



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Determinants of physical activity among patients with type 2 diabetes : the role of perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care competence

Koponen, Anne M.

2017

Koponen , A M , Simonsen , N & Suominen , S 2017 , ' Determinants of physical activity among patients with type 2 diabetes : the role of perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care competence ' , Psychology, Health & Medicine , vol. 22 , no. 3 , pp. 332-344 . https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2016.1154179

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/311953 https://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2016.1154179

unspecified acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

1	Determinants of physical activity among patients with type 2 diabetes: The
2	role of perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care
3	competence
4	Anne M. Koponen, Nina Simonsen, and Sakari Suominen
5	Anne M. Koponen, Ph.D., Adjunct Professor, Folkhälsan Research Center, and Department of
6	Public Health, University of Helsinki, Finland, e-mail: anne.m.koponen@helsinki.fi, Cell
7	phone +358 50 3234025.
8	Nina Simonsen, Ph.D, Folkhälsan Research Center, and Department of Public Health, Univer-
9	sity of Helsinki, Finland, e-mail: nina.simonsen-rehn@helsinki.fi, Cell phone +358
10	400948123
11	Sakari Suominen, Ph.D., M.D., Professor, University of Skövde, Sweden; Folkhälsan Re-
12	search Center, Helsinki, and Department of Public Health, University of Turku, Finland, e-
13	mail: <u>sakari.suominen@utu.fi</u> , Cell phone +358 400736961
14	Correspondence: Anne M. Koponen
15	Address: P.O. Box 211 (Topeliuksenkatu 20), 00250 Helsinki, Finland
16	Cell phone +358 50 3234025
17	e-mail: <u>anne.m.koponen@helsinki.fi</u>
18	

Determinants of physical activity among patients with type 2 diabetes: The
 role of perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care
 competence

22 Based on self-determination theory (SDT), this study investigated, whether the three central SDT variables (perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care compe-23 tence), were associated with engagement in physical activity (PA) among patients with type 2 24 25 diabetes when the effect of a wide variety of other important life-context factors (perceived health, medication, duration of diabetes, mental health, stress and social support) was con-26 27 trolled for. Patients from five municipalities in Finland with registry-based entitlement to a special reimbursement for medicines used in the treatment of type 2 diabetes (n=2866, mean 28 age 63 years, 56% men) participated in this mail survey in 2011. Of all measured explanatory 29 factors, autonomous motivation was most strongly associated with engagement in PA. Auton-30 31 omous motivation mediated the effect of perceived autonomy support on patients' PA. Thus, perceived autonomy support (from one's physician) was associated with the patient's PA 32 33 through autonomous motivation. This result is in line with SDT. Interventions for improved diabetes care should concentrate on supporting patients' autonomous motivation for PA. In-34 ternalizing the importance of good self-care seems to give sufficient energy to maintain a 35 physically active lifestyle. 36

37

7 Key words: Diabetes, physical activity, autonomy support, health care climate, motivation

39 Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is an important and increasing public health problem all over the world 40 (Guariguata et al., 2014; Whiting, Guariguata, Weil, & Shaw, 2011). Aging of the population, 41 unhealthy nutrition, lack of physical exercise and overweight, increase the incidence of this 42 chronic disease (Unwin, Whiting, & Roglic, 2010). The main target in diabetes care is to 43 maintain blood glucose levels in a healthy range. This is essential in order to avoid long-term 44 diabetes complications. Glycemic control is best achieved by good self-management that in-45 46 cludes healthy diet, regular physical exercise, weight loss, and pharmacologic therapy when needed (American Diabetes Association, 2011; 2014). Therefore, it is important to know how 47 health care professionals can support patients to engage in long-term health behavior change. 48 49

Self-determination theory (SDT) is a theoretical approach that has been increasingly used in studies aiming to understand how health care professionals can support patients to adopt and maintain a healthy lifestyle (Fortier, Duda, Guerin, & Teixeira, 2012; Ng et al., 2012; Teixeira, Carraça, Markland, Silva, & Ryan, 2012). SDT is a general theory of human motivation that emphasizes the extent to which behaviors are relatively autonomous (originating from the self) versus relatively controlled (pressured or coerced by intrapsychic or interpersonal forces), (Patrick & Williams, 2012.)

SDT explains the social psychological mechanism on how the behavior of health care personnel influences the patient's motivation for self-care, e.g. physical activity (PA). According to the SDT, people are oriented toward physical and psychological health, and also have psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Health care professionals can enhance patients' motivation for self-care by satisfying these basic needs (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Autonomy is supported by acknowledging patients' perspectives and emotions before recommendations, by offering choices and options and a rationale for a given advice, and mini-

mizing control and judgment. Competence is enhanced by supporting patients' belief for success, by skills building and problem solving, and by giving feedback in a non-judgmental
manner. Relatedness support includes providing an emphatic and warm interpersonal environment (Patrick & Williams, 2012).

