DEVELOPMENT OF AUTOMATIC TRAFFIC LIGHT BASED ON WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS WITH STAR TOPOLOGIES

Nadia Khotimatul Husna¹, Eni Dwi Wardihani², Amin Suharjono³

¹ Program Studi D4 Telekomunikasi, Politeknik Negeri Semarang, Semarang

² Politeknik Negeri Semarang, Semarang

³ Politeknik NegeriSemarang, Semarang

Abstract— Traffic jam at the intersection is caused by many things such as the number of vehicles that exceed the capacity of the highway, road users who do not obey the rules, or lights on a traffic light that is not in accordance with the road conditions. The government has implemented measures to control congestion solutif at a crossroads in Indonesia, especially in big cities by installing the ATCS (Automatic Traffic Control System) that regulate light traffic lights based on real time conditions crossroads. The weakness of this system still needed the operator to settings the traffic light lamp replacement and maintenance costs are expensive. Therefore, we need a system that can regulate light traffic lights adaptively based on the length of the queue of vehicles. In this project, created a system that is able to set the lights on the traffic light by the long queues of vehicles adaptively based wireless sensor networks. Data from XBee sent with a frequency of 2, 4 Ghz towards the coordinator node, the coordinator node data is then processed by a microcontroller arduino mega to compare the length of the queue between the road and determine the traffic light lights adaptively. The test results show a sensor capable of detecting the vehicle up to a distance of 175 cm, the system can detect the length of the queue as far as 56 meters, and can be changed adaptively in a ccordance long queues of vehicle.

Index Terms— XBee, arduino mega, arduino uno, hmc5883l, compass sensors, traffic jams, car detection, star topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

The growth of the population in Indonesia, followed by the increase in the number of holdings transport both private and public transport. The situation is not matched by the capacity of the highway which is getting memadahi cause problems of traffic jams often occur every day, especially in big cities in Indonesia. Congestion is a situation where the number of vehicles exceeding the capacity of the highway, causing the queue is quite long. Bottlenecks when viewed from the social impact can make a person stress, tired, too late to the destination. Likewise, the air pollution caused by exhaust emissions released by motor vehicles, the effect is very influential on environmental issues, because if the level of air pollution is already past the desired threshold it will affect the health of living creatures that breathe it, especially humans. Socioeconomic impacts due to congestion clearly more visible in terms of lost benefits and costs dikeluarkan.Yakni congestion makes the vehicle speed slowed or even halted (stuck position). These conditions make the use of fuel oil (BBM) increased due to longer engine running so the driver must pay more for fuel purchase. Users road works can also lose their working hours due to late for work so here they lost time caused by congestion. In addition, road users experiencing a change in physical or mental condition that impacts such as stress and fatigue that this is associated with the user's convenience in driving. Journals (Azhar Aris, 2012). The Government has taken various steps to

reduce the congestion of them in the forged public transportation convenient and inexpensive to societal expectations shift from private vehicles to public transport, improvement of roads, construction of highways, construction of flyovers, the use of the ATCS or Automatic Traffic Control System is a system Digital control traffic lights to regulate traffic lights corresponding density of the control room ATCS control officers could accelerate the wait time so as to break the bottleneck, and vice versa ATSC system has been implemented in the city of Bogor, Jakarta, Surabaya and Bandung. The drawback of such systems require monitoring for 24 hours and the price per unit ATCS quite expensive so it is difficult implemented throughout Indonesia n the near future. Therefore we need a tool that can detect traffic density for traffic light control timer automatically.

In the final project, the writer will create a system design prototype traffic light-based wireless sensor networks are adaptive to length of the queue, the system detects the presence of vehicles dengang compass sensor HMC5883L four nodes of each of the steps, while the sensor sends data to the

coordinator, the coordinator comparing denganruas road other then the light changed adaptively according the length of the queue. This system is more effective and efficient value for an affordable cost, easy maintenance and does not require the operator to set the lights perhantian traffic light.

