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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish $C^{k}$ estimates for a class of elliptic systems, including linear systems of elasticity, in a narrow region. The problem arises from studies of fiber-reinforced elastic composite materials.


1. Introduction. In this paper we establish local derivative estimates for solutions to a class of elliptic systems arising from studies of fiber-reinforced composite materials. From the structure of the composite, there are a relatively large number of fibers which are touching or nearly touching. The maximal strains can be strongly influenced by the distances between the fibers.

Stimulated by some works on damage analysis of fiber composites ([6]), there have been a number of papers, starting from [9], [15] and [16], on gradient estimates for solutions of elliptic equations and systems with piecewise smooth coefficients which are relevant in such studies. See, e.g. [1-5], [7,8], [10], [12], [17], [18, 19]. Earlier studies on such and closely related issues can be found in [11], [13, 14].

In a recent paper [2], some gradient estimates were obtained concerning the conductivity problem where the conductivity is allowed to be $\infty$ (perfect conductor).

Theorem A ([2]). Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be two balls in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with radius $R$ and centered at $\left(0,0, \pm R \pm \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)$, respectively. Let $H$ be a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $H(0)=0$. Define $u$ to be the solutions

[^0]of
\[

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \overline{B_{1} \cup B_{2}},  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial B_{1} \cup \partial B_{2}, \\ u(x)-H(x)=O\left(|x|^{-1}\right) & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty .\end{cases}
$$
\]

Then there exists a constant $C$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla(u-H)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash \overline{B_{1} \cup B_{2}}\right)} \leq C . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contrary to scalar equations, less is known about derivative estimates of solutions of systems. In this paper we extend Theorem Ato general elliptic systems, including linear systems of elasticity, in all dimensions. Moreover, we allow the two balls in Theorem Ato be replaced by any two smooth domains, and we establish a stronger local version.

We use $B_{r}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}| | x^{\prime} \mid<r\right\}$ to denote a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ centered at the origin $0^{\prime}$ of radius $r$. Let $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ be smooth functions in $B_{1}\left(0^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying

$$
h_{1}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=h_{2}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \nabla h_{1}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=\nabla h_{2}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=0,
$$

and

$$
-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { for }\left|x^{\prime}\right|<1 .
$$

For $0<r \leq 1$, we define

$$
\Omega_{r}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)<x_{n}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right., x^{\prime} \in B_{r}\left(0^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

Its lower and upper boundaries are, respectively,

$$
\Gamma_{r}^{-}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left|x_{n}=-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq r\right\}, \quad \Gamma_{r}^{+}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left|x_{n}=\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq r\right\} .\right.\right.
$$

Let $u=\left(u^{1}, \cdots, u^{N}\right)$ be a vector-valued function. We consider the following boundary value problems:

We use the usual summation convention: $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are summed from 1 to $n$, while $i$ and $j$ are summed from 1 to $N$. For $0<\lambda<\Lambda<\infty$, we assume that the coefficients $A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)$ are measurable and bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right| \leq \Lambda \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfy the rather weak ellipticity condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{1}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} \psi^{i} \partial_{\beta} \psi^{j} \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}, \quad \forall \psi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we assume that $A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}, B_{i j}^{\alpha}, C_{i j}^{\beta}, D_{i j}, h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are in $C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ for some $k \geq 0$, denote

$$
\|A\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|B\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|C\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|D\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq \beta_{k},
$$

and

$$
\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{C^{k}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \leq \gamma_{k},
$$

where $\beta_{k}$ and $\gamma_{k}$ are some positive constants. Hypotheses (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied by linear systems of elasticity (see [20]).

