and (2.14) imply (2.7). □

Now we present a similar result to Corollary 2.1 which can be used to obtain less accurate bounds (hence we prefer to use the more general result presented above) in the particular case of the nonlocal laplacian, i.e. $p = 2$, and $J(x,y) = G(x-y)$. The result is no so general as Corollary 2.1, but it is obtained using Fourier analysis tools and has the advantage that the previous decomposition $u = v + w$ can be better understood. We include it here just for this purpose. In fact this decomposition can be viewed as a Fourier splitting of the function u in two parts, the first one, v, corresponding to the low frequencies (the smooth part) of u, and the second one, w, corresponds to the high frequencies component (the rough part) of u.

We will use that in the particular case $p = 2$ and $J(x,y) = G(x-y)$, G with mass one, the operator $\langle A_2 u, u\rangle$ can be represented by means of the Fourier transform of G as follows

$$\langle A_2 u, u\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G(x-y)|u(x)-u(y)|^2 \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1-\widehat{G}(\xi))|\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d \geq 3$ and G be such that its Fourier transform $\widehat{G}(\xi)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{G}(\xi) \leq 1 - \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}, & |\xi| \leq R, \\ \widehat{G}(\xi) \leq 1 - \delta, & |\xi| \geq R, \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

for some positive numbers R and δ. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon, \delta, R, d)$ such that the following

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|u\|^{2(1-\beta(\varepsilon))}_{L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle A_2 u, u\rangle^{\beta(\varepsilon)} + \langle A_2 u, u\rangle \tag{2.16}$$

holds for all $u \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)d}{d+2-\varepsilon(d-2)}.$$

Remark 2.6. *The limit case $\varepsilon = 0$ cannot be obtained since an estimate of the type*

$$\|(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|\le R\}}\widehat{u})^\vee\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

does not hold for all functions $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In dimension one this can be seen by choosing a sequence u_ε with $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$ such that $u_\varepsilon \to \delta_0$, the Dirac delta. Then

$$(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|\le R\}}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon)^\vee = u_\varepsilon * \frac{\sin(Rx)}{Rx} \to \frac{\sin Rx}{Rx}$$

and the last function does not belong to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $\|(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|\le R\}}\widehat{u}_\varepsilon)^\vee\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \to \infty$ but $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$.

Remark 2.7. *The same arguments can be used to obtain estimates for any function G which satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{G}(\xi) \le 1 - \frac{|\xi|^{2s}}{2}, & |\xi| \le R, \\ \widehat{G}(\xi) \le 1 - \delta, & |\xi| \ge R, \end{cases}$$

for some positive numbers R, δ and s.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. For any function $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define its projections on the low and high frequencies respectively,

$$v := (\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|\le R\}}\widehat{u})^\vee, \qquad w := (\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|\ge R\}}\widehat{u})^\vee. \tag{2.17}$$

Using that the function $\widehat{G}$ satisfies (2.15) we obtain the following estimate for the operator A_2:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_2 u, u\rangle =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 - \widehat{G}(\xi))|\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \ge \int_{|\xi|\le R} \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi + \delta \int_{|\xi|\ge R} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^2|\widehat{v}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{w}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ \ge& c(\delta)\Big(\|\nabla v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big) \\ \ge& c(\delta)(\|v\|^2_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

In order to estimate from above the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u as in (2.16), using the orthogonality of v and w it is sufficient to estimate each projection v and w since

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

In the case of w, using (2.17) and (2.18) we have the rough estimate:

$$\|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \frac{1}{c(\delta)}\langle A_2 u, u\rangle. \tag{2.19}$$

Next we estimate the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of v. We recall that classical results on Fourier multipliers (see Chapter 4 in [27]) give us that for any $p \in (1,\infty)$ the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of v, defined by (2.17), can be bounded from above by the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u as follows:

$$\|v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(p,d)\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{2.20}$$

Using this estimate and interpolation inequalities we obtain that v, the low frequency projection of u, satisfies

$$\|v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \left(\|v\|^{1-\beta(\varepsilon)}_{L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|v\|^{\beta(\varepsilon)}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)^2 \leq \left(c(\varepsilon,d)\|u\|^{1-\beta(\varepsilon)}_{L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|v\|^{\beta(\varepsilon)}_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)^2 \tag{2.21}$$

$$\leq c^2(\varepsilon,d)c(\delta)^{-\beta(\varepsilon)}\|u\|^{2(1-\beta(\varepsilon))}_{L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_2u,u\rangle^{\beta(\varepsilon)},$$

where $c(\varepsilon,d)$ is given by applying (2.20) with $p = 1+\varepsilon$ and $\beta(\varepsilon)$ by

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1-\beta(\varepsilon)}{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{\beta(\varepsilon)}{2^*},$$

that is,

$$\beta(\varepsilon) = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)d}{d+2-\varepsilon(d-2)}.$$

Combining (2.18), (2.19) and (2.21) we obtain

$$\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(\varepsilon,\delta,d)\|u\|^{2(1-\beta(\varepsilon))}_{L^{1+\beta(\varepsilon)}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_2u,u\rangle^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} + \langle A_2u,u\rangle. \tag{2.22}$$

The proof is now finished. □

We end this section with a crucial but simple result concerning the decay of solutions to a differential inequality.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $t_0 \geq 0$ and $\psi : [0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ such that for all $t > t_0$*

$$\psi_t + \alpha\psi^\beta t^\gamma \leq 0 \tag{2.23}$$

holds for some constants $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 1$ and γ. Then there exists a positive constant $c(\alpha,\beta)$ such that

$$\psi(t) \leq c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)(t^{\gamma+1} - (t_0)^{\gamma+1})^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$$

holds for all $t > t_0$.

