RESEARCH NOTE Open Access

Development and characterization of 17 polymorphic microsatellite markers for the reef manta ray (*Mobula alfredi*)

Amelia J. Armstrong^{1*}, Christine L. Dudgeon¹, Carlos Bustamante², Michael B. Bennett¹ and Jennifer R. Ovenden¹

Abstract

Objective: Limited sample sizes are often a problem for species of conservation concern when using genetic tools to make population assessments. Lack of analytical power from small sample sizes can be compensated for by use of a large marker set. Here we report on development and characterization of 17 novel microsatellite markers for the reef manta ray (*Mobula alfredi*).

Results: Loci were screened on 60 reef manta rays (*M. alfredi*) sampled from the east coast of Australia. The number of alleles per locus varied from 2 to 13 with observed heterozygosities ranging between 0.300 and 0.917. The development of these 17 additional markers increases the total number of microsatellite markers available for this species to 27

Keywords: Population genetics, Conservation genetics, Microsatellite loci, Short tandem repeats

Introduction

The reef manta ray (Mobula alfredi) occurs in tropical and subtropical waters of the Indian and Pacific oceans [1]. The species forms aggregations in coastal waters throughout its range, which provides for targeted ecotourism in many coastal regions [2]. However, these aggregations may suffer depletion from competing bycatch and targeted fisheries, particularly for their highlyvalued body parts that are used in 'traditional medicines' [3-6]. Like many large shark and ray species, M. alfredi is long-lived with conservative life history parameters (i.e. slow growth, late maturity, low fecundity) that make populations highly-susceptible to over-exploitation [7]. As such, the global conservation concerns for this species have resulted in its classification as 'Vulnerable to Extinction' on the IUCN Red List [8], and has led to listing in Appendix II of the Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species and Appendices I and II of the Convention on Migratory Species. Future research priorities identified for reef manta rays include investigation of population structure (regional and global) and connectivity, based on genetic analyses [9].

To date, the limited genetic work conducted on DNA from reef manta rays has been primarily in the context of broader phylogenetic studies [10, 11]. One recent phylogenetic study resulted in the collapse of the genus Manta into Mobula, and a reassignment of the position of M. alfredi within this genus [12]. An earlier study used nuclear DNA obtained from M. alfredi sampled in Japanese waters to develop ten species specific microsatellite markers [13]. However, preliminary studies on of M. alfredi from the east coast of Australia found that only eight of the previously developed markers were polymorphic, with the remaining markers either nonpolymorphic or non-amplifiable. Collection of adequate sample sizes to overcome the limitation of few markers is often difficult, expensive and inappropriate for species of conservation concern. Therefore, we address this issue through the development of additional microsatellite markers for M. alfredi that may allow for robust estimation of population sizes without the need to sample large

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($



^{*}Correspondence: amelia.armstrong@uqconnect.edu.au

¹ School of Biomedical Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

Armstrong et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:233

numbers of animals, and will facilitate future investigations into fine-scale structure (e.g. relatedness).

For studies focused on non-model species of conservation concern, microsatellite markers can be amplified from relatively small quantities of DNA and provide a relatively low cost per sample for genotyping (especially when working with pre-developed marker sets). While genotype-by-sequencing approaches (i.e. RADseq, DArTseq) are increasingly used for genomic applications to non-model species, the strict requirements surrounding DNA quality, quantity and contamination may limit application to historical, degraded or small amounts of sampled-DNA. Furthermore, microsatellite markers provide the potential for a standardised marker set that can facilitate comparisons of genetically distinct populations across broad temporal and geographical scales. Here we report the development of 17 polymorphic microsatellite markers for *M. alfredi*, to augment the 10 previously developed, and detail marker performance through the genotyping of 60 individual manta rays from the east coast of Australia. We anticipate that microsatellite markers developed here will inform ongoing regional studies on population connectivity, and may facilitate global population comparisons through the provision of an enlarged and published marker set.

