Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences

Research Article

ISSN 1112-9867

Available online at http://www.jfas.info

DETERMINATION OF TRACE RESIDUES LEVEL OF PESTICIDES IN SOME VEGETABLES GROWING IN ALGERIA BY GC/µECD AND GC/MS

S. Mebrouki¹, H. Zerrouki¹, M.L. Belfar¹, A. Douadi¹, Y. Moussaoui^{*1,2}

¹Laboratoire de Valorisation et Promotion des Ressources Sahariennes, Faculté des Mathématiques et Sciences de la Matière, Université Kasdi Merbah, Ouargla, Algérie ²Faculté de Médecine, Université Kasdi Merbah, Ouargla, Algérie

Received: 26 July 2020 / Accepted: 07 November 2020 / Published online: 01 January 2021

ABSTRACT

An analytical multiresidue method for the simultaneous determination of various classes of pesticides in vegetables (tomato, pepper, hot pepper and potato) was developed. Vegetable samples are extracted with acetonitrile.

Final determination was made by gas chromatography with μ -Electron Capture Detection (μ -ECD) for organochloride pesticides. Organophosphorus, pyrithrinoids and other pesticides analysis was carried out by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry in the selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode. The identification of compounds was based on retention time and on comparison of the primary and secondary ions. Recovery studies were performed at various fortification levels of each compound and the recoveries obtained ranged from 70% to 127 % with relative standard deviations lower than 8%. The method showed good linearity over the range studied and the detection and quantification limits for the pesticides studied varied from 0.015 to 0.030 μ g.g⁻¹ and 0.05 to 0.1 μ g.g⁻¹, respectively. The proposed method was used to determine pesticides levels in peppers, hot pepper and tomatoes grown in multichapelle greenhouses at Biskra region and potato grown at Setif region in Algeria.

Keywords: Pesticides residue; Hot pepper, Pepper; Tomato; Potato; GC/µECD; GC/MS.

Author Correspondence, e-mail: moussaoui.yacine@univ-ouargla.dz doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jfas.v13i1.24</u>



1. INTRODUCTION

Pesticides called also agrochemicals are substances or mixture of substances intensevely worldwide used in agriculture field in order to protect plants from pests, weeds or diseases, alowing an increased crop yield, and then preserve food security [1]. Also re used in public health protection programs, they can prevent humans from vector-borne diseases, such as malaria, dengue fever, and schistosomiasis [2].

Pesticides have been associated with both health and environmental issues [3-5], and the agricultural use of certain pesticides has been abandoned [4]. Exposure to pesticides can be through contact with the skin, ingestion, or inhalation. The type of pesticide, the duration, exposure voice, and the individual health status are the main factors in the possible health outcome. Also, in a human or animal body, pesticides may be metabolized, excreted, stored, or bioaccumulated in body fat [3-4, 6]. Many negative health effects associated with heavy use of pesticides include, among others, dermatological, gastrointestinal, neurological, carcinogenic, respiratory, reproductive and endocrine effects [3-4, 7-10]. In addition, high occupational, accidental, or intentional exposure to pesticides can result in hospitalization and death [3, 11].

Residues of pesticides can be found in a great variety of everyday foods and beverages, including for instance cooked meals, water, wine, fruit juices, refreshments, and animal feeds [12-19]. Furthermore, it should be noted that washing and peeling are not sufficient to remove completely the residues of pesticides, which represents an order concentration of traces or ultra-traces in the foodstuff considered.

In the most cases, the concentrations do not exceed the legislatively determined safe levels [16, 19, 20-21]. However, these "safe limits" may underestimate the real health risk as in the case of conjointly exposure to two or more chemical substances, which occurs in real-life conditions and may have synergistic effects [3, 22]. Pesticides residues have also been detected in human breast milk samples, and there are concerns about prenatal exposure and health effects in children [6, 23-25].

