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#### Abstract

The design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a new class of HIV-1 protease inhibitors containing stereochemically defined fused tricyclic polyethers as the P2 ligands and a variety of sulfonamide derivatives as the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ligands, are described. A number of ring sizes and various substituent effects were investigated to enhance the ligand-backbone interactions in the protease active site. Inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ containing this unprecedented fused 6-5-5 ring system as the P2


[^0]ligand, an aminobenzothiazole as the $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$ ligand and a difluorophenylmethyl as the P1 ligand exhibited exceptional enzyme inhibitory potency and maintained excellent antiviral activity against a panel of highly multidrug-resistant HIV-1 variants. The umbrella-like P2 ligand for these inhibitors has been synthesized efficiently in an optically active form using a Pauson-Khand cyclization reaction as the key step. The racemic alcohols were resolved efficiently using a lipase catalyzed enzymatic resolution. Two high resolution X-ray structures of inhibitor-bound HIV-1 protease revealed extensive interactions with the backbone atoms of HIV-1 protease and provided molecular insight into the binding properties of these new inhibitors.
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## INTRODUCTION

Protein X-ray structure-based molecular design has emerged as one of the most powerful strategies in modern drug design. ${ }^{1-3}$ Its utility is particularly notable in the area of design and development of HIV-1 protease inhibitors. ${ }^{4,5}$ The development of protease inhibitors (PIs) and their combination with reverse transcriptase inhibitors marked the beginning of a new era of management of HIV infection and AIDS in the late 1990s. ${ }^{6,7}$ HIV-1 PIs are a critical component of current combination antiretroviral therapies (ART) which significantly improved life expectancy and mortality rates of HIV/AIDS patients in developing countries. ${ }^{8,9}$ HIV-1 PIs inhibit viral enzymes, block viral replication and generate morphologically immature and noninfectious virions. However, selective drug pressure led to the emergence of drug-resistant HIV-1 variants, many of which are widely cross-resistant. ${ }^{10,11}$ This has raised serious concerns with regards to the future management of patients with HIV-1 infection and AIDS. A recent report documented that a growing number of HIV/AIDS patients are harboring multidrug-resistant HIV-1 variants that are difficult to treat and these viruses can be transmitted. ${ }^{12,13}$ Therefore, the development of new PIs that exhibit broadspectrum activity against multidrug-resistant HIV-1 strains and show improved pharmacological properties is an urgent priority.

In an effort to design and develop conceptually novel PIs to combat drug-resistance, we designed and synthesized a wide range of exceptionally potent and structurally intriguing PIs that exhibited potent antiviral activity against multidrug-resistant HIV-1 variants and showed favorable drug-like properties. ${ }^{14,15}$ One of our key strategies of molecular design involves incorporation of cyclic ether and polyether-like templates where the ether oxygens
are positioned to make specific hydrogen bonds with the backbone atoms of active site residues. ${ }^{16,17}$ Our molecular design strategy is based upon the evidence that a protease cannot alter its overall backbone conformation without compromising its catalytic fitness for viral replication. ${ }^{18,19}$ Therefore, our inhibitor design strategy involved maximizing active site interactions, particularly by promoting a network of strong hydrogen bonding interactions with the HIV-1 protease backbone. ${ }^{18,20}$ We incorporated a stereochemically defined bis-tetrahydrofuran (bis-THF) ligand in Darunavir (DRV 1, Figure 1) to promote hydrogen bonding interactions with Asp29 and Asp30 backbone NHs in the S2-subsite. 16,21-24 Our structure-based design strategies also created other intriguing PIs with ligands such as cyclopentyl-tetrahydrofuran ( $C p$-THF), tetrahydropyrano-tetrahydrofuran ( $T p-\mathrm{THF}$ ), tris-tetrahydrofuran (Tris-THF), and crown-tetrahydrofuran (Crn-THF). ${ }^{18,25,26}$ These inhibitors have been shown to bind extensively with the backbone atoms of active site of HIV-1 protease and maintain robust antiviral activity against a wide range of multidrugresistant HIV-1 variants. Furthermore, our detailed X-ray structural analysis of inhibitorbound HIV-1 protease provided molecular insights into the ligand-binding site interactions responsible for their impressive potency against multidrug-resistant HIV-1 variants. ${ }^{18,27,28}$ Based upon our comparison of the X-ray structures of DRV-bound HIV-1 protease and inhibitor 3-bound HIV-1 protease, we have investigated structural templates that would enhance the backbone-binding interactions, as well as further improve van der Waals interactions within the S 2 subsite of the HIV-1 protease active site. Herein, we report the design, synthesis, and X-ray structural studies of structurally novel PIs which incorporate an unprecedented 6-5-5 ring-fused octahydrocyclopentylpyranofuran as the P2 ligand with the $(R)$-hydroxyethylsulfonamide isostere containing a substituted benzenesulfonamide or an aminobenzothiazole sulfonamide as the $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$ ligands.

The design and synthesis of novel PIs continue to be an active area of research. A number of novel HIV-1 PIs have been reported that incorporated a variety of heterocyclic P2 ligands for improving interactions at the S 2 subsite. ${ }^{29-32}$ Also, other designed efforts were focused on optimizing both P1 and P2' moieties to improve potency. ${ }^{29,30,33}$ More recently, bicyclic piperazine sulfonamide-based new PIs have been reported in which the piperazine NH forms the key interaction with the catalytic aspartates of the HIV-1 protease. ${ }^{34,35}$

## Results and Discussion

Our examination of the X-ray crystal structure of DRV (1)-bound HIV-1 protease (PDB ID: 2IEN) $)^{27}$ and its methoxy derivative $\mathbf{2}$-bound HIV-1 protease suggested that a stereochemically defined hexahydrofuropyranol-derived urethane as the P2-ligand in inhibitor $\mathbf{3}$ would further improve backbone binding interactions with the protease active site. We also speculated that the extra methylene group on the tetrahydropyran would increase van der Waals interaction in the active site. The resulting inhibitor $\mathbf{3}$ indeed, showed enzyme inhibitory $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 2.7 pM and antiviral $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 0.5 nM . Inhibitor 3 also maintained excellent antiviral activity against multidrug-resistant HIV-1 variants similar to DRV and inhibitor $\mathbf{2} .{ }^{36}$ To promote further stability of the acetal functionality and improve hydrogen bonding interactions with the Asp29 and Asp30 NHs, we speculated that a fused tricyclic ring could increase the dihedral angle of the acetal template and a cyclopentyl ring spacer could make stronger hydrogen bonding with Asp29 and Asp30 backbone amide NHs.

A preliminary model of this inhibitor showed a more optimal alignment of the acetal oxygens with the backbone amide NHs of Asp29 and Asp30 with respect to DRV. This highly preorganized and conformationally constrained octahydro- $2 H-1,7-$
dioxacyclopenta[cd]indene would incorporate three extra methylene groups over bis-THF ligand in DRV. These additional methylene groups would be optimally located to provide more favorable van der Waals interactions in the hydrophobic space surrounding the Ile47, Val32, Leu76, and Ile50' residues.

Based upon these possible ligand-binding site interactions, we have designed a 6-5-5 ringfused octahydrocyclopentylpyranofuran derivative as the novel P2 ligand shown in inhibitor 4d. This umbrella-like tetrahydropyranofuran (Umb-THF) with some degree of flexibility may show better adaptability to protease mutation. With this new structural scaffold, we planned to investigate the preference for ligand stereochemistry. Also, in order to promote stronger hydrogen bonding interactions as well as to improve hydrophobic contacts, we have planned to investigate inhibitors with an Umb-THF P2 ligand in combination with a fluorinated phenylmethyl P1 ligand and a benzothiazole derivative to interact with the Asp30' residue in the $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$-subsite as represented in inhibitor 5d. Many benzothiazole structural features are embedded in medicinally important compounds for either improving drug-like properties or interaction with the biological target. ${ }^{37,38}$ Recently, we reported PIs incorporating a cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole-based $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$ ligand in combination with a crown-THF P2 ligand. ${ }^{25}$ Interestingly, the replacement of a bis-THF with a crown-THF P2 ligand led to enhanced van der Waals interactions in the S2 site. These increased hydrophobic interactions, in addition to the robust hydrogen bond interaction pattern of a cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole-based P2' ligand, led to PIs with unprecedented potency against multidrug-resistant HIV-1 strains.

