# ENGINEERING JOURNAL

Article

## Determination of Compressive Strength of Concrete by Statistical Learning Algorithms

### Pijush Samui

Centre for Disaster Mitigation and Management, VIT University, Vellore, India E-mail: pijush.phd@gmail.com

**Abstract.** This article adopts three statistical learning algorithms: support vector machine (SVM), lease square support vector machine (LSSVM), and relevance vector machine (RVM), for predicting compressive strength ( $f_c$ ) of concrete. Fly ash replacement ratio (FA), silica fume replacement ratio (SF), total cementitious material (TCM), fine aggregate (ssa), coarse aggregate (ca), water content (W), high rate water reducing agent (HRWRA), and age of samples (AS) are used as input parameters of SVM, LSSVM and RVM. The output of SVM, LSSVM and RVM is  $f_c$ . This article gives equations for prediction of  $f_c$  of concrete. A comparative study has been carried out between the developed SVM, LSSVM, RVM and Artificial Neural Network (ANN). This article shows that the developed SVM, LSSVM and RVM models are practical tools for the prediction of  $f_c$  of concrete.

**Keywords:** Support vector machine, least square support vector machine, relevance vector machine, compressive strength, concrete.

ENGINEERING JOURNAL Volume 17 Issue 1 Received 18 July 2012 Accepted 16 September 2012 Published 1 January 2013 Online at http://www.engj.org/ DOI:10.4186/ej.2013.17.1.111

#### 1. Introduction

In the last years, a number of efficient statistical learning algorithms, e.g. support vector machine (SVM) [1, 2], least square support vector machine (LSSVM) [3], and relevance vector machine (RVM) [4] have been proposed. Successful applications of statistical learning algorithms have been reported for various fields [5-7]. This article adopts SVM, LSSVM and RVM for determination compressive strength ( $f_c$ ) of concrete. SVM was developed by Vapnik and his coworkers in 1995, and it is based on the structural risk minimization (SRM) principle. LSSVM is proposed by taking with equality instead of inequality constraints to obtain a linear set of equations instead of a quadratic programming (QP) problem in the dual space [3, 8]. RVM is a sparse method for training generalized linear models [4]. It can be seen as probabilistic version of SVM. This study uses the database collected by Pala *et al.* [9]. Table 1 shows the dataset. The database contains information about fly ash replacement ratio (FA), silica fume replacement ratio (SF), total cementitious material (TCM), fine aggregate (ssa), coarse aggregate (ca), water content (W), high rate water reducing agent (HRWRA), age of samples (AS) and  $f_c$ . A comparative study has been carried out between the developed SVM, LSSVM and RVM models. The developed SVM, LSSVM and RVM provide equations for the prediction of  $f_c$ .

Table 1. Dataset used in this study.

FA	SF	ТСМ	ssa	ca	W	HRWRA	Age	$f_c$
(%)	(%)	$(kg/m^3)$	$(kg/m^3)$	$(kg/m^3)$	(lt/m <sup>3</sup> )	(lt/m <sup>3</sup> )	(days)	(MPa)
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	3	64.9
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	7	75.5
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	28	86.8
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	56	87.2
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	90	95.7
0	0	500	724	1086	150	7.5	180	97.7
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	3	52.1
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	7	66.4
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	28	86
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	56	94.8
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	90	99.6
15	0	500	700	1086	150	7.5	180	106.3
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	3	48
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	7	65.7
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	28	85.4
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	56	90.4
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	90	95.4
25	0	500	683	1086	150	9.25	180	107.8
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	3	34.1
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	7	49.2
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	28	71.8
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	56	85.4
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	90	87.7
45	0	500	650	1086	150	10.5	180	97.7
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	3	22.3
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	28	57.4
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	56	66.6
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	90	72.8
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	180	79.9
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	3	58.3
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	7	75.5
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	28	87.8
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	56	93.1
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	90	93.6
0	5	500	719	1086	150	8	180	99.3
20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	3	46.3
20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	7	65.6
20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	28	78.5

ENGINEERING JOURNAL Volume 17 Issue 1, ISSN 0125-8281 (http://www.engi.org/)