Over the last 40 years, various sample preparation methodologies have been developed to extract these compounds from foods, although they are generally time-consuming and

laborious. In 2003, Anastassiades, Lehotay, Štajnbaher and Schenck introduced a methodology for pesticide extraction that is applicable to fruits and vegetables. This method, which has been described as quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe (QuEChERS), has been proven to have several advantages due to its excellent capacities of recovery, enrichment and extraction of the analyte of interest [26-27].

Gas chromatography (GC) and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) are normally the techniques used for pesticide separation in foods [28-29]. The coupling of those systems with mass spectrometers (MS) makes possible the identification and monitoring of pesticides in several matrices [30-31]; besides the possibility of operating in selective ion monitoring (SIM) mode, currently named as single reaction monitoring (SRM), or extracted ion (EI) monitoring mode, and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (this last for tandem system) that improves the selectivity and sensitivity, and decrease the limits of detection and quantification (LOD and LOQ, respectively) [30-32], these latter are used for all classe of pesticides. However, other techniques use appropriate detectors are specific to restricted families such as for organochlorines, a μ -ECD detector [33], specific for organochlorine pesticides, or an FPD detector specific for organophosphate pesticides is used [34].

In this study, we are interested in determining the level of residues in traces of pesticides in tomato, pepper, hot pepper and potato.

Tomato, hot pepper and hot pepper samples were collected in multi-chapel greenhouses located in the Biskra region (South-eastern Algeria) and potato samples were collected in the Sétif region (North-eastern from Algeria)

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables 1 and 2 group together the concentrations of trace pesticides respectively, organochloride and organophosphorus pesticides in tomatoes, peppers and hot peppers. While, the Table 3 concern organochloride, organophosphorus and other pesticide concentration level in potato

Figure 1 and 2 show respectively, GC/MS chromatogramm of organochlorides pesticides

Compounds	Retention	LD (ppm)	LQ (ppm)	Concentration
	time (minute)			(ppm)
α-HCH	09.90	0.015	0.050	< L.D
НСВ	10.98	0.015	0.050	< L.D
Lindane	11.46	0.015	0.050	< L.D
Heptachlore	11.98	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Aldrine	12.24	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Heptachlore Epoxide	13.05	0.022	0.075	< L.D
α -Endosulfan	13.71	0.022	0.075	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDE	14.77	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Endrine	15.20	0.020	0.066	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDD	15.35	0.020	0.066	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDT	15.47	0.022	0.075	< L.D

standard etalon and GC/MS chromatogramm of organophosphorus pesticides standard etalon.

Compounds	time (minute)	LD (ppm)	LQ (ppm)	(ppm)
α-HCH	09.90	0.015	0.050	< L.D
HCB	10.98	0.015	0.050	< L.D
Lindane	11.46	0.015	0.050	< L.D
Heptachlore	11.98	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Aldrine	12.24	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Heptachlore Epoxide	13.05	0.022	0.075	< L.D
α -Endosulfan	13.71	0.022	0.075	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDE	14.77	0.022	0.075	< L.D
Endrine	15.20	0.020	0.066	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDD	15.35	0.020	0.066	< L.D
<i>p,p</i> '-DDT	15.47	0.022	0.075	< L.D

Table 1. Oranochlorides pesticide concentration level in tomato, pepper and hot pepper

Table 2. Oranophosphorus pesticide concentration level in tomato, pepper and hot pepper

Compounds	Retention	ID (nnm)	IO (nnm)	Concentration
Compounds	time (minute)	гъ (ррш)	rd (bhu)	(ppm)
Dichlorovos	06.27	0.020	0.066	< L.D
Ethoprophos	09.08	0.030	0.10	< L.D
Dimethoate	09.95	0.030	0.10	< L.D
Diazinon	10.34	0.030	0.10	< L.D
Chlorpyrifos-methyl	11.33	0.015	0.05	< L.D
Pirimiphos-methyl	11.74	0.030	0.10	< L.D
Malathion	11.89	0.030	0.10	< L.D
Parathion	12.23	0.020	0.066	< L.D
Ethion	15.01	0.020	0.066	< L.D