Main text

Methods and results

Microsatellite primer sequences were developed de novo from DNA obtained from a Mobula alfredi voucher specimen from Lady Elliot Island Reef, Australia. A genomic library was developed with the TruSeg Nano DNA sample prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform (San Diego, CA) that supported the acquisition of 2×125 base pair (bp) paired-end reads, following the protocols supplied by the manufacturer. Sequences between 150 and 400 bp were explored for microsatellite motifs using the software QDD v.3.1 [14] following the microsatellite discovery protocol described by Vargas-Caro et al. [15]. In brief, perfect microsatellite repeat motifs with > 10 repeating units were identified and loci with product sizes estimated at less than 100 bp were removed. Additional loci where flanking regions contained 'runs' (of a single base) or repeated sequence elements were excluded. Selected sequences consisting of repeat motifs and flanking sequences were blasted against NCBI GenBank using default parameters to exclude loci potentially located in coding regions. Primers with homology outside the target flanking region or that may amplify more than one locus were excluded by blasting back against the genomic library using GENEIOUS v 9.1.8 (http://www.geneious.com) [16]. Of the remaining candidate primer pairs, we selected 48 to take through to development, giving priority to loci with tri or tetra nucleotide repeat motifs. For the 48 candidate microsatellite loci developed, a 'CAGtail' was attached to the 5' end of the forward primers allowing downstream use of fluorescent PCR product labelling. Loci were combined in multiplexed PCRs to avoid overlapping product sizes. The 5' end of the reverse primer had a 'GTTT-tail' attached to ensure complete adenylation, thereby protecting PCR products from non-template nucleotide addition and facilitating accurate genotyping [17].

Genotyping was conducted using genomic DNA extracted from ethanol preserved tissue biopsies collected non-lethally (2015-2018) from M. alfredi in waters surrounding Lady Elliot Island (-24.11°, 152.71°) and North Stradbroke Island (-27.42°, 153.54°), Queensland, Australia. Possible sample duplication was avoided, as each manta ray's unique ventral skin pattern was photographed at the time of biopsy collection [18]. Loci were amplified in a 12 µl PCR reaction comprised of 1-2 µl of genomic DNA (10-15 ng), $0.5 \mu l$ primer stock, $6 \mu l$ of $2 \times MyTaq$ mix (Bioline Australia), with remaining volume made up to 12 µl with Milli-Q H₂O. PCR cycling conditions were a 95 °C for 60 s step, followed by 38 cycles of 95 °C for 60 s, 95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 15 s, with a final extension step of 72 °C for 15 min. The diluted PCR products (1:10 dilution) were denatured with formamide and an internal size standard (Genescan 500-LIZ) was added. Fragment separation was performed with an ABI 3730 DNA Analyser. Allele scoring was undertaken using the GENEIOUS Microsatellite Plugin Version 1.4 (GENEIOUS v 9.1.8) [16]. Loci were initially amplified in singleplex reaction against 6-12 individuals. Loci that successfully amplified were characterised against an additional 18-24 individuals to determine information content before selection for inclusion in a multiplex PCR. After removing loci that either did not amplify, produced an inconsistent product, or were mono-morphic, the 48 candidate loci were reduced down to a final set of 17 (Table 1).

A total of 60 *M. alfredi* from the Australian east coast population were genotyped with these 17 loci. To ensure there were no scoring errors or allelic dropout, all traces were checked using MICRO-CHECKER [19]. Observed and expected heterozygosities were calculated using GENALEX v 6.5 [20]. Locus MaQDD04 had a trinucleotide motif with the remaining markers exhibiting di-nucleotide repeats. The total number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 (MaQDD23) to 13 (MaQDD21 & MaQDD31). MaQDD04 displayed the highest observed

Armstrong et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:233 Page 3 of 5