Proof. Inequality (2.23) gives us

$$\psi_t\psi^{-\beta} + \alpha t^\gamma \leq 0.$$

Integrating on $[t_0,t]$ we find that for any $t \geq t_0$

$$\frac{\psi^{1-\beta}(t)}{1-\beta} - \frac{\psi^{1-\beta}(t_0)}{1-\beta} + \alpha\frac{(t^{\gamma+1} - (t_0)^{\gamma+1})}{\gamma+1} \leq 0.$$

Then

$$\alpha(t^{\gamma+1} - (t_0)^{\gamma+1})(\beta-1)(\gamma+1)^{-1} \leq \psi^{1-\beta}(t)$$

and hence

$$\psi(t) \leq c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)(t^{\gamma+1} - (t_0)^{\gamma+1})^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}$$

where $c(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is a constant. □

3. Decay estimates for the linear diffusion problem with a nonlinear source.

In this section we will obtain the long time behavior of the solutions u to the following equation

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\,dy + f(u)(x,t) \tag{3.24}$$

under suitable assumptions on the kernel J and the nonlinearity f. Our goal is to obtain here a proof of the decay rate of the solution u to (3.24) by using energy methods.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $J(x,y)$ be a symmetric nonnegative kernel satisfying* HJ1) *as in Theorem 2.1 and f be a locally Lipshitz function with $f(s)s \leq 0$. For any $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a unique solution to equation* (3.24) *which satisfies*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \tag{3.25}$$

for every $t > 0$.

Moreover, if $d \geq 3$ and J also satisfies HJ2) *then the following holds:*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})^d} \leq C(q,d)\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{q})} \tag{3.26}$$

for all $q \in [1,\infty)$ and for all t sufficiently large.

Remark 3.1. *The proof uses the results of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 obtained in Section 2 in the particular case $p = 2$. In order to apply Corollary 2.1 we need to assume $d > 2$, i.e. $d \geq 3$.*

The same arguments we use here also work for the convection diffusion equation:

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t,x) = (G_1 * u - u)(t,x) + (G_2 * (|u|^{r-1}u) - |u|^{r-1}u)(t,x), & t > 0,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

where $r > 1$ and G_1 and G_2 are positive functions with mass one. We have to mention that this time the dissipativity condition on the nonlinear part have to be understood in the following sense

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(G * (|u|^{r-1}u) - |u|^{r-1}u\right)|u|^{q-2}u \leq 0$$

for any $q \geq 1$.

In the case of equation (3.27), the same decay as in (3.26) has been obtained in [21] by means of the so-called *Fourier Splitting method* introduced by Schonbek in [23], [24]

and [25] in the context of the local convection-diffusion equation. Our method also works if the convolution terms in (3.27) are replaced by integral operators as in (3.24).

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $d > 2$ and u such that $u(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $t \geq 0$ satisfying:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(x,t)dx + \langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle \leq 0, \qquad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

with J as in Theorem 2.1. Assuming that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u(0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \qquad \text{for all } t > 0, \tag{3.28}$$

there exists a constant $c(d, J)$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(d,J)\|u(0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}$$

holds for all t large enough.

Remark 3.2. *Under the same hypotheses we can replace the initial time $t = 0$ with any positive time t_0, the result being the same for large time t,*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq c(d)\|u(t_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}(t - t_0)^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By Corollary 2.1 and property (3.28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq C_1(J)\|u(t)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle^{\alpha(2)} + C_2(J)\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle \\ &\leq C_1(J)\|u(0)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle^{\alpha(2)} + C_2(J)\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(2) = d/(d+2)$ is given by (2.11). To simplify the presentation we will assume without loss of generality that $C_1(J) = C_2(J) = 1$ (otherwise one can track the constants that appear in each step of the proof). Then for any $t > 0$, $\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle$ satisfies

$$H^{-1}(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}) \leq \langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle$$

where

$$H(x) = \|u(0)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} x^{\alpha(2)} + x.$$

Analyzing the function $H_{a,\beta}(x) = ax^\beta + x$, $a > 0$, $\beta \in (0,1)$, we observe that

$$H_{a,\beta}(x) \leq \begin{cases} 2x & x > a^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}, \\ 2ax^\beta, & x < a^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} \end{cases}$$

and then

$$H^{-1}_{a,\beta}(y) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{y}{2}, & y > 2a^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}, \\ (\frac{y}{2a})^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, & y < 2a^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.29}$$

Applying this property to $a = \|u(0)\|^{1-\alpha(2)}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, $\beta = \alpha(2)$ we find that $\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle$ verifies:

$$\langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, & \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} > 2\,\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \\ \left(\frac{\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{2\|u(0)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(2)}}, & \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} < 2\,\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{cases}$$