Fig.1. GC/µ-ECD chromatogramm of organochlorides pesticides standard etalon



Fig.2. GC/MS chromatogramm of organophosphorus pesticides standard etalon

According to the Table 1 and 2, eleven organochloride and nine organophosphorus pesticides were detected at trace level in tomato, pepper and hot pepper. The detection limit varied from 0.01 to 0.02 (μ g.g⁻¹), and the quatification limit varied 0.05 to 0.1 (μ g.g⁻¹) for the organochlorides pesticide, however the the detection limit varied from 0.01 to 0.03 (μ g.g⁻¹), and the quatification limit varied 0.05 to 0.15 (μ g.g⁻¹) for the organochlorides pesticide. The twenty pesticides concentration recorded in tomato, pepper and hot pepper were below the quantification limit.

Table 3 illustrates the concentration of residues in traces of organochlorine, organophosphorus pesticides, pyrethroids and other family of pesticides detected in potato samples.

Sixteen organochlorides, ten organophosphorus, three pyrithrinoids and five other pesticides were detected in potatoes samples. The detection limit of organochlorides pesticides was 0.015 and the quatifaction limit was 0.05 for all organochlorides. For the organophosphorus and pyrithrinoids pesticides, the limit of detection and quatification varied respectively between 0.015 and 0.022 μ g.g⁻¹ and between 0.05 and 0.075 μ g.g⁻¹. However, the limit of detection for the other pesticides was 0.015 μ g.g⁻¹ and the quatification limit was 0.05 μ g.g⁻¹ All pesticides (organochlorides, organophophorus, Pyrithrinoids and other pesticides) detected in all samples (tomato, pepper, hot pepper and potato) were below the quantification limit, since that the presence of orgnaochlorides in all sample even thought below the dection limit pose a serious problem, when these latter are classified and listed by stokholm convention as persitent organic pollutants and banned from any use [35].

However, the organochloride pesticide remains always in foodstuff, especially fruits and vegetables in many countries around Africa, since we find them in atmospheric air in Algeria with high concentration levels [36]. The fact of their presence in atmospheric air necessarily implies their circulation and transfer between different other environmental matrix (soil, water and biosphere). The organophosphorus pesticides, pyrethroids and other pesticides detected in this study are sometimes less than or equal to the limit equal to the mixamic residue limit (MRLs) reported in the literature for a finished product [37]. It should be noted that the limit of detection and quantification reported in this study can be further improved with other extraction and analysis techniques such as pressure liquid extraction (PLE) with

charomtaography in gas phase at atmospheric pressure (APGC) [38], which will make it possible subsequently to quantify the residues of pesiticides at the trace scale, even see ultratraces and this makes it possible to determine whether or not we have exceeded the maximum limit residue (MLR). Finally, this work has just been added to the literature in order to increase the data from the analysis of residues in trace amounts of pesticides in fruits and vegetables cultivated in Algeria.

Compounds	LD	LQ	Concentration
Compounds	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)
1- Organochlorides pesticides			
α–НСН	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
γ –НСН	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
4,4'-DDE	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
2,4'-DDD	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
2,4'-DDT	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
4,4'-DDD	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
4,4'-DDT	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Aldrin	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Alachlor	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Butachlor	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Dieldrin	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Endrin	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Endosulfan sulfate	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
α-Endosulfan	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Heptachlor Epoxide Isomère B	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Heptachlor	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Metolachlor (dual)	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.

 Table 3. Organochlorides, organophosphorus and other pesticide concentration level in potato

2- Organophosphorus pesticides

Υ.	Moussaoui et	al.