Table 1 Summary details for 17 microsatellite loci developed for Mobula alfredi

Locus	NCBI reference	Repeat motif	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Fluoro label	n	Α	Observed allele size range (bp)	Но	H _E	P _{HWE}
MAQDD04	MK225654	GAA ₍₂₇₎	F: ACATCCTGCAGAGGCTGTAA R: TCCTTATCTTGTTCCGGCAG	VIC	60	10	363–393	0.88	0.82	0.71
MAQDD09	MK225655	TG ₍₁₅₎	F: CGTCGACTGTTCACACTTCC R: CTTCCTGGCTGGTAGGTACG	FAM	60	5	190–206	0.62	0.59	0.59
MAQDD11	MK225656	TC ₍₁₉₎	F: TTTACCTATAGATGGGTCAGGGAC R: GCAGATCGCTGTAGCTGTGA	NED	60	8	204–224	0.92	0.85	0.07
MAQDD12	MK225657	AC ₍₁₉₎	F: AAAGGATCTGGGAATACGGG R: GTGTTGGGATCTCTGGTGCT	FAM	60	4	149–155	0.32	0.36	0.48
MAQDD18	MK225658	TG ₍₁₃₎	F: GGGAGACATTGAAACGTGTG R: GAATGTGATGGAGAGCACTTG	FAM	60	3	137–149	0.30	0.38	0.11
MAQDD21	MK225659	TG ₍₁₃₎	F: GGCATACAAAGTGCTGGAGG R: ATCTCAACCCTGCGTGGAC	NED	60	13	270–314	0.65	0.69	0.24
MAQDD22	MK225660	AC ₍₁₇₎	F: CGGAATAGCTGACCCTCAGA R: ATTGTGATGGAGTGGTCCGT	FAM	60	3	134–138	0.68	0.55	0.18
MAQDD23	MK225661	AC ₍₁₀₎	F: CCCATCACTGATAATGAGCGT R: GTGCAGTCAAAGGAATGGGT	FAM	60	2	148–150	0.35	0.50	0.02
MAQDD26	MK225662	AC ₍₁₆₎	F: ATGTCAACCGGACTGGAAAT R: TGTATGCGTATCTAGCATCTTCA	PET	60	6	203–33	0.62	0.59	0.08
MAQDD28	MK225663	AC ₍₂₃₎	F: GCTGCAGGGAAGAAGCTAAA R: TTTGTCCGAATATCTTGCCA	NED	60	6	151–165	0.58	0.55	0.95
MAQDD29	MK225664	GT ₍₂₁₎	F: GCTGAGAGGAAGCTATTGGC R: GAAGATACAGGAAGATAAATTGGCA	FAM	60	8	193–213	0.63	0.60	0.90
MAQDD31	MK225665	AC ₍₂₀₎	F: AACTGCCCATTCACATTTCC R: CCCATAGCTACCATCAGGGA	PET	60	13	282–314	0.72	0.72	0.34
MAQDD33	MK225666	CA ₍₂₁₎	F: GACGAGACATCCCAAACACC R: TTTCCTGGATCCACAACCTC	FAM	60	7	200–228	0.65	0.59	0.31
MAQDD37	MK225667	GT ₍₁₄₎	F: CGTTGCATCCATCTGACGTA R: GATGCACCAGAGCAACCAC	PET	60	4	170–192	0.58	0.51	0.36
MAQDD38	MK225668	CA ₍₁₄₎	F: CCAAACATAACCCAGGCAAG R: CAGCCTTGGTGAACTGAGTG	VIC	60	5	156–172	0.65	0.68	0.96
MAQDD39	MK225669	GT ₍₁₄₎	F: AGCAGCTCTGTGGAAGCAGT R: GCACCCATTTCCAATCAGTT	FAM	60	2	360–362	0.65	0.50	0.04
MAQDD43	MK225670	AC ₍₁₄₎	F: TCCCTGGCTGTATTGCCTAC R: AACCCTCGGATATCCATTCTC	NED	60	7	162–184	0.80	0.73	0.55

NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information GenBank Reference; Primer Sequence F forward, R reverse (All forward primers had a GTT on the 3' end & all reverse primers had CAG tag on the 5' end (not included here), n is the number of individuals genotyped, A is the number of alleles observed, A0 is the observed heterozygosity, A1 is the expected heterozygosity, A2 is the probability of the locus deviating from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium

heterozygosity (H_o =0.88) with heterozygosity ranging widely for di-nucleotide loci (H_o =0.35–0.80). Exact tests identified two loci (MaQDD23, p=0.0212 & MaQDD39, p=0.036) not in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, as calculated by GENEPOP v 4.2 [21]. Linkage disequilibrium tests (GENEPOP v4.2) revealed significant linkage between only one pair of loci (MaQDD04 & MaQDD11, p=0.00), after Bonferroni correction.

Conclusions

The need for high quality, standardized genetic markers resulted in the development of 17 novel microsatellite for reef manta rays. This marker set supplements microsatellite primers already available for the species [13], and

enables research questions to be addressed that require the higher statistical power provided by a larger marker set. It is hoped that these additional markers also facilitate collaboration between studies of reef manta ray populations globally through the provision of named primers for which resultant genotypes and diversity metrics can be collaboratively compared and contrasted for reef manta ray populations globally. The combination of these novel markers with those already developed previously for reef manta rays [13] provides up to 27 markers for use in population structure and genetic effective population size investigations. The delineation of population structure and generation of population size estimates have been highlighted as research priorities to help guide conservation action for the reef manta ray [9].

Armstrong et al. BMC Res Notes (2019) 12:233 Page 4 of 5

Limitations

At the time of primer development and manuscript preparation, the study did not have access to a sufficient number of samples from other locations for cross-population comparisons. Recent genotyping suggests marker applicability for *M. alfredi* sampled on Australia's west coast (approximately 5600 km from east coast sampling locations) (author's unpublished data). Due to sample availability and permit restrictions, this study did not test cross-species (within the family Mobulidae) primer amplification for the newly developed microsatellite loci.

Authors' contributions

AJA carried out primer design filtering, genotyping, data analysis and manuscript writing; CB advised and assisted with primer design; CLD assisted with genotyping and was a major contributor in writing the manuscript; JRO assisted with primer design, genotyping, and was a major contributor in writing the manuscript; MBB provided funding, permits, ethical clearance, and feedback on the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ School of Biomedical Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. ² Facultad de Recursos Naturales Renovables, Universidad Arturo Prat, Iquique, Chile.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the services of the Australian Equine Genetics Research Centre Genotyping & Sequencing Facility (AEGRC).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analysed during the current study are not at present publicly available due ongoing publication, but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Sequences have been uploaded to GenBank and can be found at their accession numbers listed in Table 1.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All research was conducted in accordance with the approval of the University of Queensland's Animal Ethics Committee (Permit SBS/319/14/ARC/EA/LEIER), the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (Permit G16/37856.1), the Department of National Parks, Sports and Racing (Permit QS2015/CVL1440) and the Department of Agriculture and Fisheries (Permit 199045).

Funding

This work was supported by an Australian Research Council Linkage Grant (LP150100669). AA is supported by a University of Queensland Research Scholarship. The funders were not involved in the design, collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, nor in writing the manuscript.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 27 November 2018 Accepted: 15 April 2019 Published online: 22 April 2019