2. THEORETICAL

Traffic Light

Traffic Light is a traffic light that serves to give signs on roads or crossroads on motorists to run smoothly and organized with color signaling lights that indicate when the rider must be alert, walk and stop. Some of the methods used for data collection system uses vehicle sensors and detectors so that can know the current conditions and characteristics of the vehicle in each lane.

Sensor

Sensor is a device that serves to detect the symptoms that come from a change in energy, change the amount of physical and chemical into electrical quantities that can be analyzed with a particular electrical circuit. In this thesis the author uses HMC 5883L Compass sensor to detect the presence of vehicles. IC HMC 5883L implementing AMR (Anisotropi cMagnetoresistive). AMR technology has advantages over other magnetic sensor technologies. Sensor that is anisotropic or have different characteristics in different directions is a directional sensor (directional) having high precision and sensitivity, and to produce a linear output of changes to the orientation angle of the axis. Solid-state sensor is constructed with axes intersect (crossaxis) that is very precise to detect the direction and magnitude of Earth's magnetic field on a scale of a few milli-gauss up to 8 gauss. The magnetic sensor is recognized as a low-field sensor with the best sensitivity and reliable by the industry.

XBee


In this thesis the author uses a star topology as the inter-node configuration. Star topology is communication in which each connection does not happen optical-electrical conversion, so that at each node that communicates not happen buffering process. In the star communication parties communicate with each other to communicate directly without an intermediate node so that each node that communicates not happen buffering.



Fig. 2.1 Illustration Star Topology

3. SYSTEM DESIGN

In designing the system making is about the design of the system to be built, the architecture of the system, the realization of the system. System planning The general picture of design XBee communication system on the ground to move detection using accelerometer sensor can be seen in Figure 1.



The workings of the regulatory system delay counter traffic light under the conditions of real time queue length adaptively roads are as follows:

- 1. Sensor Compass function as a detector of the presence of the vehicle.
- 2. The sensors send data to the coordinator.
- 3. Coordinating comparing roads.
- 4. Traffic Light adaptive changes according to real time road conditions

How to determine the density in each ruasnya is 3 or 4 when the sensor node sends data on the vehicle detects coordinator.




Figure 3.2 Flowchart plan setting Traffic Light Hardware Design

The process of making tools work in this final project will require design and materials. In the design and assembly of the authors system dividing it into two parts, namely on the sensor node and coordinator node. Below is a description of the tool working system.





Figure 3.3 Block Diagram Sensor Node

Figure 3.4. Block Diagram Node Coordinator Systems section sensor nodes using the device as a control input of the Arduino Uno with a compass, and XBee. Device Node coordinator in control by Arduino Mega with input from the sensor nodes and XBee. The output of the sensor node and coordinator in the form of counter traffic light delay settings corresponding real time road conditions adaptively. Sensor node and coordinator node is connected wirelessly using the XBee as media data sender, then the coordinator node sends the output to the traffic light by using a cable transmission media.

Designing Networks Systems

Designing Sensor Networks In Node In this system using the Arduino Uno ATMega IC 328 to the control system delay counter. In Figure 3.5 arduino connected premises Compass HMC 588L as vehicle detection and XBee S2 as the sender of the media data to the coordinator node. This system uses a supply voltage of 8.4 volts.



Designing Networks In Node Coordinator In this system using the Arduino Mega 2560 ATMega IC to control system delay conter. In Figure 3.6 arduino XBee connected to a media recipient of the data from the sensor nodes, the output is sent via cable to the LED.




Figure 3.6 The series of Node Coordinator

Diagram Flow

Flow diagram of the system will include vehicle detection process, the process of sending data to the coordinator, the process of comparison with other roads, and traffic light configuration process. In this flow chart there are two functions that functions in show them the coordinator node and coordinator node function.




Figure 3.7 Flowchart of Overall System Sensor nodes detect the presence of vehicles sent to the coordinator, the coordinator compared with another road segment, after legible roads that have a longer queue number coordinators will provide the output of the LEDs based on the traffic light queue adaptively conditions.

