

Design and Implementation of a Customizable Work Stealing Scheduler

Jun Nakashima*1,*2, Sho Nakatani*1, and Kenjiro Taura *1

*1 The University of Tokyo, *2 JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists

Agenda

- ▶ **Introduction**
- ▶ Work Stealing Customization Framework
- ▶ Evaluation
- ▶ Related Work
- ▶ Conclusion and Future Work


Background

- ▶ **Productivity** is one of the major challenges of parallel programming frameworks
 - ▶ Many frameworks and languages proposed
- ▶ Many of them provide **task parallelism**
 - ▶ Chapel[Cray], X10[IBM], ...
 - ▶ Support many forms of parallelism on top of it

Need efficient runtime systems

Work Stealing Scheduler

- ▶ A well-known strategy for task parallelism
 - ▶ Idle workers steal a task from another (victim)
 - ▶ Typically a victim is chosen randomly



Work Stealing Scheduler

- ▶ Randomness may cause significant slowdown
- ▶ e.g.: A machine with deeper memory hierarchy
 - ▶ Considering data placement is essential

- ▶ Motivation:
- ▶ Work stealing scheduler must become clever
 - ▶ Consider hardware and application knowledge

Our Approach

- ▶ Ideal solution: A general strategy that can be used without any effort
 - ▶ It remains challenging
 - ▶ Difficult to obtain application knowledge
- ▶ Our approach: A framework to customize work stealing strategy
 - ▶ Enable programmers to optimize the strategy
 - ▶ Less ambitious, but more practical

Agenda


- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ **Work Stealing Customization Framework**
- ▶ Evaluation
- ▶ Related Work
- ▶ Conclusion and Future Work

Design Principle

- ▶ Purpose of customization
 - ▶ Steal tasks being aware of hardware/application
 - ▶ e.g. Shared-cache among workers
 - ▶ Avoid task steals with negative side-effect
 - ▶ e.g. Extra cache misses
- ▶ Focus on providing functions to customize a strategy to select a victim of work stealing


Implementation

- ▶ Implemented by modifying MassiveThreads
 - ▶ A lightweight thread library by our group
 - ▶ written in C
 - ▶ <http://code.google.com/p/massivethreads/>



The screenshot shows the project page for MassiveThreads on Code.google.com. The page features a header with the project logo (a blue house with a white 'P' inside a curly brace) and the title 'massivethreads' in a large, bold, sans-serif font. Below the title is the subtitle 'A Lightweight Thread Library for High Productivity Languages'. To the right of the subtitle is a search bar with the text 'Search projects'. Below the header is a navigation bar with links for 'Project Home', 'Downloads', 'Wiki', 'Issues', and 'Source'. The main content area is divided into two columns. The left column contains a 'Summary' section with a 'Project Information' sub-section. This sub-section includes a 'Recommend this on Google' button, a 'Project feeds' link, a 'Code license' section with a link to 'Other Open Source' and a note to 'See source for details', and a 'Labels' section with the labels 'Research', 'Runtime', and 'Threading'. The right column contains a description of the library, stating it is designed for high productivity languages and has three key characteristics: performance, simple runtime implementation, and good scheduling for recursive task parallelism, socket I/O multiplexing, and pthread-compatible API. It also mentions the license (2-clause BSD) and supported platforms (x86_64 Linux gcc and x86_64 Linux icc).

Overview



How to Customize

- ▶ Two things to do:
 - ▶ Modify application to give scheduling hints to tasks
 - ▶ Implement user-defined work stealing function

Example Strategy: Depth-Aware

- ▶ Try to steal coarse-grained tasks more carefully
 - ▶ For divide-and-conquer applications
- ▶ Scheduling hint: recursion depth
 - ▶ As an indicator of task granularity
- ▶ Steal tasks which have the smallest recursion depth

Give Scheduling Hints

- ▶ Scheduling hint:
 - ▶ A piece of data associated with a task
- ▶ Create a task with initial value

```
void user_task (...) {  
    ...  
    create_task(user_task, ...);  
}
```

Application maintains recursion depth



```
void user_task (int depth, ...) {  
    ...  
    int newdepth=depth+1;  
    create_task_with_hint(user_task, &newdepth, sizeof(int), ...);  
    ...  
}
```

Create a task with a scheduling hint

User-defined Work Stealing Function

- ▶ Invoked when a worker is idle
- ▶ Most operation is allowed
 - ▶ Except some functions of runtime system

```
/* User-defined work stealing function definition */  
void depth_aware_steal(int id)  
{  
    task_handle t_stolen;  
    /* Here it tries to steal a task */  
    return t_stolen;  
}
```

ID of idle worker

Should return the stolen task

Switch work stealing function

```
/* At the beginning of an application */  
set_steal_function(depth_aware_steal);
```

User-defined Work Stealing Function

- ▶ Typical implementation:
 1. Select multiple workers as candidates of a victim
 2. Read scheduling hints from available tasks
 3. Select one worker as a victim
 4. Try to steal from the victim
 5. Confirm the stolen task

Step 1. Select Candidates

- ▶ Use a function *get_random_workers*
 - ▶ return random non-duplicated worker IDs

```
...  
int num_of_candidates = 2;  
int candidates[num_of_candidates];  
get_random_workers(candidates, num_of_candidates);  
...
```