Social environments that satisfy these basic needs are assumed to foster autonomous motiva-68 tion and self-care competence which further foster adaption and maintenance of a healthy 69 lifestyle (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Feelings of autonomy are supposed to give an experience that 70 the person him-/herself is initiating behaviors, and feelings of competence are supposed to 71 give experience of achieving the outcome (Williams, McGregor, Zeldman, Freedman, & 72 73 Deci, 2004). Thus, SDT suggests that people are likely to have long-term psychological energy for making and maintaining a healthy change when they perceive themselves to be the ini-74 75 tiator of their behavior and they perceive mastering the skills necessary to make and maintain the change. Motivation and energy for change is stronger than in the situations where people 76 77 feel that they are pressured to change by another person, e.g. a doctor or a family member, or by guilt about not carrying out self-care activities as advised (Williams, McGregor, Zeldman, 78 Freedman, & Deci, 2004). 79

80 There is growing evidence that patients' motivation for effective self-management may be enhanced by an autonomy supportive health care climate (Fortier et al., 2012; Ng et al., 2012). 81 82 Studies, based on SDT, have shown that an autonomy supportive health care climate is asso-83 ciated with patients' self-management behavior regarding medical adherence (Williams, Freedman, & Deci, 1998; Williams et al., 2009) and PA (Fortier, Sweet, O'Sullivan, & Wil-84 liams, 2007). Exercise intervention trials among patients with type 2 diabetes have demon-85 86 strated the importance of autonomous or self-determined motivation for PA: Sweet et al. 87 (2009) showed that autonomous motivation mediated the relationship between barrier selfefficacy (confidence in ability to overcome barriers) and PA, and Fortier et al. (2011) found 88

that self-determined exercise motivation significantly increased as patients progressed through
the stages of exercise change from pre-action to maintenance. However, further evidence to
support predictions of SDT in the context of diabetes care is needed.

Besides an autonomy supportive health care climate, autonomous motivation and self-care 92 competence, also patients' larger life-context may affect their ability and motivation for good 93 self-care, and should be taken into account in studies. Poor physical health and stressful life 94 situations may hinder PA. Depression, which is common among patients with diabetes (Ali, 95 Stone, Peters, Davies, & Khunti, 2006; Pirkola et al., 2005), has been shown to be associated 96 with poor self-management of diabetes including physical exercise (Dirmaier et al., 2010; 97 Egede & Ellis, 2010; Gonzalez et al., 2007; 2008a;b). On the other hand, a strong sense of 98 coherence and autonomy supportive significant others may enhance patients' ability to cope 99 with diabetes (Antonovsky, 1987; Williams et al., 1998). 100

This study adds to previous research by examining whether the three central SDT variables (perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care competence) are associated with engagement in PA among patients with type 2 diabetes when the effect of a wide variety of other important life-context factors (perceived health, medication, duration of diabetes, mental health, stress and social support) are controlled for. In addition, a possible mediating role of autonomous motivation and self-care competence between perceived autonomy support and PA is investigated.

We hypothesize that 1) perceived autonomy support (from one's physician), autonomous motivation and self-care competence are positively associated with patients' engagement in PA even after the effect of the other important life-context factors is controlled for, and 2) the effect of perceived autonomy support on PA is mediated by autonomous motivation and selfcare competence.

113 Methods

114 Data collection

- 115 The sample of the study was collected in 2011 from the register of the Social Insurance Insti-
- tution of Finland (SII). SII is a Finnish government agency (funded directly from taxation) in
- 117 charge of settling benefits under national social security programs. SII keeps the register of
- those persons who have entitlement to a special reimbursement for medicines because of
- 119 chronic diseases such as diabetes. The sample to the present study was collected among per-
- sons who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria:
- a) had entitlement to a special reimbursement for medicines used in the treatment of type 2
 diabetes (ICD-10 code, E11) in 2000-2010, and the right was valid in September 2011 and
 onward,
- b) born in 1936-1991 (20-75 years), alive and had no safety prohibition at the time of thedata collection,
- 126 c) Finnish as native language,
- 127 d) one of the five study municipalities as place of residence.
- 128

A total of 7 575 persons fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Based on power-analysis, a sample of 5167 persons was collected: 2000 persons from the two large municipalities and all persons from the three small municipalities. There were 2 962 (57%) men and 2205 women (43%) in the sample, corresponding the rate of sex in the total population of patients with type 2 diabetes in the study municipalities.

The questionnaire was tested by a pilot study (n=50) in May 2011, and after some revisions the questionnaire was mailed to respondents in September 2011. A reminder to nonrespondents was sent out in October, and another reminder with a new copy of the questionnaire was sent out in November. The final response rate was 56% (range 54-59%, n=2866).
Women responded slightly more often (57%) than men (54%). The response rate was highest
(63%) in the oldest age group (65-75 years), lower (55%) in the age group of 55-64 years, and
lowest (36%) in the age group of 20-54 years.