Realization System Implementation of this device requires hardware and software used for system testing. Here are the software and hardware needed by the system.




Figure 3.8 Sensor Node



Figure 3.9 Node Coordinator

4. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

To determine the sensor can detect the presence of vehicles or not, the sensor testing done magnitude and the threshold determination on the sensor.

Determining Threshold Compass sensor HMC 5883 L is the kind of AMR sensors are sensitive to changes in direction and the presence of metal, and therefore the determination of threshold on each road must comply with the direction of the wind.

Threshold sensor readings are threshold sensor, if the sensor meets a predetermined threshold value, the sensor is able to read or detect the presence of vehicles. Referring to the paper (Caruso and Lucky, 2002) modules compass sesnsor Axis HMC 5883L about the placement of sensors for detecting the

vehicle, the x-axis should be facing (cut) in the direction of the car, the determination thereshold based on the magnitude of the sensor with the formula Magnitude = + +)

Threshold Determination Directions From West to East

In this test carried out two tests, namely when the sensor by car within 50 cm and sensors by car within 1 meter.

Threshold Determination Directions From West to East

In this test carried out two tests, namely when the sensor by car within 50 cm and sensors by car within 1 meter.



Figure 4. 1 Sensor Data Collection Directions From West to East

Sensor testing performed twice when conditions without a car and when the car started coming toward the sensor until the sensor passes from west to east. Tests carried out at the direction of the x-axis compass sensor facing the car (to the north) and the y-axis to the west.




Figure 4. 2 Graph Sensor Data From West to East Directions Distance 50 cm




Figure 4. 3 Graph Sensor Data From West to East Directions Distance 100 cm From the testing that has been done, the threshold on the road from west to east is 1500 mgauss.

Threshold Determination Directions From East To West



Figure 4. 8 Sensor Data Collection Directions From East To West

Sensor testing performed twice when conditions without a car and when the car started coming toward the sensor until the sensor passes from east to west. Tests carried out at the direction of the x-axis compass sensor facing the car (to the south) and the y-axis to the east.




Figure 4. 4 Graph Sensor Data Directions From East To West Distance 50




Figure 4.5 Graph Sensor Data Directions From East To West distance of 100 cm.

From the testing that has been done, the threshold on the road from west to east is 800 m gauss. Threshold Determination Directions From North To South



Figure 4. 6 Sensor Data Collection Directions From North To South

Sensor testing performed twice when conditions without a car and when the car started coming toward the sensor until the sensor passes from north to south. Tests carried out at the direction of the x-axis compass sensor facing the car (to the east) and the y-axis to the north.





Figure 4.7 Graph Sensor Data From North To South Directions Distance 50 cm



South to distance of 100 cm

From the testing that has been done, the threshold on the road from west to east is of 1000 m gauss. Determining Threshold Of Southward To North



Figure 4.9 Sensor Data Retrieval From North To South Directions

Sensor testing performed twice when conditions without a car and when the car started coming toward the sensor until the sensor passes from south to north. Tests carried out at the direction of the x-axis compass sensor facing the car (to the west) and the y-axis to the south.




Figure 4.10 Graph Sensor Data From South Directions Northbound Distance 50 cm




Figure 4.11 Graph Sensor Data From South Directions Northbound Distance 100 cm

From the testing that has been done, the threshold on the road from south to north is 800 m gauss

Threshold testing Parameter testing is done by testing the ability of a sensor to detect a vehicle with a threshold that is superbly set on each of the roads. This is done to determine the threshold that has been set successfully received by the sensor at a distance that has been set or not. The test is performed by placing the sensor and in a distance that has been set.

Test Threshold

Table 4.1 Testing Sensor With Threshold Condition Directions There Vehicles From West To East Distance 50cm

| Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Percobaan | Status | |
| 1 | Detected | |
| 2 | Detected | |
| 3 | Detected | |
| 4 | Detected | |
| 5 | Detected | |
| 6 | Detected | |
| 7 | Detected | |
| 8 | Detected | |
| 9 | Detected | |
| 10 | Detected | |

The experimental results show the value thresold 1500 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50cm.