We give local estimates of weak solutions $u$ of (1.3); that is, $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}^{+} \cup \Gamma_{1}^{-}$ a.e., and satisfies

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta} u^{j}+B_{i j}^{\alpha} u^{j}\right) \partial_{\alpha} \zeta^{i}-C_{i j}^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} u^{j} \zeta^{i}-D_{i j} u^{j} \zeta^{i}=0
$$

for every vector-valued function $\zeta=\left(\zeta^{1}, \cdots, \zeta^{N}\right) \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, and hence for every $\zeta \in$ $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Theorem 1.1. Assume the above and let $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a weak solution of (1.3). Then for $k \geq 0$, there exist constants $0<\mu<1$ and $C$, depending only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, k, \beta_{k+1+\frac{n}{2}}$ and $\gamma_{k+1+\frac{n}{2}}$, such that

$$
\left|\nabla^{k} u(x)\right| \leq C \mu^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}+x^{\prime}} \|}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}, \quad \text { for all } x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in \Omega_{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\max _{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(0^{\prime}\right)<x_{n}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{1}\left(0^{\prime}\right)}\left|\nabla^{k} u\left(0^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

A consequence of Theorem 1.1 is an extension of Theorem A to all dimensions and to any smooth domains.

Corollary 1.1. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be two disjoint bounded open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, with $C^{k}$ boundaries for $k=\left[\frac{n}{2}+2\right]$, and $\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial D_{1}, \partial D_{2}\right)=\epsilon \in(0,1)$. Let $H$ be a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)$. Assume that $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{D_{1} \cup D_{2}}  \tag{1.6}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2} \\ \liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|u(x)-H(x)| \leq K, & \text { for some } K>0\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists a constant $C$, depending only on $K,\|H\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2}\right)}$ and the $C^{k}$ norms and diameters of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ (but independent of $\epsilon$ ), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla(u-H)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{\left.D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)}\right.} \leq C . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1, In this section, we derive the $C^{k}$ estimates for solutions of elliptic systems (1.3). In the following, we first show that the energy in $\Omega_{r}$ decays exponentially as $r$ tends to 0 . Unless otherwise stated, we use $C$ to denote some positive constants, whose values may vary from line to line, which depend only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, but is independent of $\epsilon$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a weak solution of (1.3); then there exist $0<\mu_{0}<1$ and $C$, depending only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, such that, for any $\sqrt{\epsilon} \leq r<\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{r}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leq C\left(\mu_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\int_{\Omega_{1}}|\nabla u|^{2}=1$. For any $0<t<s \leq 1$, we introduce a cutoff function $\eta \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ satisfying $0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \eta=1$ in $\Omega_{t}, \eta=0$ in $\Omega_{1} \backslash \Omega_{s}$, and $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}$. Multiplying $\left(u \eta^{2}\right)$ on both sides of the equation in (1.3) and integrating by parts, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega_{1}}\left(A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta} u^{j}+B_{i j}^{\alpha} u^{j}\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} \eta^{2}\right)-C_{i j}^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} u^{j}\left(u^{i} \eta^{2}\right)-D_{i j} u^{j}\left(u^{i} \eta^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta} u^{j}+B_{i j}^{\alpha} u^{j}\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} \eta^{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta}\left(\eta u^{j}\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(\eta u^{i}\right)-\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)\left(u^{j} \partial_{\beta} \eta\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(\eta u^{i}\right)+\int_{\Omega_{s}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \eta u^{j} \partial_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} \eta\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta}\left(\eta u^{j}\right) u^{i} \partial_{\alpha} \eta-\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)\left(u^{j} \partial_{\beta} \eta\right)\left(u^{i} \partial_{\alpha} \eta\right)+\int_{\Omega_{s}} B_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(\eta u^{j}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \eta u^{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows, in view of (1.5), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda \int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla(u \eta)|^{2} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta}\left(u^{j} \eta\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} \eta\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)\left(u^{j} \partial_{\beta} \eta\right) \partial_{\alpha}\left(\eta u^{i}\right)-\int_{\Omega_{s}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \eta u^{j} \partial_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} \eta\right)-\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x) \partial_{\beta}\left(\eta u^{j}\right) u^{i} \partial_{\alpha} \eta \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{s}} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(x)\left(u^{j} \partial_{\beta} \eta\right)\left(u^{i} \partial_{\alpha} \eta\right)-\int_{\Omega_{s}} B_{i j}^{\alpha}\left(\eta u^{j}\right)\left(\partial_{\alpha} \eta u^{i}\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega_{s}} C_{i j}^{\beta} \partial_{\beta}\left(u^{j} \eta\right)\left(u^{i} \eta\right)-\int_{\Omega_{s}} C_{i j}^{\beta}\left(u^{j} \partial_{\beta} \eta\right)\left(u^{i} \eta\right)+\int_{\Omega_{s}} D_{i j} u^{j}\left(u^{i} \eta^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \\
& \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla(u \eta)|^{2} d x+C \int_{\Omega_{s}}|u \nabla \eta|^{2} d x+C \int_{\Omega_{s}}|u \eta|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u \eta=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}^{-}$, by the Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{s}}|u \eta|^{2} d x & =\int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(\int_{\left.-\frac{\epsilon_{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{x_{n}} \partial_{n}(u \eta)\left(x^{\prime}, x^{n}\right) d x_{n}\right)^{2} d x}\right. \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega_{s}}\left(\left(x_{n}+\frac{\epsilon}{2}-h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \int_{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}^{x_{n}}\left|\partial_{n}(u \eta)\right|^{2} d x_{n}\right) d x \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon+s^{2}\right)^{2} \int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla(u \eta)|^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $0<\delta_{0}<1$ such that $C^{2}\left(\delta_{0}+\delta_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{\lambda}{4}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla u|^{2} \eta^{2} d x \leq C \int_{\Omega_{s}} u^{2}|\nabla \eta|^{2} d x, \quad \text { for } \epsilon, s<\delta_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again using $u=0$ on $\Gamma_{1}^{-}$, and by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{s}} u^{2} d x \leq C\left(\epsilon+s^{2}\right)^{2} \int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leq C\left(\frac{\epsilon+s^{2}}{s-t}\right)^{2} \int_{\Omega_{s}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x, \quad \text { for } s<\delta_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote

$$
F(t)=\int_{\Omega_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Then (2.4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t) \leq C\left(\frac{\epsilon+s^{2}}{s-t}\right)^{2} F(s) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sqrt{\epsilon} \leq t<s \leq \delta_{0}$, we have the following iterative formula:

$$
F(t) \leq\left(\frac{C_{0} s^{2}}{s-t}\right)^{2} F(s)
$$

where $C_{0}$ is a fixed constant, depending only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, \beta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. Let $\delta=\min \left\{\frac{1}{8 C_{0}}, \delta_{0}\right\}$ and $t_{0}=r<\delta, t_{i+1}=2 \delta\left(1-\sqrt{1-t_{i} / \delta}\right)$ if $t_{i} \leq \delta$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{0} t_{i+1}^{2}}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence. It is easy to see that for some $i, t_{i}>\delta$. Let $k$ be the integer satisfying $t_{k} \leq \delta$ and $t_{k+1}>\delta$. Clearly $t_{k+1} \leq 2 \delta$. Then for any $0 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{i}\right) \leq\left(\frac{C_{0} t_{i+1}^{2}}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\right)^{2} F\left(t_{i+1}\right)=\frac{1}{4} F\left(t_{i+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Iterating (2.7) $k$ times, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t_{0}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{k+1} F\left(t_{k+1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{k+1} F(2 \delta) \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{k+1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we estimate $k$. From (2.6) it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2 C_{0} t_{i}}=\frac{1}{2 C_{0} t_{i+1}}+\frac{1}{1-2 C_{0} t_{i+1}}, \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i \leq k
$$

Then summing it from $i=0$ to $i=k$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2 C_{0} t_{0}}=\frac{1}{2 C_{0} t_{k+1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{1-2 C_{0} t_{i}}
$$

Since $0<t_{i} \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{8 C_{0}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, it follows that

$$
1<\frac{1}{1-2 C_{0} t_{i}} \leq \frac{4}{3}
$$

Then

$$
k+1<\frac{1}{2 C_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{t_{0}}-\frac{1}{t_{k+1}}\right)<\frac{4}{3}(k+1)
$$