141 *Ethical issues*

The research plan was accepted by the Ethical Committee of the Hjelt Institute, University of 142 Helsinki, and the permission to conduct the study was received from the SII. The sample was 143 collected by the contact person who worked at the SII, and the questionnaires were posted 144 from there. Respondents returned filled questionnaires, provided only by an identification 145 number, directly to the researchers by mail. An identification number was needed in order to 146 check for nonresponse. Identity of respondents was not revealed to the researchers at any 147 stage of the sample or data collection, nor was the content of the questionnaires revealed to 148 anybody else except the researchers. 149

150 *Respondents*

The mean age of respondents was 63 years (standard deviation (SD) 8 years, range 27-75 years), and 56% of them were men. Over half (56%) of the respondents were retired because of old age, 60% were married, and 59% had less than higher professional education. The majority (83%) of the respondents had a municipal primary care health center as their primary care place in diabetes care, and 74% used tablets only for diabetes therapy. (Table 1.)

156

Insert Table 1 here.

157 *Measures*

Measures used in the study are presented in Table 2. Averaged sum scales for perceived au-158 159 tonomy support, autonomous motivation, self-care competence, energy, emotional well-being, sense of coherence, life stress and social support in diabetes were calculated. The respondent 160 was included in the analysis, if she/he had answered at least to 70% of the scale items. The 161 PA sum scale was calculated by summing standardized z-scores of four variables differing in 162 scale length (Metsämuuronen, 2003). A higher z score indicates more PA. Occupational PA 163 164 was included into the PA sum scale because PA at work may have effect on spare time activity, e.g. physically strenuous work may decrease physical exercise in spare time. 165

166

Insert Table 2 here.

167 *Statistical procedures*

Descriptive statistics were estimated and the baseline associations between independent vari-168 169 ables, covariates and dependent variables were tested with Pearson chi²-tests, t-tests or one-170 way analysis of variance depending on the measurement scale of the variable of interest. In the final analyses, multivariate linear regression analysis was used. The correlations between 171 study variables were explored before the analyses by Pearson correlations. The variables to 172 the regression models were chosen on theoretical and statistical basis. Independent variables 173 that correlated strongly with each other, such as variables measuring mental health or positive 174 175 personality orientation (energy, emotional well-being, diagnosed depression, sense of coherence), were omitted from the regression analyses. Only the variable that correlated most 176 177 strongly with the dependent variable was chosen to the models.

178

In the mediation analyses between perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation, selfcare competence and PA, the instructions reported by Baron & Kenny (1986) were followed.
First, the mediator was regressed on the independent variable. Second, the dependent variable
was regressed on the independent variable. Third, the dependent variable was regressed on

both the independent variable and on the mediator. A mediation exists if the predicted associations hold on each step of the analysis and if the effect of the independent variable on the
dependent variable is less in the third step than in the second step. The mediation is perfect, if
the independent variable has no effect when the mediator is controlled. Statistical significance of the mediation was calculated by the Sobel test (Preacher & Leonardelli, 2003).
Statistical analyses were performed using complex samples –procedure, which allows the use

of weight coefficients in order to correct bias caused by the different sample collection method in the small (all patients with type 2 diabetes) and big municipalities (a sample). SPSS ver-

sion 22 was used.

192 **Results**

Almost all (90%) of the respondents reported that they had been advised to exercise regularly.
A total of 36% had performed physical exercise at least on five days during the last week, and
a total of 27% exercised, on average, four or more times a week at least 30 minutes on each
occasion to the extent that they at least slightly lost their breath and perspired.

The four variables measuring mental health or positive personality orientation correlated strongly with each other, that is, energy correlated with emotional well-being (0.78, p<.001), sense of coherence (0.58, p<.001) and depression (-0.38, p<.001). Of these four variables, energy correlated most strongly with PA (0.26, p<.001). Pearson correlations between emotional well-being, sense of coherence, diagnosed depression and PA were 0.15 (p<.001), 0.14 (p<.001) and -0.10 (p<.001), respectively. Therefore, energy was included as an independent variable to the multivariate linear regression analysis.

The three variables measuring physical health correlated with each other, that is, perceived health correlated with the number of chronic diseases (0.29, p<.001) and diabetes complications (0.23, p<.001). Of these three variables, perceived health correlated most strongly with

PA (-0.24, p<.001). Correlations between the number of chronic diseases and diabetes complications with PA were -0.13 (p<.001) and -0.10 (p<.001), respectively. Therefore, perceived health was included as an independent variable to the multivariate linear regression analysis.

Table 3 shows that autonomous motivation correlated strongly with PA (0.36), but perceived autonomy support, and self-care competence only moderately (0.09 and 0.14 respectively). In addition, energy and perceived health correlated quite strongly with PA (0.26 and -0.24 respectively).