Table 4.2 Testing Sensor With Threshold Condition No vehicle From East To West Directions Distance 1 Meter

| Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 1 Meter | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--|
| Percobaan | Status | |
| 1 | Detected | |
| 2 | Detected | |
| 3 | Detected | |
| 4 | Detected | |
| 5 | Detected | |
| 6 | Detected | |

| 7 | Detected |
|----|----------|
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

The experimental results show the value "1" of 10 trials, demonstrating the value thresold 1500 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm (1 meter).

Table 4.3 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From west to east distance of 50 cm

Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm

| Percobaan | Status |
|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | Not Detected |
| 2 | Not Detected |
| 3 | Not Detected |
| 4 | Not Detected |
| 5 | Not Detected |
| 6 | Not Detected |
| 7 | Not Detected |
| 8 | Not Detected |
| 9 | Not Detected |
| 10 | Not Detected |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 50cm. Value thresold 1500 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm (1 meter).

Table 4.4 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From East To West Directions Distance 1 Meter

| Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 1 Meter | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Percobaan | Status | | | |
| 1 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 2 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 3 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 4 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 5 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 6 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 7 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 8 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 9 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |
| 10 | Tidak Terdeteksi | | | |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 100 cm. Value thresold 1500 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm (1 meter).

4.5 Threshold Table Testing Sensor With Condition No vehicle From east to west distance of 50 cm.

Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm

Percobaan Status

| 1 | Detected |
|----|----------|
| 2 | Detected |
| 3 | Detected |
| 4 | Detected |
| 5 | Detected |
| 6 | Detected |
| 7 | Detected |
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

The experimental results show the value thresold 800 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4.6 Testing Sensor With Threshold Condition No vehicle

From East To West Directions Distance 1 Meter Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 1 meter

| Percobaan | Status |
|-----------|----------|
| 1 | Detected |
| 2 | Detected |
| 3 | Detected |
| 4 | Detected |
| 5 | Detected |
| 6 | Detected |
| 7 | Detected |
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

Experimental results show the value thresold 800 for phase A or phase Southwestern successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm (1 meter).

Table 4.7 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From east to west distance of 50 cm

| Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Percobaan | Status | |
| 1 | Not Detected | |
| 2 | Not Detected | |
| 3 | Not Detected | |
| 4 | Not Detected | |
| 5 | Not Detected | |
| 6 | Not Detected | |
| 7 | Not Detected | |
| 8 | Not Detected | |
| 9 | Not Detected | |
| 10 | Not Detected | |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 50cm. Sensor managed mendetksi vehicle condition without typing the vehicle running away from the sensor. Value thresold 800 to phase or phase C East successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4.8 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From East To West Directions Distance 1 Meter

| Jarak sensor | dengan | kendaraan | 1 | Meter |
|--------------|--------|-----------|---|-------|
|--------------|--------|-----------|---|-------|

| Percobaan | Status |
|-----------|--------------|
| 1 | Not Detected |
| 2 | Not Detected |
| 3 | Not Detected |
| 4 | Not Detected |
| 5 | Not Detected |
| 6 | Not Detected |
| 7 | Not Detected |
| 8 | Not Detected |
| 9 | Not Detected |
| 10 | Not Detected |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 50cm. Value thresold 800 to phase or phase C East successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm (1 meter).

Table 4.9 Testing Threshold Condition Sensor With No vehicle Directions from the North South All Distance 50 cm Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm

| Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|--|
| Percobaan | Status | |
| 1 | Detected | |
| 2 | Detected | |
| 3 | Detected | |
| 4 | Detected | |
| 5 | Detected | |
| 6 | Detected | |
| 7 | Detected | |
| 8 | Detected | |
| 9 | Detected | |
| 10 | Detected | |

The experimental results show the value "1" of 10 trials, demonstrating the value thresold 1000 for phase B or phase-Holland successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4.10 Testing Threshold Condition Sensor With No vehicle To the South from the North Directions Distance 1 Meter

Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 1 Meter

| Percobaan | Status |
|-----------|----------|
| 1 | Detected |

| 2 | Detected |
|----|----------|
| 3 | Detected |
| 4 | Detected |
| 5 | Detected |
| 6 | Detected |
| 7 | Detected |
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

The experimental results show the value thresold 1000 for phase B or phase-Holland successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm.