Recalling $t_{0}=r$, and $\delta<t_{k+1} \leq 2 \delta$, we have

$$
\frac{3}{8 C_{0} r}-3 \leq k+1<\frac{1}{2 C_{0} r}-2
$$

Therefore, from (2.8),

$$
F(r)=F\left(t_{0}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{k+1} \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\frac{3 \delta}{r}-3}
$$

The proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Given a point $z=\left(z^{\prime}, z_{n}\right) \in \Omega_{1}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\Omega}_{s}(z):=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in \Omega_{1}\left|-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)<x_{n}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left|x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right|<s\right\} .\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following scaling in $\widehat{\Omega}_{\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon+h_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)}(z)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}=x^{\prime} \\
R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $R=\left(\epsilon+h_{1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{h}_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right):=\frac{1}{R}\left(\epsilon-h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+h_{1}\left(z^{\prime}+R y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \widehat{h}_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right):=\frac{1}{R}\left(-h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}+R y^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\widehat{h}_{1}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=1, \quad \widehat{h}_{2}\left(0^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\widehat{h}_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)<\widehat{h}_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right), \quad\left|\nabla^{\lceil } \widehat{h}_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|,\left|\nabla^{\nwarrow} \widehat{h}_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C_{l}, \text { for }\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq 1, l \geq 1
$$

Let

$$
\widehat{u}\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)=u\left(R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}, R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Then $\widehat{u}(y)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha}\left(\widehat{A}_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(y) \partial_{\beta} \widehat{u}^{j}(y)+\widehat{B}_{i j}^{\alpha}(y) \widehat{u}^{j}(y)\right)+\widehat{C}_{i j}^{\beta}(y) \partial_{\beta} \widehat{u}^{j}(y)+\widehat{D}_{i j}(y) \widehat{u}^{j}(y)=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\widehat{A}(y)=A\left(R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}, R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right), & \widehat{B}(y)=R B\left(R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}, R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
\widehat{C}(y)=R C\left(R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}, R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right), & \widehat{D}(y)=R^{2} D\left(R y^{\prime}+z^{\prime}, R y_{n}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}+h_{2}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

and for $r<1$,

$$
Q_{r}:=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left|\widehat{h}_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)<y_{n}<\widehat{h}_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left|y^{\prime}\right|<r\right\}\right.
$$

Using $L^{2}$ estimates for elliptic systems 2.10) and by the Sobolev imbedding theorems, we have

$$
\max _{0 \leq y_{n} \leq 1}\left|\nabla^{k} \widehat{u}\left(0^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)\right| \leq C\|\nabla \hat{u}\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{1}\right)}
$$

where $C$ depends only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, k, \beta_{k+\frac{n}{2}+1}$ and $\gamma_{k+\frac{n}{2}+1}$. It follows, in view of Lemma2.1, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla^{k} u(z)\right| \leq C\left(\epsilon+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-k-\frac{n}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\left|z^{\prime}\right|+\frac{R}{2}}\right)} \leq C\left(\epsilon+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)^{1-k-\frac{n}{2}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{\max \left|\sqrt{\epsilon},\left|z^{\prime}\right|+\frac{R}{2}\right|}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{0}<1$ was defined in Lemma2.1, and $C$ depends only on $n, N, \lambda, \Lambda, k, \beta_{k+\frac{n}{2}+1}$ and $\gamma_{k+\frac{n}{2}+1}$. The proof is completed.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are separated by the plane $x_{n}=0$, with $\left(0^{\prime}, \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \in \partial D_{1}$ and $\left(0^{\prime},-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \in \partial D_{2}$. Since

$$
\Delta(u-H)=0 \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{D_{1} \cup D_{2}},
$$

we have, after applying the maximum principle to $u-H$, that

$$
|u-H| \leq\|H\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2}\right)}+K, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{D_{1} \cup D_{2}} .
$$

Corollary 1.1 then follows from Theorem 1.1
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