20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	90	90.3
20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	180	95.9
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	3	30.5
40	5	500	054	1000	150	11	5	50.5
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	/	48.6
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	56	80
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	90	83.4
40	5	500	054	1080	150	11	90	03.4
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	180	88.3
0	0	400	710	1157	160	4	3	35
Ő	Ő	400	710	1157	160	4	20	60.7
0	0	400	/10	1157	100	4	20	00.7
0	0	400	710	1157	160	4	56	67.1
0	0	400	710	1157	160	4	90	70.5
õ	Ő	400	710	1157	1(0		100	70.6
0	0	400	/10	115/	100	4	180	/0.0
15	0	400	690	1157	160	4.4	3	29.3
15	0	400	690	1157	160	4.4	28	56
15	Ő	400	(00	1157	1(0	4.4	=0	(2.4
15	0	400	690	115/	160	4.4	50	03.4
15	0	400	690	1157	160	4.4	90	68.5
15	0	400	690	1157	160	44	180	72.1
25	0	100	((0	1157	100	1.1	2	247
23	0	400	000	115/	100	4.8	3	24.7
25	0	400	660	1157	160	4.8	7	33.7
25	0	400	660	1157	160	48	28	493
25	0	100	660	1157	100	1.0	20	12.5
25	0	400	660	115/	160	4.8	50	60.8
25	0	400	660	1157	160	4.8	90	66.2
25	0	400	660	1157	160	48	180	70.2
25	0	400	600	1157	100	<del>1</del> .0	100	10.2
45	0	400	634	115/	160	5.2	3	14.5
45	0	400	634	1157	160	5.2	7	20.3
45	0	400	634	1157	160	52	28	13.0
чJ	0	+00	0.04	1157	100	5.2	20	+3.7
45	0	400	634	1157	160	5.2	90	61.2
45	0	400	634	1157	160	5.2	180	63.7
55	0	400	621	1157	160	5 5	3	13.6
55	0	+00	021	1157	100	5.5	5	13.0
55	0	400	621	1157	160	5.5	1	19.8
55	0	400	621	1157	160	5.5	28	37.3
55	0	400	621	1157	160	5 5	56	47.1
55	0	+00	021	1157	100	5.5	50	+7.1
55	0	400	621	115/	160	5.5	180	63.2
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5.5	3	37.3
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5 5	7	53
0	5	+00	000	1157	100	5.5	<b>,</b>	55
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5.5	28	69.4
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5.5	56	72.1
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5 5	180	74.5
0	5	+00	000	1157	100	5.5	100	74.5
20	5	400	662	1157	160	5.5	3	28.9
20	5	400	662	1157	160	5.5	7	42.1
20	5	400	662	1157	160	55	28	623
20	5	400	662	1157	100	5.5	20	02.5
20	5	400	662	115/	160	5.5	50	69.9
20	5	400	662	1157	160	5.5	90	72.4
40	5	400	636	1157	160	6	3	14 5
10	5	100	636	1157	100	0	7	11.5
40	5	400	030	115/	160	6	/	20.5
40	5	400	636	1157	160	6	28	44.6
40	5	400	636	1157	160	6	56	55 3
40	5	400	630	11.57	120	2	00	50.5
40	5	400	030	115/	160	6	90	59.1
40	5	400	636	1157	160	6	180	68.4
0	0	410	609	1132	205	0	3	26.1
0	0	44.0	200	1122	205	0	5	20.1
U	0	410	009	1132	205	U	/	36.9
0	0	410	609	1132	205	0	28	50.8
0	0	410	609	1132	205	0	56	57 1
0	0	44.0	200	1122	205	0	00	57.1
U	0	410	009	1132	205	U	90	58.1
0	0	410	609	1132	205	0	180	60.6
15	0	410	589	1132	205	0	3	233
15	0	44.0	507	1122	205	0	7	20.0
15	U	410	287	1132	205	U	/	32.3
15	0	410	589	1132	205	0	28	48.9
15	0	410	589	1132	205	Ο	56	557
15	0	410	E00	1120	205	0	00	() (
15	U	410	287	1132	205	U	90	02.0
15	0	410	589	1132	205	0	180	64.8