Table 4.11 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition Directions from the North South All Distance 50 cm

| Jarak sensor | Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Percobaan | Status | | | | |
| 1 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 2 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 3 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 4 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 5 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 6 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 7 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 8 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 9 | Not Detected | | | | |
| 10 | Not Detected | | | | |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 50cm. Value thresold 1000 to phase or phase D Holland successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4.12 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition To the South from the North Directions Distance 1 Meter

| Jarak sensor | dengan kendaraan 1 meter |
|--------------|--------------------------|
| Percobaan | Status |
| 1 | Not Detected |
| 2 | Not Detected |
| 3 | Not Detected |
| 4 | Not Detected |
| 5 | Not Detected |
| 6 | Not Detected |
| 7 | Not Detected |
| 8 | Not Detected |
| 9 | Not Detected |
| 10 | Not Detected |

The experimental results show the sensor failed to detect the condition without the vehicle when the vehicle is running away from the sensor at a distance of 100cm. Value thresold 1000 to phase or phase D Utara berhasil terdeteksi dengan baik dan efesien pada jarak 50 cm. North successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4.13 Testing Threshold Condition Sensor With No vehicle From south to north

| | gan kendaraan 50 cm |
|-----------|---------------------|
| Percobaan | Status |
| 1 | Detected |
| 2 | Detected |
| 3 | Detected |
| 4 | Detected |
| 5 | Detected |
| 6 | Detected |
| 7 | Detected |
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

The experimental results show the value "1" of 10 attempts thresold value in 1000 to phase or phase D South successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4:14 Testing Threshold Condition Sensor With No vehicle From south to north

| to north | |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| Jarak sensor der | ngan kendaraan 1 Meter cm |
| Percobaan | Status |
| 1 | Detected |
| 2 | Detected |
| 3 | Detected |
| 4 | Detected |
| 5 | Detected |
| 6 | Detected |
| 7 | Detected |
| 8 | Detected |
| 9 | Detected |
| 10 | Detected |

The experimental results show the value thresold 1000 for phase D or South Fasa successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm.

Table 4:15 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From south to north

| Jarak sensor | i deligali kelidaraali 50 cii |
|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Percobaan | Status |
| 1 | Not Detected |
| 2 | Not Detected |
| 3 | Not Detected |
| 4 | Not Detected |
| 5 | Not Detected |
| 6 | Not Detected |
| 7 | Not Detected |
| 8 | Not Detected |
| 9 | Not Detected |
| 10 | Not Detected |

.

Jarak sensor dengan kendaraan 50 cm

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 50cm. Value thresold 1000 for phase D or South Fasa successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 50 cm.

Table 4:16 Testing Sensor With Threshold Without Vehicle Condition From south to north

| Jarak sensor den | gan kendaraan 1 Meter |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| Percobaan | Status |
| 1 | Not Detected |
| 2 | Not Detected |
| 3 | Not Detected |
| 4 | Not Detected |
| 5 | Not Detected |
| 6 | Not Detected |
| 7 | Not Detected |
| 8 | Not Detected |
| 9 | Not Detected |
| 10 | Not Detected |

The experimental results show the sensor detected vehicle condition without typing the vehicle walked away from the sensor at a distance of 100cm. Value thresold 1000 for phase D or South Fasa successfully detected properly and efficiently at a distance of 100 cm 1 (Meter).