Chlorpyrifos-ethyl	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Dichlorovos	0.022	0.075	< L.Q.
Disulfoton	0.022	0.075	< L.Q.
Ethoprophos	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Fenitrothion	0.022	0.075	< L.Q.
Parathion-methyl	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Ronnel	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Tokuthion	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
3-Pyrithrinoids pesticides			
Bifenthrin	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Cypermethrin	0.022	0.075	< L.Q.
λ-Cyhalothrin	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Others pesticides			
Atrazine	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Simazine	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Flopet	0.015	0.05	< L.Q.
Fosethyl-Al	0.015	0.05	< L.D.
Cymoxanil	0.015	0.05	< L.D.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

3.1. Sampling sites, periods and locations

3.1.1. Tomato, pepper and hot pepper

The choice of samples was made at several sampling points, distributed in a homogeneous manner; the samples taken are: tomato, pepper and hot pepper, the equidistance between them has been respected; to obtain representative samples; these have almost equal weights; and in its raw state (direct sample in the greenhouse).

The tomato, pepper and hot pepper samples were collected in multi-chapel greenhouses located in the wilaya of Biskra (South-East of Algeria), where today, with 130,000

greenhouses covering more than 5,000 hectares, the collection period was in spring 2017 (see Fig.3.). The samples were transported in the raw state in new containers, in good storage conditions.

3.1.2. Potato

The choice of samples was made at several sampling points, distributed in a homogeneous manner; the samples taken are potato, the equidistance between them has been respected; to obtain representative samples; these have almost equal weights; and in its raw state.

The potato samples were collected in the Sétif region in Winter 2019. this region has a cultivated area representing 85% of the total area. the potato is a widely consumed vegetable in Algeria, for example in 2013 we recorded a production of approximately 5000 ton/year (see Fig.3.). The samples were transported in the raw state in new containers, in good storage conditions.



Fig.3. Sampling sites

3.2. Description of the experimental protocol

The protocol used is that appearing on the kits purchased from Supelco (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France) which is thus described. 10 ± 0.1 g of sample, well homogenized and accurately weighed, are introduced into a 50 ml centrifuge tube to which 10 ml of acetonitrile are then added. The tube tightly closed is then vigorously shaken, manually or with a vortex for 1 min. We then add the salt mixture consisting of 4 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate, 1 g of sodium chloride, 1 g of trisodium citrate dihydrate and 0.5 g of disodium hydrogen citrate

sesquihydrate. The well-screwed tube is shaken vigorously a second time for 1 min then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min.

An aliquot of 6 mL of supernatant is transferred to a polypropylene tube containing 150 mg of primary secondary amine (PSA), 900 mg of magnesium sulfate and 45 mg of carbon black (Envi-CARB). The tube is shaken vigorously for 2 min then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. A 4 mL aliquot of the purified and acidified extract with formic acid to 5% in acetonitrile (10 μ L per ml of extract) is introduced into a pill box and concentrated to dry under a weak stream of nitrogen. The dry residue is taken up in 1 ml of hexane and analyzed by GC/ μ ECD or GC/MS.

3.3. Instrumental Analysis

Organochlorides pesticides were analyzed with an HP GC model 6890 series (Hewlett-Packard Corp., Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a μ ECD set at 300 °C and a Phenomenex ZB-5 widebore capillary column of dimensions 30 m × 0.53 mm × 1.25 µm (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). The carrier gas was ultra high pure Helium at a rate of 1.1 mL/min and a constant pressure of 6.5 psi. The makeup gas, 5% argon/ methane, was supplied at such a rate that the total column plus makeup flow was constantly equal to 60 mL/min. 4 μ L of the final extract was injected in a splitless mode, at a temperature of 280 °C. The following oven temperature program was used: from 120 to 200 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min with a hold time of 5 min, then from 200 to 240 °C at a rate of 2 °C/min with a final time of 1 min, and finally from 240 to 290 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min. Peak identification was performed by the HP ChemStation calibration table set up with a relative retention time window of 0.65%. Organophosphorus pesticides were analyzed using an HP GC chromatograph coupled with 7000B triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, USA), using Mass Hunter software. Where, the mass ionization was carried out using electron ionization mode at + 70 eV, with a sample injection volume of 1 µL.