Then, $\phi(t) = \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ satisfies the following differential inequality for all $t \geq 0$:

$$\phi_t(t) + \frac{\phi(t)}{2}\chi_{\left\{\phi(t)>2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right\}} + \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{2\|u(0)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(2)}} \chi_{\left\{\phi(t)<2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right\}} \leq 0.$$

This implies the existence of a time t_0 such that $\phi(t_0) < 2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. If not, then for all time $t \geq t_0$ we get $\phi(t) > 2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and

$$\phi_t(t) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(t) \leq 0.$$

Integrating the above inequality on (t_0, t) we obtain $\phi(t) \leq e^{-(t-t_0)/2}\phi(t_0)$ which contradicts our assumption. Thus, there exists t_0 such that $\phi(t_0) < 2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. Using that $\phi_t(t) \leq 0$ we obtain that $\phi(t) < 2\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ holds for all $t \geq t_0$ and $\phi(t)$ satisfies the following differential inequality for all $t \geq t_0$:

$$\phi_t(t) + \left(\frac{\phi(t)}{2\|u(0)\|^{2(1-\alpha(2))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha(2)}} \leq 0.$$

Integrating it on (t_0, t) we get by Lemma 2.3 with $\gamma = 0$ that ϕ satisfies

$$\phi(t) \leq C\|u(0)\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}(t - t_0)^{-d(1-\frac{1}{2})},\ t > t_0,$$

in other words

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|u(0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}$$

holds for all time t large enough. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. **Step I. Global existence and uniqueness.** First, let us prove the existence and uniqueness of a local solution. To this end we use a fixed point argument.

Let us consider the space

$$X = C^0\big([0,T];\, L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\big)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_X = \max_{t\in[0,T]} \Big\{\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\Big\}.$$

We observe that the operator $A : X \to X$ defined by

$$Au(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))dy$$

is continuous since using HJ1) and the symmetry of J we get

$$\|Au\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)dy \leq 2C\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

and

$$\|Au\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)dy \leq 2C\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Since the map $u \to f(u)$ is Lipschitz continuous on bounded subsets of X (as a consequence of the properties of f) classical results on semilinear evolution problems (see for example [10], Proposition 4.3.3) guarantees the existence of a unique local solution u.

We now prove (3.25) which guarantee the global existence of solutions to equation (3.24). We multiply equation (3.24) with $\operatorname{sgn}(u)$ and integrate on $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x,t)|dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t(x,t)\operatorname{sgn}(u(x,t))dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\operatorname{sgn}(u(x,t))dxdy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u(x,t))\operatorname{sgn}(u(x,t))dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $f(s)s \leq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(x,t)|dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\operatorname{sgn}(u(x,t))dydx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))(\operatorname{sgn}(u(y,t)) - \operatorname{sgn}(u(x,t)))dydx \\ &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

From here it follows that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Now, multiplying the equation by $(u(x,t)-M)_+$, where $M = \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, and integrating on $\mathbb{R}^d$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x,t)-M)_+^2}{2}dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t(x,t)(u(x,t)-M)_+dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))(u(x,t)-M)_+dydx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(u(x,t))(u(x,t)-M)_+dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, the sign property of the function f and the fact that for any two real numbers a and b we have

$$|a_+ - b_+|^2 \leq (a-b)(a_+-b_+),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{(u(x,t)-M)_+^2}{2}dx = \\
&\quad\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))(u(x,t)-M)_+ dydx \\
&\quad= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\big((u(y,t)-M)_+-(u(x,t)-M)_+\big)dx\,dy \\
&\quad\le -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)\big|(u(y,t)-M)_+-(u(x,t)-M)_+\big|^2 dx\,dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{(u(x,t)-M)_+^2}{2}\,dx = 0$$

and we obtain that $u(x,t)\le M$ for all $t\ge 0$ and a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$.

In a similar way we get $u(x,t)\ge -M$ for all $t\ge 0$ and a.e. $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$.

We conclude that $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le \|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ and that the solution u is global.

Step II. Proof of the long time behaviour. We divide the proof in several steps.

Step II a). The case $p=2$. Multiplying equation (3.24) by $\operatorname{sgn}(u)$ and u we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(t,x)|\,dx\le 0 \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}u^2(t)dx+\langle A_2u(t),u(t)\rangle\le 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Inequality (3.30) implies that (3.28) holds.

Inequalities (3.30) and (3.31) allow us to apply Lemma 3.1. Thus we obtain that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

holds for large enough t. This gives us, by interpolation, the long time behaviour of the solution u in any $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm when $1\le q\le 2$.

Step II b). The case $p=2^{n+1}$. We use an iterative argument to prove that once the result is assumed for $p=2^n$ we get the result for $p=2^{n+1}$.

Assume that it holds for $p=2^n$. Then

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{2^n}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2^n})}$$

holds for all t large enough.