XBee testing

XBee Testing conducted on urban conditions in Semarang State Polytechnic campus. XBee Testing conducted at Semarang State Polytechnic campus with the condition of many buildings and cars around the place of testing. From the testing that was done on the condition Urban at Semarang State Polytechnic, maximum distance XBee send and receive data as far as 73 m. Because of the XBee can send and receive data at a maximum distance of up to 73 m, then the placement of the nodes in place as far as 14 m between nodes. So that, the maximum distance sensor can read queue length is as far as 56m.

Testing Traffic Light

At the traffic light overall testing performed on campus POLINES. Setting solid state or not is to determine the third of four nodes in a single phase or segment of detecting the presence, if three of the four node sends a "1" to the coordinator of the phases or segments are included in the count plan on A phase ie sensor nodes A1 to A4 shown in figure 4.12 from west to east. Phases B show them pictures shown by 4:13 from south to north. Phase C is a sensor node C1 through C4 from east to west is shown in Figure 4. 14. As the phase D from the south to the north are the sensor nodes D1 through D4 are shown in Figure 4. 15. The prototype in place at each end path in one phase.



Figure 4.12 A Phase



Figure 4.13 B Phase



Figure 4.14 C Phase



Figure 4. 15 D Phase



Figure 4.16 Lights Traffic Light Placement

Once the sensor node is put in place, then performed the simulation of traffic with the results shown below.

| JALUR JALUR JALUR JALUR JALUR JALUR Fase ALLRE | A : | OKE OKE OKE OKE OKE OKE OKE OKE OKE | >> >> sai ANTI | 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 PLA | |
|---|-----|---|-------------------------|--|---------|
| Jalur | = | R | B | C | 0 |
| Kndsi Hijau | | 1 70 | 0 10 | 0 10 | 0 10 |
| | | | | | |

Figure 4.17 Configuration Plan 1 Phase A

In Gamba 4. 17 shows the delay setting counter plan 1, because 3 of the 4 node or nodes on Phase A 4 detect the presence of vehicles. Plan 1 Phase A shows the settings on Phase A Traffic Light green 70 s, 6 s red, yellow 3s.




Figure 4.17 Configuration Plan 1 Phase A

In Figure 4. 17 shows the delay setting counter plan 1, because 3 of the 4 node or nodes on Phase A 4 detect the presence of vehicles. Plan 1 Phase A shows the settings on Phase A Traffic Light green 70 s, 6 s red, yellow 3s.




Figure 4.18

In Figure 4. 18 shows the delay setting counter plan 2, since 3 of the 4 node or nodes 4 Phases A and C detect the presence of vehicles. Traffic Light settings on Phase A and C are green 40s, yellow 3s and 6s red.




Figure 4.19

Figure 4. 19 shows the delay setting counter plan 3, since 3 of the 4 node or nodes 4 Phases A, B and C detect the presence of vehicles. Traffic Light settings on Phase A, B, and C which are green 30s, yellow 3s and 6s red.

| Eile | | Edit | - | Setu | P | Control | Window | Help |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Jalur Kndti Hijeu | : | R 1 40 | : B 1 30 | C 1 36 | 0140 | | | |
| JALUR | | OKE | | ø | | | | |
| JALUR JALUR JALUR | RBC | OKE OKE | 222 | - | | | | |
| JALUR JALUR JALUR JALUR | DABC | OKE OKE OKE | 2222 | | | | | |
| JALUR JALUR JALUR JALUR | DRBC | OKE OKE OKE | 2222 | | | | | |
| JALUR | DR | OKE | 223 | 111 | | | | |
| JALUR | A | ONE | 22 | 1 | | Fig | ure4.20 | |

Figure 4. 20 shows the delay setting counter Plan 4, because 3 of the 4 node or nodes 4 Phases A, B, C and D detect the presence of vehicles. Traffic Light setting in phase A, namely the green 40s, yellow 3s and 6s red.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Conclusion

From the results of the system making Prototype Design of Traffic Light Based Wireless Sensor Networks With Star topology can be taken some conclusions as follows:

- 1. adaptive traffic light system is built by using the compass sensor HMC 5883 L as a detector of the presence of the vehicle, and XBee s2 at 2. 4 GHz frequency as the sender and recipient of the data from the sensor node to the coordinator node.
- 2. In this system, the sensor is able to work at a distance of 175 vehicles.
- 3. Setting the lights of traffic light can be changed adaptively with 4 regulation plan within the length of the queue.
- 4. The system can read the length of the queue as far as 56 meters.
- 5. Thresold on each path varies according to the direction of the wind.
- 6. The barriers affect the delivery XBee car.