Chromatographic separations were carried out using HP-5 MS Ultra Inert column (30 m \times 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) which obtained from Agilent Technologies (USA). A pure Helium gas (> 99.999%) was used as carrier gas with a constant flow rate of 1.83 mL/min. Back flush parameters were installed as follows; holding for 3 min; inlet pressure of 1 psi; three way

splitter pressure of 40 psi; at oven temperature of 280 °C. The oven temperature program was initially held for 1 min at 70 °C, 40 °C/min to 150 °C, and 6 °C/ min to 250 °C. Finally, the oven temp was programed to 315 °C by 15 °C/min (hold for 1 min).

The identification of compounds was carried out by comparing the relative retention times with those of authentic standards and, for MSD analyses, the ion trace ratios of peaks. For quantitative purposes, each compound was referred to the internal standard in the chromatogram.

3.4. Quality assurance and quality control

Quantitative data were kept as reliable when the resulting concentrations lied within the detector operative ranges, i.e., 3.3 up to ~1000 times the respective detection limits LODs; these latter were set equal to 3 times the noise. Considering that ca. 2 kg of sample and final solutions were 10 or 200 μ L in volume, the LODs ranged from 0.015 to 0.022 μ g.g⁻¹ for organochlorides pesticides, 0.015 to 0.030 μ g.g⁻¹ for organophosphorus pesticides, 0.015 to 0.022 μ g.g⁻¹ for other pesticides (Atrazine, Simazine, Flopet, Fosethyl-Al and Cymoxanil). The percent recoveries ranged from 70% to 127% (±8%).

4. CONCLUSION

This work allowed us to detect more than 40 pesticides including organochlorine, organophosphorus, pyrithrinoids and other pesticides in the samples of tomato, pepper, hot pepper and potato cultivated in two regions in Algeria, in this case the Sétif and Biskra region. Eleven organochlorides and nine organophorphorus pesticides were recoreded in tomato, pepper and hot pepper samples.

Eighteen organochloride, eight organophosphorus, three pyrithrinoids and five other pesticides were detected in potato samples.

All pesticides detected in tomato, pepper, hot pepper and potato were below the quatification limit, even thought many pesticides detected were equal or less equal the maximum limit residue (MLR).

Organochlorides pesticides were detected in all samples, although they have been banned for

years and at the same time they are classified as persistent organic pollutants by the Stokholm convention, hence there is a need to monitor their presence in foodstuffs given the major risk it presents to human health

5. REFERENCES

[1] Alexandratos, N., and J. Bruinsma. 2012. World agriculture towards 2030/2050: the 2012 revision. ESA Working paper No. 12-03. FAO, Rome.

[2] Polyxeni Nicolopoulou-Stamati, Sotirios Maipas, Chrysanthi Kotampasi, Panagiotis Stamatis and Luc Hens. 2016. Chemical Pesticides and Human Health: The Urgent Need for a New Concept in Agriculture. Frontiers in public health, 4, 1-8, 2016.

[3] World Health Organization. Public Health Impact of Pesticides Used in Agriculture. England: World Health Organization (1990).

[4] Alewu B, Nosiri C. Pesticides and human health. In: Stoytcheva M, editor. Pesticides in the Modern World Effects of Pesticides Exposure. InTech (2011). p. 231–50. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/pesticides-in-the-modern-world-effects-of-pesticides-expos ure/ pesticide-and-human-health.

[5] Hayes TB, Case P, Chui S, Chung D, Haeffele C, Haston K, et al. Pesticide mixtures, endocrine disruption, and amphibian declines: are we underestimating the impact? Environ Health Perspect (2006) 114:40–50. doi:10.1289/ehp.8051.

[6] Pirsaheb M, Limoee M, Namdari F, Khamutian R. Organochlorine pesticides residue in breast milk: a systematic review. Med J Islam Repub Iran (2015) 29:228.

[7] Sanborn M, Kerr KJ, Sanin LH, Cole DC, Bassil KL, Vakil C. Non-cancer health effects of pesticides. Systematic review and implications for family doctors. Can Fam Physician (2007) 53:1712–20.