25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	3	18.4
25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	7	26.2
25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	28	41.7
25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	56	49.1
25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	90	53.7
25	0	410	576	1132	205	0	180	57.9
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	3	13.4
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	28	35.6
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	56	47
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	90	54.1
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	180	56.6
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	3	7.8
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	7	11.3
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	28	24
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	56	33.7
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	180	48.4
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	3	27.4
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	7	39.2
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	28	57.3
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	56	59.6
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	90	67.3
0	5	410	605	1132	205	0	180	66.3
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	3	20.1
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	28	52.9
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	56	60.7
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	180	68
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	3	11.4
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	7	11.68
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	28	38.7
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	90	48.7
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	180	58.4
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	90	63.7
40	5	500	654	1086	150	11	28	71.1
45	0	400	634	1157	160	5.2	56	54.1
0	0	400	710	1157	160	4	7	48.4
15	0	400	690	1157	160	4.4	7	39.9
45	0	410	549	1132	205	0	7	18.4
55	0	500	634	1086	150	13	7	36.4
20	5	410	578	1132	205	0	7	30.6
55	0	400	621	1157	160	5.5	90	52.9
0	5	400	688	1157	160	5.5	90	73.7
40	5	410	552	1132	205	0	56	45.9
55	0	410	536	1132	205	0	90	41.4
20	5	400	662	1157	160	5.5	180	76
20	5	500	686	1086	150	9.25	56	85.8

#### 2. Details of SVM

SVM uses the following expression for the prediction of output variable (y):

$$y = w.\phi(x) + b \tag{1}$$

where  $\phi(x)$  expresses the high-dimensional feature space which is nonlinearly mapped from the input space x, b is bias and w is weight.

This article adopts FA, SF, TCM, ssa, ca, W, HRWRA, and AS as input variables. The output of SVM is  $f_c$ . Thus

## x = [FA, SF, TCM, ssa, ca, HRWRA, AS]

DOI:10.4186/ej.2013.17.1.111

and

$$y = [f_c]$$

The value of w and b have been estimated by minimizing the regularized risk function,

Minimize: 
$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| w^2 \right\| + C \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_{\varepsilon}(y_i f(x_i))$$
$$L_{\varepsilon}(y_i, f(x_i)) = \begin{cases} 0 & |y_i - f(x_i)| \le \varepsilon \\ |y_i - f(x_i)| - \varepsilon & \text{others} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $L_{\varepsilon}(y_i, f(x_i))$  is  $\varepsilon$ -insensitive loss function and  $\varepsilon$  is error insensitive zone. To minimize the effect of noise data, positive slack variables ( $\zeta_i$  and  $\zeta_i^*$ ) have added in Eq. (2).

Minimize: 
$$\frac{1}{2} \left\| w^2 \right\| + C \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \zeta_i + \zeta_i^* \right)$$
  
Subjected to: 
$$y_i - w \cdot \phi(x_i) - b \le \varepsilon + \zeta_i$$
$$w \cdot \phi(x_i) + b - y_i \le \varepsilon + \zeta_i^*$$
$$\zeta_i \ge 0 \quad \zeta_i^* \ge 0 \tag{3}$$

By introducing kernel function  $K(x_i, x_j)$ , the above optimization problem (3) can be written in the following way [1]:

Maximize: 
$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*}) (\alpha_{j} - \alpha_{j}^{*}) K(x_{i}, x_{j}) - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_{i} (\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*})$$
Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*}) = 0 \qquad (4)$$

$$\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{*} \in [0, C]$$

where  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_i^*$  are Lagrange multipliers. The final equation of SVM takes the following form:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \alpha_i - \alpha_i^* \right) K(x_i, x) + b$$
(5)

To develop the SVM, the data have been divided into the following groups:

- Training dataset: This is required to construct the SVM model. This article uses the same training dataset as used by Pala *et al.* [9].
- Testing Dataset: This is required to verify the developed SMV. This article uses the same testing dataset as used by Pala *et al.* [9].

This study adopts the radial basis function:

$$K(x, x_k) = \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_k - x)^T(x_k - x)}{2\sigma^2}\right\}, \quad k, l = 1, ..., N$$

where  $\sigma$  is the width of radial basis function and T is transpose) as kernel function. The data have been normalized between 0 and 1. The program of SVM has been constructed by using MATLAB.