214

Insert table 3 here

215 Table 4 shows that autonomous motivation was strongly associated with PA even after the 216 effect of other important life-context factors was controlled for, but the other SDT variables (perceived autonomy support and self-care competence) were not. Energy, good perceived 217 health and using tablets only as diabetes medication were positively, and higher age negative-218 219 ly associated with PA. The associations between independent variables and the dependent variable were similar, but somewhat weaker, when PA across the 7 days prior to completion 220 221 of the questionnaire was used as the dependent variable (data not shown). When only pensioners were included into the analysis, and the item of occupational PA omitted, the results 222 were similar to those in the whole data (data not shown). 223

224

Table 5 shows that the association between autonomous motivation and PA did not diminish after the effect of self-care competence was controlled for. Thus, self-care competence did not mediate the effect of autonomous motivation on PA. Perceived autonomy support was associated with autonomous motivation. Perceived autonomy support was associated also with PA but this association disappeared after the effect of autonomous motivation was controlled for which indicates perfect mediation: perceived autonomy support was associated with PAthrough autonomous motivation.

232

Insert tables 4 and 5 here

233

234 **Discussion**

This study investigated associations between the three central SDT variables (perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation and self-care competence), other important lifecontext factors, and PA among patients with type 2 diabetes. Finding determinants of PA is an important research question because the results of the study showed, in line with the previous studies by Broadbent, Donkin, & Stroh (2011) and Lin et al. (2004) that only a minority of the respondents performed PA according to the general recommendations given to patients with type 2 diabetes.

242

243 Of all measured explanatory factors, autonomous motivation was most strongly associated with engagement in PA. Other life-context factors also played a role. Younger patients and 244 245 those with oral medication were physically more active than older patients and those with insulin therapy. In addition, energy and good perceived health were positively associated with 246 247 PA. Previous studies have shown negative association between depression and PA (Dirmaier 248 et al., 2010; Egede & Ellis, 2010; Gonzalez et al., 2007; 2008a;b). However, in this study energy was a stronger predictor of PA than diagnosed depression. This result is in line with the 249 study by Gonzalez et al. (2007) which showed that continuous depressive symptom severity 250 251 scores were better predictors of non-adherence to exercise than categorically defined probable major depression. 252

253

Associations between independent variables and the dependent variable were stronger when we used the sum scale of average PA as a dependent variable instead of the measure of PA during one week before survey (Toobert, Hampson, & Glasgow, 2000). Average PA may give a more reliable picture of self-management than PA during one week.

258

259 Contrary to our predictions, perceived autonomy support and self-care competence were not 260 associated with PA after controlling for the effect of other explanatory variables. However, perceived autonomy support was associated with autonomous motivation which mediated the 261 effect of perceived autonomy support on PA. Thus, autonomy support was associated with 262 263 patients' PA through autonomous motivation. This result is in line with the previous studies which emphasize the importance of autonomous motivation for health-related behaviors (Ng 264 et al., 2012) and PA, specifically (Fortier et al, 2007; Teixeira et al., 2012). Self-care compe-265 266 tence did not mediate the effect of autonomous motivation on PA. The effect of autonomous motivation on PA was direct. The results of this study support the idea of SDT that internali-267 268 zation of the value of good health behavior is necessary for engagement in physically active lifestyle. Health care practitioners can promote patients' PA by supporting their autonomous 269 motivation. 270

271

272 Strengths and limitations of the study

273 We found the basic information (diagnosis age, duration of diabetes, medication, HbA1c-

values, BMI), reported by the patients in this study, highly reliable when compared with regis-

ter data from the whole country (Valle at al., 2010) and with the electronic medical records

276 from the municipal primary-care health centers in the study municipalities (Koponen et al.,

277 2013a; 2013b). Another strength of our study was that in the analyses we were able to control

the effect of many important confounding factors.

279

280 One limitation of the study was that the cross-sectional nature of the data does not allow for directional or causal inferences. However, 84% of the respondents had been over two years in 281 care in their current and principal primary care health center, and a total of 75% had a family 282 doctor or a "regular" doctor. Thus, it is highly likely that care provided in the health center 283 had influenced patients' motivation for self-care. Strengths and limitations of the study are 284 discussed further in Koponen et al. (2015). In future, longitudinal intervention studies are 285 needed. 286 287 Conclusion 288 The results of this study emphasize the importance of autonomous motivation for adoption of 289 a physically active lifestyle. Of all measured life-context factors, autonomous motivation was 290 291 most strongly associated with PA among patients with type 2 diabetes. Health care practitioners can promote patients' PA by supporting their autonomous motivation and general well-292 293 being. 294