[8] Mnif W, Hassine AIH, Bouaziz A, Bartegi A, Thomas O, Roig B. Effect of endocrine disruptor pesticides: a review. Int J Environ Res Public Health (2011) 8:2265–2203. doi:10.3390/ijerph8062265.

[9] Semchuk KM, Love EJ, Lee RG. Parkinson's disease and exposure to agricultural work and pesticide chemicals. Neurology (1992) 42:1328–35. doi:10.1212/WNL.42.7.1328. [10]

Thakur DS, Khot R, Joshi PP, Pandharipande M, Nagpure K. Glyphosate poisoning with acute pulmonary edema. Toxicol Int (2014) 21:328–30. doi:10.4103/0971-6580.155389.

[11] Gunnell D, Eddleston M, Phillips MR, Konradsen F. The global distribution of fatal pesticide self-poisoning: systematic review. BMC Public Health (2007) 7:357. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-7-357.

[12] McGill AE, Robinson J. Organochlorine insecticide residues in complete prepared meals:

a 12-month survey in S.E. England. Food Cosmet Toxicol (1968) 6:45–57. doi:10.1016/0015-6264(68)90080-1

[13] Cabras P, Angioni A. Pesticide residues in grapes, wine, and their processing products. J Agric Food Chem (2000) 48:967–73. doi:10.1021/jf990727a

[14] Zambonin CG, Quinto M, De Vietro N, Palmisano F. Solid-phase microextraction – gas chromatography mass spectrometry: a fast and simple screening method for the assessment of organophosphorus pesticides residues in wine and fruit juices. Food Chem (2004) 86:269–74. doi:10.1016/j. foodchem.2003.09.025.

[15] Burnett M, Welford R. Case study: coca-cola and water in India: episode 2. Corp Soc Responsib Environ Mgmt (2007) 14:298–304. doi:10.1002/csr.97

[16] Lorenzin M. Pesticide residues in Italian ready-meals and dietary intake estimation. JEnviron Sci Health B (2007) 42:823–33. doi:10.1080/03601230701555021

[17] Nag SK, Raikwar MK. Persistent organochlorine pesticides residues in animal feed.Environ Monit Assess (2011) 174:327–35. doi:10.1007/s10661-010-1460-1.

[18] Witczak A, Abdel-Gawad H. Assessment of health risk from organochlorine pesticides residues in high-fat spreadable foods produced in Poland. J Environ Sci Health B (2014) 49:917–28. doi:10.1080/03601234.2014.951574.

[19] Chourasiya S, Khillare PS, Jyethi DS. Health risk assessment of organochlorine pesticide exposure through dietary intake of vegetables grown in the periurban sites of Delhi, India. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int (2015) 22:5793–806. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3791-x.

[20] Nougadére A, Sirot V, Kadar A, Fastier A, Truchot E, Vergnet C, et al. Total diet study on pesticide residues in France: levels in food as consumed and chronic dietary risk to consumers. Environ Int (2012) 45:135–50. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2012.02.001.

[21] Blaznik U, Yngve A, Eržen I, Hlastan Ribič C. Consumption of fruits and vegetables and probabilistic assessment of the cumulative acute exposure to organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides of schoolchildren in Slovenia. Public Health Nutr (2015) 19(3):557–63. doi:10.1017/S1368980015001494

[22] Kortenkamp A. Ten years of mixing cocktails: a review of combination effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. Environ Health Perspect (2007) 115:98–105. doi:10.1289/ehp.9357.

[23] Damgaard IN, Skakkebaek NE, Toppari J, Virtanen HE, Shen H, Schramm KW, et al. Persistent pesticides in human breast milk and cryptorchidism. Environ Health Perspect (2006) 114:1133–8. doi:10.1289/ehp.8741.