Suggestion

In the engineering prototype system of traffic light-based wireless sensor network with a star topology, in order that the system becomes more leverage then given the following advice:

- 1. The placement of sensors should be were really in a stable position and fixed.
- 2. In the engineering prototype system of traffic light-based wireless sensor network with a star topology still has some weaknesses, including the power supply that lasts only for 6 hours, then at the next system are suggested to use a larger power supply.
- 3. In this system the determining the density of vehicles only from the long queue, for

subsequent suggest that the system can calculate the exact number of vehicles.

4. On the next system are suggested to multiply the nodes so that the distance and maximum data quality.

References

- [1]. Arduino Mega 2016. <u>www.Arduino.cc/en/Main/ArduinoBoardMega2</u> 560 (27 Agustus 2016)
- [2]. Arduino Uno 2016. <u>www.arduino.cc/en/Main/ArduinoBoardUno</u> (27 Agustus 2016)
- [3]. Aris, Azhar. (2012). Analisis Dampak Sosial Ekonomi Pengguna Jalan Akibat Kemacetan Lalu Lintas (Studi Kasus Area Universitas Brawijaya Malang).(April 2014).
- [4]. Caruso, Michael J dan Lucky S Withanawasam. 2002. "Vehicle Detection and Compass Applications using AMR Magnetic Sensors". Jurnal Honeywell. Volume 5, Nomor 99, USA.
- [5]. Cheung, Sing-Yiu dan Pravin Varaiya. 2007. Traffic Surveillance by Wireless Sensor Networks: Final Report. Makalah disampaikan dalam California PATH Program University of California. 29 Januari 2007. Kerja sama antara The State of California Business, Transportation, and Housing Agency, Department of Transportation, and the United States Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.
- [6]. DISHUB. 2010. Evaluasi Penerapan Area Traffic Control System (ATCS) Di DKI Jakarta, Bandung Dan Surabaya.
- [7]. Kafi, Muhamed Amine, et al. 2012. "A study of Wireless Sensor Network Architectures and Projects for Traffic Light Monitoring". Procedia Computer Science. Volume 10. (2012) 543 – 552
- [8]. Karl, Holger dan Andreas Willig. 2005. Protocols and Architectures for Wireless Sensor Networks. England : John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- [9]. Maniswari , Sonia Dian. 2015. Smart Traffic Light Menggunakan Image Processing Dan Metode Fuzzy Logic. Skripsi. Bandung: Fakultas Teknik Elektro Universitas Telkom.
- [10]. Pangestiani, Milda dan Iman Firmansyah.2013. Desain Dan Implementasi Pendeteksi Kendaraan Pada Sistem Smart Traffic Light. Tugas Akhir. Bandung: Jurusan Teknik Telekomunikasi Universitas Telkom.
- [11]. Pratama, I Putu Agus Eka dan Sinung Suakanto. 2015. Wireless Sensor Network. Bandung : Informatika Bandung.
- [12]. Salsabela, Fildza dan R. M. Arifin. 2015. Rancang Bangun Sistem Kontrol Traffic l ight Jarak Jauh Dilengkapi Dengan I nformasi Cuaca Dan Situasi Lalu Lintas Menggunakan Running Text Berbasis Mikrokontroler Dan Jaringan Wireless Di Kabupaten Poso. Tugas Akhir. Semarang: Jurusan Teknik Elektro Politeknik Negeri Semarang.
- [13]. Sensor Kompas HMC5883L. 2016. www.ecadio.com/jualsensor-kompas hmc5883l (23 Juli 2016)