296 References:

- Ali, S., Stone, M. A, Peters, J. L., Davies, M. J., & Khunti, K. (2006). The prevalence of co-morbid depression in
- adults with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetic Medicine*, 23, 1165-1173.
- 299 doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01943.x
- 300 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes 2011. (2011). *Diabetes Care 34* (Suppl.
- **301** 1), 4-61. doi: 10.2337/dc11-S004.
- 302 American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2014. (2014). Diabetes Care 37(Suppl.
- **303** 1), 14-80. doi: 10.2337/dc14-S014.
- 304 Antonovsky, A. (1987). Unraveling the mystery of health. How people manage stress and stay well. San Francis-
- 305 co: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- 306 Autonomous regulation scale. *Treatment self-regulation questionnaire (TSRQ)*. Retrieved from:
- 307 <u>http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org</u>
- 308 Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological re-
- search: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 51,
- 310 1173-1182. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173
- 311 Brandt, P. A., & Weinert, C. (1981). The PRQ- A social support measure. *Nursing Research 30*, 277-280.
- 312 Broadbent, E., Donkin, L., & Stroh, J. C. (2011). Illness and treatment perceptions are associated with adherence
- to medications, diet, and exercise in diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*, 34, 338-340. doi: 10.2337/dc10-1779
- 314 Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York:
- 315 Plenum.
- 316 Dirmaier, J., Watzke, B., Koch, U., Schulz, H., Lehnert, H., Pieper, L., & Wittchen, H-U. (2010). Diabetes in
- 317 primary care: Prospective associations between depression, nonadherence and glycemic control. *Psychotherapy*
- 318 and Psychosomatics, 79, 172-178. doi:10.1159/000296135
- 319 Donald, M., Dower, J., Ware, R., Mukandi, B., Parekh, S., & Bain, C. (2012). Living with diabetes: rationale,
- 320 study design and baseline characteristics for an Australian prospective cohort study. BMC Public Health, 12,
- **321** doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-8
- 322 Egede, L. E. & Ellis, C. (2010). Diabetes and depression: Global perspectives. *Diabetes Research and Clinical*
- 323 Practice, 87, 302-312. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2010.01.024
- 324 Finnish Diabetes Association. Retrieved from: http://www.diabetes.fi/

- 325 Fortier, M. S., Duda, J. L., Guerin, E., & Teixeira, P. J. (2012). Promoting physical activity: development and
- 326 testing of self-determination theory-based interventions. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Phys-
- 327 *ical Activity*, 9(20), 1-14. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-9-20
- 328 Fortier, M. S., Sweet, S. N., O'Sullivan, T. L., & Williams, G. C. (2007). A self-determination process model of
- 329 physical activity adoption in the context of a randomized controlled trial. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 8,
- **330** 741-757. doi:10.1016/j.psychsport.2006.10.006
- 331 Fortier, M. S., Sweet, S. N., Tulloch, H., Blanchard, C. M., Sigal, R. J., Kenny, G. P., & Reid, R. D. (2011). Self-
- determination and exercise stages of change: Results from the diabetes aerobic and resistance exercise trial.
- 333 Journal of Health Psychology, 17, 87-99. doi: 10.1177/1359105311408948
- 334 Gonzalez, J. S., Safren S. A., Cagliero, E., Wexler, D. J., Delahanty, L., Wittenberg, E., ... Grant, R. W. (2007).
- 335 Depression, self-care, and medication adherence in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 30, 2222-2227. doi:
- **336** 10.2337/dc07-0158
- 337 Gonzalez, J. S., Peyrot, M., McCarl, L. A., Collins, E. M., Serpa, L., Mimiaga, M. J., & Safren, S. A. (2008a).
- 338 Depression and diabetes treatment nonadherence: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*, 31, 2398-2403. doi:
- **339** 10.2337/dc08-1341
- 340 Gonzalez, J. S., Safren S. A., Delahanty, L. M., Cagliero, E., Wexler, D. J., Meigs, J. B., & Grant, R. W.
- 341 (2008b). Symptoms of depression prospectively predict poorer self-care in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabet-*
- 342 *ic Medicine*, 25, 1102-1107. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02535.x
- 343 Goodenow, C., Reisine, S. T., & Grady, K. E. (1990). Quality of social support and associated social and psy-
- 344 chological functioning in women with rheumatoid arthritis. *Health Psychology*, 9, 266-284.
- 345 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.9.3.266
- 346 Guariguata, L., Whiting, D. R., Hambleton, I., Beagley, J., Linnenkamp, U., & Shaw, J. E. (2014). Global esti-
- 347 mates of diabetes prevalence for 2013 and projections for 2035. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 103,
- 348 137-149. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2013.11.002
- 349 Hays, R. D., Sherbourne, C. D., & Mazel, R. M. (1993). The RAND-36-Item Health Survey 1.0. Health Eco-
- 350 *nomics*, 2, 217-227. doi: 10.1002/hec.4730020305
- Health Behavior and Health Among the Finnish Adult Population, Spring 2012 (HBHAF-questionnaire). N.D.
- 352 <u>http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-245-931-2</u>
- 353 Health care climate questionnaire (HCCQ). Retrieved from: http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org