#### 3. Details of RVM

RVM uses the following equation for the prediction of output (y).

$$y = a'\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i K(x, x_i) + a_0$$
(6)

where x is input,  $K(x, x_i)$  is kernel function, n is number of data and a is weight. In this study,

$$x = [FA, SF, TCM, ssa, ca, HRWRA, AS]$$
$$y = [f_c]$$

and

The likelihood of the complete data set can be written as

$$p(y|a,\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - a\varphi\|^2\right\}$$
(7)

To prevent overfitting, automatic relevance detection (ARD) prior is set over the weights.

$$p(a/\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} N(\alpha_i/0, \alpha_i^{-1}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha_i \alpha_j)^2}{2}\right)$$
(8)

where  $\alpha$  is a hyperparameter vector that controls how far from zero each weight is allowed to deviate [10]. The posterior distribution over the weights is thus given by:

$$p(a/y, \alpha, \sigma^{2}) = \frac{p(y/a, \sigma^{2})p(a/\alpha)}{p(y/\alpha, \sigma^{2})} = (2\pi)^{-(n+1)/2} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(a-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(a-\mu)\right]$$
(9)

where the posterior covariance and mean are respectively:

$$\Sigma = \left(\sigma^{-2} \Phi' \Phi + A\right)^{-1}$$

$$\mu = \sigma^{-2} \Sigma \Phi' y$$
(10)

For uniform hyperpriors over  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma^2$ , one needs only maximize the term  $p(y/\alpha, \sigma^2)$ :

$$p(y/\alpha,\sigma^{2}) = \int p(y/a,\sigma^{2})p(a/\alpha)$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{-n/2} |\sigma^{2}I + \Phi A^{-1}\Phi'|^{-1/2} \times \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}y'(\sigma^{2}I + \Phi A^{-1}\Phi')^{-1}y\right]$  (11)

Maximization of this quantity is known as the type II maximum likelihood method [11, 12] or the "evidence for hyper parameter" [13]. Hyper parameter estimation is carried out in iterative formulae, e.g., gradient descent on the objective function [14]. The outcome of this optimization is that many elements of

this go to infinity such that w will have only a few nonzero weights that will be considered as relevant vectors.

This study adopts the same training dataset, testing dataset, kernel function and normalization technique for the RVM as used by the SVM. MATLAB has been used to develop RVM.

#### 4. Details of LSSVM

LSSVM adopts the following equation for prediction of output (y)

$$y = w^T \varphi(x) + b \tag{12}$$

where w is weight, b is bias, x is input variable and  $\varphi(x)$  is non-linear mapping function. In this study,

$$x = [FA, SF, TCM, ssa, ca, HRWRA, AS]$$

and

$$y = [f_c]$$

LSSVM uses the following optimization problem determination of *w* and *b*:

Minimize: 
$$\frac{1}{2}w^T w + \gamma \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} e_k^2$$
  
Subject to:  $y(x) = w^T \varphi(x_k) + b + e_k$ ,  $k = 1, ..., N.$  (13)

where  $e_k$  is the random errors and  $\gamma$  is a regularization parameter in determining the trade-off between minimizing the training errors and minimizing the model complexity.

The following equation has been obtained by solving the above optimization problem and it has been used for prediction of  $f_c$  [15, 16]:

$$f_c = y = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k K(x, x_k) + b \tag{14}$$

where  $K(x, x_k)$  is kernel function and  $\alpha_k$  is lagrange multipliers.

This study adopts the same training dataset, testing dataset, kernel function and normalization technique for the LSSVM as used by the SVM and RVM. The program of LSSVM has been constructed by MATLAB.

#### 5. Results and Discussion

For SVM, the design value of C,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  have been determined by a trial and error approach. The design values of C,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$  are 100, 0.01 and 2 respectively. The best SVM produces 115 support vectors. The performance of training and testing dataset has been determined by using the design values of C,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ .

Figure 1 shows the performance of training and testing for the SVM. This article employs coefficient of correlation (*R*) to assess the performance of SVM. For a good model, the value of *R* should be close to one. It is observed from Fig. 1 that the value of *R* is close to one for training as well as testing dataset. So, the developed SVM predicts  $f_e$  fairly well. The developed SVM presents the following equation (by substituting

$$K(x, x_k) = \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_k - x)^T(x_k - x)}{2\sigma^2}\right\}, \sigma = 2, b = 0 \text{ and } N = 130 \text{ in Eq. (5) for the prediction of } f_i\right\}$$



Fig. 1. Performance of the SVM.

$$f_{c} = \sum_{i=1}^{130} \left( \alpha_{i} - \alpha_{i}^{*} \right) \exp\left\{ -\frac{(x_{k} - x)^{T}(x_{k} - x)}{8} \right\}$$
(15)

The value of  $(\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)$  is shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Values of  $(\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*)$  for the SVM.