References

- Marshall AD, Compagno LJV, Bennett MB. Redescription of the genus Manta with resurrection of *Manta alfredi* (Krefft, 1868) (Chondrichthyes; Myliobatoidei; Mobulidae). Zootaxa. 2009;2301:1–28.
- O'Malley MP, Lee-Brooks K, Medd HB. The global economic impact of manta ray watching tourism. PLoS ONE. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065051.
- Couturier LIE, Marshall AD, Jaine FRA, Kashiwagi T, Pierce SJ, Townsend KA, et al. Biology, ecology and conservation of the Mobulidae. J Fish Biol. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03264.x.
- Croll DA, Dewar H, Dulvy NK, Fernando D, Francis MP, Galván-Magaña F, et al. Vulnerabilities and fisheries impacts: the uncertain future of manta and devil rays. Aquat Conserv. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2591.
- O'Malley MP, Townsend KA, Hilton P, Heinrichs S, Stewart JD. Characterization of the trade in manta and devil ray gill plates in China and South-east Asia through trader surveys. Aquat Conserv. 2017. https://doi. org/10.1002/agc.2670.
- Steinke DI, Bernard AM, Horn RL, Hilton P, Hanner R, Shivji MS. DNA analysis of traded shark fins and mobulid gill plates reveals a high proportion of species of conservation concern. Sci Rep. 2017. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-017-10123-5.
- Dulvy NK, Pardo SA, Simpfendorfer CA, Carlson JK. Diagnosing the dangerous demography of manta rays using life history theory. Peer J. 2014. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.400.
- Marshall AD, Kashiwagi T, Bennett MB, Deakos M, Stevens G, McGregor F, et al. Mobula alfredi (amended version of the 2011 assessment). IUCN Red List; 2018. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK. Accessed 13 Nov 2018.
- Stewart JD, Jaine FRA, Armstrong AJ, Armstrong AO, Bennett MB, Burgess KB, et al. Research priorities to support effective manta and devil ray conservation. Front Mar Sci. 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00314.
- Kashiwagi T, Marshall AD, Bennett MB, Ovenden JR. The genetic signature of recent speciation in manta rays (*Manta alfredi* and *M. birostris*). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.03.020.
- Walter RP, Kessel ST, Alhasan N, Fisk AT, Heath DD, Chekchak T, et al. First record of living Manta alfredi x Manta birostris hybrid. Mar Biodivers. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-013-0183-2.
- 12. White WT, Corrigan S, Yang L, Henderson AC, Bazinet AL, Swofford DL, et al. Phylogeny of the manta and devilrays (Chondrichthyes: mobulidae), with an updated taxonomic arrangement for the family. Zool J Linn Soc. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx018.
- Kashiwagi T, Broderick D, Lance SL, Bennett MB, Ovenden JR. Development and characterization of ten microsatellite loci for the reef manta ray Manta alfredi. Conserv Genet Resour. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1268 6-012-0705-7
- Meglécz E, Pech N, Gilles A, Dubut V, Hingamp P, Trilles A, et al. QDD version 3.1: a user-friendly computer program for microsatellite selection and primer design revisited: experimental validation of variables determining genotyping success rate. Mol Ecol Resour. 2014. https://doi. orq/10.1111/1755-0998.12271.
- Vargas-Caro C, Bustamante C, Bennett MB, Ovenden JR. Towards sustainable fishery management for skates in South America: The genetic population structure of *Zearaja chilensis* and *Dipturus trachyderma* (Chondrichthyes, Rajiformes) in the south-east Pacific Ocean. PLoS ONE. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172255.
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.
- Brownstein MJ, Carpten JD, Smith JR. Modulation of Non-Templated Nucleotide Addition by Taq DNA Polymerase: Primer Modifications that Facilitate Genotyping. Biotechniques. 1996. https://doi. org/10.2144/96206st01.
- Marshall AD, Dudgeon CL, Bennett MB. Size and structure of a photographically identified population of manta rays Manta alfredi in southern Mozambigue. Mar Biol. 2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-011-1634-6.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes. 2004. https://doi.org/10.111 1/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x.

- 20. Peakall R, Smouse PE. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. Bioinformatics. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460.
- Raymond M, Rousset F. GENEPOP (Version 12): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Hered. 1995. https://doi. org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111573.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