- Lin, E. H. B., Katon, W., Von Korff, M., Rutter, C., Simon, G. E., Oliver, M., ... Young, B. (2004). Relationship
- of depression and diabetes self-care, medication adherence, and preventive care. *Diabetes Care*, 27, 2154-2160.
- **356** doi: 10.2337/diacare.27.9.2154
- 357 Metsämuuronen, J. (2003). *Tutkimuksen tekemisen perusteet ihmistieteissä* [Research methods in human scienc-
- 358 es]. Jyväskylä: Gummerus.
- 359 Ng, J. Y. Y., Ntoumanis, N., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., Deci, E. L., Ryan, R. M., Duda, J. L., & Williams, G. C.
- 360 (2012). Self-determination theory applied to health contexts: a meta-analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological*
- **361** *Science* 7, 325-340. doi: 10.1177/1745691612447309
- 362 Norbeck, J. S., Lindsey, A. M., & Carrieri, V. L. (1981). The development of an instrument to measure social
- 363 support. Nursing Research, 30, 264-269.
- 364 Norbeck, J. S., Lindsey, A.M., & Carrieri, V. L. (1983). Further development of the Norbeck Social Support
- 365 Questionnaire: Normative data and validity testing. *Nursing Research*, 32, 4-9.
- 366 Patrick, H., & Williams, G. C. (2012). Self-determination theory: its application to health behavior and comple-
- 367 mentarity with motivational interviewing. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity,
- **368** *9*(18), 1-12. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-9-18
- 369 Perceived competence for diabetes scale (PCS). Retrieved from: http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org
- 370 Pirkola, S. P., Isometsä, E., Suvisaari, J., Aro, H., Joukamaa, M., Poikolainen, K., ... Lönnqvist, J. K. (2005).
- 371 DSM-IV mood-, anxiety- and alcohol use disorders and their comorbidity in the Finnish general population.
- **372** Results from the Health 2000 study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 40, 1-10.
- doi:10.1007/s00127-005-0848-7
- Preacher, K. J., & Leonardelli, G. J. (2003). *Calculation for the Sobel test. An interactive calculation tool for mediation tests.* Retrieved from: http://quantpsy.org/sobel/sobel.htm.
- 376 Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social
- development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55, 68-78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68
- 378 Stewart, M. J., & Tilden, V. P. (1995). The contributions of nursing science to social support. International
- 379 Journal of Nursing Studies, 32, 535-544. doi:10.1016/0020-7489(95)00014-9
- 380 Sweet, S. N., Fortier, M. S., Guérin, E., Tulloch, H., Sigal, R. J., Kenny, G. P., & Reid, R. D. (2009). Under-
- 381 standing physical activity in adults with type 2 diabetes after completing an exercise intervention trial: A media-
- tion model of self-efficacy and autonomous motivation. *Psychology, Health & Medicine, 14*, 419-429.
- 383 doi:10.1080/13548500903111806

- 384 Teixeira, P. J., Carraça, E. V., Markland, D., Silva, M. N., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Exercise, physical activity, and
- 385 self-determination theory: A systematic review. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical
- **386** *Activity*, 9(78), 1-30. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-9-78
- 387 Toljamo, M. (1999). Self-care among adults with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus (doctoral dissertation). Uni-
- 388 versity of Oulu, Finland. Retrieved from: <u>http://herkules.oulu.fi/isbn9514251180/isbn9514251180.pdf</u>
- 389 Toobert, D. J., Hampson, S. E., & Glasgow, R. E. (2000). The summary of diabetes self-care activities measure.
- Results from 7 studies and a revised scale. *Diabetes Care, 23*, 943-950. doi: 10.2337/diacare.23.7.943
- 391 Unwin, N., Whiting, D., & Roglic, G. (2010). Social determinants of diabetes and challenges of prevention. The
- 392 Lancet, 375, 2204-2205. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60840-9
- 393 Valle, T., & the working group (2010). Diabeetikkojen hoitotasapaino Suomessa vuosina 2009-2010 [Glycemic
- 394 control among patients with diabetes in Finland 2009-2010]. DEHKO-raportti, 5. Diabetesliitto. Retrieved from:
- 395 http://www.diabetes.fi/files/1488/DEHKO-
- 396 raportti_2010_5_Diabeetikkojen_hoitotasapaino_Suomessa_vuosina_2009-2010.pdf
- 397 Weinert, C. (1987). A Social support measure: PRQ85. Nursing Research, 36, 273-277.
- 398 Whiting, D. R., Guariguata, L., Weil, C., & Shaw, J. (2011). IDF Diabetes Atlas: global estimates of the preva-
- lence of diabetes for 2011 and 2030. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 94, 311-321.
- 400 doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2011.10.029
- 401 Williams, G. C., Freedman, Z. R., & Deci, E. L. (1998). Supporting autonomy to motivate patients with diabetes
- 402 for glucose control. *Diabetes Care*, 21, 1644-1651. doi: 10.2337/diacare.21.10.1644
- 403 Williams, G.C., McGregor, H. A., Zeldman, A., Freedman, Z. R., & Deci, E. L. (2004). Testing a self-
- 404 determination theory process model for promoting glycemic control through diabetes self-management. *Health*
- 405 *Psychology*, 23, 58-66. doi:10.1037/0278-6133.23.1.58
- 406 Williams, G. C., Patrick, H., Niemiec, C. P., Williams, L. K., Divine, G., Lafata, J. E., ... Pladevall, M. (2009).
- 407 Reducing the health risks of diabetes. How self-determination theory may help improve medication adherence
- 408 and quality of life. The Diabetes Educator, 35, 484-492. doi: 10.1177/0145721709333856
- 409

