

## Erratum to: Development and characterization of DehaloR<sup>2</sup>, a novel anaerobic microbial consortium performing rapid dechlorination of TCE to ethene

Michal Ziv-El · Anca G. Delgado · Ying Yao ·  
Dae-Wook Kang · Katherine G. Nelson ·  
Rolf U. Halden · Rosa Krajmalnik-Brown

Published online: 24 May 2012  
© Springer-Verlag 2012

**Erratum to: Appl Microbiol Biotechnol**  
**DOI 10.1007/s00253-011-3388-y**

Table 2 of the original publication contained errors. The corrected values (highlighted in bold type face in the updated Table below) show that the newly reported DehaloR<sup>2</sup> consortium and the cells of *Dehalococcoides* contained therein, displayed transformation activities comparable to those of the “Unnamed” culture in Table 2, whereas transformation activities tabulated for the SDC-9 consortium were ~3-4 times higher than those of DehaloR<sup>2</sup>. Correction of the values does not change any of the interpretations provided in the study.

---

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3388-y>.

---

M. Ziv-El · A. G. Delgado · Y. Yao · D.-W. Kang · K. G. Nelson ·  
R. U. Halden · R. Krajmalnik-Brown (✉)  
Swette Center for Environmental Biotechnology,  
Biodesign Institute at Arizona State University,  
PO Box 875001, Tempe, AZ 85287-5001, USA  
e-mail: dr.rosy@asu.edu

**Table 2** Comparison of maximum chlorinated ethene turnover rates  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  to ethene and the corresponding concentration of *Dehalococcoides* ( $X_{\text{Dhc}}$ ), for select chlorinated ethene mixed microbial communities in batch serum bottles

Culture	$(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$ [mM Cl <sup>-</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ]	$X_{\text{Dhc}}$ [cells L <sup>-1</sup> ]	$(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max} X_{\text{Dhc}}^{-1}$ [mmol Cl <sup>-</sup> cell <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ]
DehaloR <sup>2</sup>	<b>0.92 ± 0.1 (TCE to 90 % ethene)</b> <b>0.75 ± 0.1 (TCE to 100 % ethene)</b>	1.54 ± 0.27 × 10 <sup>11</sup> 1.54 ± 0.27 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	<b>6.0 ± 0.5 × 10<sup>-12</sup></b> <b>4.9 ± 0.4 × 10<sup>-12</sup></b>
SDC-9 <sup>a</sup>	2.9 (PCE)	1.4 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	2.1 × 10 <sup>-11</sup>
Unnamed <sup>b</sup>	0.96 (PCE)	–	–
VS <sup>c</sup>	0.31 (VC)	4.0 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	7.8 × 10 <sup>-13</sup>
KB1 <sup>d</sup>	0.16 (TCE)	8 × 10 <sup>10</sup>	–
ANAS	0.006 <sup>e</sup> (TCE), 0.05 <sup>f</sup> (TCE)	1.0 ± 0.29 × 10 <sup>10e</sup>	6 × 10 <sup>-13</sup>
BDI <sup>g</sup>	0.03 (TCE)	1 × 10 <sup>11</sup>	–

The turnover rate per *Dehalococcoides* cell was only calculated when values for  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  were available from the same source and where data were from the stationary phase

<sup>a</sup> Vainberg et al. (2009),  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  was calculated from Fig. 4 and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  from Table 1

<sup>b</sup> Xiu et al. (2010)

<sup>c</sup> Cupples et al. (2004)

<sup>d</sup> Haest et al. (2010),  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  were calculated from Fig. 2, and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  was the final concentration of cells

<sup>e</sup> Freeborn et al. (2005),  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  was from Fig. 1 and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  from Table 3

<sup>f</sup> Richardson et al. (2002), calculated assuming 200 μmoles TCE/bottle were reduced in 10 days

<sup>g</sup> Amos et al. (2008),  $(\Delta C \Delta t^{-1})_{\max}$  was calculated from Fig. 2 and  $X_{\text{Dhc}}$  was the final concentration of cells in Fig. 1