Let us fix $r = 2^{n+1}$. We multiply equation (3.24) with u^{r-1} to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^r(x,t)dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(x,t)-u(y,t))u^{r-1}(x,t)\,dx\,dy\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u(x,t)-u(y,t))(u^{r-1}(x,t)-u^{r-1}(y,t))\,dx\,dy\\ &\le -c(r)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)(u^{r/2}(x,t)-u^{r/2}(y,t))^2\,dx\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Then $v = u^{r/2}$ verifies:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v^2(x,t)dx + c(r)\langle A_2 v(t), v(t)\rangle \le 0, \quad t > 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.2 we obtain that for large time t the following holds:

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|v(t/2)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Then

$$\|u^{r/2}(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u^{r/2}(t/2)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}$$

and using that $r = 2^{n+1}$:

$$\|u(t)\|^{2^n}_{L^{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C(d,n)\|u(t/2)\|^{2^n}_{L^{2^n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Using the hypothesis on the $L^{2^n}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|_{L^{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\le C(d,n)\|u(t/2)\|_{L^{2^n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2^n}-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}})}\\ &\le C(d,n)\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2^n})} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2^n}-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}})}\\ &\le C(d,n)\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} t^{-\frac{d}{2}(1-\frac{1}{2^{n+1}})}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is now finished since we can interpolate between the cases $r = 2^n$ and $r = 2^{n+1}$, $n \ge 0$ an integer. Indeed, given $q \in (1,\infty)$ we can find a positive integer n such that $2^n \le q < 2^{n+1}$. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u\|^a_{L^{2^n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u\|^{(1-a)}_{L^{2^{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $a = a(q,n)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{a}{2^n} + \frac{1-a}{2^{n+1}}$$

and the general case follows.

The proof of decay property (3.26) is now finished. □

4. Decay estimates for the nonlocal $p-$Laplacian

In this section we deal with the following nonlocal analogous to the $p-$laplacian evolution,

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(y,t)-u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\,dy. \tag{4.32}$$

Existence and uniqueness of a solution follows from the results in [2] (see also [3] for the Neumann problem). Again for this case we have to note that in those references a convolution kernel was considered $J(x,y)=G(x-y)$ but it can be checked that the same proof gives existence and uniqueness for a general $J(x,y)$.

Theorem 4.1. *([2], Proposition 2.4) Let $1<p<\infty$. For any initial condition $u_0\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a unique global solution $u\in C([0,\infty):L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap W^{1,1}((0,\infty):L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of equation (4.32).*

Concerning the long time behaviour of the solutions of equation (4.32) we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $u_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $2\le p<d$. For any $1\le q<\infty$ the solution to (4.32) verifies*

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)}\le Ct^{-\left(\frac{d}{d(p-2)+p}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \tag{4.33}$$

for all t sufficiently large.

Remark 4.1. *The condition $p\ge 2$ is used in the inductive step in our proof. Also $p<d$ is necessary in order to use Corollary 2.1.*

Proof. We multiply equation (4.32) by $|u|^{r-2}u(x)$, $1\le r<\infty$, and integrate to obtain, using Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^r(x,t)\,dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u|^{r-2}uu_t(x,t)dx\\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}J(x,y)|u(y,t)-u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t)-u(x,t))|u|^{r-2}u(x,t)\,dy\,dx\\
&= -C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}J(x,y)|u(y,t)-u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t)-u(x,t))\times\\
&\qquad\qquad\times(|u|^{r-2}u(y,t)-|u|^{r-2}u(x,t))\,dy\,dx\\
&\le -C(p,r)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}J(x,y)\left||u|^{\frac{p+r-2}{p}}(y,t)-|u|^{\frac{p+r-2}{p}}(x,t)\right|^p dy\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line we have used that for any $p,r>1$ the following holds

$$|x-1|^{p-2}(x-1)(|x|^{r-2}x-1)\ge c(p,r)||x|^{\frac{p+r-2}{p}}-1|^p,\qquad \forall\, x\in\mathbb{R}.$$

The above inequality gives us that for any $1 \le r < \infty$, u, the solution to (4.32), satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^r(t,x)dx + C(p,r)\langle A_p|u(t)|^{\frac{p+r-2}{p}}, |u(t)|^{\frac{p+r-2}{p}}\rangle \le 0 \tag{4.34}$$

This inequality is crucial to obtain the long time behaviour (4.33) of a solution u to (4.32).

Next, we will prove by induction that the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n\ge 0}$ defined by

$$p_0 = 1, \qquad p_{n+1} = pp_n - p + 2, \qquad n \ge 0,$$

satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{p_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le Ct^{-d_n} \tag{4.35}$$

where

$$d_n = \frac{d}{d(p-2)+p}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n}\right).$$

As the sequence p_n verifies $p_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ the desired inequality (4.33) follows by interpolation. Indeed, given $1 < q < \infty$ there exists n such that $p_n < q \le p_{n+1}$. Then, we conclude applying (4.35) and the standard interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u\|^a_{L^{p_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\|u\|^{(1-a)}_{L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where $a = a(n,q)$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{a}{p_n} + \frac{1-a}{p_{n+1}}.$$

Now, we proceed with the inductive proof of (4.35).