For our preliminary investigation, we planned to utilize a deoxy sugar such as tri- $O$-acetyl-$D$-glucal (6) to synthesize the tricyclic scaffold in a stereodefined manner. Also, for rapid assembly of the tricyclic scaffold, we planned to carry out a cobalt-mediated intramolecular Pauson-Khand reaction to provide tricyclic enone. ${ }^{39-41}$ As shown in Scheme 1, reaction of the commercially available tri- $O$-acetyl- $D$-glucal (6) with propargyl alcohol in the presence of iodine in THF at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h afforded propargyl ether derivative 7 in $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{42}$ For Pauson-Khand cyclization, we planned to utilize the $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide (NMO) promoted reaction protocol reported by Schreiber and co-workers. ${ }^{43,44}$ Thus, reaction of compound 7 with dicobalt octacarbonyl $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}\right]$ in hexane at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h provided the corresponding cobalt complex. Subsequent treatment of this complex with NMO in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h afforded the tricyclic enone $\mathbf{8}$ as a single isomer in $32 \%$ yield. As part of our initial investigation, we planned to convert the 6-acetoxymethyl group into a methyl ether as well as a methyl group. Towards this goal, enone $\mathbf{8}$ was subjected to transfer hydrogenation using a substoichiometric amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ in the presence of ammonium formate in MeOH at reflux for $15 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{45}$ Transesterification of the resulting acetate derivative with triethylamine in MeOH at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h provided saturated keto alcohol 9 as a single isomer in $60 \%$ isolated yield for the two-steps. Keto alcohol 9 was converted to ligand alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ by treatment with Meerwein's salt $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right)$and proton-sponge in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , followed by reduction of the keto group with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in

MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Alcohol derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ was isolated as a single isomer in $55 \%$ yield over two-steps. Keto alcohol 9 was converted to ligand alcohol 11 as follows. Keto alcohol 9 was reacted with mesyl chloride and triethylamine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The resulting mesylate was treated with LAH in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h to furnish alcohol 11 as a single isomer in 35\% yield over two-steps.

The Pauson-Khand route provided a rapid access to our designed ligands. To establish structure-activity relationships for these tricyclic ligands, we then devised a more general route to provide convenient access to both enantiomers of the ligand alcohols. As shown in Scheme 2, reaction of dihydropyran 12 with propargyl alcohol in the presence of $N$ bromosuccinimide (NBS) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h furnished bromo ether $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $98 \%$ yield. ${ }^{46}$ Bromo ether $\mathbf{1 3}$ was treated with DBU and the resulting mixture was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h to provide the dehydrobromination product 14 in $85 \%$ yield. Enyne 14 was subjected to Pauson-Khand reaction with $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ and NMO as described above to furnish tricyclic enone $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $20 \%$ yield. Transfer hydrogenation of enone $\mathbf{1 5}$ gave the corresponding saturated ketone which was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in MeOH at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to provide racemic endo alcohol 16 in $75 \%$ yield over two steps. Racemic alcohol 16 was subjected to lipase (PS-30 on celite) catalyzed enzymatic resolution ${ }^{47,48}$ in vinyl acetate as the solvent at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h to provide optically active alcohol (+)-16 in $53 \%$ yield and optically active acetate derivative 17 in $47 \%$ yield. Saponification of acetate 17 with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeOH at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h furnished optically pure ligand alcohol (-)-16 in $99 \%$ yield. Enantiomeric ligands were converted to the corresponding p-nitrophenyl carbonate derivative. Enantiopurity of these ligand alcohols was $94 \%$ ee as determined by HPLC analysis using a chiral column. The optically active alcohol (-)-16 was converted to its 4nitrophenyl carbonate derivative 18. The absolute stereochemistry of alcohols was determined based upon X-ray analysis of carbonate derivative $\mathbf{1 8}$ derived as shown in Figure 2.49

For the synthesis of octahydro-2, $H-4,5$-dioxacyclopenta $[c d]$ inden-2-ol derivatives, we utilized commercially available 2,3-dihydrofuran as the starting material. As shown in Scheme 3, dihydrofuran 19 was converted to tricyclic enone $\mathbf{2 0}$ in three steps involving (i) bromoetherification of $\mathbf{1 9}$ with NBS and homopropargyl alcohol; (ii) dehydrobromination with DBU and (iii) Pauson-Khand reaction of the resulting enyne to provide 20 in $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ yield over three steps. Catalytic transfer hydrogenation of enone $\mathbf{2 0}$ followed by reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ provided racemic alcohol 21. This alcohol was subjected to enzymatic resolution to provide alcohol (-)-21 and acetate derivative 22. Saponification of acetate $\mathbf{2 2}$ afforded alcohol (+)-21 in excellent yield.

For SAR studies, we also prepared decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-6-ol using commercially available cyclohexene. As shown in Scheme 3, cyclohexene $\mathbf{2 3}$ was converted to enone $\mathbf{2 4}$ as described above. Transfer hydrogenation followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction provided racemic alcohol 25 . Enzymatic resolution of alcohol $\mathbf{2 5}$ provided optically active alcohol (+)-25 and acetate 26. Saponification of acetate $\mathbf{2 6}$ provided optically active alcohol (-)-25 in excellent yield. The stereochemical assignment of the depicted stereochemistry is based upon correlation with sign of optical rotation of optically active alcohol (-)-16.

The synthesis of the designed PIs was carried out in a two-step sequence involving synthesis of activated carbonates followed by reaction of these carbonates with appropriate hydroxyethylaminesulfonamide isosteres. The syntheses of various activated carbonates are shown in Scheme 4. All optically active ligand alcohols synthesized above were converted to their respective activated carbonates. As shown, reaction of ligand alcohols (-)-16 and $\mathbf{1 0}$ with 4-nitrophenylchloroformate in the presence of pyridine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h provided activated carbonates $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 7 a}$ in $88 \%$ and $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ yields, respectively. Accordingly, other activated carbonates 27b-g were readily prepared in good yields as shown. ${ }^{36}$

The synthesis of various inhibitors containing $U m b-$ THF as the P2 ligands on the hydroxysulfonamide isostere is shown in Scheme 5. Reactions of activated carbonates 27a and 27b with known 4-methoxybenzenesulfonamide isostere 28 in the presence of $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h provided inhibitors $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ in $90 \%$ and $97 \%$ yields, respectively. Other activated carbonates 18, 27c-g were reacted with amine $\mathbf{2 8}$ under similar conditions to afford inhibitors $\mathbf{4 c} \mathbf{c h}$ in very good yields (81-99\%). The full structures of these inhibitors are shown in Table 1. The synthesis of inhibitors with benzothiazole sulfonamides $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ is shown in Scheme 6. Reaction of activated carbonates 27 c and 18 with known cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole sulfonamide isostere 29 in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 96 h furnished inhibitors $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ in excellent yields (78-90\%). Similarly, reactions of carbonates 27 c and $\mathbf{1 8}$ with cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole isostere $\mathbf{3 0}$ with a fluorinated P1-ligand provided inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ in excellent yields.

Our examination of the preliminary model of the tricyclic ligand derived from $D$-glucal for compound 4a indicated that the side chain methoxy group may not form hydrogen bond with any residues in the S2-subsite. The results of HIV-1 protease inhibitory and antiviral assays are shown in Table 1. The protocol for enzyme assays is similar to the reported procedure of Toth and Marshall. ${ }^{50}$ The antiviral activity was determined as described previously using MT-2 human T-lymphoid cells exposed to HIV-1 LAI $\cdot{ }^{51}$ For our preliminary assessment of ligand substituent stereochemistry, we planned to utilize a hydroxyethyamine sulfonamide isostere with a 4-methoxy benzene sulfonamide ligand as the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$-ligand. ${ }^{36}$ Inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ with a methoxymethyl side chain on the tricyclic P2 ligand 10, showed potent enzyme inhibitory activity with a $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ of 0.09 nM . It showed antiviral activity $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 248 nM . The removal of methoxy group resulted in inhibitor $\mathbf{4 b}$ which showed further improvement of both enzyme inhibitory as well as antiviral activity (entry 2 ). Interestingly, the removal of the methyl group from the ligand, as shown in inhibitor $\mathbf{4 c}$, while not leading to a relevant change in HIV-1 protease inhibitory activity, resulted in a 4-fold improvement in antiviral activity compared to inhibitor $\mathbf{4 b}$. Our initial model of tricyclic ligands suggested that the ring stereochemistry in ligand $\mathbf{1 0}$ would be preferred, although the enantiomeric ligand also appears to form hydrogen bonding interaction with aspartate residue in S2 subsite. Interestingly, enantiomeric tricyclic ligand in inhibitor 4d exhibited significant enhancement of both enzyme inhibitory and antiviral activity. Inhibitor $4 \mathbf{d}$ showed an enzyme $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ of 8 pM and antiviral $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 9 nM (entry 4). We then investigated the effect of each ring size for the tricyclic ligands. Inhibitor $\mathbf{4 e}$ with a tetrahydrofurotetrahydropyran fused ligand showed very potent enzyme activity, however, antiviral potency
was not improved over the isomeric ligand in inhibitor $\mathbf{4 c}$. Similarly, enantiomeric ligand in inhibitor $\mathbf{4 f}$, showed very good enzyme $\mathrm{K}_{i}$ and antiviral $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value, but less potent than the isomeric ligand in inhibitor $\mathbf{4 d}$.