411 Table 1. Sociodemographic background factors of respondents (corrected by rescaled sam-

412 pling weight)

	N (estimate)	%
Sex		
Man	1590	55.7
Woman	1266	44.3
Total	2856	100
Age		
27-54 years	353	12.6
55-64 years	1057	37.7
65-75 years	1396	49.7
Total	2806	100
Marital status		
Single	278	9.8
Married	1688	59.5
Cohabiting	190	6.7
Divorced	428	15.1
Widowed	253	8.9
Total	2837	100
Professional education		
Upper secondary education (vocational school) or less	1636	58.8
Higher education (college, polytechnic, university)	1148	41.2
Total	2784	100
Principal activity		
Working	674	24,0
Retired because of chronic illness	383	13.6
Retired because of old age	1567	55.9
Other	181	6.5
Total	2805	100
Diabetes medication		
Tablets	2052	74.3
Insulin	142	5.1
Tablets + insulin	500	18.1
Other	67	2.4
Total	2761	100
Service provider		
Municipal	2236	82.8
Private	464	17.2
Total	2700	100

413

415 Table 2. Measures used in the study

Perceived autonomy support (from one's	The short 6-item form of health care climate questionnaire
physician)	(HCCQ, n.d.), (range 1=fully disagree, 5=fully agree, Cronbach's
physician)	alpha reliability $r=0.95$). Example item: I feel that my physician
	has provided me choices and options.
	(http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org/)
A	Autonomous regulation (motivation) scale B. Five items from the
Autonomous motivation	
	treatment self-regulation questionnaire (TSRQ, n.d.), (range 1=not
	at all true, 7=very true, <i>r</i> =0.83). Example item: The reason I fol-
	low my diet and exercise regularly is that I personally believe that
	these are important in remaining healthy.
0.10	(http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org/)
Self-care competence	The 4-item perceived competence for diabetes scale (PCS, n.d.),
	(range 1=fully disagree, 5=fully agree, $r = 0.93$). Example item: I
	feel confident in my ability to manage my diabetes.
_	(http://www.selfdeterminationtheory.org/)
Energy	The 4-item scale measuring energy during the last four weeks
	from the RAND-36-Item Survey, 1.0 (range 0-100%, <i>r</i> =0.85).
	Example item: How much of the time during the past 4 weeks did
	you have a lot of energy? (Hays, Sherbourne, & Mazel, 1993.)
Emotional well-being	The 5-item RAND-36 scale measuring emotional well-being dur-
	ing the last four weeks (range 0-100%, <i>r</i> =0.84). Example item:
	How much of the time during the past 4 weeks have you felt so
	down in the dumps that nothing could cheer you up? (Hays et al.,
	1993.)
Sense of coherence	The short 13-item scale (range 1=weak, 7=strong, <i>r</i> =.80, five
	items reversed). Example item: Do you have feeling that you
	don't really care about what goes on around you? (1=very often,
	7=very seldom or never), (Antonovsky, 1987.)
Depression	Diagnosed depression (1=no, 2=yes).
Life stress	Experienced stress during the last year (12 months) in the 10 life
	areas e.g. own health and economic situation (range 1=not at all,
	4=very much). Based on the Living with Diabetes Study. School
	of Population Health. University of Queensland. (Donald et al.,
	2012).
Social support in diabetes	A 12-item scale measuring support and help received from
	friends, relatives and health care personnel (range 1=fully disa-
	gree, 5=fully agree, r =.75). Example item: When I feel bored,
	depressed or desparate, my friends and family are ready to listen
	to me. (Toljamo, 1999). The scale is based on social support
	scales by Brandt & Weinert (1981), Goodenow, Reisine, & Grady
	(1990), Norbeck, Lindsay, & Carrieri (1981; 1983), Stewart
	&Tilden (1995) and Weinert (1987).
Perceived health	A single-item scale, range 1=very good, 5=poor.
Complications	At least one of the twelve diabetes related complications (e.g.
1	kidney disease or neuropathy) mentioned, 1=yes, 2=no. The list of
	the complications was based on the Living with Diabetes Study.
	School of Population Health. University of Queensland (Donald et
	al., 2012) and Finnish Diabetes Association (n.d.)
	(http://www.diabetes.fi)
Occupational physical activity (included	How physically strenuous do you consider your work to be on
in the PA sum scale)	average? range 1=not working, 5=physically strenuous work e.g.
m die 171 Suin Seule)	including lifting or carrying heavy objects. (Health Behavior and
	Health Among the Finnish Adult Population, Spring 2012
	(HBHAF-questionnaire) <u>http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-245-</u>
Commentaria to a training	<u>931-2</u>
Commuting physical activity	How many minutes, during a typical day, do you spend walking or
(included in the PA sum scale)	cycling to work and other places? range 1=not at all, 5=60