Case I. $n = 0$**.** Observe that in this case inequality (4.35) holds since the $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u does not increase:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(x,t)|dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_t(x,t)\,\mathrm{sgn}(u(x,t))dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} J(x,y)|u(y,t)-u(x,t)|^{p-2}(u(y,t)-u(x,t))(\,\mathrm{sgn}(u(x,t)) - \,\mathrm{sgn}(u(y,t)))\,dy\,dx\\ &\le 0.\end{aligned}$$

Case II. Inductive step. We assume that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{p_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le Ct^{-d_n}$$

and prove that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le Ct^{-d_{n+1}}.$$

To this end we will obtain a differential inequality for the $L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of u.

Step 1. Differential inequality for the $L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$**-norm of** u**.** Using inequality (4.34) with $r = p_{n+1}$ we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|u(x,t)|^{p_{n+1}}dx + c(p,q)\langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle \le 0. \tag{4.36}$$

We now get an upper bound for $\langle A_p|u|^{p_n}, |u|^{p_n}\rangle$ in terms of $\|u\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. This together with (4.36) will allow us to construct a differential inequality for $\|u\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, integrating it we will obtain the desired result.

By the crucial decomposition estimates of Corollary 2.1, for any function $v \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that

$$\|v\|^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|v\|^{p(1-\alpha(p))}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle A_p v, v\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + \langle A_p v, v\rangle.$$

This implies, taking $v = |u(t)|^{(p+r-2)/p}$, that

$$\|u(t)\|^{p+r-2}_{L^{p+r-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u(t)\|^{(p+r-2)p(1-\alpha(p))/p}_{L^{(p+r-2)/p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle A_p|u(t)|^{(p+r-2)/p}, |u(t)|^{(p+r-2)/p}\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + \langle A_p|u(t)|^{(p+r-2)/p}, |u(t)|^{(p+r-2)/p}\rangle, \tag{4.37}$$

where $\alpha(p) = p^*/p'(p^*-1)$. Using that $r = p_{n+1}$ we get

$$p + r - 2 = p + p_{n+1} - 2 = pp_n$$

and then u satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|^{pp_n}_{L^{pp_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u(t)\|^{p_n(1-\alpha(p))p}_{L^{p_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle.$$

Using that $p \ge 2$ we get $p_{n+1} \le pp_n$. Thus we can use now the interpolation inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{p_{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|u(t)\|^{\varepsilon_n}_{L^{pp_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u(t)\|^{1-\varepsilon_n}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C\|u(t)\|^{\varepsilon_n}_{L^{pp_n}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

where ε_n satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p_{n+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{pp_n} + \frac{1-\varepsilon_n}{1},$$

i.e.

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{pp_n}{p_{n+1}} \frac{p_{n+1}-1}{pp_n - 1}.$$

Then for any t large enough by (4.37) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|^{pp_n/\varepsilon_n}_{p_{n+1}} &\le C\|u(t)\|^{pp_n}_{pp_n} \\
&\le \|u(t)\|^{p_n(1-\alpha(p))p}_{p_n} \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle \\
&\le Ct^{-d_n(1-\alpha(p))pp_n} \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle^{\alpha(p)} + \langle A_p|u(t)|^{p_n}, |u(t)|^{p_n}\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting $\psi(t) = \|u(t)\|^{p_{n+1}}_{p_{n+1}}$ and using (4.36) we get that for t large enough ψ satisfies the following differential inequality

$$\psi_t + H_n^{-1}\Big(\psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}\Big) \le 0 \tag{4.38}$$

where

$$H_n(x) = t^{-d_n(1-\alpha(p))pp_n} x^{\alpha(p)} + x.$$

Using inequality (3.29) for the function H_n with

$$a = t^{-d_n(1-\alpha(p))pp_n}$$

and $\beta = \alpha(p)$ we get

$$H_n^{-1}(y) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{y}{2}, & y > 2t^{-d_n p_n p}, \\ \left(\frac{y}{2t^{-d_n(1-\alpha(p))pp_n}}\right)^{1/\alpha(p)}, & y < 2t^{-d_n p_n p}. \end{cases} \tag{4.39}$$

Thus ψ satisfies the following differential inequality:

$$\psi_t(t)+\psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t)\chi\Big(\psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t) > 2t^{-d_n p_n p}\Big)+ \\ + \psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}\frac{1}{\alpha(p)}}(t)t^{\frac{d_n p_n p(1-\alpha(p))}{\alpha(p)}}\chi\Big(\psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t) < 2t^{-d_n p_n p}\Big) \leq 0. \tag{4.40}$$

Step 2. Decay of the function ψ. First, we show that the function ψ satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \psi(t) = 0. \tag{4.41}$$

First observe that (4.38) gives us that ψ is a non-increasing function. Let us assume that there exists a sequence $t_n \to \infty$ such that

$$\psi(t_n) < 2t_n^{-d_n p_n p}.$$

Using that ψ is a non-increasing function we get (4.41).

In the case when the above assumption is not satisfied we obtain the existence of a time t_0 such that for all $t > t_0$, $\psi(t) > 2t^{-d_n p_n p}$. Using (4.40) we obtain that for any $t > t_0$, ψ satisfies:

$$\psi_t(t) + \psi^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t) \leq 0.$$

The definition of the sequence $(p_n)_{n\geq 0}$ guarantees that $pp_n - 1 > p_{n+1} - 1$ and then $\psi(t)$ satisfies (4.41).