To ascertain the effect of the tetrahydropyran ring oxygen of the tricyclic ligand, we have synthesized the corresponding deoxygenated ligands. As shown, both inhibitors $\mathbf{4 g}$ and $\mathbf{4 h}$ containing the cyclohexyl derivatives, showed significant reduction of HIV-1 protease inhibitory activity. Also, both inhibitors did not show any appreciable antiviral activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $>1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, entries 7,8 ). This result indicated the importance of the ring oxygen and our preliminary model indicates possible involvement in strong hydrogen bonding interactions with both Asp29 and Asp30 backbone NHs in the S2-subsite.

We then explored further optimization of PIs containing tricyclic Umb-THF ligands as the P 2 -ligands in combination with other benzothiazole ligands as the $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$-ligand. The results are shown in Table 2. Interestingly, both compounds $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ containing enantiomeric P2ligands in combination with cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole as the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$-ligand displayed comparable enzyme inhibitory and antiviral activity (entries 1, 2). Further modification of ligand-binding site interactions by incorporation of a lipophilic 3,5-difluoro phenylmethyl group as the P1-ligand resulted in very potent PIs. Both inhibitors 5c and 5d exhibited exceptionally potent activity, particularly antiviral activity in MT-2 cells (entries 3 and 4), showing antiviral $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.023 nM and 0.027 nM , respectively. In comparison, DRV and SQV displayed antiviral $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3.2 and 21 nM , respectively.

The current antiretroviral therapy and treatment guidelines are updated regularly. However, PIs continue to be an important component of current ART regimens. Particularly, PIs are extensively used for the treatment of naïve and experienced HIV/AIDS patients. Unfortunately, heavily-ART regimen-experienced HIV/AIDS patients tend to have drugfailure with the currently available PIs including DRV. ${ }^{51,52}$ Consequently, design and discovery of new classes of potent PIs that exhibit a high genetic barrier are critical to effective long-term treatment options. Towards this goal, our design objectives include the design of highly potent PIs that maintain potency against a variety of existing multi-PIresistant HIV-1 variants with better selectivity index and safety profiles. In preliminary studies, we therefore examined the activity of both potent $U m b$-THF containing PIs ( $\mathbf{5 c}$ and 5d) against a panel of HIV-1 variants that had been selected in vitro with three widely used FDA-approved PIs, ATV, LPV, and APV. Each of these HIV-1 variants were selected in vitro by propagating HIV- $1_{\text {NL4-3 }}$ in the presence of increasing concentrations of each PI (up to 5 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ in MT-4 cells as described previously. ${ }^{51,53}$

Each variant was highly resistant to the PI drug, with which the variant was selected. The results are shown in Table 3. As can be seen, among two current clinically used PIs, LPV and DRV, LPV lost significant activity against the three HIV-1 variants. DRV displayed relatively better results, however, it too failed to block replication of each of these three variants very effectively. DRV exhibited an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value fold-change ranging from 8- to 67fold. Interestingly, both inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ maintained superior activity against all three HIV-1 variants showing no loss of antiviral activity compared to wild-type HIV ${ }_{\text {NL4-3. }}$. Both PIs exerted exceptionally potent antiviral activity with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values ranging from 0.0003 to
$0.002 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These PIs contain structurally novel fused tricylic enantiomeric ligands as the P2
ligand, a cyclopropylaminobenzothiazole derivative as the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$-ligand, and a 3,5difluorophenylmethyl as the P1 ligand. Our detailed X-ray crystallographic studies of inhibitors 5c- and 5d-bound HIV-1 protease provided molecular insight into the binding features responsible for the superior properties of inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$.

The X-ray structures of the wild-type HIV-1 protease co-crystallized independently with inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ were refined at a resolution of $1.25 \AA$ and $1.13 \AA$, respectively. ${ }^{54}$ Both structures contain one HIV-1 protease dimer and each inhibitor ( $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ ) binds in two orientations related by $180^{\circ}$ rotation with relative occupancy of $50 / 50 \%$ and $55 / 45 \%$, respectively. The overall dimer structure is comparable to the X-ray structure of HIV-1 protease-bound DRV with root mean square difference of $0.15 \AA$ for 5 c complex and $0.18 \AA$ for $\mathbf{5 d}$ for 198 equivalent $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ atoms. ${ }^{27}$ In both these X-ray structures, the large difluorophenylmethyl P1-group results in larger disparity of about 0.4-0.6 $\AA$ between the corresponding $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ atoms in the flap regions and residues of 80 's loop compared to DRVbound HIV-1 protease structure. ${ }^{27}$ The key interactions of inhibitor $\mathbf{5 d}$ with HIV-1 protease are highlighted in Figure 3. In the major conformation, inhibitor 5d forms a strong hydrogen bond through its urethane NH with the carbonyl oxygen of Gly27. The inhibitor 5d also forms a water-mediated tetracoordinated hydrogen bonding interaction involving the inhibitor 5d carbonyl oxygen and one of the sulfonamide oxygens with amide NHs of Ile50 and Ile50' located in the flaps. Inhibitor $\mathbf{5 d}$ also forms extensive interactions through its P2 and $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$-ligands in both S2 and $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$-subsites of HIV-1 protease. These inhibitor-HIV-1 protease interactions are similar in inhibitor 5c-bound HIV-1 protease complex shown in Figure 4.

The new P2-tricyclic ligand scaffold is involved in extensive interactions in the S2-subsite of HIV-1 protease. The new ligand basically retains all key hydrogen bonding interactions similar to the bis-THF oxygens of DRV-bound HIV-1 protease structures. The tricyclic umbrella-like scaffold containing the acetal oxygens is suitably positioned to interact with backbone NHs of Asp29 and Asp30. Furthermore, the Umb-THF makes significantly better hydrophobic contacts than the bis-THF ligand in DRV. In particular, as shown in the X-ray structure of 5c and 5d-bound HIV-1 protease in Figures 3 and 4, the Umb-THF, tetrahydropyranyl oxygen of $\mathbf{5 d}$ ligand forms a pair of tight hydrogen bonds with Asp30 and Asp29 backbone NHs, while the tetrahydrofuranyl oxygen on the other hand forms a strong hydrogen bond with Asp29 backbone NH. In addition, both enantiomeric Umb-THF ligands form significant van der Waals interactions with the side chain atoms of Ile50, Ile47, Val32, and Ile84 in the S 2 subsite as shown in Figure 5.

Interestingly, the bulging P2 ligand in inhibitor 5d is nicely accommodated by shifting the Gly48 carbonyl group in flap region into an alternate conformation, on the other hand, the enantiomeric Umb-THF ligand in inhibitor 5c rotated towards the CD1 atom of Ile50 to form a van der Waals contact. The new tricyclic P2 ligand is conformationally constrained and bigger in size than the bis-THF ligand in DRV. The new ligand not only forms stronger hydrogen bonds with the backbone atoms in the S 2 -site, but also makes enhanced van der Waals interactions in S2-site compared to bis-THF ligand of DRV. The P1-ligand for inhibitors 5c and 5d shows similar halogen bond interactions as the Crn-THF derived
fluorinated inhibitor reported by us recently. ${ }^{26,55}$ One of the fluorine atoms of the P1-ligand
forms strong polar interactions with the backbone NH group of Ile50 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ) at a distance of $3.2 \AA$ for inhibitor $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $2.9 \AA$ for inhibitor $\mathbf{5 d}$ and $3.0 \AA$ long interactions with the backbone carbonyl group of Gly49. ${ }^{55,56}$ Furthermore, one fluorine atom forms hydrophobic interactions with Gly49, Ile50, and Pro81 side chains. The second fluorine atom interacts with the guanidinium group of $\operatorname{Arg} 8^{\prime}$, which is involved in a critical intersubunit ion pair with Asp29. This fluorine atom also forms van der Waals interactions with the side chain of Val82'.

