

Erratum to: Decoding Prejudice Toward Hispanics: Group Cues and Public Reactions to Threatening Immigrant Behavior

**Todd K. Hartman · Benjamin J. Newman ·
C. Scott Bell**

Published online: 11 August 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Erratum to: Polit Behav DOI 10.1007/s11109-013-9231-7

Unfortunately, some of the entries in Table 3 have been incorrectly listed under the headings in the original publication.

The corrected version of Table 3 is given below.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11109-013-9231-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-013-9231-7).

T. K. Hartman (✉)
Department of Government and Justice Studies, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC, USA
e-mail: hartmantk@appstate.edu

B. J. Newman
Department of Political Science, University of Connecticut, Stamford, CT, USA
e-mail: benj.newman@uconn.edu

C. S. Bell
Department of Political Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA
e-mail: scbell@fsu.edu

Table 3 Mediated effects of experimental treatments on policy attitudes

	Effect on mediator		Effect on policy attitudes			
	Violation seriousness		Deport illegals		Border fence	
<i>I. Overstay Visa Experiment</i>						
Group cue treatment	.09**	(.03)	.03	(.18)	-.18	(.14)
Violation seriousness			2.36***	(.35)	2.32***	(.28)
Mediated effects of group cue treatment						
Total effect			.09		.02	
Indirect effect			.07**		.07*	
Prop. total effect mediated			.87		4.68	
<i>II. Under the Table Experiment</i>						
Group cue treatment	.06*	(.03)	.07	(.17)	-.12	(.14)
Violation seriousness			2.40***	(.44)	2.25***	(.33)
Mediated effects of group cue treatment						
Total effect			.09		.01	
Indirect effect			.06**		.05*	
Prop. total effect mediated			.69		.82	
<i>III. Foreign Flag Experiment</i>						
Group cue treatment	.22***	(.05)	-.22	(.17)	.05	(.14)
Violation seriousness			1.32***	(.22)	1.05***	(.18)
Mediated effects of group cue treatment						
Total effect			.02		.10	
Indirect effect			.10***		.08*	
Prop. total effect mediated			4.21		.78	
<i>IV. Foreign Team Experiment</i>						
Group cue treatment	.09*	(.04)	.01	(.16)	-.00	(.13)
Violation seriousness			.84**	(.28)	1.09***	(.24)
Mediated effects of group cue treatment						
Total effect			.03		.04	
Indirect effect			.03		.04 [†]	
Prop. total effect mediated			.93		1.00	

N ~ 275. Entries are the direct and indirect effects from 8 path models estimated using Imai et al.'s (2011) *mediation* package in R. The direct effects for (1) the binary treatments on the continuous mediators are OLS coefficients, and (2) the mediators on the categorical outcome variables are probit or ordered probit coefficients. The indirect effects are the change in the probability of supporting a restrictive immigration policy corresponding to a change in the value of the perceived offence mediator produced by moving from the control to treatment conditions.

[†] $p < .10$, * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$ level. Significance levels are based upon two-tailed hypothesis tests