	minutes or more. (HBHAF-questionnaire)
	http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-245-931-2
Leisure time physical activity	How much do you exercise and engage in vigorous-intensity ac-
(included in the PA sum scale)	tivities during spare time? range 1=low-intensity activities only,
	4=regular vigorous-intensity activity heading to competition.
	(HBHAF-questionnaire) http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-245-
	<u>931-2</u>
Intensity of physical activity	How often do you exercise physically in your spare time for at
(included in the PA sum scale)	least 30 minutes to the extent that you at least slightly lose your
	breath and perspire?, range 1=I cannot perform exercise due to
	illness or handicap, 7=daily. (HBHAF-questionnaire)
	http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:978-952-245-931-2
Physical activity during the last week	On how many of the last seven days did you participate in at least
	30 minutes of physical activity? (Toobert, Hampson, & Glasgow,
	2000).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. Perceived autonomy support												
2. Autonomous motivation	.24***											
3. Self-care competence	.31***	.40***										
4. Sex (1=man, 2=woman)	08***	.11***	02									
5. Age	.03	.11***	.12***	.03								
6. Education (1=low, 2=high)	.00	03	03	02	09***							
7. Diabetes medication 1=tablets only, 2=other	03	03	03	06**	12***	01						
8. Duration of diabetes	02	03	01	02	.19***	02	.17***					
9.Perceived health (1=good, 2=poor)	22***	19***	25***	.03	.06**	11***	.11***	.09***				
10. Energy	.26***	.26***	.36***	09***	.12***	.01	12***	04	47***			
11. Stress	17**	08***	26***	.23***	35***	.06*	.09***	02	.23***	49***		
12. Social support	.41**	.34***	.33***	.02	.08***	05*	04*	06*	22***	.37***	28***	
13. Physical activity	.09***	.36***	.14***	.02	13***	.03	08***	07***	24***	.26***	02	.12***

Table 3. Correlations matrix between study variables

419

p<.01 *p<.001

Table 4. Multivariate linear regression models on the association of perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation, self-care competence and other important life-context factors with physical activity. (Corrected with rescaled sampling weight)

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Perceived autonomy	.01 ns.	.01 ns.	05 ns.	05 ns.
support	(0708)	(0708)	(1203)	(1404)
Autonomous motivation	.71***	.75***	.70***	.71***
	(.6478)	(.6882)	(.6378)	(.6279)
Self-care competence	03 ns.	.02 ns.	07 ns.	14 ns.
I.	(1408)	(0914)	(1905)	(2700)
Sex		08 ns.	09 ns.	10 ns.
(1=man, 2=woman)		(2408)	(2607)	(2809)
Age		05***	05***	05***
		(0704)	(0604)	(0704)
Professional education		.10 ns.	02 ns.	08 ns.
(1=low 2=high)		(0626)	(1815)	(2510)
Duration of diabetes			.00 ns.	.00 ns.
			(0102)	(0102)
Medication			38***	36**
(1=tablets only, 2=other)			(5818)	(5715)
Perceived health			80***	46***
(1=good, 2=poor)			(9763)	(6627)
Energy				.02***
				(.0103)
Stress				.21 ns.
				(0245)
Social support				18 ns.
				(3601)
R Square	.13	.16	.18	.21
n	2428	2333	2189	1960

ns. p>.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 Table 5. Mediation analyses between perceived autonomy support, autonomous motivation, self-care competence and physical activity, linear regression model. (Corrected by rescaled sampling weight)

	Estimate (95% CI)	n
1. Autonomous motivation x self- care competence	.27*** (.2529)	2714
2. Autonomous motivation x phys- ical activity	.70*** (.6476)	2541
3. Autonomous motivation x phys- ical activity	. 70 *** (.6376)	2507
Autonomous mo- tivation x compe- tence	01 ns. (1209)	
Sobel test: z=-0.12, SE=0.02, p=0.91		

1. Perceived au- tonomy support x autonomous moti- vation	Estimate (95% CI) .27*** (.2330)	n 2648
2. Perceived au- tonomy support x physical activity	.19*** (.1227)	2493
3. Perceived au- tonomy support x physical activity	00 ns. (0707)	2455
Perceived auton- omy support x autonomous moti- vation	.71*** (.6477)	
Sobel test: z=10.41, SE=0.02, p=0.00		

The bold values indicate mediation which exists if the predicted associations hold on each step of the analysis and if the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable is less in the third step than in the second step.

1=the mediator regressed on the independent variable

2=the dependent variable regressed on the independent variable

3=the dependent variable regressed on both the independent variable and on the mediator.

ns. p>.05 *** p<.001