The binding properties of inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ in the $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$-subsite are slightly different. As can be seen in the overlay structures of $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ in Figure 6, the aminobenzothiazole group sits nicely in the $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$-subpocket, where the thiazole nitrogen and the cyclopropyl amine moiety form hydrogen bonds with the backbone amide NH of Asp30'. In the X-ray structure of inhibitor $\mathbf{5 c}$-bound HIV-1 protease, the cyclopropyl group exists in two different conformations. In one conformation, it forms van der Waals contacts with Ile47', and Lys $45^{\prime}$. These interactions are absent in the other conformation. For inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$, the thiazole nitrogen specifically formed a strong hydrogen bond with the backbone amide NH of Asp30' at a distance of $3.3 \AA$ for both inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$. The P2'-amine NH also formed strong hydrogen bond with Asp30' carboxylate group at a distance of $2.8 \AA$ and 2.5 $\AA$ in the respective X-ray structures for PIs 5c and 5d. This P2' ligand also makes more hydrophobic contacts with the protease than the 4 -aminobenzenesulfonamide ligand of DRV. These interactions are extensive and may account for the high potency of these new PIs.

## Conclusions

In summary, we have designed and developed a new class of highly potent HIV-1 PIs incorporating stereochemically defined tricyclic umbrella-like scaffolds as the P2-ligands. The ligands have been specifically designed to interact with the backbone atoms of HIV-1 protease in the S2-subsite, in particular, with the Asp29 and Asp30 backbone amide NHs. The new ligands also make favorable van der Waals interactions in the active site. Both enantiomeric P 2 ligands provided very potent inhibitors, namely compounds $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$; however, inhibitor $\mathbf{5 d}$ with the ( $2 \mathrm{a} S, 2 \mathrm{a}{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 \mathrm{a} S, 7 a S$ )-octahydro- $2 H-1,7-$ dioxacyclopenta $[c d]$ inden-4-ol-derived ligand showed slightly better antiviral activity. Both PIs with a difluorophenylmethyl as the P1-ligand and an aminobenzothiazole as the $\mathrm{P} 2^{\prime}$ ligand showed exceptionally potent activity against a panel of highly resistant multidrugresistant HIV-1 variants. The data show that the PIs are superior to other clinically approved PIs. The ligands were synthesized in racemic form using a Pauson-Khand cyclization as the key step. The racemic ligands were efficiently resolved using lipase PS-30 catalyzed acylation in high optical purity. Our structure-activity studies revealed that the tetrahydropyranyl oxygen is critical to inhibitors' potency. Our X-ray structural studies of inhibitor 5c and 5d-bound HIV-1 protease provided molecular insight into their ligandbinding site interactions. As it turned out, both oxygens on the tricyclic ligand form very strong hydrogen bonding interactions with the backbone amide NHs of Asp29 and Asp30. Furthermore, the new ligand fills in the hydrophobic pocket in the S2 site and makes good van der Waals interactions with several key amino acid residues. The combinations of
ligands in inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ led to exceptional HIV-1 protease inhibitory potency and antiviral activity. Also, these inhibitors maintained nearly full potency against a panel of highly resistant multidrug-resistant HIV-1 strains. Further design and optimization of inhibitors are currently underway in our laboratories.

## Experimental Section

## General Methods

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. The following reaction solvents were distilled prior to use: dichloromethane from calcium hydride, diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran from Na /benzophenone, methanol and ethanol from activated magnesium under argon. All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere in either flame or oven-dried $\left(120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ glassware. TLC analysis was conducted using glass-backed Thin-Layer Silica Gel Chromatography Plates ( $60 \AA, 250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness, F-254 indicator). Column chromatography was performed using 230-400 mesh, 60 Å pore diameter silica gel. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker AV800, DRX-500 and ARX-400. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ values) are reported in parts per million, and are referenced to the deuterated residual solvent peak. NMR data is reported as: $\delta$ value (chemical shift, $J$-value $(\mathrm{Hz})$, integration, where $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, brs = broad singlet). Optical rotations were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 341 polarimeter. HRMS and LRMS spectra were recorded at the Purdue University Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Center. HPLC analysis and purification was done an on Agilent 1100 series instrument using a YMC Pack ODS-A column of 4.6 mm ID for analysis and either 10 mm ID or 20 mm ID for purification. The purity of all test compounds was determined by HPLC analysis to be $\geq 95 \%$ pure.

## (2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,4S,4aR,6S,7aS)-6-(Methoxymethyl)octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl ((2S,3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2-yl)carbamate (4a)-To a stirred

 solution of activated alcohol $\mathbf{2 7 a}$ ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and isostere $28(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completion. Upon completion, solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $65 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to give $\mathbf{4 a}(21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (800 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.13 (dd, $J=14.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.04 (t, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (dd, $J=13.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.49(\mathrm{q}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.3,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.2,156.4,137.9,130.1,129.7,129.5,128.7,126.7$, $114.5,101.6,79.7,75.7,72.8,71.1,66.2,59.4,58.8,55.8,55.1,53.8,41.1,40.0,38.8,36.0$,35.6, 27.4, 23.0, 20.3, 20.1; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $647.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; $\operatorname{HRMS}-E S I(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SNa}, 669.2822$; found 669.2818 .


#### Abstract

( $2 \mathrm{a} R, 2 \mathrm{a}^{1} R, 4 S, 4 \mathrm{a} R, 6 R, 7 \mathrm{aS}$ )-6-Methyloctahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl ((2S,3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2-yl)carbamate (4b)—Activated alcohol 27b ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with isostere amine $28(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{4 b}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 5.29 (d, $J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09-5.03$ (m, 2H), $4.05-3.96$ (m, 1H), $3.90-3.76$ (m, 7H), 3.62 (dd, $J=8.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13$ (dd, $J=15.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (d, $J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.27$ (m, 1H), 1.98 (dt, $J=14.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84$ (dt, $J=14.2,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.1,156.5,137.9$, $130.1,129.6,129.5,128.6,126.7,114.5,101.7,79.6,72.9,70.9,63.1,58.8,55.8,55.1,53.7$, 41.2, 39.6, 38.8, 36.5, 35.6, 29.8, 28.7, 27.4, 21.9, 20.3, 20.0; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 617.3 [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+} ;$HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}, 639.2717$; found 639.2722.


(2aR,2a ${ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 \mathrm{a} R, 7 \mathrm{aR}$ )-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-yl ((2S, 3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2yl)carbamate (4c)—Activated alcohol $\mathbf{2 7 c}(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $\mathbf{2 8}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor 4a to give inhibitor $4 \mathbf{c}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (td, $J=11.8,11.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27$ (qd, $J=11.7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.15 (dd, $J=15.1,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.11-2.91$ (m, 3H), 2.80 (dd, $J=$ $13.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.61 (dt, $J=14.9,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.2,156.1,137.9,130.0,129.6,129.5,128.6,126.6,114.5,100.7,79.2,73.1$, $72.0,60.4,58.9,55.8,55.0,53.9,42.0,39.5,36.0,35.8,35.2,29.8,27.4,21.5,20.3,20.0$; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $603.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}$, 625.2560; found 625.2556.

## (2aS,2a$\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a S\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl ((2S, 3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2-

 $\mathbf{y l})$ carbamate (4d)—Activated alcohol $18(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $\mathbf{2 8}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $4 \mathrm{~d}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.31-$ 7.18 (m, 5 H$), 6.97$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.95 (q, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (ddd, $J=$ $13.0,8.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $15.6,13.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83$ (tt, $J=13.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.55-1.48$ (m, 1H), 0.90 (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86$ (d, $J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.1,156.2,137.9,130.0,129.6,128.6$,$126.6,114.5,100.7,79.4,72.8,71.9,60.0,58.8,55.8,55.1,53.7,53.6,41.7,39.7,35.9$, 35.7, 35.5, 30.4, 27.3, 21.9, 20.3, 20.0; LRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 603.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} ;$HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}, 625.2560$; found 625.2557 .
(2aS,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,3S,4aR,7aS)-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[co] inden-3-yl ((2S, 3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2yl)carbamate (4e)—Activated alcohol $\mathbf{2 7 d}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $28(17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor 4 a to give inhibitor $4 \mathbf{e}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26$ (m, 2H), $7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (d, $J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54$ (dd, $J=11.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.07-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (dd, $J=7.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ (d, $J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.2,156.0,137.7,129.6,129.5,128.7$, 126.7, 114.5, 101.4, 76.0, 72.9, 63.1, 58.9, 55.8, 55.4, 55.1, 53.9, 44.1, 38.6, 35.7, 33.7, 28.7, 27.4, 24.1, 20.3, 20.0; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $603.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} ; \operatorname{HRMS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}, 625.2560$; found 625.2553.
(2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ S,3R,4aS,7aR)-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[colinden-3-yl ((2S, 3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2$\mathbf{y l})$ carbamate (4f)—Activated alcohol $27 \mathrm{e}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine 28 ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor 4a to give inhibitor 4 f $(19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-$ 3.52 (m, 1H), $3.49-3.41$ (m, 1H), 3.10 (dd, $J=14.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.89$ (m, 5H), 2.81 (td, $J=15.1,13.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.2,156.2,137.7,130.0,129.6,128.7,126.7,114.5,101.4,76.1$, 72.6, 63.3, 60.5, 58.9, 55.8, 55.5, 55.3, 53.8, 44.2, 38.6, 35.4, 33.8, 28.8, 27.4, 24.1, 20.3, 20.0; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $603.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}, 625.2560$; found 625.2550 .

## (2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 \mathrm{a} R, 7 a S\right)$-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-yl ((2S,3R)-3-hydroxy-4-((N-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2-

 yl)carbamate ( 4 g )—Activated alcohol $\mathbf{2 7 f}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{4 g}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-$ 7.17 (m, 5H), 6.97 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{q}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.86 (s, 3H), $3.83-3.77$ (m, 4H), $3.72-3.66$ (m, 1H), 3.59 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (dd, $J=13.3,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (q, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{tt}, J=10.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16$ (dd, $J=$ $11.9,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ,$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 163.1,156.8,138.1,130.2,129.6,128.5,126.5,114.4,79.8,77.4,77.0,74.7,58.7$, 55.7, 55.2, 53.7, 43.4, 43.0, 38.6, 38.5, 35.7, 29.8, 27.3, 26.1, 22.0, 20.3, 20.0, 16.9; LRMSESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $601.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$, 623.2767; found 623.2761.
(2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 \mathrm{aS}, 7 \mathrm{a} R\right)$-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-yl ((2S,3R)-3-hydroxy-4-(( $N$-isobutyl-4-methoxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-1-phenylbutan-2$\mathbf{y l})$ carbamate (4h)—Activated alcohol $\mathbf{2 7 g}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine 28 ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{4 h}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.74-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{td}, J=9.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $163.1,156.9,138.2,130.2,129.6,128.5,126.5,114.4,80.2,77.4,77.0,74.8,73.1,58.7$, 55.7, 55.0, 53.7, 43.5, 43.2, 38.7, 38.5, 35.9, 27.3, 26.4, 22.6, 20.3, 20.0, 16.8; LRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 601.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}, 623.2767$; found 623.2761.
(2aR, $\left.2 a^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 7 a R\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl ((2S, 3R)-4-((2-(cyclopropylamino)- $N$-isobutylbenzo[d]thiazole)-6-sulfonamido)-3-hydroxy-1-phenylbutan-2-yl)carbamate (5a)—Activated alcohol 27 c ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $29(15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{5 a}(14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.29-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=20.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.7,4.2,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28(\mathrm{td}, J=10.1,8.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02-2.97$ (m, 1H), 2.83 (dd, $J=13.3,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dq}, J=6.7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ (dt, $J=14.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{ddd}, J=22.4,12.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-$ $1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.80-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.9,156.1,155.9,137.9,131.5$, $130.6,129.5,128.6,126.6,125.5,121.1,118.9,100.7,79.2,73.1,72.0,60.4,59.0,55.1$, 53.9, 42.0, 39.5, 36.0, 35.8, 35.2, 31.7, 27.4, 26.8, 22.8, 21.5, 20.3, 20.1, 8.2; LRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 685.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} ;$HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, 685.2730$; found 685.2735 .
(2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a S\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-yl ((2S, 3R)-4-((2-(cyclopropylamino)- $N$-isobutylbenzo[d]thiazole)-6-sulfonamido)-3-hydroxy-1-phenylbutan-2-yl)carbamate (5b)—Activated alcohol $\mathbf{1 8}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol ) was treated with isostere amine $29(17.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{5 b}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.18$
$(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.1,7.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ $(\mathrm{tt}, J=16.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{p}, J=5.3,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.1,156.3,155.8,137.9,131.4,130.6,129.6,128.6$, $126.7,125.5,121.0,118.7,100.7,79.4,72.9,72.0,60.1,58.9,55.2,53.8,41.8,39.6,35.9$, 35.6, 31.7, 27.4, 26.8, 22.8, 21.9, 20.3, 20.1, 8.1; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $685.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMSESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, 685.2730$; found 685.2723.
(2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 7 a R\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-yl ((2S, $3 R)$-4-((2-(cyclopropylamino)- $N$-isobutylbenzo[d]thiazole)-6-sulfonamido)-1-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-3-hydroxybutan-2-yl)carbamate (5c)-Activated alcohol 27c $(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with isostere amine $\mathbf{3 0}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{5 c}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.1,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.13 (d, $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dt, $J=9.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (q, $J=6.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06$ (dtd, $J=33.4,14.4$, $13.4,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (ddq, $J=10.2,6.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $13.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38$ (q, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 173.1,164.3$ (d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 161.8 (d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 156.1, 156.0, $142.3(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 131.6, 130.4, 125.5, 121.1, 118.9, 112.4 (d, $J=18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 102.1, 100.7, 79.4, 73.1, 72.0, 60.1, 59.1, 54.9, 53.8, 41.9, 39.6, 36.0, 35.5, 29.9, 27.5, 26.9, 21.7, 20.3, 20.1, 8.2; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $721.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, 721.2542$; found 721.2536 .
(2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a S\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-yl ((2S, $3 R$ )-4-((2-(cyclopropylamino)- $N$-isobutylbenzo[d]thiazole)-6-sulfonamido)-1-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-3-hydroxybutan-2-yl)carbamate (5d)—Activated alcohol 18 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with isostere amine $\mathbf{3 0}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for inhibitor $\mathbf{4 a}$ to give inhibitor $\mathbf{5 d}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.08 (s, 1H), $3.96-3.78$ (m, 5H), 3.60 (dd, $J=8.7,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dq}, J=6.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.42$ (m, 2H), 1.98 (dt, $J=13.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84$ (dd, $J=14.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.54 (dd, $J=13.2$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 173.1,164.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 161.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 156.1(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 142.4(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.5,130.5,125.5,121.1,118.8,112.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 102.3, 100.8, 79.6, 72.9, 71.9, 59.9, 59.1, 55.0, 53.8, 41.6, 39.8, 36.0 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 35.2, 29.8, 27.5, 26.8, 21.9, 20.3, 20.1, 8.1; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $721.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}, 721.2542$; found 721.2537 .
((2R,3S,6S)-3-Acetoxy-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)methyl acetate (7)-To a stirred solution of tri- O-acetyl-D-glucal $\mathbf{6}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 36.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(170 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added propargyl alcohol ( $2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodine ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was diluted with ether, quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether, combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to give $7(9.35 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.6,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.1,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (dd, $J=12.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ (ddd, $J=9.5,5.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10$ (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H).

## ((2a ${ }^{1}$ S,4aS,5S,6R,7aS)-5-Acetoxy-4-oxo-2a¹,4,4a,5,6,7a-hexahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-6-yl)methyl acetate (8)-To a stirred solution of 7 (500

 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hexanes $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this period, the mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite, washed with dichloromethane and concentrated under reduced pressure. To the above crude mixture in dichloromethane ( 30 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added NMO $(1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 11.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this period, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford 8 ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ $-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.05$ (m, 2H), 3.74 (dt, $J=10.2,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.2,180.8,170.5,169.8,126.2,96.3,66.7,66.0,62.8,47.6,46.0,20.7$; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $297.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.(2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,4aR,6S,7aS)-6-(Hydroxymethyl)hexahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4(4aH)-one (9)—To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{8}$ ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) were added $\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}(375 \mathrm{mg}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(35$ mg ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 15 min . After this period, the reaction mixture was cooled to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered through a plug of Celite. Methanol was removed under reduced pressure. To the crude residue was added chloroform to precipitate out of the excess $\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford saturated ketone ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). $[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+5.9\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (ddd, $J=11.9,6.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (dd, $J=9.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.84$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ (ddd, $J=18.7,10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17$ (dd, $J=18.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,12.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 216.1, 170.9, 100.4, 72.2, 66.2, 65.6, 44.2, 42.3, 38.5, 35.4, 22.8, 20.9; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $263.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

To a stirred solution of above ketone ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this period, methanol was removed under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to give 9 ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+6.4(c 1.0$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (dd, $J=9.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ (dd, $J=11.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.98-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.7,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.7,11.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 216.7,100.5,72.0,68.2,65.2,44.2,42.4,38.4,35.6,22.4$; LRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 221.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

## (2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 6 S, 7 a S\right)-6-(M e t h o x y m e t h y l) o c t a h y d r o-2 H-1,7-$

 dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-ol (10)—To a stirred solution of 9 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) were added proton-sponge ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate $(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 48 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane and combined organic extracts were washed with $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~N})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The reaction mixture was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to give ketone ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+2.8$ (c 1.0 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$ (ddd, $J=18.7,10.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59$ (ddt, $J=8.4,4.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $216.8,100.9,75.0,72.2,66.7,59.4,44.4,42.7,38.5,35.6,22.8$; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 235.0 [M $+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.To a stirred solution of above ketone ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(3.65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford $10(15 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%) .[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+42.5\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.9,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.73$ (m, 2H), $3.49-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-$ $2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{td}, J=9.2,8.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99$ (ddd, $J=13.5$, $8.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $101.5,77.4,75.9,70.7,66.5,59.4,43.0,39.1,38.5,23.6$; LRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 237.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

## (2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,4S,4aR,6R,7aS)-6-Methyloctahydro-2H-1,7-

 dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-ol (11)—To a stirred solution of alcohol 9 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25$mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(70.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After this period the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane, combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $70 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford ketone ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ (dd, $J=9.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (ddd, $J=18.7,10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.61 (ddd, $J=13.6,12.1,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 215.7,100.5,72.2$, 71.5, 65.4, 44.1, 42.0, 38.0, 37.8, 35.3, 22.1.

To a stirred solution of above ketone ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added LAH $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}) 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was warmed to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 36 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N})$, filtered and washed with EtOAc. The reaction mixture was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford $11(16.5 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.6$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ (td, $J=9.2,8.5,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54$ (ddd, $J=14.1,11.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ (d, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 101.7,77.3,70.7,63.7,43.1,41.1,39.2,38.9,29.9$, 22.4.
trans-3-Bromo-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (13)—To a stirred solution of 3,4-dihydro-2 H -pyran $12(5.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 59.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and propargyl alcohol ( 10.4 $\mathrm{mL}, 178.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 80 mL ) was added NBS $(11.63 \mathrm{~g}, 65.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions over 0.5 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and further 15 h at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of water and extracted with dichloromethane. The extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ solution, aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexane) to afford 13 ( $12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $5.7,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ (ddd, $J=11.7,8.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (t, $J=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (dddd, $J=18.6,10.3,7.6,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52$ (dtd, $J=$ $15.1,6.0,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

6-(Prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-3,6-dihydro-2H-pyran (14)—A mixture of $\mathbf{1 3}$ (10.0 g, 45.65 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and DBU ( $34.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 228.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h under argon atmosphere. After this period, the reaction mixture was cooled, 90 mL of anhydrous ether was added and stirred for 1 h . The mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite, washed with ether and concentrated under reduced pressure by using cold bath. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $15 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford $\mathbf{1 4}$ (5.36
$\mathrm{g}, 85 \%)$ as a volatile liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.09-6.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{dtd}, J$ $=10.1,2.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{td}, J=11.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (ddt, $J=11.1,6.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-$ 1.85 (m, 1H).

## 2a ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{5 , 6}, 7 \mathrm{a}$-Tetrahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4(4aH)-one (15)—To a

 stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ $(4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 12.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this period, the above mixture was diluted by the addition of dichloromethane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{NMO}(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 68.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite, washed with dichloromethane and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford 15 (380 $\mathrm{mg}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{qt}, J$ $=15.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.1,5.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ (ddd, $J=12.0,9.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dtt}, J=6.9,4.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.46 (dddd, $J=14.3,9.2,6.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 211.8,180.2$, 123.9, 97.7, 66.2, 61.3, 47.3, 44.1, 24.4.Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-ol ((土)-16)—To a stirred solution of $15(165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}(626 \mathrm{mg}, 9.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 15 min . After this period, the reaction mixture was cooled to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered through a plug of Celite. MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. To the crude residue was added chloroform to precipitate out of the excess $\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $45 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford ketone ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{ddt}, J=13.5,10.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 217.1,100.8,73.1,58.7,43.6,42.6,41.2,35.4,21.1$.

To a stirred solution of above ketone ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 6}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70$ (ddq, $J=12.6,8.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{td}, J=9.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.2,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 1.93 (ddd, $J=13.6,8.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.78 (dt, $J=7.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.68 (dt, $J=13.7$, $3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 101.0,77.4,71.8,59.9,41.8,40.8,40.4,38.0$, 21.2.
(2aS,2a ${ }^{1}$ S,4R,4aS,7aS)-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-ol
((-)-16)—To a stirred solution of acetate $\mathbf{1 7}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this period, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc, combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford ( - )-16 ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). $[a]_{D}^{20}-43.2$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## (2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,4S,4aR,7aR)-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[colinden-4-ol ((+)-16) and (2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a S\right)$-octahydro-2H-1,7-

 dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl acetate (17)—To a solution of ( $\pm$ )-16 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) were added vinyl acetate ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Lipase PS-30 on Celite ( 70 mg ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h ( $50: 50$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). After this period, the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite and solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified via silica gel column chromatography ( $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford alcohol (+)-16 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) and acetate $\mathbf{1 7}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%)$.Alcohol ((+)-16): $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+36.6\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.3,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.68(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 2.53 (td, $J=9.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dq, $J=10.1,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (ddt, $J=13.5,8.4$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 101.1, 77.5, 71.9, 59.9, 41.8, 41.0, 40.8, 38.2, 21.2.

Acetate (17): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7,6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65 (qt, $J=7.8,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ (ddd, $J=14.6,11.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.7,100.6,78.7,72.1,60.6,42.2,39.4,35.7,34.6,21.9,21.1$.

## 4-Nitrophenyl ((2aS,2a ${ }^{1}$ S,4R,4aS,7aS)-octahydro-2H-1,7-

dioxacyclopenta[col]inden-4-yl) carbonate (18)—To a stirred solution of alcohol $(-)-\mathbf{1 6}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) was added pyridine ( $57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.70$ mmol ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of 4 -nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 12 h . Upon completion, solvents were removed under reduced pressure and crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $35 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to give $\mathbf{1 8}\left(52 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%\right.$ ). $[a]_{D}{ }^{20}+10.4$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27$ (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16$ (dt, $J=8.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.97$ (m, 2H), 3.77 (dd, $J=8.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (dt, $J=11.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.7,9.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ (ddd, $J=13.7,8.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.4,152.1,145.4,125.4,121.9,100.6,83.6,72.0,60.5,41.9$, 39.2, 35.9, 34.7, 21.8; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $358.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.


#### Abstract

2,2a,2a ${ }^{1}$,5,6,7a-Hexahydro-3H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-one (20)-2,3Dihydrofuran 19 ( $1.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with 3-butyn-1-ol ( $3.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 51.36$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and NBS ( $3.35 \mathrm{~g}, 18.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ to afford bromo ether compound ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{td}$, $J=2.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 108.4,81.0,69.5,66.7,65.2,49.9$, 33.7, 19.9.


Above bromo ether compound ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 14.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with DBU ( $6.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.5$ mmol ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ to afford an alkene compound $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a volatile liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.28-6.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90$ (dt, $J=4.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.67$ (m, 1H), 4.56 (ddq, $J=14.0,2.7$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (dtd, $J=9.5,7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dtd}, J=9.5,7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ (tdd, $J=7.0,2.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{td}, J=2.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 132.4, 125.9, 108.9, 81.4, 74.7, 69.4, 65.0, 20.3.

Above alkene compound ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and NMO ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 7.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound 15 to afford $20(45 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-$ $2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.1,177.4,129.4$, 101.3, 65.8, 63.7, 49.1, 48.0, 31.6.

Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-ol (( $\pm$ )-21)—Compound 20 ( 59 mg , $0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}(224 \mathrm{mg}, 3.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mg})$ by following the procedure outlined for compound $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 6}$ to afford ketone $(42 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.86-2.74 (m, 2H), 2.68-2.58 (m, 1H), $2.39-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (ddt, $J=14.3,11.7$, $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.3,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 218.6, 101.7, 67.3, 56.0, 52.5, 42.3, 38.5, 28.3, 24.6; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $191.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Above ketone ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-16 to give $( \pm)-21(18 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-$ $4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (td, $J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-$ $1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 101.6,73.8,62.9,55.6$, 46.2, 39.2, 37.2, 29.4, 24.4; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $171.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
(2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 3 S, 4 \mathrm{a} R, 7 \mathrm{aS}\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-ol ((-)-21) and (2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 3 R, 4 a S, 7 a R\right)$-octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-yl acetate (22)—Compound ( $\pm$ )-21 (17 mg, 0.099 mmol )
was treated with vinyl acetate ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Lipase PS-30 on Celite ( 20 mg ) by following the procedure outlined for compound (+)-16 to afford alcohol (-)-21 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) and acetate 22 ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ).

Alcohol ((-)-21): $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-49.3\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-$ $3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $16.6,10.5,9.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 101.6,73.8,62.9,55.6,46.2,39.3,37.2,29.5,24.4$; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $171.1[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Acetate (22): $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+59.3\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.62-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-$ $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.9,101.5,75.5,63.4,55.4,44.0,38.5,33.6,29.0,24.1,21.2 ;$ LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $213.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
(2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 3 R, 4 a S, 7 a R\right)$-Octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[colinden-3-ol
((+)-21)—Compound $22(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for compound ( - )-16 to afford (+)-21 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+49.3\left(c 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

2a ${ }^{\mathbf{1}, 4 a, 5,6,7,7 a-H e x a h y d r o i n d e n o[7,1-b c] f u r a n-4(2 H)-o n e ~(24) — C y c l o h e x e n e ~} 23$ $(6.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 60.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with propargyl alcohol ( $10.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 182.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and NBS ( $11.92 \mathrm{~g}, 66.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ to afford bromo alkyne compound ( $8.32 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Above bromo alkyne compound ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 38.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with DBU ( $17.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 114.6$ mmol ) by following the procedure outlined for compound 14 to give compound an alkene compound ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a volatile liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.90-5.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-$ $2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 131.6,127.1,80.5,73.9,71.9,55.3,28.1,25.3,19.1$.

Above alkene compound ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(414 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21$ mmol ) and NMO ( $770 \mathrm{mg}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound 15 to give compound 24 ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-$ $4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{q}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-$ $1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.3,182.1,121.9,75.3,64.7,47.6,46.9,28.8,25.5,21.1$.

Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-ol (( $\pm$ )-25)—Compound 24 ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}(403 \mathrm{mg}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{mg})$ by following the
procedure outlined for compound ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{1 6}$ to give ketone ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.72-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1$, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.6,12.8,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.40 - 1.17 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 217.2,77.0,75.9,44.5,44.4,40.5$, 37.1, 27.8, 22.6, 16.2; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $167.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Above ketone ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for compound $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 6}$ to give compound $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{2 5}(66 \mathrm{mg}$, $84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.1,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.65 (td, $J=8.2,7.4,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{td}, J=9.0,8.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{dtt}, J=12.5,4.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 77.8,77.6,75.9$, 43.2, 43.0, 42.5, 40.2, 26.3, 23.8, 16.9; LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $169.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## (2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 7 a S\right)$-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-ol ((+)-25) and (2aS,

 $2 a^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a R$ )-decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-yl acetate (26)—Compound $( \pm)-\mathbf{2 5}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with vinyl acetate $(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Lipase PS-30 on Celite ( 70 mg ) by following the procedure outlined for compound (+)-16 to give alcohol (+)-25 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) and acetate $\mathbf{2 6}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%)$.Alcohol ((+)-25): $[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+13.8\left(\right.$ c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $12.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{td}, J=9.0,8.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 77.9, 77.7, 76.0, 43.2, 43.1, 42.5, 40.3, 26.4, 23.9, 17.0; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $169.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Acetate (26): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.09(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.4,3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-$ $1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.3,79.2,76.9,74.6,43.4,42.8,38.5,37.9$, 25.8, 21.8, 21.5, 16.8.
(2aS,2a ${ }^{1}$ S,4R,4aS,7aR)-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-ol ((-)-25)—Compound $26(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the procedure outlined for compound (-)-16 to give compound (-)-25 (20 mg, 95\%). [a] $]_{D}{ }^{20}$ -19.7 ( c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

## (2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 6 S, 7 a S\right)-6-(M e t h o x y m e t h y l) o c t a h y d r o-2 H-1,7-~$ dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl (4-nitrophenyl) carbonate (27a)—Compound 10

 $(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with pyridine $(23 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give 27a ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). $[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+23.1\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27$ (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{td}, J=5.5,3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.7,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-$ 3.41 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 2.85 (dtd, $J=11.6,7.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.54$ (m, 2H), 2.26 $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ,$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6,152.5,145.5,125.4,122.0,101.5,84.7,75.7,71.0,65.7,59.4,41.1,40.0$, 38.4, 36.4, 23.3; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $402.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
(2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 \mathrm{a} R, 6 R, 7 \mathrm{aS}\right)-6-M e t h y l o c t a h y d r o-2 H-1,7-$ dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl (4-nitrophenyl) carbonate (27b)—Compound 11 $(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with pyridine ( $28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound 27b $(22 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,3.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{q}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.21(\mathrm{dtt}, J=12.5,6.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{q}, J=7.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.85 (qt, $J=8.0,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.60-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (ddd, $J=13.7,8.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.5,10.5,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.6,152.5,145.5,125.5$, $121.9,101.5,84.7,70.9,62.9,41.2,39.6,38.4,36.8,28.6,21.9$. LRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $372.0[\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

## 4-Nitrophenyl ((2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,4S,4aR,7aR)-octahydro-2H-1,7-

dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-4-yl) carbonate (27c)—Alcohol (+)-16 (30 mg, 0.18 mmol ) was treated with pyridine ( $58 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( 53 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound 27c ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-9.5\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-Nitrophenyl ((2aS,2a ${ }^{1}$ R,3S,4aR,7aS)-octahydro-2H-1,7-dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-yl) carbonate (27d)—Alcohol (-)-21 (8 mg, 0.047

 mmol ) was treated with pyridine ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( 14 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound 27d (13 mg, 81\%). [a] ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}-41.2\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.31-8.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$ (dd, $J=10.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{qd}, J=8.0,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.94 (ddd, $J=18.9,9.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.6,152.1,145.6,125.5,121.9,101.3,80.4,63.2,55.4,44.0,38.5,33.5,28.9$, 23.9; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $358.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.
## 4-Nitrophenyl ((2aR,2a ${ }^{1}$ S,3R,4aS,7aR)-octahydro-2H-1,7-

dioxacyclopenta[cd]inden-3-yl) carbonate (27e)—Alcohol (+)-21 (8 mg, 0.047 mmol ) was treated with pyridine ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( 14 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound $27 \mathrm{e}(13 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%) .[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+40.8$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
(2aR,2a $\left.{ }^{1} R, 4 S, 4 a R, 7 a S\right)$-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-yl (4-nitrophenyl) carbonate (27f)—Alcohol (+)-25 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with pyridine ( $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.68 mmol ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound $\mathbf{2 7 f}\left(40 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%\right.$ ). [a] ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}+3.4$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.29-8.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$
(q, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dq}, J=7.9,4.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{tq}, J=$ $8.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{td}, J=9.3,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.9,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17$ (ddd, $J$ $=14.1,8.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.3,7.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80$ (ddt, $J=12.8,8.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ (ddd, $J=16.5,8.1,4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.8,152.7,145.4,125.3,122.1,85.1,76.8,74.6$, 43.4, 42.8, 38.9, 38.1, 25.8, 21.9, 16.7; LRMS-ESI (m/z): $356.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

## (2aS,2a $\left.{ }^{1} S, 4 R, 4 a S, 7 a R\right)$-Decahydroindeno[7,1-bc]furan-4-yl (4-nitrophenyl) carbonate ( $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{g}$ )—Alcohol (-)-25 (18 mg, 0.1 mmol ) was treated with pyridine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.40 mmol ) and 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure outlined for compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ to give compound $\mathbf{2 7 g}$ ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{7 5 \%}$ ).

## Determination of X-ray structures of HIV-1 protease-inhibitor complexes

HIV-1 protease was expressed and purified as described previously. ${ }^{55}$ The protease-inhibitor complex was crystallized by the hanging drop vapor diffusion method with well solutions of $1.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ sodium Cacodylate, pH 6.4 for inhibitor $5 \mathbf{c}$, and $0.95 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ Sodium Acetate, pH 5.5 for inhibitor 5d. ${ }^{56-58}$ X-Ray diffraction data were collected on a single crystal of each complex cooled to 90 K at SER-CAT (22-ID beamline), Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab (Chicago, USA) with X-ray wavelength of 1.0 Å, and processed by HKL-2000 ${ }^{59}$ to give an Rmerge of $8.6 \%$ and $7.8 \%$ for inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ complexes, respectively. The crystal structures were solved by PHASER ${ }^{60}$ in CCP4i Suite ${ }^{61-63}$ using one of the previously reported isomorphous structures ${ }^{64}$ as the initial model, and refined by SHELX-2014 ${ }^{65,66}$ using data to resolutions of $1.25 \AA$ and $1.13 \AA$ for the complexes of inhibitors $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$, respectively. PRODRG- $2^{67}$ was used to construct the inhibitor and the restraints for refinement. COOT ${ }^{68,69}$ was used for modification of the model. Alternative conformations were modeled, and isotropic atomic displacement parameters (B factors) were applied for all atoms including solvent molecules. The final refined solvent structure comprised one $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion, two $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions, one acetate ion, two glycerol molecules and 207 water molecules for inhibitor 5 c-protease complex, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion, three Cl - ions, two acetate ions, one glycerol molecule and 220 waters for inhibitor 5d complex. The crystallographic statistics are listed in Table 2 SI. The coordinates and structure factors of the protease complex with PIs 5c and 5d have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank ${ }^{70}$ with code 6 CDL and 6 CDJ , respectively.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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ABBREVIATIONS <br> | APV | amprenavir |
| :--- | :--- |
| ART | antiretroviral therapies |
| ATV | atazanavir |
| bis-THF | bis-tetrahydrofuran |
| DIPEA | $N, N$-diisopropyletylamine |
| DRV | darunavir |
| LPV | lopinavir |
| NBS | $N$-bromosuccinimide |
| NMO | $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide |
| PI | protease inhibitor |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |

}
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Figure 1.
Structures of HIV-1 protease inhibitors 1-5.



Figure 2.
X-Ray Crystal Structure of Activated Carbonate 18.


Figure 3.
Inhibitor 5d-bound HIV-1 protease X-ray structure is shown (pdb code: 6CDJ). The inhibitor carbon atoms are shown in green and hydrogen bonds are shown by black dotted lines.


Figure 4.
Inhibitor $\mathbf{5 c}$-bound HIV-1 protease X-ray structure is shown (pdb code: 6CDL). The inhibitor carbon atoms are shown in cyan and hydrogen bonds are shown by black dotted lines.


Figure 5.
Side by side comparison of the new Umb-THF moiety of inhibitor 5d (left, green carbon chain) with the enantiomeric Umb-THF moiety of inhibitor 5c (right, cyan carbon chain) inside the S 2 subpocket. Both ligands form extensive van der Waals interactions (Val32, Ile47, and Ile50 for $\mathbf{5 d}$ and Val32, Ile47, Ile50 and Ile84 for $\mathbf{5 c}$ ) in the S 2 subsite. Also, they are located close to the periphery of the protease active site and form three strong hydrogen bonds in a similar fashion (black dotted lines).


Figure 6.
Stereoview of the overlay of X-ray crystal structures of inhibitors 5c (magenta) and 5d (green) into the active site of HIV-1 protease (PDB codes: 6CDL and 6CDJ). Both P2 ligands make van der Waals interactions with Val32, Ile47, and Ile50' in the S2 subsite. All key hydrogen bonds are shown as black dotted lines.


## Scheme 1.

Synthesis of substituted tricyclic P2 ligands 10 and 11. Reagents and conditions. (a)
Propargyl alcohol, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, THF, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h},(95 \%)$; (b) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$, hexane, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ then NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h},(32 \%)$; (c) $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $15 \mathrm{~min},(70 \%)$; (d) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, (86\%); (e) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \mathrm{BF}^{-}$, proton-sponge, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h},(63 \%)$; (f) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h},(88 \%)$; (g) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}(70 \%)$; (h) LAH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$, (50\%).




$( \pm)-16$

(+)-16


Scheme 2.
Synthesis of optically active ligand alcohols (-)-16 and (+)-16. Reagents and conditions. (a) NBS, propargyl alcohol, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h},(98 \%)$; (b) DBU, heat $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, ( $85 \%$ ); (c) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ (20\%); (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 15 min then (e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(75 \%$ for 2-steps) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}(75 \%)$; (f) Lipase (PS-30), vinyl acetate, THF, $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h},(+)-16$ (53\%), $\mathbf{1 7}$ (47\%); (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; $\mathrm{MeOH}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h},(99 \%)$.
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Scheme 3.
Synthesis of optically active ligand alcohols 21 and 25. Reagents and conditions. (a) NBS, homopropargyl alcohol (for 20)/propargyl alcohol (for 24), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$ (63-93\%); (b) DBU, heat $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h},(84-92 \%)$; (c) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}\left(20-58 \%\right.$ ); (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$, MeOH , reflux (e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}\left(63 \%\right.$ over 2-steps); (f) Lipase (PS-30), vinyl acetate, THF, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (-)-21 ( $47 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 2}$ ( $53 \%$ ), (+)-25 ( $48 \%$ ), $\mathbf{2 6}$ ( $52 \%$ ); (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; $\mathrm{MeOH}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h},(90-95 \%)$.







Scheme 4.
Synthesis of activated carbonates 18, 27a-g. Reagents and conditions. (a) 4-( $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ) PhOCOCl , pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{1 8}$ ( $88 \%$ ) and 27a (79\%).



Scheme 5.
Synthesis of PIs 4a-4h. Reagents and conditions. (a) 27a and 27b, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (4a, 90\%; 4b, 97\%).


Scheme 6.
Synthesis of PIs 5a-d. Reagents and conditions. (a) 18 or 27c, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (78-90\%).
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HIV-1 protease inhibitory and antiviral activity of PIs 4a-h
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[^2]Table 2
HIV-1 protease inhibitory and antiviral activity of PIs 5a-d


[^3]Table 3
Comparison of the antiviral activity of $\mathbf{5 c}$ and $\mathbf{5 d}$ and other PIs against highly PI-resistant HIV-1 variants.

| Virus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LPV | APV | ATV | DRV | 5 c | 5d |
| HIV- $1_{\text {NLA-3 }}$ | $0.032 \pm 0.001$ | $0.087 \pm 0.003$ | $0.0033 \pm 0.0001$ | $0.003 \pm 0.002$ | $0.002 \pm 0.0007$ | $0.0012 \pm 0.0007$ |
| HIV-1 $1_{\text {ATV }} \mathrm{R}_{5 \mu \mathrm{M}}$ | >1 (>31) | $>1(>11)$ | > 1 (> 303) | $0.024 \pm 0.004$ (8) | $0.0015 \pm 0.0001$ (1) | $0.0006 \pm 0.0001$ (0.3) |
| HIV-1 $1_{\text {LPV }} \mathrm{R}_{\text {SuM }}$ | >1 (>31) | $0.19 \pm 0.06$ (2) | $0.029 \pm 0.004$ (9) | $0.026 \pm 0.004$ (9) | $0.002 \pm 0.001$ (2) | $0.002 \pm 0.001$ (1) |
|  | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ (12) | >1 ( $>11$ ) | $0.07 \pm 0.04$ (21) | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ (67) | $0.00032 \pm 0.00001(0.3)$ | $0.0003 \pm 0.0001$ (0.2) | ${ }^{b}$ The EC50 ( $50 \%$ effective concentration) values were determined by using MT-4 cells as target cells. MT-4 cells ( $10^{5} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were exposed to 100 TCID50s of each HIV-1, and the inhibition of p24 Gag protein production by each drug was used as an endpoint. All assays were conducted in duplicate, and the data shown represent mean values ( $\pm$ S.D.) derived from the results of two independent experiments.


[^0]:    *The corresponding author: Department of Chemistry and Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, Phone: (765)-494-5323; Fax: (765)-496-1612, akghosh@ purdue.edu.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The PDB accession codes for X-ray structures of inhibitor 5c and 5d-bound HIV-1 protease are: 6CDL and 6CDJ.
    Supporting Information. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publication website at http:// pubs.acs.org.
    Full NMR spectroscopic data for all final compounds
    X-ray structural data for inhibitors 5c and 5d-bound HIV-1 Protease
    Molecular formula strings and some data (CSV)
    PDB ID Codes. Inhibitors 5c and 5d-bound HIV-1 protease X-ray structures are: 6CDL and 6CDJ. Authors will release the atomic coordinates and experimental data upon article publication.
    ORCID
    Arun K. Ghosh: 0000-0003-2472-1841

[^1]:    This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Grant GM53386, AKG and Grant GM 62920, ITW). X-Ray data were collected at the Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) beamline 22ID at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the US Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. This work was also supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, and in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Priority Areas) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan (Monbu Kagakusho), a Grant for Promotion of AIDS Research from the Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Labor of Japan, and the Grant to the

[^2]:    ${ }^{a} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values represents at least 5 data points. Standard error in all cases was less than $7 \%$. Darunavir exhibited $K_{\mathrm{i}}=16 \mathrm{pM}$.
     saquinavir IC50 $=21 \mathrm{nM}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{a} K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values represents at least 5 data points. Standard error in all cases was less than $7 \%$. Darunavir exhibited $K_{\mathrm{i}}=16 \mathrm{pM}$.
     saquinavir IC50 $=21 \mathrm{nM}$.