[24] Buscail C, Chevrier C, Serrano T, Pelé F, Monfort C, Cordier S, et al. Prenatal pesticide exposure and otitis media during early childhood in the PELAGIE mother-child cohort. Occup Environ Med (2015) 72(12):837–44. doi:10.1136/oemed-2015-103039

[25] Lu D, Wang D, Ni R, Lin Y, Feng C, Xu Q, et al. Organochlorine pesticides and their metabolites in human breast milk from Shanghai, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int (2015) 22:9293–306. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-4072-z

[26] Barbosa, P. G. A., Martins, F. I. C. C., Lima, L. K., Milhome, M. A. L., Cavalcante, R. M., & Nascimento, R. F. (2018). Statistical analysis for quality adjustment of the analytical curve for determination of pesticide multiresidue in pineapple samples. Food Analytical Methods, 11(2), 466–478.

[27] Ferronato, G., Viera, M. S., Prestes, O. D., Adaime, M. B., & Zanella, R. (2018). Determination of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) in breast milk from Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, using a modified QuEChERS method and gas chromatography–negative chemical ionisation-mass spectrometry. International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 98(11), 1005–1016.

[28] Cang, T., Sun, C., Zhao, H., Tang, T., Zhang, C., Yu, R., Zhao, X. (2018). Residue behavior and risk assessment of imidacloprid applied on greenhouse-cultivated strawberries under different application conditions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(5), 5024–5032.

[29] Guedes, J. A. C., Silva, R. O., Lima, C. G., Milhome, M. A. L., & Nascimento, R. F. (2016). Matrix effect in guava multiresidue analysis by QuEChERS method and gas chromatography coupled to quadrupole mass spectrometry. Food Chemistry, 199, 380–386.

[30] Alcântara, D. B., Paz, M. S. O., Rodrigues, T. H. S., Fernandes, T. S. M., Barbosa, P. G. A., Loiola, A. R., ... Nascimento, R. F. (2018). Organophosphorus pesticide in Sapodilla (Manilkara zapota) fruit. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 29(10), 2180–2188.

[31] Silva, V. P. A., Paz, M. S. O., Cavalcante, R. M., & Nascimento, R. F. (2017). Strategy for correction of matrix effect on the determination of pesticides in water bodies using SPME-GC-FID. Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 28(6), 1081–1090.

[32] Oiram, F. F., Alcântra, D. B., Rodrigues, T. H. S., Silva, L. M. A., Silva, E. O., Zocolo, G. J., & Brito, E. S. (2017). Development and validation of a reversed phase HPLC method for determination of anacardic acids in cashew (Anacardium occidentale) nut shell liquid. Journal of Chromatographic Science, 56(4), 300–306.

[33] Kouame Adou, Warren R. Bontoyan, and Paul J. Sweeney. Multiresidue Method for the Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Fruits and Vegetables by Accelerated Solvent Extraction and Capillary Gas Chromatography. J. Agric. Food Chem., Vol. 49, No. 9, 2001

[34] Yinhui Yang, Weijun Kong, Lianhua Zhao, Qiang Xiao, Hongmei Liu, Xiangsheng Zhao, Meihua Yang. A multiresidue method for simultaneous determination of 44organophosphorous pesticides in Pogostemon cablin and related products using modified QuEChERS sample preparation procedure and GC–FPD. Journal of Chromatography B, 974 (2015) 118–125.

[35] http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/ListingofPOPs/tabid/2509/Default.aspx
[36] Y Moussaoui, L Tuduri, Y Kerchich, BY Meklati, G Eppe. Atmospheric concentrations of PCDD/Fs, dl-PCBs and some pesticides in northern Algeria using passive air sampling Chemosphere 88 (3), 270-277

[37] http://www.aprifel.com/userfiles/file/pesticides_risques_securite_a.pdf

How to cite this article:

Mebrouki S, Zerrouki H, Belfar ML, Douadi A, Moussaoui Y. Determination of trace residues level of pesticides in some vegetables growing in algeria by $GC/\mu ECD$ and GC/MS. J. Fundam. Appl. Sci., 2021, *13(1)*, *453-467*.