In RVM, the trial and error approach has been adopted for determining the design value of  $\sigma$ . The developed RVM gives best performance at  $\sigma = 1$ . Therefore, the design value of  $\sigma$  is 1.

Figure 3 shows performance of RVM model. It is observed from Fig. 3 that the value of R is close to one for training as well as testing dataset. So, the developed RVM has the capability for predicting  $f_c$ . The developed RVM gives the following equation for prediction of  $f_c$ :

$$f_c = \sum_{i=1}^{130} a_i \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_k - x)^T (x_k - x)}{2}\right\}$$
(16)

#### 6. Conclusion

This study successfully applied SVM, RVM and LSSVM for the prediction of  $f_c$  of concrete. 130 datasets have been utilized to develop the models. User can use the developed equations for practical purposes. The developed RVM, SVM and LSSVM give almost the same performance. The obtained variance from the RVM can be used to determine uncertainty. SVM and RVM produce sparse solutions. In summary, it can be concluded that SVM, RVM and LSSVM can be examined for solving different problems in concrete.

#### References

- [1] V. N. Vapnik, The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [2] B. Schölkopf, *Support Vector Learning*, R. Oldenbourg Verlag, M<sup>\*</sup>unchen. Doktorarbeit, TU Berlin. Online at: http://www.kernel-machines.org.
- [3] J. A. K. Suykens, and J. Vandewalle, "Least squares support vector machine classifiers," *Neural Process. Lett.*, vol. 9, pp. 293-300, 1999.
- [4] M. E. Tipping, "The relevance vector machine," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 12, pp. 652-658, 2000.
- [5] D. Zhao, W. Zou, and G. Sun, "A fast image classification algorithm using support vector machine," Proceeding 2nd International Conference on Computer Technology and Development, pp. 385-388, 2010.
- [6] H. Suetani, A. M. Ideta, and J. Morimoto, "Nonlinear structure of escape-times to falls for a passive dynamic walker on an irregular slope: Anomaly detection using multi-class support vector machine and latent state extraction by canonical correlation analysis," *Proceeding IEEE Int. Conference on Intelligent Robots and Sys.*, pp. 2715-2722, 2011.
- [7] D. Matić, F. Kulić, M. Pineda-Sánchez, and I. Kamenko, "Support vector machine classifier for diagnosis in electrical machines: Application to broken bar," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 8681-8689, 2012.
- [8] J. A. K. Suykens, T. Van Gestel, J. De Brabanter, B. De Moor, and J. Vandewalle, *Least Squares Support Vector Machines*, Singapore: World Scientific, 2002.
- [9] M. Pala, E. Özbay, A. Öztaş, and M. I. Yuce, "Appraisal of long-term effects of fly ash and silica fume on compressive strength of concrete by neural networks," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 384-394, 2007.
- [10] B. Schölkopf, and A. J. Smola, Learning with Kernels: Support Vector Machines, Regularization, Optimization, and Beyond, Cambridge: MIT Press, 2002.
- [11] J. O. Berger, Statistical Decision Theory and Bayesian Analysis, 2nd ed., New York: Springer, 1985.
- [12] G. A. Wahba, "Comparison of GCV and GML for choosing the smoothing parameters in the generalized spline-smoothing problem," Ann. Statist., vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1378-1402, 1985.
- [13] D. J. MacKay, "Bayesian methods for adaptive models," Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1992. Online at: http://resolver.caltech.edu/CaltechETD:etd-01042007-131447.
- [14] M. E. Tipping, "Sparse Bayesian learning and the relevance vector machine," J. of Machine Learning Research, vol. 1, pp. 211-244, 2001.
- [15] V. N. Vapnik, Statistical Learning Theory, New York: Wiley, 1998.
- [16] A. J. Smola, and B. Schölkopf, "On a kernel based method for pattern recognition, regression, approximation and operator inversion," *Algorithmica*, vol. 22, pp. 211-231. 1998.