Step 3. Sub and super-solutions for (4.38)**.** We prove that any two functions $\overline{\psi}$ and $\underline{\psi}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\psi}_t(t) + H_n^{-1}(\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}) > 0 \geq \underline{\psi}_t(t) + H_n^{-1}(\underline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}) \qquad \text{for all } t > t_0, \\ \overline{\psi}(t_0) > \underline{\psi}(t_0), \end{cases}$$

verifies

$$\overline{\psi}(t) > \underline{\psi}(t), \text{ for all } t \geq t_0. \tag{4.42}$$

To prove the above statement let us assume that (4.42) does not holds for all $t \geq t_0$. Then there exists a first $t_1 > t_0$ such that $\overline{\psi}(t_1) = \underline{\psi}(t_1)$. Thus

$$0 \geq (\overline{\psi} - \underline{\psi})_t(t_1) > H_n^{-1}(\underline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t_1)) - H_n^{-1}(\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t_1)) = 0.$$

This implies that our assumption does not hold and then (4.42) holds for all $t > t_0$.

Step 4. Construction of a supersolution. We consider supersolutions of the type

$$\overline{\psi}(t) = kt^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}\cdot} \tag{4.43}$$

since out final goal is to obtain a bound of the type $\psi(t) \leq \overline{\psi}(t) \leq Ct^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}}$.

We prove the existence of positive constants k and t_0 such that $\overline{\psi}$ is a supersolution for equation (4.38):

$$\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t) < t^{-d_np_np}, \qquad \forall\, t > t_0 \tag{4.44}$$

and

$$\overline{\psi}_t(t) + H_n^{-1}(\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}) > 0, \qquad \forall\, t > t_0. \tag{4.45}$$

Introducing the explicit form of ψ given by (4.43) in (4.44) we get

$$k^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}} t^{-\frac{d_{n+1}p_{n+1}}{p_{n+1}-1}(pp_n-1)} < t^{-\frac{d_np_n}{p_n-1}(p_n-1)p}.$$

Using that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\frac{d_np_n}{p_n-1} = c(p,d) = \frac{d}{d(p-2)+p} \tag{4.46}$$

it remains to impose that t_0 and k satisfy

$$k^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}} < t^{c(p,d)(p-1)}, \qquad \forall\ t > t_0. \tag{4.47}$$

In what concerns (4.45) we use that (4.44) holds. Thus, (4.39) gives us that

$$H_n^{-1}(\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t)) \geq 2^{-1/\alpha(p)}\Big(t^{d_np_n(1-\alpha(p))p}\,\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}(t)\Big)^{1/\alpha(p)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\psi}_t(t)&+H_n^{-1}(\overline{\psi}^{\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}})\\ &\geq -kd_{n+1}p_{n+1}t^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}} + 2^{-1/\alpha(p)}\Big(t^{d_np_n(1-\alpha(p))p}t^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}\Big)^{1/\alpha(p)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have to choose k and t_0 such that

$$\Big(t^{d_np_n(1-\alpha(p))p}t^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1}}\Big)^{1/\alpha(p)} > 2^{1/\alpha(p)}kd_{n+1}p_{n+1}t^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}-1}. \tag{4.48}$$

We claim that

$$d_np_n(1-\alpha(p))p - d_{n+1}p_{n+1}\frac{pp_n-1}{p_{n+1}-1} = -\alpha(p)d_{n+1}p_{n+1} - \alpha(p). \tag{4.49}$$

This implies that (4.48) holds for k small enough. Once k is fixed we choose t_0 such that (4.47) also holds. We have constructed a function $\overline{\psi}$ which verifies (4.45) for all $t > t_0$.

We now prove the above claim, (4.49). Using (4.46) we have to check that

$$c(p,d)(p_n-1)(1-\alpha(p))p - c(p,d)(pp_n-1) = -\alpha(p)c(p,d)(p_{n+1}-1) - \alpha(p)$$

or equivalently

$$c(p,d)\alpha(p)(p-pp_n) - c(p,d)(p-1) = -\alpha(p)c(p,d)(p_{n+1}-1) - \alpha(p).$$

Using the definition of $p_{n+1} = pp_n - p + 2$ we get

$$c(p,d)\alpha(p)(p - pp_n) - c(p,d)(p-1) = c(p,d)\alpha(p)(-p_{n+1}+2) - c(p,d)(p-1)$$
$$= -c(p,d)\alpha(p)(p_{n+1}-1) + c(p,d)\alpha(p) - c(p,d)(p-1).$$