- ▶ Can be written by hand for better selection
 - ▶ e.g.: considering memory hierarchy

Step 2. Collect Scheduling Hints

- ▶ Use readydeque_peek function:
 - ▶ Get a copy of scheduling hint of a task to be stolen
- ▶ Collect hints from all the candidates

```
...
int depth[num_of_candidates];
for (i=0;i<num_of_candidates;i++){
    size_t size=sizeof(int);
    readydeque_peek(candidates[i],&depth[i],&size);
    /* Set depth to -1 if failed to peek */
    if (size!=sizeof(int))depth[i]=-1;
}
...
```

Step 3. Select One Worker as a Victim

- ▶ Select a victim based on user-defined strategy
- ▶ In depth-aware:
 - ▶ Worker that has a task with the **smallest depth**

```
...
int target=0;
for (i=1;i<num_of_candidates;i++){
    if (depth[target]<depth[i])target=i;
}
...
```

Step 4. Try to Steal a Task

- ▶ readydeque trysteal function: Try to steal from selected victim
- ▶ Can specify confirm function (used in next step)


```
...  
task_handle ret;  
ret = readydeque_trysteal(target,  
                           depth_aware_confirm, depth[target]);  
...
```

Step 5. Confirm the Stolen Task

- ▶ Confirm function:
 - ▶ Called when a steal has succeeded
- ▶ Cancel the steal if the stolen task is undesirable

```
int depth_aware_confirm(task_handle t, void *param)
{
    int expect_depth=(int)param;
    int *stolen_task_depth=get_hint_ptr(t);
    return (*stolen_task_depth)<=expect_depth;
}

...
task_handle ret;
ret = readydeque_trysteal(target,
    depth_aware_confirm, depth[target]);
...
```



Agenda


- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Work Stealing Customization Framework
- ▶ **Evaluation**
- ▶ Related Work
- ▶ Conclusion and Future Work

Evaluation

- ▶ Implemented two scheduling strategies
 - ▶ Depth-aware
 - ▶ Affinity-aware
- ▶ Evaluated on a machine with 32 cores
 - ▶ Quad-Core Opteron 8354 (2.2 GHz) × 8 Sockets
 - ▶ Caches
 - ▶ L1D: 64 KB/Core, L2: 512 KB/Core, L3: 2 MB/Socket
 - ▶ NUMA Policy :Interleave


Depth-Aware Evaluation Result

- ▶ App: Matrix Multiply using divide-and-conquer
 - ▶ Performance gets better if granularity gets larger
 - ▶ Size: 768x768 SP
- ▶ Granularity of Computation



Depth-Aware Evaluation Result

▶ Performance



Affinity-Aware Strategy


- ▶ Give a task an affinity as array of integers
 - ▶ How the task desires to be stolen from each worker
- ▶ Try to execute a task with the largest affinity
- ▶ Variants:
 - ▶ Best-effort: Steal even if the affinity is zero
 - ▶ Strict: Ignore tasks with no affinity

Affinity-Aware Strategy

- ▶ **Benchmark: Repeats STREAM TRIAD**
 - ▶ Parallelized using divide-and-conquer (256 tasks)
 - ▶ Array size: $8\text{MB} * 3 = 24\text{MB}$
 - ▶ 768KB/core (fits L2 and L3 cache)
- ▶ Need to utilize previously cached data
- ▶ Give a task an affinity with a worker of last iteration
 - ▶ # of candidates=31

Affinity-Aware Evaluation Result

▶ Execution time per iteration



Agenda

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Work Stealing Customization Framework
- ▶ Evaluation
- ▶ Related Work
- ▶ Conclusion and Future Work

Related Work

- ▶ CATS[Chen,2012]
 - ▶ Online profiling and DAG partitioning
- ▶ Qthreads[Oliver,2012]
 - ▶ Share one task queue among intra-socket cores
- ▶ Work-stealing with Configurable Scheduling Strategies[Wimmer,2013]
 - ▶ # of tasks to steal, execution order,...

What's new in Our Work?

- ▶ Our proposed framework is flexible
- ▶ Enable programmers to customize a victim selection strategy directly
- ▶ Tradeoff:
 - ▶ ○ **Performance** can be much improved
 - ▶ × **Additional effort** for customization

Conclusion

- ▶ Proposed a framework to customize work stealing strategy
 - ▶ Focus on how to decide a victim of work stealing
- ▶ Example customization strategies worked as expected

Future Work

- ▶ Improve framework design
 - ▶ Look for good tradeoff between performance and programmers' effort
- ▶ Further evaluation:
 - ▶ Unbalanced application
 - ▶ Adaptive Mesh Refinement
 - ▶ On distributed memory environment

Thank you for listening!

Takeout

- ▶ We propose a framework to customize work stealing strategy
- ▶ Give scheduling hints to tasks
- ▶ User-defined work stealing function
 1. Select candidates of a victim
 2. Read scheduling hints
 3. Select one worker
 4. Try to steal
 5. Confirm
- ▶ MassiveThreads:
 - ▶ <http://code.google.com/p/massivethreads/>
- ▶ Contact me:
 - ▶ nakashima@eidos.ic.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp