

Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1038/S41589-018-0039-Y

Designing macrocyclic disulfide-rich peptides for biotechnological applications — Source link

Conan K. Wang, David J. Craik

Institutions: University of Queensland

Published on: 16 Apr 2018 - Nature Chemical Biology (Nature Publishing Group)

Related papers:

- Plant cyclotides: A unique family of cyclic and knotted proteins that defines the cyclic cystine knot structural motif
- In Vivo Activation of the p53 Tumor Suppressor Pathway by an Engineered Cyclotide.
- Thermal, chemical, and enzymatic stability of the cyclotide kalata B1: the importance of the cyclic cystine knot.
- Orally Active Peptidic Bradykinin B1 Receptor Antagonists Engineered from a Cyclotide Scaffold for Inflammatory
 Pain Treatment
- Cyclotides as drug design scaffolds.



Designing macrocyclic disulfide-rich peptides for biotechnological applications

Conan K. Wang, David J. Craik

Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, 4072, Australia

1

*Corresponding Author: Professor David J. Craik Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Qld, 4072, Australia Tel: 61-7-3346 2019 Fax: 61-7-3346 2101 e-mail: d.craik@imb.uq.edu.au

Abstract

Bioactive peptides have potential as drug leads, but turning them into drugs is a challenge because of their typically poor metabolic stability. Molecular grafting is an approach for stabilising and constraining peptides and involves melding a bioactive peptide sequence onto a suitable molecular scaffold. It has the benefit of improving the stability of the bioactive peptide lead and potentially expanding its functionality. Here we step through the molecular grafting process and describe successes and limitations. So far, molecular grafting has been successfully used to improve the stability of peptide drug leads, enhance conformational rigidity, facilitate delivery to intracellular targets, and in some cases, increase efficacy in oral administration. Although applications of molecular grafting have focused mainly on therapeutic applications, including for pain, metabolic disease, and cancer, its potential uses are much broader and we hope this Perspective will inspire wider applications of this molecular design tool in biotechnology.

Commented [CDD1]: The first section of a Perspective doesn't have a heading. I hope that is not a problem. Commented [CW2R1]: OK

Peptides, which we consider here to comprise fewer than 50 amino acids, have a wide range of applications in chemical biology because they offer the selective and potent bioactivity of proteins but have some advantages because of their smaller size^{1,2}. (We arbitrarily consider peptides to comprise fewer than 50 amino acids). However, despite their potential, peptides have <u>certain limitations to their applicability</u>, including poor stability. So, how do we <u>overcome these deficiencies to</u> capture the value of bioactive peptides <u>by overcoming their deficiencies</u>? One answer is to graft them onto molecular scaffolds that have privileged biopharmaceutical properties³⁻¹⁰. In this Perspective, we focus on peptide scaffolds that are rich in disulfide bonds and have rigid, well-defined structures. More importantly, these peptide scaffolds are backbone-cyclized and hence have no free ends for proteases to attack.

Cyclic disulfide-rich peptides

The 'scaffold' peptides we focus on here are cyclic disulfide-rich peptides from plants and animals, including those that occur naturally and those that have been synthetically engineered (**Fig. 1a**). In order of increasing size, the natural cyclic peptides include sunflower-derived peptides of 12–14 amino acids with a single disulfide bond¹¹, θ -defensins of 18 amino acids with three disulfide bonds in a laddered arrangement¹² and cyclotides of around 30 amino acids with three disulfide bonds in a knotted configuration¹³. All of these natural peptides are ribosomally synthesized as linear precursors and then post-translationally processed into their mature cyclic forms in their host organisms¹⁴. There, they typically have roles as host defence agents, and we assume that their ultrastable cyclic structures have evolved because of the advantages they provide of stability, potency and adaptability to

changing pest or pathogen pressures. Some of these advantages can be transferred to acyclic peptides to engineer artificial cyclic scaffolds by adding amino acid linkers to bridge their termini; some examples of these engineered peptides include cyclic conotoxins of 22 amino acids with two disulfide bonds¹⁵ and the cyclic chlorotoxin of 43 amino acids with four disulfide bonds¹⁶.

Cyclic disulfide-rich peptides have both a macrocyclic backbone and one or more disulfide bonds. The disulfide bonds are typically conserved within each class of these peptides, and so provide scaffolds onto which the peptide sequences between successive Cys residues, called 'loops', are elaborated. Many of these loops have high sequence variability; a sequence alignment of cyclotides indicates that almost all non-Cys positions are tolerant to substitution (**Fig. 1b**). Although this type of analysis ignores correlated interactions between residues, the large (and expanding) diversity of sequences within each peptide class supports the idea that cyclic disulfide-rich peptides can tolerate substantial change to their sequences – a prerequisite if we wish to engineer them.

One of the main attractive biopharmaceutical properties of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides is their stability. For example, kalata B1 is stable in conditions that would normally degrade or denature **'regular'linear** peptides within minutes, such as the presence of chaotropic agents or acid and at temperatures approaching boiling, and in acid, as well as following incubation with proteases, such as trypsin, endoproteinase Glu-C, or thermolysin, and in human serum¹⁷. High serum stability is characteristic of other disulfide-rich cyclic peptides as well, including SFTI-1 (ref. 18), RTD-1 (ref. 19), cVc1.1 (ref. 15) and cyclic chlorotoxin¹⁶. The combination of a macrocyclic backbone and disulfide bonds underpins this proteolytic stability^{15,20-22}. Commented [CDD3]: Correct? Or "cyclic chlorotoxins", plural? Commented [CW4R3]: Correct, not plural.

	more specific, such as 'linear' and/or 'unmodified'?
1	Commented [CW6R5]: Linear is good
1	Commented [CDD7]: OK as edited?
-	Commented [CW8R7]: OK

Commented [CDD9]: In cases where having a superscript reference might be misleading (i.e. directly following another number), we cite references this way. I've edited as such throughout. Nothing you need to do – I just though I should explain.

Commented [CW10R9]: Thank you

A second advantage of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides is that some can penetrate cells and thus be used as intracellular delivery scaffolds²³⁻²⁶. Kalata B1, which internalizes into mammalian cells in amounts comparable to the cell-penetrating peptide TAT²⁶, enters cells via both endocytosis and direct membrane translocation, with entry initiated by binding to surface-exposed phosphatidylethanolamine lipids. Entry of MCoTI-II, meanwhile, is mediated by an endocytic pathway involving interaction of its positively charged residues with phosphoiniositides at the membrane surface^{23,25,27}.

Finally, many cyclic disulfide-rich peptides have intrinsic bioactivities that make them good leads for drug development or for agricultural applications. For example, θ -defensins have antimicrobial activities, the cyclic conotoxin cVc1.1 has potent analgesic activity, and cyclic chlorotoxin can preferentially bind to tumour cells. Additionally, natural cyclotides have insecticidal, antiviral, antimicrobial, nematocidal and molluscicidal activities, all of which could be relevant to the protection of crop plants from microbes, pathogens or herbivorous pests¹³. Nevertheless, our focus here is not so much on the intrinsic activities of these molecules but on how one might harness the desirable biopharmaceutical properties of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides to develop new drugs designed to have a specific activity, as described below.

Principles of Mmolecular grafting

The concept of molecular grafting is akin to its namesake in horticulture, i.e., the practice of fusing the scion of one plant to the stock of another, thus creating a new organism that has

Commented [CDD11]: OK as edited, to be a little more descriptive?
Commented [CW12R11]: OK

desired properties of both starting plants. In molecular grafting the aim is to make a new peptide by grafting (*i.e.*, inserting) a linear peptide sequence of natural or synthetic origin, which has a desired biological activity, into a disulfide-rich peptide, which has desired biopharmaceutical properties, such as stability or oral bioavailability (**Fig. 2**). Note that we refer to the linear peptide sequence as the 'epitope', adopting a broader meaning than its definition as an antigenic determinant in immunology. We refer to the disulfide-rich peptide that accommodates the epitope as the 'scaffold' because it is the moiety able to display various analogues of the epitope. The entire epitope–scaffold fusion is called the 'grafted peptide'.

Tables 1 and **2** summarize recent grafting studies that have used cyclic disulfide-rich peptides as scaffolds, demonstrating their successful applications in a wide range of diseases, including cardiovascular disease, metabolic disease, immunological disease, pain and cancer. The data in the tables demonstrate that many different epitopes are amenable to molecular grafting and that cyclic disulfide-rich peptides can tolerate many different and substantial changes to their sequences. Two main strategies have been employed to design grafted peptides; i.e., those involving chemical processes, such as residue mutagenesis (**Table 1**); or those involving library-based processes (**Table 2**), such as recombinant display and screening of grafted peptide libraries. For either of these approaches there are three main reasons motivations for exploring a molecular grafting strategy: stabilization of peptide epitopes, improving activity, and improving intracellular delivery.

An approach for stabilizing peptide epitopes. An unequivocal finding from grafting studies is that stability of linear peptide epitopes in human serum can be enhanced by grafting them onto a stable scaffold (**Fig. 3a**)²⁸⁻³². For example, an epitope from myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) that has potential use in the treatment of multiple sclerosis is rapidly degraded in serum (<1 hr), but becomes resistant to degradation once grafted onto kalata B1 (surviving for >24 hr)²⁸. Similarly, grafting pro-angiogenic epitopes onto SFTI-I or MCoTI-II³⁰, and bradykinin B₁ receptor antagonists onto SFTI-I³² improved their stability in human serum. Grafted cyclic peptides are typically poor substrates for a wide range of proteases, including pancreatin²⁸, thrombin³⁰ or trypsin³².

Grafted peptides can also inherit other stability characteristics of the parent scaffold, including resistance to heat or acidic conditions. A grafted peptide comprising a MOGderived epitope remained largely intact in strong acid after 24 hrs, whereas the epitope itself was completely hydrolysed after 6 hrs²⁸. Similarly, a grafted SFTI-I containing an immunomodulatory sequence exhibited high thermal stability and maintained structural integrity up to 85 °C³³. Grafted peptides are typically highly stable because they retain the stabilizing structural features of the scaffold – a cyclic backbone and a reinforcing network of disulfide bonds.

An approach for improving activity. Grafted peptides have demonstrated improved affinity and selectivity for target receptors. For example, improved binding affinity to $\alpha_9\beta_1$ integrin was reported for a heptapeptide from osteopontin upon grafting onto either SFTI-1 or MCoTI-II³⁰. Furthermore, increased receptor sub-type selectivity of a melanocortin receptor-4 agonist was achieved by grafting it onto kalata B1 (ref. 34). The improvement in activity from conformational constraint probably has a thermodynamic origin, such as by minimising entropic losses on binding³⁵, but the effects of constraints on binding enthalpy and entropy are complex and difficult to predict³⁶. The advantage of having a suite of scaffolds for grafting, with selected examples shown in **Figure 1**, is the availability of a range of preformed structural elements that can readily accommodate the epitope and test the effect of constraining it. In the MOG study, the epitope was grafted into the β -turn of kalata B1 to mimic its native conformation²⁸. In cases where the selected scaffold does not have a known pre-existing structure, additional residues flanking the epitope can be used as structural facilitators. For example, in a recent study the bioactive α -helical conformation of a grafted epitope was favoured by conjugation to a helix-stabilizing adapter sequence derived from apamin, a bee-venom peptide³⁷.

An approach for intracellular targeting and delivery and improving oral activity. To deliver

a bioactive peptide across the cell membrane and into the intracellular space, scaffolds that exhibit cell-penetrating properties can be used (**Fig. 3b**). A grafted peptide based on the cellpenetrating cyclotide MCoTI-I successfully modulated intracellular levels of p53 and associated transcriptional targets specifically in p53-expressing cells, confirming that traversal of the cell membrane had occurred³⁷. In another study, an epitope that antagonizes the protein SET was grafted onto MCoTI-II, a cell-penetrating cyclotide similar in sequence to MCoTI-I³⁸. Intracellular activity was monitored using GFP fluorescence as a readout for NF-κB-dependent gene expression, and the grafted peptide led to concentration-dependent transcriptional responses, demonstrating effective intracellular delivery. Recent efforts to enhance cellular uptake of scaffolds through grafting and chemical design promise to further expand the potential of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides as delivery scaffolds, whose inherent stability already sets them apart from traditional linear cell-penetrating peptides³⁹. Commented [CDD13]: Subheadings and sub-subheadings should be <56 characters (to fit on one line of text). OK as edited to fit?

Commented [CW14R13]: An approach for targeting and delivery?

Commented [DC15R13]: Agreed

Cyclic disulfide-rich peptides have in some cases shown orally deliverable activity (**Fig. 3c**)^{15,32,40,41}. For example, the linear conotoxin Vc1.1 is analgesic against neuropathic pain in rats when injected, but not when administered orally; however, a backbone-cyclized version of this conotoxin is orally active¹⁵. Another example is the grafting of a peptidic bradykinin B₁ receptor antagonist sequence onto SFTI-1 or kalata B1 scaffolds, which resulted in orally active peptides for the treatment of inflammatory pain, while the linear antagonist sequence alone exhibited essentially no oral activity^{32,40}. Similarly, a point-mutated kalata B1 scaffold was reported to be orally active in a dose-dependent manner in animal models of multiple sclerosis and resulted in lowered inflammation and reduced areas of axonal demyelination compared to untreated controls ⁴¹. We caution that we do not believe that cyclisation alone is sufficient to induce oral activity in a peptide. The factors that contribute to oral uptake are multifarious and not fully understood, and this is an area of much current investigation⁴²⁻⁴⁵. Nevertheless, there are now several man-made examples of peptides with high oral activity and/or bioavailability^{42,46,47}.

The process of molecular grafting

We now describe the molecular grafting process, highlighting key points to be considered, based on lessons learnt in our laboratory and those of others. First, molecular grafting requires the selection of a suitable epitope and scaffold pair, followed by structural and functional characterization, as illustrated in **Figure 4**. Experimentally, molecular grafting involves a range of techniques drawn from chemistry, biophysics, and biology.

The nature of the epitope and its mechanism of action can affect the likelihood of success. Most importantly, the termini of the epitope should not be essential for its activity, as eventually those termini will be integrated into the scaffold. For example, many peptide substrates of PDZ domains, a common structural motif of signalling proteins, require their C-termini to bind to their cognate targets and so would make poor starting epitopes for grafting. Indeed, a peptide substrate of the PDZ2 domain of the postsynaptic density-95 protein could no longer bind its target after it was grafted onto SFTI-1, but binding could be rescued by incorporation of an Asp residue to mimic a free C-terminus⁴⁸. That grafting study, along with others^{49,50}, are examples of using molecular grafting to target protein pockets. Targeting of flat surfaces is also possible, as exemplified by the successful design of grafted peptides that bind the CD58 adhesion protein³³.

The size of the epitope is an important consideration, with short epitopes (<10 amino acids) typically easier to handle. Epitopes ranging from a single amino acid⁴¹ (smallest graft possible) to 21 amino acids³⁸ have been grafted onto cyclotides, whereas grafts up to 12 (ref. 33), 15 (ref. 48) or 16 (ref. 51) amino acids have been successfully incorporated into the RTD-1, SFTI-I, and cVc1.1 scaffolds, respectively. In cases where the size of an epitope is prohibitively large, a smaller region of the epitope can be used instead, provided that it substantially contributes to the binding energy of the interaction⁵². Identification of such a truncated epitope can be achieved from mutation–activity studies and/or structures of the epitope bound to its target³³.

With these considerations in mind, peptide epitopes can be selected from three major sources: (*i*) naturally occurring peptides or fragments of an interacting protein, (*ii*) chemical peptide libraries or (*iii*) recombinant peptide libraries. As an example involving a naturally occurring peptide, the design of an orally active peptide for inflammatory pain was achieved by grafting an epitope derived from kallidin, an endogenous allogenic peptide, onto kalata B1 (ref. 40). To design a potent inhibitor of kallikrein-related peptidase-4, a potential target for prostate cancer treatment, a tetrapeptide combinatorial library was screened to first identify an optimal substrate for the protease that was subsequently grafted onto SFTI-1⁴⁹. In the grafting study on the design of antagonists of p53 degradation mentioned above, the epitope was initially discovered in a phage display library.

Once a peptide epitope has been identified, the next step is to select the peptide scaffold, which depends on the functional and/or structural properties that are desired for the final grafted product. Functional properties of scaffolds that have been (or might be) exploited include the tumour targeting ability of cyclic chlorotoxin¹⁶, the protease inhibitory activity of SFTI-1 and MCoTI-II⁵⁰, and the cell-penetrating ability of MCoTI cyclotides^{16,25,31}. For example, to design potent peptide inhibitors of matriptase, a serine protease that is a therapeutic target for cancer treatment, SFTI-1 and MCoTI-II were chosen as scaffolds to exploit their native ability to inhibit trypsin, a serine protease⁵⁰. As in the example above of a MCoTI-based analogue that successfully antagonized intracellular p53 degradation, other grafting studies have specifically chosen the MCoTI scaffold for its cell-penetrating property^{29,38}.

The choice of the scaffold can also depend on the structural similarity between the epitope and the scaffold. To design stable inhibitors of the CD2–CD58 protein–protein interaction as potential therapeutics for autoimmune diseases, SFTI-1 and RTD-1 were chosen as scaffolds because their β -sheet structures matched those of the epitopes, and were subsequently used to design grafted peptides with nanomolar activity³³. With the on-going discovery of natural

scaffolds with novel structures and substantial advances in the *de novo* design of artificial scaffolds⁵³, the number of scaffolds available for molecular grafting will continue to grow, enabling a greater range of applications.

The next step is to decide where the epitope should be grafted onto the scaffold. The possibilities include: insertion between two existing residues of the scaffold; substitution of one or more residues in a single loop^{28,37,40,41}; replacement of residues that span across connected loops^{28,49,54}; or replacement of most of the native residues of the scaffold^{33,51}. In the latter two cases, epitope residues that overlap with Cys residues of the scaffold might need to be replaced to retain the number of Cys residues of the scaffold and to enable the formation of the intended disulfide connectivity. The choice of grafting site and strategy is typically based on structural similarity of the target site to the active conformation of the epitope to the target binding site. Another consideration is the effect of the epitope on the structural and functional integrity of the scaffold. Collectively, these considerations suggest that grafting onto regions of the scaffold that display high flexibility or have high evolutionary variability (e.g. loop 6 of cyclotides) is preferred because these regions might be tolerant to mutation without affecting the fold of the remaining scaffold.

An initial assessment of the quality of the designed peptide can be based on *in silico* predictions of its structure, both alone and in complex with its target. Accurate *in silico* predictions provide an indication of whether steric factors might hinder the interaction between the grafted peptide and its intended target. Computational methods can also be used to rationalize experimental observations. For example, the predicted structural stability of

grafted peptides when bound to the Abl kinase target was shown to correlate well with experimentally determined binding affinities²⁹.

Once the grafted peptide is designed, it needs to be synthesized using chemical, recombinant, or chemo-enzymatic methods^{37,48}. Most commonly, grafted peptides have been made using solid-phase chemical synthesis, which involves first the assembly of the linear reduced precursor, comprising sequences from the scaffold and epitope, followed by oxidization and cyclization to form the final product. Using this approach, synthesis of grafted peptides that are based on topologically simple scaffolds, i.e. SFTI-1, has in our experience been straightforward. However, synthesis of more complex peptides with multiple disulfide bonds, e.g. grafted cyclotides, can be challenging because non-native disulfide bond connectivities may form during oxidation. For example, a peptide comprising just three disulfide bonds has 15 unique connectivities. To address this folding problem, identification of the optimal chemical folding condition to produce the desired grafted peptide is required. We typically start with an alkaline buffer, such as an ammonium bicarbonate buffer at pH ~8.5, as the underlying folding condition, and, if needed, trial the use of additives, such as organic solvents or oxidising agents, to modulate folding yields⁵⁵. An alternative is to use orthogonally protected cysteine pairs to direct disulfide bond formation¹⁵. The correctly folded product is identified using NMR, initially by assessing the quality of the spectra (well dispersed sharp peaks) followed by a comparison of the chemical shifts of the grafted peptide with that of the parent scaffold. If the product is pure and well-folded, it can then be tested for activity and stability.

In some cases, grafting of an epitope onto a scaffold does not produce the desired outcome either the grafted peptide cannot be folded correctly or it does not have the desired activity. In these cases, it is often worth revisiting the steps above, i.e. to choose another epitope (that may be an analogue of, or completely different in sequence and structure to the original epitope) or a different scaffold for grafting. For example, grafting of a pro-angiogenic peptide derived from VEGF onto loop 6 of MCoTI-II did not produce a well-folded product even after optimization of oxidation conditions⁵⁵, but the same epitope grafted onto a different scaffold, SFTI-1, readily resulted in a peptide that could be efficiently oxidized. Similarly, grafting of alternative pro-angiogenic epitopes (i.e. derived from the laminin al chain or osteopontin) onto loop 6 of MCoTI-II resulted in peptides that efficiently oxidized into the desired form. Overall, these results demonstrate that changing the scaffold or epitope can lead to a successful outcome, even if initial attempts fail. Changing the grafting site can also result in success. In an early study, we explored the effect of the epitope sequence and loop choice on folding and activity by grafting a series of overlapping fragments of a MOG-derived epitope onto loops 5, 6, or both, of kalata B1 (ref. 28). Of the 17 analogues synthesized, nine adopted a well-ordered fold. Some fragments were better tolerated by the scaffold when inserted onto loop 5 than loop 6, and vice versa. As an example, grafting of residues 41-47 of MOG onto loop 6 of kalata B1 did not result in a well-folded peptide, whereas grafting of the same epitope onto loop 5 not only led to a product that was well-folded but also had the most potent in vivo activity.

Another strategy for improving folding yield or activity is to include linkers that flank the epitope, such as flexible linkers (e.g. containing Gly residues⁵⁶) to relieve over-constraint by the scaffold or structure-stabilizing linkers (e.g. helix-inducing sequences^{37,38}) to increase rigidity and exposure. Although increased flexibility and exposure of the grafted epitope may

result in reduced enzymatic stability and sub-optimal activity⁵⁷, theyse properties can also be beneficial for activity⁵⁶. For example, a peptide epitope that is an antagonist of vascular endothelial growth factor A was more active when grafted onto loop 3 than loop 6 of kalata B1, because the loop 3-grafted epitope was less constrained and more able to sample the active conformation⁵⁶. It has also been reported that regions outside the grafted peptide, i.e. belonging to the scaffold, can affect binding affinity to a target protein^{57,58}. These additional interactions may provide fortuitous opportunities for optimization.

An extension of simple molecular grafting is to fuse multiple epitopes onto a scaffold, creating a multivalent analogue that has improved activity, selectivity and/or expanded functionality compared to a variant with only one epitope. So far, grafted peptides that comprise two of the same^{19,29} or different epitopes⁵⁵ have been designed; these 'dual-graft' studies are highlighted in Table 1 and Figure 5. A dual-graft peptide containing two of the same integrin receptor binding epitopes - one grafted onto each opposing loop of RTD-1 showed 10-fold higher affinity for the integrins $\alpha_V\beta_1$ and $\alpha_V\beta_6$ and also higher selectivity than monovalent analogues¹⁹. Similarly, incorporation of peptidic inhibitors that bind to the substrate site of BCR-ABL kinase into both loops 1 and 6 of MCoTI-II resulted in a dualgraft that was up to 14-fold more potent than the corresponding grafted peptides containing a single insertion²⁹. In another recent study, two epitopes targeting separate angiogenesis pathways were grafted onto MCoTI-II, one onto loop 5 and the other onto loop 6 (ref. 55). The dual-graft was more potent at inhibiting blood vessel growth in vivo, with a 42% improvement compared to a loop 6-only grafted peptide. These examples are exciting because they demonstrate that molecular grafting has evolved from the original one-epitopein-one-scaffold strategy, and more adaptations might be possible in the future.

Commented [CDD16]: Correct as edited? Commented [CW17R16]: OK

Combinatorial methods for molecular grafting

Molecular grafting is amenable to genetic combinatorial approaches for lead discovery. Table 2 lists genetic methods that have been adapted to phage-, bacteria- and yeast-based systems. As in chemical design, the choice of scaffold is guided by the application and the desired biopharmaceutical properties. For example, the SFTI-1 scaffold is typically selected for its topological simplicity⁵⁹; MCoTI-II because of its trypsin-inhibitory activity⁶⁰; and kalata B1 because of its high proteolytic stability⁶¹. For display purposes these scaffolds are typically linearized. For example, to construct cyclotide-based genetic libraries, loops 2 or 6 of kalata B1 cyclotide scaffolds were disconnected to allow for attachment to the display substrate⁶⁰⁻⁶³. Once an acyclic hit is obtained, it later can be cyclised to improve stability. The choice of grafting site is based on how the grafted peptides might bind to the target, or which regions of the scaffold are most tolerant of substitutions. For example, to identify matriptase inhibitors, a genetic library was constructed by making loop 1 of MCoTI-II the grafting site because that loop binds to the active site of trypsin, an enzyme related to the target⁶⁰. Similarly, thrombin inhibitors were discovered by making loop 6 of kalata B1 the grafting site because this loop exhibits a high degree of sequence variability in the cyclotide family⁶¹. As illustrated in Figure 6, neuropilin-1 and -2 antagonists were discovered by initially making loop 6 of kalata B1 the grafting site; after one round of selection, loop 5 was used because of spatial proximity to loop 6, resulting in nanomolar affinities⁶². This example demonstrates the potential of genetic libraries to discover grafted peptides that contain multiple grafted epitopes that have increased affinity or expanded functionalities compared to single constructs.

Commented [CDD18]: Correct?

Commented [CW19R18]: 'of a cyclotide scaffold' instead of 'of kalata B1' please as references 60-63 refer to other cyclotides including kalata B1

Commented [DC20R18]: cyclotide scaffolds

Compared to chemical design of the epitope, there are additional considerations regarding the diversity and coverage of the library. One can start with a fully randomized library. For example, to select for thrombin inhibitors, a seven-amino acid peptide library where each position of the epitope was allowed to vary was created within loop 6 of kalata B1 and transformed into *E. colt*⁶¹. The library comprised 6×10^9 transformants, representing ~18% coverage of the theoretical library diversity (3.4×10^{10} sequences). Alternatively, a grafted peptide can be used for optimization by combinatorial display. To identify integrin $\alpha\nu\beta_6$ binders, epitopes found from phage display were first grafted onto MCoTI-II to identify optimal starting grafted peptides, which contained either 13 or 14 residues in loop 1 (ref. 63). Lead peptides were then used to design a focused libraryies by fixing five of the residues in loop 1 and allowing the others to vary, creating a combined library of ~1 × 10⁷ clones. After a second library was created and screened, grafted peptides with nanomolar affinities and receptor selectivity were found. We note that it is important to synthesize and structurally characterize identified hits from library screens, because displayed peptides might adopt non-scaffold-like conformations⁶¹.

Commented [CDD21]: Correct? Or should it be 'focused libraries', plural? Commented [CW22R21]: I don't think it should be plural Commented [DC23R21]: Correct as is..."a focused library"

Future perspectives

Grafting onto disulfide-rich scaffolds has been very successful in achieving proof-of-concept status in more than two dozen pharmaceutically relevant studies to date, many showing enhanced stability of the grafted peptide as well as improved activity (in the nanomolar range) and/or selectivity, with confirmation of efficacy in animal disease models. Two studies have demonstrated modulation of intracellular activity^{37,38} and four have demonstrated orally delivered activity of the grafted peptide^{15,32,40,41}. Thus, molecular grafting has helped overcome some of the challenges faced in translation of peptides to the clinic. However, others still require attention, including: *(i)* the need to improve the biopharmaceutical

properties of grafted peptides; (*ii*) the need for information on the potential immunogenicity of grafted peptides; and (*iii*) the development of large-scale production approaches for disulfide-rich cyclic peptides. These challenges might account for why no grafted peptide has yet progressed to human clinical trials, but the potential for this to occur in the next few years is certainly there.

It is encouraging that four chemically diverse grafted peptides have so far exhibited oral activity^{15,32,40,41}, suggesting that molecular grafting might partly address the oral administration challenge. However, we know very little about why these peptides are orally active as well asor about their pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic properties. For now, it might be worthwhile to focus on therapeutic applications that side-step the delivery hurdle and thus allow for fast-tracking of translation⁶⁴. For example, grafted peptides that have been developed into imaging agents with *in vivo* selectivity⁶³ could be administered intravenously.

Compared to linear cell-penetrating peptides, cyclic disulfide-rich scaffolds are potentially more desirable because of their enhanced stability. So far, two studies^{37,38} have demonstrated that cyclic grafted peptides can modulate intracellular pathways, and others have shown that cellular uptake can be enhanced^{27,39}. Despite these advances, there are still many uncertainties regarding XXXX transport and intracellular distribution, particularly relating to endosomal release.

Will grafted scaffolds be immunogenic? We believe that their small size (<50 amino acids) and protease resistance (and hence resistance to immunological processing and display) makes them low risk in this regard. Nevertheless, the fact that some scaffolds can be modified to modulate the immune system^{28,33,41} does suggest the possibility for an

Commented [CDD24]: OK as edited? Commented [CW25R24]: OK

Commented [CDD26]: Could you be more specific here? Commented [CW27R26]: 'many uncertainties regarding their intracellular transport and distribution' immunogenic response, but it also suggests that other modifications might engender immunogenic silence.

What about production costs? Currently, production of milligram to gram quantities of grafted peptides is relatively straightforward using solid phase peptide synthesis, but largescale (kg to ton) production using this approach is likely not to be cost-effective. The production of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides is complicated by the need to obtain the correct disulfide connectivity as well as cyclization of the backbone. Hybrid methods that incorporate biosynthetic reactions derived from nature^{14,65,66} might thus be of help. For example, use of efficient cyclization enzymes, such as the recently discovered butelase⁶⁷ or OaAEP1 (ref. 68), has the potential to facilitate large-scale production of grafted cyclic peptides. Furthermore, since many of the scaffolds we have described here are natural plantbased peptides, it makes sense that in the future crop plants might be used as biofactories for cheaply producing peptide-based pharmaceuticals. Our laboratory is already making progress in this field, which offers not only the possibility of harvesting and refining pharmaceutical products from crop plants, but in some cases also using the plants as edible medicines. One can imagine seeds containing pharmaceutical peptides being 'biopills' that might be an alternative in some cases to tablets, or medicinal teas that might be made from leaf-expressed grafted macrocycles.

What about non-pharmaceutical applications? Our survey of the grafting studies completed so far highlights aspects of molecular grafting that are under-exploited and thus could be explored further. Clearly, most studies have focused on therapeutic applications, particularly on the development of stabilized inhibitors. Few studies have explored the potential of cyclic grafted peptides as diagnostics, in nanotechnology or as agricultural agents, despite the potential that peptides in general have demonstrated use in those applications⁶⁹⁻⁷². With increasing awareness of environmental impact of traditional chemical pesticides, stable peptidic pesticides offer a promising alternative that is definitely ripe for the picking.

With these broader challenges of translation in mind, we circle back to the focus of this Perspective – the molecular grafting process. Certainly, improvements to the process will accelerate discovery of grafted peptide drugs. Currently, we know that not all grafted peptides fold into the desired conformation, and so more detailed understanding of how grafting affects folding of disulfide-rich peptides would be beneficial. Perhaps the use of accurate *de novo* modelling methods for peptides⁵³ might be useful here to assess folding. Additionally, recent advances that allow for facile incorporation of sophisticated chemical modifications to libraries will expand their chemical diversity, and therefore increase the potential of combinatorial approaches^{73,74}. We also note that several recent studies have demonstrated the benefits of multiple grafts^{19,29,55}, an aspect of grafting that could be further developed.

We conclude by noting that pharmaceutical companies appear to be amenable to a call-toaction in the peptide field. All of the large pharma companies have re-invigorated their peptide chemistry interests, with many doing this in collaboration with academic labs and smaller biotechnology companies. With an increasing corpus of researchers in the field one can expect a bright future for peptide-based drug design, and we believe that macrocycles will be front and center of this effort.

Acknowledgements

D.J.C. is an Australian Research Council Australian Laureate (FL150100146). Work in our laboratory on peptide scaffolds is supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (DP150100443) and the National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1107403 and APP1060225).

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Selected cyclic disulfide-rich peptide scaffolds and their sequence diversity. (a) The range of scaffolds discussed in this Perspective. Representative structures of each scaffold class are shown. The with cysteine residues are labeled with Roman numerals. (b) Sequences of cyclic disulfide-rich peptide scaffolds: sunflower trypsin inhibitor-1 (SFTI-1), rhesus θ -defensin-1 (RTD-1), cyclic Vc1.1 (cVc1.1), kalata B1 (kB1), and cyclic chlorotoxin (cChltx). Also shown is the disulfide bond connectivity, loop nomenclature and sequence diversity of each loop, the latter represented by a circled number that shows the number of alternative residue substitutions found at the indicated site. Sequence diversity was determined from sequences deposited in CyBase (cybase.org.au) or UniProt (uniprot.org).

Figure 2: Molecular grafting of epitopes onto scaffolds. In molecular grafting, a peptide epitope (which has desired biological activities) is 'grafted' onto a constrained peptide (which has desired biopharmaceutical properties), resulting in a new grafted peptide that has the desired properties of both chemical inputs. The epitope can be chosen from a range of sources, such as (*i*) naturally-occurring peptides or fragments of an interacting protein, (*ii*) chemical peptide libraries or (*iii*) recombinant peptide libraries. The scaffold can be chosen from a panel of cyclic disulfide-rich peptides, such as those shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 3: Applications of molecular grafting. (a) Molecular grafting onto a cyclic peptide scaffold can be used to stabilize a biologically-active peptide sequence. In a recent study, the kalata B1 scaffold was used to stabilize peptide epitopes from myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein for the treatment of multiple sclerosis²⁸. (b) Molecular grafting can also be used to deliver peptides into cells. Camarero and co-workers grafted aA peptide sequence from

Commented [CDD28]: Unfortunately, we don't allow callouts of authors by name. Remainder of caption OK as edited? Commented [CW29R28]: OK p53 <u>grafted</u> onto MCoTI-I₇ and showed that the grafted peptide could inhibit a protein protein interaction interface of intracellular Hdm2–HdmX³⁷. (c) Grafted peptides could potentially be delivered via oral administration. Tam and co workers demonstrated that kKalata B1 grafted with a bradykinin receptor antagonist had orally delivered activity in an animal model of disease⁴⁰.

Figure 4: The molecular grafting process in rational drug design. The flowchart shows the typical decision-making process that is employed to discover a novel <u>grafted peptide</u> drug lead. The process involves an initial design phase, in which an appropriate peptide epitope and peptide scaffold are chosen, followed by the grafting site. A designed peptide is then synthesized and purified, and its structure assessed by NMR to determine whether it is well folded. If the purified product is also stable and active, then the grafted peptide is a potential lead compound for further testing or optimization.

Figure 5: Design of multivalent peptides by molecular grafting. The concept of molecular grafting has evolved since original studies demonstrating proof-of-concept, in which one epitope was grafted onto a signal loop of the scaffold to engineer first-generation grafted peptides⁷⁵. Since then, more than one epitope has been grafted onto a scaffold; for example two epitopes with the same activity²⁹ has been grafted onto MCoTI-II to increase valency (second generation), and two epitopes with different activities⁵⁵ have been grafted to target multiple pathways (third generation). The grafted peptides comprising two epitopes are referred to as 'dual-grafts' and these are highlighted in **Table 1**. It is theoretically possible to design grafted peptides with multiple functions by grafting an epitope that targets the disease cell as well as another epitope that modulates the activity of the protein target, and these might represent the fourth generation of grafted peptides.

Commented [CDD30]: Correct or no? Commented [CW31R30]: OK **Figure 6: A combinatorial library approach to molecular grafting.** Grafted peptides can be discovered by using a selected scaffold to design a library of variants that are then genetically encoded and screened for activity. Here, neuropilin-1 and -2 antagonists were discovered by making bacterial display libraries based on the kalata B1 scaffold (top; sequence also shown)⁶². To allow for attachment of each variant to a bacterial membrane protein (purple hexagon), loop 2 of kalata B1 was disconnected. Initially, the entire loop 6 was made variable (white circles with X) and then after one round of sorting, loop 5 and selected residues in other loops were randomized. Active hits were synthesized by solid-phase peptide synthesis and used to confirm nanomolar potency of grafted peptides.

Tables

Table 1. Grafting of cyclic disulfide-rich peptide scaffolds by chemical design.

SFTI-1 3^d Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor36EnzymeCancer9Angiogenic30Cell-surface receptorInflammatory bowel diseas9Angiogenic30Cell-surface receptorCancer3^dMatriptase inhibitor50EnzymeCancer3^dMatriptase inhibitor77EnzymeCancer7Anti-angiogenesis78Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi15^dPost-synaptic density-35 binding48Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases9^dTau aggregation inhibitor44Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases9^dTau aggregation inhibitor45Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease10^dCD2:CD58 inhibitor-33Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ⁴³ Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma9GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{4,53} Cell-surface receptorDiabetes9Integrin receptor binding19Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance12^dCD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance12^dCD2:CD58 inhibitor33Protein-protein interactionAutoinmune diseases7dTumour targeting and survivin binding30Protein-protein interactionAutoinmune diseases9VEGF-A antagonist ^{6,56} Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressi9VEGF-A antagonist ^{6,56} Protein-protein interactionChronic pain and inflamma <t< th=""><th>Scaffold^a</th><th>Epitope Size^b</th><th>Activity^c</th><th>Target class</th><th>Application</th></t<>	Scaffold ^a	Epitope Size ^b	Activity ^c	Target class	Application
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SFTI-1				
3NF-xB inhibitorProtein-protein interactionInflammatory bowel disease9Angiogenic ⁸⁰ Cell-surface receptorCardiovascular and wound6Matriptase inhibitor ⁷⁷ EnzymeCancer3 ⁴ Matriptase inhibitor ⁷⁷ EnzymeCancer7Anti-angiogenesis ⁷⁸ Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi15 ⁴ Post-synaptic density-95 binding ⁴⁸ Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases5 ⁴ Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor ⁵⁴ Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease9 ^d Tau aggregation inhibitor ⁵⁴ Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease9 ^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor- ⁵³ Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ²⁵ Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma painof Cl2:CD58 inhibitor- ⁵³ 9Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain (oral activity)**Cell-surface receptor16 ^d GLP-1 receptor agonist5 ^{f-51} Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases7 ^d Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰ Protein-protein interaction 	\frown	3 ^d	Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor76	Enzyme	Cancer
9Angiogenic ¹⁰ Cell-surface receptorCardiovascular and wound6Matriptase inhibitor ¹⁰ EnzymeCancer3 ⁴ Matriptase inhibitor ¹⁷ EnzymeCancer7Anti-angiogenesis ¹⁸ Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi15 ⁴ Post-synaptic density-95 binding ⁴⁸ Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi5 ⁴ Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor ¹⁹ EnzymeCancer9 ⁴ Tau aggregation inhibitor ⁴⁴ Protein-protein interactionAtzheimer's disease10 ^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ^{4.33} Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ²⁵ Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma (oral activity)** cyclic conotoxin 6Cyclization ¹⁵ Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain f -dGLP-1 receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) f -dIntegrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases f -dIntegrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases f -dIntegrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases f -dIntegrin receptor of concept ^{# 81} N/AWide range of diseases f -dProf-of-concept ^{# 81} N/AWide range of diseases f -dMelanocortin receptor antagonist ^{4.56} Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressi g -d9VEGF-A antagonist ^{4.56} <td>\bigcirc</td> <td>3</td> <td>NF-κB inhibitor⁶⁴</td> <td>Protein-protein interaction</td> <td>Inflammatory bowel disease</td>	\bigcirc	3	NF-κB inhibitor ⁶⁴	Protein-protein interaction	Inflammatory bowel disease
6Matriptase inhibitor ⁵⁰ EnzymeCancer3 ^d Matriptase inhibitor ⁷⁷ EnzymeCancer7Anti-angiogenesis ⁷⁸ Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi15 ^d Post-synaptic density-95 binding ⁴⁸ Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases5 ^d Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor ⁷⁹ EnzymeCancer9 ^d Tau aggregation inhibitor ⁵⁴ Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease10 ^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ^{5, 33} Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ²² Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma (oral activity)Neuropathic painCulce conotoxin (oral activity)6Cyclization ¹⁵ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Neuropathic painOdefensin (dual-graft)12 ^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³ (CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³)Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)CLastra BI12 ^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³ (Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰)Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases7 ^d Integrin receptor 4 agonist ^{4, 50} (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases9VEGF-A antagonist ^{4, 56} (Buancoortin receptor 4 agonist ⁴⁴ (Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases9Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁴ (Cell-surface receptor (Desity)Cell-surface receptor (Desity)9Proo		9	Angiogenic ³⁰	Cell-surface receptor	Cardiovascular and wound healing
3^4 Matriptase inhibitor77EnzymeCancer7Anti-angiogenesis78Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi15^4Post-synaptic density-95 binding48Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases5^4Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor79EnzymeCancer9^4Tau aggregation inhibitor4Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease10 ⁴ CD2:CD58 inhibitor54Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease9Bradykinin receptor antagonist32Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma pain $evclic conotoxin6Cyclization 15Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic painf^4Integrin receptor agonists4, 51Cell-surface receptorDiabetesf^4Integrin receptor binding19Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance(dual-graft)f^4Integrin receptor binding19Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance(dual-graft)f^4Tumour targeting and survivin binding40Protein-protein interactionAnti-tumour and anti-cance(dual-graft)f^4Proof-of-concept541N/AWide range of diseases9VEGF-A antagonist556Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressiObesity9Profe-of-succept541N/AWide range of diseases9Profe-A antagonist4556Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressi9VEGF-A antagonist4556Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressi<$		6	Matriptase inhibitor ⁵⁰	Enzyme	Cancer
7Anti-angiogenesis78Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi154Post-synaptic density-95 binding48Protein-protein interactionNeurological diseases54Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor79EnzymeCancer94Tau aggregation inhibitor44Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease104CD2:CD58 inhibitor-33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist22Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma164GLP-1 receptor agonist5, 51Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain0diffGLP-1 receptor agonist5, 51Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain0diffGLP-1 receptor binding19Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance0diffCD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases124CD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases7d124CD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases7d124CD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases7d124CD2:CD58 inhibitor33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases7d124Proof-of-concepte 81N/AWide range of diseases9VEGF-A antagonist6.56Protein-protein interactionInhibiting tumour progressi66Melanocortin receptor of 4 agonist64Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma9PEGF-A antagonist6.56		3 ^d	Matriptase inhibitor77	Enzyme	Cancer
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	Anti-angiogenesis ⁷⁸	Cell-surface receptor	Inhibiting tumour progression
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		15 ^d	Post-synaptic density-95 binding ⁴⁸	Protein-protein interaction	Neurological diseases
94Tau aggregation inhibitors4Protein-protein interactionAlzheimer's disease104CD2:CD58 inhibitor5-33Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist32Cell-surface receptorChronic pain and inflamma paineyclic conotoxin6Cyclization15Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain6Cyclization15Cell-surface receptorNeuropathic pain6GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{7,51} Cell-surface receptorDiabetes964Integrin receptor binding19Cell-surface receptorAnti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)124CD2:CD58 inhibitor33 Tumour targeting and survivin binding80Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)83dProof-of-concept ^{6,81} VEGF-A antagonist ^{6,56} N/AWide range of diseases Obesity9Bradykinin B ₁ receptor 4 agonist ⁴⁰ Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Cell-surface receptorInhibiting tumour progressi Obesity134Immuno-modulation28Protein-protein interaction (oral activity)**Multiple sclerosis		5 ^d	Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor79	Enzyme	Cancer
10^4 CD2:CD58 inhibitor ^{6, 33} Cell-surface receptorAutoimmune diseases9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ³² Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)Chronic pain and inflamma paineyclic conotoxin6Cyclization ¹⁵ Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**Neuropathic pain 16^4 GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{4, 51} Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Neuropathic pain $0^{-defensin}$ 6^d Integrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) 12^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³ Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases Autoimmune diseases 7^d 3^d Proof-of-concept ^{8,81} Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ⁴⁴ Protein-protein interaction (P Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Protein-protein interaction (rad activity)**Nultiple sclerosis		9 ^d	Tau aggregation inhibitor54	Protein-protein interaction	Alzheimer's disease
9Bradykinin receptor antagonist ³² Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)Chronic pain and inflamma paincyclic conotoxin \bullet 6Cyclization ¹⁵ Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**Neuropathic pain06Cyclization ¹⁵ Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**Neuropathic pain0-defensin \bullet 6 ^d Integrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)0-defensin 12^d 6 ^d Integrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)kalata B1 \bullet 7 ^d Proof-of-concept ^{6,81} N/AWide range of diseases Obesity83 ^d Proof-of-concept ^{6,81} N/AWide range of diseases Obesity9Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor (cell-surface receptor (dral-graft)Inhibiting tumour progressi Obesity13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction (oral activity) **Multiple sclerosis		10 ^d	CD2:CD58 inhibitor ^{e, 33}	Cell-surface receptor	Autoimmune diseases
cyclic conotoxin6Cyclization15Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**Neuropathic pain (oral activity)** $0 - defensin$ 12^d 12^d $CD2:CD58$ inhibitor ³³ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) 12^d $CD2:CD58$ inhibitor ³³ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)kalata B1VEGF-A antagonist ^{6,56} Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)N/AWide range of diseases Desity 9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{6,56} Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)Inhibiting tumour progressi Obesity 9 Nelanocortin receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor (cell-surface receptor (dual-graft) 13^d Immuno-modulation2 ³⁸ Protein-protein interaction (oral activity) **		9	Bradykinin receptor antagonist ³²	Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)	Chronic pain and inflammatory pain
6Cyclization15Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**Neuropathic pain (oral activity)** 16^d GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{6, 51} Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Diabetes 0 -defensin 6^d Integrin receptor binding19Cell-surface receptor 	cyclic conotoxin				
16^d GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{6, 51} Cell-surface receptorDiabetes θ -defensin 6^d Integrin receptor binding ¹⁹ Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) 12^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³ Cell-surface receptor Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases 7^d Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰ Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)kalata B1VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi 6Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ N/AWide range of diseases (Desity) 9 Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor (clal-surface receptor (dral activity) **Chronic pain and inflamma pain 13^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction (oral activity) **Multiple sclerosis	$\langle \rangle$	6	Cyclization ¹⁵	Cell-surface receptor (oral activity)**	Neuropathic pain
θ -defensin Image: Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) 12^d CD2:CD58 inhibitor33 		16 ^d	GLP-1 receptor agonists ^{f, 51}	Cell-surface receptor	Diabetes
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	θ-defensin				
12d 7^4 CD2:CD58 inhibitor 33 Tumour targeting and survivin binding 80 Cell-surface receptor Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)Autoimmune diseases Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft)kalata B1VVVVV 3^4 Proof-of-concept ^{g. 81} 9N/AWide range of diseases Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi Obesity 9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} 9Protein-protein interaction ObesityInhibiting tumour progressi Obesity 9 Bradykinin B1 receptor 4 agonist ⁴⁰ 13dCell-surface receptor Inhibiting tumour production ObesityObesity Pain 13^4 Immuno-modulation28Protein-protein interaction Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosisMultiple sclerosis	\square	6 ^d	Integrin receptor binding ¹⁹	Cell-surface receptor (dual-graft)	Anti-tumour and anti-cancer
7 ^d Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰ Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft) Anti-tumour and anti-cance (dual-graft) kalata B1 Vite Vite Vite Vite 9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi 6 Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ Cell-surface receptor Obesity 9 Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma pain 13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis		12 ^d	CD2:CD58 inhibitor ³³	Cell-surface receptor	Autoimmune diseases
kalata B1 3 ^d Proof-of-concept ^{g. 81} N/A Wide range of diseases 9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi 6 Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ Cell-surface receptor Obesity 9 Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma (oral activity) ** 13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis		7 ^d	Tumour targeting and survivin binding ⁸⁰	Protein-protein interaction (dual-graft)	Anti-tumour and anti-cancer
3d Proof-of-concept ^{g. 81} N/A Wide range of diseases 9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi 6 Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ Cell-surface receptor Obesity 9 Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma (oral activity) ** 13d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis	kalata B1				
9 VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56} Protein-protein interaction Inhibiting tumour progressi 6 Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ Cell-surface receptor Obesity 9 Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma pain 13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis		3 ^d	Proof-of-concept ^{g, 81}	N/A	Wide range of diseases
6 Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴ Cell-surface receptor Obesity 9 Bradykinin B1 receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma (oral activity) ** 13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis	(\times)	9	VEGF-A antagonist ^{h, 56}	Protein-protein interaction	Inhibiting tumour progression
9 Bradykinin B1 receptor antagonist ⁴⁰ Cell-surface receptor Chronic pain and inflamma (oral activity) ** 13 ^d Immuno-modulation ²⁸ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis	$\langle \rangle$	6	Melanocortin receptor 4 agonist ³⁴	Cell-surface receptor	Obesity
13dImmuno-modulation28Protein-protein interactionMultiple sclerosis		9	Bradykinin B ₁ receptor antagonist ⁴⁰	Cell-surface receptor (<i>oral activity</i>) **	Chronic pain and inflammatory pain
		13 ^d	Immuno-modulation ²⁸	Protein-protein interaction	Multiple sclerosis
1 Lymphocyte proliferation inhibitor ⁴¹ Protein-protein interaction Multiple sclerosis (oral activity)		1	Lymphocyte proliferation inhibitor ⁴¹	Protein-protein interaction (oral activity)	Multiple sclerosis

MCoTI

3	FMDV 3C protease inhibitor ^{i, 75}	Enzyme	Foot-and-mouth disorders
3	β -tryptase and elastase inhibitor ⁸²	Enzyme	Inflammation disorders
9	VEGF receptor agonist ^{h, 30}	Cell-surface receptor	Angiogenesis
16	Cytokine receptor CXCR4 antagonist ^{j, 57}	Cell-surface receptor	Anti-HIV
7	Matriptase inhibitor ⁵⁰	Enzyme	Anti-tumour
18	Hdm2/X antagonist ^{k, 37}	Protein-protein interaction (intracellular)**	Anti-tumour
19 ^d	BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitor ^{1, 29}	Enzyme (dual graft)	Chronic myeloid leukemia
9 ^d	Cell penetration ³⁹	Cell-surface interactions	Wide range of intracellular targets
6	MAS1 receptor ^{m, 31}	Cell-surface receptor	Cancer and myocardial infarction
21	SET Antagonist ³⁸	Protein-protein interaction (intracellular)	Cancer
11 ^d	Somatostatin and PEDF receptor ^{n, 55}	Cell-surface receptors (<i>dual graft</i>)**	Cancer
7	Tumour targeting ⁸⁰	Protein-protein interaction (dual graft)	Anti-tumour
5 ^d	Factor XIIa inhibitor ⁸³	Enzyme	Cardiovascular disease

chlorotoxin

 \bigcirc

10^d

Cyclization16

^a Examples of cyclic disulfide-rich peptide scaffolds include those with one disulfide bond (e.g. sunflower trypsin inhibitor-1), two disulfide bonds (e.g. cyclic Vc1.1), three disulfide bonds in a laddered arrangement (e.g. rhesus θ-defensin-1), three disulfide bonds in a knotted arrangement (e.g. kalata B1, *Momordica cochinchinensis* trypsin inhibitors-1 and -II), and four disulfide bonds (e.g. chlorotoxin). ^b Largest epitope used for grafting. ^c Reference citations are provided. ^d non-contiguous sequence. ^e CD2/CD58: cell-cell adhesion proteins. ^f GLP-1: glucagon-like protein-1. ^g Proof-of-concept to show loops can be modified or grafted. ^h VEGF: vascular endothelial growth factor. ¹ FMDV: foot and mouth disease virus. ^J CXCR4: C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4. ^k Hdm2: Human double minute 2. ¹ BCR-ABL: breakpoint cluster-Abelson. ^m MAS1 mas-related G protein-coupled receptor A. ⁿ PEDF: pigment epithelium-derive factor. ^{**} highlights key examples of grafting studies demonstrating increased oral activity and intracellular delivery of designed peptides, as well as exploring multivalent display of epitopes.

Cell-surface receptor

Tumour-imaging

Table 2. Gr	afting of cycl	c disulfide-ricl	peptide scaffolds	by	library-based	strategies.
-------------	----------------	------------------	-------------------	----	---------------	-------------

Scaffold ^a	Epitope	Activity ^c	Target class	Application	Library
	Size ^b				Strategy
SFTI-1					
(I-SS)	8	Delta-like ligand 4 binding ⁵⁹	Membrane protein	Tumour targeting	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{f},\mathrm{g}}$
I	6 ^e	Kallikrein-related peptidase inhibitor ⁴⁹	Enzyme	Cancer	S
	5 ^e	Cathepsin G ⁸⁴	Enzyme	Chronic inflammatory disorders	S
kalata B1					
(3-SS)	7	Thrombin inhibitor ⁶¹	Enzyme	Cardiovascular disease	\mathbf{B}^{f}
I	14 ^e	Neuropilin-1 and -2 antagonist ⁶²	Cell-surface receptor	Angiogenesis	\mathbf{B}^{f}
MCoTI					
(3 SS)	22 ^e	Integrin receptor binding ⁶³	Cell-surface receptor	Pancreatic cancer detection	\mathbf{Y}^{f}
	17 ^e	Matriptase inhibitor ⁶⁰	Enzyme	Anti-tumour	\mathbf{Y}^{f}
I	17 ^e	CTLA-4 binding ^{h, 85}	Cell-surface receptor	Metastatic melanoma	\mathbf{Y}^{f}
	8	α -synuclein aggregation inhibitor ⁸⁶	Protein-protein interaction	Parkinson's disease	Y

^a Examples of cyclic disulfide-rich peptide scaffolds include those with one disulfide bond (e.g. sunflower trypsin inhibitor-1) and three disulfide bonds in a knotted arrangement (e.g. kalata B1, *Momordica cochinchinensis* trypsin inhibitors-I and -II). ^b Largest epitope used for grafting. ^c Reference citations are provided. ^d Strategy type: Phage display (P), Synthetic library (S), Bacterial display (B), and Yeast display (Y). ^e non-contiguous sequence. ^f Some display technologies require linearized analogues. ^g Phage library was used but no hits discovered. ^h CTLA-4: cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4. Commented [CDD32]: Could we remove these 'SS' designations (I assume meaning disulfide bond?) as the same scaffolds are already diagrammed in Table 1 and explained in footnote a?

Commented [DC33R32]: OK we have deleted them

References

- 1. Craik, D.J., Fairlie, D.P., Liras, S. & Price, D. The future of peptide-based drugs. *Chem Biol Drug Des* **81**, 136-147 (2013).
- 2. Tsomaia, N. Peptide therapeutics: targeting the undruggable space. *Eur J Med Chem* **94**, 459-470 (2015).
- Rutledge, S.E., Volkman, H.M. & Schepartz, A. Molecular recognition of protein surfaces: high affinity ligands for the CBP KIX domain. *J Am Chem Soc* 125, 14336-14347 (2003).
- 4. Sia, S.K. & Kim, P.S. Protein grafting of an HIV-1-inhibiting epitope. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 9756-9761 (2003).
- 5. Ewert, S., Honegger, A. & Pluckthun, A. Stability improvement of antibodies for extracellular and intracellular applications: CDR grafting to stable frameworks and structure-based framework engineering. *Methods* **34**, 184-199 (2004).
- 6. Brown, C.J. *et al.* Rational design and biophysical characterization of thioredoxinbased aptamers: insights into peptide grafting. *J Mol Biol* **395**, 871-883 (2010).
- 7. Azoitei, M.L. *et al.* Computational design of high-affinity epitope scaffolds by backbone grafting of a linear epitope. *J Mol Biol* **415**, 175-192 (2012).
- 8. Julian, M.C. *et al.* Co-evolution of affinity and stability of grafted amyloid-motif domain antibodies. *Protein Eng Des Sel* **28**, 339-350 (2015).
- 9. Pluckthun, A. Designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPins): binding proteins for research, diagnostics, and therapy. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **55**, 489-511 (2015).
- Walker, S.N., Tennyson, R.L., Chapman, A.M., Kennan, A.J. & McNaughton, B.R. GLUE that sticks to HIV: a helix-grafted GLUE protein that selectively binds the HIV gp41 N-terminal helical region. *Chembiochem* 16, 219-222 (2015).
- 11. Mylne, J.S. *et al.* Albumins and their processing machinery are hijacked for cyclic peptides in sunflower. *Nat Chem Biol* **7**, 257-259 (2011).
- Lehrer, R.I., Cole, A.M. & Selsted, M.E. theta-Defensins: cyclic peptides with endless potential. *J Biol Chem* 287, 27014-27019 (2012).

- 13. Craik, D.J. Advances in Botanical Research: Plant Cyclotides. Vol. 76 (Academic Press, 2015).
- 14. Craik, D.J. Chemistry. Seamless proteins tie up their loose ends. *Science* **311**, 1563-1564 (2006).
- 15. Clark, R.J. *et al.* The engineering of an orally active conotoxin for the treatment of neuropathic pain. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **49**, 6545-6548 (2010).
- * Demonstration of orally delivered bioactivity (analgesia) of a cyclic disulfide-rich peptide
- 16. Akcan, M. *et al.* Chemical re-engineering of chlorotoxin improves bioconjugation properties for tumor imaging and targeted therapy. *J Med Chem* **54**, 782-787 (2011).
- 17. Colgrave, M.L. & Craik, D.J. Thermal, chemical, and enzymatic stability of the cyclotide kalata B1: the importance of the cyclic cystine knot. *Biochemistry* **43**, 5965-5975 (2004).
- Boy, R.G. *et al.* Sunflower trypsin inhibitor 1 derivatives as molecular scaffolds for the development of novel peptidic radiopharmaceuticals. *Mol Imaging Biol* 12, 377-385 (2010).
- Conibear, A.C. *et al.* The cyclic cystine ladder of theta-defensins as a stable, bifunctional scaffold: A proof-of-concept study using the integrin-binding RGD motif. *Chembiochem* 15, 451-459 (2014).
- 20. Colgrave, M.L., Korsinczky, M.J., Clark, R.J., Foley, F. & Craik, D.J. Sunflower trypsin inhibitor-1, proteolytic studies on a trypsin inhibitor peptide and its analogs. *Biopolymers* **94**, 665-672 (2010).
- 21. Conibear, A.C., Rosengren, K.J., Daly, N.L., Henriques, S.T. & Craik, D.J. The cyclic cystine ladder in theta-defensins is important for structure and stability, but not antibacterial activity. *J Biol Chem* **288**, 10830-10840 (2013).
- 22. Ojeda, P.G., Chan, L.Y., Poth, A.G., Wang, C.K. & Craik, D.J. The role of disulfide bonds in structure and activity of chlorotoxin. *Future Med Chem* **6**, 1617-1628 (2014).
- 23. Greenwood, K.P., Daly, N.L., Brown, D.L., Stow, J.L. & Craik, D.J. The cyclic cystine knot miniprotein MCoTI-II is internalized into cells by macropinocytosis. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **39**, 2252-2264 (2007).

- 24. Contreras, J., Elnagar, A.Y., Hamm-Alvarez, S.F. & Camarero, J.A. Cellular uptake of cyclotide MCoTI-I follows multiple endocytic pathways. *J Control Release* **155**, 134-143 (2011).
- 25. Cascales, L. *et al.* Identification and characterization of a new family of cellpenetrating peptides: cyclic cell-penetrating peptides. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 36932-36943 (2011).
- 26. Henriques, S.T. *et al.* The Prototypic Cyclotide Kalata B1 Has a Unique Mechanism of Entering Cells. *Chem Biol* **22**, 1087-1097 (2015).
- 27. D'Souza, C., Henriques, S.T., Wang, C.K. & Craik, D.J. Structural parameters modulating the cellular uptake of disulfide-rich cyclic cell-penetrating peptides: MCoTI-II and SFTI-1. *Eur J Med Chem* **88**, 10-18 (2014).
- 28. Wang, C.K. *et al.* Molecular grafting onto a stable framework yields novel cyclic peptides for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *ACS Chem Biol* **9**, 156-163 (2014).
- 29. Huang, Y.H. *et al.* Design of substrate-based BCR-ABL kinase inhibitors using the cyclotide scaffold. *Sci Rep* **5**, 12974 (2015).
- 30. Chan, L.Y. *et al.* Engineering pro-angiogenic peptides using stable, disulfide-rich cyclic scaffolds. *Blood* **118**, 6709-6717 (2011).
- 31. Aboye, T. *et al.* Design of a MCoTI-Based Cyclotide with Angiotensin (1-7)-Like Activity. *Molecules* **21**, 152 (2016).
- 32. Qiu, Y. *et al.* An Orally Active Bradykinin B1 Receptor Antagonist Engineered as a Bifunctional Chimera of Sunflower Trypsin Inhibitor. *J Med Chem* **60**, 504-510 (2017).
- Sable, R. *et al.* Constrained Cyclic Peptides as Immunomodulatory Inhibitors of the CD2:CD58 Protein-Protein Interaction. *ACS Chem Biol* 11, 2366-2374 (2016).
- 34. Eliasen, R. *et al.* Design, synthesis, structural and functional characterization of novel melanocortin agonists based on the cyclotide kalata B1. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 40493-40501 (2012).
- 35. Claveria-Gimeno, R., Vega, S., Abian, O. & Velazquez-Campoy, A. A look at ligand binding thermodynamics in drug discovery. *Expert Opin Drug Discov* **12**, 363-377 (2017).

- 36. Martin, S.F. & Clements, J.H. Correlating structure and energetics in protein-ligand interactions: paradigms and paradoxes. *Annu Rev Biochem* **82**, 267-293 (2013).
- 37. Ji, Y. *et al.* In vivo activation of the p53 tumor suppressor pathway by an engineered cyclotide. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**, 11623-11633 (2013).

* Describes a grafted cyclc peptide scaffold that penetrates calls and modulates an intracellular target.

- D'Souza, C. *et al.* Using the MCoTI-II Cyclotide Scaffold To Design a Stable Cyclic Peptide Antagonist of SET, a Protein Overexpressed in Human Cancer. *Biochemistry* 55, 396-405 (2016).
- Huang, Y.H., Chaousis, S., Cheneval, O., Craik, D.J. & Henriques, S.T. Optimization of the cyclotide framework to improve cell penetration properties. *Front Pharmacol* 6, 17 (2015).
- 40. Wong, C.T. *et al.* Orally active peptidic bradykinin B1 receptor antagonists engineered from a cyclotide scaffold for inflammatory pain treatment. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **51**, 5620-5624 (2012).

* Demonstrates a grafted peptide that has orally delivered bioactivity against inflammatory pain.

- 41. Thell, K. *et al.* Oral activity of a nature-derived cyclic peptide for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 3960-3965 (2016).
- 42. White, T.R. *et al.* On-resin N-methylation of cyclic peptides for discovery of orally bioavailable scaffolds. *Nat Chem Biol* **7**, 810-817 (2011).
- 43. Nielsen, D.S. *et al.* Improving on nature: making a cyclic heptapeptide orally bioavailable. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **53**, 12059-12063 (2014).
- 44. Wang, C.K. *et al.* Rational design and synthesis of an orally bioavailable peptide guided by NMR amide temperature coefficients. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 17504-17509 (2014).
- 45. Frost, J.R., Scully, C.C. & Yudin, A.K. Oxadiazole grafts in peptide macrocycles. *Nat Chem* **8**, 1105-1111 (2016).
- 46. Biron, E. *et al.* Improving oral bioavailability of peptides by multiple N-methylation: somatostatin analogues. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **47**, 2595-2599 (2008).

- 47. Harris, L.A. Constipation: Linaclotide--a stimulating new drug for chronic constipation. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* **7**, 365-366 (2010).
- Zhang, J., Yamaguchi, S. & Nagamune, T. Sortase A-mediated synthesis of ligandgrafted cyclized peptides for modulating a model protein-protein interaction. *Biotechnol J* 10, 1499-1505 (2015).
- 49. de Veer, S.J., Wang, C.K., Harris, J.M., Craik, D.J. & Swedberg, J.E. Improving the Selectivity of Engineered Protease Inhibitors: Optimizing the P2 Prime Residue Using a Versatile Cyclic Peptide Library. *J Med Chem* **58**, 8257-8268 (2015).
- 50. Quimbar, P. *et al.* High-affinity cyclic peptide matriptase inhibitors. *J Biol Chem* **288**, 13885-13896 (2013).
- 51. Swedberg, J.E. *et al.* Cyclic alpha-conotoxin peptidomimetic chimeras as potent GLP-1R agonists. *Eur J Med Chem* **103**, 175-184 (2015).
- 52. Gavenonis, J., Sheneman, B.A., Siegert, T.R., Eshelman, M.R. & Kritzer, J.A. Comprehensive analysis of loops at protein-protein interfaces for macrocycle design. *Nat Chem Biol* **10**, 716-722 (2014).
- 53. Bhardwaj, G. *et al.* Accurate de novo design of hyperstable constrained peptides. *Nature* **538**, 329-335 (2016).
- 54. Wang, C.K., Northfield, S.E., Huang, Y.H., Ramos, M.C. & Craik, D.J. Inhibition of tau aggregation using a naturally-occurring cyclic peptide scaffold. *Eur J Med Chem* **109**, 342-349 (2016).
- 55. Chan, L.Y., Craik, D.J. & Daly, N.L. Dual-targeting anti-angiogenic cyclic peptides as potential drug leads for cancer therapy. *Sci Rep* **6**, 35347 (2016).
- * An example of grafting two epitopes that target different pathways onto a single scaffold.
- 56. Gunasekera, S. *et al.* Engineering stabilized vascular endothelial growth factor-A antagonists: synthesis, structural characterization, and bioactivity of grafted analogues of cyclotides. *J Med Chem* **51**, 7697-7704 (2008).
- 57. Aboye, T.L. *et al.* Design of a novel cyclotide-based CXCR4 antagonist with antihuman immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 activity. *J Med Chem* **55**, 10729-10734 (2012).

- 58. Sommerhoff, C.P. *et al.* Engineered cystine knot miniproteins as potent inhibitors of human mast cell tryptase beta. *J Mol Biol* **395**, 167-175 (2010).
- 59. Zoller, F. *et al.* Combination of phage display and molecular grafting generates highly specific tumor-targeting miniproteins. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **51**, 13136-13139 (2012).
- 60. Glotzbach, B. *et al.* Combinatorial optimization of cystine-knot peptides towards high-affinity inhibitors of human matriptase-1. *PLoS One* **8**, e76956 (2013).
- 61. Getz, J.A., Rice, J.J. & Daugherty, P.S. Protease-resistant peptide ligands from a knottin scaffold library. *ACS Chem Biol* **6**, 837-844 (2011).
- 62. Getz, J.A., Cheneval, O., Craik, D.J. & Daugherty, P.S. Design of a cyclotide antagonist of neuropilin-1 and -2 that potently inhibits endothelial cell migration. *ACS Chem Biol* **8**, 1147-1154 (2013).
- 63. Kimura, R.H. *et al.* Pharmacokinetically stabilized cystine knot peptides that bind alpha-v-beta-6 integrin with single-digit nanomolar affinities for detection of pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**, 839-849 (2012).
- 64. Cobos Caceres, C. *et al.* An engineered cyclic peptide alleviates symptoms of inflammation in a murine model of inflammatory bowel disease. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 10288-10294 (2017).
- Li, K., Condurso, H.L., Li, G., Ding, Y. & Bruner, S.D. Structural basis for precursor protein-directed ribosomal peptide macrocyclization. *Nat Chem Biol* 12, 973-979 (2016).
- 66. Repka, L.M., Chekan, J.R., Nair, S.K. & van der Donk, W.A. Mechanistic understanding of lanthipeptide biosynthetic enzymes. *Chem Rev* **117**, 5457-5520 (2017).
- 67. Nguyen, G.K. *et al.* Butelase 1 is an Asx-specific ligase enabling peptide macrocyclization and synthesis. *Nat Chem Biol* **10**, 732-738 (2014).
- 68. Harris, K.S. *et al.* Efficient backbone cyclization of linear peptides by a recombinant asparaginyl endopeptidase. *Nat Commun* **6**, 10199 (2015).
- 69. Maric, H.M. *et al.* Gephyrin-binding peptides visualize postsynaptic sites and modulate neurotransmission. *Nat Chem Biol* **13**, 153-160 (2017).

- 70. Kintzing, J.R. & Cochran, J.R. Engineered knottin peptides as diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery vehicles. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **34**, 143-150 (2016).
- 71. Bonning, B.C. *et al.* Toxin delivery by the coat protein of an aphid-vectored plant virus provides plant resistance to aphids. *Nat Biotechnol* **32**, 102-105 (2014).
- 72. Pappas, C.G. *et al.* Dynamic peptide libraries for the discovery of supramolecular nanomaterials. *Nat Nanotechnol* **11**, 960-967 (2016).
- 73. Heinis, C., Rutherford, T., Freund, S. & Winter, G. Phage-encoded combinatorial chemical libraries based on bicyclic peptides. *Nat Chem Biol* **5**, 502-507 (2009).
- 74. Passioura, T., Katoh, T., Goto, Y. & Suga, H. Selection-based discovery of druglike macrocyclic peptides. *Annu Rev Biochem* **83**, 727-752 (2014).
- 75. Thongyoo, P., Roque-Rosell, N., Leatherbarrow, R.J. & Tate, E.W. Chemical and biomimetic total syntheses of natural and engineered MCoTI cyclotides. *Org Biomol Chem* **6**, 1462-1470 (2008).
- 76. Swedberg, J.E. *et al.* Substrate-guided design of a potent and selective kallikreinrelated peptidase inhibitor for kallikrein 4. *Chem Biol* **16**, 633-643 (2009).
- 77. Fittler, H., Avrutina, O., Empting, M. & Kolmar, H. Potent inhibitors of human matriptase-1 based on the scaffold of sunflower trypsin inhibitor. *J Pept Sci* **20**, 415-420 (2014).
- 78. Chan, L.Y., Craik, D.J. & Daly, N.L. Cyclic thrombospondin-1 mimetics: grafting of a thrombospondin sequence into circular disulfide-rich frameworks to inhibit endothelial cell migration. *Biosci Rep* **35**, e00270 (2015).
- Jendrny, C. & Beck-Sickinger, A.G. Inhibition of Kallikrein-Related Peptidases 7 and 5 by Grafting Serpin Reactive-Center Loop Sequences onto Sunflower Trypsin Inhibitor-1 (SFTI-1). *Chembiochem* 17, 719-726 (2016).
- 80. Conibear, A.C. *et al.* Approaches to the stabilization of bioactive epitopes by grafting and peptide cyclization. *Biopolymers* **106**, 89-100 (2016).
- Clark, R.J., Daly, N.L. & Craik, D.J. Structural plasticity of the cyclic-cystine-knot framework: implications for biological activity and drug design. *Biochem J* 394, 85-93 (2006).

- 82. Thongyoo, P., Bonomelli, C., Leatherbarrow, R.J. & Tate, E.W. Potent inhibitors of beta-tryptase and human leukocyte elastase based on the MCoTI-II scaffold. *J Med Chem* **52**, 6197-6200 (2009).
- Swedberg, J.E. *et al.* Substrate-Guided Design of Selective FXIIa Inhibitors Based on the Plant-Derived Momordica cochinchinensis Trypsin Inhibitor-II (MCoTI-II) Scaffold. *J Med Chem* 59, 7287-7292 (2016).
- 84. Swedberg, J.E., Li, C.Y., de Veer, S.J., Wang, C.K. & Craik, D.J. Design of Potent and Selective Cathepsin G Inhibitors Based on the Sunflower Trypsin Inhibitor-1 Scaffold. *J Med Chem* **60**, 658-667 (2017).
- 85. Maass, F. *et al.* Cystine-knot peptides targeting cancer-relevant human cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4). *J Pept Sci* **21**, 651-660 (2015).
- Jagadish, K. *et al.* Recombinant Expression and Phenotypic Screening of a Bioactive Cyclotide Against alpha-Synuclein-Induced Cytotoxicity in Baker's Yeast. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 54, 8390-8394 (2015).