It remains to prove that

$$c(p,d)[1 - \frac{p-1}{\alpha(p)}] = -1.$$

Using the definition of $\alpha(p)$ we easily can prove this fact:

$$1 - \frac{p-1}{\alpha(p)} = 1 - \frac{d(p-1)+p}{d} = -\frac{1}{c(p,d)}.$$

Step 5. Decay of ψ. Let us choose k, t_0 and $\overline{\psi}$ as in Step 4. Using Step 2 we can find $T > 0$ such that $\psi(T + t_0) < \overline{\psi}(t_0)$. Thus $\underline{\psi}(t) = \psi(T + t)$ is a subsolution for equation (4.38) which satisfies $\underline{\psi}(t_0) < \overline{\psi}(t_0)$. Step 3 gives us that $\underline{\psi}(t) \le \overline{\psi}(t)$ for all $t > t_0$. Then

$$\psi(t) \le k(t - t_0 - T)^{-d_{n+1}p_{n+1}}, \qquad \forall\ t > t_0.$$

The proof is now finished. □

5. Examples of exponential decay

In this section we present a simple example of $J(x,y)$ for which we obtain exponential decay of the solutions to the linear problem

$$u_t(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J(x,y)(u(y,t) - u(x,t))\,dy. \tag{5.50}$$

Note that, to simplify, we restrict ourselves to one space dimension.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a diffeomorfism. Assume that*

$$J(x,y) > \frac{1}{2} \quad on \quad |y - a(x)| \le 1,$$

where the function a satisfies

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}} |(a^{-1})_x| < 1 \quad or \quad \inf_{\mathbb{R}} |(a^{-1})_x| > 1$$

then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\langle A_2 u, u\rangle \ge C\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof. Using the symmetry of the function J we get

$$J(x,y) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ on } |x - a(y)| < 1.$$

Thus

$$J(x,y) \ge \frac{1}{4}\chi_{\{|x-a(y)|<1\}} + \frac{1}{4}\chi_{\{|y-a(x)|<1\}}. \tag{5.51}$$

Observe that this inequality is "optimal" in the sense that we can get J defined by

$$J(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{|x-a(y)|<1\}}+\frac{1}{2}\chi_{\{|y-a(x)|<1\}},$$

if $\{|x-a(y)|<1\}$ and $\{|y-a(x)|<1\}$ are disjoint.

Let us consider $\psi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ a smooth positive function, supported on $(-1,1)$. Then

$$2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}J(x,y)\geq\rho(x,y):=\psi(x-a(y))+\psi(y-a(x))$$

and

$$2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\langle A_2u,u\rangle\geq\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))^2dxdy. \tag{5.52}$$

Let be θ a positive constant which will be fixed latter. Using the elementary inequality

$$(b-c)^2=b^2+c^2-2bc\geq b^2+c^2-\theta b^2-\frac{1}{\theta}c^2=(1-\theta)(b^2-\frac{c^2}{\theta})$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))^2dxdy&\geq(1-\theta)\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi(y-a(x))\Big(u^2(x)-\frac{u^2(y)}{\theta}\Big)dxdy\\&=(1-\theta)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)dx\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy-\frac{1}{\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(y)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y-a(x))dxdy\Big)\\&=(1-\theta)\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy-\frac{1}{\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x-a(y))dy\Big)dx\\&=(1-\theta)\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy-\frac{1}{\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x-y)|(a^{-1})_x(y)|dy\Big)dx\\&=\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)\Big(\theta-\frac{(\psi*|(a^{-1})_x|)(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy}\Big)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rho(x,y)(u(x)-u(y))^2\,dx\,dy\\&\geq\begin{cases}\dfrac{1-\theta}{\theta}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)\Big(\theta-\frac{\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\psi*|(a^{-1})_x|}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)dy}\Big)dx, & \theta<1,\\ \dfrac{1-\theta}{\theta}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)\,dy\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^2(x)\Big(\theta-\frac{\inf\psi*(a^{-1})_x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)\,dy}\Big)\,dx, & \theta<1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|(a^{-1})_x(x)|<1$$

then

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(\psi*|(a^{-1})_x|)(x)<\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(y)\,dy.$$

We choose θ satisfying

$$\frac{\sup_{\mathbb{R}} \psi * |(a^{-1})_x|}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y)dy} < \theta < 1$$

and thus by (5.52)

$$2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\langle A_2 u, u\rangle \geq C(\theta, \psi, a)\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The other case

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |(a^{-1})_x(x)| > 1$$

can be treated in a similar way. Here we have

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} (\psi * |(a^{-1})_x|)(x) > \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y)dy$$

and then we choose θ satisfying

$$\frac{\inf_{\mathbb{R}} \psi * |(a^{-1})_x|}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y)dy} > \theta > 1$$

and thus

$$2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\langle A_2 u, u\rangle \geq C(\theta, \psi, a)\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

□

Theorem 5.1. *Let $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then the solution to* (5.50) *verifies*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ce^{-Ct}$$

for all $t > t_0$.

Proof. Multiplying equation (5.50) by u we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t)\, dx + \langle A_2 u(t), u(t)\rangle \leq 0,$$

and using our previous estimate (Lemma 5.1) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t)\, dx + C\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2(t)dx \leq 0,$$

from where the result follows. □

Acknowledgements. We want to thank A. Vargas for several useful conversations on this subject.

L. I. Ignat partially supported by the reintegration grant RP-3, contract 4-01/10/2007 of CNCSIS Romania, by the grant MTM2008-03541 of the Spanish MEC and the DOMINO Project CIT-370200-2005-10 in the PROFIT program (Spain).

J. D. Rossi partially supported by UBA X066, CONICET (Argentina) and by projects MTM2004-02223 and MTM2008-05824 and SIMUMAT (Spain).

References

[1] F. Andreu, J. M. Mazón, J. D. Rossi and J. Toledo. *The Neumann problem for nonlocal nonlinear diffusion equations*. J. Evol. Equations. **8(1)**, (2008), 189–215.

[2] F. Andreu, J. M. Mazon, J. D. Rossi and J. Toledo. *The limit as $p \to \infty$ in a nonlocal $p-$Laplacian evolution equation. A nonlocal approximation of a model for sandpiles*. To appear in Calc. Var. PDE.

[3] F. Andreu, J. M. Mazón, J. D. Rossi and J. Toledo. *A nonlocal $p-$Laplacian evolution equation with Neumann boundary conditions*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **90(2)**, (2008), 201–227.

[4] G. Barles, E. Chasseigne and C. Imbert. *On the Dirichlet problem for second-order elliptic integro-differential equations*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 213–246.

[5] P. Bates, X. Chen and A. Chmaj, *Heteroclinic solutions of a van der Waals model with indefinite nonlocal interactions*. Calc. Var. PDE **24** (2005), 261–281.

[6] P. Bates and A. Chmaj. *An integrodifferential model for phase transitions: stationary solutions in higher dimensions*. J. Statistical Phys., **95**, (1999), 1119–1139.

[7] P. Bates and A. Chmaj. *A discrete convolution model for phase transitions*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **150**, (1999), 281–305.

[8] P. Bates, P. Fife, X. Ren and X. Wang. *Travelling waves in a convolution model for phase transitions*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **138**, (1997), 105–136.

[9] L. Caffarelli, S. Salsa and L. Silvestre. *Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian*. To appear in Inventiones Mathematicae.

[10] T. Cazenave and A. Haraux. *An introduction to semilinear evolution equations*. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications. 13, 1998.

[11] C. Carrillo and P. Fife. *Spatial effects in discrete generation population models*. J. Math. Biol., **50(2)**, (2005), 161–188.

[12] E. Chasseigne, M. Chaves and J. D. Rossi. *Asymptotic behaviour for nonlocal diffusion equations*. J. Math. Pures Appl., **86**, (2006), 271–291.

[13] A. Chmaj and X. Ren, *Homoclinic solutions of an integral equation: existence and stability*. J. Diff. Eqns., **155** (1999), 17–43.

[14] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta and J. D. Rossi. *A non-local diffusion equation whose solutions develop a free boundary*. Annales Henri Poincaré, **6(2)**, (2005), 269–281.

[15] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta and J. D. Rossi. *Nonlocal diffusion problems that approximate the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions*. To appear in Israel J. Math.

[16] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. D. Rossi and N. Wolanski. *Boundary fluxes for non-local diffusion*. J. Differential Equations, **234**, (2007), 360–390.

[17] C. Cortazar, M. Elgueta, J. D. Rossi and N. Wolanski. *How to approximate the heat equation with Neumann boundary conditions by nonlocal diffusion problems*. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **187(1)**, (2008), 137–156.

[18] J. Coville and L. Dupaigne. *Propagation speed of travelling fronts in nonlocal reaction diffusion equations*. Nonl. Anal. TMA **60** (2005), 797–819.

[19] J. Coville and L. Dupaigne. *On a nonlocal equation arising in population dynamics*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **137** (2007), 1–29.

[20] P. Fife. *Some nonclassical trends in parabolic and parabolic-like evolutions*. Trends in nonlinear analysis, 153–191, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[21] L. I. Ignat and J.D. Rossi. *A nonlocal convection-diffusion equation*. J. Funct. Anal., **251(2)** (2007), 399–437.

[22] I.L. Ignat and J.D. Rossi, *Refined asymptotic expansions for nonlocal diffusion equations*. To appear in J. Evol. Eqns.

[23] M. Schonbek, *Decay of solutions to parabolic conservation laws*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **5(5)** (1980), 449–473.

[24] ———, *Uniform decay rates for parabolic conservation laws*, Nonlinear Anal., **10(9)**, (1986), 943–956.
[25] ———, *The Fourier splitting method*, Advances in geometric analysis and continuum mechanics (Stanford, CA, 1993), Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, 269–274.
[26] L. Silvestre. *Holder estimates for solutions of integro-differential equations like the fractional laplace.* Indiana University Math. J., **55(3)** (2006), 1155–1174.
[27] E.M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions.* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, N.J., 1973.
[28] J. L. Vazquez *Smoothing and Decay Estimates for Nonlinear Diffusion Equations Equations of Porous Medium Type.* Oxford University Press, 2006.

L. I. Ignat
Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy,
P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail address: liviu.ignat@gmail.com
Web page: http://www.imar.ro/∼lignat

J. D. Rossi
IMDEA Matematicas
C-IX, Campus Cantoblanco UAM,
Madrid, SPAIN.
On leave from Dpto. de Matemáticas, FCEyN
Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1428
Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA.
E-mail address: jrossi@dm.uba.ar
Web page: http://mate.dm.uba.ar/∼jrossi/